# SCIENTIFIC REPERTS

Received: 18 August 2015 accepted: 25 January 2016 Published: 22 February 2016

## **Tailoring electromagnetically OPENinduced transparency with different coupling mechanisms**

**Hai-ming Li<sup>1</sup>, Shao-bin Liu<sup>1</sup>, Shen-yunWang<sup>2</sup>, Si-yuan Liu<sup>1</sup>, Yan Hu<sup>1</sup> & Hai-bin Li<sup>3</sup>**

**Tailoring electromagnetically induced transparency with two different coupling mechanisms has been numerically demonstrated. The results show that EIT based on simultaneous electric resonance and magnetic resonance has relatively larger coupling distance compared with that based on electric resonance near field coupling to magnetic resonance. The relatively large coupling distance is due to the relatively small susceptibility change. For EIT based on simultaneous electric resonance and magnetic resonance, not only incident electric field but also the incident magnetic field pays a role on the susceptibility of system. The influence of the incident magnetic field leads to relatively smaller susceptibility change compared with that based on electric resonance near field coupling to magnetic resonance.**

Metamaterials can exhibit fascinating electromagnetic properties beyond nature materials, such as optical magnetism<sup>1,[2](#page-6-1)</sup>, superlenses<sup>3,4</sup>, negative index of refraction<sup>5,6</sup>, invisibility clocking<sup>7,[8](#page-6-7)</sup>, perfect electromagnetic wave absorber<sup>[9](#page-6-8)[,10](#page-6-9)</sup>. Therefore, tremendous attentions have been paid to metamaterials over the past decades. Metamaterials can be constructed by meta-atoms, which is similar to the solids consisting of certain kinds of atom. Electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT) is the quantum interference phenomenon in three-level atomic systems, which can be analogously re-exhibited by metamaterials. EIT in atomic systems needs harsh experimental conditions<sup>11</sup>, which severely limits its potential applications. However, artificial metamaterials are easy to exhibit analogy of electromagnetically induced transparency behaviors. Zhang *et al.*[12](#page-6-11) have theoretically exhibited that EIT can be obtained with metamaterials consisting of radiative mode and subradiant mode. Henceforth, lots of EIT<sup>13-20</sup> have been theoretically and experimentally demonstrated.

The structure symmetry breaking<sup>21–23</sup> and the near field subwavelength scale coupling<sup>[12,](#page-6-11)24</sup> both can induce EIT, which enrich EIT research. Zhang *et al.*[22](#page-6-15),[23](#page-6-16) have theoretically and experimentally demonstrated that EIT can be obtained by asymmetry metamaterials structure. For near field subwavelength scale coupling, most of EIT<sup>25-30</sup> can be interpreted by the near field coupling between the bright mode and the dark mode. The bright mode can be directly excited by the incident electromagnetic wave. The dark mode has no interaction with the incident electromagnetic wave. It only can be excited by the near field coupling of the bright mode, which indicates that the coupling distance between the bright mode and the dark mode pays an import role in inducing EIT. As the distance increases, transparency window of EIT will deteriorate. When the coupling distance increases beyond a limited distance, transparency window vanishes. So far, there is no report on the investigation of the coupling distance of different coupling mechanisms. In this paper, we tailor transmission window of EIT with two different coupling mechanisms. One coupling way is electric resonance near field coupling to magnetic resonance, and the other way is simultaneous electric resonance and magnetic resonance. The two coupling mechanisms is belong to near field subwavelength scale coupling. The coupling based on electric resonance near field coupling to magnetic resonance is caused by incident electric field. For simultaneous electric resonance and magnetic resonance, not only incident electric field but also the incident magnetic field pays an important role on the near field coupling. The role of incident magnetic field has an import influence on the susceptibility of the system as shown in Eq. 8. Therefore, the influence of incident magnetic field based on simultaneous electric resonance and magnetic resonance leads to relatively smaller susceptibility change compared with that based on electric resonance near field coupling to magnetic resonance when the coupling distance changes. The relatively smaller susceptibility change

<sup>1</sup>Key Laboratory of Radar Imaging and Microwave Photonics, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing, 210016, China. <sup>2</sup>Research Center of Applied Electromagnetics, Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology, Nanjing, 210044, China. <sup>3</sup>College of Materials and Chemical Engineering, Anhui Jianzhu University, Hefei 230022, China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to H.-m.L. (email: [lhmm24@163.](mailto:lhmm24@163.com) [com\)](mailto:lhmm24@163.com) or S.-b.L. (email: [lsb@nuaa.edu.cn](mailto:lsb@nuaa.edu.cn))



<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Figure 1.** (**a**) Simulated transmission spectra of cut wire. (**b**) Simulated transmission spectra of SRR (red line) when the polarizations of electric field and magnetic field are along *x* axis and *y* axis, respectively. Simulated transmission spectra of SRR (black line) when the polarizations of electric field and magnetic field are along *y* axis and *x* axis, respectively. (**c**) Simulated transmission spectra of SRR when the magnetic field is perpendicular to the surface of SRR.

causes relatively smaller EIT transmission window change compared with that based on electric resonance near field coupling to magnetic resonance. The simulated results show that EIT transmission window based on simultaneous electric resonance and magnetic resonance is still visible at large coupling distance, however, EIT transmission window based on electric resonance near field coupling to magnetic resonance vanishes. Hence, EIT based on simultaneous electric resonance and magnetic resonance has a larger coupling distance compared with that based on electric resonance near field coupling to magnetic resonance. The relatively large coupling distance is due to the relatively small susceptibility change based on the simultaneous electric resonance and magnetic resonance.

#### **Results**

[Figure 1\(a,b\)](#page-1-0) show the simulated transmission spectra of the cut wire and split ring resonator (SRR), respectively. The materials of the cut wire and the SRR are selected as gold. The permittivity of the gold is modeled as the Drude mode,

$$
\varepsilon = 1 - \frac{\omega_P^2}{\omega(\omega - j\omega_c)}\tag{1}
$$

where the plasma frequency ( $\omega_p$ ) and the collision frequency ( $\omega_c$ ) are  $\omega_p = 2\pi \times 2.175 \times 10^{15}$  rad/s and  $\omega_c = 2\pi \times 6.5 \times 10^{12}$  rad/s<sup>31</sup>, respectively. The substrate is glass with the dissipation factor of 0.0001 and the index of refraction of 1.55. When the polarization of electric field is along x axis, as depicted by the inset of [Fig. 1\(a\)](#page-1-0), there is a transmission dip at transmission spectra and the electric resonator is excited by incident electric field. For the SRR, when the polarizations of incident electric field and magnetic field are along *y* and *x* axis, respectively, there exists a transmission dip at transmission spectra, as depicted in [Fig. 1\(b\)](#page-1-0). However, when the polarizations of electric field and magnetic field are illustrated as in [Fig. 1\(a\),](#page-1-0) there is no transmission dip at transmission spectra as depicted in red line in [Fig. 1\(b\).](#page-1-0) It conforms that SRR cannot be directly excited by incident electromagnetic wave with polarization as described in [Fig. 1\(a\)](#page-1-0). When the polarization of magnetic field is perpendicular to the surface of SRR, there is a transmission dip at transmission spectra and the magnetic resonator is excited by incident magnetic field, as depicted in [Fig. 1 \(c\)](#page-1-0).

[Figure 2\(a\)](#page-2-0) depicts the artificially united structure of EIT consisting of the cut wire, SRR and the glass. The coupling distance between the cut wire and SRR, *d*, is 25 nm and the thicknesses of the cut wire and SRR, *t*, are 20 nm. The rest geometrical parameters are as follows:  $a = 400$  nm,  $b = 400$  nm,  $l = 108$  nm,  $w = 50$  nm,



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Figure 2.** (**a**) Schematic view of EIT unit structure consisting of the cut wire, SRR and the glass. (**b**) Schematic view of EIT with electric resonance near field coupling to magnetic resonance. (**c**) Schematic view of EIT with simultaneous electric resonance and magnetic resonance. The geometrical parameters are as follows:  $a = 400$  nm,  $b = 400$  nm,  $l = 108$  nm,  $w = 50$  nm,  $l = 100$  nm,  $w = 20$  nm,  $h = 100$  nm and  $g = 20$  nm. 

 $l2 = 100$  nm,  $w2 = 20$  nm,  $h = 100$  nm and  $g = 20$  nm. As the cut wire and SRR are coupling with different mechanisms, the transmission properties of EIT structures are different. When the coupling mechanism of the cut wire and SRR is the electric resonance near field coupling to the magnetic resonance, the structure of EIT is described in [Fig. 2\(b\).](#page-2-0) The distributions of the components of electric field and magnetic field can make sure that the cut wire can be excited by incident electric field and SRR cannot be excited, which is electric resonance near field coupling to magnetic resonance. The cut wire can be defined as bright mode and SRR as dark mode. When the coupling mechanism of the cut wire and SRR is simultaneous electric resonance and magnetic resonance, the structure of EIT is described in [Fig. 2\(c\)](#page-2-0). The distributions of the components of electric field and magnetic field can make sure that the cut wire can be excited by incident electric field and SRR can be excited by incident magnetic field, which refers simultaneous electric resonance and magnetic resonance. The cut wire is bright mode and SRR is quasi-dark mode. The structure exhibiting EIT when excited by an incident plane wave polarized as in [Fig. 2\(c\)](#page-2-0) resembles typical Huygens' metasurfaces<sup>32–34</sup>. However, we believe that it is not a Huygens' metasurface due to the following reasons: first, when Huygens' metasurface is generated, the phase-shift needs to pi, however, the phase-shift of EIT transmission window can not reach to pi, and second, Huygens' metasurface does not have slow light effect, however, EIT structure shown in [Fig. 2\(c\)](#page-2-0) has slow light effect (see supplementary material).

When the coupling distance between the cut wire and SRR, *d*, is 25 nm, two EIT can exhibit visible transmission window as shown in [Fig. 3\(a,b\).](#page-3-0) The characteristic of EIT transmission window is a transmission peak locates between two transmission dips. Both of the transmission windows of EIT are caused by the destructive interference of the cut wire and SRR as demonstrated in<sup>12</sup>. There is a little different resonance frequencies between [Fig. 3\(a,b\)](#page-3-0), which is caused by the different SRR resonance frequencies in [Fig. 1\(b,c\)](#page-1-0). When the cut wire and SRR have the same resonance frequencies as shown in [Fig. 1\(a,b\)](#page-1-0), EIT transmission peak is located at the original transmission dip of [Fig. 1\(a,b\)](#page-1-0) as shown in [Fig. 3\(a\)](#page-3-0). SRR in [Fig. 1\(b,c\)](#page-1-0) have different resonance frequencies. Therefore, it causes the different resonance frequencies in [Fig. 3\(a,b\).](#page-3-0) One can see that EIT transmission window based on electric resonance near field coupling to magnetic resonance is less visible than that based on simultaneous electric resonance and magnetic resonance. It is due to the real part of susceptibility based on simultaneous electric resonance and magnetic resonance is more close to zero than that based on electric resonance near field coupling to magnetic resonance when the coupling distance is 25nm.

The coupling distance plays a crucial role in tailoring EIT transmission window. When d equals to 10nm, two visible transmission windows can be observed as shown in [Fig. 4\(a,b\)](#page-4-0). As d increases, both of the visible transmission windows deteriorate. When d equals to 40nm, EIT transmission window based on electric resonance near field coupling to magnetic resonance vanishes, however, EIT transmission window based on simultaneous electric resonance and magnetic resonance still visible. As d further increases, EIT transmission window based on simultaneous electric resonance and magnetic resonance further deteriorates. When d equals to 100nm, EIT



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Figure 3.** (**a**) Simulated transmission spectra of EIT with electric resonance near field coupling to magnetic resonance. (**b**) Simulated transmission spectra of EIT with simultaneous electric resonance and magnetic resonance.

transmission window based on simultaneous electric resonance and magnetic resonance also vanishes. Therefore, EIT based on simultaneous electric resonance and magnetic resonance has larger coupling distance compared with that based on electric resonance near field coupling to magnetic resonance. For EIT based on electric resonance near field coupling to magnetic resonance, the linearly coupled Lorentz oscillator mode can be expressed as follow:

$$
\ddot{P}_1(t) + \gamma_1 \dot{P}_1(t) + \omega_0^2 P_1(t) - \kappa P_2(t) = g_1 E_0(t) \n\ddot{P}_2(t) + \gamma_2 \dot{P}_2(t) + \omega_0^2 P_2(t) - \kappa P_1(t) = 0
$$
\n(2)

where  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are the damping factors of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , and  $\kappa$  is the coupling coefficient between the two dipoles, and  $g_{_1}$  is the coupling coefficient between the electric dipoles and the incident electric field. Considering ()= *<sup>ω</sup> E t E ei t*

$$
E_0(t) = E_0 e
$$
  

$$
P_1(t) = P_1 e^{i\omega t}
$$
  

$$
P_2(t) = P_2 e^{i\omega t}
$$

Then, the magnitude of the electric dipole  $P_1$  can be obtained:

$$
P_1 = \frac{g_1(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2 + i\gamma_2\omega)E_0}{(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2 + i\gamma_1\omega)(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2 + i\gamma_2\omega) - \kappa^2}
$$
\n(3)

The susceptibility of the system can be determined by  $\chi_1 = P_1/E_0$ . Using the second-order approximation, the susceptibility can be written as:

$$
\chi_1 = g_1 \left[ \frac{(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)(\kappa^2 - \gamma_2^2 \omega^2)}{(\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \omega^2 + \kappa^2)^2} + i \frac{\gamma_2 \omega}{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \omega^2 + \kappa^2} \right]
$$
(4)

which is the same as shown in $12$ . For simultaneous electric resonance and magnetic resonance, the linearly coupled Lorentz oscillator mode can be expressed as follow:

$$
\ddot{P}_1(t) + \gamma_1 \dot{P}_1(t) + \omega_0^2 P_1(t) - \kappa P_2(t) = g_1 E_0(t)
$$
  
\n
$$
\ddot{P}_2(t) + \gamma_2 \dot{P}_2(t) + \omega_0^2 P_2(t) - \kappa P_1(t) = g_2 H_0(t)
$$
\n(5)

where  $g_{_2}$  is the coupling coefficient between the magnetic dipoles and the incident magnetic field. Considering

$$
H_0(t) = H_0 e^{i\omega t}
$$

Then, the magnitude of the dipole  $P_1$  can be obtained:

$$
P_1 = \frac{g_1(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2 + i\gamma_2\omega)E_0}{(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2 + i\gamma_1\omega)(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2 + i\gamma_2\omega) - \kappa^2} + \frac{g_2\kappa H_0}{(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2 + i\gamma_1\omega)(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2 + i\gamma_2\omega) - \kappa^2}
$$
(6)

According to Eq. (6), it is obvious that the first term and the second term of Eq. (6) are respectively caused by incident electric and magnetic field.



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Figure 4.** (**a**) Simulated EIT transmission spectrum based on electric resonance near field coupling to magnetic resonance with different coupling distances. (**b**) Simulated EIT transmission spectrum based on simultaneous electric resonance and magnetic resonance with different coupling distances. (**c**) The *z*-component of electric field distributions of EIT at I. (**d**) The *y*-component of electric field distributions of EIT at II. (**e**) The *z*component of electric field distributions of EIT at III. (**f**) The *y*-component of electric field distributions of EIT at IV.

$$
P_1 = P_1(E_0) + P_1(H_0)
$$
  
\n
$$
P_1(E_0) = \frac{g_1(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2 + i\gamma_2\omega)E_0}{(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2 + i\gamma_1\omega)(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2 + i\gamma_2\omega) - \kappa^2}
$$
  
\n
$$
P_1(H_0) = \frac{g_2\kappa H_0}{(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2 + i\gamma_1\omega)(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2 + i\gamma_2\omega) - \kappa^2}
$$
  
\n(7)

The susceptibility of the system can be determined by  $\chi_2 = P_1(E_0)/E_0 + P_2(H_0)/H_0$ . Using the second-order approximation, the susceptibility can be written as:

$$
\chi_2 = g_1 \left[ \frac{(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)(\kappa^2 - \gamma_2^2 \omega^2)}{(\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \omega^2 + \kappa^2)^2} + i \frac{\gamma_2 \omega}{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \omega^2 + \kappa^2} \right] - g_2 \left[ \frac{\kappa}{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \omega^2 + \kappa^2} + i \frac{(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)\kappa \omega}{(\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \omega^2 + \kappa^2)^2} \right]
$$
(8)

It is obvious that the first term of Eq. (8) is equal to Eq. (4). Therefore,  $\chi_{_2}$  can be expressed as:

$$
\chi_1 - \chi_2 = g_2 \left[ \frac{\kappa}{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \omega^2 + \kappa^2} + i \frac{(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2) \kappa \omega}{(\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \omega^2 + \kappa^2)^2} \right]
$$
(9)

The derivation of the real parts of Eq. (9) can be written as:

$$
\frac{dreal(\chi_1 - \chi_2)}{d\kappa} = g_2 \frac{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \omega^2 - \kappa^2}{\left(\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \omega^2 + \kappa^2\right)^2} \tag{10}
$$

When  $\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \omega^2 - \kappa^2 > 0$ , the slope of the real part of  $\chi_1$  is larger than the slope of the real part of  $\chi_2$ , which indicates that EIT based on electric resonance near field coupling to magnetic resonance has larger susceptibility change compared with that based on simultaneous electric resonance and magnetic resonance when *κ* changes. The relatively large susceptibility change causes the relatively large EIT transmission window change. When  $d= 10$  as shown in [Fig. 4,](#page-4-0) there are visible transmission EIT window based on two coupling mechanisms and the real part of  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$ is equal to 0. When  $d = 40$ , the change of  $\kappa$  have larger influence on  $\chi_1$ . Therefore, EIT transmission window based on electric resonance near field coupling to magnetic resonance is not visible. However, EIT transmission window based on simultaneous electric resonance and magnetic resonance is still visible due to relative small change of  $\chi_2$ .

When  $\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \omega^2 - \kappa^2 = 0$ , the slope of the real part of  $\chi_1$  is equal to the slope of the real part of  $\chi_2$ , which indicates that EIT transmission window based on electric resonance near field coupling to magnetic resonance has the same change compared with that based on simultaneous electric resonance and magnetic resonance when *κ* changes.

When  $\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \omega^2 - \kappa^2 < 0$ , the slope of the real part of  $\chi_1$  is smaller than the slope of the real part of  $\chi_2$ , which indicates that EIT transmission window based on electric resonance near field coupling to magnetic resonance has smaller change compared with that based on simultaneous electric resonance and magnetic resonance when *κ* changes.

The electric field distributions of EIT at I, II, III, IV are depicted in [Fig. 4\(c–f\)](#page-4-0), which is obtained by scattering a plane wave off a single cell with periodic boundary conditions. The incident plane wave in [Fig. 4\(c–f\)](#page-4-0) is normal to structure and the power amplitude of incident plane wave is 0.5 watt. The polarization in [Fig. 4\(c,e\)](#page-4-0) is the same as shown in [Fig. 2\(b\)](#page-2-0) and the polarization in [Fig. 4\(d,f\)](#page-4-0) is the same as shown in [Fig. 2\(c\)](#page-2-0). For EIT based on electric resonance near field coupling to magnetic resonance, the electric field around SRR only comes from the near field coupling of the cut wire. However, for EIT based on simultaneous electric resonance and magnetic resonance, the electric field around SRR comes from two channels. One channel is the near field coupling of the cut wire. The other channel is the magnetic resonance directly excited by incident magnetic field. Therefore, there is more electric field around SRR for EIT based on simultaneous electric resonance and magnetic resonance as shown in [Fig. 4\(d,f\)](#page-4-0), which leads to large coupling distance. [Figure 4\(c,e\)](#page-4-0) depict the z-component of electric field distributions of EIT based on electric resonance near field coupling to magnetic resonance at I and III. [Figure 4\(d,f\)](#page-4-0)  depict the y-component of electric field distributions of EIT based on simultaneous electric resonance and magnetic resonance at II and IV. From [Fig. 4\(c,d\),](#page-4-0) we can see that most of electric field distribute around SRR and there is few electric field distributed along the cut wire, which causes the transmission peaks at I and II. For [Fig. 4\(e,f\),](#page-4-0) the electric field distributions around SRR and along the cut wire are different. The electric field distributions around SRR are same and the electric field distributions along the cut wire are out of phase, which leads to the transmission dip at III and the transmission peak at IV.

#### **Discussion**

In conclusion, the coupling distance between the cut wire and SRR has a crucial role in determining the transmission window. In order to obtain relatively large coupling distance, two kind coupling mechanisms of EIT have been compared. The results show that EIT based on simultaneous electric resonance and magnetic resonance has larger coupling distance compared with that based on electric resonance near field coupling to magnetic resonance. The relatively large coupling distance is due to the relatively small susceptibility change based on the simultaneous electric resonance and magnetic resonance.

### **Methods**

In the simulations, the materials of the cut wire and the SRR are selected as gold. The permittivity of the gold is modeled as the Drude mode, where the plasma frequency ( $\omega_p$ ) and the collision frequency ( $\omega_c$ ) are  $\omega_p = 2\pi \times 2.175 \times 10^{15}$  rad/s and  $\omega_c = 2\pi \times 6.5 \times 10^{12}$  rad/s<sup>31</sup>, respectively. The simulated results are carried out using the commercial finite difference time domain (FDTD) software package (CST Microwave Studio).

#### **References**

- <span id="page-6-0"></span>1. Grigorenko, A. N. *et al.* Nanofabricated media with negative permeability at visible frequencies. *Nature* **438,** 335–338 (2005).
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>2. Xiong, X. *et al.* Switching the electric and magnetic responses in a metamaterial. *Phys. Rev. B* **80,** 201105R (2009).
- <span id="page-6-3"></span><span id="page-6-2"></span>3. Pendry, J. B. Negative refraction makes a perfect lens. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85,** 3966 (2000).
- 4. Fang, N., Lee, H., Sun, C. & Zhang, X. Sub-diffraction-limited optical imaging with a silver superlens. *Science* **308,** 534–537 (2005).
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>5. Zhang, S. *et al.* Experimental demonstration of near-infrared negative-index metamaterials. *Phys. Rev. Lett*. **95,** 137404 (2005).
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>6. Valentine, J. *et al.* Three-dimensional optical metamaterial with a negative refractive index. *Nature* **455,** 376–379 (2008).
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>7. Pendry, J. B., Schurig, D. & Smith, D. R. Controlling electromagnetic fields. *Science* **312,** 1780 –1782(2006).
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>8. Zhao, J., Wang, D., Peng, R., Hu, Q. & Wang, M. Watching outside while under a carpet cloak of invisibility. *Phys. Rev. E* **84,** 046607  $(2011)$
- <span id="page-6-9"></span><span id="page-6-8"></span>9. Landy, N. I., Sajuyigbe, S., Mock, J. J., Smith, D. R. & Padilla, W. J. Perfect metamaterial absorber. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100,** 207402 (2008).
- 10. Hao, J. *et al.* High performance optical absorber based on a plasmonic metamaterial. *Appl. Phys. Lett*. **96,** 251104 (2010). 11. Hau, L. V., Harris, S. E., Dutton, Z. & Behroozi, C. H. Light speed reduction to 17 metres per second in an ultracold atomic gas.
- <span id="page-6-11"></span><span id="page-6-10"></span>*Nature*, **397,** 594–598 (1999).
- 12. Zhang, S., Genov, D. A., Wang, Y., Liu, M. & Zhang, X. Metamaterial analogy of electromagnetically induced transparency. *Phys. Rev. Lett*. **101,** 253903 (2008).
- <span id="page-6-12"></span>13. Chiam, S. Y. *et al.* Analog of electromagnetically induced transparency in a terahertz metamaterial. *Phys. Rev. B* **80,** 153103 (2009).
- 14. Li, H.-M. *et al.* Electromagnetically induced transparency with large delay-bandwidth product induced by magnetic resonance near field coupling to electric resonance. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **106,** 114101 (2015).
- 15. Zhang, F. *et al.* Large group index induced by asymmetric split ring resonator dimmer. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **103,** 211904 (2013).
- 16. Zhang, K. *et al.* Dual-mode electromagnetically induced transparency and slow light in a terahertz metamaterial. *Opt. Lett.* **39,** 3539–3542 (2014).
- 17. Papasimakis, N. *et al.* Metamaterial with polarization & direction insensitive resonant transmission response mimicking electromagnetically induced transparency. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **94,** 211902 (2009).
- 18. Li, Z. *et al.* Manipulating the plasmon-induced transparency in terahertz metamaterials. *Opt. Express* **19,** 8912–8919 (2011).
- 19. Cetin, A. E., Artar, A., Turkmen, M., Yanik, A. A. & Altug, H. Plasmon induced transparency in cascaded TT-shaped metamaterials. *Opt. Express* **19,** 22607–22618 (2011).
- 20. Wang, L., Li, W. & Jiang, X. Tunable control of electromagnetically induced transparency analogue in a compact graphene-based waveguide. *Opt. Lett.* **40,** 2325–2328 (2015).
- <span id="page-6-13"></span>21. Fedotov, V. A., Rose, M., Prosvirnin, S. L., Papsimakis, N. & Zheludev, N. I. Sharp trapped-mode resonances in planar metamaterials with a broken structural symmetry. *Phys. Rev. Lett*. **99,** 147401 (2007).
- <span id="page-6-15"></span>22. Singh, R. *et al.* Observing metamaterial induced transparency in individual Fano resonators with broken symmetry. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **99, 201107** (2011).
- <span id="page-6-16"></span>23. Cao, W. *et al.* Low-loss ultra-high-Q dark mode plasmonic Fano metamaterials. *Opt. Lett.* **37,** 3366–3368 (2012).
- <span id="page-6-14"></span>24. Cao, W. *et al.* Plasmon-induced transparency in metamaterials: Active near field coupling between bright superconducting and dark metallic mode resonators. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **103,** 101106 (2013).
- <span id="page-6-17"></span>25. Zhang, J., Xiao, S., Jeppesen, C., Kristensen, A. & Mortensen, N. A. Electromagnetically induced transparency in metamaterials at near-infrared frequency. *Opt. Express* **18,** 17187–17192 (2010).
- 26. Liu, X. *et al.* Electromagnetically induced transparency in terahertz plasmonic metamaterials via dual excitation pathways of the dark mode. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **100,** 131101 (2012).
- 27. Zhang, F., Zhao, Q., Zhou, J. & Wang, S. Polarization and incidence insensitive dielectric electromagnetically induced transparency metamaterial. *Opt. Express* **21,** 19675–19680 (2013).
- 28. Gu, J. *et al.* Active control of electromagnetically induced transparency analogue in terahertz metamaterials. *Nature Commun*. **3,** 1151 (2012).
- 29. Lu, Y., Rhee, J. Y., Jang, W. & Lee, Y. P. Active manipulation of plasmonic electromagnetically-induced transparency based on magnetic plasmon resonance. *Opt. Express* **18,** 20912–20917 (2010).
- 30. Zhang, L. *et al.* Large group delay in a microwave metamaterial analog of electromagnetically induced transparency. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **97,** 241904 (2010).
- <span id="page-6-18"></span>31. Liu, N. *et al.* Plasmonic analogy of electromagnetically induced transparency at the Drude damping limit. *Nat. Mater.* **8,** 758–764 (2009).
- <span id="page-6-19"></span>32. Pfeiffer, C. & Grbic, A. Metamaterial Huygens' surfaces: Tailoring wave fronts with reflectionless sheets. *Phys. Rev. Lett*. **110,** 197401 (2013).
- 33. Selvanayagam, M. & Eleftheriades, G. V. Discontinuous electromagnetic fields using orthogonal electric and magnetic currents for wavefront manipulation. *Opt. Express* **12,** 14409–14429 (2013).
- 34. Decker, M. *et al.* High-efficiency dielectric Huygens' surface. *Adv. Opt. Mater.* **3,** 813–820 (2015).

#### **Acknowledgements**

This work has been supported by the supports from Funding of Jiangsu Innovation Program for Graduate Education KYLX\_0272, the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, Chinese national Natural Science Foundation (Grant No. 61307052 and 61302048).

#### **Author Contributions**

H.-m.L. proposed the idea. H.-m.L., S.-y.L., S.-y.W., Y.H. and H.-b.L. performed the numerical simulations. H.-m.L., S.-b.L. and S.-y.W. co-wrote the manuscript.

#### **Additional Information**

**Supplementary information** accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/srep>

**Competing financial interests:** The authors declare no competing financial interests.

**How to cite this article**: Li, H.-m. *et al.* Tailoring electromagnetically induced transparency with different coupling mechanisms. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 21457; doi: 10.1038/srep21457 (2016).

C  $\bigcirc$  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>