

Odorant-binding Protein 10 From *Bradysia odoriphaga* (Diptera: Sciaridae) Binds Volatile Host Plant Compounds

Jiaqi Zhu,¹ Fu Wang,¹ Youjun Zhang,^{2,9} Yuting Yang,^{1,4,9} and Dengke Hua^{3,4,9}

¹Hubei Engineering Technology Center for Pest Forewarning and Management, Institute of Insect Sciences, College of Agriculture, Yangtze University, Jingzhou 434000, Hubei, China, ²Department of Plant Protection, Institute of Vegetables and Flowers, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, China, ³Institute of Agricultural Quality Standards and Testing Technology Research, Hubei Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Hubei Key Laboratory of Nutritional Quality and Safety of Agro Products, Wuhan 430064, Hubei, China, and ⁴Corresponding authors, e-mail: yangyuting198@163.com (Y.Y.), huadengke@outlook.com (D.H.)

Subject Editor: RussellJurenka

Received 14 October 2022; Editorial decision 3 January 2023.

Abstract

Bradysia odoriphaga (Diptera: Sciaridae) is a major insect pest of seven plant families including 30 commercial crops in Asia. The long-term use of chemical pesticides leads to problems such as insect resistance, environmental issues, and food contamination. Against this background, a novel pest control method should be developed. In insects, odorant-binding proteins (OBPs) transport odor molecules, including pheromones and plant volatiles, to olfactory receptors. Here, we expressed and characterized the recombinant *B. odoriphaga* OBP BodoOBP10, observing that it could bind the sulfur-containing compounds diallyl disulfide and methyl allyl disulfide with K_i values of 8.01 μ M and 7.00 μ M, respectively. Homology modeling showed that the BodoOBP10 3D structure was similar to that of a typical OBP. Both diallyl disulfide and methyl allyl disulfide bound to the same site on BodoOBP10, mediated by interactions with six hydrophobic residues Met70, IIe75, Thr89, Met90, Leu93, and Leu94, and one aromatic residue, Phe143. Furthermore, silencing *BodoOBP10* expression via RNAi significantly reduced the electroantennogram (EAG) response to diallyl disulfide and methyl allyl disulfide. These findings suggest that *BodoOBP10* should be involved in the recognition and localization of host plants.

Key words: Bradysia odoriphaga, odorant-binding protein 10, ligand binding assays, RNAi, electroantennogram

The gnat *Bradysia odoriphaga* (Diptera: Sciaridae) is an agricultural pest that is widely distributed in China and other Asian countries (Yang et al. 2015). It affects over seven plant families, including 30 commercial crops such as Chinese chives, onion, ginger, and other Liliaceae crops (Li et al. 2015). The application of chemical insecticides is currently the main means of control (Yang et al. 2019). However, long-term use of chemicals can result in insect resistance, serious environmental pollution, and the contamination of food, adversely affecting both ecosystem balance and human health (Wang et al. 2011). Thus, novel and alternative management methods are needed for the control of *B. odoriphaga*.

Pheromones and plant volatiles play central roles in insect behavior, especially the regulation of insect-host plant recognition, mate identification, and oviposition (Pelosi et al. 2006, Field et al. 2000, Hansson 2011, Leal 2013, Pelosi et al. 2014). For example, the volatiles of geraniol can lure *Maruca vitrata* (Feng et al. 2017) and *Plutella xylostella* (Mu 2010) and stimulate female oviposition. Volatile sulfur compounds are the main chemical signals used by *Delia antiqua* in seeking host plants and oviposition sites (Harris 1988), and (Z)-3-dodecen-1-yl (E)-2-butenoate, secreted by female *Cylas* *formicarius*, attracts males (Hua et al. 2021). We have previously observed that volatile compounds from Chinese chives modulated the behavior of female *B. odoriphaga*, stimulating oviposition (Yang et al. 2019). However, the mechanism underlying the relationship between *B. odoriphaga* and host plant volatiles has not yet been determined.

Insects use their antennae to monitor chemical signals such as environmental odors and pheromones (Sato 2008, Hansson 2011). Olfaction plays a critical role in a variety of insect activities, including the selection of habitats, mates, and oviposition sites and the evasion of predators (Field et al. 2000, Gadenne et al. 2016). The olfactory system contains odorant-binding proteins (OBPs), small soluble proteins located in the sensillum lymph of the antennae (Field et al. 2000, Wang et al. 2019). The OBPs bind and transport (or termination) odor molecules to the olfactory receptors (ORs) through the sensillum lymph, thereby participating in regulating insect behavior (Leal 2013, Fan et al. 2011, Schymura et al. 2010, Jacquin-Joly 2014, Sims et al. 2022). Therefore, the interaction between OBPs and external odor molecules represents the initial step in insects' recognition of chemical signals in the environment (Vogt et al. 1991, Hua et al. 2021).

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

[©] The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Entomological Society of America.

Previous studies have shown that OBPs participate in different physiological functions as they are expressed in various tissues/organs and interact with specific chemicals (Brito et al. 2016, Pelosi et al. 2018, Rihani et al. 2021). For example, OBP3, OBP6, and OBP10 of Chrysopa pallens are expressed in the antennae and bind to 2-hexyl-1-decanol and (E)-beta-farnesene (Li et al. 2017). In Apolygus lucorum OBP22 is located mostly in the antennae where it assists in the recognition of terpenoids (Liu et al. 2019), whereas OBP14 of Adelphocoris lineolatus is mainly expressed in the heads of adults where it binds to nerolidol, β -ionone, and *trans*-farnesol (Sun et al. 2019). In addition, OBP10 of Apis cerana cerana is expressed in the venom gland, and knockdown of the gene increased the expression of several stress-related genes (Guo et al. 2020) while OBP49a of Drosophila melanogaster is found largely in the taste organs where it detects bitter compounds in food sources (Jeong et al. 2013). These findings suggest that OBPs may be useful targets for novel pest control strategies. For example, knockdown of C. formicarius OBP1-3 significantly altered insect odor attraction(Hua et al. 2021) and, as OBP10 of Helicoverpa armigera can bind to 1-dodecene, the compound has been used as a pest repellent (Sun et al. 2012). In B. odoriphaga, 49 OBP genes were identified by Zhao et al (Zhao et al. 2018), however, to date, relatively few OBP functions have been elucidated in B. odoriphaga although it has been observed that BodoOBP1 and BodoOBP2 (Tang et al. 2019), BodoOBP5 (Yang et al. 2021a), and BodoOBP8 (Yang et al. 2021b) can bind host plant volatiles, implying that OBPs in this species are involved in host plant selection and recognition. Our previous study showed that BodoOBP10 was highly expressed in the antennae both male and female, and this result was consisted with Zhao et al (2018). Antennae as an important olfactory organ of insects, the abundant expression of BodoOBP10 in antennae suggests that it is involved in the olfactory function of insects.

To elucidate the olfactory function of *OBP10*, we conducted the following investigations: 1) analysis of the *BodoOBP10* sequence; 2) investigation of *BodoOBP10* expression in different tissues and developmental stages; 3) assessment of the binding affinity of the recombinant BodoOBP10 protein; 4) prediction of the recombinant BodoOBP10 binding sites for ligands associated with host plant orientation and seeking behavior; 5) silencing of *BodoOBP10* and measurement of the electroantennogram (EAG) responses of *B. odoriphaga* to two sulfur compounds.

Materials and Methods

Insects

All larvae of *B. odoriphaga* were reared on Chinese chives under controlled laboratory conditions, specifically, temperature of $26 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C, 70% RH, and a photoperiod of 14:10 (L:D) h. After pupation, the emergent adults were collected in insect cages. To obtain virgins and mated females, the pupae were reared singly and paired after emergence.

Chemicals

Thirty-two volatile compounds from Chinese chives (Yang et al. 2019) and n-heptadecane from the abdomens of *B. odoriphaga* adult females (Li et al. 2008) were chosen as the test ligands; these are shown in Supp Table S2 (online only). The purity of the ligands exceeded 95%. N-phenyl-1-naphthylamine (1-NPN) was chosen as the fluorescent competitive assay reporter.

RNA Extraction and cDNA Synthesis

Total RNA was extracted from different developmental stages, including eggs (n = 30), larvae (n = 10), and pupae (n = 10), different

sexes, namely, female (n = 10) and male (n = 10), and different tissues, including heads (n = 30), female antennae (n = 500), abdomens (n = 10), and a mixture of thoraces, legs, and wings (n = 10) using TRIzol (Invitrogen), according to the provided instructions. The RNA was reverse-transcribed to cDNA using the PrimeScript II 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (TIANGEN, Beijing, China).

Cloning, Sequencing, and Phylogeny of BodoOBP10

The primers used for *OBP10* RT-PCR are shown in Supp Table S1 (online only). As described in a previous method (Yang et al. 2021a), the purified PCR product was linked to the cloning vector pEASY-Blunt 3 (TransGen Biotech, China), transformed into Trans T1 cells, and sequenced. The ORFs were evaluated by ORF Finder (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gorf/gorf.html), the molecular weight by the ExPASy tool 'ProtParam' (http://smart.emblheidelberg.de/), and the SignalP version 5.1 server(http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/) was used for signal peptide prediction. Theoretical pI values were also determined, and phylogenetic trees were constructed using MEGA 6.0 software (Tamura et al. 2013).

RT-qPCR

The RT-qPCR primers are shown in Supp Table S1 (online only). The RPL18 and RPS15 genes, as well as EF1 and ACT, were used as references for developmental stages and tissues, respectively (Yang et al. 2021a). The qRT-PCR conditions were 94°C for 30 s, followed by 40 cycles of 94°C for 5 s, 55°C for 15 s, and 72°C for 10 s. Relative expression was analyzed using the threshold cycle number (CT) and the 2^{- $\Delta\Delta$}Ct method and the mRNA expression values were normalized to the reference genes (Pfaffl 2001). Three biological replicates were used for all assays. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for analyzing differences in expression patterns using the LSD method. SPSS 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY) was used for statistical analysis and the significance level was *P* < 0.05.

Expression and Purification of Recombinant BodoOBP10

As described in our previous study (Yang et al. 2021a), the PCR products and expression vector pBM30 were linked and expressed in E. coli BL21 (DE3) cells. Positive BodoOBP10/ PBM30 clones were selected using kanamycin. When the OD value of the culture approached 0.6-0.8, 0.5 mM isopropyl-beta-Dthiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) (0.5 mM) was added for induction, and the culture was shaken for 12 h at 28°C. The bacteria were collected by centrifugation, after sonication, the target protein BodoOBP10 was mainly expressed in the inclusion bodies. And then 50 mM Tris buffer (pH 6.8) containing 0.2% Triton X-100 and 6 M guanidine hydrochloride were used to deal with the insoluble inclusion body, respectively. N_i ion affinity chromatography (GE Healthcare) (GE-Healthcare, USA) was used for protein purification. The His tag on the protein was detached with recombinant enterokinase (Novagen, Beijing, China). The quality of the samples was assessed using SDS-PAGE and protein concentrations were measured using a BCA Protein Assay Kit (Beyotime, Shanghai, China).

Fluorescence Binding

Competitive fluorescence binding was used to analyze binding between various ligands and BodoOBP10. The recombinant BodoOBP10 was dissolved in Tris-HCl (20 mM, pH 7.4) and

diluted into 2 µM stock solution. The ligands and 1-NPN were dissolved in methanol and diluted into 1mM stock solutions for next experiments. The excitation spectrum of the 1-NPN/ BodoOBP10 mixture was 337 nm, with an emission spectrum between 350 and 500 nm, using a 10-nm slit width. The methods of competitive fluorescence binding were according to our previously research(Yang et al. 2021a) without modification. The competitive dissociation constants were determined from the IC₅₀ values (the initial fluorescence intensity decreased by 50% with ligand concentration) using the formula: $K_i = [IC_{50}]/(1 + [1-NPN]/K_{1-NPN}]$; where [1–NPN] and K_{1-NPN} represent the concentration of free 1–NPN and the dissociation constant of the protein/1–NPN complex, respectively (Campanacci et al. 2003, Wei et al. 2008).

Modeling of 3D Structure of BodoOBP10

The SwissModel online platform (SWISS-MODEL (expasy.org)) was used for modeling the structure of BodoOBP10. *Anopheles gambiae* OBP20 (AgamOBP20; 4F7F) was used as the template; the sequence identity was 43.7% between AgamOBP20 and BodoOBP10. Ligand binding sites were predicted using SYBIL 7.3. Ligand molecular conformations were predicted in Sketch mode and optimized using Tripos force fields and Gasteiger-Hückel charges. Molecular docking was performed using Surflex-Dock in SYBYL 7.3. Modeling of the BodoOBP protein and ligands was done on a Silicon Graphics (SGI) Fuel Workstation (Silicon Graphics International Corp., CA).

RNAi Experiments and EAG Assay With Volatiles

The primers for dsBodoOBP10 and dsGFP containing a T7 promoter sequence are listed in Supp Table S1 (online only). All dsRNAs were synthesized using the T7 Ribomax TM Express RNAi System (Promega, Madison, WI). RNA quality and concentrations were assessed by agarose electrophoresis and spectrophotometry (NanoDrop, Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA), respectively. The RNAi method was used for the injection of dsRNA into the pupae of *B. odoriphaga* as previously described (Yang et al. 2021a, Zhang et al. 2021) with slight modifications. Briefly, 30 nL of 6 μ g/ μ L dsRNA (either *dsOBP10* or *dsGFP*) were injected into one-day-old pupal abdomens using a Nanoject (Nanoliter 2000). RNA was extracted from the adults that emerged 12 h later, and the effective-ness of the RNAi was assessed by RT-qPCR. Each treatment was repeated three times.

The antenna response to the diallyl disulfide and methyl allyl disulfide sulfur compounds (at 10 µg/µL) was assessed using electroantennogram (EAG) recordings, as described previously (Yang et al. 2019). Briefly, 10-µl volumes of diallyl disulfide or methyl allyl disulfide solutions were applied to filter paper strips. After 10 s, the strips were placed in glass Pasteur pipettes. The control was a filter paper strip with 10 µl of hexane; the control was tested before and after the experimental solutions. The antennae of the adult females that had emerged at 12 h treated with *dsOBP10* or *dsGFP* were rapidly detached. The antennal root was connected to the reference glass electrode, with the tip connected to the recording electrode. Ten replicates were used for each treatment. The results were analyzed using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's test (P < 0.05), and all results were represented by means \pm SE.

Results

BodoOBP10 Identification and Phylogeny

The full-length cDNA encoding BodoOBP10 (GenBank: AWC08421.1) was verified by RT-PCR (Fig. 1A). The Open Reading Frame (ORF) consisted of 435 bp encoding 144 residues, with a 19-residue at the N-terminus (Fig. 1B; Table 1). This result is consistent with the size of BodoOBP10 in Fig. 1A. The BodoOBP10 belongs to the minus-C OBP family that contains four conserved cysteines (Fig. 1B), with a predicted molecular weight (MW) of 16.48 kDa and a pI of 8.08 (Table 1). In the phylogenetic analysis, BodoOBP10 clustered with AgamOBP20, AaegOBP55, AgamOBP6, and AaegOBP27 on the same branch, indicating close relationships (Supp Fig. S1 [online only]).



Fig. 1. (A) Amplification of full open reading frames (ORF) of *BodoOBP10*. The gene of *BodoOBP10* is indicated in red, the molecular marker is marked on both sides of *BodoOBP10*. (B) Sequences alignment of BodoOBP10 of Nucleotide and deduced amino acid. The initiation and termination condons are marked in black boxes; the predicted signal peptides at the N-terminus are underlined in red; the four conserved cysteines are signed by red circle.

Table 1. L	ist of	OBP10	genes in	В.	odoriphaga
------------	--------	-------	----------	----	------------

Gene	Acc. no	Length of ORF	Amino acid length	Signal peptide	Full ORF	Isoelectric point pI	Mw (kDa)
OBP10	AWC08421.1	435	144	1–19	Yes	8.08	16.48

Spatial Profiling of BodoOBP10 Protein

BodoOBP10 expression in various developmental stages and tissues was examined by qRT-PCR. Expression was highest at the adult stage, especially in adult males, followed by adult females (Fig. 2A). In terms of tissue expression, *BodoOBP* was found to be expressed specifically in antennae of both sexes, with the highest levels in male antennae. These findings were consistent with those of Zhao et al. (2018), suggesting that BodoOBP10 is related to antenna functions in *B. odoriphaga* (Fig. 2B).

BodoOBP10 Expression and Purification

BodoOBP10 was successfully expressed in *E. coli*. SDS-PAGE (Supp Fig. S2 [online only]). The SDS-PAGE analysis showed that the recombinant protein BodoOBP10 had a size slightly greater than 15 kDa, which is consistent with the predicted molecular weight of 16.48 kDa (Table 1).

Fluorescence binding Assay of BodoOBP10

The fluorescence reporter, 1-NPN, was used to assess binding between BodoOBP10 and the different ligands. BodoOBP10 showed high-affinity binding to 1-NPN, with a dissociation constant (K_i) of 0.78 μ M (Fig. 3A). The competitive fluorescence binding graphs indicated that the [BodoOBP10/1-NPN] mixture bound the two sulfur compounds diallyl disulfide and methyl allyl disulfide, with K_i values of 8.01 and 7.00 μ M, respectively (Fig. 3B; Supp Table S3 [online only]). However, the [BodoOBP10/1-NPN] mixture only bound weakly to other compounds (Supp Table S3 [online only]). These results indicate that BodoOBP10 is a functional protein allowing *B. odoriphaga* to recognize sulfur-containing volatiles, with strong affinity for diallyl disulfide and methyl allyl disulfide.

3D Modeling and Molecular Docking of BodoOBP10

As shown in Fig. 4A, the crystal structure of AgamOBP20 (4F7F) was used as the modeling template; the sequence identity between AgamOBP20 and BodoOBP10 was 43.7%. The modeled structure

of BodoOBP10 was mostly helical and showed that the six α -helices of BodoOBP10 were located between Thr22-Gln38 (α 1), Ser44-Ile51 (α 2), Leu61-Met72 (α 3), Tyr83-Leu93 (α 4), Asp96-Lys110 (α 5), and Glu121-Met127 (α 6) (Fig. 4A). The structure also included four conserved cysteine residues, forming two disulfide bonds between Cys37-Cys68 and Cys109-Cys129, to further stabilize the whole structure (Fig. 4B). The structures of BodoOBP10 and the template AgamOBP20 aligned well, and the values of GMQE was 0.7 (Fig. 4C).

Molecular docking was then used to analyze the key residues involved in BodoOBP-ligand binding (Fig. 4). As shown by fluorescence binding, BodoOBP10 bound with high affinity ($K_i < 10.00 \mu$ M) to both diallyl disulfide and methyl allyl disulfide. Thus, two disulfide compounds were selected as the ligands and used for molecular docking with BodoOBP10 protein. The results showed both compounds bound to almost the same site on BodoOBP10 due to their similarities in 3D structure and binding conformation; this was especially evident with the disulfide bonds (Fig. 4). In addition, the result of molecular docking also indicated that the existence of van der Waals interaction between ligand and protein (Fig. 4). Both ligands were surrounded by some same hydrophobic residues, namely, Met70, Ile75, Thr89, Met90, Leu93, and Leu94, and one aromatic residue, Phe143 (Fig. 4D and E).

Functional Analysis of BodoOBP10

The function of *BodoOBP10* was investigated using RNAi.After injection with *dsOBP10*, *dsGFP*, or no injection, the BodoOBP10 expression level was significant reduced compared with the control (no injection or injected with dsGFP) (P < 0.05) (Fig. 5A). In the EAG assays, compared with the control (dsGFP-injected), the EAG responses in *dsOBP10*-injected insects were significantly reduced in response to methyl allyl disulfide (t = 9.044, df = 18, P < 0.001) and diallyl disulfide (t = 11.374, df = 18, P < 0.001) (Fig. 5B).

Discussion

OBPs have an important function in the olfactory systems of insects. They transport chemical cues such as pheromones and volatile compounds released from host plants to the ORs on olfactory



Fig. 2. Gene expression profiling of *BodoOBP10.* (A) RT-qPCR analysis in different developmental stages: Eggs; Larvae; Pupae; Female (newly emerged and virgin); Male (newly emerged and virgin). (B) RT-qPCR analysis in different tissues: FA: Female antenna; MA: Male antenna; Head; Abdomen; Carcass: leg + wing + thorax. The expression levels were estimated using the 2^{-ΔΔCt} method. The expression level in eggs was used as a standard to compare expression levels among developmental stages, and the expression level in male antennae was used as a standard to compare expression levels among tissues. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for analyzing differences in expression patterns using the LSD method. Data are normally distributed. SPSS 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for statistical analysis and the values are means + SE; means with different letters are significantly different (*P* < 0.05).



Fig. 3. Competitive binding curves of BodoOBP10 with ligands. (A) Binding curves for 1-NPN and Scatchard plots; (B) Competitive binding curves of two sulfur compounds with BodoOBP10. (Round) Methyl allyl disulfide; (Square) Diallyl disulfide. Each treatment was repeated three times and the values represents the means.



Fig. 4. 3D structural modeling and molecular docking of BodoOBP10. (A) The sequence alignment between the template protein AgamOBP20 and the target protein BodoOBP10; the black coil represents the α -helix; (B)The 3D model of the target protein BodoOBP10 based on the crystal structure of the template protein of AgamOBP20; the position of α -helix is marked by white; (C) The alignment plot of the target protein BodoOBP10 (red) and the template protein AgamOBP20 (purple, ID: 4F7F). Predicted binding mode and key residues between the target protein BodoOBP10 and methyl allyl disulfide (purple) (D), diallyl disulfide (green) (E). Residues are marked in blue.

neurons, ultimately giving rise to behavioral responses (Brito et al. 2016, Leal 2013, Yao et al. 2021, Zhang et al. 2022, Sims et al. 2022, Rihani et al. 2021). Therefore, insect OBPs may be effective molecular targets for pest control.

In order to understand the evolutionary relationship between OBP10 and other diptera insects, we constructed a phylogenetic tree using dipteran OBPs sequences. The result showed that BodoOBP10

was located in a single clade of the phylogenetic tree, and clustered with AgamOBP20, AaegOBP55, AgamOBP6, and AaegOBP27 on the same branch (Supp Fig. S1 [online only]), indicating that these OBPs have close relationships and may have came from common ancestral protein.

Here, we analyzed the expression of *BodoOBP10* showing that the gene was expressed largely in the adult insect stages. Expression



Fig. 5. RNAi and electroantennograms (EAG) assays of *BodoOBP10*. (A) Effect of RNAi treatment on the transcript levels of *BodoOBP10*. (B) EAG responses of *B. odoriphaga* after RNAi. MD: Methyl allyl disulfide; DD: Diallyl disulfide. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for analyzing differences in expression patterns using the LSD method. Data are normally distributed. SPSS 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for statistical analysis and the values are means + SE; means with different letters are significantly different (*P* < 0.05).

was high in the antennae of female and male adults, with higher levels in males (Fig. 2A and B). These findings are consistent with those of a previous transcriptomic study of *B. odoriphaga* (Zhao et al. 2018). Insect OBPs have been found in many organs, suggesting that they are involved in specific physiological functions (Brito et al. 2016, Pelosi et al. 2018, Li et al. 2022, Sims et al. 2022, Rihani et al. 2021). The abundant expression of OBPs genes in insect antennae suggests that it is mainly involved in the olfactory function of insects(Yang et al. 2016, Pelosi et al. 2018). Other studies have also shown high expression of OBPs in male antennae, suggesting involvement in courtship (Liu et al. 2022, Chen et al. 2018a, b). Hence, we hypothesize that OBP10 is likely involved in courtship as well as olfactory function of *B. odoriphaga* of *B. odoriphaga*.

Competitive fluorescence assays are frequently used for studying binding between olfaction-associated proteins and odorant compounds, such as pheromones and plant volatiles, and have been used in a variety of insect species, including Holotrichia oblita (Yin et al. 2019), C. pallens (Li et al. 2017), Sogatella furcifera (He and He 2014, Chen et al. 2018b), Diaphorina citri (Zhang et al. 2020, Wang et al. 2020, Liu et al. 2021), and Athetis lepigone (Yao et al. 2021). Here, we choose the extract of abdomen of B. odoriphaga n-heptadecane and 32 host plant volatiles to investigate binding with BodoOBP10. BodoOBP10 was found to bind two sulfur-containing plant volatiles with high affinity, namely, dially disulfide ($K_i = 8.01$ μ M) and methyl allyl disulfide (K_i = 7.00 μ M), with minimal binding to n-heptadecane ($K_i > 50\mu M$). n-Heptadecane was extracted from the abdomens of adult females and can lead to behavioral responses in male B. odoriphaga (Li et al. 2008). These results suggest that n-heptadecane was mainly recognized by other OBPs but not by OBP10. Additionally, the two sulfur-containing compounds diallyl disulfide and methyl allyl disulfide not only could stimulate the EAG responses of B. odoriphaga adults but could also stimulate female oviposition (Yang et al. 2019). Thus, these results suggest that BodoOBP10 is involved in the detection of host plant volatiles and mating sites.

To further identify the residues involved in the binding of diallyl disulfide and methyl allyl disulfide to BodoOBP10, we used 3D modeling and molecular docking. Based on the secondary structure and 3D model, BodoOBP10 contains four conserved cysteines that formed two disulfide bonds (Cys37-Cys68 and Cys109-Cys129) indicating that it may be a minus-C OBP type. These result are consistent with those previously reported, including *Bactrocera minax* OBP9(Yao et al. 2021), *Harmonia axyridis OBP1* and OBP3 (Qu et al. 2021). In addition, the results of molecular docking showed

that six hydrophobic residues, Met70, Ile75, Thr89, Met90, Leu93, and Leu94, formed a hydrophobic binding pocket, contributing to binding. Similar hydrophobic pockets involved in binding have been reported for insect OBPs; e.g., Arg107 in *D. citri* OBP7 (Liu et al. 2021), Tyr77, Ile41, Ala116, Ala113, Lys38, Gln43, and Ile114 in *Aphid Sitobion* OBP9 (Ullah et al. 2020), Trp50, Leu67, and Phe113 in *Nilaparvata lugens* OBP8 (He et al. 2019), Lys123 in *Helicoverpa armigera* OBP7 (Dong et al. 2017), Leu99, Leu103, Ala143, Tyr107, Phe142, and Trp144 in *B. odoriphaga* OBP5 (Yang et al. 2021a), and Ile96, Ile103, Ala107, and Leu111 in *B. odoriphaga* OBP8 (Yang et al. 2021b). This suggests that the residues Met70, Ile75, Thr89, Met90, Leu93, Leu94, and Phe143 are good candidates of binding sites for recognizing and binding of diallyl disulfide and methyl allyl disulfide by BodoOBP10. However, the functions of these residues need further experiments to confirm.

RNAi was used to verify the role of BodoOBP10 in B. odoriphaga chemoreception. Compared with the control (dsGFPinjected), the EAG response of dsOBP10-injected insects to both methyl allyl disulfide and diallyl disulfide was significantly reduced. This finding is consistent with previous reports where, for example, knockdown of OBP2 in Aphis gossypii significantly lowered EAG responses to host plant volatiles (Rebijith et al. 2016). In A. lineolatus, silencing of OBP4also reduced EAG responses to six semiochemicals (Zhang et al. 2017) while silencing of OBP7 in D. citri lowered the EAG activities of adults towards four volatile compounds (Liu et al. 2021). Interestingly, our previous investigation of EAG and behavioral responses showed that the antennae of adult B. odoriphaga also exhibited a significant preference for the sulfur-containing compounds methyl allyl disulfide and diallyl disulfide (Yang et al. 2019). Therefore, we believe that diallyl disulfide and methyl allyl disulfide can be recognized by BodoOBP10, and BodoOBP10 is involved in the host plant recognition of B. odoriphaga.

Conclusion

In conclusion, *BodoOBP10* is mainly expressed in the antennae of both female and male insects, with higher levels in males, and specifically binds two sulfur-containing compounds, methyl allyl disulfide and diallyl disulfide. Modeling and docking studies showed that the BodoOBP10 structure is similar to that of a classic OBP and that six hydrophobic residues, Met70, Ile75, Thr89, Met90, Leu93, and Leu94, together with Phe143, on BodoOBP10 are candidates of binding sites with the two ligands. Furthermore, silencing *OBP10* reduced EAG responses to both methyl allyl disulfide and diallyl

disulfide. Therefore, our findings indicate that BodoOBP10 plays a vital role in olfactory perception including host plant recognition and location in *B. odoriphaga* and suggest that it may be a useful target for controlling *B. odoriphaga* in crops.

Acknowledgments

The research of Y.-T.Y., J.-Q.Z., and F.W., was funded and carried out by Zhang Youjun's Laboratory, Institute of Vegetables and Flowers, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, China. Finally, we thank the reviewers for their careful reading of our manuscript, and helpful and constructive suggestions both in English and data presentation. This work was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32102202) to Y.-T.Y., and China Agriculture Research System (CARS-24-C-02) to Y.-J.Z.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Yuting Yang, Dengke Hua, Youjun Zhang; Methodology: Jiaqi Zhu, Yuting Yang, Fu Wang; Formal analysis and investigation: Yuting Yang, Jiaqi Zhu; Writing - original draft preparation: Jiaqi Zhu, Yuting Yang; Writing - review and editing: Yuting Yang, Dengke Hua; Supervision: Yuting Yang, Dengke Hua.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Supplementary Data

Supplementary data are available at Journal of Insect Science online.

Figure S1. Phylogenetic analysis of the odorant-binding proteins (OBPs) from *Bradysia odoriphaga* and other insects. BodoOBP10 is indicated by a red bold. Bodo, *Bradysia odoriphaga*;Agam, *Anopheles gambiae*;Dmel, *Drosophila melanogaster*; Aaeg, *Aedes aegypti*. Based on the predicted amino acid sequences, we used the Multhithreaded Maxminum Likelihood method in MEGA6.0 to construct a phylogenetic tree for each gene; this was done with 1000 bootstrap replications (Tamura et al. 2013) and with Poisson correction of distances.

Figure S2. SDS-PAGE analysis of the recombinant BodoOBP10.1: the crude bacterial extracts before induction by IPTG; 2: the crude bacterial extracts after induction by IPTG; 3: inclusion body of induced BodoOBP10; 4: supernatant of induced BodoOBP10; 5: the purified recombinant protein BodoOBP10 with His-tag; 6: recombinant protein BodoOBP10 without His-tag.

References Cited

- Brito, N. F., M. F. Moreira, and A. C. A. Melo. 2016. A look inside odorantbinding proteins in insect chemoreception. J. Insect Physiol. 95: 51–65. doi:10.1016/j.jinsphys.2016.09.008
- Campanacci, V., A. Lartigue, B. M. Hallberg, T. A. Jones, M. T. Giudici-Orticoni, M. Tegoni, and C. Cambillau. 2003. Moth chemosensory protein exhibits drastic conformational changes and cooperativity on ligand binding. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 100: 5069–5074. doi:10.1073/ pnas.0836654100
- Chen, X. L., G. W. Li, X. L. Xu, and J. X. Wu. 2018a. Molecular and functional characterization of odorant binding protein 7 from the oriental fruit moth *Grapholita molesta* (Busck) (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). *Front. Physiol.* 9: 1762. doi:10.3389/fphys.2018.01762

- Chen, G. L., Y. F. Pan, Y. F. Ma, J. Wang, M. He, and P. He. 2018b. Binding affinity characterization of an antennae-enriched chemosensory protein from the white-backed planthopper, *Sogatella furcifera* (Horvath), with host plant volatiles. *Pest Bioch. Physiol.* 152: 1–7.
- Dong, K., L. Sun, J. T. Liu, J. T. Liu, S. H. Gu, J. J. Zhou, R. N. Yang, K. H. Dhiloo, X. W. Gao, Y.Y. Guo, and Y. J. Zhang. 2017. RNAi-induced electrophysiological and behavioral changes reveal two pheromone binding proteins of *Helicoverpa armigera* involved in the perception of the main sex pheromone component Z11-16:Ald. J. Chem. Ecol. 43: 207–214.
- Field, L. M., J. A. Pickett, and L. J. Wadhams. 2000. Molecular studies in insect olfaction. *Insect. Mol. Biol.* 9: 545–551. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2583.2000.00221.x
- Feng, B., K. Qian, and Y. J. Du. 2017. Floral volatiles from Vigna unguiculata are olfactory and gustatory stimulants for oviposition by the Bean Pod Borer Moth Maruca vitrata. Insect. 8: 60.
- Fan, J., F. Francis, Y. Liu, J. L. Chen, and D. F. Cheng. 2011. An overview of odorant-binding protein functions in insect peripheral olfactory reception. *Genet. Mol. Res.* 10: 3056–3069. doi:10.4238/2011.December.8.2
- Gadenne, C., R. B. Barrozo, and S. Anton. 2016. Plasticity in insect olfaction: to smell or not to smell? Annu. Rev. Entomol. 61: 317–333. doi:10.1146/ annurev-ento-010715-023523
- Guo, D., C. Hao, X. P. Cui, Y. Wang, Z. G. Liu, B. H. Xu, and X. Q. Guo. 2020. Molecular and functional characterization of the novel odorant-binding protein gene AccOBP10 from *Apis cerana cerana*. J. Bioch. 169: 215–225.
- Hansson, B. S., and M. C. Stensmyr. 2011. Evolution of insect olfaction. Neuron. 72: 698–711. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2011.11.003
- Harris, M. O., and J. R. Miller. 1988. Host-acceptance behavior in an herbivorous fly, *Delia antiqua*. J. Insect Physiol. 3: 179–190.
- Hua, J. F., C. Pan, Y. M. Huang, Y. Q. Li, H. F. Li, C. R. Wu, T. Y. Chen, D. F. Ma, and Z. Y. Li. 2021. Functional characteristic analysis of three odorantbinding proteins from the sweet potato weevil (*Cylas formicarius*) in the perception of sex pheromones and host plant volatiles. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 77: 300–312.
- He, M., and P. He. 2014. Molecular characterization, expression profiling, and binding properties of odorant binding protein genes in the white-backed planthopper Sogatella furcifera. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B Biochem. Mol. Bio. 174: 1–8.
- He, P., N. Durand, and S. L. Dong. 2019. Insect olfactory proteins from gene identification to functional characterization. *Front. Physiol.* 10: 1313. doi:10.3389/fphys.2019.01313.
- Jacquin-Joly, E., and C. Merlin. 2014. Insect olfactory receptors: Contributions of molecular biology to chemical ecology. J. Chem. Ecol. 30: 2359–2397.
- Jeong, Y. T., J. Shim, S. R. Oh, H. I. Yoon, C. H. Kim, S. J. Moon, and C. Montell. 2013. An odorant-binding protein required for suppression of sweet taste by bitter chemicals. *Neuron.* 79: 725–737. doi:10.1016/j. neuron.2013.06.025
- Li, W. X., Y. T. Yang, W. Xie, Q. J. Wu, B. Y. Xu, S. L. Wang, S. J. Wang, and Y. J. Zhang. 2015. Effects of temperature on the age-stage, two-sex life table of *Bradysia odoriphaga* (Diptera: Sciaridae). J. Econ. Entomol. 108: 126–134.
- Leal, W. S. 2013. Odorant reception in insects: roles of receptors, binding proteins, and degrading enzymes. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 58: 373–391. doi:10.1146/annurev-ento-120811-153635
- Li, Z. Q., S. Zhang, X. M. Cai, J. Y. Luo, S. L. Dong, J. J. Cui, and Z. M. Chen. 2017. Three odorant binding proteins may regulate the behavioural response of *Chrysopa pallens* to plant volatiles and the aphid alarm pheromone (E)-beta-farnesene. *Insect. Mol. Biol.* 26: 255–265. doi:10.1111/ imb.12295
- Liu, H., H. Duan, Q. Wang, Y. Xiao, Q. Wang, Q. Xiao, L. Sun, and Y. J. Zhang. 2019. Key amino residues determining binding activities of the odorant binding protein AlucOBP22 to two host plant terpenoids of *Apolygus lucorum. J. Agric. Food Chem.* 67: 5949–5956.
- Li, H. J., X. K. He, A. J. Zeng, Y. J. Liu, and S. R. Jiang. 2008. Evidence of a female sex pheromone in *Bradysia odoriphaga* (Diptera: Sciaridae). *Can. Entomol.* 140: 324–326. doi:10.4039/n08-005
- Li, L. L., J. R. Huang, J. W. Xu, W. C. Yao, H. H. Yang, L. Shao, H. R. Zhang, Y. Zhu, X. Y. Dewer, and Y. N. Zhang. 2022. Ligand-binding properties of odorant-binding protein 6 in *Athetis lepigone* to sex pheromones and maize volatiles. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 78: 52–62.

- Liu, H., C. Wang, C. L. Qiu, J. H. Shi, Z. Sun, X. J. Hu, L. Liu, and M. Q. Wang. 2022. A salivary odorant binding protein mediates *Nilaparvata lugens* feeding and host plant phytohormone suppression. *Inter. J. Mol. Sci.* 22: 4988.
- Liu, X. Q., H. B. Jiang, J. Y. Fan, T. Y. Liu, L. W. Meng, Y. Liu, H. Z. Yu, W. Dou, and J. J. Wang. 2021. An odorant-binding protein of Asian citrus psyllid, *Diaphorina citri*, participates in the response of host plant volatiles. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 77: 3068–3079. doi:10.1002/ps.6352
- Mu, L. L., and G. Q. Li. 2010. Influence of methanol-treatedRaphanus sativuson Plutella xylostellaandPhyllotreta striolata. Acta Phytophy. Sin. 37: 575–576.
- Pelosi, P., J. J. Zhou, L. P. Ban, and M. Calvello. 2006. Soluble proteins in insect chemical communication. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 63: 1658–1676. doi:10.1007/s00018-005-5607-0
- Pelosi, P., I. Iovinella, A. Felicioli, and F. R. Dani. 2014. Soluble proteins of chemical communication: an overview across arthropods. *Front. Physiol.* 5: 320. doi:10.3389/fphys.2014.00320
- Pelosi, P., I. Iovinella, J. Zhu, G. Wang, and F. R. Dani. 2018. Beyond chemoreception: diverse tasks of soluble olfactory proteins in insects. *Biol. Rev.* 93: 184–200.
- Pfaffl, M. W. 2001. A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 29: 45e2002–45e2045. doi:10.1093/nar/29.9.e45
- Qu, C., R. Wang, W. N. Che, F. Q. Li, H. P. Zhao, Y. Y. Wei, C. Luo, and M. Xue. 2021. Identification and tissue distribution of odorant binding protein genes in *Harmonia axyridis* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). *J. Integr. Agric.* 20: 2204–2213.
- Rihani, K., J. F. Ferveur, and L. Briand. 2021. The 40-year mystery of insect odorant-binding proteins. *Biomolecules*. 11: 509. doi:10.3390/ biom11040509
- Rebijith, K. B., R. Asokan, H. R. Hande, K. N. K. Krishna, V. Krishna, J. Vinutha, and N. Bakthavatsalam. 2016. RNA interference of odorantbinding protein 2 (OBP2) of the cotton aphid, *Aphis gossypii* (glover), resulted in altered electrophysiological responses. *Appl. Biochem. Biotech*. 178: 251–266.
- Sims, C., M. A. Birkett, and D. M. Withall. 2022. Enantiomeric discrimination in insects: the role of OBPs and ORs. *Insects*. 13: 368. doi:10.3390/ insects13040368
- Sato, K., and K. Touhara. 2008. Insect olfaction: receptors, signal transduction, and behavior. *Results Probl. Cell Death Differ*. 47: 121–138. https:// doi.org/10.1007/400_2008_10
- Schymura, D., M. Forstner, A. Schultze, T. Kröber, L. Swevers, K. Latrou, and K. J. Jürgen. 2010. Antennal expression pattern of two olfactory receptors and an odorant binding protein implicated in host odor detection by the malaria vector *Anopheles gambiae*. Int. J. Biol. Sci. 6: 614–626.
- Sun, L., Y. Li, Z. D. Zhang, H. W. Guo, Q. Xiao, Q. Wang, and Y. J. Zhang. 2019. Expression patterns and ligand binding characterization of Plus-C odorant-binding protein 14 from *Adelphocoris lineolatus*(Goeze). Comp. *Biochem. Physiol. Part B.* 227: 75–82.
- Sun, Y. F., F. De, H. L. Biasio, I. Qiao, S. X. Iovinella, Y. Yang, L. Ling, D. Riviello, Battaglia, P. Falabella, X. L. Yang, and P. Pelosi. 2012. Two odorant-binding proteins mediate the behavioural response of aphids to the alarm pheromone (E)-β-farnesene and structural analogues. *PLoS One.* 7: e32759.
- Tang, B. W., S. L. Tai, W. Dai, and C. N. Zhang. 2019. Expression and functional analysis of two odorant-binding proteins from *Bradysia odoriphaga* (Diptera: Sciaridae). J. Agric. Food Chem. 67: 3565–3574.
- Tamura, K., G. Stecher, D. Peterson, A. Filipski, and S. Kumar. 2013. MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 30: 2725–2729. doi:10.1093/molbev/mst197
- Ullah, R. M. K., S. R. Quershi, M. M. Adeel, H. Abdelnabby, M. I. Waris, S. G. Duan, and M. Q. Wang. 2020. An odorant binding protein (SaveOBP9) involved in chemoreception of the wheat aphid *Sitobion avenae*. *Inter. J. Mol. Sci.* 21: 8331.

- Vogt, R. G., G. D. Prestwich, and M. R. Lerner. 1991. Odorant-binding-protein sub-families associate with distinct classes of olfactory receptor neurons in insects. J. Neurobiol. 22: 74–84. doi:10.1002/neu.480220108
- Wang, W. J., T. Zhang, J. M. Chen, and Z. D. Chen. 2011. Present situation and control technology of pesticide residue in Chinese Chives. *Shandong Agric. Sci.* 10: 82–84. In Chinese.
- Wang, Q., J. J. Zhou, J. T. Liu, G. Z. Huang, W. Y. Xu, Q. Zhang, J. L. Chen, Y. J. Zhang, X. C. Li, and S. H. Gu. 2019. Integrative transcriptomic and genomic analysis of odorant binding proteins and chemosensory proteins in aphids. *Insect. Mol. Biol.* 28: 1–22. doi:10.1111/imb.12513
- Wei, Y., A. Brandazza, and P. Pelosi. 2008. Binding of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons to mutants of odorant-binding protein: a first step towards biosensors for environmental monitoring. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta (BBA) Proteins Proteomics*. 1784: 666–671.
- Wang, Z., C. Gao, J. Liu, W. Zhou, and X. Zeng. 2020. Host plant odors and their recognition by the odorant-binding proteins of *Diaphorinacitri Kuwayama* (Hemiptera: Psyllidae). *Pest Manag. Sci.* 76: 2453–2464. doi:10.1002/ps.5786
- Yang, Y. T., W. X. Li, W. Xie, Q. J. Wu, B. Y. Xu, S. L. Wang, C. R. Li, and Y. J. Zhang. 2015. Development of *Bradysia odoriphaga* (Diptera: Sciaridae) as affected by humidity: an age-stage, two-sex, life-table study. *Appl. Entomol. Zool.* 50: 3–10.
- Yang, K., L. Yan, D. J. Niu, D. Wei, F. Li, G. R. Wang, and S. L. Dong. 2016. Identification of novel odorant binding protein genes and functional characterization of OBP8 in Chilo suppressalis (Walker). *Gene*. 591: 425–432. doi:10.1016/j.gene.2016.06.052.
- Yang, Y. T., Q. Su, L. L. Shi, G. Chen, Y. Zeng, C. H. Shi, and Y. J. Zhang. 2019. Electrophysiological and behavioural responses of *Bradysia odoriphaga* Yang et Zhang (Diptera: Sciaridae) to volatiles from its host plant, Chinese chives (*Allium tuberosum* Rottler). J. Econ. Entomol. 112: 1638–1644.
- Yang, Y. T., D. K. Hua, C. H. Shi, W. Xie, and Y. J. Zhang. 2021a. Molecular and binding characteristic of OBP5 of *Bradysia odoriphaga* (Diptera: Sciaridae). J. Econ. Entomol. 114: 1509–1516.
- Yang, Y. T., L. Luo, L. X. Tian, C. W. Zhao, H. Niu, Y. F. Hu, C. H. Shi, W. Xie, and Y. J. Zhang. 2021b. Function and characterization analysis of BodoOBP8 from *Bradysia odoriphaga* (Diptera: Sciaridae) in the recognition of plant volatiles and sex pheromones. *Insects.* 12: 879.
- Yao, R. X., M. M. Zhao, L. Zhong, Y. Li, D. Z. Li, Z. N. Deng, and X. F. Ma. 2021. Characterization of the binding ability of the odorant binding protein BminOBP9 of *Bactrocera minax* to citrus volatiles. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 77: 1214–1225.
- Yin, J., C. Wang, C. Fang, S. Zhang, Y. Cao, K. B. Li, and W. S. Lea. 2019. Functional characterization of odorant-binding proteins from the scarab beetle *Holotrichia oblita* based on semiochemical-induced expression alteration and gene silencing. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 104: 11–19.
- Zhao, Y., J. Ding, Z. Zhang, F. Liu, C. Zhou, and W. Mu. 2018. Sex- and tissuespecific expression profiles of odorant binding protein and chemosensory protein genes in *Bradysia odoriphaga* (Diptera: Sciaridae). *Front. Physiol.* 9: 107. doi:10.3389/fphys.2018.00107
- Zhang, C. N., B. W. Tang, T. L. Zhou, X. T. Yu, M. F. Hu, and W. Dai. 2021. Involvement of chemosensory protein BodoCSP1 in perception of host plant volatiles in *Bradysia odoriphaga*. J. Agric. Food Chem. 69: 10797–10806.
- Zhang, Q. K., Z. B. Li, D. K. Chen, S. Y. Wu, H. H. Wang, Y. L. Li, and Z. R. Lei. 2022. The molecular identification, odor binding characterization, and immunolocalization of odorant-binding proteins in*Liriomyza trifolii*. *Pest Bioch. Physiol.* 181: 105016.
- Zhang, H., J. L. Chen, J. H. Lin, J. T. Lin, and Z. Z. Wu. 2020. Odorantbinding proteins and chemosensory proteins potentially involved in host plant recognition in the Asian citrus psyllid *Diaphorina citri*. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 76: 2609–2618. doi:10.1002/ps.5799
- Zhang, X. Y., X. Q. Zhu, S. H. Gu, Y. L. Zhou, S. Y. Wang, Y. J. Zhang, and Y. Y. Guo. 2017. Silencing of odorant binding protein gene AlinOBP4 by RNAi induces declining electrophysiological responses of *Adelphocoris lineolatus* to six semiochemicals. *Insect Sci.* 24: 789–797. doi:10.1111/1744-7917.12365