Bead Array Direct rRNA Capture Assay (rCapA) for Amplification Free Speciation of *Mycobacterium* Cultures

Hans de Ronde¹, Paula González Alonso², Dick van Soolingen³, Paul R. Klatser¹, Richard M. Anthony¹*

1 KIT Biomedical Research, Royal Tropical Institute, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2 Department of Molecular Cell Biology, Faculty of Earth and Life Sciences, VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 3 Tuberculosis Reference Laboratory, Centre for Infectious Disease Control, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, The Netherlands

Abstract

Mycobacterium cultures, from patients suspected of tuberculosis or nontuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) infection, need to be identified. It is most critical to identify cultures belonging to the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex, but also important to recognize clinically irrelevant or important NTM to allow appropriate patient management. Identification of *M. tuberculosis* can be achieved by a simple and cheap lateral flow assay, but identification of other *Mycobacterium* spp. generally requires more complex molecular methods. Here we demonstrate that a paramagnetic liquid bead array method can be used to capture mycobacterial rRNA in crude lysates of positive cultures and use a robust reader to identify the species in a direct and sensitive manner. We developed an array composed of paramagnetic beads coupled to oligonucleotides to capture 16 rRNA from eight specific *Mycobacterium* species and a single secondary biotinilated reporter probe to allow the captured rRNA to be detected. A ninth less specific bead and its associated reporter probe, designed to capture 23S rRNA from mycobacteria and related genera, is included as an internal control to confirm the presence of bacterial rRNA from a GC rich Gram variable genera. Using this rRNA capture assay (rCapA) with the array developed we were already able to confirm the presence of members of the *M. tuberculosis* complex and to discriminate a range of NTM species. This approach is not based on DNA amplification and therefore does not require precautions to avoid amplicon contamination. Moreover, the new generation of stable and cost effective liquid bead readers provides the necessary multiplexing potential to develop a robust and highly discriminatory assay.

Citation: de Ronde H, González Alonso P, van Soolingen D, Klatser PR, Anthony RM (2012) Bead Array Direct rRNA Capture Assay (rCapA) for Amplification Free Speciation of *Mycobacterium* Cultures. PLoS ONE 7(3): e32575. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0032575

Editor: Madhukar Pai, McGill University, Canada

Received November 17, 2011; Accepted January 27, 2012; Published March 2, 2012

Copyright: © 2012 de Ronde et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: MicronNed and NanoNextNL (http://www.nanonextnl.nl/) partially funded this work, and access to the MAGPIX device was partially funded by a ZonMW grant (http://www.zonmw.nl/). The funders are non profit bodies and had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. No additional external funding was received for this study.

Competing Interests: KIT Biomedical Research received travel support and initial access to the Luminex technology at reduced cost from Luminex Ltd. This does not alter the authors' adherence to all the PLoS ONE policies on sharing data and materials.

* E-mail: r.anthony@kit.nl

Introduction

Automated liquid culture is faster than culture on solid media and in fact the gold standard in the diagnosis of tuberculosis (TB). For these reasons liquid culture is widely used to detect and confirm infection with Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Critically liquid culture is also more effective at recovering other members of the Mycobacterium genus [1,2]. These non M. tuberculosis complex species, the so called non tuberculous mycobacteria (NTM), when cultured may represent environmental contaminants, colonization or, particularly in immunosuppressed or aging populations, represent genuine pathogens [3,4]. Not only in the Western world, but also in Africa the clinical importance of NTM disease seems highly underestimated, especially in settings where HIV is prevalent [5]. This increasing recovery of NTM with modern culture methods, as well as a likely increase in their importance in countries with a low burden of tuberculosis and possibly throughout the world [6], makes Mycobacterium species identification from clinical cultures increasingly important. Also, the treatment for *M. tuberculosis* complex infection requires at least 6 months and is specifically tailored to this organism. Disease caused by other (NTM) species requires different drug combinations [3]

and thus it is necessary to confirm the species of mycobacteria cultured in order to provide appropriate therapy.

PLOS one

Currently, speciation of cultured mycobacterial species is most effectively achieved by either a simple lateral flow test [7], which in fact only confirms the presence of a member of the M. tuberculosis complex. Alternatively, mycobacterial DNA amplification may be used, followed by a "macro array" hybridization assay, which can specifically identify a wide range of Mycobacterium species [8]. Although this method combines a high sensitivity and specificity, it requires an infrastructure for molecular assays and the tests are expensive and have a turn-around-time of several hours. Another system available for mycobacterial culture identification is the Gen-Probe AccuProbe method which uses species specific probes and a dedicated reader to identify cultures. This method is effective, but only a single probe can be tested in each assay and if negative the next most likely target must be tested increasing the cost of the identification [9]. Therefore, the popularity of this method is decreasing.

Here we propose an alternative concept with the potential to be simpler than an DNA amplification/macro array approach, but like the AccuProbe method not susceptible to the risk of PCR contamination and associated requirements for amplicon clean and exposed rooms, while providing much more information on species identification than a lateral flow assay [10,11]. To achieve this, we first inactivate and mechanically lyse an aliquot of a positive liquid culture in a buffer, which both denatures the protein present and stabilizes the mycobacterial RNA. This crude extract is then hybridized, in the RNA stabilizing buffer, to a bead array of eight paramagnetic xMAP Luminex beads (Liminex Corp., Texas, USA) coupled to oligonucleotides designed to capture 16S rRNA from specific Mycobacterium species and a secondary biotinilated reporter probe. A ninth bead, designed to non-specifically capture 23S rRNA from mycobacteria and related genera and its associated reporter probe, is also included as an internal control to confirm the presence of bacterial rRNA from a GC rich Gram variable genus. After hybridization the beads and captured RNA are magnetically concentrated, the lysis buffer is removed, replaced with analysis buffer and the signal developed. The processed beads are subsequently analysed using a MAG-PIXTM (Luminex Corp., Texas, USA) device and the hybridization profile used to identify the species present in the culture. The MAGPIX platform, which unlike previous Luminex readers is based on a CCD camera and LED illuminated flow cell rather that a flow cytometer, is a compact robust devise capable of identifying and quantifying the signal collected on an suspension of up to 50 different bead species. Beads can either be purchased pre coupled to assay reagents or, as in this report, coupled by the end user to develop novel assays.

The Mycobacterium genus consists of more than 100 recognized species. So in order to demonstrate the concept and develop and optimize protocols presented in this paper we developed a pilot test bead array to identify nine species. None the less this method successfully discriminated a useful range of species previously recovered from patient material and associated with different clinical significance [3]. This array could be easily extended with the inclusion of additional beads for other species. In combination with a newly available, robust reader for bead arrays we feel the rCapA presented could be particularly suitable for use in diagnostic mycobacteriology. The general approach of this assay could be applied in many other applications, such as, the direct capture of rRNA from other culture samples where speciation is required [12], rRNA capture from heavily contaminated material such as soil [10] or the direct capture of mRNA for expression analysis [11].

Methods

Strains used

We used a collection of strains available in our laboratory [11,13,14,15] for developing and testing the methodology (Table 1). Cultures were grown at 30°C for *M. marinum*, or 36°C for other *Mycobacterium* species to early log phase in 10 mL of Middlebrook 7H9 liquid media supplemented with OADC. Subsequently, we tested a series of 14 Mycobacteria Growth Indicator (MGIT) Tubes (BD NJ, USA), one uninoculated, cultured at the RIVM that were previously identified using reverse line blot tests (Hain Lifescience Nehren, Germany) for identification, which we used to check the suitability of our method for use in combination with the MGIT culture system (Table 2). Species other than mycobacteria were grown in nutrient broth in a shaking incubator, 200 rpm, overnight at 37°C.

Selection of initial set of probes

The probes selected are listed in Table 3 and the rCapA and predicted assembly of the probes, in the presence of selected mycobacterial rRNAs, is illustrated in Figure 1. The species Table 1. Middlebrook 7H9 cultures used in this study.

Strain	Species	Source (, reference)
Mtb1A	M. tuberculosis	RIVM myc5414
B15	M. tuberculosis	Resistant mutant of Mtb72, 13
R181	M. tuberculosis	Resistant mutant of Mtb72
Mtb72	M. tuberculosis	KIT subculture of ATCC35801
BCG_1	M. bovis	KIT sub culture of ATCC35733
M.afri	M. africarnum	RIVM myc5544
H15	M. tuberculosis	Resistant mutant of Mtb72, 15
H103	M. tuberculosis	Resistant mutant of Mtb72, 15
R190	M. tuberculosis	Resistant mutant of Mtb72
RB16	M. tuberculosis	Resistant mutant of Mtb72
RB19	M. tuberculosis	Resistant mutant of Mtb72
M mic1	M. microti	KIT collection
M avi1a	M. avium	KIT collection, 14
M avi15	M. malmoense*	KIT collection
M avi30	M. avium	RIVM 13528-1071
M avi60	M. avium	RIVM 6450-204
M xen6	M. xenopi	KIT collection, 14
M abs0278	M. abscessus	RIVM 1011100278
M abs2283	M. abscessus	RIVM 1011002328
M kan10	M. kansasii	KIT collection
M kan20	M. kansasii	KIT collection, 14
M scr1	M. scrofulacum	KIT collection, 14
M scr2	M. scrofulacum	KIT collection
M malm1	M. malmoense	KIT collection
M malm2328	M. malmoense	RIVM 1011002328
M malm0007	M. malmoense	RIVM 1011100007
M gor19	M. gordonae*	RIVM C809
Staph	Staphylococcus aureus	Clinical isolate 3341-7, 11
E. coli	Eschericia coli	KIT 4069-2
N ast1	Nocadia asteroids*	KIT collection isolated from human sputum
N ast2	Gordonia bronchialis*	KIT collection isolated from human sputum

KIT = Royal Tropical Institute, The Netherlands.

RIVM = Dutch Centre for Infectious Disease Control.

* = Species identification based on sequencing a portion of the 16S rRNA.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0032575.t001

specific probes tested are based on a variable genomic 16S rRNA region previously shown to be suitable for mycobacterial speciation [14]. Specifically, the mycobacterial probe used to identify the MTB complex in this study is closely related to a probe, pTub1, previously validated for use in a reverse hybridization assay [14]. The associated 16S biotin labelled reporter probe was selected to bind to the rRNA directly 5' of the capture probe, as this arrangement has been shown to result in the highest positive signal [10,11].

Probes selected to target mycobacteria of other species were selected to lie directly 5' of the MTB complex reporter probe binding to a hyper-variable region of the 16S rRNA (Figure 1).

Table 2. MGIT cultures	used.
------------------------	-------

Culture ID	Identification by GenoType Assay
Mtb 887	M. tuberculosis
Mtb 825	M. tuberculosis
M avi 569	M. avium
M avi 863	M. avium
M xen 346	M. xenopi
M abs 720	M. abscessus
M abs 742	M. abscessus
M kan 793	M. kansasii
M kan 884	M. kansasii
M mal 682	M. malmoense
M mar 674	M. marinum
M gor 587	M. gordonae
M gor 849	M. gordonae

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0032575.t002

This allowed the same reporter probe to be used for all bacterial species targeted.

In contrast, the 23S capture and reporter probe were selected so as to bind to a wide range of microorganisms under the hybridization conditions selected. This probe pair was designed to act as a positive control, to indicate the presence and approximate concentration of any rRNA present from the *Mycobacterium* or closely related genera.

The liquid bead array was prepared by covalently coupling each 5' amine modified oligonucleotides to a 5'12 carbon spacer (Table 3) and five thymine residues [16] to 1×10^{6} unique microspheres as described by the manufacturer (carbodiimide coupling of amine modified oligonucleotides to MagPlexTM-C magnetic carboxilated xMAP[®] microspheres, Luminex Corp.). Once prepared coupled microspheres were re-suspended in 200 ul of $1 \times TE$ buffer pH 8 and stored at 4°C in the dark until needed. These solutions of labeled beads were then combined to produce bead mixtures (liquid arrays) and suspended in 2×SSPE (0.3 M NaCl, 0.02 M NaH2PO4, 0.002 M EDTA pH 7.4) as required to a final concentration 45,000 of each bead species per ml. Aliquots of these mixtures were used in the assay with approximately 1,500 beads of each species used per reaction.

Bacterial killing and lysis

Mycobacterial cultures were heated to 80° C for 30 min prior to lysis. Bacteria (0.5 ml for Middlebrook culture and 4 ml for MGIT cultures) were then pelleted by spinning at 10,000 g for 5 min in a micro-centrifuge and the supernatant discarded. The pelleted cells were then re-suspended, at 1 to 2× original concentration for Middlebrook cultures and 20× concentration for MGIT cultures, in 5 M GTC buffer (5 M guanidine thiocyanate, 0.05 M Tris, 0.02 M EDTA pH 8, 1% triton X-100). Then to 150 ul aliquots of the concentrated cells 0.2 g of 0.1 mm diameter Zirconium/Silica beads (BioSpec products, Inc.) was added and the cells mechanically lysed by shaking in a Retch shaker (Retsch, Düsseldorf, Germany) at max speed (30 Hz) typically for 10 min but up to 60 min to investigate the effect of increased shaking.

Hybridisation reactions

To 100 μ l aliquots of the bacterial lysate prepared above 5 μ l of a 5 μ M solution of each labeled detector ologonucleotide and 33 μ l of a bead mixture (45,000 of each bead species/ml) was added. The mixture was then heated to 95°C for 3 min and held at 50°C with gentle shaking to allow the hybridization to proceed. For method development hybridization was allowed to continue overnight, but hybridization kinetics were studied by removing aliquots of selected samples at 1, 3, 5, and 18 hrs. After hybridization the samples were placed on a magnetic separator to concentrate the beads and the supernatant carefully removed. The beads were then immediately re-suspended in 40 μ l of 2×SSPE at 50°C and 5 ul of 5 μ M of each detector oligonucleotide. The beads were then held at 50°C for a further 30 min with gentle shaking.

Table 3. Probes used in this study.

	Coupled to MagPlex bead			
Capture probes	number	5' amine modification	GC (%)	BASES
16S rRNA				
M tuberculosis	27	5'-NH ₂ _C ₁₂ -tttttCTTTCCACCACAAGACATGCATCCCGT	43.8	32
M avium	14	5'-NH ₂ _C ₁₂ -tttttCTTTCCACCAGAAGACATGCGTCTTGA	43.8	32
M gordonae	72	5'-NH ₂ _C ₁₂ -tttttCTTTCCACCACAGGACATGTGTCCTGT	43.8	32
M kansasii	39	5'-NH ₂ _C ₁₂ -tttttCTTTCCACCACAAGGCATGCGCCAAGT	46.9	32
M xenopi	28	5'-NH ₂ _C ₁₂ -tttttCTTTCCACCACCCCACATGCGCAGAAT	46.9	32
M marinum	65	5'-NH2_C12-tttttCTTTCCACCACAGGACATGAATCCCGT	43.8	32
M abscessus	34	5'-NH ₂ _C ₁₂ -tttttCTTTGCACCACTCACCATGAAGTGTGT	40.6	32
M malmoense	44	5'-NH ₂ _C ₁₂ -tttttCTTTCCACCCCAAGGCATGCGCCTCGG	56.3	32
235 rRNA	-		-	-
23S+Control	26	5'-NH ₂ _C ₁₂ -tttttCCCTATTCAGACTCGCTTTCGCTGCGGCTA	48.6	35
Detector probes		3' Biotin modification		
16S Detector	In solution	GGTCCTATCCGGTATTAGACCCAGTTTC-3'-Biotin	50	28
23S Detector	In solution	CCCCACCCGGGTTAACCTCGCGACATG-3'-Biotin	66.7	27

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0032575.t003



16S Biotin labelled probe in soloution

Figure 1. Schematic alignment of the bead array and target rRNA assembly. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0032575.g001

After this secondary hybridization/wash the beads were magnetically concentrated on a magnetic separator and washed twice with 100 ul of $2 \times SSPE$ at 50°C. The beads were then resuspended in 40 µl $1 \times TMAC$ buffer (5 M tetramethilamonium chloride, 20% sarkosyl, 1 M Tris HCL pH 8) at 50°C along with 12 µl reporter mix (10 µg/ml streptavidin phycoerythrin conjugate in TMAC). Labeling was allowed to continue for 5 min in the MAGPIX instrument at 50°C then the signals were measured and the data exported to Excel 2010 (Microsoft, Seattle, USA).

In order to resolve unexpected results we PCR amplified and cycle sequenced a portion of the 16S rRNA gene which contained the region targeted in our assay, using primers 16SF-5'GRGRTACTCGAGTGGCGAAC3' and 16SR-5'GAC-GACGGGTAGCCGGCC.

Results

The rCapA designed gave a characteristic profile for members of the MTB complex which was easily recognized and independent of the signal strength; total signals in arbitrary units (AU) ranged from 827 to 15,047 resulting in a characteristic profile in each case (Figure 2). During all our experiments the MTB complex probe invariably gave a signal for the MTBC species tested that was at least as high as the 23S positive control bead. In all other species tested the signal from the MTB complex probe was either absent or dramatically lower (always less than half) the signal obtained from the 23S positive control (Figure 2). All members of the MTB complex tested also demonstrated some cross reaction with the *M. marinum* probe but this did not result in misidentification as the *M. marinum* strain tested gave only a weak signal with the MTB complex probe (Figure 2).

Effective lysis was essential to obtain good signal and complete lysis of the MTBC strains tested was not achieved using our lysis protocol (Figure 3). Reduced hybridisation time also resulted in a lower signal with 50% of the overnight signal obtained after between four and six hours (Figure 4).

Background negative control samples and unrelated genera tested, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli*, gave no detectable signal with the array. We also tested two mycolic acid containing, GC rich bacteria, identified based on partial 16S rRNA sequence as *Nocadia asteroides* and *Gordonia bronchialis*, which were originally obtained from sputum culture. These strains gave no detectable signal with the 16S rRNA probes, but yielded a clear signal with the 23S positive control bead (Figure 2).

One culture initially identified as *M. avium* (*M. avium* 15) gave a signal with the *M. malmoense* beads and the 23S positive control but no signal with the other beads including the *M. avium* probe. Because of this unexplained result we amplified and sequenced the respective part of the 16S rRNA of this strain and confirmed it had been misidentified in our collection [17]. Thus the *M. avium*, *M. xenopi*, *M. abscessus*, *M. kansasii*, and *M. marinum* probes exclusively reacted with members of their respective species (Figure 2). The *M. kansasii* probe also reacted with the two *M. scrofulaceum* isolates tested (Mscr1 and Mscr2, Figure 2). The *M. gordonae* probe we selected was unfortunately not functional (Figure 2).

Discussion

The recent availability of a robust and relatively cost effective reader for a 50 plex liquid bead array (Luminex MAGPIX) stimulated us to explore the possibility of using this system to identify *Mycobacterium* cultures based on a method previously applied to the identification of other species [10] and mRNA expression analysis in Staphylococci [11].We developed an eight bead array to capture and discriminate 16S rRNA from members of the *Mycobacterium* genus and a ninth bead to nonspecifically capture 23S rRNA from mycobacteria and related genera to act as a positive control for the presence of GC rich gram variable organisms.

The samples from MGIT cultures tested gave higher signals (Figure 2, lower section), in part presumably as these samples were more highly concentrated during processing (see methods) but also possibly due to the more rapid growth of the mycobacteria in this medium and associated increase in rRNA expression. As we did not have access to automated culture in our laboratory, these samples were not tested directly but transported to our laboratory after they were flagged as positive by the machine. Future work should include testing in a more realistic "diagnostic setting".

Our current rCapA is functional and reveals that in principle this is a highly efficient and robust approach for the identification

				Eluor		00 11					% Total Signal								
				riuore	escen		,				<10	%=VV	nite -			~30%	э=віа	СК	i
Bead	MTBc	Avium	xenopi	abscessus	kansasii	malmoense	marinum	gordonae	23S	MTBc	MTBc	xenopi	abscessus	kansasii	malmoense	marinum	gordonae	23S	Total signal
Middlebrook Culture																_			
M. tuberculosis Mtb1 A	205	53	46	43.5	52	50	148	91.5	139	I									827
M. tuberculosis Mtb1 B	673	47	50	45	51	49	489	218	455										2077
M. tuberculosis B15	404	41	44	42	49	45	328	139	260										1351.5
M. Tuberculocis R181	943	67	67.5	65	78	72	593	323	649										2857
M. tuberculosis Mtb1 C	297	139	139	123	141	138	238	174	235										1623.5
M. tuberculosis Mtb72	1281	136	148	127	168	137	967	556	823	<u>I</u>									4340
Mycobacterium BCG1	533	120	125	112	124	121	394	216	408	<u>I</u>									2151
M.africanrum	595	141	123	119	129	128	473	233	413	Ī									2354
M tuberculosis H15	1322	114	112	109	141	115	980	493	888	Ī								Į.,	4273.5
M tuberculosis H103	1254	148	172	171	177	161	905	458	812	ļ				11		Į.,		<u>.</u>	4258
M. tuberculosis R190	806	139	162	127	174	164	606	362	476							Į.,		÷.	3015.5
M tuberculosis RB16	846	107	120	106	119	111	607	298	465	ļ						Į.,		J.,	2778
M tuberculosis RB19	822	145	154	133	146	150	607	319	446							Į.,	ų.,	÷.	2921.5
M mic1	963	47	46	42	56	50	674	305	510		L.			11				Į.,	2692.5
M avi1a	139	198	135	122	133	132	132	134	226						Ū.			J.,	1351
M avi15	147	154	146	129	142	255	145	143	452		<u> </u>							Į.	1712.5
M avi30	146	385	135	115	133	129	137	128	446		÷.							Į.	1754
M avi60	150	418	146	124	142	137	142	136	512			ų.						Į.,	1906.5
M xen6	133	138	692	117	137	129	198	136	387			Į.,	ų.					<u>.</u>	2067
M abs0278	66	63	68	309	65	60	68	65	892				<u>.</u>					Į.	1656
M abs2283	105	97	102	228	101	86.5	102	94	825				5					Į.	1739.5
M abs0278	163	154	164	410	160	160	164	152	910				<u>.</u>					Į.,	2436.5
M abs2283	170	169	172	296	167	156	167	164	795					¥.,				Į.,	2256
M kan10	325	130	181	117	620	266	254	174	683	-				ł.,				Į.,	2749.5
M kan20	144	129	146	122	241	168	137	130	327					÷.				÷.	1543.5
M scr1	121	125	197	92	840	271	118	112	1239					ł.	1			Į.,	3114
	73	61	98	51	422	219	- 11	/3	644						Ь.				1/1/
M malm2222	71.5	60	70	58.5	71	204	73	69.5	596						н.			1	1278.5
M maim2328	78	73	75	66.5	<u> </u>	210	/8	79	603						н.			1	1339.5
M gor10	150	167	162	144	164	1/0	205	226	569						8		8		1054.5
Stoph	110	107	103	140	104	100	200	110	115					8			н.		1934.5
E coli	119	110	114	100	110	117	116	110	121				1				н.		1055.5
N ast1	103	108	101	85	106	95	101	102	679	- H.			Η.				н.	1	1480
N ast2	67	66.5	70	55	70	67	71	69	437				ii.	ii.					972.5
Background0	164	172	173	140	188	163	171	171	201			1	1	1			1	1	1542.5
MGIT Culture	104			140	100	100			201		1								1042.0
Mtb 887	4557	215	217	169	346	197	3702	1731	3915	Ī				11		Ī	0	Ĩ.	15047.5
Mtb 825	3180	189	196	172	276	194	2637	1260	2448	i			ii -	ï		Î.	Ĩ.	î.	10551.5
M avi 569	280	4006	233	201	227	209	211	233	4374	Ē.	Ĩ		ii -	ï		ī.	Ï.	Î.	9973
M avi 863	221	3161	193	171	189	178	177	195	3382	li	Î.		Ϊİ.	Ï			Ϊ.	1	7865.5
M xen 349	207	195	3212	177	208	195	598	203	2675	li	Ē	Ĩ	ii -	ii.			11	Î.	7668.5
M abs 720	287	276	309	2077	320	303	266	308	4041	l.		Ī	Ĩ	Ϊİ.				Î	8185.5
M abs 742	243	240	259	1626	266	438	243	243	3494				Ī					Ī	7051.5
M kan 793	220	173	394	180	3428	1216	187	189	5170				Ī	Ī				Ī.	11156.5
M kan 884	229	136	409	184	3166	1533	232	217	5767					Ī				Ī	11871
M mal 682	165	158	180	156	180	546	172	174	1774					Ĩ				Ī	3505
M mar 674	449	160	174	159	155	165	1211	228	1730									Ī.	4430.5
M gor 587	225	238	242	215	243	223	241	434	2562									Į.	4622
M gor 849	210	194	226	200	222	220	225	427	2972					II.				I	4895
Background0	170	147	185	150	176	169	165	171	183										1515

Figure 2. Results of hybridiations of, 31 Middlebrook cultures, 13 MGIT cultures, and 4 controls, to the 9 bead array. Fluorescence intensity in arbitrary units is indicated on the left hand side as determined by the MAGPIX machine, this data is visualized in the form of a "line probe assay" (Excel 2010, Microsoft, Seattle, USA) on the right hand side where the % of the total signal in each assay resulting from each specific bead is indicated as a grey scale (where <10% of total signal from a bead species is white, and >30% of total signal from a bead species is black). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0032575.g002





Figure 3. Effect of increasing lysis time on the yield of rRNA from an NTM (*M. avium***) and an** *M. tuberculosis* **culture.** Solid lines and filled triangles/diamonds indicate the species specific bead signal, dashed lines and filled squares represent the 23S rRNA control signal, empty triangles indicate the average signal from the beads targeting other species (error bars +- one standard deviation). Lysis was performed at 30 Hz in the presence of Zirconium beads for pulses of 10 minutes. Aliquots of the prepared mycobacteria were removed after each period of shaking collected and analysed together in the rRNA capture MAGPIX assay. Upper graph *M. avium* lower graph *M. tuberculosis.* doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0032575.g003



Figure 4. Effect of hybridisation time on the signal obtained for 3 independent experiments, error bars indicate +- one standard deviation.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0032575.g004

of mycobacteria. However, there is still considerable potential left to improve the performance of the assay. Most striking are the experiments on the effect of lysis time on the signal obtained. For the experiments reported in Figure 3, lysis by shaking was allowed to continue for 10 min at 30 Hz. Increasing the shaking time for a M. avium culture demonstrated lysis of this species was almost complete after 10 min (Figure 3, upper graph) and optimal lysis was achieved after approximately 20 min of shaking (2×10 min with a 5 min pause). Further shaking did not result in an increase in the signal but, importantly, also no decrease in signal. Thus, there is no evidence that prolonged shaking resulted in damage or loss of rRNA (Figure 3A). In contrast for an M. tuberculosis culture prolonged shaking resulted in a steady almost linear increase in the signal obtained (Figure 3, lower graph). This indicates that the lysis achieved for MTB complex cultures with our current protocol was incomplete and a more efficient lysis system would result in increased signal/sensitivity. Alternative lysis methods should be explored; other workers have for instance developed simple disposable lysis chambers [18,19] which would appear to be ideal for this type of assay.

We typically allowed hybridizations to continue overnight and analysed the results the next morning. It was also possible to perform the assay in one day, with a 4–5 hour hybridization step (Figure 4). Under these conditions approximately 60% of the overnight signal was obtained. The total signal obtained did not alter the interpretation of profiles obtained. For example, the first and second samples in Figure 2, *M. tuberculosis* Mtb1A and *M. tuberculosis* Mtb1B were hybridized for 4 hrs and 18 hrs, respectively.

A rule based and/or an automated species identification system is desirable and from these results appears realistic but a larger number of samples would need to be tested to develop and validate such an algorithm.

The *M. kansasii* probe also reacted with the two *M. scrofulaceum* isolates tested (Mscr1 and Mscr2, Figure 2), this was the result of an identical 16S rRNA sequence for the region targeted by the probe selected for this species. In order to discriminate these species a second region of the rRNA would need to be targeted. The *M. gordonae* probe we selected was unfortunately not functional (Figure 2) and 15 *M. gordonae* cultures tested all reacted only with the 23S positive control (results not shown). Thus, in common with most molecular assays not all probes designed *in silico* function in this assay even if the sequence is correct and probes must be experimentally screened for functionality.

Bacterial speciation is required when liquid culture is performed for tuberculosis diagnostics [2]. The rCapA presented here is particularly appealing for this purpose as unlike other "macro array" methods widely used in tuberculosis diagnostics [20] there is no need for a nucleic acid amplification/labelling step and associated need for stringent amplicon contamination controls. Combined with the recently developed robust liquid array readers we believe an expanded version of our array could considerably simplify the speciation of positive Mycobacterial cultures.

As a next step, extension and optimization of the panel of beads/probes and testing the performance on a larger collection of *Mycobacterium* isolates associated with disease and colonization or contamination should be undertaken. The analysis system used in this study is in principle capable of detecting 50 unique bead species although systems with even higher multiplexing capacity are available [21]. Our experiments also demonstrated that further optimization of the protocol can certainly streamline and increase the sensitivity of the rCapA. We regard it as unlikely that the

sensitivity could be increased sufficiently to reliably directly detect *Mycobacterium* species from clinical material using the bead array detection system, although, other detection methods are being developed and it is not totally inconceivable that the rapid developments in DNA and nano technology relating to detection and signal amplification may ultimately be able to achieve direct identification of bacteria in clinical material using this approach. These developments in molecular detection [22,23] deserve serious consideration by the microbial diagnostics community as and when they are reported.

The use of an amplification free system is potentially very robust utilizing mainly stable chemical reagents and is intrinsically resistant to amplicon contamination problems that have complicated the provision of amplification assays for infectious disease diagnostics where they are most needed. Finally, even moderate increases in sensitivity would allow RNA species other than the very highly expressed rRNA to be targeted e.g. highly expressed

References

- Dowdy DW, Lourenço MC, Cavalcante SC, Saraceni V, King B, et al. (2008) Impact and Cost-Effectiveness of Culture for Diagnosis of Tuberculosis in HIV-Infected Brazilian Adults. PLoS ONE 3: e4057.
- Anthony RM, Cobelens FGJ, Gebhard A, Klatser PR, Lumb R, et al. (2009) Liquid culture for Mycobacterium tuberculosis: proceed, but with caution. The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease 13: 1051–1053.
- van Ingen J, Bendien SA, de Lange WCM, Hoefsloot W, Dekhuijzen PNR, et al. (2009) Clinical relevance of non-tuberculous mycobacteria isolated in the Nijmegen-Arnhem region, The Netherlands. Thorax 64: 502–506.
- Hoefsloot W, Boeree MJ, van Ingen J, Bendien S, Magis C, et al. (2008) The rising incidence and clinical relevance of *Mycobacterium malmoense*: a review of the literature. The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease 12: 987–993.
- Corbett EL, Churchyard GJ, Hay M, Herselman P, Clayton T, et al. (1999) The Impact of HIV Infection on *Mycobacterium kansasii* Disease in South African Gold Miners. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 160: 10–14.
- O'Brien RJ, Geiter LJ, Snider DE, Jr. (1987) The epidemiology of nontuberculous mycobacterial diseases in the United States. Results from a national survey. Am Rev Respir Dis 135: 1007–14.
- Hillemann D, Rüsch-Gerdes S, Richter E (2005) Application of the Capilia TB assay for culture confirmation of Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex isolates. The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease 9: 1409–1411.
- Richter E, Rüsch-Gerdes S, Hillemann D (2006) Evaluation of the GenoType Mycobacterium Assay for Identification of Mycobacterial Species from Cultures. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 44: 1769–1775.
- Reisner BS, Gatson AM, Woods GL (1994) Use of Gen-Probe AccuProbes to identify Mycobaterium avium complex, Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex, M. kansasii, and M. gordonae. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 32: 2995–2998.
- Small J, Call DR, Brockman FJ, Straub TM, Chandler DP (2001) Direct Detection of 16S rRNA in Soil Extracts by Using Oligonucleotide Microarrays. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 67: 4708–4716.
- Anthony RM, Schuitema ARJ, Oskam L, Klatser PR (2005) Direct detection of Staphylococcus aureus mRNA using a flow through microarray. Journal of Microbiological Methods 60: 47–54.
- Anthony RM, Brown TJ, French GL (2000) Rapid diagnosis of bacteremia by universal amplification of 23S ribosomal DNA followed by hybridization to an oligonucleotide array. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 38: 781–788.
- Anthony RM, Schuitema ARJ, Bergval IL, Brown TJ, Oskam L, et al. (2005) Acquisition of rifabutin resistance by a rifampicin resistant mutant of

mRNA species such as rpoB mRNA [11,24] and thereby greatly extend the application of the rCapA by providing the potential to discriminate more closely related genetic clades, with identical 16S rRNA sequences and detect additional characteristics such as drug resistance.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Arend Kolk for preliminary work and encouragement to pursue this idea and the laboratory staff of the RIVM for preparing the cultures.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: RMA HdR. Performed the experiments: PGA HdR. Analyzed the data: RMA HdR PGA. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: DvS. Wrote the paper: RMA PGA DvS PRK.

Mycobacterium tuberculosis involves an unusual spectrum of mutations and elevated frequency. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob 4: 9.

- Kox LFF, van Leeuwen J, Kuijper S, Jansen HM, Kolk AHJ (1995) PCR Assay Based on DNA Coding for 16S rRNA for Detection and Identification of Mycobacteria in Clinical Samples. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 33: 3225–3233.
- Bergval I, Kwok B, Schuitema A, Kremer K, val Soolingen D, et al. (2012) Preexisting isoniazid resistance but not the genotype of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* drives rifampicin resistance codon preference *in vitro*. PLoS ONE 7: e29108.
- Brown TJ, Anthony RM (2000) The addition of low numbers of 3' thymine bases can be used to improve the hybridization signal of oligonucleotides for use within arrays on nylon supports. Journal of Microbiological Methods 42: 203–207.
- Schröder KH, Juhlin I (1977) Mycobacterium malmoense sp. nov. International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology 27: 241–246.
- Vandeventer PE, Weigel KM, Salazar J, Erwin B, Irvine B, et al. (2011) Mechanical Disruption of Lysis-Resistant Bacteria using a Miniature, Low Power, Disposable Device. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 49: 2533–2539.
- Boehme CC, Nabeta P, Hillemann D, Nicol MP, Shenai S, et al. (2010) Rapid Molecular Detection of Tuberculosis and Rifampin Resistance. N Engl J Med 363: 1005–1015.
- Brown TJ, Herrera-Leon L, Anthony RM, Drobniewski FA (2006) The use of macroarrays for the identification of MDR *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Journal of Microbiological Methods 65: 294–300.
- Abadia E, Zhang J, Ritacco V, Kremer K, Ruimy R, et al. (2011) The use of microbead-based spoligotyping for Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex to evaluate the quality of the conventional method: Providing guidelines for Quality Assurance when working on membranes. BMC Infectious Diseases 11: 110.
- Dirks RM, Pierce NA (2004) Triggered amplification by hybridization chain reaction. PNAS 101: 15275–15278.
- Michalet X, Pinaud FF, Bentolilal LA, Tsay JM, Doose S, et al. (2005) Quantum Dots for Live Cells, in Vivo Imaging, and Diagnostics. Science 307: 538–544.
- De Beenhouwer H, Lhiang Z, Jannes G, Mijs W, Machtelinckx L, et al. (1995) Rapid detection of rifampicin resistance in sputum and biopsy specimens from tuberculosis patients by PCR and line probe assay. Tubercle and Lung Disease 76: 425–430.