## Nanoscale Advances



Check for updates

Cite this: Nanoscale Adv., 2021, 3, 5166

#### Received 23rd May 2021 Accepted 5th August 2021 DOI: 10.1039/d1na00384d

rsc.li/nanoscale-advances

# Synthesis and applications of $WO_3$ nanosheets: the importance of phase, stoichiometry, and aspect ratio

Travis G. Novak,†<sup>a</sup> Jin Kim,†<sup>b</sup> Paul A. DeSario<sup>C</sup> and Seokwoo Jeon<sup>\*d</sup>

Tungsten trioxide (WO<sub>3</sub>) is an abundant, versatile oxide that is widely explored for catalysis, sensing, electrochromic devices, and numerous other applications. The exploitation of WO<sub>3</sub> in nanosheet form provides potential advantages in many of these fields because the 2D structures have high surface area and preferentially exposed facets. Relative to bulk WO<sub>3</sub>, nanosheets expose more active sites for surface-sensitive sensing/catalytic reactions, and improve reaction kinetics in cases where ionic diffusion is a limiting factor (*e.g.* electrochromic or charge storage). Synthesis of high aspect ratio WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets, however, is more challenging than other 2D materials because bulk WO<sub>3</sub> is not an intrinsically layered material, making the widely-studied sonication-based exfoliation methods used for other 2D materials not well-suited to WO<sub>3</sub>. WO<sub>3</sub> is also highly complex in terms of how the synthesis method affects the properties of the final material. Depending on the route used and subsequent post-synthesis treatments, a wide variety of different morphologies, phases, exposed facets, and defect structures are created, all of which must be carefully considered for the chosen application. In this review, the recent developments in WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheet synthesis and their impact on performance in various applications are summarized and critically analyzed.

"NRC Postdoctoral Associate, US Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D.C., 20375, USA

<sup>b</sup>Thin Film Materials Research Center, Korea Research Institute of Chemical Technology, Daejeon, 34114, Republic of Korea

<sup>c</sup>Chemistry Division (Code 6100), U.S. Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D.C., 20375, USA

<sup>d</sup>Department of Materials Science and Engineering, KAIST Institute for the Nanocentury, Advanced Battery Center, KAIST, Daejeon, 34141, Republic of Korea. E-mail: jeon39@kaist.ac.kr

† These authors contributed equally.

Dr Travis Novak is a postdoctoral research associate at the U.S. Naval Research Laboratory (NRL) working in the Surface Chemistry Branch. He received his PhD from the Korean Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (KAIST) under Prof. Seokwoo Jeon, where his research centered on synthesis of 2D materials for energy applications. His current research focuses on developing

oxide and metal/oxide nanoarchitectures for environmental remediation catalysts.



Tungsten trioxide (WO<sub>3</sub>) is of interest in a great number of potential applications due to both its intrinsic properties and its wide range of options for chemical/structural modifications. WO<sub>3</sub> is generally eco-friendly, inexpensive, and abundant.<sup>1</sup> Pure WO<sub>3</sub> can be stable or metastable in many phases, including monoclinic, orthorhombic, hexagonal, or cubic, with several hydrated crystalline phases of various stoichiometries



Dr Jin Kim received his PhD degree in Materials Science and Engineering from KAIST under supervision of Prof. Seokwoo Jeon. His research field was fabrication of 2D materials including graphene, TMDCs and also TMOs. Currently, he joined the Korea Research Institute of Chemical Technology (KRICT) as a post-doctoral researcher to pioneer various applications of those 2D materials in catalysts, energy storage and sensors.

**ROYAL SOCIETY** 

OF CHEMISTRY

 $(WO_3 \cdot nH_2O)$  possible as well (Table 1).<sup>2-4</sup> In addition, WO<sub>3</sub> is generally non-toxic,<sup>5</sup> and its chemical stability over a wide pH range makes it useful for both liquid and gas phase applications.<sup>6</sup>

Bulk WO<sub>3</sub> typically has a band gap of 2.5–3.0 ev,<sup>7</sup> and due to considerable absorption of visible light, is one of the most widely explored photocatalysts for solar-driven applications. The WO<sub>3</sub> band gap can be tuned for specific applications by widening through quantum confinement,<sup>8</sup> or narrowing using oxygen vacancy formation<sup>9</sup> or heteroatom doping.<sup>10</sup> This versatility has led to research into WO<sub>3</sub> photocatalysts and photo-electrocatalysts focused a wide range of reactions, including water oxidation,<sup>11–14</sup> water reduction,<sup>15</sup> degradation of organic pollutants,<sup>16–22</sup> methane conversion,<sup>23</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> reduction,<sup>24–26</sup> nitrate synthesis,<sup>27</sup> and cross-coupling reactions.<sup>28</sup> WO<sub>3</sub> has also been extensively studied as an electrocatalyst for the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER).<sup>29</sup>

For use in sensors, WO<sub>3</sub> is an n-type material that readily chemisorbs various gases,<sup>30</sup> creating a charge depletion region and subsequent resistivity change. Similar to the case with photocatalysis, modification of WO<sub>3</sub> morphology<sup>31</sup> or chemical composition<sup>32</sup> can alter its properties for enhancement of gas sensing performance.

Table 1Phases of $WO_3$ and $WO_3 \cdot nH_2O$		
Phase	Symmetry	Notes <sup>a</sup>
δ-WO <sub>3</sub> γ-WO <sub>3</sub> β-WO <sub>3</sub> α-WO <sub>3</sub> Cubic WO <sub>3</sub> h-WO <sub>3</sub> WO <sub>3</sub> · 2H <sub>2</sub> O WO <sub>3</sub> · H <sub>2</sub> O	Triclinic Monoclinic Orthorhombic Tetragonal Cubic Hexagonal Monoclinic Orthorhombic	-43 to 17 °C 17 to 330 °C 330 to 740 °C >720 °C Sub-stoichiometric Metastable at <400 °C Layered $WO_5(OH)_2$ sheets Corner-sharing $WO_5(OH)$

<sup>a</sup> Temperature ranges are for bulk, stoichiometric WO<sub>3</sub>.<sup>4</sup>

WO<sub>3</sub> is also notable for its ability to intercalate lithium ions  $(Li^+)$ ,<sup>33</sup> a trait that makes it applicable as both an energy storage and electrochromic material. In latter case, the mostly transparent WO<sub>3</sub> becomes opaque upon lithiation due to the transition from W<sup>6+</sup> to W<sup>5+</sup> states,<sup>34</sup> blocking the majority of visible light in films only ~100 nm thick.<sup>35</sup> This rapid and reversible optical switching makes WO<sub>3</sub> a leading candidate for next-generation "smart window" technology, where the transparent-to-opaque transition could dramatically reduce heating/cooling costs in buildings.<sup>36</sup>

The exploitation of  $WO_3$  in nanosheet form has potential benefits for many desired applications, as illustrated in Fig. 1. Nanosheets have an abundance of exposed surface sites compared to bulk materials, beneficial for catalytic or sensing applications alike. The inherent porosity and continuous intercalation paths of nanosheets also allow for easier infiltration of ions, notably Li<sup>+</sup>, making them of an ideal morphology for electrochromic and charge storage devices. In addition, nanosheets can often be easily dispersed in aqueous or organic solvents, allowing for compatibility with techniques such as spin-casting, spray coating, or ink-jet printing.

Despite these advantages, there are still many challenges involved with applications of WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets, mostly related to synthesis. Unlike intrinsically layered materials such as graphite or transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs), WO<sub>3</sub> is not amenable to traditional exfoliation techniques. A wide variety of alternative synthesis techniques have been reported, but these generally struggle to achieve a combination of high aspect ratio, chemical purity, and desired phase.

In this review, we summarize and critically analyse the common techniques recently reported for  $WO_3$  nanosheet synthesis, both top-down (starting from bulk) and bottom-up (starting from smaller precursor molecules). Because the synthesis technique strongly affects the morphology, stoichiometry, and phase of the resulting nanosheet, we also discuss the influence of these factors on the desired application.

Dr Paul DeSario is a staff scientist at NRL in the Surface Chemistry Branch. He received his BS from Miami University, MS from Lehigh University, and PhD from Northwestern University. He joined NRL as a postdoctoral research associate in 2011 and became a staff scientist in 2014. His research interests include the development of various nanostructures for photocatalytic, electrocatalytic, and thermoelectric applications.



Prof. Seokwoo Jeon is currently a Chair professor of Materials Science & Engineering at KAIST, starting his position in 2008. He received BS and MS degrees from Seoul National University and then received his PhD from UIUC in 2006 under the supervision of Prof. John A. Rogers. Later he joined Columbia University as Postdoc Research Fellow at NSEC jointly hired from Prof. Collin Nuckolls, Prof.

James Hone, and Prof. Philip Kim. His research goals are exploring novel electronic, mechanical, and optical properties from those nanomaterials and employing those materials in real world application.



Fig. 1 Schematic showing basic principles of operation for  $WO_3$  in photocatalysts, sensors, electrochromic devices, and charge storage applications, along with the potential advantages provided by the nanosheet morphology.

# 2. Bottom-up synthesis of WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets

#### 2.1 Hydrothermal routes

Hydrothermal synthesis typically refers to any method that involves raising the temperature and pressure of a sealed, aqueous solution to yield a desired product.<sup>37</sup> This is often considered industrially attractive because it can be a simple, one-step route that is easily scalable, but hydrothermal routes often lack as precise control of morphology and surface chemistry as other methods.

For WO<sub>3</sub>, a wide variety of different shapes can be grown through simple modification of hydrothermal synthesis conditions. Yu *et al.* reported synthesis of hydrated WO<sub>3</sub> nanostructures – 0D nanoparticles, 1D nanorods, 2D nanoplates, and 3D nanoflowers – through a sodium tungstate dihydrate (Na<sub>2</sub>-WO<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O) route at 160 °C.<sup>38</sup> As shown in Fig. 2, the choice of surfactant determines the morphology of the resulting WO<sub>3</sub> structure. Addition of K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> produced nanorods, while oxalic acid produced either nanoplates or nanoflowers, depending on the concentration. The orthorhombic nanoplates were reported to have an average edge length of 300 nm and thickness of 40 nm.<sup>38</sup>

Variations on this synthetic scheme using  $Na_2WO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ appear frequently in WO<sub>3</sub> literature.<sup>39–42</sup> Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) is an anionic surfactant known to functionalize and stabilize nanosheets in solution.<sup>43</sup> Addition of SDS to WO<sub>3</sub> hydrothermal routes is common, and it has been asserted that the SDS micelles create additional porosity in WO<sub>3</sub> structures,<sup>39</sup> but these often must be thermally removed post-synthesis. At moderate temperatures, WO<sub>3</sub> prepared through hydrothermal routes tends to be monoclinic or orthorhombic, but can be stabilized in other phases through annealing. Zhang *et al.* synthesized WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets by a typical hydrothermal route, followed by annealing in N<sub>2</sub> at 350 °C to create hexagonal WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets.<sup>40</sup> As with other annealing processes in oxides, this can affect morphology, and likely reduces aspect ratio in the case of nanosheets.

As an alternative to higher temperature routes, Xiao *et al.* showed that addition of Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> stabilizes hexagonal phase WO<sub>3</sub> at a synthesis temperature of only 200 °C.<sup>44</sup> The nanosheets produced from this route were of relatively high aspect ratio compared to other hydrothermal routes, with an average thickness of 15 nm and lateral sizes appearing to be on the order of 1  $\mu$ m. Depending on the amount of Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> added, the product appeared either as discrete nanosheets or mesoporous flowers of assembled nanosheets.

Further variations on hydrothermal routes include the use of seeds or templates to create morphological variations. Shi *et al.* synthesized hexagonal WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets with dominant (100) facets using  $H_2WO_4$  as a precursor and FTO as a seed layer.<sup>45</sup> This yielded a highly porous assembly, and individual nanosheets appeared to be 100's of nm across.

For template routes, the triblock copolymer Pluronic P123 has been used to synthesize a wide variety of ultrathin oxide nanosheets, where its use promotes lateral growth and reduces agglomeration.<sup>46</sup> It was later shown for WO<sub>3</sub> that removal of the P123 template at 300 °C results in nanosheets that are thinner ( $\sim$ 10 nm) compared to the 20–30 nm of the pristine sheets, while lateral size was maintained at 100's of nm.<sup>47</sup>



Fig. 2 (a) Schematic of different morphologies of WO<sub>3</sub> produced through a hydrothermal route with variation of precursors (OA: oxalic acid). (b) SEM images of the results structures at low and high magnification, adapted with permission from ref. 38, copyright 2016, Elsevier.

Other methods using P123 have demonstrated similarly thin nanosheets. Liang *et al.* used P123 and WCl<sub>6</sub> to synthesize WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets, finding nanosheets with an average thickness of 4.9 nm and dominant (002) facets.<sup>20</sup> A similar method was later used to combine ultra-thin WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets with  $C_3N_4$  to form a highly porous composite.<sup>48</sup>

#### 2.2 Solution-phase routes at or near room temperature

As their name suggests, the hydrothermal methods described in the previous section use applied heat (most commonly 120–200 °C) to assemble of WO<sub>3</sub> nanostructures from their starting precursors. A closely related class of synthetic technique uses similar starting molecules but relies on acid or basecatalysed reactions rather than thermal stimulus to achieve the desired products. These are often dubbed 'self-assembly' or 'sol–gel' routes depending on the precursors and methods of assembly, but there is considerable overlap as these methods could also be considered hydrothermal if done in a sealed vessel at elevated temperatures. We will therefore define methods in this section as routes where the primary reactions occur at <100 °C (post-synthesis drying/calcination steps not included).

These low-temperature processes have been used to synthesize WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets, but typically struggle to achieve a large lateral size. Ahmed *et al.* synthesized WO<sub>3</sub> nanorods and nanosheets through a sol–gel method using Na<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O and HCl.<sup>49</sup> Post-synthesis calcination at 400, 450, and 500 °C was shown to generate triclinic, orthorhombic, and monoclinic WO<sub>3</sub>, respectively. Thickness was not measured, but lateral size appeared to be on the order of 100's of nm for the monoclinic nanosheets.

Similar acid-catalysed sol-gel routes can produce a wide variety of nanosheet-like structures. Wang *et al.* demonstrated

that sol-gel synthesized tungstite (WO<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O) nanosheets that were preferentially [111] oriented would convert to monoclinic [200] oriented WO<sub>3</sub> porous nanosheet arrays (PNAs) upon annealing at 400 °C.<sup>50</sup> Average thickness was ~20 nm post-annealing, but lateral size of individual nanosheets within the PNA was not clear.

Although not explicitly defined as a sol–gel method, Na<sub>2</sub>-WO<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O with nitric acid (HNO<sub>3</sub>) was found to produce polycrystalline, monoclinic nanosheets with crystallite sizes of 22–44 nm after annealing at 500 °C.<sup>51</sup> Substitution of 1–2 at% Sn reduced wrinkles in these nanosheets. The same basic synthesis scheme was later applied to produce Cr-doped WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets.<sup>52</sup>

Chen *et al.* demonstrated a similar method for synthesis of WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets, using one-step method with Na<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>4</sub> and HNO<sub>3</sub> at 60 °C.<sup>53</sup> Fig. 3a illustrates the formation through self-assembly of the precursor molecules. The authors found that by adding different ratios of sodium oleate (NaOA) and sodium-*n*-octanoate (NaOct) the thickness of WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets could be controlled. At a NaOct/NaOA mass ratio of 0.04, nanosheets were ~5 nm thick and 10's of nm in lateral size and of monoclinic phase (Fig. 3b–d).

Another work regarding morphology as a function of additive concentration used oxalic acid in conjunction with Na<sub>2</sub>-WO<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O and HCl.<sup>54</sup> Optimized conditions yielded monoclinic WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets with average thickness of ~10 nm and lateral size appearing to be 100's of nm. The authors proposed that addition of oxalic acid promotes dissolution of H<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>4</sub>·*n*H<sub>2</sub>O precipitates during the growth process, effectively preventing thicker sheets from forming.

In a variation on the acid-catalysed processes, Sánchez-Martínez *et al.* reported ultrasonication-assisted synthesis using



Fig. 3 (a) Schematic of self-assembly. (b–d) SEM, TEM, and HRTEM images of WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets produced with a NaOct/NaOA mass ratio of 0.04. Reprinted with permission from ref. 53, copyright 2018, Elsevier.

ammonium tungstate hydrate, HNO<sub>3</sub>, and cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB).<sup>55</sup> The authors asserted that addition of CTAB promotes the formation of nanosheets; samples prepared without CTAB were irregular and of greater thickness. Optimization of CTAB concentration yielded monoclinic  $WO_3$  nanosheets that were 50 nm in average thickness and 100 s of nm in lateral size.

A unique indirect method of obtaining WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets has been reported through spontaneous conversion of a BaWO<sub>4</sub>polymer nanohybrid in solution.<sup>56</sup> Here, a WO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> solution was prepared using Na<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>4</sub> and added to a solution of barium chloride hexahydrate (BaCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O) and polyacrylic acid (PAA). It was asserted that this process formed a BaWO<sub>4</sub>–PAA hybrid that released Ba<sup>2-</sup> ions into the solution, leaving behind metastable, hexagonal WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets. Fig. 4 illustrates the interaction of PAA with BaWO<sub>4</sub> and the conversion of WO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedrons to WO<sub>6</sub> octahedrons characteristic of hexagonal WO<sub>3</sub>.

#### 2.3 Other bottom-up routes

While the majority of bottom-up routes utilize precursors dispersed in an aqueous or organic solvent, a few other methods exist based on other techniques. Several of these start from metallic tungsten, which can be oxidized and then assembled into nanosheets through arc-discharge or anodization.

A solid–liquid phase arc discharge route was reported by Chen *et al.* to produce ultra-thin WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets.<sup>24</sup> Here, a tungsten filament at high voltage was brought into contact with NaNO<sub>3</sub> solution, producing WO<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles in solution. These nanoparticles then preferentially orient and attach along the (100) and (010) axes to form nanosheets, as illustrated in Fig. 5. The rectangular nanosheets produced through this method were ultra-thin, averaging 4.5 nm in height, and 100's of nm in lateral size. A similar technique was later reported to synthesize WO<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles that were oxygen deficient (WO<sub>3-x</sub>), which the authors attributed to electron bombardment during the arc-discharge.<sup>57</sup>

There also exist several reports of metallic tungsten structures deposited through bottom-up routes that are oxidized to form WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets. Wisitsora-at *et al.* used RF sputtering to



Fig. 4 Illustration of  $BaWO_4$  (100) and the interaction with PAA molecules that leads to formation of octahedral  $WO_6$ . Reprinted with permission from ref. 56, copyright 2006, John Wiley and Sons.



Fig. 5 Schematic of  $WO_3$  formation from smaller nanocrystals produced through solid–liquid phase arc discharge. Reprinted with permission from ref. 24, copyright 2012, American Chemical Society.

deposit a 1  $\mu$ m thick tungsten film on Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, followed by anodization with HNO<sub>3</sub> at 60 °C to create films of WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets.<sup>58</sup> A similar method had previously been reported to create nanostructured WO<sub>3</sub> films from commercial tungsten foils.<sup>59</sup> Increasing HNO<sub>3</sub> concentration was found to reduce thickness but also degrade crystallinity, although individual nanosheet dimensions were not specified.

Another report using RF sputtering followed by  $HNO_3$ anodization and annealing at 450 °C provided more details regarding the morphology of the nanosheet films.<sup>60</sup> Nanosheets were found to be monoclinic and predominately (002). Average lateral size was 50–500 nm and thickness was 10–50 nm, making the nanosheets similar in both crystallinity and aspect ratio to those grown by solution-phase routes.

While these routes deposited metallic tungsten through bottom-up means and subsequently anodized, it is also possible to create WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets through direct deposition of the oxide. Luo *et al.* synthesized WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets through thermal evaporation starting with WO<sub>3</sub> powder to deposit on a glass substrate.<sup>61</sup> The amorphous nanosheets could be separated from the substrate through ultrasonication and were of large lateral size (0.5–10  $\mu$ m), but thickness was not reported.

An unconventional approach was studied Fang *et al.* using a solid-state mechanochemical reaction induced by ball-milling between Na<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O and oxalate dehydrate (H<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O), as illustrated in Fig. 6a.<sup>62</sup> The resulting WO<sub>3</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O monoclinic nanosheets showed a preferential (100) orientation and had an average thickness of 5.67 nm (Fig. 6b). Typical lengths and widths were 50–80 nm and 8–10 nm, respectively.

Overall, there are a wide variety of bottom-up methods for  $WO_3$  synthesis that provide relatively simple and scalable

synthesis and can be tuned to generate different phases of  $WO_3$ . One common drawback of these routes, however, is the relatively low aspect ratio of the nanosheets produced. It is typical to see sizes of 100 s of nm or less with these techniques, potentially limiting the morphological advantages compared to  $WO_3$ produced through methods we will discuss in the following sections.

# 3. Top-down synthesis of WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets

While bottom-up methods attempt to synthesize  $WO_3$  from smaller precursor molecules, top-down methods aim to directly cleave nanosheets from the bulk source. In the case of advanced exfoliation techniques, this has been widely developed in order to enhance the aspect ratio while maintaining scalability. For  $WO_3$  this can include either exfoliation of  $WO_3$ , exfoliation of another layered bulk material ( $WS_2$ ) followed by oxidation, or exfoliation of inherently layered hydrated  $WO_3$ . Among these methods, liquid-phase exfoliation is a promising approach due to not only its scalability but also its simplicity. In this section, we will summarize the progress of top-down synthesis of  $WO_3$ nanosheets, especially in terms of liquid exfoliation, based on different source materials.

#### 3.1 Direct exfoliation of bulk WO<sub>3</sub>

Bulk materials could be exfoliated into nanosheets through external stimulation, such as ultra-sonication, and cohesive interaction between the liquid media and the bulk source. This has generated high-quality crystalline nanosheets for a wide variety of van der Waals (VDW) bonded materials, including graphite (graphene),<sup>63</sup> TMDCs,<sup>64</sup> and black phosphorus.<sup>65</sup> Based on this concept, initial attempts were made by directly exfoliating raw bulk WO<sub>3</sub> in appropriate liquid, but naturally this proved more challenging in a material that was not intrinsically layered.

Perhaps the simplest top-down method reported to date, Szkoda *et al.* refluxed bulk  $WO_3$  powder in water over the course of 10 days at 80 °C, finding that nearly 100% exfoliation occurred and further centrifugation processes were not necessary.<sup>66</sup> Although some atomically thin structures were observed in TEM, statistical analysis of nanosheets size/thickness was not reported, and lateral size appeared to be limited.

Another route utilizing sonication in aqueous solutions, Guan *et al.* sonicated bulk WO<sub>3</sub> for 48 h in bovine serum albumin (BSA) solution to produce atomically thin WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets, shown in Fig. 7a.<sup>16</sup> The exfoliation is driven by both aqueous media, which has good cohesive energy with WO<sub>3</sub>, and electrostatic forces caused by BSA molecules. Specifically, the authors observed both theoretically and experimentally that the  $-NH3^+$  groups of the BSA molecules strongly bind on the negatively charged WO<sub>3</sub> surface in acidic media, making WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets separate easily from one another through electrostatic force. Because this method is based on electrostatic binding between WO<sub>3</sub> and BSA molecules, the exfoliated monoclinic nanosheets show high crystallinity and long-term



Fig. 6 (a) Schematic of  $WO_3 \cdot 2H_2O$  nanosheet formation through a solid-state mechanochemical reaction. (b) TEM image showing nanosheet morphology with inset selected-area diffraction pattern and lattice parameter measurement. Reprinted with permission from ref. 62, copyright 2017 American Chemical Society.



Fig. 7 (a) Optimization of exfoliation/purification for WO<sub>3</sub> exfoliation. (b) HRTEM of a nanosheet with lattice spacing indicated; inset shows typical lateral size of WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets. (c) Schematic illustration for electrostatic-driven interaction of BSA on WO<sub>3</sub> surface. Reprinted with permission from ref. 16, copyright 2017 John Wiley and Sons.

stability against restacking. After centrifugation at 2000 rpm, 49% of nanosheets were bilayer (2.1 nm thick), but average lateral size was a modest 150 nm.

Although the above works show that it is possible to exfoliate bulk  $WO_3$  into nanostructures, there are clearly severe limitations to these approaches. Because of the strong binding in  $WO_3$ , it is difficult to directionally cleave, resulting in long processing times and nanosheets of low aspect ratio. For improved exfoliation efficiency, there is clearly a need to start with an intrinsically layered material.

#### 3.2 Exfoliation and oxidation from WS<sub>2</sub>

Unlike WO<sub>3</sub>, WS<sub>2</sub> is a layered and highly anisotropic material, with strong covalent in-plane bonds and weaker VDW forces out-of-plane. As a result, optimized exfoliation methods can generate WS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets that are >5 nm in thickness and with

lateral sizes that range from 100's of nm to over 1  $\mu$ m.<sup>67,68</sup> Naturally, some efforts to synthesize high aspect ratio WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets have leveraged this approach by exfoliating WS<sub>2</sub> and then attempting to oxidize the WS<sub>2</sub> into WO<sub>3</sub>.

By simply sonicating bulk WS<sub>2</sub> for 4 h in DMF followed by heating at 140 °C, Pan *et al.* showed that oxygen-deficient (WO<sub>3-x</sub>) dots were formed.<sup>69</sup> These dots were monoclinic and of extremely small lateral size (2.7 nm on average). Given that the lateral size of the exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets were on the order of microns, it indicates that the uncontrolled oxidation process will break apart otherwise high aspect ratio flakes.

Intercalation can greatly benefit solution-phase exfoliation processes by weakening the interlayer binding forces in VDW materials, allowing for milder sonication conditions. For ionic salts, this can be easily accomplished by heating in an inert environment to allow dissociation of the salt without formation of side products. This approach has been widely reported to generate graphene of higher aspect ratio than other approaches,<sup>70–72</sup> and is commonly adapted for other VDW materials such as WS<sub>2</sub> and MoS<sub>2</sub>.<sup>73</sup> Fig. 8 illustrates a typical intercalation scheme using *n*-butyllithium (*n*-BuLi), which is a frequently used intercalant for TMDC nanosheet synthesis.<sup>74</sup>

Yim *et al.* utilized this *n*-BuLi intercalation strategy to exfoliate WS<sub>2</sub>, followed by treatment in K<sub>2</sub>PdCl<sub>4</sub> at 50 °C.<sup>28</sup> This both deposited PdO nanoparticles ( $\sim$ 3 nm in diameter) and oxidized the WS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets, forming a PdO@WO<sub>3</sub> heterostructure. Only trace amounts of sulfur were detected after conversion, and the amorphous WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets appeared to largely maintain the high aspect ratio of WS<sub>2</sub>.



**Fig. 8** Intercalation/exfoliation scheme using *n*-BuLi to synthesize TMDC nanosheets. Reprinted with permission from ref. 74, copyright 2016, Royal Society of Chemistry.

Nanoscale Advances

Although *n*-BuLi is the most widely studied, other Li-based salts can be used. Ghorai *et al.* intercalated different lithium salts (I, Br, Cl) in bulk WS<sub>2</sub> to correlate intercalation efficiency to exfoliation yield.<sup>75</sup> The authors achieved extremely high yield of 19 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> by using LiI, which showed the best intercalation efficiency through low lattice energy compared to other salts. When exfoliating WS<sub>2</sub> for WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheet synthesis, there are naturally a similarly wide variety of intercalant options that have been evaluated.

While lithium-based intercalants are widely studied because they share common design principles with electrochromic and charge storage devices based on Li<sup>+</sup>, there are many other ions can readily intercalate into WS<sub>2</sub>, in some cases inducing partial oxidation in addition to interlayer expansion. As shown in Fig. 9a, Zhou et al. reported simultaneous exfoliation and oxidation of bulk WS<sub>2</sub> into a partially oxidized WS<sub>2</sub>/WO<sub>3</sub> hetrostructure, using supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> (sc-CO<sub>2</sub>).<sup>76</sup> Sc-CO<sub>2</sub> could easily permeate into the WS<sub>2</sub> interlayer gallery, which results not only in higher exfoliation efficiency through increased interlayer distance but also oxidation of the WS<sub>2</sub>. Although the sc-CO<sub>2</sub> could be a simple method that could achieve exfoliation and oxidation simultaneously, the WO3 nanosheet is only partially oxidized, with some WS2 remaining, and the oxidation becomes especially ineffective for few-layer nanosheets due to limited diffusion of CO<sub>2</sub> beyond the surface layer.

Similarly, Tang *et al.* utilized FeCl<sub>3</sub> as both an intercalant and oxidation agent of WS<sub>2</sub>, as shown in Fig. 9b.<sup>77</sup> During the intercalation process, WS<sub>2</sub> converts to WOCl<sub>4</sub> which easily hydrolyses in water to hydrated WO<sub>3</sub> (WO<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O). After annealing in air at 250 °C, cubic WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets were formed that were 3–5 nm thick on average. The authors also found that monoclinic WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets could be formed by increasing the annealing temperature to 400  $^{\circ}$ C.

Azam *et al.* used two-step exfoliation and oxidation reaction to produce WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheet using sodium potassium tartrate tetrahydrate.<sup>78</sup> In this system both the alkali ions and the organic chain intercalate, achieving even larger interlayer distance than intercalation with alkali ions alone and allowing for relatively mild sonication conditions.<sup>79-81</sup> Due to the four water molecules of the hydrated salt, the WS<sub>2</sub> nanosheet was partially oxidized into WS<sub>x</sub>O<sub>y</sub> during the intercalation process, a method known to partially oxidize structurally similar  $MOS_2$ .<sup>82,83</sup> The authors found that the nanosheets were completely oxidized into monoclinic WO<sub>3</sub> after nitric acid treatment, as illustrated in Fig. 9c.<sup>78</sup> After oxidation, 82% of nanosheets were <10 nm thick and lateral size was 1–20 µm, marking one of the highest average aspect ratios reported to date.

Overall, these methods generally produce the highest aspect ratio  $WO_3$  nanosheets by leveraging the inherent ease of exfoliation of  $WS_2$ . The only notable drawback is process complexity: to achieve fully oxidized  $WO_3$  nanosheets generally requires separate exfoliation and oxidation steps, which tend make these routes more time consuming than single-step methods.

#### 3.3 Exfoliation of hydrated WO<sub>3</sub>

Further research attempted to produce  $WO_3$  intercalation compounds in order to produce highly crystalline  $WO_3$ 



**Fig. 9** Examples of exfoliation and oxidation from  $WS_2$ . (a) Supercritical  $CO_2$  used to intercalate and partially oxidize  $WS_2$ , reprinted with permission from ref. 76, copyright 2015 John Wiley and Sons. (b) Use of FeCl<sub>3</sub> to intercalate and oxidize  $WS_2$  to  $WOCl_4$ , followed by hydrolysis in water to yield  $WO_3 \cdot H_2O$  and annealing to form  $WO_3$  nanosheets. Reprinted with permission from ref. 77, copyright 2019 John Wiley and Sons. (c) Synthesis of  $WO_3$  nanosheets through intercalation-assisted exfoliation of  $WS_2$ , followed by nitric acid treatment. Reprinted with permission from ref. 78, copyright 2018 American Chemistry Society.

nanosheets without additional oxidation processes. Although the interlayers are strongly bonded through electrostatic interaction, the highly hydrophilic nature of the WO<sub>3</sub> readily harbors water molecules between its interlayers. Compared to bulk WO<sub>3</sub>, layered hydrated WO<sub>3</sub> (WO<sub>3</sub> · 2H<sub>2</sub>O) can provide structural flexibility to accommodate intercalation-induced strain<sup>84</sup> and achieve faster intercalation kinetics.<sup>85</sup> Since intercalation of water in raw bulk WO<sub>3</sub> is difficult, hydrated WO<sub>3</sub> is normally prepared with tungstate precursors, mostly sodium tungstate.

Similar to  $WS_2$  intercalation compounds, Wang *et al.* showed that  $WO_3$  also experiences expansion in interlayer spacing from 3.75 Å to 6.9 Å after water intercalation, which could weaken the binding energy (Fig. 10a).<sup>86</sup> Kalantar-zadeh *et al.* demonstrated mechanical exfoliation (the scotch-tape method) of ultra-thin nanosheet from hydrated  $WO_3$ , which is not possible from natural bulk  $WO_3$ .<sup>87</sup> Although this method is not scalable for industrial applications, it served as an important proof of concept that hydrated  $WO_3$  could be exfoliated in ways similar to graphite or other layered materials.

Inspired by this, many turned their attention to utilizing liquid-phase exfoliation for hydrated  $WO_3$ . Zhang *et al.* exfoliated hydrated  $WO_3$ , etching intercalated water molecules with Ar plasma, as shown in Fig. 10b.<sup>88</sup> The strong etching nature of the Ar-plasma could efficiently exfoliate ultra-thin  $WO_3$  nanosheets with thickness of 1.4 nm while maintaining lateral sizes of 160–180 nm. The harsh etching process also induced numerous oxygen vacancies in the ultra-thin nanosheets.

Liang *et al.* further expanded the interlayer spacing from through intercalation of dodecylamine in hydrated WO<sub>3</sub> (Fig. 10c).<sup>89</sup> The additional expansion allows higher yield of ultra-thin nanosheets, nearly all with a thickness of 1.4 nm

(corresponding to approximately twice the interlayer distance of adjacent  $WO_3 \cdot H_2O$  layers) and lateral size up to 500 nm.

Exfoliation of hydrated  $WO_3$  has been demonstrated to produce nanosheets with monolayer thickness in high yield. Depending on the exfoliation method or co-intercalants other than water, the chemical properties of the  $WO_3$  nanosheets can be additionally tuned as well. However, bulk hydrated  $WO_3$  is typically fabricated on the nanometer scale, making the exfoliated nanosheets also of small lateral size. Nevertheless, the methods introduced above are shown to be efficient for applications that require highly crystalline  $WO_3$  nanosheets.

# 4. Structure–property relationships for $WO_3$

The diversity of WO<sub>3</sub> synthesis techniques discussed in previous sections create vastly different materials in terms of phase, morphology, defect structures, *etc.* To better contextualize these synthesis methods for specific applications, it is necessary to briefly discuss how these properties imparted by the specific synthesis methods affect performance. Although we attempt to highlight primarily WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheet based works, in this section some literature regarding other nanostructures or thin films will also be discussed as their insights are often directly applicable to the WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets as well.

#### 4.1 Effect of morphology and aspect ratio

The term nanosheet implies a true 2D structure, but in the case of  $WO_3$  that is very challenging to achieve. As seen in the discussion of synthesis methods,  $WO_3$  nanosheets often have aspect ratios on the order of 10 : 1 (*i.e.* 100's of nm in later size



Fig. 10 Examples of interlayer expansion and exfoliation of hydrated  $WO_3$ . (a) Layer expansion of  $WO_3$  upon intercalation with water, reprinted with permission from ref. 86, copyright 2020 American Chemical Society. (b) Exfoliation of hydrated  $WO_3$  using Ar-plasma, followed by deposition of Pt nanoparticles (NPs). Reprinted with permission from ref. 88, copyright 2018 Royal Society of Chemistry. (c) Intercalation and exfoliation of hydrated  $WO_3$  using dodecylamine. Reprinted with permission from ref. 89, Springer-Nature.

#### Review

and 10's of nm in thickness). This contrasts with other layered materials such as graphene or TMDCs, where aspect ratios of 1000 : 1 or more are possible with advanced exfoliation techniques.<sup>70–72,90</sup> This is crucial for certain applications as higher aspect ratios can often alter charge transport properties, expose more surface sites, or promote easier ionic diffusion through the structure.

In the case of photocatalysts, nanostructuring WO<sub>3</sub> in nanosheet morphologies can reduce electron–hole recombination, which is detrimental to photoefficiency. The low dimensionality of ultrathin nanosheets ensures that photogenerated charge carriers do not have to traverse large distances to reach the surface,<sup>11,16,19,20,24</sup> and therefore have an increased probability of reacting with surface adsorbates rather than recombining with electrons.

In addition to reducing charge recombination, morphological modifications of WO<sub>3</sub> can enhance catalysis by leveraging quantum confinement effects to widen its bandgap, shifting the edges of the conduction band (CB) or valence band (VB) for more thermodynamically favourable carrier migration and redox reactions.<sup>11,17</sup> Although the CB potential of bulk WO<sub>3</sub> is insufficient for O<sub>2</sub> reduction,<sup>17,18</sup> water reduction,<sup>15</sup> and CO<sub>2</sub> reduction,<sup>24</sup> these applications have been realized by shifting the band potentials of WO<sub>3</sub>.

Chen *et al.* demonstrated that the combination of a widened bandgap and a CB shift to more negative potentials in ~4–5 nm thick WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets provided the necessary thermodynamic power to impart high activity for photocatalytic reduction of  $CO_2$  to  $CH_4$ .<sup>24</sup> The stark contrast between photoactivity of WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets and commercial WO<sub>3</sub> powder is shown in Fig. 11a. Shifting the CB to more negative potentials can also benefit photo-oxidation because as CB electrons more readily react with O<sub>2</sub> they are less likely to recombine with holes.

Although the VB of WO<sub>3</sub> already provides potent oxidative potential, Liu *et al.* demonstrated that the additional overpotential provided by shifting the VB further positive in WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets improves photoelectrochemical oxygen evolution efficiency relative to bulk WO<sub>3</sub>.<sup>11</sup> The quantum size effect is even more pronounced when pores are incorporated into WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets, further widening its bandgap and improving oxygen evolution activity relative to nonporous WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets.<sup>11</sup>

Furthermore, simply the increased surface area afforded by WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets can benefit photocatalytic applications. Parthibavarman *et al.* analyzed lateral size effect on photocatalytic properties by comparing WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheet and WO<sub>3</sub> nanorod based devices.<sup>19</sup> The larger contact with methylene blue dye provided by larger surface area of WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheet resulted in much better photocatalytic efficiency and stability than WO<sub>3</sub> nanorod did due to more efficient electron transport.

Similarly, thinner WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets show generally show better performance in sensor applications. As shown in Fig. 11b, Chen *et al.* controlled average thickness of WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets from 5 nm to 10 nm by adjusting precursor ratio.<sup>53</sup> When applied to a triethylamine sensor, the 5 nm WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheet showed 6.4 times better response value than that of 10 nm nanosheet at room temperature. WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets have also been found to be superior to other nano-morphologies in sensing applications, implying the importance of the nanosheet morphology. Zhang *et al.* compared volatile organic compound gas sensing properties of WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets, nanoparticles and nanorods, as shown in Fig. 11c.<sup>40</sup> The much larger surface area provided from the 2D WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets showed 9 fold higher selectivity and superior recovery time to those of lower-dimension WO<sub>3</sub> nanorods and nanoparticles.

Finally, there is evidence to suggest electrochromic devices benefit from the high aspect ratio of WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets as well. The diffusivity of Li<sup>+</sup> is known to be higher at the surface than the interior of WO<sub>3</sub> clusters, making high aspect ratio nanosheets an ideal morphology.<sup>91</sup> As shown in Fig. 11d, Azam et al. compared coloration efficiencies of electrochromic devices with WO3 nanosheets of different thicknesses.78 It was found that thinner WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets have not only better capacity through better packing and higher exposed active surface area, but also better ion diffusivity. Overall, the device based on WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets with average thickness of less than 10 nm showed 3.43 times higher color modulation and ~46.62% enhancement in response time than those of bulk WO<sub>3</sub> devices. Other works have confirmed these principles for hydrated WO<sub>3</sub>, finding that nanosheet morphologies outperform bulk<sup>89</sup> or nanorod<sup>92</sup> expressions of similar chemical composition.

#### 4.2 Effect of phase and preferentially exposed facets

Different phases of  $WO_3$  can both create different adsorption energies for surface reactions and expose different crystal facets. This is particularly important in nanosheets as the facet on the long surfaces of nanosheets have much more surface area than edge surfaces. Often it can be difficult to experimentally determine the exact effect of phase independent of other factors as it is challenging to produce structures that are morphologically identical but of different crystal structures.

Although the overwhelming majority of synthesis methods discussed produce crystalline  $WO_3$  of some form, amorphous  $WO_3$  can be beneficial in certain roles. For refluxed  $WO_3$  nanoflakes, non-crystalline, hydrated  $WO_3$  was found to be superior to crystalline  $WO_3$  in supercapacitors.<sup>66</sup>

In the case of crystalline WO<sub>3</sub>, the exposed facet is particularly important for sensing applications. Song *et al.* compared monoclinic, triclinic, and hexagonal WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets and found the triclinic phase to be most effective for NO<sub>2</sub> sensing.<sup>93</sup> In triclinic WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets, the (200) surface is terminated by oxygen atoms with a coordination of one (O<sub>1C</sub>), which are most amenable to redox reactions. The (002) facets of monoclinic WO<sub>3</sub> contain a relatively lower concentration of O<sub>1C</sub>, while hexagonal (002) facets contain no O<sub>1C</sub> at all. As seen in Fig. 12, this correlates strongly with their sensing performance for NO<sub>2</sub>.

The oxygen density of preferentially exposed facets also plays an important role in photocatalysts. Here, the high photocatalytic activity of the preferential (001) facet has been attributed to the relative ease with which this surface forms oxygen radicals<sup>26,94,95</sup> and its low energy barrier for water oxidation.<sup>94</sup>



Fig. 11 Effect of morphology for various  $WO_3$  applications. (a) Comparison of nanosheets vs. commercial powder  $WO_3$  for reduction of  $CO_2$  to  $CH_4$ , reprinted with permission from ref. 24, copyright 2012 American Chemical Society. (b) Response time of  $WO_3$  nanosheets with 5 nm and 10 nm average thickness. Reprinted with permission from ref. 53, copyright 2018, Elsevier. (c) Comparison of  $WO_3$  nanosheets (NSs), nanoparticles (NPs) and nanorods (NRs) responses towards 50 ppm of ethylbenzene vapor. Reprinted with permission from ref. 40, copyright 2019, Elsevier. (d) Photographs of on and off states of  $WO_3$  nanosheet based electrochromic device and effect of  $WO_3$  thickness of electrochromic response times and stability. Reprinted with permission from ref. 78, copyright 2018, American Chemical Society.

In electrochromic applications, there are reports of highperformance electrochromic devices from a wide variety of different WO<sub>3</sub> phases. Earlier works regarding electrochromic WO<sub>3</sub> asserted that while amorphous WO<sub>3</sub> could achieve high initial values of coloration efficiency, some crystallinity was



Fig. 12 Effect of WO<sub>3</sub> crystal structure on sensing performance of NO<sub>2</sub>. Inset shows the crystal structures with density of single coordinated oxygen atoms (O<sub>1c</sub>) on the exposed facet. Reprinted with permission from ref. 93, copyright 2020, Springer-Nature.

necessary for cyclic stability,<sup>96,97</sup> as shown in Fig. 13. At least one recent report, however, has found reasonable stability from mesoporous amorphous  $WO_3$ ,<sup>98</sup> which could be attributed to the ability of the highly porous structure to prevent stress accumulation upon Li<sup>+</sup> insertion.

Djaoued *et al.* used sol–gel synthesis to create porous electrochromic WO<sub>3</sub> thin films of three different phases: hexagonal, monoclinic, and orthorhombic.<sup>99</sup> There was minimal difference observed in optical modulation between the three films; all achieved optical modulation of at least 70%, indicating that  $\text{Li}^+$ produces similar effects in all three structures. The authors did not evaluate switching times or cyclic stability, however, so it is possible that certain phases still have electrochromic advantages in these parameters.

#### 4.3 Effect of oxygen vacancies

Although typically labelled simply 'WO<sub>3</sub>', it is rare for the stoichiometry to be exactly 3 : 1; as-synthesized WO<sub>3</sub> is typically slightly oxygen deficient. Promoting and tuning the oxygen vacancy ( $O_{vac}$ ) concentration can enhance various properties, notably visible light absorption for photoactivity<sup>100</sup> and adsorption energy of various molecules for catalytic or sensing applications.<sup>101</sup>

Incorporating oxygen vacancies or other defects into nanosheet surfaces can further improve their photocatalytic activity. Oxygen deficient  $WO_3$  can exhibit localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) in the IR region, improving light harvesting as



Fig. 13 Absorption change of amorphous (a) and crystalline (b)  $WO_3$  thin films at various temperatures over time. Reprinted with permission from ref. 96, copyright 2007, American Chemical Society.

shown in Fig. 14a.<sup>102</sup> More commonly, the benefit of oxygenvacancies on the photocatalytic activity of WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets has been ascribed to bandgap narrowing, thus improving visible-light utilization,<sup>12</sup> and formation of highly active superoxide radicals at vacancy sites.<sup>21</sup> Fig. 14b shows the effect of oxygen vacancy induced band gap narrowing on visible light photocurrents in Pt/WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets.<sup>103</sup>

Creating voids in the nanosheet surface, referred to as nanopores<sup>11</sup> or "potholes",<sup>27</sup> improves carrier separation and migration and promotes adsorption and activation of chemical species. Holes traversing along the (001) surface of porous nanosheets can find pore-adsorbed reactants before they have the opportunity to recombine.<sup>11</sup> A higher degree of band bending in porous nanosheets relative to nonporous nanosheets accounts for the improved charge transfer resistance in the former.<sup>11</sup> Pothole voids expose more dangling surface oxygens than defect-free surfaces and thus provide electron rich sites for adsorption and activation of chemical species.<sup>27</sup> The electron-rich pothole defects in WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets have been implicated in adsorbing and activating N<sub>2</sub>, thus forming a metastable N<sub>2</sub> intermediate that is prone to conversion to NO, while no such intermediate forms in pothole-free WO<sub>3</sub>.<sup>27</sup>

For electrocatalysts, Kong *et al.* studied to effect on oxygen vacancies in WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets for N<sub>2</sub> reduction to NH<sub>3</sub>.<sup>104</sup> Nanosheets were prepared through a typical hydrothermal

method, followed by annealing at 400 °C in an N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere to produce oxygen-vacancy rich nanosheets (R-WO<sub>3</sub> NSs), or the same temperature in air to produce nanosheets deficient in oxygen vacancies (D-WO<sub>3</sub> NSs). A TEM image highlighting the lattice distortions induced by oxygen vacancies is shown in Fig. 14c. Oxygen vacancies in R-WO<sub>3</sub> NSs were asserted to promote additional adsorption sites for N<sub>2</sub> or reduce electron transfer resistance, resulting in Faradaic efficiency (FE) that was far greater than D-WO<sub>3</sub> NSs (Fig. 14d).

The ability of oxygen vacancies to modify adsorption characteristics of gases also can benefit WO<sub>3</sub> for sensing applications. In the case of oxidizers such as NO<sub>2</sub>, the electrophilic gas can be adsorbed more effectively since surface oxygen vacancies act as n-type dopants.<sup>47</sup> Improved adsorption of NO<sub>2</sub> in oxygen vacancy rich WO<sub>3</sub> nanostructures had been previously predicted through DFT analysis,<sup>105</sup> and has since been mechanistically studied over a various WO<sub>3</sub> morphologies.<sup>106</sup>

For detection of reducing gases it could be expected that the oxygen vacancies would have the opposite effect, but this is not always the case. It was observed by Rahmani *et al.* that detection of  $H_2$  was improved after compensation of crystal defects like oxygen vacancies,<sup>60</sup> but for other reducing gases such as  $H_2S^{101}$  and  $NH_3$  (ref. 107) there is some evidence to the contrary. In the case of ammonia sensing this was attributed to band gap narrowing causing easier injections of electrons from ammonia to the CB of WO<sub>3</sub>.<sup>107</sup>

While oxygen vacancies are beneficial for certain catalytic and sensing applications, for electrochromic applications there are conflicting reports regarding their effects. For WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets, Zhou *et al.* reported that hydrogen treatment to induce oxygen vacancies produced electrochromic films that were superior in both contrast ratio and switching time to pristine WO<sub>3</sub>.<sup>108</sup> Fig. 14e and f show the difference between annealed WO<sub>3</sub> and hydrogenated WO<sub>3</sub>, respectively. The authors posited that the oxygen vacancies could be helpful for Li<sup>+</sup> insertion and extraction. Other oxygen-deficient WO<sub>3</sub> nanostructures have similarly shown short coloration/bleaching times.<sup>109</sup>

A later study, however, found that oxygen vacancies are not necessarily beneficial for electrochromic films.<sup>110</sup> Here, three different films were studied: as-deposited, annealed in O<sub>2</sub>, and annealed in Ar. The as-deposited amorphous films showed the best  $\Delta T$  (87%) but poor cyclic stability, while the films annealed in Ar (which were crystalline, but with abundant oxygen vacancies) was the worst (51%). WO<sub>3</sub> annealed in O<sub>2</sub> showed the best cyclic stability and still retained moderate contrast (71%). This shows that while amorphous regions or other structural defects may boost electrochromic performance, oxygen vacancies specifically do not.

#### 4.4 WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheet based composites

The intrinsic properties of  $WO_3$  make it amenable to hybridization with various other materials to modify its electronic or optical properties.  $WO_3$  nanosheets can be particularly wellsuited to these roles as their high surface area allows for easy surface doping with other chemical moieties and/or intimate contact with other nanostructures.



**Fig. 14** Impact of oxygen vacancies of WO<sub>3</sub>. (a) LSPR formation in oxygen vacancy right WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets. Reprinted with permission from ref. 102, copyright 2015, John Wiley and Sons. (b) Band gap narrowing and improved photocurrent induced by oxygen vacancy formation. Adapted from ref. 103, copyright 2020 Elsevier. (c) TEM image of oxygen vacancy rich WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets (R-WO<sub>3</sub>) and (d) comparison of FE for reduction of N<sub>2</sub> to NH<sub>3</sub>. Reprinted with permission from ref. 104, copyright 2019, Royal Society of Chemistry. (e) Electrochromic response of annealed WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets *vs.* (f) hydrogenated WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets. Reprinted with permission from ref. 108, copyright 2017 Elsevier.

Rapid recombination of electrons and holes in WO<sub>3</sub> photocatalysts has been thwarted by transferring charges across heterojunctions to metal nanoparticles<sup>12,17</sup> or other semiconductors.<sup>15,18,25</sup> Electron transfer between WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets and Pt<sup>17</sup> or Ag<sup>12</sup> nanoparticles is demonstrated to reduce recombination of photogenerated electron-hole pairs and allow photogenerated holes to more efficiently drive oxidation of tetracycline<sup>17</sup> or water,<sup>12</sup> respectively. Fig. 15a shows the total organic carbon (TOC) removal curves of tetracycline with Pt/WO<sub>3</sub>.<sup>17</sup>

Paired with other semiconductors, the strong oxidizing power of WO<sub>3</sub> can be coupled with the strong reducing power of a reduction catalyst in a direct Z-scheme heterojunction composite (note: this sub-type of Z-scheme photocatalyst has recently been re-named S-scheme to avoid confusion with other types of Z-scheme photocatalysts<sup>15,111</sup>). In this configuration, when both semiconductors are excited, the VB holes of the reduction catalyst react with CB electrons in WO<sub>3</sub>, thus separating VB holes in WO<sub>3</sub> from CB electrons in the reduction catalyst.

The strong oxidizing power of WO<sub>3</sub> has been combined with the superior reducing power of CdS,<sup>18</sup> gC<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>,<sup>15</sup> or iron phthalocyanine (FePc)<sup>25</sup> to promote efficient photocatalytic/photoelectrocatalytic ciprofloxacin degradation, water reduction, and CO<sub>2</sub> reduction, respectively. This type of S-scheme heterojunction is particularly effective when coupling 2D materials due to the large interfacial contact area, such as is realized between ultrathin WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets and g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> nanosheets.<sup>15</sup> Fig. 15b shows the band structure of WO<sub>3</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> for S-scheme H<sub>2</sub> photocatalysts.

Similar compositing strategies for WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheet based sensors can benefit performance by altering the electronic structure. Pairing n-type WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets with p-type nanosheets, such as  $MOS_2$  (ref. 112) or  $WS_2$ ,<sup>113</sup> creates a strong depletion region with a built-in field across the junction which is highly sensitive to charge transfer events on the surface. Fig. 15c shows the superior sensing repose of  $MOS_2/WO_3$ compared to pure  $MOS_2$  or  $WO_3$  for ammonia sensing. The same concept has been utilized in tungsten oxysulfide nanosheets to create strong depletion regions within the nanosheet surface.<sup>114</sup>

Nanosheets can also be decorated with other oxides to promote oxygen vacancies or other defect species that are sensitive to the target species.<sup>115-117</sup> Fig. 15d shows the response of pure WO<sub>3</sub> and pure SnO<sub>2</sub> compared to SnO<sub>2</sub>-decorated WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets for detection of acetone vapor.<sup>116</sup> The authors asserted that lattice distortion at the SnO<sub>2</sub>-WO<sub>3</sub> interface creates dangling bonds and non-uniform electron distributions that act as favourable adsorption sites.

In charge storage applications, compositing strategies for WO<sub>3</sub> often focus on pairing the normally insulating oxide with a more conductive material to alleviate charge transport issues. WO<sub>3</sub> paired with graphene/rGO frequently appears in literature for both supercapacitors<sup>118,119</sup> and Li-ion battery anodes,<sup>120,121</sup> in both cases providing faster charge transport and improved stability over pure WO<sub>3</sub>.

WO<sub>3</sub> has also been combined with a newly explored class of 2D materials – MXene – in a similar composite concept.<sup>122</sup>



Fig. 15 Compositing/hybridization examples for WO<sub>3</sub>. (a) Removal of tetracycline with Pt NP decorated WO<sub>3</sub> nanosheets, with inset showing the mechanism of charge transfer. Reprinted with permission from ref. 17, copyright 2014, American Chemical Society. (b) Band diagram of  $g-C_3N_4-WO_3$  for S-scheme H<sub>2</sub> photocatalysts. Reprinted from ref. 15, copyright 2019 Elsevier. (c) Response over time of MoS<sub>2</sub>, WO<sub>3</sub>, and MoS<sub>2</sub>/WO<sub>3</sub> to ammonia. Reprinted with permission from ref. 112, copyright 2021, American Chemical Society. (d) Response of WO<sub>3</sub>, SnO<sub>2</sub>, and various weight loadings of SnO<sub>2</sub> on WO<sub>3</sub> vs. temperature. Reprinted with permission from ref. 116, copyright 2018 Elsevier. (e) Schematic for formation of WO<sub>3</sub>–Ti<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub> hybrids and the energy density/power density plot for their use in a supercapacitor. Reprinted with permission from ref. 122, copyright 2018 John Wiley and Sons.

MXene sheets  $(Ti_3C_2)$  were mixed into a standard hydrothermal WO<sub>3</sub> synthesis procedure. Depending on the pH of the solution, this method either generated nanorod-like monoclinic WO<sub>3</sub> hybrids (Mono WO<sub>3</sub>-Ti<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>), or nanosheet-like hexagonal WO<sub>3</sub> on Ti<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub> (Hexa WO<sub>3</sub>-Ti<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>). Fig. 15e shows the schematic for synthesis and the effect on supercapacitor performance metrics (power density and power density), where the Hexa WO<sub>3</sub>-Ti<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub> outperforms Mono WO<sub>3</sub>-Ti<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub> and either of the pure materials. The effect was attributed to both improved surface area of the nanosheet-like structures as well as intimate contact providing faster ionic movement between WO<sub>3</sub> and Ti<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>.

Although by no means a comprehensive list of all  $WO_3$  nanosheet composites reported in the literature, this provides a sampling of many of the key concepts frequently utilized. Nanosheet expressions of  $WO_3$  can be easily decorated with NPs to either modify their visible light response or tune the adsorption energy for photocatalytic or sensing applications. The combination of  $WO_3$  nanosheets with other 2D materials (graphene, TMDCs, or MXene) is also widely studied to either create depletion regions at the n-type/p-type boundary or facilitate charge transfer.

electrochromic, and charge storage applications,  $WO_3$  nanosheets provide significant advantages over bulk counterparts, and in many cases advantages over other nano-morphologies as well. Because  $WO_3$  is not intrinsically layered, synthesis of highaspect ratio nanosheets is non-trivial, and as such there is great diversity in their production. Bottom-up methods of various chemistries can successfully synthesize  $WO_3$  nanosheets in simple, one-step methods, but generally struggle to achieve high aspect ratios. Top-down methods, in particular intercalationassisted exfoliation of  $WS_2$  followed by oxidation, provide a promising alternative for applications which benefit from nanosheets with larger lateral sizes and higher aspect ratios. Both types of synthesis offer many variations in terms of phase and stoichiometry that can be further exploited to fit the target application.

### Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

### Acknowledgements

The literature summarized and reviewed here shows the T.G.N tremendous amount of research interest that  $WO_3$  nanosheets for su have garnered in recent years. For photocatalytic, sensing, Resea

T.G.N. gratefully acknowledges the National Research Council for support through a Naval Research Laboratory/National Research Council Postdoctoral Associateship.

5.

Conclusions

### Notes and references

- 1 C. C. Mardare and A. W. Hassel, *Phys. Status Solidi A*, 2019, **216**, 1900047.
- 2 C. Chacón, M. Rodríguez-Pérez, G. Oskam and G. Rodríguez-Gattorno, *J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron.*, 2015, **26**, 5526–5531.
- 3 G. N. Kustova, Y. A. Chesalov, L. M. Plyasova, I. Y. Molina and A. I. Nizovskii, *Vib. Spectrosc.*, 2011, 55, 235–240.
- 4 H. Zheng, J. Z. Ou, M. S. Strano, R. B. Kaner, A. Mitchell and K. Kalantar-zadeh, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2011, **21**, 2175–2196.
- 5 I. Angela, T. Tiina, V. Meeri, V. Heiki, K. Aleksandr, S. Mariliis, P. Suman, M. Lutz, H. Margit, K. Vambola, S. Ruth and K. Anne, *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.*, 2015, 15, 1914–1929.
- 6 L. Santos, J. P. Neto, A. Crespo, D. Nunes, N. Costa, I. M. Fonseca, P. Barquinha, L. Pereira, J. Silva, R. Martins and E. Fortunato, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 12226–12234.
- 7 P. Dong, G. Hou, X. Xi, R. Shao and F. Dong, *Environ. Sci.: Nano*, 2017, **4**, 539–557.
- 8 D. Tanaka, Y. Oaki and H. Imai, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 5286–5288.
- 9 M. Gillet, C. Lemire, E. Gillet and K. Aguir, *Surf. Sci.*, 2003, **532–535**, 519–525.
- 10 S. S. Kalanur, Catalysts, 2019, 9, 456.
- 11 Y. Liu, L. Liang, C. Xiao, X. Hua, Z. Li, B. Pan and Y. Xie, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2016, **6**, 1600437.
- 12 Y. Ren, C. Li, Q. Xu, J. Yan, Y. Li, P. Yuan, H. Xia, C. Niu, X. Yang and Y. Jia, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2019, **245**, 648–655.
- 13 J. J. Zhang, P. Zhang, T. Wang and J. L. Gong, *Nano Energy*, 2015, **11**, 189–195.
- 14 J. Y. Zheng, G. Song, J. S. Hong, T. K. Van, A. U. Pawar, D. Y. Kim, C. W. Kim, Z. Haider and Y. S. Kang, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2014, 14, 6057–6066.
- 15 J. W. Fu, Q. L. Xu, J. X. Low, C. J. Jiang and J. G. Yu, *Appl. Catal.*, *B*, 2019, **243**, 556–565.
- 16 G. Guan, J. Xia, S. Liu, Y. Cheng, S. Bai, S. Y. Tee, Y.-W. Zhang and M.-Y. Han, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1700326.
- 17 G. Zhang, W. Guan, H. Shen, X. Zhang, W. Fan, C. Lu, H. Bai, L. Xiao, W. Gu and W. Shi, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2014, 53, 5443–5450.
- 18 X. Liu, Y. Yan, Z. Da, W. Shi, C. Ma, P. Lv, Y. Tang, G. Yao, Y. Wu, P. Huo and Y. Yan, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2014, 241, 243–250.
- 19 M. Parthibavarman, M. Karthik and S. Prabhakaran, *Vacuum*, 2018, **155**, 224–232.
- 20 Y. Liang, Y. Yang, C. Zou, K. Xu, X. Luo, T. Luo, J. Li, Q. Yang, P. Shi and C. Yuan, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2019, 783, 848–854.
- 21 M. M. Zhang, C. Lai, B. S. Li, D. L. Huang, S. Y. Liu, L. Qin, H. Yi, Y. K. Fu, F. H. Xu, M. F. Li and L. Li, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2019, **556**, 557–567.
- 22 D. Q. Zhang, S. L. Wang, J. Zhu, H. X. Li and Y. F. Lu, *Appl. Catal.*, B, 2012, **123**, 398–404.

- 23 J. Ma, K. K. Mao, J. X. Low, Z. H. Wang, D. W. Xi, W. Q. Zhang, H. X. Ju, Z. M. Qi, R. Long, X. J. Wu, L. Song and Y. J. Xiong, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 9357–9361.
- 24 X. Chen, Y. Zhou, Q. Liu, Z. Li, J. Liu and Z. Zou, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2012, 4, 3372–3377.
- 25 B. Li, L. Q. Sun, J. Bian, N. Sun, J. W. Sun, L. Q. Chen, Z. J. Li and L. Q. Jing, *Appl. Catal.*, *B*, 2020, 270.
- 26 F. Q. Zhan, W. H. Liu, W. Z. Li, J. Li, Y. H. Yang, Q. Liu, Y. M. Li and X. D. Tang, *J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron.*, 2017, 28, 13836–13845.
- 27 Y. Liu, M. Cheng, Z. He, B. Gu, C. Xiao, T. Zhou, Z. Guo, J. Liu, H. He, B. Ye, B. Pan and Y. Xie, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, 58, 731–735.
- 28 D. Yim, F. Raza, J. H. Park, J.-H. Lee, H.-I. Kim, J.-K. Yang, I.-J. Hwang and J.-H. Kim, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2019, 11, 36960–36969.
- 29 Y. Li, X. Zhai, Y. Liu, H. Wei, J. Ma, M. Chen, X. Liu, W. Zhang, G. Wang, F. Ren and S. Wei, *Frontiers in Materials*, 2020, 7, 105.
- 30 H. Long, W. Zeng and H. Zhang, J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron., 2015, 26, 4698–4707.
- 31 C. Dong, R. Zhao, L. Yao, Y. Ran, X. Zhang and Y. Wang, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2020, **820**, 153194.
- 32 S. Bai, Y. Ma, X. Shu, J. Sun, Y. Feng, R. Luo, D. Li and A. Chen, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2017, **56**, 2616–2623.
- 33 C. O. Avellaneda and L. O. S. Bulhões, *Solid State Ionics*, 2003, **165**, 59–64.
- 34 S.-H. Lee, H. M. Cheong, J.-G. Zhang, A. Mascarenhas, D. K. Benson and S. K. Deb, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 1999, 74, 242–244.
- 35 Y. Zhen, B. P. Jelle and T. Gao, *Analytical Science Advances*, 2020, 1, 124–131.
- 36 B. P. Jelle, A. Hynd, A. Gustavsen, D. Arasteh, H. Goudey and R. Hart, Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells, 2012, 96, 1–28.
- 37 S. Feng and R. Xu, Acc. Chem. Res., 2001, 34, 239-247.
- 38 Y. Yu, W. Zeng, M. Xu and X. Peng, *Phys. E*, 2016, **79**, 127–132.
- 39 J. Shi, Z. Cheng, L. Gao, Y. Zhang, J. Xu and H. Zhao, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, **230**, 736–745.
- 40 D. Zhang, Y. Fan, G. Li, Z. Ma, X. Wang, Z. Cheng and J. Xu, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2019, **293**, 23–30.
- 41 O. O. Abe, Z. Qiu, J. R. Jinschek and P.-I. Gouma, *Sensors*, 2021, 21, 1690.
- 42 Y. Wicaksana, S. Liu, J. Scott and R. Amal, *Molecules*, 2014, **19**, 17747–17762.
- 43 B. Meschi Amoli, J. Trinidad, G. Rivers, S. Sy, P. Russo, A. Yu, N. Y. Zhou and B. Zhao, *Carbon*, 2015, **91**, 188–199.
- 44 W. Xiao, W. Liu, X. Mao, H. Zhu and D. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 1261–1269.
- 45 W. Shi, X. Guo, C. Cui, K. Jiang, Z. Li, L. Qu and J.-C. Wang, *Appl. Catal.*, *B*, 2019, **243**, 236–242.
- 46 Z. Sun, T. Liao, Y. Dou, S. M. Hwang, M.-S. Park, L. Jiang, J. H. Kim and S. X. Dou, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, 5, 3813.
- 47 Z. Wang, D. Wang and J. Sun, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, 245, 828-834.
- 48 D. Wang, S. Huang, H. Li, A. Chen, P. Wang, J. Yang, X. Wang and J. Yang, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2019, 282, 961–971.

- 49 B. Ahmed, S. Kumar, A. K. Ojha, P. Donfack and A. Materny, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2017, **175**, 250–261.
- 50 M. Wang, Y. Wang, X. Li, C. Ge, S. Hussain, G. Liu and G. Qiao, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2020, **316**, 128050.
- 51 S. B. Upadhyay, R. K. Mishra and P. P. Sahay, Sens. Actuators, B, 2014, 193, 19–27.
- 52 S. B. Upadhyay, R. K. Mishra and P. P. Sahay, *Ceram. Int.*, 2016, **42**, 15301–15310.
- 53 G. Chen, X. Chu, H. Qiao, M. Ye, J. Chen, C. Gao and C.-Y. Guo, *Mater. Lett.*, 2018, **226**, 59–62.
- 54 M. Yin, L. Yu and S. Liu, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2017, **696**, 490–497.
- 55 D. Sánchez-Martínez, C. Gomez-Solis and L. M. Torres-Martinez, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 2015, **61**, 165–172.
- 56 Y. Oaki and H. Imai, Adv. Mater., 2006, 18, 1807-1811.
- 57 P. J. Boruah, R. R. Khanikar and H. Bailung, *Plasma Chem. Plasma Process.*, 2020, **40**, 1019–1036.
- 58 A. Wisitsora-at, D. Phokaratkul, K. Jaruwongrangsee, T. M. Daniels and W. Wlodarski, *Proceedings*, 2017, 1, 466.
- 59 A. Z. Sadek, H. Zheng, M. Breedon, V. Bansal,
  S. K. Bhargava, K. Latham, J. Zhu, L. Yu, Z. Hu,
  P. G. Spizzirri, W. Wlodarski and K. Kalantar-zadeh, *Langmuir*, 2009, 25, 9545–9551.
- 60 M. B. Rahmani, M. H. Yaacob and Y. M. Sabri, Sens. Actuators, B, 2017, 251, 57-64.
- 61 J. Y. Luo, Z. Cao, F. Chen, L. Li, Y. R. Lin, B. W. Liang, Q. G. Zeng, M. Zhang, X. He and C. Li, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2013, 287, 270–275.
- 62 X. Fang, M. Yao, L. Guo, Y. Xu, W. Zhou, M. Zhuo, C. Shi, L. Liu, L. Wang, X. Li and W. Chen, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2017, 5, 10735–10743.
- 63 Y. Hernandez, V. Nicolosi, M. Lotya, F. M. Blighe, Z. Sun, S. De, I. T. McGovern, B. Holland, M. Byrne, Y. K. Gun'Ko, J. J. Boland, P. Niraj, G. Duesberg, S. Krishnamurthy, R. Goodhue, J. Hutchison, V. Scardaci, A. C. Ferrari and J. N. Coleman, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2008, 3, 563–568.
- 64 A. O'Neill, U. Khan and J. N. Coleman, *Chem. Mater.*, 2012, 24, 2414–2421.
- 65 T. G. Novak, H. Shin, J. Kim, K. Kim, A. Azam, C. V. Nguyen, S. H. Park, J. Y. Song and S. Jeon, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 17957–17962.
- 66 M. Szkoda, Z. Zarach, K. Trzciński, G. Trykowski and A. P. Nowak, *Materials*, 2020, 13.
- 67 R. J. Smith, P. J. King, M. Lotya, C. Wirtz, U. Khan, S. De,
  A. O'Neill, G. S. Duesberg, J. C. Grunlan, G. Moriarty,
  J. Chen, J. Wang, A. I. Minett, V. Nicolosi and
  J. N. Coleman, *Adv. Mater.*, 2011, 23, 3944–3948.
- 68 D. Xu, P. Xu, Y. Zhu, W. Peng, Y. Li, G. Zhang, F. Zhang, T. E. Mallouk and X. Fan, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 10, 2810–2818.
- 69 D. Pan, Z. Fang, E. Yang, Z. Ning, Q. Zhou, K. Chen, Y. Zheng, Y. Zhang and Y. Shen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 16747–16754.
- 70 T. G. Novak, J. Kim, J. Kim, H. Shin, A. P. Tiwari, J. Y. Song and S. Jeon, *2D Materials*, 2019, **6**, 045019.
- 71 T. G. Novak, J. Kim, J. Kim, A. P. Tiwari, H. Shin, J. Y. Song and S. Jeon, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2020, **30**, 2001760.

- 72 J. Kim, N. M. Han, J. Kim, J. Lee, J.-K. Kim and S. Jeon, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 37507–37516.
- 73 Q. Zhang, L. Mei, X. Cao, Y. Tang and Z. Zeng, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2020, 8, 15417–15444.
- 74 L. Yuwen, H. Yu, X. Yang, J. Zhou, Q. Zhang, Y. Zhang,
  Z. Luo, S. Su and L. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, 52, 529–532.
- 75 A. Ghorai, A. Midya, R. Maiti and S. K. Ray, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, **45**, 14979–14987.
- 76 P. Zhou, Q. Xu, H. Li, Y. Wang, B. Yan, Y. Zhou, J. Chen, J. Zhang and K. Wang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, 54, 15226–15230.
- 77 X.-Y. Tang, M.-F. Li, L.-F. Gao, H. Yan, S.-M. Deng, J.-B. Fan, M.-S. Zheng, S.-L. Deng, Q.-Y. Zhang, S.-Y. Xie and L.-S. Zheng, *Adv. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, 6, 1901122.
- 78 A. Azam, J. Kim, J. Park, T. G. Novak, A. P. Tiwari, S. H. Song,
  B. Kim and S. Jeon, *Nano Lett.*, 2018, 18, 5646–5651.
- 79 T. G. Novak, J. Kim, S. H. Song, G. H. Jun, H. Kim, M. S. Jeong and S. Jeon, *Small*, 2016, **12**, 994–999.
- 80 S. H. Song, M.-H. Jang, J. Chung, S. H. Jin, B. H. Kim, S.-H. Hur, S. Yoo, Y.-H. Cho and S. Jeon, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2014, 2, 1016–1023.
- 81 J. Kim, S. H. Song, H.-G. Im, G. Yoon, D. Lee, C. Choi, J. Kim, B.-S. Bae, K. Kang and S. Jeon, *Small*, 2015, **11**, 3124–3129.
- 82 S. H. Song, B. H. Kim, D.-H. Choe, J. Kim, D. C. Kim, D. J. Lee, J. M. Kim, K. J. Chang and S. Jeon, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 3152–3158.
- 83 T. G. Novak, J. Kim, A. P. Tiwari, J. Kim, S. Lee, J. Lee and S. Jeon, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2020, 8, 11276–11282.
- 84 J. B. Mitchell, N. R. Geise, A. R. Paterson, N. C. Osti, Y. Sun, S. Fleischmann, R. Zhang, L. A. Madsen, M. F. Toney, D.-e. Jiang, A. I. Kolesnikov, E. Mamontov and V. Augustyn, ACS Energy Lett., 2019, 4, 2805–2812.
- 85 R. Wang, C.-C. Chung, Y. Liu, J. L. Jones and V. Augustyn, *Langmuir*, 2017, **33**, 9314–9323.
- 86 Z. Wang, W. Gong, X. Wang, Z. Chen, X. Chen, J. Chen, H. Sun, G. Song, S. Cong, F. Geng and Z. Zhao, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 33917–33925.
- 87 K. Kalantar-zadeh, A. Vijayaraghavan, M.-H. Ham,
  H. Zheng, M. Breedon and M. S. Strano, *Chem. Mater.*, 2010, 22, 5660–5666.
- 88 Y. Zhang, Y. Shi, R. Chen, L. Tao, C. Xie, D. Liu, D. Yan and S. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, 6, 23028–23033.
- 89 L. Liang, J. Zhang, Y. Zhou, J. Xie, X. Zhang, M. Guan, B. Pan and Y. Xie, *Sci. Rep.*, 2013, 3, 1936.
- 90 J. Kim, G. Yoon, J. Kim, H. Yoon, J. Baek, J. H. Lee, K. Kang and S. Jeon, *Carbon*, 2018, **139**, 309–316.
- 91 S.-H. Lee, H. M. Cheong, C. E. Tracy, A. Mascarenhas, J. R. Pitts, G. Jorgensen and S. K. Deb, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2000, 76, 3908–3910.
- 92 H. Li, J. Wang, G. Shi, H. Wang, Q. Zhang and Y. Li, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 196–201.
- 93 W. Song, R. Zhang, X. Bai, Q. Jia and H. Ji, J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron., 2020, 31, 610–620.

- 94 S. C. Wang, H. J. Chen, G. P. Gao, T. Butburee, M. Q. Lyu, S. Thaweesak, J. H. Yun, A. J. Du, G. Liu and L. Z. Wang, *Nano Energy*, 2016, 24, 94–102.
- 95 H. Jin, J. Zhu, W. Chen, Z. Fang, Y. Li, Y. Zhang, X. Huang, K. Ding, L. Ning and W. Chen, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2012, 116, 5067–5075.
- 96 S. Sallard, T. Brezesinski and B. M. Smarsly, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2007, 111, 7200–7206.
- 97 S. H. Lee, R. Deshpande, P. A. Parilla, K. M. Jones, B. To,
  A. H. Mahan and A. C. Dillon, *Adv. Mater.*, 2006, 18, 763–766.
- 98 K.-W. Kim, T. Y. Yun, S.-H. You, X. Tang, J. Lee, Y. Seo, Y.-T. Kim, S. H. Kim, H. C. Moon and J. K. Kim, *NPG Asia Mater.*, 2020, **12**, 84.
- 99 Y. Djaoued, S. Balaji and R. Brüning, *J. Nanomater.*, 2012, 2012, 674168.
- 100 S. Chen, Y. Xiao, W. Xie, Y. Wang, Z. Hu, W. Zhang and H. Zhao, *Nanomaterials*, 2018, **8**, 553.
- 101 W. Yu, Z. Shen, F. Peng, Y. Lu, M. Ge, X. Fu, Y. Sun, X. Chen and N. Dai, *RSC Adv.*, 2019, **9**, 7723–7728.
- 102 J. Yan, T. Wang, G. Wu, W. Dai, N. Guan, L. Li and J. Gong, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 1580–1586.
- 103 J.-J. Li, M. Zhang, B. Weng, X. Chen, J. Chen and H.-P. Jia, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2020, **507**, 145133.
- 104 W. Kong, R. Zhang, X. Zhang, L. Ji, G. Yu, T. Wang, Y. Luo, X. Shi, Y. Xu and X. Sun, *Nanoscale*, 2019, **11**, 19274–19277.
- 105 Y. Qin and Z. Ye, Sens. Actuators, B, 2016, 222, 499-507.
- 106 A. Staerz, S. Somacescu, M. Epifani, T. Kida, U. Weimar and N. Barsan, *ACS Sens.*, 2020, 5, 1624–1633.
- 107 C.-Y. Wang, X. Zhang, Q. Rong, N.-N. Hou and H.-Q. Yu, *Chemosphere*, 2018, **204**, 202–209.

- 108 X. Zhou, X. Zheng, B. Yan, T. Xu and Q. Xu, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2017, **400**, 57–63.
- 109 C.-C. Liao, F.-R. Chen and J.-J. Kai, Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells, 2006, 90, 1147–1155.
- 110 H. Yu, J. Guo, C. Wang, J. Zhang, J. Liu, G. Dong, X. Zhong and X. Diao, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2020, **332**, 135504.
- 111 Q. Xu, L. Zhang, B. Cheng, J. Fan and J. Yu, *Chem*, 2020, **6**, 1543–1559.
- 112 S. Singh, J. Deb, U. Sarkar and S. Sharma, *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.*, 2021, 4, 2594–2605.
- 113 Y. Han, Y. Liu, C. Su, X. Chen, B. Li, W. Jiang, M. Zeng, N. Hu, Y. Su, Z. Zhou, Z.-g. Zhu and Z. Yang, ACS Appl. Nano Mater., 2021, 4, 1626–1634.
- 114 Y. Zheng, L. Sun, W. Liu, C. Wang, Z. Dai and F. Ma, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2020, 8, 4206-4214.
- 115 C. Wang, S. Zhang, L. Qiu, S. A. Rasaki, F. Qu, T. Thomas, Y. Liu and M. Yang, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2020, **826**, 154196.
- 116 M. Yin, Y. Yao, H. Fan and S. Liu, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2018, 736, 322-331.
- 117 Y. Gui, L. Yang, K. Tian, H. Zhang and S. Fang, Sens. Actuators, B, 2019, 288, 104-112.
- 118 R. Samal, B. Chakraborty, M. Saxena, D. J. Late and C. S. Rout, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2019, 7, 2350–2359.
- 119 S. Chaitoglou, R. Amade and E. Bertran, *Nanoscale Res. Lett.*, 2017, **12**, 635.
- 120 S. K. Park, H. J. Lee, M. H. Lee and H. S. Park, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2015, **281**, 724–729.
- 121 W. Dang, W. Wang, Y. Yang, Y. Wang, J. Huang, X. Fang, L. Wu, Z. Rong, X. Chen, X. Li, L. Huang and X. Tang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2019, **313**, 99–108.
- 122 S. B. Ambade, R. B. Ambade, W. Eom, S. H. Noh, S. H. Kim and T. H. Han, *Adv. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **5**, 1801361.