



## Sleep and Motor Learning: Implications for Physical Rehabilitation After Stroke

Christel Gudberg<sup>1,2\*</sup> and Heidi Johansen-Berg<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Oxford Centre for Functional MRI of the Brain (FMRIB), Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Oxford, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, UK, <sup>2</sup> Sleep and Circadian Neuroscience Institute (SCNi), Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Oxford, Sir William Dunn School of Pathology, Oxford, UK

Sleep is essential for healthy brain function and plasticity underlying learning and memory. In the context of physical impairment such as following a stroke, sleep may be particularly important for supporting critical recovery of motor function through similar processes of reorganization in the brain. Despite a link between stroke and poor sleep, current approaches to rehabilitative care often neglect the importance of sleep in clinical assessment and treatment. This review assimilates current evidence on the role of sleep in motor learning, with a focus on the implications for physical rehabilitation after stroke. We further outline practical considerations for integrating sleep assessment as a vital part of clinical care.

### OPEN ACCESS

#### Edited by:

Annette Sterr, University of Surrey, UK

#### Reviewed by:

Timo Partonen, National Institute for Health and Welfare, Finland Thien Thanh Dang-Vu, Concordia University and Institut Universitaire de Gériatrie de Montréal, Canada

#### \*Correspondence:

Christel Gudberg christel.gudberg@ndcn.ox.ac.uk

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Sleep and Chronobiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Neurology

Received: 31 July 2015 Accepted: 30 October 2015 Published: 24 November 2015

#### Citation:

Gudberg C and Johansen-Berg H (2015) Sleep and Motor Learning: Implications for Physical Rehabilitation After Stroke. Front. Neurol. 6:241. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2015.00241 Keywords: sleep, motor memory, consolidation, plasticity and learning, rehabilitation, motor recovery, stroke, aging

## INTRODUCTION

The adult brain is highly adaptable, even after injury it often exhibits an impressive capacity for reorganization. Activity in the brain during sleep is thought to be critically involved in supporting these processes of plasticity. Briefly, sleep can be thought of as a state of consciousness, or alternations in consciousness, which oscillates between states of reduced awareness of external real-world stimuli to a complete loss of consciousness (1). While the precise mechanisms have yet to be clearly defined, sleep has been associated with many important functions, including those of the immune and memory systems (2–5). In memory, sleep is consistently attributed a particularly prominent role in supporting time-sensitive processes associated with the consolidation of memories. Consolidation here refers to dynamic processes in the brain that occur after initial ("on-line") memory encoding takes place, such as when we practice a new skill. Subsequent ("off-line") mechanisms of consolidation of knowledge and long-term memory storage.

One reason memory consolidation may be particularly important in a clinical context is because of how it applies to neurological rehabilitation, such as motor recovery after lesion to the brain. Here, the primary aim of physical rehabilitation is to facilitate recovery of functional motor capacity after initial impairment. Another way to look at physical rehabilitation, therefore, is as a form of motor learning, or relearning, which in turn may tap into some of the same processes of memory formation and consolidation as other forms of procedural memory (6, 7). Consequently, experimental insights into processes in the brain that support motor memory are likely to have more wide-ranging application that may benefit understanding and development of useful strategies for improving long-term

1

rehabilitative outcomes in the clinic. The primary objective of this review is to provide an assimilation of current evidence on the role of sleep in motor learning and to identify specific factors of learning and consolidation that may have important implications for rehabilitation. For the purposes of this review, we will focus primarily on sleep-dependent motor memory with relevance to physical rehabilitation after stroke, although many of the discussion points included here will likely apply more broadly to other types of memory and rehabilitation. Meanwhile, what is some of the evidence linking sleep, in particular, to motor memory?

## SLEEP AND MOTOR LEARNING

After initial encoding, memory traces undergo further processing, which takes place after we are no longer engaged with the learning task or environment. These off-line processes aid in stabilizing, and making more robust, learnt material (8–10). Depending on the type of input, this consolidation period may offer additional performance gains, specifically after a period of sleep, that are not due to further practice. For example, after practicing a new motor skill, such as a short, explicit motor sequence (**Figure 1**), young, neurologically intact individuals consistently show significantly improved performance on this task after sleep compared to an equivalent period of wakefulness (11–13).

Meanwhile, consolidation processes, including those involving sleep, may be more dynamic than previously thought, and there are a number of factors inherent in the learning context or task that impact on the expression and magnitude of the post-encoding consolidation gains observed with sleep. These findings may consequently have significant implications for the efficacy and long-term clinical outcomes of rehabilitative training paradigms.

# Consolidation Depends on Content and Context

A growing number of studies suggest that individual properties inherent to a given task may rely on different off-line processes of memory consolidation. For example, simply being aware of the underlying regularities or patterns in a motor learning task (explicit memory) has been shown to require specifically a period of sleep for observable off-line gains, whereas the same task performed without conscious awareness of such patterns (implicit memory) has been associated with significant improvements following the simple passage of time, and not specifically a period of sleep (12). That implicit learning may be time- rather than sleep-dependent is also consistent with recent findings (14, 15). Even different properties within the same task might be processed differently off-line. For instance, while the spatial or goal-based component of a motor task required a post-training consolidation period of sleep for performance gains, the movement property of the same task-related behavior relied specifically on wakefulness, and not the simple passage of time or sleep, to elicit off-line improvements (16). Similar findings have also been reported more recently (17), showing that a nap preferentially enhanced the allocentric (spatial) representation of motor learning but that, in contrast, the egocentric (motor) component of the task was only maintained, without further gains, regardless of whether the consolidation period involved sleep or wakefulness. Moreover, sleep has also been shown to increase the probability of extracting explicit rule-based information during an implicit task, such as might occur in moments of insight (18), suggesting that it is possible to transition at least from implicit to explicit memory.

Although the exact processes whereby memories are consolidated remain unclear, it may be appropriate to think of a given motor task as generally involving a variety of components, rather than being purely implicit or explicit in content, and that sleep may facilitate a restructuring of such information in the brain as reflected in altered task-related behavior.

#### Interference

Contrary to early accounts of consolidation that stipulated a more rigid form of memory storage, accumulating evidence is now suggesting that memories may undergo several timesensitive stages of consolidation and reconsolidation. During this time, memory traces are highly labile and may once again be rendered susceptible to interference, even after initial memory consolidation has taken place (19–21). For example, behavioral interference of a motor memory may occur if an alternate motor





learning task is introduced shortly after training on an initial motor task (Figure 2A). Here, studies have tended to investigate the effects of this type of (retroactive) interference using two similar tasks (e.g., sequence learning) paired at different time intervals. However, retroactive interference may occur even in the case of two different motor-based tasks if, for example, both tasks are performed with the same limb [(22); for an account of retroactive effects of declarative memory on motor learning, see Brown and Robertson (23)]. This effect is differentiated from the (related) concept of contextual interference, which is thought to result from variable or interleaved practice during acquisition training, and which may actually increase levels of retention [(24, 25); further discussed in Section "Practice Structures"]. Classic studies of consolidation and reconsolidation (e.g., 21, 26) have elegantly demonstrated this retroactive disruption to be specific to the consolidation process (rather than to performance at immediate retest, which was not reduced after the second, interference task) as well as specific to sleep (not wake) consolidation. Interestingly, this effect was only observed for the accuracy of the performed motor task, but not for the speed of execution (21). The time-window of potential interference may, however, be short-lived and may be suspended following initial stabilization (robustness to interference), which has been shown to take effect within a few hours proceeding initial training (21, 26, 27), and possibly earlier if a short nap follows initial practice (28). However, even after a period of sleep consolidation, an initially stable memory may be interrupted if the memory is reactivated (e.g., through a brief retest) immediately before training on

another task (21). In other words, it is possible that merely reactivating an existing, stabilized motor memory facilitates a renewed labile period due to a process of destabilization, which under normal circumstances is usually followed by a form of reconsolidation or, if coupled with interference training, possibly degradation or complete extinction of the memory (21, 29). This dynamic consolidation process is likely a very useful mechanism of plasticity that allows the brain to continually revisit and update existing stored memories or representations in light of newly acquired information (20, 30). However, these findings may also have implications for the structure of learning paradigms in an applied setting, such as in the context of rehabilitation, particularly those involving training on tasks with different motor-based content in relatively close succession.

#### Selectivity

In addition to these time-sensitive phases, memory processing also appears to encompass highly selective mechanisms that have been shown to occur shortly after initial encoding as well as during subsequent sleep consolidation [(31, 32); **Figure 2B**]. For example, Wilhelm et al. (32) showed that different types of memories, including those formed during motor sequence learning, could be selectively enhanced during sleep if participants expected to be tested on the task at a later time, as compared with participants who did not expect to be retested on the task. Similar selective motor gains have been demonstrated for the anticipation of monetary reward at retest (31), and are consistent with selective reactivation processes thought to occur during sleep (33, 34).



FIGURE 2 | Factors influencing or interacting with processes of consolidation. Simplified sketch of specific variables that have been studied in the context of motor learning. (A) Pairing two motor tasks separated by a short time-interval (t) is associated with retroactive interference, and typical consolidation gains in performance may no longer be observed on the first task. (B) Expectation of future relevance or a monetary reward has been shown to elicit selective enhancement of specific (tagged, in black) memories during sleep. (C) Interleaved practice structures (black/white vertical) have also been associated with greater retention and transfer compared with blocked or massed practice (black solid). (D) On average, older adults show sleep-dependent motor memory consolidation on a whole-hand motor sequence task (Task B) but not on the same task requiring individuated finger movements to perform the sequence (Task A); adapted from (13). Green and red dots, respectively, denote the presence or absence of stabilization, improvement, or selective enhancement at retention testing.

These intriguing findings, although preliminary, indicate that it may be possible to pre-select particular motor memories for further processing during sleep, which consequently show benefits over and above 'untagged' memories. However, further work that elucidates the underlying mechanisms of selective enhancement is needed, and it remains unclear whether sleep replay is a causal mechanism for the selective gains observed after sleep consolidation. In addition, directed selectivity in memory processing may be particularly useful to further study in the context of rehabilitative therapy as a possible adjunct aid for augmenting the effects of training, for instance, by integrating aspects of physical training with cognitive components of perceptual saliency.

#### Practice Structures

Like other forms of real-world learning, rehabilitative motor training arguably has (at least) two desirable learning goals: long-term retention and transfer to other contexts and tasks (7, 35). However, unlike training paradigms associated with retroactive interference, combining different tasks in specific practice structures may actually offer benefits to learning outcomes. For instance, variable or interleaved practice has been shown to facilitate both improved retention and transfer (24, 36, 37) relative to other types of practice (e.g., massed or blocked; Figure 2C). This practice-dependent effect was initially conceptualized as 'contextual interference' (38), and may tap into specific latent dynamics associated with the learning/performance distinction (39, 40). Here, evidence hints at a seemingly paradoxical relationship between in-session performance gains and post-encoding processes of consolidation and learning. That is, while training paradigms such as massed motor practice often show immediate performance effects in the form of better within-session acquisition, such paradigms may counter-intuitively be less conducive to long-lasting memory retention and transfer (7, 35, 37). By contrast, variable practice, which may elicit more modest performance gains during training, has instead been associated with better stabilization (41), retention, and transfer (24, 36, 37) at subsequent retest sessions. In other words, maximizing performance within the training session may not be a useful marker of eventual learning. This is consistent with previous work showing no or an inverse correlation between in-session training gains and subsequent sleep-dependent improvements in performance (13, 42). Such practice-dependent dynamics have been demonstrated for a wide range of tasks, including motor skill and imagery (36, 37), for verbal domains (38), and even for the retention of surgical technical skills (43), suggesting that there may be wider implications for learning in different contexts including, for instance, mixed-content rehabilitative training.

Recent evidence has also shown a potential role for sleep consolidation in the processing of, at least, motor imagery with variable practice (37), and preliminary neuroimaging findings have implicated different cortical brain areas in the retention processes respectively supporting variable and constant practice structures (41). However, it is unclear what mechanisms, particularly relating to sleep, support the effects associated with variable practice, or how subtle differences in the timing of "interference" tasks (e.g., blocked sequential versus interleaved trials) may elicit seemingly dissociable effects on consolidation outcomes. Further studies are needed to clarify these specific mechanisms and to assess possible avenues for beneficial application in the clinic.

## **Mechanisms of Sleep Consolidation**

Although the exact neural mechanisms of sleep that support the different phases and types of memory consolidation remain unclear, it is thought that these occur in part through fundamental regulatory processes that ensure cellular homeostasis and the integration of information in the brain. This may occur through processes of desaturation of synaptic potentiation that accumulates during active wakefulness (44, 45). This downscaling, in turn, is thought to promote increased functional efficiency and signal-to-noise ratio in neural environments, thereby supporting memory processing and information transfer in the brain (46, 47). Sleep slow wave activity is thought to be one of the candidate oscillatory mechanisms underlying these essential processes, which, in the human electroencephalogram recorded from the scalp, is reflected primarily in the low-frequency, high-amplitude activity (<4 Hz) characteristic of deeper stages of sleep. This activity is greatest in the early cycles of the night and decreases as sleep progresses, and is thought to be a marker of sleep need (48, 49). Moreover, studies have shown that markers of synaptic potentiation, for example, following motor learning, are associated with a subsequent increase in sleep slow wave activity in both animals (50) and humans (48, 49). Interestingly, findings suggest that local changes in synaptic density due to learning may also lead to site-specific increases in slow wave activity (51). However, in addition to these important neural regulatory processes, sleep may also occupy a more "active" role in memory consolidation.

According to the standard model of systems consolidation, sleep supports a process whereby memory traces are reprocessed and transferred across distributed networks via cortico-hippocampal projections (52, 53). For example, several studies have demonstrated reactivations of neural firing patterns during sleep that were initially activated during wakeful learning (54–57). Moreover, activity that is typically associated with increased arousal states, such as learning (58), has also been shown to be highly prevalent during sleep (59). The presence of "active" states during sleep is also consistent with neuroimaging findings showing sleep reactivation of the same brain areas that were engaged during initial motor skill encoding (60). Several electroencephalographic (EEG) signatures have been linked with these active consolidation processes during sleep, including high-frequency activity such as spindles and sharp-wave ripples, which are thought to be temporally coupled to the depolarizing phase of the sleep slow oscillation (61, 62). Spindle activity has also been associated more specifically with behavioral gains in motor sequence performance following sleep (63, 64).

Interestingly, recent studies have demonstrated that it may be possible to experimentally induce memory reactivation during sleep by, for instance, presenting a sensory cue that was initially introduced during skill acquisition. This pairing was subsequently associated with significant post-sleep performance gains (2, 65). Cued reactivation during sleep has also been linked with an increase in explicit knowledge (66). Moreover, applying transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) to the premotor cortex during sleep, using a paradigm thought to enhance excitability, was found to elicit a post-sleep improvement in the recall of the practiced motor sequence (67).

Collectively, there is growing evidence supporting a role of sleep in both up- and down-regulating the expression of plasticityrelated activity in memory consolidation. Such plasticity-evoked regulations could map onto, or partially underlie, proposed sleep functions including "active" consolidation (e.g., through processes of synaptic potentiation or reactivation) and homeostatic regulatory processes (e.g., through mechanisms of synaptic desaturation). Meanwhile, how are motor memories affected when sleep changes significantly over time, such as with aging?

## **Age-Related Changes**

It is well established that older age is associated with changes in sleep architecture. Studies highlight a multiplicity of age-related variations [for meta-analysis, see Ohayon et al. (68)], including time spent in different sleep stages, such as increased stage 1 and 2 non-rapid-eye-movement (NREM) sleep, reduced slow wave sleep and overall sleep efficiency [including greater fragmentation (69, 70)], decreased incidence of sleep spindles (71, 72), as well as more indirect age-related differences in sleep processes in the form of altered circadian cycles, brain structure and function. For instance, neuroimaging evidence suggests that structural changes in the brain with older age, such as atrophy in the medial prefrontal cortex, could influence key sleep architecture, including non-REM slow waves (73). This is further supported by cross-sectional findings showing gradual decrements in k-complex morphology across the lifespan (74), as well as results suggesting a significant association between cortical atrophy and poor sleep quality (75).

Moreover, older age has also been associated with specific memory deficits related to the sleep-dependent motor gains typically observed in younger adults (76-78). It is possible that changes to sleep architecture with aging are responsible for such impairments in consolidation. However, it may also be that additional age-related factors contribute to observed deficits. For example, accumulating evidence suggests that fine motor ability deteriorates markedly with older age (79-82). Importantly, most tasks adopted to assess sleep-dependent motor consolidation in older age-groups have tended to rely entirely on fine motor skill, such as rapid individuated finger movements to execute a repeating number sequence (Figure 2D). We recently showed that subtle changes to individual task demands, which reduce this fine motor requirement, in turn, reveal significant sleep-dependent gains in older adults (13). Therefore, there may be multiple factors, for example, relating to motor control and sleep remodeling, that interact with consolidation processes in aging.

In the context of stroke rehabilitation, age-related changes in motor ability and sleep architecture could have important implications for physical rehabilitation in older patient groups and the efficacy of therapeutic training paradigms.

## SLEEP AFTER STROKE

The concepts of learning and consolidation explored here have further implications in clinical settings. For example, the role of sleep in consolidating motor memories may change dramatically after stroke-related brain damage, which in turn may have consequences for movement rehabilitation, which depends on motor learning and consolidation. In contrast to young, neurologically intact adults, who generally show sleep-dependent effects for explicit motor memories and time-dependent effects for implicit motor learning (12, 13), patients who had suffered a stroke required specifically a period of sleep to consolidate both implicit and explicit motor learning (83).

There are many possible reasons for altered consolidation of motor memories after stroke, including sleep disruption and altered learning processes. Sleep problems are highly prevalent following both ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke, affecting up to 78% of stroke patients (84). These include insomnia (85) and sleep-related breathing disturbances (86, 87), as well as daytime impairments associated with post-stroke fatigue (88). Sleeprelated breathing disturbances, such as obstructive sleep apnea, are the most prevalent sleep disorders following stroke (84), and some form of sleep apnea may be present in as many as 50-70% of stroke survivors (87). Multiple direct and indirect mechanisms may underlie stroke-related sleep disorders, including changes to endogenous circadian control of essential sleep-wake functions, medication-dependent influences on sleep architecture, as well as increased daytime inactivity and napping. While sleep-related problems are commonly reported during the acute phase after stroke, there is also increasing evidence of disordered sleep-wake patterns in later, more chronic stages post-stroke. For example, in a cross-sectional sample of patients assessed 1 to 15 years following first-ever stroke, self-reported sleep quality was found to be relatively consistent regardless of time since stroke, whereas daytime sleepiness worsened with advanced chronicity (89). Such sleep-wake disruptions after stroke have, in turn, been linked with significant cognitive and attentional deficits (90, 91) as well as adverse health and clinical outcomes (87, 92-94). Therefore, it is likely that sleep-related problems following stroke may have an impact not only on processes of memory consolidation during sleep but also on the initial acquisition and encoding of new memories.

Moreover, both acute and chronic stage stroke have been associated with more specific imbalances in both the sleep and wake EEG relative to healthy controls. For instance, research suggests a higher prevalence of both slower and faster frequency oscillations (specifically in the delta, theta, and sigma ranges) during sleep at the infarct site following a stroke but also more widely across the affected hemisphere (95). In addition, an increase in slower frequencies have also been observed in the awake EEG of both acute and chronic stroke patients (95, 96), which may reflect an increased sleep need (97-99). This is consistent with common reports of daytime symptoms of sleepiness post-stroke (89, 95), although daytime sleepiness was not found to correlate with this low-frequency activity in the chronic phase post-stroke (96). Moreover, this slowing of neural activity during wakefulness is also in line with observed patterns of activity following sleep deprivation in non-clinical samples (100, 101) and has, in turn, been associated with severely reduced task performance as well as ability to form new memories (101–103). Reported increases in slow frequency activity during wakeful resting state after stroke may also be indicative of significant maladaptive responses after injury, and the up-regulation of wakeful delta activity has, for example, previously been associated with encephalopathy as well as structural brain changes such as reduced white matter volume (104, 105). Therefore, altered electrical activity in the brain following stroke may partly reflect processes of plasticity and homeostatic regulation, but also potentially more maladaptive local processes in response to brain damage.

Given the relatively wide-ranging influences that stroke may have on sleep microarchitecture, it is conceivable that such changes may have further impact on both skill acquisition and consolidation processes during physical recovery. Although evidence assessing the impact of sleep quality on clinical outcomes after stroke is scarce, a recent study in stroke survivors found that higher slow wave activity in the sleep EEG correlated with poorer functional recovery in the chronic stage of stroke (95). In another study, low subjective feelings of recovery post-stroke were correlated with poorer sleep scores on the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (106). The link between sleep and stroke outcomes is consistent with preliminary research investigating ischemic stroke models in rats suggesting that sleep deprivation may have a significant detrimental effect on motor recovery (108). In humans, treatment of sleep problems such as obstructive sleep apnea with continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) was associated with both improved motor recovery and reduced daytime sleepiness in stroke patients (107). However, further studies in human patient groups are needed to assess the relative contributions of sleep problems and microarchitecture on clinical outcomes after stroke rehabilitation.

During initial memory encoding, there is also evidence to suggest that the damaged brain may respond differently to the provision of explicit information in the context of motor training. For instance, retention on an implicit motor sequence task was impaired in stroke patients, but not healthy controls, when paired with explicit information about the underlying sequence (109, 110). Interference effects between declarative and procedural components during rehabilitative training have been associated with altered brain activity patterns in stroke patients (111). However, such task-related impairments may crucially depend on underlying patient-specific factors such as lesion site, severity, or time after stroke. For recovery more broadly, individual differences in brain and behavior may also significantly influence the long-term clinical efficacy achieved with rehabilitation training. Neuroimaging results further suggest that functional activation changes, particularly in the sensorimotor regions of the brain, after stroke rehabilitative therapy may be able to explain some of the observed variance in motor recovery (112). This is consistent with research in healthy adults, suggesting that individual differences in brain structure and function significantly predict differences in behavior and motor skill learning (113, 114). However, neither corticospinal tract integrity nor lesion volume predicted rehabilitation gains in motor performance in chronic stroke patients (115). Meanwhile, evidence suggests that timedependent factors may interact with individual differences, with potential implications for motor recovery. For example, poorer clinical outcome scores in the early, but not late, stages post-stroke

were associated with recruitment of brain areas including the contralesional cerebellum and ipsilesional premotor cortex during an isometric hand-grip task (116). Together, these findings highlight the importance of individual differences and temporal factors in recovery pathways. However, it is unknown how these factors influence, or interact with, altered sleep processes post-stroke.

In addition, it is important to note that sleep disorders may also pre-date stroke onset as both obstructive sleep apnea and habitual snoring have been attributed as independent risk factors in stroke pathology (117). Therefore, an important challenge for future studies will be to dissociate the specific, directional effects of stroke on sleep-related disorders and microarchitecture from any pre-existing conditions or sleep disorder. Evidence taking into account sleep disturbances following other types of brain damage, such as traumatic brain injury, may here provide useful clues to further dissociate specific mechanisms governing these relationships. Investigations of sleep and consolidation after stroke are further challenged by potential limiting factors and confounds, such as the relatively extensive list of post-stroke medications thought to influence sleep-wake patterns and specific sleep architecture, as well as difficulties in directly comparing between highly diverse patient groups, lesion site and volume, or time post-stroke. Further studies are needed that carefully address these challenges and to elucidate the extent to which variables that are shown to influence consolidation in healthy groups (e.g., interference, practice structures) translate to specific learning outcomes following brain damage.

## **Practical Considerations**

Sleep has been shown to benefit many processes of learning and memory, and may also have an important role in the homeostatic regulation of neural mechanisms. Meanwhile, brain damage such as stroke has been associated with a number of sleep–wake disorders, which in turn may have detrimental effects on both shortand long-term recovery. Therefore, integrating sleep assessments as a routine part of rehabilitative care is likely to have significant implications for stroke recovery and long-term disability.

Here, the availability of relatively cost-effective and noninvasive devices, such as actigraphy monitoring, may provide a practical solution for routine or longer-term sleep assessment. These can often be worn continuously throughout the day and night with minimal intrusion to the patient or treatment course. However, such devices provide only indirect measurements of sleep by analyzing activity-rest accelerometer data. For more direct and in-depth measurements of sleep, EEG remains the gold-standard technique, often as a part of full polysomnographic assessment. In addition, strategies should be considered that promote better sleep on the ward, such as strategies for noise reduction during sleep (118), ensuring chronobiologically appropriate light levels during the daytime and evening (119), and potential use of other approaches to improving sleep such as cognitivebehavioral and/or pharmacotherapy in stroke patients suffering from comorbid sleep-wake disturbances (117). Moreover, given the high prevalence of sleep-related breathing disturbances following stroke (87), a combination of routine monitoring (e.g.,

with respirography) and the provision of suitable treatment options (e.g., with CPAP) may here help to significantly improve both sleep and clinical outcomes of patients with moderate to severe sleep apnea (117). However, an ideal approach would consider these and other sleep-related factors within an integrated dynamic care pathway that is both tailored to the individual patient as well as appropriately updated throughout the treatment course. It will be useful to further consider whether such tailored stroke rehabilitation programs may help to alleviate individual symptoms and rebalance sleep–wake dynamics (e.g., by reducing daytime sleepiness or any disproportionate representation of low-frequency neural activity during wakefulness).

In the context of physical rehabilitation training, experimental evidence suggests that motor learning and retention may be sensitive to particular training structures, such as variable and massed practice. Here, sleep may also offer intermediate stabilization of new learning by further protecting against retroactive interference, and may even serve a more active role in consolidation by, for example, drawing on concepts of selectivity or cued reactivation during training and sleep. In addition to maximizing the quality of night-time sleep, the effects of encouraging naps following rehabilitation settings could be tested, given the beneficial effects of even brief periods of sleep following motor learning [e.g., (120)]. However, these effects have primarily been studied in non-clinical settings. It will, therefore, be important to determine how the variables of practice structure, sleep quality, and sleep timing interact with memory consolidation in the clinic, and whether there are stroke-specific factors that may influence these processes such as, for example, greater susceptibility to the influence of explicit information during implicit motor training.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Stickgold R, Walker MP, editors. *The Neuroscience of Sleep*. San Diego: Academic Press (2010).
- Antony JW, Gobel EW, O'Hare JK, Reber PJ, Paller KA. Cued memory reactivation during sleep influences skill learning. *Nat Neurosci* (2012) 15(8):1114–6. doi:10.1038/nn.3152
- Bryant PA, Trinder J, Curtis N. Sick and tired: does sleep have a vital role in the immune system? *Nat Rev Immunol* (2004) 4(6):457–67. doi:10.1038/ nri1369
- Diekelmann S, Born J. The memory function of sleep. Nat Rev Neurosci (2010) 11(2):114–26. doi:10.1038/nrn2762
- Fondell E, Axelsson J, Franck K, Ploner A, Lekander M, Bälter K, et al. Short natural sleep is associated with higher T cell and lower NK cell activities. *Brain Behav Immun* (2011) 25(7):1367–75. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2011.04.004
- Johansen-Berg H, Scholz J, Stagg CJ. Relevance of structural brain connectivity to learning and recovery from stroke. *Front Syst Neurosci* (2010) 4:146. doi:10.3389/fnsys.2010.00146
- Krakauer JW. Motor learning: its relevance to stroke recovery and neurorehabilitation. *Curr Opin Neurol* (2006) **19**(1):84–90. doi:10.1097/01. wco.0000200544.29915.cc
- Brawn TP, Fenn KM, Nusbaum HC, Margoliash D. Consolidation of sensorimotor learning during sleep. *Learn Mem* (2008) 15(11):815–9. doi:10.1101/ lm.1180908
- Diekelmann S, Wilhelm I, Born J. The whats and whens of sleep-dependent memory consolidation. *Sleep Med Rev* (2009) 13(5):309–21. doi:10.1016/j. smrv.2008.08.002
- Rasch B, Born J. About sleep's role in memory. *Physiol Rev* (2013) 93(2):681– 766. doi:10.1152/physrev.00032.2012

## CONCLUSION

Converging evidence suggests that memory consolidation is dynamic and complex, capable of achieving various memory states, including stabilization, enhancement, reactivation, as well as reconsolidation over time. In addition to supporting these processes of memory formation, sleep is also a critical component for enabling the acquisition of new memories. This review has outlined a selection of candidate processes that have been explored in the sleep and motor learning literature, and which may have important implications for physical rehabilitation training. Stroke is here a useful model in which to situate such a discussion, however, it is important to bear in mind that different neurological conditions may have very different effects on sleep, learning, and consolidation. Moreover, while we have primarily focused on sleep consolidation in the context of motor-based learning, these concepts likely have broader application to other types of learning and rehabilitation. Further studies in clinical settings are needed to examine the role of sleep quality on rehabilitation training and whether the beneficial effects of sleep consolidation translate to meaningful clinical outcomes in rehabilitative care. However, increasingly incorporating sleep as an integral part of clinical assessments and training paradigms will undoubtedly have important implications for rehabilitation outcomes.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Wellcome Trust and the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Oxford Biomedical Research Centre based at Oxford University Hospitals NHS Trust, University of Oxford (090955/Z/09/Z, A93182, A91102 to HJB).

- Doyon J, Bellec P, Amsel R, Penhune V, Monchi O, Carrier J, et al. Knitting (review) – contributions of the basal ganglia and functionally related brain structures to motor learning. *Behav Brain Res* (2009) **199**(1):61–75. doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2008.11.012
- Robertson EM, Pascual-Leone A, Press DZ. Awareness modifies the skill-learning benefits of sleep. *Curr Biol* (2004) 14(3):208–12. doi:10.1016/j. cub.2004.01.027
- Gudberg C, Wulff K, Johansen-Berg H. Sleep-dependent motor memory consolidation in older adults depends on task demands. *Neurobiol Aging* (2015) 36(3):1409–16. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2014.12.014
- Al-Sharman A, Siengsukon CF. Time rather than sleep appears to enhance off-line learning and transfer of learning of an implicit continuous task. *Nat Sci Sleep* (2014) 6:27–36. doi:10.2147/NSS.S53789
- Nemeth D, Janacsek K, Londe Z, Ullman MT, Howard DV, Howard JH Jr. Sleep has no critical role in implicit motor sequence learning in young and old adults. *Exp Brain Res* (2010) 201(2):351–8. doi:10.1007/ s00221-009-2024-x
- Cohen DA, Pascual-Leone A, Press DZ, Robertson EM. Off-line learning of motor skill memory: a double dissociation of goal and movement. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2005) 102(50):18237-41. doi:10.1073/ pnas.0506072102
- Albouy G, Fogel S, Pottiez H, Nguyen VA, Ray L, Lungu O, et al. Daytime sleep enhances consolidation of the spatial but not motoric representation of motor sequence memory. *PLoS One* (2013) 8(1):e52805. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0052805
- Wagner U, Gais S, Haider H, Verleger R, Born J. Sleep inspires insight. Nature (2004) 427(6972):352–5. doi:10.1038/nature02223
- Nader K. Neuroscience: re-recording human memories. *Nature* (2003) 425(6958):571–2. doi:10.1038/425571a

- Stickgold R, Walker MP. Sleep-dependent memory triage: evolving generalization through selective processing. *Nat Neurosci* (2013) 16(2):139–45. doi:10.1038/nn.3303
- Walker MP, Brakefield T, Hobson JA, Stickgold R. Dissociable stages of human memory consolidation and reconsolidation. *Nature* (2003) 425(6958):616–20. doi:10.1038/nature01930
- Balas M, Roitenberg N, Giladi N, Karni A. When practice does not make perfect: well-practiced handwriting interferes with the consolidation phase gains in learning a movement sequence. *Exp Brain Res* (2006) **178**(4):499–508. doi:10.1007/s00221-006-0757-3
- Brown RM, Robertson EM. Off-line processing: reciprocal interactions between declarative and procedural memories. *J Neurosci* (2007) 27(39):10468–75. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2799-07.2007
- Shea JB, Morgan RL. Contextual interference effects on the acquisition, retention, and transfer of a motor skill. *J Exp Psychol Hum Learn Mem* (1979) 5(2):179–87.
- Simon DA, Bjork RA. Metacognition in motor learning. J Exp Psychol Learn Mem Cogn (2001) 27(4):907–12. doi:10.1037/0278-7393.27.4.907
- Brashers-Krug T, Shadmehr R, Bizzi E. Consolidation in human motor memory. *Nature* (1996) 382(6588):252–5. doi:10.1038/382252a0
- 27. Shadmehr R, Brashers-Krug T. Functional stages in the formation of human long-term motor memory. *J Neurosci* (1997) **17**(1):409–19.
- Korman M, Doyon J, Doljansky J, Carrier J, Dagan Y, Karni A. Daytime sleep condenses the time course of motor memory consolidation. *Nat Neurosci* (2007) 10(9):1206–13. doi:10.1038/nn1959
- Stickgold R, Walker MP. Sleep-dependent memory consolidation and reconsolidation. Sleep Med (2007) 8(4):331–43. doi:10.1016/j.sleep.2007.03.011
- Dudai Y. The restless engram: consolidations never end. Annu Rev Neurosci (2012) 35(1):227–47. doi:10.1146/annurev-neuro-062111-150500
- Fischer S, Born J. Anticipated reward enhances offline learning during sleep. J Exp Psychol Learn Mem Cogn (2009) 35(6):1586–93. doi:10.1037/ a0017256
- Wilhelm I, Diekelmann S, Molzow I, Ayoub A, Mölle M, Born J. Sleep selectively enhances memory expected to be of future relevance. *J Neurosci* (2011) 31(5):1563–9. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3575-10.2011
- Feld GB, Diekelmann S. Sleep smart optimizing sleep for declarative learning and memory. *Cogn Sci* (2015) 6:622. doi:10.3389/ fpsyg.2015.00622
- Oudiette D, Antony JW, Creery JD, Paller KA. The role of memory reactivation during wakefulness and sleep in determining which memories endure. *J Neurosci* (2013) 33(15):6672–8. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5497-12.2013
- Schmidt RA, Bjork RA. New conceptualizations of practice: common principles in three paradigms suggest new concepts for training. *Psychol Sci* (1992) 3(4):207–17. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9280.1992.tb00029.x
- Albaret JM, Thon B. Differential effects of task complexity on contextual interference in a drawing task. *Acta Psychol* (1998) 100:9–24. doi:10.1016/ S0001-6918(98)00022-5
- Debarnot U, Abichou K, Kalenzaga S, Sperduti M, Piolino P. Variable motor imagery training induces sleep memory consolidation and transfer improvements. *Neurobiol Learn Mem* (2015) 119:85–92. doi:10.1016/j. nlm.2014.12.010
- Battig WF. Intratask interference as a source of facilitation in transfer and retention. In: Thompson RF, Voss JF, editors. *Topics in Learning and Performance*. New York: Academic Press (1972). p. 131–59.
- Cahill L, McGaugh JL, Weinberger NM. The neurobiology of learning and memory: some reminders to remember. *Trends Neurosci* (2001) 24(10):578– 81. doi:10.1016/S0166-2236(00)01885-3
- Tolman EC. Purposive Behavior in Animals and Men. Berkeley: University of California Press (1951).
- Kantak SS, Sullivan KJ, Fisher BE, Knowlton BJ, Winstein CJ. Neural substrates of motor memory consolidation depend on practice structure. *Nat Neurosci* (2010) 13(8):923–5. doi:10.1038/nn.2596
- Walker MP, Brakefield T, Seidman J, Morgan A, Hobson JA, Stickgold R. Sleep and the time course of motor skill learning. *Learn Mem* (2003) 10(4):275–84. doi:10.1101/lm.58503
- Goldin SB, Horn GT, Schnaus MJ Jr, Grichanik M, Ducey AJ, Nofsinger C, et al. FLS skill acquisition: a comparison of blocked vs interleaved practice. J Surg Educ (2014) 71(4):506–12. doi:10.1016/j.jsurg.2014.01.001

- Tononi G, Cirelli C. Sleep and synaptic homeostasis: a hypothesis. Brain Res Bull (2003) 62(2):143–50. doi:10.1016/j.brainresbull.2003.09.004
- Tononi G, Cirelli C. Sleep and the price of plasticity: from synaptic and cellular homeostasis to memory consolidation and integration. *Neuron* (2014) 81(1):12–34. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2013.12.025
- Hashmi A, Nere A, Tononi G. Sleep-dependent synaptic down-selection (II): single-neuron level benefits for matching, selectivity, and specificity. *Front Neurol* (2013) 4:148. doi:10.3389/fneur.2013.00148
- Hill S, Tononi G, Ghilardi MF. Sleep improves the variability of motor performance. *Brain Res Bull* (2008) 76(6):605–11. doi:10.1016/j. brainresbull.2008.02.024
- Hanlon EC, Faraguna U, Vyazovskiy VV, Tononi G, Cirelli C. Effects of skilled training on sleep slow wave activity and cortical gene expression in the rat. *Sleep* (2009) 32(6):719–29.
- Landsness EC, Crupi D, Hulse BK, Peterson MJ, Huber R, Ansari H, et al. Sleep-dependent improvement in visuomotor learning: a causal role for slow waves. Sleep (2009) 32(10):1273–84.
- Meerlo P, de Bruin EA, Strijkstra AM, Daan S. A social conflict increases EEG slow-wave activity during subsequent sleep. *Physiol Behav* (2001) 73(3):331–5. doi:10.1016/S0031-9384(01)00451-6
- Huber R, Ghilardi MF, Massimini M, Tononi G. Local sleep and learning. Nature (2004) 430(6995):78–81. doi:10.1038/nature02663
- Girardeau G, Benchenane K, Wiener SI, Buzsáki G, Zugaro MB. Selective suppression of hippocampal ripples impairs spatial memory. *Nat Neurosci* (2009) 12(10):1222–3. doi:10.1038/nn.2384
- Genzel L, Kroes MCW, Dresler M, Battaglia FP. Light sleep versus slow wave sleep in memory consolidation: a question of global versus local processes? *Trends Neurosci* (2014) 37(1):10–9. doi:10.1016/j.tins.2013.10.002
- 54. Ji D, Wilson MA. Coordinated memory replay in the visual cortex and hippocampus during sleep. *Nat Neurosci* (2007) **10**(1):100–7. doi:10.1038/nn1825
- Lee AK, Wilson MA. Memory of sequential experience in the hippocampus during slow wave sleep. *Neuron* (2002) 36(6):1183–94. doi:10.1016/ S0896-6273(02)01096-6
- Peigneux P, Laureys S, Fuchs S, Collette F, Perrin F, Reggers J, et al. Are spatial memories strengthened in the human hippocampus during slow wave sleep? *Neuron* (2004) 44(3):535–45. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2004.10.007
- Wilson M, McNaughton B. Reactivation of hippocampal ensemble memories during sleep. Science (1994) 265(5172):676–9. doi:10.1126/ science.8036517
- Kahana MJ, Sekuler R, Caplan JB, Kirschen M, Madsen JR. Human theta oscillations exhibit task dependence during virtual maze navigation. *Nature* (1999) **399**(6738):781–4. doi:10.1038/21645
- Cantero JL, Atienza M, Stickgold R, Kahana MJ, Madsen JR, Kocsis B. Sleepdependent theta oscillations in the human hippocampus and neocortex. J *Neurosci* (2003) 23(34):10897–903.
- Maquet P, Laureys S, Peigneux P, Fuchs S, Petiau C, Phillips C, et al. Experience-dependent changes in cerebral activation during human REM sleep. Nat Neurosci (2000) 3(8):831–6. doi:10.1038/81750
- Mölle M, Yeshenko O, Marshall L, Sara SJ, Born J. Hippocampal sharp wave-ripples linked to slow oscillations in rat slow-wave sleep. *J Neurophysiol* (2006) 96(1):62–70. doi:10.1152/jn.00014.2006
- Staresina BP, Bergmann TO, Bonnefond M, van der Meij R, Jensen O, Deuker L, et al. Hierarchical nesting of slow oscillations, spindles and ripples in the human hippocampus during sleep. *Nat Neurosci* (2015) 18(11):1679–86. doi:10.1038/nn.4119
- 63. Barakat M, Doyon J, Debas K, Vandewalle GG, Morin A, Poirier G, et al. Fast and slow spindle involvement in the consolidation of a new motor sequence. *Behav Brain Res* (2011) **217**(1):117–21. doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2010.10.019
- Morin A, Doyon J, Dostie V, Barakat M, Hadj Tahar A, Korman M, et al. Motor sequence learning increases sleep spindles and fast frequencies in post-training sleep. *Sleep* (2008) 31(8):1149–56.
- Rasch B, Büchel C, Gais S, Born J. Odor cues during slow-wave sleep prompt declarative memory consolidation. *Science* (2007) 315(5817):1426–9. doi:10.1126/science.1138581
- Cousins JN, El-Deredy W, Parkes LM, Hennies N, Lewis PA. Cued memory reactivation during slow-wave sleep promotes explicit knowledge of a motor sequence. J Neurosci (2014) 34(48):15870–6. doi:10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.1011-14.2014

- Nitsche MA, Jakoubkova M, Thirugnanasambandam N, Schmalfuss L, Hullemann S, Sonka K, et al. Contribution of the premotor cortex to consolidation of motor sequence learning in humans during sleep. *J Neurophysiol* (2010) 104(5):2603–14. doi:10.1152/jn.00611.2010
- Ohayon MM, Carskadon MA, Guilleminault C, Vitiello MV. Meta-analysis of quantitative sleep parameters from childhood to old age in healthy individuals: developing normative sleep values across the human lifespan. *Sleep* (2004) 27(7):1255–73.
- Huang YL, Liu RY, Wang QS, Van Someren EJW, Xu H, Zhou JN. Age-associated difference in circadian sleep-wake and rest-activity rhythms. *Physiol Behav* (2002) 76(4–5):597–603. doi:10.1016/ S0031-9384(02)00733-3
- Phillips B, Ancoli-Israel S. Sleep disorders in the elderly. *Sleep Med* (2001) 2(2):99–114. doi:10.1016/S1389-9457(00)00083-6
- Crowley K, Trinder J, Kim Y, Carrington M, Colrain IM. The effects of normal aging on sleep spindle and K-complex production. *Neurophysiol Clin* (2002) 113(10):1615–22. doi:10.1016/S1388-2457(02)00237-7
- Nicolas A, Petit D, Rompré S, Montplaisir JY. Sleep spindle characteristics in healthy subjects of different age groups. *Neurophysiol Clin* (2001) 112(3):521–7. doi:10.1016/S1388-2457(00)00556-3
- Mander BA, Rao V, Lu B, Saletin JM, Lindquist JR, Ancoli-Israel S, et al. Prefrontal atrophy, disrupted NREM slow waves and impaired hippocampal-dependent memory in aging. *Nat Neurosci* (2013) 16(3):357–64. doi:10.1038/nn.3324
- Colrain IM, Crowley KE, Nicholas CL, Afifi L, Baker FC, Padilla M, et al. Sleep evoked delta frequency responses show a linear decline in amplitude across the adult lifespan. *Neurobiol Aging* (2010) 31(5):874–83. doi:10.1016/j. neurobiolaging.2008.06.003
- Sexton CE, Storsve AB, Walhovd KB, Johansen-Berg H, Fjell AM. Poor sleep quality is associated with increased cortical atrophy in community-dwelling adults. *Neurology* (2014) 83(11):967–73. doi:10.1212/ WNL.000000000000774
- Fogel SM, Albouy G, Vien C, Popovicci R, King BR, Hoge R, et al. fMRI and sleep correlates of the age-related impairment in motor memory consolidation. *Hum Brain Mapp* (2014) 35(8):3625–45. doi:10.1002/hbm.22426
- Spencer RMC, Gouw AM, Ivry RB. Age-related decline of sleep-dependent consolidation. *Learn Mem* (2007) 14(7):480–4. doi:10.1101/lm.569407
- Wilson JK, Baran B, Pace-Schott EF, Ivry RB, Spencer RMC. Sleep modulates word-pair learning but not motor sequence learning in healthy older adults. *Neurobiol Aging* (2012) 33(5):991–1000. doi:10.1016/j. neurobiolaging.2011.06.029
- Ashendorf L, Vanderslice-Barr JL, McCaffrey RJ. Motor tests and cognition in healthy older adults. *Appl Neuropsychol* (2009) 16(3):171–6. doi:10.1080/09084280903098562
- Ranganathan VK, Siemionow V, Sahgal V, Yue GH. Effects of aging on hand function. J Am Geriatr Soc (2001) 49(11):1478-84. doi:10.1046/j.1532-5415.2001.4911240.x
- Marneweck M, Loftus A, Hammond G. Short-interval intracortical inhibition and manual dexterity in healthy aging. *Neurosci Res* (2011) **70**(4):408–14. doi:10.1016/j.neures.2011.04.004
- Klass M, Baudry S, Duchateau J. Voluntary activation during maximal contraction with advancing age: a brief review. *Eur J Appl Physiol* (2007) 100(5):543–51. doi:10.1007/s00421-006-0205-x
- Siengsukon CF, Boyd LA. Sleep enhances implicit motor skill learning in individuals poststroke. *Top Stroke Rehabil* (2008) 15(1):1–12. doi:10.1310/ tsr1501-1
- Pasic Z, Smajlovic D, Dostovic Z, Kojic B, Selmanovic S. Incidence and types of sleep disorders in patients with stroke. *Med Arh* (2011) 65(4):225–7. doi:10.5455/medarh.2011.65.225-227
- Leppävuori A, Pohjasvaara T, Vataja R, Kaste M, Erkinjuntti T. Insomnia in ischemic stroke patients. *Cerebrovasc Dis* (2002) 14(2):90–7. doi:10.1159/000064737
- Bravata DM, Concato J, Fried T, Ranjbar N, Sadarangani T, McClain V, et al. Continuous positive airway pressure: evaluation of a novel therapy for patients with acute ischemic stroke. *Sleep* (2011) 34(9):1271–7. doi:10.5665/ SLEEP.1254
- Hermann DM, Bassetti CL. Sleep-related breathing and sleep-wake disturbances in ischemic stroke. *Neurology* (2009) 73(16):1313–22. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181bd137c

- Glader EL, Stegmayr B, Asplund K. Poststroke fatigue: a 2-year follow-up study of stroke patients in Sweden. *Stroke* (2002) 33(5):1327–33. doi:10.1161/01. STR.0000014248.28711.D6
- Sterr A, Herron K, Dijk DJ, Ellis J. Time to wake-up: sleep problems and daytime sleepiness in long-term stroke survivors. *Brain Inj* (2008) 22(7–8):575–9. doi:10.1080/02699050802189727
- Radman N, Staub F, Aboulafia-Brakha T, Berney A, Bogousslavsky J, Annoni JM. Poststroke fatigue following minor infarcts: a prospective study. *Neurology* (2012) **79**(14):1422–7. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e31826d5f3a
- Reid KJ, McGee-Koch LL, Zee PC. Cognition in circadian rhythm sleep disorders. *Prog Brain Res* (2011) **190**:3–20. doi:10.1016/ B978-0-444-53817-8.00001-3
- 92. Alberti A. Sleep changes. Front Neurol Neurosci (2012) 30:38-40. doi:10.1159/000333401
- Lerdal A, Gay CL. Fatigue in the acute phase after first stroke predicts poorer physical health 18 months later. *Neurology* (2013) 81(18):1581-7. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e3182a9f471
- Naess H, Lunde L, Brogger J, Waje-Andreassen U. Fatigue among stroke patients on long-term follow-up. The Bergen stroke study. *J Neurol Sci* (2012) 312(1–2):138–41. doi:10.1016/j.jns.2011.08.002
- Poryazova R, Huber R, Khatami R, Werth E, Brugger P, Barath K, et al. Topographic sleep EEG changes in the acute and chronic stage of hemispheric stroke. J Sleep Res (2015) 24(1):54–65. doi:10.1111/jsr.12208
- Herron K, Dijk DJ, Dean P, Seiss E, Sterr A. Quantitative electroencephalography and behavioural correlates of daytime sleepiness in chronic stroke. *Biomed Res Int* (2014) 2014:794086. doi:10.1155/2014/794086
- Cajochen C, Wyatt JK, Czeisler CA, Dijk DJ. Separation of circadian and wake duration-dependent modulation of EEG activation during wakefulness. *Neuroscience* (2002) 114(4):1047–60. doi:10.1016/S0306-4522(02)00209-9
- Finelli LA, Baumann HJ, Borbély AA, Achermann P. Dual electroencephalogram markers of human sleep homeostasis: correlation between theta activity in waking and slow-wave activity in Sleep. *Neuroscience* (2000) 101(3):523–9. doi:10.1016/S0306-4522(00)00409-7
- Vyazovskiy VV, Tobler I. Theta activity in the waking EEG is a marker of sleep propensity in the rat. *Brain Res* (2005) 1050(1–2):64–71. doi:10.1016/j. brainres.2005.05.022
- 100. Hung CS, Sarasso S, Ferrarelli F, Riedner B, Ghilardi MF, Cirelli C, et al. Local experience-dependent changes in the wake EEG after prolonged wakefulness. *Sleep* (2013) 36(1):59–72. doi:10.5665/sleep.2302
- Vyazovskiy VV, Olcese U, Hanlon EC, Nir Y, Cirelli C, Tononi G. Local sleep in awake rats. *Nature* (2011) 472(7344):443–7. doi:10.1038/nature10009
- 102. Bernardi G, Siclari F, Yu X, Zennig C, Bellesi M, Ricciardi E, et al. Neural and behavioral correlates of extended training during sleep deprivation in humans: evidence for local, task-specific effects. J Neurosci (2015) 35(11):4487–500. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4567-14.2015
- 103. Yoo SS, Hu PT, Gujar N, Jolesz FA, Walker MP. A deficit in the ability to form new human memories without sleep. *Nat Neurosci* (2007) 10(3):385–92. doi:10.1038/nn1851
- 104. Babiloni C, Frisoni G, Steriade M, Bresciani L, Binetti G, Del Percio C, et al. Frontal white matter volume and delta EEG sources negatively correlate in awake subjects with mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *Neurophysiol Clin* (2006) **117**(5):1113–29. doi:10.1016/j.clinph.2006.01.020
- 105. Watemberg N, Alehan F, Dabby R, Lerman-Sagie T, Pavot P, Towne A. Clinical and radiologic correlates of frontal intermittent rhythmic delta activity. J Clin Neurophysiol (2002) 19(6):535–9. doi:10.1097/00004691-200212000-00006
- 106. Koeda S, Sumigawa K, Koike Y, Sato C, Imai H, Osanai E, et al. Relationship between sleep disorder and subjective feelings of recovery in convalescent stroke patients. *Jpn J Compr Rehabil Sci* (2014) 5:125–30.
- 107. Ryan CM, Bayley M, Green R, Murray BJ, Bradley TD. Influence of continuous positive airway pressure on outcomes of rehabilitation in stroke patients with obstructive sleep apnea. *Stroke* (2011) 42(4):1062–7. doi:10.1161/ STROKEAHA.110.597468
- Zunzunegui C, Gao B, Cam E, Hodor A, Bassetti CL. Sleep disturbance impairs stroke recovery in the rat. *Sleep* (2011) 34(9):1261–9. doi:10.5665/ SLEEP.1252
- Boyd LA, Winstein CJ. Impact of explicit information on implicit motor-sequence learning following middle cerebral artery stroke. *Phys Ther* (2003) 83(11):976–89.

- Boyd LA, Winstein CJ. Providing explicit information disrupts implicit motor learning after basal ganglia stroke. *Learn Mem* (2004) 11(4):388–96. doi:10.1101/lm.80104
- 111. Dennis A, Bosnell R, Dawes H, Howells K, Cockburn J, Kischka U, et al. Cognitive context determines dorsal premotor cortical activity during hand movement in patients after. *Stroke* (2011) **42**(4):1056–61. doi:10.1161/ STROKEAHA.110.597880
- 112. Johansen-Berg H, Dawes H, Guy C, Smith SM, Wade DT, Matthews PM. Correlation between motor improvements and altered fMRI activity after rehabilitative therapy. *Brain* (2002) 125(12):2731–42. doi:10.1093/brain/ awf282
- Johansen-Berg H. Behavioural relevance of variation in white matter microstructure. *Curr Opin Neurol* (2010) 23(4):351-8. doi:10.1097/ WCO.0b013e32833b7631
- 114. Tomassini V, Jbabdi S, Kincses ZT, Bosnell R, Douaud G, Pozzilli C, et al. Structural and functional bases for individual differences in motor learning. *Hum Brain Mapp* (2011) **32**(3):494–508. doi:10.1002/hbm.21037
- 115. Sterr A, Dean PJA, Szameitat AJ, Conforto AB, Shen S. Corticospinal tract integrity and lesion volume play different roles in chronic hemiparesis and its improvement through motor practice. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* (2014) 28(4):335–43. doi:10.1177/1545968313510972
- 116. Ward NS, Brown MM, Thompson AJ, Frackowiak RS. The influence of time after stroke on brain activations during a motor task. *Ann Neurol* (2004) 55(6):829–34. doi:10.1002/ana.20099

- 117. Wallace DM, Ramos AR, Rundek T. Sleep disorders and stroke. *Int J Stroke* (2012) 7(3):231-42. doi:10.1111/j.1747-4949.2011.00760.x
- 118. Richardson A, Thompson A, Coghill E, Chambers I, Turnock C. Development and implementation of a noise reduction intervention programme: a preand postaudit of three hospital wards. *J Clin Nurs* (2009) **18**(23):3316–24. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2702.2009.02897.x
- 119. Smolensky MH, Sackett-Lundeen LL, Portaluppi F. Nocturnal light pollution and underexposure to daytime sunlight: complementary mechanisms of circadian disruption and related diseases. *Chronobiol Int* (2015) 16:1–20. do i:10.3109/07420528.2015.1072002
- 120. Mednick S, Nakayama K, Stickgold R. Sleep-dependent learning: a nap is as good as a night. *Nat Neurosci* (2003) **6**(7):697–8. doi:10.1038/nn1078

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2015 Gudberg and Johansen-Berg. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.