

Association between Serum Cholesterol Level and Bone Mineral Density at Lumbar Spine and Femur Neck in Postmenopausal Korean Women

Original
Article

Jeong-Ho Go, Yun-Mi Song*, Joo-Hyun Park¹, Jae-Young Park, Yun-Ho Choi²

Department of Family Medicine, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine; ¹Health Care Center, Konkuk University Medical Center, Konkuk University School of Medicine; ²Center for Health Promotion, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

Background: Blood lipid profiles have been suggested to be a risk factor for osteoporosis. However, the association between lipid profiles and bone mineral density (BMD) is still unclear. This study aimed to evaluate an association between blood lipid profiles and BMD through both a cross-sectional and a longitudinal study.

Methods: Study subjects were 958 postmenopausal Korean women who have repeatedly undertaken laboratory tests and BMD measurements at lumbar spine and femur neck with an interval of 7.1 years. The associations between lipid profiles and BMD were examined using Spearman correlation analysis with an adjustment for age, smoking, alcohol drinking, physical activity, body mass index, and follow-up duration.

Results: Lumbar spine BMD was not associated with total cholesterol (TC), low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), and high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) regardless of when the measurement was performed. In an analysis using data measured at the beginning of the study, femur neck BMD was not associated with TC and LDL-C. However, femur neck BMD showed weak but significantly positive correlation with HDL-C (correlation coefficient, 0.077; 95% confidence interval, 0.005 to 0.149). When the analysis was repeated with data measured at the end of the follow-up, there was no significant correlation between femur neck BMD and any lipid profile. In addition, change in femur neck BMD during follow-up was not associated with the change in lipid profiles.

Conclusion: Although further study with a consideration of calcium intake and osteoporosis medication seems necessary, this study found no association between serum lipid profiles and BMD in postmenopausal Korean women.

Keywords: Bone Density; Cholesterol, LDL; Cholesterol, HDL; Osteoporosis

INTRODUCTION

In accordance with the global trend of population aging, the average life span has greatly increased, thus, the elderly population is markedly increasing in Korea in recent years.¹⁾ It is well known that the increase in the number of elderly inevitably leads to an increase in the prevalence of chronic degenerative diseases in a population. Among aging related diseases, postmenopausal osteoporosis is an especially important health problem of elderly women which would result in a great increase in morbidity and

Received: August 27, 2010, Accepted: April 17, 2012

*Corresponding Author: Yun-Mi Song

Tel: 82-2-3410-2442, Fax: 82-2-3410-0388

E-mail: yunmisong@skku.edu

Korean Journal of Family Medicine

Copyright © 2012 The Korean Academy of Family Medicine

Ⓢ This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0>) which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

mortality.²⁾ In Korea, prevalence of osteoporosis is expected to increase continuously in the future, figuring from 27% in 2010 to 35% in 2020.³⁾

In order to prevent osteoporosis, it is essential to identify and manage the risk factors associated with a decrease in bone mineral density (BMD). Aging, lean physique, smoking, caffeine intake, insufficient intake of calcium and phosphorus, lack of exercise, family history of osteoporosis, and hyperthyroidism are the well-known key risk factors for osteoporosis.^{4,5)} In addition, findings from studies in vitro and in vivo that oxidized low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) directly hinders differentiation of osteoblasts have suggested lipid profiles as a probable risk factor of osteoporosis.^{6,7)} Being consistent with these results, an animal study found that fat components are piled up on bones or vessels around bone and induce reduced BMD in rats.⁸⁾ Moreover, there have been studies reporting that osteoporosis is associated with atherosclerosis or calcification of the arteries,⁹⁻¹²⁾ and that low BMD is significantly associated with greater cardiovascular mortality.¹³⁾ Given that high LDL-C or low high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) are main risk factors for atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease,¹⁴⁾ it could be hypothesized that serum lipid profiles are significantly associated with BMD.

However, studies evaluating a direct association between serum lipid profiles and BMD have reported inconsistent findings. Some reported that higher LDL-C is associated with lower BMD,¹⁵⁻¹⁷⁾ whereas others reported no association between the two.¹⁸⁻²²⁾ These conflicting findings call for further study. Moreover, most of the previous studies were conducted in Western populations and there were only few Asian studies evaluating the association between serum lipid profiles and BMD using cross-sectional design,^{17,23,24)} which raises the necessity for additional studies in the Korean population who have a different distribution in lipid profiles and BMD.

In these regards, we evaluated the association between serum lipid profiles and BMD and the association between the changes in serum lipid profiles and BMD changes over several years in postmenopausal Korean women.

METHODS

1. Study Subjects

Study subjects were postmenopausal Korean women who have voluntarily undergone a routine health examination repeatedly with an interval of 6 to 8 years in a health promotion center of a tertiary care hospital located in Seoul after taking an initial health examination between January 2001 and December 2003. Among the initially identified 1,421 women, 463 women were excluded due to the following reasons: taking lipid-lowering drugs (220 women), hormone supplements (116 women), or both lipid-lowering drugs and hormone supplements (4 women) at final follow-up health examination; having higher free T4 level (>2.0 ng/dL, cut-off level which is known to affect bone metabolism)²⁵⁾ (26 women); information on smoking, drinking, and sports activity was missing (97 women). Thus, a total of 958 women were finally included.

2. Study Variables

Blood sample was drawn after 12-hour overnight fasting on the same day BMD was measured. Total cholesterol (TC), HDL-C, and LDL-C were assayed enzymatically in fresh serum in a laboratory. BMD (g/cm²) was measured at left femur neck and at lumbar spines (2nd, 3rd, and 4th) using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (Lunar Radiation Co., Madison, WI, USA). For analysis of lumbar spine, we used the averaged BMD values measured at 2nd, 3rd, and 4th level of lumbar spine. Height (cm) and weight (kg) were measured to the nearest 0.1 decimal point using a digital scale and stadiometer in light clothing and shoes taken off. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as the weight divided by the height squared (kg/m²).

Information about health behaviors (smoking, alcohol consumption, and physical activity) was assessed using a self-administered questionnaire. Study subjects were categorized into three groups by smoking habit (never smoker, ex-smoker, and current smoker), four groups by the level of physical activity ('do not exercise at all,' 'exercise less than once a week,' 'exercise 1–4 times a week,' and 'exercise more than 5 times a week'), and four groups by the levels of alcohol consumption ('never drinks,' 'drinks less than a bottle in a month,' 'drinks less than 2 bottles a month,' and 'drinks more than two bottles a month'). This study was approved by the institutional review board of Samsung

Medical Center.

3. Statistical Analysis

Changes in the level of lipid profiles and BMD were calculated by subtracting the value at initial measurement from the value at final follow-up measurement. Because the distribution of lipid profiles and BMD measured at initial and final examination and the distribution of change in lipid profiles and BMD during follow-up did not follow normal distribution, we estimated the association between lipid profiles and BMD using Spearman

correlation analysis with or without an adjustment for covariates such as age, BMI, smoking, alcohol consumption, and physical activity. At first, we evaluated the association between the lipid profiles and BMD measured at initial examination with a consideration of age and BMI measured at initial examination, and information on smoking, alcohol consumption, and physical activity measured at follow-up examination as covariates. Then, we evaluated the association between the lipid profiles and BMD measured at final follow-up examination with a consideration of age and BMI measured at follow-up examination, and

Table 1. Characteristics of study participants (Korean postmenopausal women, n = 958).

Characteristics	Baseline	Follow-up*
Age (y)	58.6 (5.8) [†]	
Height (cm)	156.3 (4.9)	156.0 (5.0)
BMI (kg/m ²)	23.8 (2.7)	23.8 (2.8)
Lipid profiles (mg/dL)		
Total cholesterol	209.4 (33.3)	204.3 (34.3)
Low density lipoprotein cholesterol	140.3 (31.6)	126.8 (30.2)
High density lipoprotein cholesterol	58.7 (14.1)	57.0 (13.9)
Bone mineral density (g/cm ²)		
Lumbar (L2–4) spine	1.12 (0.18)	1.01 (0.16)
Femur neck	0.86 (0.14)	0.80 (0.11)
Smoking (no, %)		
Never smoker	928 (96.8)	
Ex-smoker	19 (2.0)	
Current smoker	11 (1.2)	
Alcohol consumption (no, %)		
Never	739 (77.1)	
Light (≤1 bottle/mo)	138 (14.4)	
Moderate (≤2 bottle/mo)	46 (4.8)	
Heavy (>2 bottle/mo)	35 (3.7)	
Physical activity (no, %)		
Never	65 (6.8)	
Light (<1/wk)	56 (5.9)	
Moderate (1–4/wk)	571 (59.6)	
Heavy (5–7/wk)	266 (27.7)	

Values are presented as mean (SD).

*Repeatedly measured after 7.1 (SD = 0.8) years of follow-up. [†]Age measured at initial examination.

information on smoking, alcohol consumption, and physical activity measured at follow-up examination as covariates. Finally, we evaluate the association between the changes in lipid profiles and the changes in BMD, with a consideration of age at initial examination, duration of follow-up, change in BMI during follow-up, information on smoking, alcohol consumption, and physical activity measured at follow-up examination as covariates. All the analyses were done using SAS ver. 9.1.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). A two-sided test was done with the level of significance set at 'less than 0.05'.

RESULTS

1. General Characteristics of Study Subjects

The mean (SD) interval between the time at initial and time at final examination was 7.1 (0.8) years. Mean (SD) age of study subjects at the initial examination was 58.6 (5.8) years (Table 1). During follow-up, mean (SD) height (cm) changed from 156.3 (4.9) to 156.0 (5.0) and mean BMI (kg/m^2) shifted from 23.8 (2.7) to 23.8 (2.8). Total cholesterol (mg/L) level changed from 209.4 (33.3) to 204.3 (34.3), LDL-C (mg/L) from 140.3 (31.6) to 126.8 (30.2), HDL-C (mg/L) from 58.7 (14.1) to 57.0 (30.2), which was not a significant change. The BMD (g/cm^2) at lumbar spine changed from 1.12 to 1.01 and the BMD at femur neck changed from 0.86 to 0.80, which was also not significant.

2. Cross-Sectional Association between Lipid Profiles and BMD

The lumbar spine BMD measured initially had no significant correlation with total cholesterol, LDL-C, HDL-C. This finding did not change after the adjustment of age, smoking, drinking, exercise, and BMI (Table 2). The femur neck BMD measured initially had no significant correlation with total cholesterol and LDL-C, whereas it had a weak positive correlation with HDL-C (correlation coefficient, 0.092; 95% confidence interval, 0.019 to 0.163). The significant correlation between femur neck BMD and HDL-C did not change after the adjustment of covariates (partial correlation coefficient, 0.077; 95% confidence interval, 0.005 to 0.149). There was no significant correlation between the lipid profiles and BMDs (at lumbar spine and femur neck) measured at final follow-up examination regardless of the adjustment for covariates (Table 3).

3. Association between the Change in Lipid Profiles and Changes in BMDs over Follow-up Period

The change in BMD at lumbar spine had no significant correlation with the change in total cholesterol, LDL-C, and HDL-C level regardless of the adjustment for age, smoking, drinking, exercise, and BMI (Table 4). When covariates were not considered in analysis, change in femur neck BMD had weak but significant negative correlation with total cholesterol (correlation coefficient, -0.089 ; 95% confidence interval, -0.161 to 0.017)

Table 2. Correlations* between lipid profile and bone mineral density measured at initial examination in postmenopausal Korean women.

Variables		Lumbar (L2-4) spine BMD		Femur neck BMD	
		CC	(95% CI)	CC	(95% CI)
TC	Unadjusted	-0.010	(-0.082 to 0.063)	-0.037	(-0.109 to 0.035)
	Adjusted [†]	0.022	(-0.051 to 0.095)	0.008	(-0.064 to 0.081)
LDL-C	Unadjusted	-0.050	(-0.122 to 0.023)	-0.070	(-0.141 to 0.003)
	Adjusted [†]	-0.024	(-0.096 to 0.049)	-0.028	(-0.100 to 0.045)
HDL-C	Unadjusted	0.047	(-0.025 to 0.119)	0.092	(0.019 to 0.163)
	Adjusted [†]	0.045	(-0.028 to 0.117)	0.077	(0.005 to 0.149)

BMD: bone mineral density, CC: correlation coefficient, CI: confidence interval, TC: total cholesterol, LDL-C: low density lipoprotein cholesterol, HDL-C: high density lipoprotein cholesterol.

*Estimated by Spearman correlation analysis. [†]Spearman's partial correlation coefficient, adjusted for age, body mass index, smoking, alcohol consumption, and physical activity.

Table 3. Correlations* between lipid profile and bone mineral density at final follow-up measurement in postmenopausal Korean women.

Variables		Lumbar (L2–4) spine BMD		Femur neck BMD	
		CC	(95% CI)	CC	(95% CI)
TC	Unadjusted	0.046	(−0.017 to 0.109)	0.047	(−0.016 to 0.11)
	Adjusted [†]	0.017	(−0.047 to 0.08)	0.002	(−0.061 to 0.066)
LDL-C	Unadjusted	0.053	(−0.011 to 0.115)	0.061	(−0.002 to 0.124)
	Adjusted [†]	0.016	(−0.047 to 0.080)	0.014	(−0.05 to 0.077)
HDL-C	Unadjusted	−0.014	(−0.077 to 0.05)	0.006	(−0.058 to 0.069)
	Adjusted [†]	0.006	(−0.058 to 0.069)	0.001	(−0.06 to 0.064)

BMD: bone mineral density, CC: correlation coefficient, CI: confidence interval, TC: total cholesterol, LDL-C: low density lipoprotein cholesterol, HDL-C: high density lipoprotein cholesterol.

*Estimated by Spearman correlation analysis. [†]Spearman's partial correlation coefficient, adjusted for age, body mass index, smoking, alcohol consumption, and physical activity.

Table 4. Correlations* between the changes in lipid profile and the changes in bone mineral density during the 7.1 years of follow-up in postmenopausal Korean women.

Variables		Lumbar (L2–4) spine BMD		Femur neck BMD	
		CC	(95% CI)	CC	95% CI
TC	Unadjusted	−0.065	(−0.137 to 0.007)	−0.089	(−0.161 to −0.017)
	Adjusted [†]	−0.011	(−0.084 to 0.062)	−0.048	(−0.121 to 0.025)
LDL-C	Unadjusted	−0.047	(−0.119 to 0.025)	−0.087	(−0.158 to −0.014)
	Adjusted [†]	0.012	(−0.061 to 0.084)	−0.040	(−0.113 to 0.033)
HDL-C	Unadjusted	<0.001	(−0.072 to 0.073)	−0.005	(−0.078 to 0.067)
	Adjusted [†]	−0.010	(−0.082 to 0.063)	−0.046	(−0.118 to 0.027)

BMD: bone mineral densities, CC: correlation coefficient, CI: confidence interval, TC: total cholesterol, LDL-C: low density lipoprotein cholesterol, HDL-C: high density lipoprotein cholesterol.

*Estimated by Spearman correlation analysis. [†]Spearman's partial correlation coefficient, and adjusted by age, body mass index, smoking, alcohol consumption, and physical activity.

and LDL-C (correlation coefficient, −0.087; 95% confidence interval, −0.158 to −0.014). However, the correlation did not persist after the adjustment for covariates.

DISCUSSION

A unique feature of this study is that the association between the change in lipid profiles and the change in BMD over 7 years could be evaluated retrospectively using repeatedly measured data in large numbers of postmenopausal women. This longitudinal

design allowed us to get better insight than a cross-sectional study regarding the causal association between those two variables.

In this study, LDL-C measured at initial examination as well as the change in LDL-C had no association with BMD at lumbar spine and femur neck. This finding is consistent with findings from previous epidemiological studies¹⁸⁻²²⁾ which reported that total cholesterol and LDL-C are not risk factors for low BMD.

Although HDL-C measured at initial examination was found to have a positive correlation with femur neck BMD in this study, we think this is not robust evidence supporting a true association between HDL-C and femur neck BMD because the analysis

using the data measured at final examination or the data of changed value over time did not show any significant association.

One cross-sectional study conducted in the Japanese population reported a significant association of HDL-C with BMD at the lumbar spine and radius even after adjustment for other factors.¹⁷⁾ However, this study was performed in a cross-sectional design that may have limitations for examining causal association. On the other hand, a study using the US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey data²⁰⁾ and a Korean study in pre- and postmenopausal women²³⁾ reported no significant association between HDL-C and BMD. Therefore, further investigation in studies of more advanced design seems necessary in order to clarify the causal association between HDL-C and BMD.

Several studies have suggested that serum lipid profiles and osteoporosis are closely related, which is inconsistent with the findings from our study. Some clinical trials came up with the conclusion that 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase inhibitors preserved BMD and prevented osteoporosis,^{26,27)} and osteoporosis treatment using bisphosphonate agents or postmenopausal hormone replacement therapy increased BMD with improved serum LDL-C and HDL-C level.^{28,29)} However, the findings observed in these clinical trials only suggest that medications used in trials can affect both the serum lipid profiles and BMD and do not provide direct evidence that lipid profiles and BMD are causally associated. Furthermore, trials with postmenopausal hormone replacement therapy could not eliminate the confounding effect of estrogen on both lipid profiles and BMD. Our study has some strengths: we could evaluate the association between lipid profiles and BMD in postmenopausal women who were not under the influence of female sex hormone by excluding the women on hormone replacement treatment. We could also evaluate the influence of change in lipid profiles on BMD using longitudinal design. Therefore, we were able to evaluate the direct association between lipid profiles and BMD more accurately than the above mentioned clinical trials.

This study has several limitations. Firstly, study subjects are more likely to be in high socio-economic status, given that they are selected from those who undertook a routine health examination in the tertiary care hospital located in Seoul. Thus, it may be difficult to generalize findings from this study to women

in different socioeconomic status. However, selection bias is less likely to play a significant role in our study because we evaluated an association between variables. Secondly, we could not take consideration of calcium intake and osteoporosis treatment that may have significant influence on BMD levels due to the lack of available data. Thirdly, time lag after menopause that may be related to the rate of decrease in BMD could not be taken into account due to lack of related data.³⁰⁾

In conclusion, in this cross-sectional and follow-up study of postmenopausal Korean women, we found no significant association between serum lipid profiles and BMD. However, given the limitations of this study, this result should be carefully interpreted.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

REFERENCES

1. Statistics Korea. Dependency ratios & aging index [Internet]. Daejeon: Statistics Korea; c2006 [cited 2010 Jun 3]. Available from: <http://www.kosis.kr>.
2. Browner WS, Seeley DG, Vogt TM, Cummings SR. Non-trauma mortality in elderly women with low bone mineral density. Study of Osteoporotic Fractures Research Group. *Lancet* 1991;338:355-8.
3. Bokuennews. Health yearbook 2000. Seoul: Bokuennews; 2000. p. 281-92.
4. Shin JC, Lee SH, Lee NW, Lee C, Rha JY. A statistical analysis of risk factors for osteoporosis in menopausal women. *Korean J Obstet Gynecol* 1998;41:798-808.
5. Compston J, Cooper A, Cooper C, Francis R, Kanis JA, Marsh D, et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women and men from the age of 50 years in the UK. *Maturitas* 2009;62:105-8.
6. Parhami F, Morrow AD, Balucan J, Leitinger N, Watson AD, Tintut Y, et al. Lipid oxidation products have opposite effects on calcifying vascular cell and bone cell differentiation. A

- possible explanation for the paradox of arterial calcification in osteoporotic patients. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 1997;17:680-7.
7. Parhami F, Garfinkel A, Demer LL. Role of lipids in osteoporosis. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2000;20:2346-8.
 8. Griffith JF, Wang YX, Zhou H, Kwong WH, Wong WT, Sun YL, et al. Reduced bone perfusion in osteoporosis: likely causes in an ovariectomy rat model. *Radiology* 2010;254:739-46.
 9. Laroche M, Pouilles JM, Ribot C, Bendayan P, Bernard J, Boccalon H, et al. Comparison of the bone mineral content of the lower limbs in men with ischaemic atherosclerotic disease. *Clin Rheumatol* 1994;13:611-4.
 10. Banks LM, Lees B, MacSweeney JE, Stevenson JC. Effect of degenerative spinal and aortic calcification on bone density measurements in post-menopausal women: links between osteoporosis and cardiovascular disease? *Eur J Clin Invest* 1994;24:813-7.
 11. Naves M, Rodriguez-Garcia M, Diaz-Lopez JB, Gomez-Alonso C, Cannata-Andia JB. Progression of vascular calcifications is associated with greater bone loss and increased bone fractures. *Osteoporos Int* 2008;19:1161-6.
 12. Hyder JA, Allison MA, Criqui MH, Wright CM. Association between systemic calcified atherosclerosis and bone density. *Calcif Tissue Int* 2007;80:301-6.
 13. von der Recke P, Hansen MA, Hassager C. The association between low bone mass at the menopause and cardiovascular mortality. *Am J Med* 1999;106:273-8.
 14. Arsenault BJ, Despres JP, Stros ES, Wareham NJ, Kastelein JJ, Khaw KT, et al. Lipid assessment, metabolic syndrome and coronary heart disease risk. *Eur J Clin Invest* 2010;40:1081-93.
 15. Orozco P. Atherogenic lipid profile and elevated lipoprotein (a) are associated with lower bone mineral density in early postmenopausal overweight women. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2004;19:1105-12.
 16. Poli A, Bruschi F, Cesana B, Rossi M, Paoletti R, Crosignani PG. Plasma low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and bone mass densitometry in postmenopausal women. *Obstet Gynecol* 2003;102(5 Pt 1):922-6.
 17. Yamaguchi T, Sugimoto T, Yano S, Yamauchi M, Sowa H, Chen Q, et al. Plasma lipids and osteoporosis in postmenopausal women. *Endocr J* 2002;49:211-7.
 18. Tanko LB, Bagger YZ, Nielsen SB, Christiansen C. Does serum cholesterol contribute to vertebral bone loss in postmenopausal women? *Bone* 2003;32:8-14.
 19. Samelson EJ, Cupples LA, Hannan MT, Wilson PW, Williams SA, Vaccarino V, et al. Long-term effects of serum cholesterol on bone mineral density in women and men: the Framingham Osteoporosis Study. *Bone* 2004;34:557-61.
 20. Solomon DH, Avorn J, Canning CF, Wang PS. Lipid levels and bone mineral density. *Am J Med* 2005;118:1414.
 21. Wu LY, Yang TC, Kuo SW, Hsiao CF, Hung YJ, Hsieh CH, et al. Correlation between bone mineral density and plasma lipids in Taiwan. *Endocr Res* 2003;29:317-25.
 22. Zabaglia SF, Pedro AO, Pinto Neto AM, Guarisi T, Paiva LH, Lane E. An exploratory study of the association between lipid profile and bone mineral density in menopausal women in a Campinas reference hospital. *Cad Saude Publica* 1998;14:779-86.
 23. Cui LH, Shin MH, Chung EK, Lee YH, Kweon SS, Park KS, et al. Association between bone mineral densities and serum lipid profiles of pre- and post-menopausal rural women in South Korea. *Osteoporos Int* 2005;16:1975-81.
 24. Cho SH, Cho SH, Hwang YY, Moon H, Lee JA, Cho SS. The relationship between serum lipid profile and spinal bone mineral density in postmenopausal women. *Korean J Obstet Gynecol* 1994;37:1175-80.
 25. Udayakumar N, Chandrasekaran M, Rasheed MH, Suresh RV, Sivaprakash S. Evaluation of bone mineral density in thyrotoxicosis. *Singapore Med J* 2006;47:947-50.
 26. Meier CR, Schlienger RG, Kraenzlin ME, Schlegel B, Jick H. HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors and the risk of fractures. *JAMA* 2000;283:3205-10.
 27. Edwards CJ, Hart DJ, Spector TD. Oral statins and increased bone-mineral density in postmenopausal women. *Lancet* 2000;355:2218-9.
 28. Adami S, Braga V, Guidi G, Gatti D, Gerardi D, Fracassi E. Chronic intravenous aminobisphosphonate therapy increases high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and decreases low-density lipoprotein cholesterol. *J Bone Miner Res*

2000;15:599-604.

29. Rossouw JE. Hormone replacement therapy and cardiovascular disease. *Curr Opin Lipidol* 1999;10:429-34.
30. Mazzuoli G, Acca M, Pisani D, Diacinti D, Scarda A,

Scarnecchia L, et al. Annual skeletal balance and metabolic bone marker changes in healthy early postmenopausal women: results of a prospective study. *Bone* 2000;26:381-6.