

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry 25 (2017) 4637-4648

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bmc

New prodrugs of two pyrimidine acyclic nucleoside phosphonates: Synthesis and antiviral activity



Marcela Krečmerová^{a,*}, Martin Dračínský^a, Robert Snoeck^b, Jan Balzarini^b, Karel Pomeisl^a, Graciela Andrei^b

^a Institute of Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry, Czech Academy of Sciences, Flemingovo nám. 2, CZ-166 10, Prague 6, Czech Republic ^b Rega Institute for Medical Research, KU Leuven, Herestraat 49, Box 1043, B-3000 Leuven, Belgium

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 24 May 2017 Revised 21 June 2017 Accepted 27 June 2017 Available online 6 July 2017

Keywords: Acyclic nucleoside phosphonates Open-ring PMEO-DAPy 5-Azacytosine PME-azaC HPMP-5-azaC Prodrug Phosphonate Antivirals

ABSTRACT

New 2,4-diamino-6-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethoxy]pyrimidine (PMEO-DAPy) and 1-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethyl]-5-azacytosine (PME-5-azaC) prodrugs were prepared with a pro-moiety consisting of carbonyloxymethyl esters (POM, POC), alkoxyalkyl esters, amino acid phosphoramidates and/or tyrosine. The activity of the prodrugs was evaluated *in vitro* against different virus families. None of the synthesized prodrugs demonstrated activity against RNA viruses but some of them proved active against herpesviruses [including herpes simplex virus (HSV), varicella-zoster virus (VZV), and human cytomegalovirus (HCMV)]. The bis(POC) and the bis(amino acid) phosphoramidate prodrugs of PMEO-DAPy inhibited herpesvirus replication at lower doses than the parent compound although the selectivity against HSV and VZV was only slightly improved compared to PMEO-DAPy. The mono-octadecyl ester of PME-5-azaC only had marginal anti-herpesvirus activity. Although the bis (hexadecylamido-t-tyrosyl) and the bis(POM) esters of PME-5-azaC were also very potent anti-herpesvirus drugs, these were less selective than the mono-octadecyl ester prodrug.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Acyclic nucleoside phosphonates (ANPs) are compounds of great importance due to the broad spectrum of biological activities, especially antiviral but also cytostatic, immunomodulatory and antiparasitic.^{1–4} Some of them have become already clinically available drugs: cidofovir for the treatment of human cytomegalovirus (CMV) retinitis in AIDS patients, adefovir in a prodrug form as adefovir dipivoxil for the treatment of hepatitis B (HBV) and tenofovir, either as tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, or newly (since 2015) also as a new prodrug form tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) for the treatment of HIV and HBV infections. On the other hand, it should be noted that over the last thirty years of systematic investigation of ANPs, there are dozens of other therapeutically attractive structures synthesized but never advanced to the stage of preclinical/clinical investigations.⁵ These structures are namely a) antiretroviral purine 3-fluoro-2-[(phosphonomethoxy)propyl] derivatives,⁶ b) acyclic nucleoside phosphonates with 5-azacytosine base moiety,⁷ c) 6-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)alkoxy]-2, 4-diaminopyrimidines ("open-ring" derivatives)⁸⁻¹⁰ and d) aza/deaza analogues of purine [(phosphonomethoxy)ethyl] derivatives.¹¹

The common structural attribute of all ANPs is their highly polar character caused by the presence of the phosphonic acid residue which is responsible for their unfavourable pharmacological properties: low cell permeability and low oral bioavailability. To overcome this problem, transformation of free acyclic nucleoside phosphonates to appropriate prodrugs is often a solution.

In our work, we focus on two pharmacologically interesting ANP structures: 2,4-diamino-6-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethoxy] pyrimidine – an example of the so-called "open-ring" ANPs and on the group of 5-azacytosine derivatives, namely 1-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethyl]-5-azacytosine, and their antiviral potential in diverse prodrug forms.

Open-ring ANPs are characterized by the phosphonomethoxy group containing an aliphatic part linked to the position 6 of 2,4diaminopyrimidine *via* the oxygen atom. They are evidently mimics of the appropriate 2,6-diaminopurine derivatives with an open imidazole ring. Their antiviral activity is essentially identical to that of their parent compounds, including the enantiomeric specificity. Compounds as PMEO-DAPy, (*R*)-PMPO-DAPy and 5substituted PMEO-DAPy (Fig. 1) are very efficient inhibitors of



^{*} Corresponding authors. *E-mail addresses:* marcela@uochb.cas.cz (M. Krečmerová), graciela.andrei@ kuleuven.ac.be (G. Andrei).



Fig. 1. Structures of "open-ring" acyclic nucleoside phosphonates.

retroviruses^{8–10} and HBV. Despite the number of antiretroviral drugs currently available on the market, further investigation of new structures is advisable for several reasons: 1) the risk of emergence of resistance, 2) none of the known drugs is able to eradicate HIV infection completely, 3) no vaccination are yet available, 4) early development of new drug candidates is necessary due to the longtime process lasting from in vitro laboratory testing to clinical phases and final approval. Moreover, there is an additional reason to focus on open-ring analogs of the PMEO-DAPy type: they are incorporated more efficiently than (R)-PMPA (tenofovir) by the K65R HIV-1 reverse transcriptase (RT) mutant and they are not as efficiently excised as (R)-PMPA by the HIV-1 RT containing thymidine analog mutations.¹² Additionally, PMEO-DAPy is active not only against retroviruses but also against DNA viruses, especially herpesviruses, which often affect immunocompromised patients, including those with HIV/AIDS.

The development of 5-azacytosine ANPs was initiated in our laboratory in order to search for new demethylating (epigenetic) drugs similar to 5-azacytosine nucleosides.¹³ Although none of the new compounds fulfilled this criterion, we managed to find a new class of antiviral agents, 5-azacytosine analogue of cidofovir 1-(S)-[3-hydroxy-2-(phosphonomethoxy)propyl]-5azacytosine (HPMP-5-azaC) and various ester prodrugs derived from its cyclic form (Fig. 2).^{7,14} Compared to cidofovir, HPMP-5azaC has improved selectivity. The prodrug hexadecyloxyethyl ester of its cyclic form (HDE-cHPMP-5-azaC) revealed the most potent anti-DNA virus activities and also the highest selectivity indices (ratio activity vs toxicity) in the order of thousands, e.g. 1160 for herpes simplex virus (HSV) \geq 5800 for varicella zoster virus (VZV) and \geq 24,600 for HCMV.¹⁴ The only disadvantage of HPMP-5-azaC is its complicated metabolic profile bound to instability of the 5-azacytosine ring in alkaline conditions including physiological pH. Studying the stability of various 5-azacytosine ANPs, we found much better stability for another 5-azacytosine derivative, i.e. 1-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethyl]-5-azacytosine (PME-5-azaC).¹⁵ Despite the fact that its antiviral activity was only marginal in the free phosphonic acid form ⁷, we selected the compound for syntheses and further studies of its prodrug forms. We considered the fact that the activities of many ANPs were increased after transformation to appropriate prodrugs. Moreover, in some cases not only the activity was enhanced but also the spectrum of activity could be broaden by transformation to prodrugs. Typical

examples are the anti-DNA viral agents 1-(*S*)-[3-hydroxy-2-(phosphonomethoxy)propyl]adenine (HPMPA) whose octadecy-loxyethyl (ODE) ester is a potent and selective inhibitor of hepatitis C virus replication¹⁶ or cidofovir transformed to its hexadecy-loxyethyl ester (brincidofovir) whose efficacy is also enlarged to some RNA viral infections including Ebola virus. In fact, the lipid moiety of brincidofovir was found to be required for *in vitro* antiviral activity against Ebola virus.¹⁷

2. Chemistry

The starting compound 2,4-diamino-6-[2-(phosphonomethoxy) ethoxy|pyrimidine (1) was synthesized according to a procedure described in the literature.⁸ Briefly: base-catalysed alkylation of 2,4-diamino-6-hydroxypyrimidine with diisopropyl 2-(chloroethoxy)methylphosphonate gave a mixture of appropriate Oand N-diisopropyl (phosphonoethoxy)methyl derivatives where the *N*-isomer was separated and diisopropyl ester groups deprotected with bromotrimethylsilane. The synthesis of the prodrugs was rather complicated by a low solubility of the starting PMEO-DAPy; finally we managed to synthesize two biodegradable ester prodrugs - pivaloyloxymethyl (POM) and (isopropoxycarbonyl) oxymethyl (POC) esters and the amino acid phosphoramidate prodrug (Scheme 1). Pivaloyloxymethylation was performed by reaction of the starting phosphonic acid with chloromethyl pivalate using *N*,*N*'-dicyclohexyl-4-morpholinecarboxamidine as a base. The reaction proceeded very slowly to give a mixture of bis (POM) and mono(POM) esters 2 and 3. After chromatographic separation, both compounds were isolated in acceptable yields. Alternative reaction conditions (e.g. reaction in dioxane with DBU) completely failed. Also for the introduction of POC groups, different attempts have been examined (various solvents, DBU or diisopropylethylamine as bases). The only way that led to the bis (POC) derivative 4 consisted in transformation of free PMEO-DAPy to its tetrabutylammonium salt, followed by heating with POCchloride in dioxane. The bis(amino acid) phosphoramidate prodrug 5 was prepared by the direct coupling of PMEO-DAPy with ethyl Lalaninate in pyridine and treatment with a premixed solution of triphenylphosphine and 2,2'-dipyridyl disulfide (Aldrithiol).

Synthetic efforts to obtain 1-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethyl]-5azacytosine prodrugs were targeted to carbonyloxymethyl esters (POM, POC), alkoxyalkyl esters, amino acid phosphoramidates



Fig. 2. Examples of acyclic nucleoside phosphonates with 5-azacytosine base.



Scheme 1. Synthesis of various prodrug structures derived from 2,4-diamino-6-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethoxy]pyrimidine (1).



Scheme 2. Synthesis of POM and POC esters derived from 1-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethyl]-5-azacytosine (6).

and tyrosine based prodrugs. The introduction of POC and POM groups has been performed by the action of appropriate alkyl chloride and *N*,*N*'-dicyclohexyl-4-morpholinecarboxamidine in dimethylformamide, i.e. conditions described for transformation of adefovir to adefovir dipivoxil¹⁸ (Scheme 2). Unfortunately, and contrary to adefovir, reactivity and solubility of PME-5-azaC (6) was much lower giving the appropriate diesters **7** and **9** in modest yields only, accompanied by formation of monoesters. In pivaloyloxymethylation of PME-5-azaC, the mono-POM derivative **8** was even obtained as the main reaction product.

The amino acid phosphoramidates 12 and 13 were synthesized by the method developed by Jansa starting from the phosphonate dialkyl esters.¹⁹ Diisopropyl or diethyl esters are formed as common intermediates in syntheses of all ANPs; their deprotection with bromotrimethylsilane leads to the bis(trimethylsilyl) esters *in situ* which are further hydrolysed to the final phosphonic acids. The principle of this method is the utilization of the intermediary bis(trimethylsilyl) ester of ANP directly for reaction with 2,2'dithiodipyridine, triphenylphosphine and amino acid ester to obtain bis-amidates without the need of laborious procedures to isolate the free phosphonic acids. The selection of amino acid esters is directed especially to diethyl esters of L-alanine or Lphenylalanine leading to prodrugs with optimal pharmacokinetic profile.²⁰ In our case, we selected the diethyl ester of L-phenylalanine (Scheme 3). Similarly as with the preparation of POM and POC esters, we obtained not only the desired symmetrical bis-amidate prodrug 12 but also mono-amidate 13 accompanied by a small amount of mono-methyl ester 14. Compound 14 was formed most probably during the chromatographic separation of the mono-amidate prodrug in a system containing high percentage of methanol.

Another type of prodrugs selected for our studies include alkoxyalkylesters.²¹ The concept of these prodrugs is based on the mimicking of naturally occurring phospholipid lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC). The compounds use the LPC natural uptake pathway in the small intestine to reach the target tissue and achieve oral bioavailability. The most important compound of this family is the hexadecyloxypropyl ester of cidofovir (brincidofovir,

CMX-001), currently in Phase III clinical trials for use in humans against HCMV and adenovirus infections. This compound is also being investigated as an experimental drug against smallpox and ebolavirus infections.²² In our previous studies, we have successfully applied the alkoxyalkylester approach to 9-(*S*)-[3hydroxy-2-(phosphonomethoxy)propyl]-2,6-diaminopurine ((*S*)-HPMPDAP)²³ within the search for new bioavailable anti-poxvirus agents and for the synthesis of the above mentioned HDE-cHPMP-5-azaC (Fig. 2).¹⁴ In our synthesis, the starting PME-azaC was transformed first to its tetrabutylammonium salt, which was subsequently treated with hexadecyloxypropyl bromide to give the mixture of diester and monoester (**15**, **17**). The monoester **17** is predominant most probably for steric reasons. Besides, small amounts of *N*⁴-alkylated product **16** were isolated (Scheme 4).

The last type of prodrugs included in this study are amino acid ester prodrugs where esterification of the phosphonic acid residue is performed *via* the free hydroxyl group of the appropriate hydroxy amino acid (serine, valine, tyrosine). This concept has been originally developed by McKenna's group to improve the oral bioavailability of (S)-HPMPC and (S)-HPMPA.^{24,25} Pharmacokinetic studies of various amino acid or dipeptide prodrugs of these ANPs finally revealed that the tyrosine single amino acid was the most favorable promoiety regarding prodrug plasma stability. It was also found that enzymatic stability of the tyrosine promoiety can be significantly increased by replacement of the carboxyl ester with an alkyl amide group. The highest increase in bioavailability and antiviral activity was observed when a long lipophilic alkyl chain was incorporated into the tyrosine amide group. A comprehensive review including synthesis, SAR studies and pharmacology of tyrosine N-alkyl amide ANP prodrugs has been published recently.²⁶ Considering these findings, we decided to synthesize the symmetrical bis-tyrosine prodrug 23 whose carboxylic function is modified by the hexadecylamido group (Scheme 5). The compound can be prepared *via* alkylation of the 5-azacytosine sodium salt with the whole aliphatic moiety, i.e. BOC protected bis(hexadecylamido-Ltyrosyl) ester of 2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethyl chloride (21) prepared previously and followed by acidic removal of the protecting



Scheme 3. Synthesis of phosphoramidate prodrugs of 1-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethyl]-5-azacytosine (6).



Scheme 4. Synthesis of alkoxyalkyl esters of 1-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethyl]-5-azacytosine (6).



Scheme 5. Synthesis of tyrosine-based prodrugs of 1-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethyl]-5-azacytosine (6).

BOC groups. The tyrosine synthon **21** can be obtained by multistep synthesis from diisopropyl 2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethyl chloride (**18**) which is known as a common building block in the synthesis of diverse PME derivatives.²⁷ Isopropyl groups in **18** were removed first by the action of bromotrimethylsilane followed by hydrolysis. The intermediary phosphonic acid **19** was then activated by reaction with oxalyl chloride in DMF forming a phosphorodichlori-

date²⁸ whose further reaction with BOC-protected hexadecyl tyrosine amide **20** in a presence of triethylamine formed diester **21** in good preparative yield. Tyrosine amide precursor **20** was prepared from *N*-BOC-L-tyrosine by standard coupling procedure using EDC and *N*-hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt) in CH₂Cl₂ (similarly as described for other alkylated L-tyrosine amides, e.g. octyl or octadecyl).^{26,29}

Antiviral and cytotoxic properties of the synthesized compounds in human embryonic lung (HEL) cells.

Table 1

00

б

3. Antiviral activity

All the synthesized compounds were tested for their antiviral activities against: (a) human cytomegalovirus (HCMV), varicellazoster virus (VZV) wild type and thymidine kinase deficient (TK⁻) strains, herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1) wild type and TK⁻ strains, herpes simplex virus 2 (HSV-2), vaccinia virus and vesicular stomatitis in human embryonic lung (HEL) cells; Coxsackie virus B4, and respiratory syncytial virus in human cervix carcinoma (HeLa) cells; parainfluenza-3 virus, reovirus, sindbis virus, coxsackie B-4 virus, and punta toro virus in green monkey kidney (VERO) cells, feline corona virus in feline kidney (CRFK) cells; and influenza A H1N1, influenza B H3N2, and influenza B viruses in canine kidney (MDCK) cells. While none of the synthesized compounds displayed activity against RNA viruses, several of them proved active against herpesviruses (Table 1).

Among the synthesized PMEO-DAPy prodrugs, the bis(amino acid) phosphoramidate prodrug 5 emerged as the most active one against HSV, VZV and HCMV. The bis(POC) prodrug 4 was as active as compound 5 against HSV and VZV but proved less effective against HCMV. These prodrugs were 6-20-fold (HSV) and 3-16-fold (VZV) more active than the parental drug PMEO-DAPy and they gained activity against HCMV (EC₅₀'s of 17-29 µM and 86–90 µM for, respectively, compounds 5 and 4 versus >100 µM for compound **1**). Together with an increase in antiviral potency, an augmentation of the CC₅₀ (50% cytostatic concentration) was seen for compounds 4 and 5 when compared to PMEO-DAPy. As a consequence, when calculating the selectivity index (SI, ratio: CC_{50}/EC_{50}) for the prodrugs **4** and **5** against HCMV, no selectivity was seen (SI's \leq 1). However, these two prodrugs selectively inhibited HSV and VZV replication with SI's of, respectively, 4–15 and 15–52, which was slightly better than the SI's for PMEO-DAPy, i.e. 1.7-4.5 (HSV) and 19-44 (VZV).

Pivaloyloxymethylation of PMEO-DAPy led to the synthesis of the bis(POM) and mono(POM) esters 2 and 3 but this strategy did not result in improvement of the anti-herpesvirus activity. Thus, the mono(POM) 3 prodrug had a potency and selectivity virtually identical to PMEO-DAPy while the bis(POM) 2 prodrug was 2 to 4.5-fold more active than PMEO-DAPy but 5.5-fold more cytostatic which resulted in decreased selectivity compared to the parental drug.

Among the carbonyloxymethyl esters (POM, POC) based prodrugs of PME-5-azaC, the bis(POM) compound 9 exhibited improved activity compared to the parent PME-5-azaC which had marginally activity against herpesviruses. The bis(POM) prodrug 9 inhibited the replication of HSV. VZV and HCMV with EC₅₀'s in the range of, respectively, 0.7–1.3 μ M, 0.7–1.3 μ M and 1–3 μ M and had substantial selectivity [i.e. SI's of 37-63 (HSV), 35-71 (VZV) and 14-47 (HCMV)]. In contrast to the bis(POM) prodrug 9, the mono(POM) prodrug 8 and its sodium salt (i.e. compound 10), presented minimal or no anti-herpesvirus activities. The bis (POC) prodrug 7 demonstrated some anti-herpesvirus activity (EC₅₀ values in the range of $15-22 \mu$ M) but was markedly less potent than the bis(POM) prodrug 9. Regarding the synthesized amino acid phosphoramidates prodrugs, the mono-amidate 13 and the mono-methyl ester 14 lacked activity against herpesviruses while the bis-amidate prodrug 12 inhibited herpesvirus replication with EC₅₀'s of 32-42 μ M (HSV), 27-29 μ M (VZV) and 7.5-15.5 µM (HCMV). Notably, compound 12 was not toxic for cell growth and cell morphology up to the highest concentration tested (i.e. 100 µM).

Amongst the alkoxyalkyl esters, the bis(octadecyloxytheyl) ester **15** and the *N*⁴-alkylated prodrug **16** were deprived of antiherpesvirus properties while the mono-octadecyloxyethyl ester 17 emerged as one of the most active prodrugs with EC_{50} 's of

Compound		EC_{50}^{a} (HEL) (μ	M)							Cytotoxici	ty (µM)
		HSV-1 (Kos)	HSV-2 (G)	HSV-1 TK ⁻ KOS ACV ^r	VZV TK ⁺ (Oka)	VZV TK ⁻ (0 7 -1)	HCMV (AD-169)	HCMV (Davis)	Vaccinia virus	MCC ^b	CC ₅₀ ^c
1	PMEO-DAPy	45 ± 0	20 ± 0	54.0 ± 5.7	2.07 ± 0.39	4.72 ± 2.10	>100	>100	>100	>100	90.3 ± 13
2	Bis(POM)	10.0 ± 2.8	9.5 ± 7.8	14.5 ± 7.8	0.67 ± 0.49	1.26 ± 0.25	47.4 ± 22.2	36.5 ± 31.1	≥20	≥ 100	16.6 ± 1.3
ŝ	Mono(POM)	39.5 ± 7.8	29 ± 12.7	54.0 ± 5.7	2.54 ± 0.20	5.91 ± 0.10	>100	>100	>100	>100	≥ 100
4	Bis(POC)	2.62 ± 1.43	1.01 ± 0.86	3.7 ± 3.0	0.32 ± 0.37	0.29 ± 0.19	90.1 ± 0	86.3 ± 5.4	160 ± 60	>202	15.1 ± 10
5	Bis(amino acid) phosphoramidate	3.9 ± 0	3.46 ± 0.61	6.3 ± 3.4	0.56 ± 0	1.69 ± 1.04	28.4 ± 6.7	17.0 ± 8.1	157 ± 84	217	25.9 ± 10
9	PME-5-azaC ^d	39	103	68	100	40	195	147	>398	>398	>200
7	Bis(POC)	22.0 ± 17.0	22.0 ± 17.0	22.0 ± 17.0	15.3	23.2	26.2	20	>100	>100	ND
8	Mono(POC)	>100	>100	>100	48.9	48.9	>100	>100	>100	>100	ND
6	Bis(POM)	0.84 ± 0	1.26 ± 0.59	0.73 ± 0.15	0.65 ± 0.03	1.32 ± 0.50	3.24 ± 0.27	0.97 ± 0.19	152 ± 81	209	46.0 ± 5.9
10	Mono(POM) sodium salt	142 ± 25	142 ± 25	131 ± 10	40.7 ± 20.2	128 ± 12	123 ± 0	116 ± 40	>275	>275	>275
12	Bis-amidate	32.5 ± 17.7	39.5 ± 7.8	42.0 ± 11.3	29.4 ± 26.0	26.8 ± 32.2	15.5 ± 6.4	7.5 ± 4.9	>100	>100	>100
13	Mono-amidate	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
14	Mono-methyl ester	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
15	Bis(octadecyloxyethyl)	>100	>100	>100	>4	>0.8	>20	>20	>100	20	ND
16	N ⁴ -Bis(octadecyloxyethyl)	>100	>100	>100	44.7	100	>100	>100	>100	100	ND
17	Mono-octadecyloxyethyl	0.35 ± 0.21	0.15 ± 0.07	0.20 ± 0.14	0.32 ± 0.06	0.79 ± 0.38	1.12 ± 0.95	0.24 ± 0.11	>100	100 ± 0	79.2 ± 7.1
23	Bis(hexadecylamido-L-tyrosyl)	0.60 0.28	0.40 0.28	0.40 0.28	0.58 ± 0.31	0.29 ± 0.22	2.16 ± 0.52	0.48 ± 0.08	>100	60 ± 57	27.1±6.3
Acyclovir		0.40 ± 0.26	0.18 0.11	54 ± 58	1.50 ± 0.96	38.9 ± 18.5	ND	ND	>250	>440	>440
Brivudin		0.04 ± 0.03	141 ± 27	45 ± 61	0.024 ± 0.020	58.8±43.5	ND	ND	19.1 ± 15.3	300	242 ± 53
Ganciclovir		0.04 ± 0.02	0.03 ± 0.01	0.10 ± 0.78	ND	ND	6.79 ± 2.71	2.96 ± 2.31	>100	>350	≥308±9
Cidofovir		2.93 ± 1.91	1.39 ± 0.47	2.66 ± 2.31	ND	ND	0.87 ± 0.31	0.76 ± 0.34	22.2 ± 2.9	≥300	216±10

Minimum cytotoxic concentration or compound concentration that caused a microscopically detectable alteration of cell morphology 50% effective concentration or compound concentration required reducing viral induced CPE or plaque formation by 50%.

4.

50% cytostatic concentration or compound concentration required reducing cell growth by Data from Krecmerova et al. (Ref. 7).

4643

 $0.15-0.35 \,\mu$ M (HSV), $0.32-0.8 \,\mu$ M (VZV) and $0.24-1.12 \,\mu$ M (HCMV). Remarkably, compound **17** had very good selectivity against not only HSV (SI's of 226–528) and VZV (SI's of 100–248) but also against HCMV (SI's of 71–330).

The bis(hexadecylamido-L-tyrosyl) ester **23** emerged as an interesting prodrug with significant activity against herpesviruses, i.e. EC_{50} 's in the range of 0.2–0.6 μ M (HSV and VZV) and 0.3–2.2 μ M (HCMV), which was comparable to the potency demonstrated for the mono-octadecyloxyethyl ester **17**. However, compound **23** appeared to be more cytostatic and less selective than compound **17** [CC_{50} = 79.2 μ M for **17** *versus* CC_{50} = 27.1 μ M for **23** and SI's for **23** of 45–68 (HSV), 47–93 (VZV) and 13–56 (HCMV).

The bis(POM) ester **9** was the only PME-5-azaC prodrug showing weak activity against vaccinia virus ($EC_{50} = 152 \mu M$) although no selectivity was observed (Table 1). Similarly, two PMEO-DAPy prodrugs [i.e. the bis(POC) ester **4** and the bis(amino acid) phosphoramidate prodrug **5**] demonstrated very low anti-vaccinia virus activity without selectivity.

4. Conclusions

We have successfully synthesized carbonyloxymethyl esters (POM, POC), alkoxyalkyl esters, amino acid phosphoramidates and tyrosine based prodrugs of PMEO-DAPy and/or PME-5-azaC that had weak or marginal activity against herpesviruses. The mono-octadecyloxyethyl ester of PME-5-azaC emerged as the most potent and selective inhibitor of herpesviruses (i.e. HSV, VZV and HCMV). The bis(hexadecylamido-L-tyrosyl) and the bis(POM) esters of PME-5-azaC also had potent anti-herpesvirus activity but less selectivity that the mono-octadecyloxyethyl prodrug. The bis(POC) and bis(amino acid) phosphoramidate prodrugs of PMEO-DAPy proved more active than the parental drug against HSV, VZV and HCMV, showing selectivity for HSV and VZV but not for HCMV.

5. Experimental

5.1. General

Unless stated otherwise, solvents were evaporated at 40 °C/2 kPa and compounds were dried at 13 Pa. Analytical TLC was performed on silica gel 60 F254 plates (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany); chromatographic systems are described in text. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel 60 µm (Fluka). ¹H, ¹³C and ³¹P NMR spectra were measured on Bruker AVANCE III 500 and/ or 600 spectrometers equipped with a cryoprobe and operating at 500.0 or 600.1 MHz (¹H), and 125.7 or 150.9 MHz (¹³C). ³¹P NMR spectra were measured on Bruker AVANCE III 400 spectrometer operating at 202.3 MHz (³¹P). The assignment of NMR signals was based on a combination of 1D and 2D correlation experiments (H, H-COSY, H,C-HSQC, H,C-HMBC). The signals were referenced to residual solvent signals (DMSO δ = 2.50 and 39.7 ppm for ¹H and ¹³C, respectively) or to an internal standard. Mass spectra were measured on a LTQ Orbitrap XL (Thermo Fisher Scientific) operated in the ESI mode. Most of chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Diisopropyl 2-chloroethoxymethylphosphonate (18) was prepared according to Ref. 27 General numbering scheme for assignment of NMR signals of selected structures is outlined in Fig. 3.

5.2. Pivaloyloxymethylation of 2,4-diamino-6-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethoxy]pyrimidine (PMEO-DAPy, 1)

A suspension of **1** (221 mg, 0.8 mmol) in DMF (15 mL) was stirred with *N*,*N*-dicyclohexyl-4-morpholinecarboxamidine (460 mg, 1.6 mmol) and chloromethyl pivalate (0.4 mL, 2.8 mmol) at room temperature for 6 days (dissolution occurred after 24 h). The solution was evaporated. The residue was chromatographed on a column of silica gel (100 mL) in ethyl acetate (elution of POM–Cl



Fig. 3. General numbering scheme for assignment of NMR signals.

and its decomposition by-products), followed by system ethyl acetate–acetone–ethanol–water (15:3:4:3) to give bis(POM) derivative **2**. Further elution of the column with methanol afforded mono-POM prodrug **3**.

5.2.1. Bis(pivaloyloxymethyl) ester of 2,4-diamino-6-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethoxy]pyrimidine (**2**)

Yield 140 mg (35%) as a colorless syrup. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ : 1.22 (s, 18 H, CH₃), 3.86 (m, 2H, H-2'), 3.94 (d, 2H, $J_{3',P}$ = 7.6, H-3'), 4.35 (m, 2H, H-1'), 4.86 (bs, 2H, NH₂), 4.98 (bs, 2H, NH₂), 5.27 (s, 1H, H-5), 5.67–5.73 (m, 4H, H-4'). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ : 26.80 (CH₃), 38.70 (<u>C</u>(CH₃)₃), 64.67 (C-1'), 65.54 (d, $J_{C,P}$ = 165.6, C-3'), 71.62 (d, $J_{C,P}$ = 10.2, C-2'), 78.46 (C-5), 81.69 (d, $J_{C,P}$ = 6.3, C-4'), 161.69 and 164.47 (C-2, C-4), 170.86 (C-6), 176.84 (C=O). ESIMS, *m/z*: 515.3 (M+Na)⁺ (76), 493.3 (100) (MH)⁺. HRMS (ESI): For C₁₉H₃₄N₄O₉P (MH)⁺ calculated: 493.20579; found: 493.20588.

5.2.2. Pivaloyloxymethyl ester of 2,4-diamino-6-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethoxy]-pyrimidine (**3**)

Yield 110 mg (36%) of white amorphous solid. ¹H NMR (DMSOd₆, ppm) δ : 1.13 (s, 9H, CH₃), 3.41 (m, 2H, H-3'), 3.67 (m, 2H, H-2'), 4.17 (m, 2H, H-1'), 5.04 (s, 1H, H-5), 5.45 (d, 2H, $J_{4', P} = 11.2$, H-4'), 5.96 (bs, 2H, NH₂), 6.11 (bs, 2H, NH₂). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆, ppm) δ : 26.93 (CH₃), 38.34 (<u>C</u>(CH₃)₃), 64.24 (C-1'), 68.63 (d, $J_{C,P} = 157.0$, C-3'), 70.45 (d, $J_{C,P} = 9.9$, C-2'), 76.36 (C-5), 83.40 (d, $J_{C,P} = 4.1$, C-4'), 162.65 and 165.73 (C-2, C-4), 170.09 (C-6), 176.95 (C=O). ESIMS, m/z: 377.2 (12) (M-H)⁻. HRMS (ESI): For C₁₃H₂₂N₄O₇P (M-H)⁻ calculated: 377.12316; found: 377.12327.

5.3. Bis[(isopropoxycarbonyl)oxymethyl] ester of 2,4-diamino-6-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethoxy]pyrimidine (**4**)

1 M methanolic solution of tetrabutylammonium hydroxide (1 mL) was added to a suspension of 1 (264 mg, 1 mmol) in absolute MeOH (75 mL) and the suspension stirred vigorously or treated in ultrasonic bath to dissolution. The clear solution was evaporated and the residue coevaporated with dioxane $(2 \times 25 \text{ mL})$. Dry dioxane (25 mL) was added, followed by POC chloride (2.6 mL, 20 mmol) and the mixture heated to 85 °C for 4 h. Reaction course was monitored by TLC in 15% methanol in chloroform. The mixture was set aside at room temperature overnight and evaporated. The residue was partitioned between water and chloroform (50 mL each), the organic layer dried over Na₂SO₄ and evaporated. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel in a gradient 5–15% methanol in chloroform. Yield 100 mg (20%) of a white amorphous solid. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ : 1.318 (d, 6H, J_{CH3,CH} = 6.3, CH₃), 1.320 (d, 6H, J_{CH3,CH} = 6.3, CH₃), 3.88 (m, 2H, H-2'), 3.99 (d, 2H, J_{H-C-P} = 7.4, H-3'), 4.36 (m, 2H, H-1'), 4.93 (sept, 2H, J_{CH,CH3} = 6.3, CH), 5.29 (s, 1H, H-5), 5.69–5.73 (m, 4H, O-CH2-O). ¹³C NMR (CDCl3, ppm) *δ*: 21.61 (CH3), 64.71 (C-1'), 65.50 (d, $J_{C,P}$ = 165.7, C-3'), 71.61 (d, $J_{2',P}$ = 10.0, C-2'), 73.36 (CH *i*Pr), 78.41 (C-5), 84.29 (d, $J_{C,P} = 6.2$, OCH₂O), 153.11 (C=O), 170.91 (C-6). C-2 and C-4 not found. ESIMS, *m*/*z*: 519.2 (M+Na)⁺ (12), 497.2 (12) (MH)⁺. HRMS (ESI): For C₁₇H₃₀N₄O₁₁P (MH)⁺ calculated: 497.16432; found: 497.16433.

5.4. Bis(*L*-alanine ethyl ester) prodrug of 2,4-diamino-6-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethoxy]pyrimidine (5)

A solution consisting of **1** (730 mg, 2.76 mmol), ethyl L-alaninate (1.7 g, 11 mmol), triethylamine (6 mL) and pyridine (25 mL) was heated to 60 °C for 5 min (solution A). Triphenylphosphine (4.3 g, 16 mmol) and Aldrithiol (3.5 g, 16 mmol) were dissolved in pyridine (16 mmol) and this solution added to the solution A. The whole reaction mixture was heated to 60 °C for 4 h, set aside at room

temperature overnight and evaporated. The residue was coevaporated with toluene and chromatographed on a column of silica gel (700 mL), starting with system 5% methanol in chloroform (elution of triphenylphosphine oxide and mercaptopyridine), followed by the gradient 5-50% methanol in chloroform. The crude sirupy product 5 (1.25 g) still containing rests of ethyl L-alaninate was purified by further chromatography on silica gel in system ethyl acetateacetone-ethanol-water (18:3.2.2). Yield 238 mg (19%) of a white foam. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ: 1.26 (t, 3H, J_{CH3,CH2} = 7.1, <u>CH₃-CH₂</u>), 1.28 (t, 3H, $J_{CH3,CH2}$ = 7.1, <u>CH₃</u>CH₂), 1.38 (d, 3H, $J_{CH3,CH}$ = 7.1, <u>CH₃</u>-CH), 1.40 (d, 3H, *J*_{CH3,CH} = 7.1, <u>CH</u>₃-CH), 3.42 (t, 1H, *J*_{H-N-P} = *J*_{NH-CH} = 10.4, NH), 3.63 (dd, 1H, J_{H-N-P} = 12.5, J_{NH-CH} = 10.5, NH), 3.80–3.86 (m, 4H, H-2', H-3'), 4.02-4.08 (m, 2H, CH-NH), 4.12-4.22 (m, 4H, <u>CH₂CH₃</u>), 4.36 (t, 2H, J_{1',2'} = 9.6, H-1'), 4.86 (bs, 2H, 4-NH₂), 4.98 (bs, 2H, 2-NH₂), 5.27 (s, 1H, H-5). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ : 14.09 and 14.12 (CH₃-CH₂), 21.27-21.38 (m, CH₃-CH), 48.31 and 48.86, (CH-NH), 61.28 and 61.30 (CH₂-CH₃), 64.55 (C-1'), 68.31 (d, J_{CP} = 134.2, C-3'), 71.62 (d, J_{CP} = 12.7, C-2'), 78.32 (C-5), 162.26 and 165.13 (C-2, C-4), 170.87 (C-6), 174.41 (d, *J*_{C-C-N-P} = 4.3, C=O), 174.58 (d, $J_{C-C-N-P} = 4.9$, C=O). ESIMS, m/z: 485.3 (M+Na)⁺ (100), 463.3 (80) $(MH)^{+}$. HRMS (ESI): For $C_{17}H_{30}N_4O_{11}P(MH)^{+}$ calculated: 463.20646; found: 463.20646.

5.5. Reaction of 1-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethyl]-5-azacytosine (**6**) with (isopropoxycarbonyl)oxymethyl chloride

A mixture of **6** (500 mg, 2 mmol), *N*,*N*-dicyclohexyl-4-morpholinecarboxamidine (1.16 g, 4 mmol) and POC-Cl (0.8 mL, 6 mmol) in DMF (30 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 3 days. The mixture was evaporated, the residue coevaporated with toluene (2 × 50 mL) and chromatographed on a silica gel column starting with ethyl acetate (elution of the rest of POC-Cl), followed by system ethyl acetate–acetone–ethanol–water (15:3:4:3) to give diester **7** (R_F 0.70) and monoester **8** (R_F 0.20).

5.5.1. Bis[(isopropoxycarbonyl)oxymethyl] ester of 1-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethyl]-5-azacytosine (7)

Yield 85 mg (9%) of a white solid. ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , ppm) δ : 1.25 (d, 12H, $J_{CH3,CH}$ = 6.2, CH₃), 3.69 (m, 2H, H-2'), 3.83 (m, 2H, H-1'), 3.98 (d, 2H, $J_{P,CH2}$ = 7.8, H-3'), 4.83 (sept, 2H, $J_{CH,CH3}$ = 6.2, C<u>H</u>(CH₃)₂), 5.57–5.61 (m, 4H, OCH₂O), 7.37 and 7.39 (m, 2H, NH₂), 8.14 (s, 1H, H-6). ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , ppm) δ : 21.48 (CH₃), 45.87 (C-1'), 64.26 (d, $J_{P,C}$ = 163.0, C-3'), 69.94 (d, $J_{P,C}$ = 11.1, C-2'), 73.07 (<u>C</u>H(CH₃)₂), 84.38 (d, $J_{P,C}$ = 6.0, O<u>C</u>H₂O), 152.73 (C=O), 153.93 (C-2), 159.47 (C-6), 166.60 (C-4). ESIMS, m/z: 505.1 (M +Na)⁺ (100), 483.1 (18) (MH)⁺. HRMS (ESI): For C₁₆H₂₇N₄O₁₁PNa (M+Na)⁺ calculated: 505.13062; found: 505.13040.

5.5.2. (Isopropoxycarbonyl)oxymethyl ester of 1-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethyl]-5-azacytosine (**8**)

Yield 524 mg (71%) of a white foam. ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , ppm) δ : 1.22 (d, 6H, $J_{CH3,CH}$ = 6.2, CH₃), 3.32 (d, 2H, $J_{3',P}$ = 8.1, H-3'), 3.59 (m, 2H, H-2'), 3.82 (m, 2H, H-1'), 4.76 (sept, 1H, $J_{CH,CH3}$ = 6.2, C<u>H</u>(CH₃)₂), 5.36 (d, 2H, $J_{H-C-O-P}$ = 11.8, OCH₂O), 7.33 (bs, 2H, NH₂), 8.26 (s, 1H, H-6). ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , ppm) δ : ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , ppm) δ : 21.63 (CH₃), 46.07 (C-1'), 68.78 (d, $J_{P,C}$ = 153.8, C-3'), 69.01 (d, $J_{P,C}$ = 8.5, C-2'), 71.41 (<u>C</u>H(CH₃)₂), 85.82 (d, $J_{P,C}$ = 4.8, O<u>C</u>H₂O), 153.51 (C=O), 154.02 (C-2), 159.76 (C-6), 166.61 (C-4). ESIMS, m/z: 731.2 (10) (2 M-H)⁻, 365.1 (100) (M-H)⁻. HRMS (ESI): For C₁₁H₁₈N₄O₈P (M-H)⁻ calculated: 365.08677; found: 365.08679.

5.6. Pivaloyloxymethylation of 1-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethyl]-5azacytosine

Reaction of PME-5-azaC **6** (450 mg, 1.8 mmol) with POM–Cl (0.9 mL, 6.5 mmol) was performed under the same conditions as described previously for **7** and **8**. Chromatography in system ethyl acetate–acetone–ethanol–water (15:3:4:3) afforded bis(POM) ester **9** (R_F 0.75) and mono(POM) ester **10** (R_F 0.25).

5.6.1. Bis(pivaloyloxymethyl) ester of 1-[2-(phosphonomethoxy) ethyl]-5-azacytosine (**9**)

Yield 135 mg (16%) of a colorless syrup crystallizing in refrigerator. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ :1.23 (s, 18H, CH₃), 3.83 (m, 2H, H-2'), 3.85 (d, 2H, $J_{P,CH}$ = 7.8, H-3'), 3.98 (m, 2H, H-1'), 5.65–5.71 (m, 4H, OCH₂O), 5.77 (bs, 1H, NH), 6.56 (bs, 1H, NH), 8.02 (s, 1H, H-6). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ : 26.80 (CH₃), 38.70 (<u>C</u>(CH₃)₃), 47.26 (C-1'), 65.54 (d, $J_{C,P}$ = 166.8, C-3'), 70.33 (d, $J_{C,P}$ = 10.1, C-2'), 81.64 (d, J_{C-O-P} = 6.3, OCH₂O), 154.21 (C-2), 159.30 (C-6), 166.53 (C-4), 176.79 (COO). ESIMS, m/z: 501.3 (M+Na)⁺ (100), 479.3 (13) (MH)⁺. HRMS (ESI): For C₁₈H₃₁N₄O₉PNa (M+Na)⁺ calculated: 501.17209; found: 501.17187.

5.6.2. Pivaloyloxymethyl ester of 1-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethyl]-5azacytosine, sodium salt (**10**)

The crude product (620 mg, still in the form of dicyclohexyl-morpholinocarboxamidine salt) was applied onto a column of Dowex 50 (Na⁺ form, 50 mL) and eluted with water. UV absorbing fraction was evaporated to give sodium salt of **10** in yield 150 mg (22%) as a white solid. ¹H NMR (D₂O, ppm) δ : 1.17 (s, 9H, CH₃), 3.68 (d, 2H, *J*_{P,CH} = 9.0, H-3'), 3.76 (m, 2H, H-2'), 4.03 (m, 2H, H-1'), 5.49 (d, 2H, *J*_{H-C-O-P} = 12.8, OCH₂O), 8.30 (s, 1H, H-6). ¹³C NMR (D₂O, ppm) δ : 26.75 (CH₃), 39.11 (<u>C</u>(CH₃)₃), 48.1 (C-1'), 67.41 (d, *J*_{C,P} = 158.5, C-3'), 70.18 (d, *J*_{C,P} = 13.3, C-2'), 83.55 (d, *J*_{C-O-P} = 5.4, OCH₂O), 157.08 (C-2), 161.28 (C-6), 166.75 (C-4), 180.92 (COO). ESIMS, *m/z*: 363.3 (100) (M–H)⁻. HRMS (ESI): For C₁₂H₂₀N₄O₇P (M–H)⁻ calculated: 363.10751; found: 363.10735.

5.7. Synthesis of amidate prodrugs of 1-[2-(phosphonomethoxy) ethyl]-5-azacytosine

Diisopropyl ester 11 (1.1 g, 3.29 mmol) in acetonitrile (30 mL) was stirred with bromotrimethylsilane (2.2 mL, 16.5 mmol) at room temperature for 24 h. The mixture was evaporated and the residue coevaporated with toluene (2 \times 50 mL). A mixture consisting of: diethyl (L)-phenylalanine hydrochloride (3.0 g, 13.1 mmol), pyridine (26 mL) and triethylamine (6.5 mL) was added under argon. The resulting mixture was heated to 60 °C for 5 min. A solution of Ph₃P (5.2 g, 19.74 mmol) and Aldrithiol (4.35 g, 19.7 mmol) in pyridine (20 mL) was added, the reaction mixture heated to 50 °C for 3 h, then set aside at room temperature overnight and evaporated. The residue was coevaporated with toluene $(3 \times 40 \text{ ml})$, applied onto column of silica gel and subjected to flash chromatography starting with chloroform (elution of Ph₃P). Additional elution was performed with a gradient 1-20% MeOH in chloroform (elution of Ph₃P-O, followed by pyridine-thiol). Bis-amidate 12 was eluted subsequently, in system 20% MeOH in chloroform. Product containing fractions were evaporated and dried in vacuo.

5.7.1. Bis(*i*-phenylalanine ethyl ester) prodrug of 1-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethyl]-5-azacytosine (**12**)

Yield: 248 mg (18%) of a white foam. ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , ppm) δ : 1.06 (t, 3H, J_{CH3CH2} = 7.1, CH₃), 1.12 (t, 3H, J_{CH3CH2} = 7.1, CH₃), 2.74–2.91 (m, 4H, Ph-CH₂), 3.15 (dd, 1H, $J_{3'a, P}$ = 8.3, J_{gem} = 13.2, H-3'a), 3.26 (bddd, 1H, $J_{3'b, P}$ = 7.9, J_{gem} = 13.2, H-3'b), 3.47 (m, 2H, H-2'), 3.77 (m, 2H, H-1'), 3.90 (m, 1H, CH), 3.94–4.07 (m, 5H, <u>CH</u>₂CH₃, CH), 4.19 (dd, 1H, $J_{NH,P} = 12.3$, $J_{NH,CH} = 10.7$, NH), 4.51 (dd, 1H, $J_{NH,P} = 12.3$, $J_{NH,CH} = 10.9$, NH), 7.13 (m, 2H, o-Ph), 7.18 (m, 2H, o-Ph), 7.19–7.29 (m, 6H, p-Ph, m-Ph), 7.38 and 7.41 (2x bs, 2H, NH₂), 8.16 (bs, 1H, H-6). ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , ppm) δ : 14.08 and 14.14 (CH₃), 40.15 (m, <u>CH</u>₂-Ph), 46.13 (C-1'), 53.98 (CH), 54.32 (CH), 60.50 (<u>CH</u>₂CH₃), 60.61 (<u>CH</u>₂CH₃), 67.45 (d, $J_{C,P} = 135.1$, C-3'), 69.61 (d, $J_{C,P} = 11.6$, C-2'), 126.64 and 126.69 (p-Ph), 128.29 and 128.33 (m-Ph), 129.62 and 129.65 (o-Ph), 137.34 and 137.37 (*i*-Ph), 154.11 (C-2), 159.66 (C-6), 166.67 (C-4), 172.93 (d, $J_{C,P} = 4.6$, C=O), 173.00 (d, $J_{C,P} = 2.8$, C=O). ESIMS, m/z: 1123.5 (2 M+Na)⁺ (3), 623.2 (M+Na)⁺ (100), 601.3 (8) (MH)⁺. HRMS (ESI): For C₂₈H₃₇N₆O₇PNa (M+Na)⁺ calculated: 623.23536; found: 623.23523. Anal. Calcd. for C₂₈H₃₇N₆O₇P. ½ H₂O: C, 55.20; H, 6.29; N, 13.79; P, 5.08. Found: C, 55.29; H, 6.28; N, 13.46; P, 5.01.

5.7.2. (*L*-Phenylalanine ethyl ester) prodrug of 1-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethyl]-5-azacytosine (**13**)

After elution of bis(amidate) **12**, the column was eluted with methanol. The UV absorbing eluate was concentrated, adsorbed to a small amount of silica gel (20–30 mL) and applied onto a column of silica gel (broad and short column, 150 mL). Elution with system ethyl acetate–acetone–ethanol–water (15:3:4:3) afforded monoamidate prodrug **13** (R_F 0.2) in yield 248 mg (18%) as a white solid. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, ppm) δ : 1.14 (t, 3H, *J*_{CH3,CH2} = 7.2, CH₃), 2.92 (dd, 1H, *J*_{P,CHa} = 7.0, *J*_{gem} = 13.3, <u>CH_a-Ph</u>), 2.98 (dd, 1H, *J*_{P,CHb} = 6.3, *J*_{gem} = 13.3, <u>CH_b-Ph</u>), 3.39 (d, 2H, *J*_{3',P} = 8.6, H-3'), 3.62 (m, 2H, H-2'), 3.94 (m, 2H, H-1'), 4.05 (m, 2H, <u>CH₂CH₃), 4.11 (m, 1H, CH), 7.18–7.30 (m, 5H, H-arom.), 8.26 (s, 1H, H-6).</u>

¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, ppm) δ: 14.44 (CH₃), 42.64 (m, <u>C</u>H₂-Ph), 48.26 (C-1'), 57.35 (CH), 61.90 (<u>C</u>H₂CH₃), 70.46 (d, $J_{C,P}$ = 146.9, C-3'), 70.94 (d, $J_{C,P}$ = 13.1, C-2'), 127.67 (*p*-Ph), 129.32 (*m*-Ph), 130.63 (*o*-Ph), 138.58 (*i*-Ph), 157.28 (C-2), 161.29 (C-6), 168.31 (C-4), 175.78 (C=O). ESIMS, *m*/*z*: 424.2 (100) (M–H)⁻. HRMS (ESI): For C₁₇H₂₅N₅O₆P (M–H)⁻ calculated: 426.15370; found: 426.15355.

5.7.3. Methyl ester of [2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethyl]-5-azacytosine (14)

After elution of monoamidate **13**, the column was eluted with methanol to give compound **14**, R_F 0.1 (ethyl acetate–acetone–ethanol–water, 15:3:4:3). Yield: 70 mg (8%) as a white solid. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, ppm) δ : 3.58 (d, 3H, J_{CH3,P} = 10.3, CH₃), 3.64 (d, 2H, J_{P,CH2} = 8.9, H-3'), 3.74 (m, 2H, H-2'), 3.99 (m, 2H, H-1'), 8.25 (s, 1H, H-6). ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, ppm) δ : 48.33 (C-1'), 52.51 (d, J_{C,P} = 5.8, CH₃), 67.58 (d, J_{C,P} = 160.4, C-3'), 70.80 (d, J_{C,P} = 12.0, C-2'), 157.03 (C-2), 161.35 (C-6), 168.26 (C-4). ESIMS, *m*/*z*: 265.1 (100) (MH)⁺, 287.1 (M+Na)⁺ (23), 529.2 (2M+H)⁺ (50), 551.2 (2 M+Na)⁺ (15).

5.8. Octadecyloxyethyl (ODE) esters of PME-azaC

1 M solution $Bu_4N^+OH^-$ in THF (12 mL, 12 mmol) was added to a stirred suspension of **6** (1.5 g, 6 mmol) in absolute MeOH (50 mL). After 5 min, the resulting solution was evaporated and the residue coevaporated with DMF. Dry DMF (35 mL) and ODE-Br (5.6 g, 15 mmol) were added and the reaction mixture heated to 105 °C for 5 h. The mixture was evaporated, the residue partitioned between chloroform and water, an organic layer dried over magnesium sulphate and evaporated. The residue was chromatographed on a column of silica gel (800 mL) in system 7.5% methanol in CHCl₃ to give crude products **16** (R_F 0.48) and **15** (R_F 0.28). Both compounds required further purification (see below). Further elution of the column with methanol afforded the crude monoester **17** (its further purification described below).

5.8.1. Bis(octadecyloxyethyl) ester of 1-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethyl]-5-azacytosine (15)

1.3 g of the crude compound 15 still containing UV non-absorbing impurities was chromatographed on silica gel (400 mL) in system ethyl acetate-acetone-ethanol-water (18:3:2:2) with UV detection, followed by spraying of TLC plate with a solution of phosphomolybdenic acid and heating. Pure fractions were collected and evaporated to give 15 as a white solid. Yield: 678 mg (13.4%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ : 0.88 (t, 6H, $J_{H-20'-H-19'}$ = 7.0, H-20'), 1.21-1.33 (m, 60H, H-5'- H-19'), 1.56 (m, 4H, H-4'), 3.45 (t, 4H, J_{3',4'} = 6.8, H-3'), 3.61 (t, 4H, J_{2',1'} = 4.8, H-2'), 3.82 (m, 2H, NCH₂-CH₂), 3.86 (d, 2H, J_{P,CH2} = 8.3, PCH₂), 3.99 (m, 2H, NCH₂), 4.16-4.27 (m, 4H, H-1'), 5.66 bs and 6.37bs (2H, NH₂), 8.06 (s, 1H, H-6). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ:14.10 (C-20'), 22.67 (C-19'), 26.05 (C-5'), 29.34-29.69 (m, C-4', C-6'- C-17'), 31.90 (C-18'), 47.25 (N-CH₂), 65.17 (d, $J_{P,C}$ = 167.6, P-C), 65.33 (d, $J_{C,P}$ = 6.8, C-1'), 69.60 (d, $J_{C,P}$ = 5.6, C-2'), 70.10 (d, J_{CP} = 10.2, NCH₂CH₂), 71.46 (C-3'), 154.32 (C-2), 159.47 (C-6), 166.52 (C-4). MALDI MS, m/z: 843.7 (5) $(MH)^{+}$, 865.7 $(M+Na)^{+}$ (26). HRMS (MALDI): For $C_{46}H_{91}N_4O_7PNa$ (M+Na)⁺ calculated: 865.6518; found: 865.6510; for C₄₆H₉₂N₄O₇P (MH)⁺ calculated: 843.6698; found: 843.6688.

5.8.2. Bis(octadecyloxyethyl) ester of N⁴-octadecyloxyethyl-1-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethyl]-5-azacytosine (**16**)

3.5 g of the crude product 16 was purified on a column of silica gel (400 mL) in system ethyl acetate-acetone-ethanol-water (36:6:1:1) analogously as described above. Yield: 110 mg (1.6%) of a white solid. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ : 0.88 (t, 9H, J_{CH3} , _{CH2} = 7.0, CH₃), 1.22–1.33 (m, 90H, H-5'–H-19', H-5"–H-19"), 1.56 (m, 6H, H-4', H-4"), 3.42-3.48 (m, 6H, H-3', H-3"), 3.56 (m, 2H, H-2"), 3.60-3.65 (m, 6H, H-2', H-1"), 3.81 (m, N¹-CH₂CH₂), 3.84 (d, *J*_{P,CH2} = 8.3, PCH₂), 3.97 (m, 2H, N¹-CH₂), 4.14–4.31 (m, 4H, H-1′), 5.83 (bt, 1H, J_{NH,1}″ = 5.6, NH), 7.97 (s, 1H, H-6). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) *δ*: 14.11 (C-20', C-20"), 22.68 (C-19', C-19"), 26.07 (C-5', C-5"), 29.35-29.71 (m, C-4', C-6'-C-17', C-4", C-6"-C-17"), 31.91 (C-18, C-18"), 41.06 (C-1"), 47.18 (N¹-CH₂), 65.21 (d, J_{C,P} = 167.5, P-C), 65.32 (d, $J_{C,P}$ = 6.8, C-1'), 68.59 (C-2"), 69.62 (d, $J_{C,P}$ = 5.7, C-2'), 70.36 (d, $J_{CP} = 10.4$, N¹-CH₂CH₂), 71.38 (C-3"), 71.48 (C-3'), 154.61 (C-2), 158.30 (C-6), 164.57 (C-4). MALDI MS, m/z: 1140.0 (6) (MH)⁺, 1162.0 (M+Na)⁺ (12). HRMS (MALDI): For C₆₆H₁₃₁N₄O₈PNa (M +Na)⁺ calculated: 1161.9597; found: 1161.9617; for C₆₆H₁₃₂N₄O₈P (MH)⁺ calculated: 1139.9777; found: 1139.9779.

5.8.3. Octadecyloxyethyl ester of 1-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethyl]-5azacytosine (17)

The crude compound **17** was absorbed to a small amount of silica (20–30 mL) from a mixture methanol–chloroform (1:1), applied to a silica gel column (250 mL) and chromatographed in system ethyl acetate–acetone–ethanol–water (15:3:4:3). Yield: 1.2 g (36.6%) of a white solid. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, ppm) δ : 0.90 (t, 3H, $J_{H-20'-H-19'} = 7.0, H-20'$), 1.24–1.36 (m, 30H, H-5'-' H-19'), 1.55 (m, 2H, H-4'), 3.46 (t, 2H, $J_{3',4'} = 6.7, H-3'$), 3.56 (m, 2H, H-2'), 3.65 (d, 2H, $J_{P,CH2} = 9.0, PCH_2$), 3.73 (m, 2H, N-CH₂<u>CH</u>₂), 3.97–4.02 (m, 4H, N-CH₂, H-1'), 8.28 (s, 1H, H-6). ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, ppm) δ : 14.45 (CH₃), 23.75 (C-19'), 27.27 (C-5'), 30.49–30.84 (m, C-4', C-6'-C17'), 33.09 (C-18'), 48.37 (N-CH₂), 65.26 (d, $J_{C,P} = 5.7, C-1'$), 68.20 (d, J_{C} , P = 159.7, P-C), 70.86 (d, $J_{C,P} = 12.3, N-CH_2$ CH₂), 71.80 (d, $J_{C,P} = 6.6, C-2'$), 72.37 (C-3'), 157.12 (C-2), 161.39 (C-6), 168.34 (C-4). ESIMS, *m/z*: 545.3 (100) (M–H)⁻. HRMS (ESI): For C₂₆H₅₀N₄O₆P (M–H)⁻ calculated: 545.34734; found: 545.34706.

5.9. [(2-Chloroethoxy)methyl]phosphonic acid (19)

A mixture of **18** (3 g, 10.6 mmol) and bromotrimethylsilane (15 mL, 119.8 mmol) in acetonitrile (65 mL) was stirred overnight at room temperature, then evaporated and coevaporated with water (2 × 20 mL). The mixture was dissolved in water (50 mL) and extracted with diethyl ether (3 × 10 mL). The aqueous layer was evaporated, the residue coevaporated with toluene (2 × 20 mL) and dried under reduced pressure to dryness. Yield: 2.1 g (56.9%) of a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (500.0 MHz, D₂O, ref(dioxane) = 3.75 ppm): 3.75 (m, 2H, CH₂Cl); 3.83 (d, 2H, *J*_{H,P} = 8.6, CH₂P); 3.90 (m, 2H, CH₂O). ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, D₂O, ref(dioxane) = 69.30 ppm): 45.62 (CH₂Cl); 68.36 (d, *J*_{C,P} = 159.3, CH₂P); 75.55 (d, *J*_{C,P} = 10.9, CH₂O). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (202.3 MHz, D₂O): 20.73. HRMS (ESI): for C₈H₈ClO₄P (MH)⁺ calculated: 174.9849; found: 174.9922. Anal. Calcd. for C₃H₈ClO₄P: C, 20.65; H, 4.62. Found: C, 20.52; H, 4.77.

5.10. Di-tert-butyl ((2S,2'S)-(({[(2-chloroethoxy)methyl]phosphoryl} bis(oxy))bis(4,1-phenylene))bis(3-(hexadecylamino)-3-oxopropane-1,2-diyl))dicarbamate (**21**)

A mixture of **18** (3.6 g, 13.8 mmol) and bromotrimethylsilane (19 mL, 151.8 mmol) in acetonitrile (80 mL) was stirred overnight at room temperature, evaporated and coevaporated with water (2 x 20 mL), followed by toluene (2 x 20 mL). The residue was dissolved in dry dichloromethane (60 mL) and DMF (0.7 mL, 9.2 µmol) under argon, followed by a dropwise addition of oxalyl chloride (3.7 mL, 41.0 mmol, reflux occurs). The mixture was stirred for 30 min at room temperature, then 30 min at 45-50 °C and evaporated. The residue was dissolved in dichloromethane (70 mL) under argon. Pyridine (5.7 mL, 71.3 mmol) was added dropwise at -5 °C and the mixture stirred for 25 min (Solution A). In another flask, tyrosine amide precursor 20 (13.9 g, 27.6 mmol) was suspended in dichloromethane (520 mL) at room temperature, followed by addition of dioxane (80 mL). After that, triethylamine was added at $-30 \circ C$ (Solution B). Solution A was added via cannulation to a solution B at -30 °C. The resulting reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, stirred overnight and then heated to 45-55 °C till conversion proceeded. The mixture was evaporated and the residue chromatographed on a column of silica gel in a gradient of hexane-ethyl acetate (6:4) to (1:9). Yield: 3.8 g (24.2 %) of a white amorphous solid. $R_f = 0.27$ (hexane-ethyl acetate 4:6). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ: 0.88 (m, 6H, H-16^{'''}); 1.19-1.32 (m, 52H, H-3^{$\prime\prime\prime$}-15^{$\prime\prime\prime$}); 1.40 (bm, 4H, H-2^{$\prime\prime\prime$}); 1.419, 1.421 (2 × s, 2 \times 9H, CH₃ (Boc)); 2.92, 2.98 (2 \times bm, 2 \times 2H, H-5"); 3.17 (bm, 4H, H-1^{'''}); 3.67 (t, 2H, $J_{1'-2'}$ = 5.6, H-1[']); 3.94 (t, 2H, $J_{2'-1'}$ = 5.6, H-2'); 4.15 (d, 2H, $J_{3'-P}$ = 7.3, H-3'); 4.22 (bq, 2H, $J_{6''-NH}$ = $J_{6''-5''}$ = 7.3, H-6"); 5.06 (bs, 2H, NH-6"); 6.27 (bs, 2H, NH-1""); 7.03 (bm, 4H, H-2"); 7.12 (m, 4H, H-3"). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ: 14.12 (C-16""); 22.68, 26.84 (C-3"'-15""); 28.28 (Me (Boc)); 29.25, 29.35, 29.45, 29.53, 29.61, 29.65, 29.69, 31.91 (C-2"-15"); 37.92 (C-5"); 39.52 (C-1^{'''}); 42.40 (C-1[']); 56.19 (C-6^{''}); 64.66 (d, $J_{3'-P}$ = 169.3, C-3'); 73.31 (d, *J*_{2'-P} = 10.6, C-2'); 80.30 (CMe₃ (Boc)); 120.49, 120.88 (C-2"); 130.59 (C-3"), 134.29, 134.43 (C-4"); 148.83, 149.13 (2 \times d, *J*_{1"-P} = 9.0, C-1"); 155.69 (CO (Boc)); 171.10 (C-7"). ESIMS: 1169 (M+Na)⁺ (100). HRMS (ESI): For C₆₃H₁₀₈O₁₀N₄ClNaP (M+Na)⁺ calculated: 1169.7392; found: 1169.7384. Anal Calcd for C₆₃H₁₀₈O₁₀N₄-ClP: C, 65.91; H, 9.48; N, 4.88; P, 2.70 Cl, 3.09. Found: C, 65.90; H, 9.50; N, 4.78; P, 2.73; Cl, 2.90.

5.11. Bis(Hexadecylamido-N-tert-Butoxycarbonyl-L-tyrosyl) ester of 1-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethyl]-5-azacytosine (**22**)

A mixture of 5-azacytosine sodium salt (320 mg, 2.4 mmol) and tyrosine synthon **21** (2.3 g, 2.0 mmol) in dimethylsulfoxide (40 mL)

was heated at 95 °C for 16 h (dissolution occurs). The solution was evaporated, the residue coevaporated with toluene $(2 \times 50 \text{ mL})$ and applied onto a column of silica gel (400 mL). Elution was started with ethyl acetate (elution of the rest of 21 and nonpolar by-products), followed by system ethyl acetate-acetone-ethanol-water (18:3:2:2, R_F of the product 22: 0.50). Nevertheless, 22 is bound to silica gel very strongly and its elution from the column proceeds after further addition of methanol to ratio: ethyl acetateacetone-ethanol-water (18:3:2:2)/MeOH (1:1). Yield: 600 mg (21%) of a glassy amorphous solid. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ : 0.88 (t, 6H, J_{16",15"} = 7.0, H-16"), 1.20–1.31 (m, 52H, H-3"- H-15"), 1.37-1.44 (m, 22H, H-2", C(CH₃)₃), 2.96 (m, 4H, 4'-CH₂), 3.15 (m, 4H, H-1"), 3.71-3.78 (m, 2H, N¹-CH_a, N¹-CH₂-CH_a), 3.84 (m, 1H, N¹-CH₂-<u>CH_b</u>), 4.02–4.09 (m, 2H, PCH_a, N¹-CH_b), 4.17 (m, 1H, PCH_b), 4.45 (m, 2H, 4'-CH2-CH), 5.47 (m, 2H, NH-COO), 6.14 (bs, 1H, 4-NH), 6.63 (bs, 1H, 1"-NH), 6.72 (bs, 1H, 1"-NH), 7.01-7.23 (m, 9H, H-2'arom., H-3'arom., 4-NH), 7.82 (bs, 1H, H-6). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) δ : 14.10 (C-16"), 22.66 (C-15"), 26.87 (C-3"), 28.30 (C (CH₃)₃), 29.26–29.68 (m, C-2", C-4"- C13"), 31.89 (C-14"), 38.40 (4'-<u>C</u>H₂), 38.61 (4'-<u>C</u>H₂), 39.52 (C-1"), 47.75 (N¹-CH₂), 55.86 $(4'-CH_2-CH)$, 65.20 (d, J_{CP} = 166.5, PCH₂), 70.31 (d, J_{CP} = 11.0, N¹-CH₂-CH₂), 80.12 (C(CH₃)₃), 80.17 (C(CH₃)₃), 119.78–120.03 (m, C-2'arom.), 130.74 (C-3'arom.), 134.30 and 134.41 (C-4'arom.), 148.69-148.97 (m, C-1'arom.), 154.33 (2 C, N-COO), 155.59 (C-2), 158.95 (C-6), 166.27 (C-4), 171.04 (CON), ESIMS, m/z: 1245.6 (M +Na)⁺ (100), 1223.6 (15) (MH)⁺. HRMS (ESI): For C₆₆H₁₁₁N₈O₁₁PNa (M+Na)⁺ calculated: 1245.80021; found: 1245.80030. For C₆₆H₁₁₂ N₈O₁₁P (MH)⁺ calculated: 1223.81827; found: 1223.81864.

5.12. Bis(Hexadecylamido-L-tyrosyl) ester of 1-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethyl]-5-azacytosine (23)

A solution of 22 (200 mg, 0.16 mmol) in mixture CH₂Cl₂-TFA (1:1, 4 mL) was set aside at room temperature for 12 h. The mixture was evaporated, the residue coevaporated with toluene $(2 \times 20 \text{ mL})$ and chromatographed on silica gel (30 mL) in gradient MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ (2:7) to pure MeOH. R_F 0.30 (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ 2:7). Yield: 150 mg (82%) of a white foam. The product was isolated as bis(trifluoroacetate) salt. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, ppm) δ : 0.90 (t, 6H, $J_{16'',15''}$ = 7.0, H-16"), 1.22–1.35 (m, 52 H, H-3"- H-15"), 1.42 (m, 4H, H-2"), 3.00-3.21 (m, 8H, H-1", 4'-<u>CH₂</u>), 3.83 (t, 2H, J_{CH2,CH2} = 4.8, N¹-CH₂-CH₂), 3.93-4.02 (m, 4H, N¹-CH₂, CH-NH₂), 4.24 (m, 2H, PCH₂), 7.14–7.17 (m, 4H, H-2'arom.), 7.26–7.29 (m, 4H, H-3'arom.), 8.07 (s, 1H, H-6). ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, ppm) δ: 14.45 (C-16"), 23.73 (C-15"), 27.97 (C-3"), 30.22-30.80 (m, C-2", C-4"-C13"), 33.07 (C-14"), 38.70 and 38.73 (4'-CH₂), 40.58 (C-1"), 48.27 (N¹-CH₂), 56.02 (<u>C</u>H-NH₂), 65.11 (d, J_{C,P} = 165.2, P-C), 71.27 (d, $J_{CP} = 11.4$, N¹-CH₂-<u>C</u>H₂), 121.84–121.89 (m, C-2'), 132.25 (C-3'), 134.02 and 134.06 (C-4'), 150.57 and 150.64 (C-1'), 156.87 (C-2), 161.00 (C-6), 168.10 (C-4), 170.62 and 170.67 (CON). ESIMS, *m*/*z*: 1045.6 (M+Na)⁺ (80), 1023.7 (48) (MH)⁺. HRMS (ESI): For C₅₆-H₉₅N₈O₇PNa (M+Na)⁺ calculated: 1045.69535; found: 1045.69577. For $C_{56}H_{96}N_8O_7P$ (MH)⁺ calculated: 1023.71341; found: 1023.71398.

6. Biological assays

The compounds were evaluated against the following viruses: herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1) strain KOS, thymidine kinase-deficient (TK⁻) HSV-1 KOS strain resistant to ACV (ACV^r), herpes simplex virus type 2 (HSV-2) strains Lyons and G, varicella-zoster virus (VZV) strain Oka, TK⁻ VZV strain 07-1, human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) strains AD-169 and Davis, vaccinia virus Lederle strain, respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) strain Long, vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV), Coxsackie B4, Parainfluenza 3, Influenza virus A (subtypes H1N1, H3N2), influenza virus B, Reovirus-1, Sindbis, Reovirus-1 and Punta Toro. The antiviral, assays were based on inhibition of virus-induced cytopathicity or plaque formation in human embryonic lung (HEL) fibroblasts, African green monkey cells (Vero), human epithelial cells (HeLa) or Madin-Darby canine kidney cells (MDCK). Confluent cell cultures in microtiter 96-well plates were inoculated with 100 CCID₅₀ of virus (1 CCID₅₀ being the virus dose to infect 50% of the cell cultures) or with 20 plaque forming units (PFU) (VZV) and further incubated in the presence of varying concentrations of the test compounds. Viral cytopathicity or plaque formation was recorded as soon as it reached completion in the control virus-infected cell cultures that were not treated with the test compounds. Antiviral activity was expressed as the EC₅₀ or compound concentration required to reduce virus-induced cytopathogenicity or viral plaque formation by 50%.

The cytostatic activity measurements were based on the inhibition of cell growth. HEL cells were seeded at a rate of 5×10^3 cells/ well into 96-well microtiter plates and allowed to proliferate for 24 h. Then, medium containing different concentrations of the test compounds was added. After 3 days of incubation at 37 °C, the cell number was determined with a Coulter counter. The cytostatic concentration was calculated as the CC₅₀, or the compound concentration required to reduce cell proliferation by 50% relative to the number of cells in the untreated controls. CC₅₀ values were estimated from graphic plots of the number of cells (percentage of control) as a function of the concentration of the test compounds. The selectivity index for each compound was calculated as the CC_{50}/EC_{50} ratio.

Alternatively, cytotoxicity of the test compounds was expressed as the minimum cytotoxic concentration (MCC) or the compound concentration that caused a microscopically detectable alteration of cell morphology.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Subvention for development of research organization RVO 61388963 and the grant 14-00522S by the Czech Science Foundation (GAČR). The authors wish also to express their gratitude to Mrs. Ellen De Waegenaere, Mr. Seppe Kelchtermans, Mrs. Leentje Persoons and Mrs. Lies Van Den Heurck for excellent technical assistance.

References

- 1. Holý A. Curr Pharm Des. 2003;9:2567.
- 2. De Clercq E, Holý A. Nat Rev Drug Disc. 2005;4:928.
- 3. De Clercq E. Biochem Pharmacol. 2011;82:99.
- 4. De Clercq E. Med Res Rev. 2012;32:765.
- De Clercq E. Med Res Rev. 2009;29:571.
- Balzarini J, Holý A, Jindřich J, et al. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA. 1991;88:4961. 6.
- Krečmerová M, Holý A, Pískala A, et al. J Med Chem. 2007;1069:50.
- 8. Holý A, Votruba I, Masojídková M, et al. J Med Chem. 2002;45:1918.
- Balzarini J, Pannecouque C, De Clercq E, et al. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2002;46:2185. 10.
- Hocková D, Holý A, Masojídková M, et al. J Med Chem. 2003;46:5064.
- 11. Dvořáková H, Holý A. Collect Czech Chem Commun. 1993;58:1419.
- Herman BD, Votruba I, Holý A, Sluis-Cremer N, Balzarini J. J Biol Chem. 12. 2010;285:12101.
- Krečmerová M, Otmar M. Future Med Chem. 2012;4:991. 13. 14. Krečmerová M, Holý A, Pohl R, et al. J Med Chem. 2007;50:5765.
- 15. Dračínský M, Krečmerová M, Holý A. Bioorg Med Chem. 2008;16:6778.
- 16. Wyles DL, Kaihara KA, Korba BE, Schooley RT, Beadle JR, Hostetler KY. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2009;53:2660.
- 17. McMullan LK, Flint M, Dyall J, et al. Antiviral Res. 2016;125:71.
- Starrett Jr JE, Tortolani DR, Russell J, et al. J Med Chem. 1994;37:4857. 18.
- 19. Jansa P, Baszczyňski O, Dračínský M, et al. Eur J Med Chem. 2011;46:3748.
- 20. Mehellou Y, Balzarini J, McGuigan C. ChemMedChem. 2009;4:1779.

- 21. Hostetler KY. Antiviral Res. 2009;82:A84.

- hosterier N. Antwirk Res. 2009;62:A84.
 http://www.chimerix.com/research-development/clinical-trials/.
 Krečmerová M, Holý A, Andrei G, et al. J Med Chem. 2010;53:6825.
 Peterson LW, Kim JS, Kijek P, et al. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2011;21:4045.
 Zakharova VM, Serpi M, Krylov IS, et al. J Med Chem. 2011;54:5680.
- Krylov IS, Kashemirov BA, Hilfinger JM, McKenna CE. *Mol Pharm*. 2013;10:445–458.
 Holý A, Günter J, Dvořáková H, et al. *J Med Chem*. 1999;42:2064.
 Vrbková S, Dračinský M, Holý A. *Tetrahedron*. 2007;63:11391.
 Gruber B, Balk S, Stadlbauer S, König S. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2012;124:10207.