F⁻/Cl⁻ selectivity in CLC^F-type F⁻/H⁺ antiporters

Ashley E. Brammer,^{1,2} Randy B. Stockbridge,^{1,2} and Christopher Miller^{1,2}

¹Department of Biochemistry and ²Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02453

Many bacterial species protect themselves against environmental F^- toxicity by exporting this anion from the cytoplasm via $CLC^F F^-/H^+$ antiporters, a subclass of CLC superfamily anion transporters. Strong F^- over Cl^- selectivity is biologically essential for these membrane proteins because Cl^- is orders of magnitude more abundant in the biosphere than F^- . Sequence comparisons reveal differences between CLC^Fs and canonical Cl^- -transporting CLCs within regions that, in the canonical CLCs, coordinate Cl^- ion and govern anion transport. A phylogenetic split within the CLC^F clade, manifested in sequence divergence in the vicinity of this ion-binding center, raises the possibility that these two CLC^F subclades might exhibit differences in anion selectivity. Several CLC^F homologues from each subclade were examined for F^-/Cl^- selectivity of anion transport and equilibrium binding. Differences in both of these anion-selectivity metrics correlate with sequence divergence among CLC^Fs . Chimeric constructs identify two residues in this region that largely account for the subclade differences in selectivity. In addition, these experiments serendipitously uncovered an unusually steep, Cl^- -specific voltage dependence of transport that greatly enhances F^- selectivity at low voltage.

INTRODUCTION

Aqueous F⁻ has pervaded our environment for eons, but until recently, this anion was ignored as a physiologically relevant substrate in biological systems. However, it is now known that many unicellular microorganisms use membrane transport proteins to export F- when cytoplasmic levels approach toxic concentrations of 10-100 µM (Baker et al., 2012; Li et al., 2013). Among these are the CLC^F-type F^-/H^+ antiporters, a subset of the ubiquitous CLC superfamily of anion channels and transporters, phylogenetically distant from the longstudied canonical CLCs involved in Cl⁻ and NO₃⁻ physiology (Zifarelli and Pusch, 2007; Accardi and Picollo, 2010). Appearing exclusively in bacteria, CLC^Fs export F⁻ to combat environmental F⁻ toxicity. We previously noted a high selectivity of CLC^Fs for F⁻ over Cl⁻ in eight homologues examined (Stockbridge et al., 2012) and therefore presumed this feature essential for their F⁻-protective purposes. Because many anion channels and transporters select against F⁻ and because F⁻ selectivity is difficult to achieve in synthetic host-guest systems of small molecule ligands (Cametti and Rissanen, 2009), these F^{-} -selective exporters have apparently evolved novel chemical mechanisms to satisfy their biological imperatives.

Extensive work on anion transport function and high-resolution structure of the canonical CLC Cl^-/H^+ antiporters (Fig. 1 A) has established that the side chain hydroxyls of highly conserved serine and tyrosine residues,

as well as a critical glutamate (Glu_{ex}), form a mechanistic center of the protein by coordinating a transported Cl⁻ ion (Dutzler et al., 2003; Accardi et al., 2006; Miller, 2006; Zifarelli and Pusch, 2007; Picollo et al., 2009). The CLC^Fs retain the conserved Gluex, and a CLC^F tyrosine near the C terminus, despite poor alignment in this region, may serve this anion-coordinating function. However, the canonical serine that initiates helix-D is absent in CLC^Fs, which carry either an Asn or Met at a roughly equivalent position (Fig. 1 B). Moreover, these residues cosegregate with two phylogenetically distinct CLC^F subclades (Fig. 1 C), which are also strictly marked by either a Thr or Val in helix-F near Gluex. Henceforth, these are denoted as "N-T" and "M-V" subclades. The question immediately arises as to whether these subclades display phenotypic differences that might offer a window into the unusual anion selectivity of the transporters. We find significant though rather subtle differences in F^-/Cl^- selectivity, which faithfully track with the two CLC^F subclades. Moreover, selectivity swaps show that these differences may be mapped to these two N-or-M and T-or-V positions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents and CLC^F constructs

Chemicals were of the highest grade available from Sigma-Aldrich. *Escherichia coli*-mixed phospholipids (EPL) were obtained

Correspondence to Christopher Miller: cmiller@brandeis.edu

Abbreviations used in this paper: DM, *n*-decyl-β-D-maltopyranoside; FCCP, carbonylcyanide p-trifluoromethoxyphenylhydrazone; gA, gramicidin A; ITC, isothermal titration calorimetry; NMG⁺, *N*-methyl-D-glucamine.

^{© 2014} Brammer et al. This article is distributed under the terms of an Attribution–Noncommercial–Share Alike–No Mirror Sites license for the first six months after the publication date (see http://www.rupress.org/terms). After six months it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution–Noncommercial–Share Alike 3.0 Unported license, as described at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/).



from Avanti Polar Lipids, Inc. and detergents from Anatrace. Isethionate salts were prepared from isethionic acid (Wako Pure Chemical Industries) and the appropriate base. Synthetic gene constructs of the CLC^F homologues, optimized for *E. coli* expression (GenScript), were inserted into a pASK vector containing a C-terminal hexahistidine tag (Stockbridge et al., 2012), and sitedirected mutagenesis was performed using standard PCR techniques. The six homologues used here, three from each subclade, were chosen for expression levels and biochemical stability, and are listed in Table 1.

Phylogenetic calculations

CLC^F homologue sequences obtained from the PFAM database were aligned using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004). Phylogenetic analysis was conducted using PhyML 3.0 (Guindon et al., 2010), and the output tree was analyzed using SeaView (Gouy et al., 2010) and FigTree software.

Protein purification and liposome reconstitution

E. coli (BL21-DE3) cells transformed with a plasmid bearing CLC^F homologues were grown at 37°C in Terrific broth containing 100 µg/ml ampicillin to an OD₆₀₀ \approx 0.8 and were induced with 0.2 mg/liter anhydrotetracycline for 2–3 h at 37°C. Cells were resuspended in 50 ml of 100 mM NaCl and Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, and lysed by sonication in the presence of protease inhibitors leupeptin (20 µg/ml), pepstatin (20 µg/ml), and PMSF (~0.2 mg/ml).

Figure 1. Canonical and F⁻ transporting CLCs. (A) High-resolution structure of a canonical CLC Cl⁻/H⁺ antiporter, CLC-ec1 (PDB ID: 1OTS), reveals the conserved Glu, Ser, and Tyr Cl- coordinating residues. The green sphere represents the Cl⁻ ion. (B) Phylogenetic tree of the CLCF clade, showing N-T and M-V subclades. Homologues used in this study are indicated. (C) Sequence alignment of several CLCF homologues in the helix D and helix F regions. Yellow boxes highlight residues differing between CLC^F subclades and strictly conserved within them. Sequences in same region of canonical CLCs of known structure are shown below, with red boxes denoting the Cl⁻-coordinating Ser residue.

Membranes were extracted for 2 h with gentle shaking at room temperature in the presence of 80 mM n-decyl-β-D-maltopyranoside (DM). Cell debris was pelleted, and the supernatant was passed over cobalt affinity beads (Talon, 1 ml/liter culture), which was washed with 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM DM, and 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, and subsequently with the same solution supplemented with 40 mM imidazole. CLC^F protein was eluted by jumping imidazole to 400 mM. Protein was concentrated and loaded onto a Superdex 200 size-exclusion column equilibrated in 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM NaF, 25 mM HEPES-NaOH, pH 7, and 5 mM DM; all homologues were >95% pure, as judged by Coomassie staining. Proteoliposomes were formed by dialysis of a micellar mix of protein (3-5 µg protein/mg lipid), 10 mg/ml lipid, and 35 mM 3-[(3-cholamidopropyl) dimethylammonio]-1-propanesulfonate (CHAPS) against the desired intraliposomal solution at room temperature for 36 h. Liposomes were stored in aliquots at -80°C until use. Before functional assays, liposomes were extruded 21 times through a 400-nm membrane filter.

F⁻ and Cl⁻ efflux measurement

Details of the anion efflux methods used here have been reported previously (Walden et al., 2007). In brief, for F^- efflux assays, liposomes were prepared with an internal solution of 300 mM KF and 25 mM HEPES, pH 7. Samples were passed through Sephadex G50 spin columns (100 µl per 1.5-ml column) equilibrated with 300 mM Na⁺- or K⁺-isethionate, 1 mM NaF, and 25 mM HEPES,

	TABLE	1
Summary	of CLC^F	homologues

Homologue nickname	Source organism	NCBI Protein reference sequence	Gram stain
CLC-pst	Pirellula staleyi	YP_003370005.1	negative
CLC-rpi	Ralstonia pickettii	YP_001892513.1	negative
CLC-psy	Pseudomonas syringae	WP_010202072.1	negative
CLC-eca	Enterococcus casseliflavus	WP_005229670.1	positive
CLC-lla	Lactococcus lactis	YP_001032764.1	positive
CLC-eve	Eubacterium ventriosum	WP_005363921.1	positive

pH 7.0, and 0.4 ml of the combined eluates was immediately diluted into a stirred vessel containing 3.6 ml of the same buffer. Transport was initiated by adding appropriate cation ionophores valinomycin (Vln) or gramicidin A (gA; 1 µg/ml), and appearance of F^- in the external solution was continuously monitored with a La/EuF₃ electrode (Cole-Parmer). After uptake had reached steady-state, 30 mM *n*-octyl- β -D-glucopyranoside (OG) was added to release any untransported F^- . Transport was calibrated with NaF standards. Cl⁻ efflux assays were performed similarly with Cl⁻ solutions and were monitored with Ag/AgCl electrodes.

Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC)

Thermodynamic binding parameters of F^- and Cl^- to CLC-pst and CLC-eca were determined with a microcalorimeter (350-µl sample volume; Nano ITC; TA Instruments) as previously described (Lim et al., 2013). CLC^F protein was purified as above, except that low- or zero-halide salts were used: 100 mM Na/K tartarate, 2 mM NaCl, 10 mM HEPES, and 400 mM imidazole-SO₄, pH 7.5, for the cobalt column and 100 mM Na/K tartrate and 10 HEPES, pH 7.0, for the size-exclusion column. 150–200 µM protein was titrated with 1-µl injections of 10–60 mM F⁻ or Cl⁻ at 25°C, and data were fitted with single-site isotherms using Nano-Analyze 2.4.1 software. For homologues that could not tolerate low-halide solutions, protein was purified in buffer containing 50 mM NaCl, and F⁻ titrations were performed with 50 mM Cl⁻ present.

Light-scattering efflux assay

Efflux of F⁻ and Cl⁻ was in some cases monitored by 90° light scattering at 600 nm (Stockbridge et al., 2012). Proteoliposomes were prepared as above and diluted 200-fold into an isotonic external buffer containing 300 mM Na+-, K+-, or N-methyl-D-glucamine (NMG⁺)-isethionate and 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.0, in a stirred cuvette. Flux was initiated with Vln or gA. As salt leaves the liposomes, water follows to maintain osmotic balance, leading to time-dependent liposome shrinkage accompanied by an increase in light scattering (Jin et al., 1999), a signal which is linear with ion efflux under these conditions. When efflux reached steady-state, untransported ions from the liposomes were released with 1 µM tributyltin (TBT) and carbonylcyanide p-trifluoromethoxyphenylhydrazone (FCCP) for Cl⁻ efflux or 1 µM FCCP alone for F⁻ efflux (because this proton ionophore acidifies the liposome interior, forming membrane-permeant hydrofluoric acid). To set transmembrane voltage, varying ratios of Na⁺/K⁺-isethionate in the external solution were used, with internal 300 mM K⁺ constant.

F⁻ and Cl⁻-driven H⁺ pumping

Liposomes were prepared with an internal solution of 300 mM KF (or KCl) and 25 mM HEPES, pH 7. Samples were passed through Sephadex G50 spin columns (100 μ l per 1.5-ml column) equilibrated with 300 mM Na⁺-isethionate and 1 mM HEPES, pH 7.2, and 0.2 ml of the combined eluates was immediately diluted into a stirred vessel containing 1.8 ml of the same buffer. Transport was initiated by addition of Vln, and proton uptake was monitored with a pH electrode in the external solution. Once transport reached steady-state, the proton gradient was dissipated by the addition of 1 μ M FCCP, and H⁺ transport was calibrated with an HCl standard solution (Stockbridge et al., 2012).

Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows, for two CLC^F homologues from each subclade, raw traces of thermodynamically uphill proton influx driven by F⁻ or Cl⁻ gradients. Fig. S2 supplements the data of Fig. 2 by showing raw traces of anion efflux at zero voltage. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jgp.org/cgi/content/full/jgp .201411225/DC1.

RESULTS

The N-T versus M-V subclades show conserved sequence differences in regions that are known to be near equivalent Cl⁻-coordinating residues in canonical CLCs. We therefore wondered whether the subclades differ in selectivity for F⁻. Accordingly, F⁻ and Cl⁻ efflux were examined for three homologues representative of each subclade (Fig. 2 and Table 1). In these experiments, each CLC^F homologue was purified and reconstituted into liposomes containing either 300 mM KF or 300 mM KCl. The liposomes were suspended in a solution containing 1 mM of the test ion osmotically balanced with the impermeant salt Na-isethionate. Efflux of the anion down its 300-fold gradient was initiated by addition of the K⁺ ionophore Vln to permit counterion movement, and the initial rate of appearance of the anion in the external solution was determined with ion-specific electrodes. Homologues were confirmed to show H⁺ antiport in exchange for both F^- and Cl^- (Fig. S1), with much less proton coupling to Cl⁻ than the strict 1:1 stoichiometry known for F^-/H^+ antiport (Stockbridge et al., 2012). Absolute transport rates determined from the initial slope of the efflux time course vary greatly among the six homologues tested (Table 2), but the ratio of these rates, the F^-/Cl^- transport selectivity, reveals a difference between the two subclades, with the M-V subclade roughly twice as selective for F⁻ as the N-T



Figure 2. Anion transport for several CLC^F homologues. For each homologue of the N-T subclade (left) or the M-V subclade (right), transport was initiated after 10 s of recording a baseline, and appearance of the anion in (X^-) the external solution was monitored.

	F a	nd Cl turnover rates for several (CLC ¹ homologues	
Subclade	Homologue	F ⁻ turnover	Cl ⁻ turnover	$\rm F^-/\rm Cl^-$ ratio for subclade
		s ⁻¹	s ⁻¹	
N-T	CLC-pst	810 ± 80	320 ± 20	
N-T	CLC-rpi	420 ± 30	130 ± 10	2.6 ± 0.2
N-T	CLC-psy	460 ± 40	230 ± 10	
M-V	CLC-eca	880 ± 40	280 ± 20	
M-V	CLC-lla	580 ± 30	90 ± 10	6.1 ± 0.6
M-V	CLC-eve	420 ± 40	50 ± 10	

TABLE 2 F^- and CI^- turnover rates for several CIC^F homologue

 F^- and CI^- turnovers were calculated (±SEM; n = 6) using three independent reconstitutions of protein at 3 µg/mg lipid, and each preparation was assayed in duplicate. Subclade ratios were calculated from the ratios of independent trials (n = 18). The difference in the F^-/CI^- ratio between the subclades is statistically significant (P < 0.001).

subclade (approximately sixfold vs. approximately three-fold over Cl⁻).

These subclade sequence differences are also reflected in equilibrium anion binding affinity. ITC in detergent micelles, used to measure anion binding to a homologue from each subclade, reveals a striking difference in F^- binding affinity between the two (Fig. 3). With a dissociation constant of 0.26 mM, CLC-eca, from the more selective subclade, binds F⁻ nearly 100-fold more tightly than does CLC-pst ($K_d \sim 20$ mM), from the less selective subclade. Cl⁻ binding is unquantifiable for either homologue by direct titration, but F⁻ titration in the presence of 50 mM Cl⁻ produces estimates of F⁻/Cl⁻ binding selectivity of \sim 40 for CLC-eca and 1.2 for CLCpst, assuming a competitive relationship between the two halides (Fig. 4 and Table 3). These are the only homologues that remain stable in the halide-free conditions required for these titration experiments, so we have not been able to test by direct titration whether these large affinity differences are seen more generally

in the subclades. However, F^- titration in the presence of excess of Cl⁻ confirms that a third homologue, CLCeve, binds F^- tightly even in the presence of Cl⁻ (Fig. 4), in agreement with its subclade's F^- selectivity.

These results raise the possibility that the two CLC^F subclade-defining positions, M-V and N-T, might contribute to ion selectivity. Accordingly, we chose a pair of homologues with the widest dynamic range of F⁻ selectivity, the strongly selective CLC-eve (M-V subclade) and the weakly selective CLC-pst (N-T subclade), to test whether swapping side chains would also swap selectivity phenotypes. Mutations at these positions produce changes in absolute transport rates that follow no coherent pattern (Fig. 5 and Table 4). However, the residue interchanges cleanly convert the subclade-associated F^{-} selectivity ratios in both directions, lowering that of CLC-eve and raising that of CLC-pst. The swaps are quantitative in the sense that each mutated homologue achieves a selectivity value characteristic of the other subclade, but they are not entirely symmetric; the single-site V-to-T



Figure 3. ITC data for representative homologues from each subclade. (A and B, left) F^- titration of CLC-eca (A) and CLC-pst (B), with solid curves representing a K_d of 0.3 mM and 20 mM, respectively. (right) Cl⁻ raw titration data for corresponding homologues, indicating unquantifiable K_d values >70 mM for both.



Figure 4. ITC data for F^- titrations in the presence of 50 mM Cl⁻ for representative homologues from each subclade. Solid curves represent single-site titrations, with K_d values reported in Table 2.

mutation is sufficient to switch the selectivity of CLCeve, whereas conversion of CLC-pst requires substitutions at both positions, N-to-M and T-to-V.

These results raise a disturbing question: how can such weak F⁻/Cl⁻ selectivity, 10-fold at best, support the biological purpose of these F⁻ exporters, given the 1,000-fold greater abundance of Cl⁻ in the aqueous biosphere? During the course of these experiments, we noted an initially perplexing observation that may illuminate this question: if transport measurements are performed with K⁺ in the efflux medium instead of Na⁺, all homologues tested, regardless of subclade, display extremely high F⁻ selectivity, as originally reported (Stockbridge et al., 2012). Indeed, under this high-K⁺ condition, F⁻ flux rates remain high, whereas Cl- transport is completely abolished as far as we can discern (Fig. 6 and Fig. S2), placing a lower limit of ~ 80 on F⁻/Cl⁻ selectivity. Two obvious alternatives offer themselves to explain this striking effect: that Cl⁻ movement through the transport protein specifically requires Na⁺ as a coactivator or that this anion needs the large

negative voltage set by Vln in the presence of a large K⁺ gradient to be driven out of the liposomes.

These explanations are readily distinguished experimentally. A specific Na⁺ requirement for Cl⁻ transport is ruled out by testing transport with external Na⁺ replaced by a large organic cation, NMG⁺, while maintaining high negative voltage. Under this Na⁺-free condition, CLC-pst transports Cl⁻ at rates comparable with those in Na⁺ (Fig. 6 C). To further test the idea that voltage drives Cl⁻ efflux, transport was initiated by a different ionophore, gA, which conducts both K⁺ and Na⁺ but not NMG⁺ (Finkelstein and Andersen, 1981). With either K⁺ or Na⁺ as the internal cation, gA-induced Cl⁻ efflux is observed only if NMG⁺ is the external cation (Fig. 6 D). Thus, Cl⁻ transport occurs only at high negative voltage, regardless of the particular ions or ionophores used to establish that voltage. These experiments show that Cl⁻ requires a large electrical driving force to permeate CLC-pst, whereas F⁻, the physiological substrate, can move through the protein at both low and high voltage.

	TABLE	3		
Thermodynamic	parameters for F^-	binding to	CLC^{F}	homologues

Homologue	Condition	$K_{ m d}$	ΔH	ΔS
		mM	kJ/mol	J/mol/K
CLC-eca	Halide free	0.26	-42	-73
CLC-eca	50 mM Cl^- present	1.4 (12)	-38	-72
CLC-eve	50 mM Cl ⁻ present	0.41	-34	-50
CLC-pst	Halide free	17	-32	-72
CLC-pst	50 mM Cl^- present	56 (22)	-83	-255

 F^- titration of CLC^F homologues that were amenable to the conditions required for the experiment. Parameters were obtained from a fit to a single-site binding isotherm. Values in parentheses indicate the calculated K_d for Cl⁻, assuming competitive binding.



Figure 5. Anion transport in CLC^F mutants. Efflux curves determined as in Fig. 2.

The voltage dependence of anion transport was quantified for a homologue from each subclade. The results show, unsurprisingly, that F^- efflux increases from its zero-voltage value at more negative potentials. In contrast, Cl^- transport is unobservable at zero voltage and can be seen only at voltages negative to -60 mV (Fig. 6, E and F). Because all six homologues studied here fail to transport Cl^- at zero voltage, we imagine that this voltage-dependent selectivity is a feature of the entire CLC^F family. This unusual CLC^F selectivity phenomenon may be physiologically significant, as discussed below.

DISCUSSION

Phylogenetic analysis of the CLC^F antiporters reveals a divergence of the family into two subclades. Aligned

sequences of these subclades identify two strictly cosegregating positions, the M-V and N-T sites, near equivalent anion-binding regions in the greater CLC superfamily. Six CLC^F homologues show subclade-associated differences in F⁻ selectivity for both transport kinetics and equilibrium binding. These intricately linked processes reflect different molecular events, so the observed correspondences in their selectivities are not expected to show full quantitative agreement. We suppose that these six homologues reflect properties of the subclades in general because they were selected for study only by the criterion of biochemical tractability, without regard for their particular functional behaviors.

These facts arouse suspicions that the covarying residues may have a direct role in the selectivity displayed by these transporters, suspicions buttressed by conversion of selectivity phenotype by residue swaps at these two positions. In the absence of an experimental high-resolution structure of a CLC^F protein, we cannot productively speculate on the mechanism by which these two residues exert their effects on F⁻ transport and binding, especially because homology models of CLC^Fs lack the precision required to understand the up-close coordination chemistry underlying small-ion selectivity phenomena.

Voltage-dependent rates of ion movement are generally expected for electrogenic transporters of all types, but the exceptionally strong voltage dependence of F^{-}/Cl^{-} selectivity in CLC^Fs is, as far as we are aware, unprecedented. Little may be inferred mechanistically from this observation, except to suppose that once bound, Cl⁻ faces energetic barriers in the transport cycle that can be overcome only by a large electrostatic push, barriers not encountered by the physiological anion. This idea is consistent with the observation that at zero voltage, where CLC-pst shows nonselective binding, all homologues are highly selective in transport. An alternative explanation to consider is that voltage dependence is somehow related to the gating phenomenon described for the human Cl^{-}/H^{+} exchanger CLC-5 (Smith and Lippiat, 2010; De Stefano et al., 2013). We are inclined away from such an explanation, however, because in electrophysiological experiments (Stockbridge et al., 2012), CLC^F transporters

	TABLE 4	
F^- and Cl^-	turnover for CLC-pst and C	CLC-eve mutants

		5 1		
Subclade	Homologue	F ⁻ turnover	Cl ⁻ turnover	F^-/Cl^- ratio
		s ⁻¹	s ⁻¹	
$\text{N-T} \rightarrow \text{M-V}$	CLC-pst N82M	$1{,}010\pm50$	320 ± 20	2.6 ± 0.4
$\text{N-T} \rightarrow \text{M-V}$	CLC-pst T123V	430 ± 10	130 ± 10	3.7 ± 0.8
$\text{N-T} \rightarrow \text{M-V}$	CLC-pst N82M/T123V	$1,030\pm70$	120 ± 10	9.2 ± 1.2
$\text{M-V} \rightarrow \text{N-T}$	CLC-eve M83N	270 ± 10	30 ± 0	11.2 ± 1.2
$\text{M-V} \rightarrow \text{N-T}$	CLC-eve V124T	310 ± 10	170 ± 20	1.9 ± 0.2
$\text{M-V} \rightarrow \text{N-T}$	CLC-eve M83N/V124T	190 ± 10	70 ± 10	2.9 ± 0.4

Turnovers on the indicated mutants were measured as described in legend to Table 2. Ratios were calculated from each set of independent trials (n = 6).



Figure 6. Voltage-dependent anion transport of CLCFs. (A and B) Anion efflux by CLC-pst with K⁺/Na⁺ conditions indicated. Efflux time courses are normalized to the values after disrupting the liposomes with detergent. (C and D) Cl⁻ transport via CLC-pst monitored by light scattering, with either Vln (C) or gA (D) added to initiate transport. (E and F) Voltage dependence of anion transport for CLC-pst and CLC-eca, respectively. Initial rates for F⁻ and Cl⁻ were normalized to the initial rate for F⁻ transport at -151 mV. Voltage values are calculated from the K⁺ Nernst potential set by varying external K⁺ with fixed internal K⁺.

do not display any voltage-dependent gating kinetics such as those described for CLC-5. The voltage dependence of F^- selectivity does provoke speculations about its biological role, however. We imagine that in exporting F^- , the transporter should avoid competition by Cl⁻, which at 10–50-mM levels is roughly 1,000-fold higher in bacterial cytoplasm (Schultz et al., 1962). Because of the anomalously high pK_a of hydrofluoric acid, F^- toxicity is most dangerous to bacteria in acidic niches (Baker et al., 2012; Stockbridge et al., 2013), wherein F^-/H^+ antiporters are well suited to operate by driving F^- expulsion with the proton gradient. Under such acid-challenge conditions, the bacterial inner membrane is expected to depolarize, possibly to a voltage positive to -60 mV, where Cl⁻ cannot engage with the F^- transport pathway.

A.E. Brammer was supported by National Institutes of Health training grant T32-GM007596.

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

David C. Gadsby served as guest editor.

Submitted: 3 May 2014 Accepted: 2 July 2014

REFERENCES

Accardi, A., and A. Picollo. 2010. CLC channels and transporters: proteins with borderline personalities. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*. 1798:1457– 1464. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2010.02.022

- Accardi, A., S. Lobet, C. Williams, C. Miller, and R. Dutzler. 2006. Synergism between halide binding and proton transport in a CLCtype exchanger. *J. Mol. Biol.* 362:691–699. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jmb.2006.07.081
- Baker, J.L., N. Sudarsan, Z. Weinberg, A. Roth, R.B. Stockbridge, and R.R. Breaker. 2012. Widespread genetic switches and toxicity resistance proteins for fluoride. *Science*. 335:233–235. http://dx .doi.org/10.1126/science.1215063
- Cametti, M., and K. Rissanen. 2009. Recognition and sensing of fluoride anion. *Chem. Commun. (Camb.).* (20):2809–2829. http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/b902069a
- De Stefano, S., M. Pusch, and G. Zifarelli. 2013. A single point mutation reveals gating of the human CIC-5 Cl⁻/H⁺ antiporter. J. Physiol. 591:5879–5893. http://dx.doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2013.260240
- Dutzler, R., E.B. Campbell, and R. MacKinnon. 2003. Gating the selectivity filter in CIC chloride channels. *Science*. 300:108–112. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1082708
- Edgar, R.C. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32:1792– 1797. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh340
- Finkelstein, A., and O.S. Andersen. 1981. The gramicidin A channel: a review of its permeability characteristics with special reference to the single-file aspect of transport. J. Membr. Biol. 59:155–171. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01875422
- Gouy, M., S. Guindon, and O. Gascuel. 2010. SeaView version 4: A multiplatform graphical user interface for sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree building. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 27:221–224. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msp259
- Guindon, S., J.F. Dufayard, V. Lefort, M. Anisimova, W. Hordijk, and O. Gascuel. 2010. New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of

PhyML 3.0. Syst. Biol. 59:307–321. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ sysbio/syq010

- Jin, A.J., D. Huster, K. Gawrisch, and R. Nossal. 1999. Light scattering characterization of extruded lipid vesicles. *Eur. Biophys. J.* 28:187–199. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002490050199
- Li, S., K.D. Smith, J.H. Davis, P.B. Gordon, R.R. Breaker, and S.A. Strobel. 2013. Eukaryotic resistance to fluoride toxicity mediated by a widespread family of fluoride export proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 110:19018–19023. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas .1310439110
- Lim, H.H., R.B. Stockbridge, and C. Miller. 2013. Fluoride-dependent interruption of the transport cycle of a CLC Cl⁻/H⁺ antiporter. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 9:721–725. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.1336
- Miller, C. 2006. CIC chloride channels viewed through a transporter lens. *Nature*. 440:484–489. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ nature04713
- Picollo, A., M. Malvezzi, J.C. Houtman, and A. Accardi. 2009. Basis of substrate binding and conservation of selectivity in the CLC family of channels and transporters. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 16:1294–1301. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.1704
- Schultz, S.G., N.L. Wilson, and W. Epstein. 1962. Cation transport in *Escherichia coli*. II. Intracellular chloride concentration.

J. Gen. Physiol. 46:159–166. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp.46 .1.159

- Smith, A.J., and J.D. Lippiat. 2010. Voltage-dependent charge movement associated with activation of the CLC-5 2Cl⁻/1H⁺ exchanger. *FASEB J.* 24:3696–3705. http://dx.doi.org/10.1096/ fj.09-150649
- Stockbridge, R.B., H.H. Lim, R. Otten, C. Williams, T. Shane, Z. Weinberg, and C. Miller. 2012. Fluoride resistance and transport by riboswitch-controlled CLC antiporters. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 109:15289–15294. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas .1210896109
- Stockbridge, R.B., J.L. Robertson, L. Kolmakova-Partensky, and C. Miller. 2013. A family of fluoride-specific ion channels with dualtopology architecture. *eLife.* 2:e01084. http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/ eLife.01084
- Walden, M., A. Accardi, F. Wu, C. Xu, C. Williams, and C. Miller. 2007. Uncoupling and turnover in a Cl[−]/H⁺ exchange transporter. J. Gen. Physiol. 129:317–329. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/ jgp.200709756
- Zifarelli, G., and M. Pusch. 2007. CLC chloride channels and transporters: a biophysical and physiological perspective. *Rev. Physiol. Biochem. Pharmacol.* 158:23–76.