

Article

# Food Security and Hygiene Practice among Adolescent Girls in Maiduguri Metropolitan Council, Borno State, Nigeria

MDPI

# Ruth Charles Shapu <sup>1,2</sup>, Suriani Ismail <sup>1,\*</sup>, Norliza Ahmad <sup>1</sup>, Poh Ying Lim <sup>1</sup> and Ibrahim Abubakar Njodi <sup>3</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Community Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health Science, University Putra Malaysia, Serdang 43400, Malaysia; ruthyshapu52@gmail.com (R.C.S.); lizaahmad@upm.edu.my (N.A.); pohying\_my@upm.edu.my (P.Y.L.)
- <sup>2</sup> College of Nursing and Midwifery, Damboa Road, Maiduguri, 600252 Maiduguri, Nigeria
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Physical and Health Education, University of Maiduguri, 600230 Maiduguri, Nigeria; ibrahimnjodi@gmail.com
- \* Correspondence: si\_suriani@upm.edu.my; Tel.: +60-19-2249828

Received: 11 June 2020; Accepted: 6 July 2020; Published: 10 September 2020



**Abstract:** Inadequate food security contributes to poor health outcome for all, including adolescent girls. The study aims at determining the level of food security and hygiene among adolescent girls. A school-based cross-sectional study was carried out among adolescent girls aged 10 to 19 years old from six schools in Maiduguri Metropolitan Council, Borno State. The instrument for data collection was a questionnaire using KoBoCollect Toolbox between June and July 2019. Multivariable logistic regression was used to identify the predictors of food security and hygiene among respondents. A majority of the respondents (73.5%) were in a very low food security level. Three factors were significantly associated with food security level, these included schools (p = 0.007), age of mother (p = 0.004), and occupation of mother (p < 0.001). School (p = 0.003), age (father p = 0.017; mother; p = 0.012), hygiene (p = 0.005), and occupation of mother (p = 0.002) were predictors of food security. About (46.6%) of respondents had poor hygiene practice, school (p = 0.016) was significantly associated with hygiene practice. School (p = 0.019; and p = 0.005) and food security (p = 0.009) were predictors of hygiene practice. This study reveals a high prevalence of low food security among adolescent girls.

Keywords: food security; hygiene practice; adolescent girls; KoBoCollect Toolbox; health

# 1. Introduction

Adolescence is a period of transition from childhood to adulthood, often divided into early (10–13 years), middle (14–16 years), and late (17–19 years) adolescent stages [1]. Globally an estimated 821 million people (one in every nine people) are malnourished as a result of food insecurity [2]. In developing countries, adolescents are exposed to environmental factors such as food insecurity and hygiene that may influence their growth, development, and well-being [3]. Everyone needs food to have appropriate nutritional status and also to sustain life [4]. Food insecurity and poor hygiene has become a problem among low-income households in developing and underdeveloped countries globally [5]. People do not have economic, social, and physical access to sufficient and nutritious food that can meet their dietary needs for an active and healthy life. Food must be available, accessible, utilized adequately, and in stable condition to meet nutritional demand [6].

Food security is a common problem among low-income households in developing and underdeveloped countries [5]. Food security is a priority, as malnutrition is a contributing factor to morbidity, mortality, reduced productivity, and poor cognitive development [7]. The global growth

of population, the changes in climate, the rising price of food, and insurgency have a severe impact on food security [8]. In 2019 and 2020, the portion of the population facing food insecurity increased, and between 112 and 123 million people across 50 countries in the world were in crisis or in the worst form of food insecurity, with the Democratic Republic of the Congo and South Sudan reflecting acute food insecurity. The emergent severity of economic shocks and drought in countries such as Haiti, Pakistan, and Zimbabwe were found to be drivers of food insecurity. About 183 million people in 47 countries were classified in stressed food insecurity condition [9]. In 2019, the acute food insecurity level was almost 5 million (5%) of the population in 16 states analyzed with federal capital territory (FCT) inclusive in Nigeria. In Nigeria, in the three northeastern states affected by insecurity, about 3 million people from Borno (1.8 million), Yobe (945,000), and Adamawa state (297,000) were in an acute food insecurity level [9]. Food insecurity is a contributing factor to poor health outcomes and deficiency of nutrients among adolescents most likely to be due to inadequate intake of nutrients [10–12].

Food insecurity normally exists where there are limitations or uncertainty in the availability of adequate foods and in the ability to secure foods in an acceptable way [13,14]. About 37 million people from 11 African countries were declared food insecured in 2017 with the largest population from the north-eastern part of Nigeria, Congo, Somalia, and South Sudan. About 70% of the people of Borno were food insecured with some local governments still in the emergency phase, this has an impact on the internally displaced and host communities, especially households, that are entirely dependent on the market rather than on food production as shown in Figure 1 [6].



Figure 1. Food insecurity scale [2].

The provision of food continuously has remained a serious challenge, as food is one of the basic needs of humanity for optimal nutrition [15]. In the low-income household, the burden of food insecurity is more among adolescents than in younger children [16]. Food insecurity has negative consequences and also affects all age groups, including adolescents. Adolescents girls from food insecured households tend to have lower academic performance and poor health and nutritional status [17–20]. Adolescent girls are at risk of becoming malnourished due to poor dietary intake. They are likely to have a low birth weight baby, which can impact the next generation as the prevalence of low birth weight babies is more among adolescent girls, as shown in Figure 2 [10,21,22].



Figure 2. Negative consequences of food insecurity on adolescent girls.

Globally about 2.3 billion people do not have the essential sanitation services, nearly 892 million individuals are still practicing open defecation, and approximately 844 million people lack access to a good source of drinking water [23,24]. Lack of proper water facilities, water storage, water treatment, hand washing, and hygiene practices affects the health outcome of children under 18 years old. The long-term adverse effect of infection such as worm infestation, diarrhea, and dehydration attributed to poor water, sanitation, and hygiene conditions contributes to impaired learning ability among children under 18 years [25].

Assessing the magnitude of food security and hygiene practice of adolescent girls in the Northeast, this study will provide baseline data and the need for intervention. This study aims at determining the level of food security and hygiene among adolescent girls in Maiduguri Metropolitan Council, Borno State, Nigeria.

## 2. Materials and Methods

The details of the study design and methodology are explained in a research article titled "Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice of Adolescent Girls towards Reducing Malnutrition in Maiduguri Metropolitan Council, Borno State, Nigeria: Cross-Sectional Study" [26]. A brief information of the study design is given below.

This was a school-based cross-sectional study carried out among adolescent girls 10–19 years old in six secondary schools. The schools include Government Girls Secondary School Yerwa (Yerwa), Government Girls College Maiduguri (GGC), Government Girls Secondary School Maiduguri (GGSS), Shehu Garbai Day Secondary School (SGDSS), Bulabulin Day Secondary School (BDSS), and Zajeri Day Secondary school (ZDSS) in Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria. The main occupations of the state are farming/fishing and trading. In 2017, only 8.3% of the populace consumed from their crop and animal production, the remaining relied on purchased food due to increased displacement of the people from their own homes and farmland to places with limited farms for agricultural activities [27]. The sample size was 612; two-stage random sampling was used to select the school and the individual respondent.

A questionnaire through respondent's interview using KoBoCollect Toolbox was used for data collection. KoBoCollect Toolbox is a data collection tool developed by Harvard, the application can run on any Android device either phone or tablet where data collected were stored in cloud storage together with the global positioning system (GPS) for the location of each school, and later exported

to SPSS for analysis [28]. Information on sociodemographic characteristics was collected, details of sociodemographic characteristics were explained in a research article titled "Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of Adolescent Girls towards Reducing Malnutrition in Maiduguri Metropolitan Council, Borno State, Nigeria: Cross-Sectional Study" [26]. A food security questionnaire was adopted from food security for older children consisting of nine statements with options "a lot", "sometimes", and "never". Never was scored "1", sometimes "2", and a lot "3" in the last 30 days [29]. Never was recoded "0", sometimes and a lot weres recorded "1". The total food security score was 9. Respondents who scored 0–1 were considered food secured, those with 2–5 were regarded as low food secured, and those with 6–9 were considered very low food secured, respectively [30]; these questions are shown in Table 1.

Food Security Statement	Ne	ver	Som	etimes	Α	lot
	n	%	n	%	n	%
Did you worry that food at home would run out before your family got money to buy more?	88	15.7	420	74.7	54	9.6
Did the food that your family bought run out, and you did not have money to get more?	119	21.2	393	69.9	50	8.9
Did your meals only include a few kinds of cheap foods because your family was running out of money to buy food?	65	11.6	394	70.1	103	18.3
How often were you not able to eat a balanced meal because your family did not have enough money?	68	12.1	427	76.0	67	11.9
Did you have to eat less because your family did not have enough money to buy food?	125	22.2	380	67.6	57	10.1
Has the size of your meals been cut because your family did not have enough money for food?	157	27.9	352	62.6	53	9.4
Did you have to skip a meal because your family did not have enough money for food?	162	28.8	354	63.0	46	8.2
Were you hungry but didn't eat because your family did not have enough food?	160	28.5	354	63.0	48	8.5
Did you not eat for a whole day because your family did not have enough money for food?	247	44.0	276	49.1	39	6.9

Table 1. Food security distribution among respondents (n = 562).

The hygiene section consists of five statements with options, choose one. Hygiene statements and characteristics are presented in the result section (Table 5). Frequency and percentage were used for each hygiene item. Scores <median were considered poor hygiene, while scores ≥median score were considered good hygiene.

Data analysis was done using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software version 25 used to solve research problems. Frequency and percentage were used for the distribution of each statement. Chi-square was used to determine the association of sociodemographic characteristics with food security and hygiene; multiple logistic regression was used to determine the predictors of hygiene, while multinomial logistic regression was used to determine the predictors of food security. Data with p < 0.25 in simple logistic regression were analyzed in multiple logistic regression. Variables with p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant in this study.

The Ethical Committee for Research involving Human Subjects of Universiti Putra Malaysia (JKEUPM) UPM/TNCPI/RMC/JKEUPM/1.4.18.2 gave ethical approval, and permission for various schools was obtained from the Ministry of Education Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria. Pan African Clinical Trials Registry (PACTR201905528313816).

## 3. Results

A total of 612 respondents were included in the study, the respondents were randomly selected based on the inclusion criteria of the study. About 562 respondents consented and were interviewed. The response rate for this study was 92%. The detailed sociodemographic characteristics of respondents were explained in a research article titled "Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of Adolescent Girls towards Reducing Malnutrition in Maiduguri Metropolitan Council, Borno State, Nigeria: Cross-Sectional Study" [26].

## 3.1. Food Security

#### 3.1.1. Food Security Distribution of Respondents

Table 1 shows the distribution of food security statements among respondents. The median, interquartile range (IQR) for food security was 8.0 (4.0). Almost three-quarters of the respondents did

worry that food at home would run out before the family got money to buy more food sometimes (74.7%); in some cases, food bought ran out, and they did not have money to get more (69.9%); for others, their meals only included a few kinds of cheap foods because the family was running out of money to buy food (70.1%); some were not able to eat a balanced meal because the family did not have enough money (76.0); others ate less because the family did not have enough money to buy food (67.6); sometimes, the size of the meals was cut down because the family did not have enough money for food (62.6%); some skipped a meal because the family did not have enough money for food (63.0%); and others were hungry but did not eat because the family did not have enough food (63.0%). Table 2 reveals that a majority of respondents (73.5%) were in a very low food security level.

Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
413	73.5
108 41	19.2 7.3
	Frequency (n) 413 108 41

**Table 2.** Food security level of respondents (n = 562).

3.1.2. Association and Predictors of Food Security among Respondents

Association between Sociodemographic Characteristics and Food Security

Table 3 shows the association of sociodemographic characteristics and hygiene with food security level. Three factors were significantly associated with food security level, these included schools (p = 0.007), age of mother (p = 0.004), occupation of mother (p < 0.001).

Variables	Very Low Food Security n (%)/Mean ± SD	Low Food Security n (%)/Mean ± SD	Food Secured n (%)/Mean ± SD	X <sup>2</sup> /t	<i>p</i> -Value
Name of school					
Yerwa	76 (18.4)	24 (22.2)	8 (19.5)	24.287 <sup>a</sup>	0.007 *
GGC	55 (13.3)	19 (17.9)	12 (29.3)		
GGSS	46 (11.1)	14 (13.0)	3 (7.3)		
SGDSS	51 (12.3)	13 (12.0)	8 (19.5)		
BDSS	128 (31.0)	16 (14.8)	5 (12.2)		
ZDSS	57 (13.8)	22 (20.4)	5 (12.2)		
Age of Adolescent Girls (years)				4.385 <sup>a</sup>	0.356
Early adolescents	69 (16.7)	25 (23.1)	6 (14.6)		
Middle adolescents	213 (51.6)	45 (41.7)	22 (53.7)		
Late adolescents	131(31.7)	38 (35.2)	13 (31.7)		
Class				1.534 <sup>a</sup>	0.957
JSS1	94 (22.8)	27 (25.0)	11 (26.8)		
JSS2	80 (19.4)	21 (19.4)	9 (22.0)		
SS1	113 (27.4)	25 (23.1)	9 (22.0)		
SS2	126 (30.5)	35 (32.4)	12 (29.3)		
Ethnicity				10.273 <sup>a</sup>	0.852
Bura	33 (8.0)	9 (8.3)	6 (14.6)		
Kanuri	133 (32.2)	30 (27.8)	10 (24.4		
Hausa	41 (9.9)	12 (11.1)	5 (12.2)		
Marghi	41 (9.9)	8 (7.4)	3 (7.3)		
Shuwa	21 (5.1)	5 (4.6)	2 (4.9)		
Fulani	40 (9.7)	8 (7.4)	4 (9.8)		
Chibok	16 (3.9)	2 (1.9)	1 (2.4)		
Gwoza	56 (13.6)	24 (22.2)	7 (17.1)		
Other ethnic groups	32(7.7)	10 (9.3)	3 (7.3)		
Religion				0.721 <sup>a</sup>	0.697
Christianity	88 (21.3)	20 (18.5)	7 (17.1)		
Islam	325 (78.7)	88 (81.5)	34 (82.9)		

**Table 3.** Factors associated with food security among respondents (n = 562).

Variables	Very Low Food Security n (%)/Mean ± SD	Low Food Security n (%)/Mean ± SD	Food Secured n (%)/Mean ± SD	X <sup>2</sup> /t	<i>p</i> -Value
Place of residence				3.529 <sup>a</sup>	0.171
Rural	50 (12.1)	20 (18.5)	4 (9.8)		
Urban	363 (87.9)	88 (81.5	37 (90.2)		
Household size				1.067 <sup>a</sup>	0.899
≤5 members	34 (8.2)	9 (8.3)	3 (7.3)		
6–8 members	151 (36.6)	43 (39.8)	13 (31.7)		
≥9 members	228 (55.2)	56 (51.9)	25 (61.0)		
Monthly income				4.777 <sup>a</sup>	0.573
Less than №18,000	116 (28.1)	31 (28.7)	16 (39.0)		
<b>№</b> 18,000– <b>№</b> 30,000	143 (34.6)	41 (38.0)	16 (39.0)		
₩31,000-₩50,000	107 (25.9)	25 (23.1)	7 (17.1)		
₦51,000 and above	47 (11.4)	11 (10.2)	2 (4.9)		
Head of household				-	0.330
Father	375 (90.8)	96 (88.9)	39 (95.1)		
Mother	25 (6.1)	6 (5.6)	0 (0.0)		
Relations	13 (3.1)	6 (5.6)	2 (4.9)		
Age group of father (years)				3.846 <sup>a</sup>	0.146
35 to 44	33 (8.3)	15 (14.7)	4 (10.0)		
≥45	365 (91.7)	87 (85.3)	36 (90.0)		
Education of father				13.237 <sup>a</sup>	0.104
No education	38 (9.3)	17 (16.0)	2 (4.9)		
Informal education	60 (14.7)	12 (11.3)	10 (24.4)		
Primary education	13 (3.2)	1 (0.9)	2 (4.9)		
Secondary education	137 (33.7)	43 (40.6)	13 (31.7)		
Tertiary education	159 (39.1)	33 (31.1)	14 (34.1)		

Table 3. Cont.

Variables	Very Low Food Security n (%)/Mean ± SD	Low Food Security n (%)/Mean ± SD	Food Secured n (%)/Mean ± SD	X²/t	<i>p</i> -Value
Occupation of fathers				-	0.942
Civil service	150 (37.2)	33 (32.4)	13 (31.7)		
Trading/business	207 (51.4)	58 (56.9)	23 (56.1)		
Farming	31 (7.7)	7 (6.9)	3 (7.3)		
Other occupation <sup>c</sup>	15 (3.7)	4 (3.9)	2 (4.9)		
Age group of mother (years)				15.495 <sup>a</sup>	0.004 *
	76 (18.6)	16 (15.2)	8 (20.00)		
35 to 44	199 (48.8)	68 (64.8)	13 (32.5)		
≥45	133 (32.6)	21 (20.0)	19 (47.5)		
Education of mothers				8.711 <sup>a</sup>	0.367
No education	72 (17.6)	26 (24.5)	3 (7.3)		
Informal education	89 (21.7)	22 (20.8)	12 (29.3)		
Primary education	40 (9.8)	12 (11.3)	6 (14.6)		
Secondary education	140 (34.1)	32 (30.2)	15 (36.6)		
Tertiary education	69 (16.8)	14 (13.2)	5 (12.2)		
Occupation of mothers				26.140 <sup>a</sup>	<0.001 *
Civil service	51 (12.7)	19 (18.1)	16 (41.0)		
Trading/business	171 (42.4)	50 (47.6)	11 (28.2)		
Farming	23 (5.7)	2 (1.9)	2 (5.1)		
Housewives	158 (39.2)	234 (32.4)	10 (25.6)		
Family type				6.746 <sup>a</sup>	0.150
Monogamy	218 (52.8)	47 (43.5)	16 (39.0)		
Polygamy	166 (40.2)	50 (46.3)	23 (56.1)		
single parenting	29 (7.0)	11 (10.2)	2 (4.9)		
Hygiene				5.811 <sup>a</sup>	0.055
Poor hygiene	180 (43.6)	60 (55.6)	22 (53.7)		
Good hygiene	233 (56.4)	48 (44.4)	19 (46.3)		

Table 3. Cont.

Yerwa: Government Girls Secondary School Yerwa; GGC: Government Girls College, Maiduguri; GGSS: Government Girls Secondary School, Maiduguri; SGDSS: Shehu Garbai Day Secondary School; BDSS: Bulabulin Day Secondary School; ZDSS: Zajeri Day Secondary School \* significant (*p* < 0.05), <sup>a</sup> chi-square, JSS1: Junior secondary school 1; JSS2: Junior secondary school 2; SS1; Senior secondary 1; SS2: Senior secondary 2, other occupations <sup>c</sup>: Malami (voluntary Quranic teacher).

Predictors of Food Security among Respondents

Factors with p < 0.25 in simple multinomial logistic regression were tested in multiple multinomial logistic regression, including school, age of adolescent, place of residence, monthly income, head of household, age of the father, education of father, age of mother, education of mother, occupation of mother, family type, and hygiene.

Table 4 identified five factors that were significant predictors of food security. Respondents in BDSS compared to ZDSS were less likely to be in a low food security level compared to a very low food security level (Adjusted Odds Ratio (AOR) = 0.306, 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.140–0.671, p = 0.003). Respondents with age of father and mother between 35 and 44 years compared to ≥45 years were more likely to be in low food security level compared to very low food security level (AOR = 2.610, 95% CI: 1.187–5.736, p = 0.017; AOR = 2.180, 95% CI: 1.191–3.993, p = 0.012). Respondents with poor hygiene compared to good hygiene were more likely to be in low food security level (AOR = 1.979, 95% CI: 1.228–3.187, p = 0.005). Respondents with the age of mother between 35 and 44 years compared to ≥45 years were less likely to be in a food secured level compared to a very low food security level (AOR = 0.414, 95% CI: 0.187–0.917, p = 0.030) Respondents whose mothers were civil servants compared to housewives were more likely to be in a food secured level compared to a very low food security level (AOR = 0.414, 95% CI: 0.187–0.917, p = 0.030) Respondents whose mothers were civil servants compared to housewives were more likely to be in a food secured level compared to a very low food security level (AOR = 4.144, 95% CI: 1.665–10.312, p = 0.002).

		Lov	w Food Secu	ured					Food Secu	red		
- Variablas				95%	% CI					95%	6 CI	
variables	В	Crude Odds Ratio	SE	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	<i>p</i> -Value	В	Adjusted Odds Ratio	SE	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	<i>p</i> -Value
Very low food secured	Ref						Ref					
Intercept	-2.181		0.419			< 0.001	-2.401		0.620			< 0.001
Name of school												
Yerwa	-0.130	0.878	0.382	0.416	1.856	0.734	-0.136	0.873	0.666	0.236	3.222	0.838
GGC	-0.207	0.813	0.409	0.365	1.811	0.612	0.591	1.806	0.605	0.552	5.908	0.328
GGSS	-0.356	0.701	0.455	0.287	1.710	0.435	-0.450	0.638	0.775	0.140	2.910	0.561
SGDSS	-0.375	0.688	0.426	0.299	1.583	0.379	0.165	1.179	0.643	0.335	4.156	0.797
BDSS	-1.184	0.306	0.400	0.140	0.671	0.003 *	-0.852	0.427	0.670	0.115	1.586	0.204
ZDSS	Ref						Ref					
Age group of father (years)												
35 to 44	0.959	2.610	0.402	1.187	5.736	0.017 *	0.531	1.700	0.667	0.460	6.288	0.427
≥45	Ref						Ref					
Age group of mother (years)												
≤34	0.123	1.131	0.424	0.493	2.597	0.771	-0.424	0.654	0.528	0.232	1.842	0.422
35 to 44	0.779	2.180	0.309	1.191	3.993	0.012 *	-0.883	0.414	0.406	0.187	0.917	0.030 *
≥45	Ref						Ref					
Occupation of mothers												
Civil service	0.544	1.722	0.367	0.839	3.534	0.138	1.422	4.144	0.465	1.665	10.312	0.002 *
Trading/business	0.495	1.640	0.274	0.960	2.804	0.070	0.089	1.093	0.460	0.443	2.696	0.846
Farming	-0.860	0.423	0.790	0.090	1.989	0.276	0.245	1.278	0.849	0.242	6.750	0.773
Housewives	Ref						Ref					
Hygiene												
Poor hygiene	0.682	1.979	0.243	1.228	3.187	0.005 *	0.418	1.519	0.363	0.746	3.093	0.249
Good knowledge	Ref						Ref					

Table 4. Multinomial logistic regression for predictors of food security.

Yerwa: Government Girls Secondary School Yerwa; GGC: Government Girls College, Maiduguri; GGSS: Government Girls Secondary School, Maiduguri; SGDSS: Shehu Garbai Day Secondary School; BDSS: Bulabulin Day Secondary School; ZDSS: Zajeri Day Secondary School. \* Significant at p < 0.05, SE = standard error, CI = confidence interval, Ref = reference category, B = unstandardized beta, Cox and Snell R square = 0.126, Nagelkerke R square = 0.164, classification percentage = 74.1%, receiver operating characteristics = 75.5%, model fitting p < 0.001, goodness-of-fit Pearson p = 0.271, deviance p = 0.875.

## 3.2. Hygiene Practice

## 3.2.1. Hygiene Distribution of Respondents

Table 5 describes the hygiene of respondents. A high number of respondents (49.3%) reported borehole as their main source of water, (54.3%) used private latrine for defecating, (89.7%) answered correctly on hand-washing practice, (38.3%) used a clean, covered container to keep their drinking water, while (50.5%) of respondents used a clean cloth to strain their drinking water to make it safe for use at home. The median (IQR) for hygiene score was 10.0 (3.0). Poor hygiene was reported by (46.6%) of respondents.

Hygiene Statement	Characteristics	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
	Тар	93	16.5
	Boreĥole	277	49.3
What is your current source of drinking water	Well	12	2.1
what is your current source of urinking water	Dam	154	27.4
	Tanker	5	0.9
	Truck	21	3.7
	Private latrine	305	54.3
home	Shared latrine	237	42.2
	Open defaecation	20	3.6
What are the times when you wash your hands with	Correct answer	504	89.7
soap or ash or sand and clean water	Incorrect answer	58	10.3
	Clean container	126	22.4
I keep my water in a	Covered container	221	39.3
	A clean, covered container	215	38.3
	Boil the water	39	6.9
In which of the following ways do you treat your	Use a clean cloth to strain it	284	50.5
drinking water to make it safe at home	Allow the dirt to settle at the bottom of the container	239	42.5

## 3.2.2. Association and Predictors of Hygiene among Respondents

Association between Sociodemographic Characteristics and Hygiene

Table 6 showed association of sociodemographic characteristics and food security with hygiene level. School (p = 0.016) was significantly associated with hygiene.

Table 6. Factors associated with hygiene.
---

Variables	Level of	Hygiene	X <sup>2</sup> /t	<i>p</i> -Value
vullubles	Poor n (%)	Good n (%)		r
Name of school			14.017 <sup>a</sup>	0.016 *
Yerwa	43 (16.4)	65 (21.7)		
GGC	34 (13.0)	52 (17.3)		
GGSS	27 (10.3)	36 (12.0)		
SGDSS	42 (16.0)	30 (10.0)		
BDSS	66 (25.2)	83 (27.7)		
ZDSS	50 (19.1)	34 (11.3)		
Age of adolescent girls (years)			2.663 <sup>a</sup>	0.264
Early adolescents	54 (20.6)	46 (15.3)		
Middle adolescents	126 (48.1)	154 (51.3)		
Late adolescents	82 (31.3)	100 (33.3)		

Table 6.	Cont.
----------	-------

Class			3.679 <sup>a</sup>	0.298
JSS1	66 (25.2)	66 (22.0)		
JSS2	49 (18.7)	61 (20.3)		
SS1	75 (28.6)	72 (24.0)		
SS2	72 (27.5)	101 (33.7)		
Ethnicity			3.198 <sup>a</sup>	0.921
Bura	22 (8.4)	26 (8.7)		
Kanuri	76 (29.0)	97 (32.3)		
Hausa	27 (10.3)	31 (10.3)		
Marghi	23 (8.8)	29 (9.7)		
Shuwa	12 (4.6)	16 (5.3)		
Fulani	23 (8.8)	29 (9.7)		
Chibok	10 (3.8)	9 (3.0)		
Gwoza	47 (17.9)	40 (13.3)		
Other tribes	22 (8.4)	23 (7.7)		
Religion			0.085 <sup>a</sup>	0.771
Christianity	55 (21.0)	60 (20.0)		
Islam	207 (79.0)	240 (80.0)		
Place of residence			0.391 <sup>a</sup>	0.532
Rural	37 (14.1)	37 (12.3)		
Urban	225 (85.9)	263 (87.7)		
Household size			0.269 <sup>a</sup>	0.874
≤5 members	22 (8.4)	24 (8.0)		
6–8 members	99 (37.8)	108 (36.0)		
≥9 members	141 (53.8)	168 (56.0)		
Monthly income			2.181 <sup>a</sup>	0.536
Less than №18,000	72 (27.5)	91 (30.3)		
N18,000−N30,000	97 (37.0)	103 (34.3)		
₩31,000-₩50,000	61 (23.3)	78 (26.0)		
₦51,000 and above	32 (12.2)	28 (9.3)		
Variables	Level of Hygiene	X <sup>2</sup> /t	<i>p</i> -Value	Variable
Head of household			0.159 <sup>a</sup>	0.924
Father	238 (90.8)	272 (90.7)		
Mother	15 (5.7)	16 (5.3)		
Relations	9 (3.4)	12 (4.0)		
Age group of father (years)			0.421 <sup>a</sup>	0.517
35 to 44	26 (10.5)	26 (8.9)		
≥45	221 (89.5)	267 (91.1)		
Education of father			3.481 <sup>a</sup>	0.481
No education	28 (10.9)	29 (9.8)		
Informal education	45 (17.5)	37 (12.5)		
Primary education	7 (2.7)	9 (3.0)		
Secondary education	88 (34.2)	105 (35.4)		
Tertiary education	89 (34.6)	117 (39.4)		

Occupation of fathers			2.190 <sup>a</sup>	0.534
Civil service	95 (37.8)	101 (34.2)		
Trading/business	126 (50.2)	162 (54.9)		
Farming	18 (7.2)	23 (7.8)		
Other occupations <sup>c</sup>	12 (4.8)	9 (3.1)		
Age group of mother (years)			0.549 <sup>a</sup>	0.760
≤34	43 (16.8)	57 (19.2)		
35 to 44	131 (51.2)	149 (50.2)		
≥45	82 (32.0)	91 (30.6)		
Education of mothers			2.334 <sup>a</sup>	0.675
No education	49 (19.0)	52 (17.4)		
Informal education	59 (22.9)	64 (21.4)		
Primary education	25 (9.7)	33 (11.0)		
Secondary education	90 (34.9)	97 (32.4)		
Tertiary education	35 (13.6)	53 (17.7)		
Occupation of mothers			4.120 <sup>a</sup>	0.249
Civil service	48 (18.5)	38 (13.2)		
Trading/business	102 (39.4)	130 (45.1)		
Farming	11 (4.2)	16 (5.6)		
Housewives	98 (37.8)	104 (36.1)		
Family type			2.032 <sup>a</sup>	0.362
Monogamy	128 (48.9)	153 (51.0)		
Polygamy	110 (42.0)	129 (43.0)		
Single parenting	24 (9.2)	18 (6.0)		
Food security			5.811 <sup>a</sup>	0.055
Very low food secured	180 (68.7)	233 (77.7)		
Low food secured	60 (22.9)	48 (16.0)		
Food secured	22 (8.4)	19 (6.3)		

Table 6. Cont.

\* Significant at p < 0.05; <sup>a</sup> Chi-square; Yerwa: Government Girls Secondary School Yerwa; GGC: Government Girls College, Maiduguri; GGSS: Government Girls Secondary School, Maiduguri; SGDSS: Shehu Garbai Day Secondary School; BDSS: Bulabulin Day Secondary School; ZDSS: Zajeri Day Secondary School; other occupations <sup>c</sup>: Malami (Voluntary Quranic teacher); JSS1: Junior secondary school 1; JSS2: Junior secondary school 2; SS1; Senior secondary 1; SS2: Senior secondary 2.

# Predictors of Hygiene among Respondents

Simple logistic regression was used to assess the association of sociodemographic characteristics, food security with hygiene. Factors with p < 0.25 in simple logistic regression were tested in multiple logistic regression, including school, age of adolescents, class, monthly income, education father, occupation father, education mother, occupation mother, family type, and food security level.

Table 7 showed that two factors were statistically significant in predicting hygiene. Respondents in SGDSS and ZDSS were less likely to have good hygiene compared Yerwa (AOR = 0.472, 95% CI: 0.252–0.884, p = 0.019; AOR = 0.416, 95% CI: 0.227–0.762, p = 0.005). Respondents in a low food secured level were less likely to have good hygiene compared to those in a very low food secured level (AOR = 0.537, 95% CI: 0.337–0.855, p = 0.009).

	Simple Logistic Regression							Multiple Logistic Regression					
Variables				95%	6 CI					95%	6 CI		
	В	Crude Odds Ratio	SE	Lower Upper <i>p</i> -Value Bound Bound	В	Adjusted Odds Ratio	SE	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	<i>p</i> -Value			
Name of school													
Yerwa	Ref						Ref						
GGC	0.012	1.012	0.295	0.567	1.805	0.968	-0.021	0.979	0.312	0.531	1.806	0.947	
GGSS	-0.126	0.882	0.322	0.470	1.657	0.696	-0.344	0.709	0.334	0.368	1.366	0.304	
SGDSS	-0.750	0.473	0.309	0.258	0.867	0.015 *	-0.751	0.472	0.320	0.252	0.884	0.019 *	
BDSS	-0.184	0.832	0.257	0.503	1.376	0.473	-0.331	0.718	0.273	0.420	1.227	0.226	
ZDSS	-0.799	0.450	0.297	0.251	0.805	0.007 *	-0.876	0.416	0.309	0.227	0.762	0.005 *	
Age of adolescent girls (years)													
Early adolescents	-0.359	0.699	0.250	0.428	1.140	0.151	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Middle adolescents	0.002	1.002	0.191	0.689	1.458	0.991	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Late adolescents	Ref						-	-	-	-	-	-	
Class													
JSS1	-0.338	0.713	0.233	0.452	1.125	0.146	-	-	-	-	-	-	
JSS2	-0.119	0.887	0.246	0.548	1.438	0.628	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SS1	-0.379	0.684	0.226	0.440	1.065	0.093	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SS2	Ref						-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ethnicity													
Bura	Ref						-	-	-	-	-	-	
Kanuri	0.077	1.080	0.328	0.568	2.053	0.814	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hausa	-0.029	0.972	0.391	0.451	2.092	0.941	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Marghi	0.065	1.067	0.402	0.485	2/347	0.872	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Shuwa	0.121	1.128	0.479	0.441	2.887	0.801	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Fulani	0.065	1.067	0.402	0.485	2.347	0.872	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Chibok	-0.272	0.762	0.543	0.263	2.208	0.616	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Gwoza	-0.328	0.720	0.361	0.355	1.461	0.363	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Other ethnic groups	-0.123	0.885	0.416	0.392	1.998	0.768	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Religion													
Christianity	Ref						-	-	-	-	-	-	
Islam	0.061	1.063	0.209	0.705	1.602	0.771	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Place of residence													
Rural	Ref						-	-	-	-	-	-	
Urban	0.156	1.169	0.250	0.717	1.906	0.532	-	-	-	-	-	-	

# Table 7. Predictors of hygiene.

		Simpl	e Logistic I	Regression			Multiple Logistic Regression						
Variables				95%	6 CI					95%	6 CI		
	В	Crude Odds Ratio	SE	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	<i>p</i> -Value	В	Adjusted Odds Ratio	SE	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	<i>p</i> -Value	
Household size													
≤5 members	Ref						-	-	-	-	-	-	
6–8 members	0.001	1.000	0.326	0.528	1.896	1.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
≥9 members	0.088	1.092	0.316	0.587	2.031	0.781	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Monthly income													
Less than №18,000	Ref						-	-	-	-	-	-	
N18,000-N30,000	-0.174	0.840	0.212	0.555	1.273	0.411	-	-	-	-	-	-	
₩31,000-₩50,000	0.012	1.012	0.233	0.641	1.596	0.960	-	-	-	-	-	-	
№51,000 and above	-0.368	0.692	0.303	0.382	1.254	0.225	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Head of household													
Father	Ref						-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mother	-0.069	0.933	0.370	0.452	1.928	0.852	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Relations	0.154	1.167	0.450	0.483	2.817	0.732	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Age group of father (years)													
35 to 44	-0.189	0.828	0.292	0.467	1.467	0.517	-	-	-	-	-	-	
≥45	Ref						-	-	-	-	-	-	
Education of father													
No education	-0.238	0.788	0.300	0.438	1.418	0.427	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Informal education	-0.469	0.625	0.263	0.374	1.047	0.074	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Primary education	-0.022	0.978	0.523	0.351	2.727	0.966	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Secondary education	-0.097	0.908	0.202	0.611	1.348	0.631	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Tertiary education	Ref						-	-	-	-	-	-	
Occupation of fathers													
Civil service	0.349	1.418	0.464	0.571	3.516	0.452	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Trading/business	0.539	1.714	0.457	0.700	4.196	0.238	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Farming	0.533	1.704	0.542	0.589	4.926	0.325	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Other occupations <sup>c</sup>	Ref						-	-	-	-	-	-	
Age group of mother (years)													
≤34	0.178	1.194	0.253	0.728	1.961	0.482	-	-	-	-	-	-	
35 to 44	0.025	1.025	0.194	0.701	1.498	0.899	-	-	-	-	-	-	
>45	Ref						-	-	-	-	-	-	

## Table 7. Cont.

		Simpl	e Logistic F	Regression			Multiple	Logistic R	egression	CI Upper p-Value Bound							
Variables				95%	6 CI			Adjusted Odds Ratio		95% CI							
	В	Crude Odds Ratio	SE	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	<i>p</i> -Value	В		SE	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	<i>p</i> -Value					
Education of mothers																	
No education	-0.356	0.701	0.295	0.393	1.250	0.228	-	-	-	-	-	-					
Informal education	-0.334	0.716	0.283	0.411	1.247	0.238	-	-	-	-	-	-					
Primary education	-0.137	0.872	0.343	0.445	1.708	0.689	-	-	-	-	-	-					
Secondary education	-0.340	0.712	0.262	0.426	1.190	0.195	-	-	-	-	-	-					
Tertiary education	Ref						-	-	-	-	-	-					
Occupation of mothers																	
Civil service	Ref						-	-	-	-	-	-					
Trading/business	0.476	1.610	0.254	0.978	2.650	0.061	-	-	-	-	-	-					
Farming	0.608	1.837	0.448	0.764	4.420	0.174	-	-	-	-	-	-					
Housewives	0.293	1.340	0.259	0.807	2.226	0.257	-	-	-	-	-	-					
Family type																	
Monogamy	0.466	1.594	0.334	0.828	3.067	0.163	-	-	-	-	-	-					
Polygamy	0.447	1.564	0.338	0.807	3.031	0.186	-	-	-	-	-	-					
Single parenting	Ref						-	-	-	-	-	-					
Food security																	
Very low food secured	Ref						Ref										
Low food secured	-0.481	0.618	0.218	0.403	0.947	0.027 *	-0.622	0.537	0.237	0.337	0.855	0.009 *					
Food secured	-0.405	0.667	0.329	0.350	1.270	0.218	-0.473	0.623	0.347	0.316	1.230	0.173					
Constant							0.655	1.925	0.215			0.002					

#### Table 7. Cont.

\* Significant at p < 0.05, SE = standard error, CI = confidence interval, Ref = reference category, B = unstandardized beta, Cox and Snell R square = 0.083, Nagelkerke R square = 0.111, classification percentage = 58.7%, receiver operating characteristics = 59.8%, model fitting p = 0.003, Hosmer and Lemeshow p = 0.236, Yerwa: Government Girls Secondary School Yerwa; GGC: Government Girls College, Maiduguri; GGSS: Government Girls Secondary School, Maiduguri; SGDSS: Shehu Garbai Day Secondary School; BDSS: Bulabulin Day Secondary School; ZDSS: Zajeri Day Secondary School; Other occupation c = Malami (Voluntary Quranic teacher).

#### 4. Discussion

Food security is essential in achieving good health among adolescent girls, neglecting the aspect of food security may have implications for achieving sustainable development goals: goal 1 (eradicating poverty), goal 2 (ending hunger and all forms of malnutrition; attaining food security; promoting sustainable agriculture; addressing the nutritional needs of adolescent girls, pregnant, and lactating mothers), goal 3 (guarantee healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages) [31,32]. Food security and nutritional status influences the growth and development of adolescents as it becomes woven in an intergenerational cycle of malnutrition. Food insecurity deters adolescents from attaining a normal nutritional status, therefore, it is important that adolescent girls become well-nourished at all stages of growth and development. The effects of malnutrition in women are borne throughout their lifecycle and through generations. Nutritional inadequacy through food insecurity during the period of adolescence can affect their present and future health and well-being, as it is intrinsically linked to the health and well-being of their offspring [33,34].

In this study, 69.9% of respondents reported running out of food and not having enough money to get more, 76% not able to eat a balanced meal because the family did not have enough money, 67.6% ate less because there was no money to buy food, 62.6% cut their meals because there was not enough money for food, 63.0% were hungry but did not eat as shown in Table 1. The result in this study was higher than the study conducted in Jordan [35,36]. This may be due to non-availability of sufficient food and financial resources as a result of the displacement of the populace caused by insecurity, which has limited crop production and food availability. More so, low awareness on coping strategies could be a contributing factor.

This study showed that more than half of respondents (73.5%) were in a very low food security level presented in Table 2, this was higher than research conducted in Canada [37], Dhaka city [7], Southeast, Nigeria [12,38], Ethiopia [39], Boston [40], Ethiopia [41–43], Australia [44], and the U.S. [45]. The differences in the outcome of the study may be due to the humanitarian crisis experienced by the region from 2009 to date that has led to the displacement of people from their homes and farmlands. Families in studies with lower percentages of very low food secured level might be receiving sufficient government aid and more so differences in demographic characteristics could contribute to the small number of very low food secured level.

Age of mother was statistically associated with food security as described in Table 3. This was similar to a study conducted in Iran [46], food insecurity increases with increase in age, as the age of the mother increases, the number of children also increases as well as the size of the household, these can affect the quality and quantity of food consumed by households thereby increasing their risk of becoming food insecured [46]. Occupation of mother was significantly associated with food security. This is in line with studies in Korea [47] and Ecuador [48], although food insecurity is not merely determined by financial poverty, occupation of the mother increases household income, contributes to the household purchasing power, helps in diversifying their food, and improves their socioeconomic status, thereby enabling them to become food secured [46,49]. The occupation of the mother is an important determinant of food insecurity especially in low-income families [50].

In this study, occupation of the mother was a predictor of food security, this concurs with the study conducted in the Southeast, Nigeria [12] and Ethiopia [49]. Mother's occupation was associated with food secured level compared to very low food secured level as mothers who earn a salary are better able to manage the household, thereby reducing the level of food insecurity among adolescent girls. Furthermore, this study hypothesizes that school, age of the father, age of the mother, and hygiene were significant predictors of food security among adolescent girls in Maiduguri Metropolitan Council, Borno State, as shown in Table 4.

A majority of respondents (49.3%) in Table 5 used a borehole as their main source of drinking water. The result obtained was higher than the result obtained from Oyo State, Nigeria [51], and lower than the result obtained from Dangila town, Ethiopia [52]. More than half of respondents (54.3%) used private latrine for defecating. This was lower than the study conducted in Tanzania [53]. A majority

of respondents in this study (89.7%) answered correctly on hand-washing practice. The result is in line with the study conducted in Oyo State, Nigeria [51], Minch town, Ethiopia [54], Angolela, Ethiopia [55], Dangila town, Ethiopia [52], Southern Ethiopia [56], and higher than the result obtained from Ethiopia [52] and Klang valley, Malaysia [57]. These may be due to awareness on the need for good hygiene practice to mitigate bacterial infection as a result of the influx of people from the local government areas displaced by humanitarian crisis. In this study, about (6.9%) boiled their water before drinking. The findings from Ibadan were higher [51]. A majority of respondents (50.5%) used a clean cloth to strain their drinking water to make it safe at home. This was lower than the result obtained. This was higher than the outcome from Ibadan [51]. Allowing water to settle down and the use of clean cloth to strain their drinking water were the common ways of treating water, with a lower rate of boiling water. This could be associated with low awareness on the effective ways of treating water before drinking.

Poor hygiene was reported by (46.6%) of respondents. This was higher than results obtained from Ethiopia [54] and India [58]. Poor hygiene practice in this study may be due to inadequate awareness about good sources of drinking water, proper disposal of waste, effective storage, and water treatment.

The study in Table 6 hypothesizes that school was significantly associated with hygiene practice, while school and food security level were significant predictors of hygiene among adolescent girls, as presented in Table 7.

#### Limitation

The study was a self-report of food security and hygiene statements that might lead to recall bias.

#### 5. Conclusions

Drawing conclusions from this study, there is need for creating awareness on food security to prevent adolescent girls from the negative impact of growth, development, and undernutrition. There is also need for further research on food security as a protective factor in the outcome of adolescent health. The findings of this study recommend food security and hygiene intervention targeting adolescents to address food security- and hygiene-related problems in Borno State. Further studies on predictors and consequences of food security programmes to promote availability, accessibility, and consumption of diverse food to reduce the multitude of consequences of undernutrition. This study provides a significant contribution to the food security status and hygiene practice among adolescent girls in Maiduguri Metropolitan Council, Borno State, Nigeria.

The outcome of this study reveals a high prevalence of very low food security, with almost half of the girls having poor hygiene practice. School, age of the father and mother, occupation of mother, and hygiene were found to be significant predictors of food security, while school and food security were found to be significant predictors of hygiene among adolescent girls. There is need for further research to evaluate the prevalence of food security among adolescent girls in the remaining government schools, private schools, and also those out of school within the state capital to be able to generate enough evidence for policymakers. There should be a health education intervention study that is deeper and more practical in addressing the factors hindering adolescent girls from good hygiene practice. Schools should provide an access point to hand washing material to be monitored regularly.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, R.C.S. and S.I.; methodology, R.C.S. and S.I.; software, R.C.S. and P.Y.L.; validation, R.C.S., S.I., P.Y.L., N.A. and I.A.N.; formal analysis, R.C.S., P.Y.L. and S.I.; investigation, R.C.S.; resources, R.C.S.; data curation, R.C.S.; writing—original draft preparation, R.C.S.; writing—review and editing, R.C.S., S.I., P.Y.L., N.A. and I.A.N.; visualization, R.C.S., S.I., P.Y.L., N.A. and I.A.N.; supervision, S.I., P.Y.L., N.A. and I.A.N.; project administration, S.I. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: The authors wish to appreciate Hussaini Garba Dibal, (Department of Physical and Health Education, University of Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria) and Elizabeth Tanko (Department of Nutrition, College of Nursing and Midwifery, Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria) who assisted in validating the study instruments. The authors want to acknowledge Joseph Bangnikon (Food Security and Livelihood Advisor, International Medical Corps, Washington DC) and Majinga Samuel Amos (Federal Research Institute, Nigeria) for their technical input. The authors also want to appreciate the management of the six Secondary Schools (Government Girls College Maiduguri, Government Girls Secondary School Maiduguri, Government Girls Secondary School, and Bulabulin Day Secondary School), their enumerators and the respondents who participated in the study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- 1. UNICEF. The State of the world's Children 2011: Adolescence An Age of Opportunity; UNICEF: New York, NY, USA, 2011; ISBN 978-92-806-4555-2.
- 2. FAO; IFAD; UNICEF; WFP; WHO. Food Security and Nutrition in the World the State of Building Climate Resilience for Food Security and Nutrition; FAO: Rome, Italy; IFAD: Rome, Italy; UNICEF: New York, NY, USA; WFP: Rome, Italy; WHO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2018; ISBN 9789251305713.
- Belachew, T.; Hadley, C.; Lindstrom, D.; Getachew, Y.; Duchateau, L.; Kolsteren, P. Food insecurity and age at menarche among adolescent girls in Jimma Zone Southwest Ethiopia: A longitudinal study. *Reprod. Biol. Endocrinol.* 2011, *9*, 1–9. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. FAO; IFAD; UNICEF. The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2019. Safeguarding against Economic Slowdowns and Downturns, Rome, FAO; FAO: Rome, Italy; IFAD: Rome, Italy; UNICEF: New York, NY, USA, 2019; ISBN 9789251315705.
- 5. FAO; IFAD; UNICEF. *The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2017. Building Resilience for Peace and Food Security. Rome, FAO*; FAO: Rome, Italy; IFAD: Rome, Italy; UNICEF: New York, NY, USA, 2017.
- 6. WFP. Global Report on Food Crisis 2018, Food Security Information Network; WFP: Rome, Italy, 2018.
- 7. Alam, S.S.; Rahman, N.; Lima, L.S.; Haque, M.; Islam, K. Food security status of adolescent girls in selected urban slum. *MOJ Public Heal.* **2018**, *7*, 247–250. [CrossRef]
- 8. International Food Policy Research Institute Food Security/IFPRI 2014; IFPRI: Washington, DC, USA, 2014.
- 9. Gobal Network Against Food Crisis. In *Global Report on Food Crises;* FAO: Rome, Italy, 2020.
- 10. Kirkpatrick, S.I.; Tarasuk, V. Food Insecurity Is Associated with Nutrient Inadequacies among Canadian Adults and Adolescents. *J. Nutr.* **2008**, *138*, 604–612. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 11. Jacob, I. Food Insecurity in Nigeria: Way Forward. An Int. Multidiscip. J. Ethiop. 2013, 7, 26–35.
- 12. Ukegbu, P.; Nwofia, B.; Ndudiri, U.; Uwakwe, N. Food Insecurity and Associated Factors Among University Students. *Food Nutr. Bull.* **2019**, *40*, 271–281. [CrossRef]
- 13. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. *The State of Food Insecurity in the World* 2000; FAO: Rome, Italy, 2000; ISBN 9251044791.
- 14. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. *The State of Food Insecurity in the World;* FAO: Rome, Italy, 2004.
- 15. World Health Organization. *Global Strategy for Infant and Young Child Feeding.*; WHO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2003; pp. 1–30.
- 16. Moffitt, R.A.; Ribar, D.C. Child age and gender differences in food security in a low-income U.S. inner-city population. *Eur. Econ. Rev.* **2018**, *109*, 1–19. [CrossRef]
- 17. Fatmaningrum, D.; Roshita, A.; Februhartanty, J. Coping strategies for food insecurity among adolescent girls during the lean season in East Nusa Tenggara, Indonesia: A qualitative study. *Br. J. Nutr.* **2016**, *116*, 42–48. [CrossRef]
- 18. Kersten, H.B.; Bennett, D. A Multidisciplinary Team Experience with Food Insecurity & Failure to Thrive. *J. Appl. Res. Child.* **2012**, *3*, 1–22.
- 19. Hartline-Grafton, H. and Dean, O. The Impact of Poverty, Food Insecurity, and Poor Nutrition on Health and Well-Being. Washington, DC. *Food Res. Action Cent.* **2017**, *7*, 1–14.
- 20. Gundersen, C.; Ziliak, J.P. Food insecurity and health outcomes. Health Affairs 2015, 34, 1830–1839. [CrossRef]

- Cordeiro, L.S.; Wilde, P.E.; Semu, H.; Levinson, F.J. Household Food Security Is Inversely Associated with Undernutrition among Adolescents from Kilosa, Tanzania. J. Nutr. 2012, 142, 1741–1747. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Sharma, A.K.; Chhabra, P.; Gupta, P.; Aggarwal, Q.P.; Lyngdoh, T. Pregnancy In Adolescents: A Community Based Study. *Indian J. Prev. Soc. Med Vol.* **2003**, *34*, 1–9.
- 23. World Health Organization (WHO) and United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). *Progress on Drinking Water, Sanitation and Hygiene*; WHO: Geneva, Switzerland; UNICEF: New York, NY, USA, 2017.
- Chattopadhyay, A.; Sethi, V.; Nagargoje, V.P.; Saraswat, A.; Surani, N.; Agarwal, N.; Bhatia, V.; Ruikar, M.; Bhattacharjee, S.; Parhi, R.N.; et al. WASH practices and its association with nutritional status of adolescent girls in poverty pockets of eastern India. *BMC Womens. Health* 2019, 19, 1–13. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Gottfried, M.A. Evaluating the Relationship Between Student Attendance and Achievement in Urban Elementary and Middle Schools: An Instrumental Variables Approach. *Am. Educ. Res. J.* **2010**, 47, 434–465. [CrossRef]
- Shapu, R.C.; Ismail, S.; Ahmad, N.; Ying, L.P.; Abubakar, I. Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice of Adolescent Girls Towards Reducing Malnutrition in Maiduguri Metropolitan Council, Borno State, Nigeria: Cross-Sectional Study. *Nutrients* 2020, 12, 1–21.
- 27. WFP-United Nations World Food Programme Emergency Food Security. In *Assessment in Three North East of Nigeria Nigeria Data Collected in February*, 2017 Emergency Food Security Assessment in Three North East States; WFP: Rome, Italy, 2017; pp. 1–38.
- 28. Susan Paul, N.S.; Mathew, P.; Johns, F.; Abraham, J. The feasibility of using remote data collection tools in field surveys. *Int. J. Community Med. Public Heal.* **2018**, *5*, 81. [CrossRef]
- 29. Carol, L.; Connell, M.N.; Kristi, L.; Lofton, K.Y. Self-Administered Food Security Survey Module for Children Ages 12 Years and Older. *J. Nutr.* **2006**, *12*, 134.
- 30. Shtasel-Gottlieb, Z.; Palakshappa, D.; Yang, F.; Goodman, E. The Relationship Between Developmental Assets and Food Security In Adolescents From A Low-Income Community. *J. Adolesc. Heal.* **2016**, *56*, 215–222. [CrossRef]
- 31. United nation. *Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development;* United nation: San Francisco, CA, USA, 2016.
- 32. United Nations Research Institute for Social Development (UNRISD). *Global Trends: Challenges and Opportunities in the Implementation of the Sustainable Development Goals;* Global Trends: Geneva, Switzerland, 2017.
- 33. Das, J.K.; Lassi, S.; Hoodbhoy, Z.; Salam, A. Nutrition for the Next Generation: Older Children and Adolescents. *Ann. Nutr. Metab.* **2018**, *72*, 56–64. [CrossRef]
- 34. UNICEF. Food Systems for Children and Adolescents; UNICEF: New York, NY, USA, 2018.
- Bawadi, H.A.; Tayyem, R.F.; Dwairy, A.N.; Al-akour, N. Prevalence of Food Insecurity among Women in Northern Jordan Prevalence of Food Insecurity among Women in Northern Jordan. *J. Heal. Popul. Nutr.* 2012, *30*, 49–55.
- 36. Niclasen, B.; Petzold, M.; Schnohr, C.W. Adverse health effects of experiencing food insecurity among Greenlandic school children. *Int. J. Circumpolar Health* **2013**, 72, 20849. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Lane, G.; Nisbet, C.; Vatanparast, H. Food insecurity and nutritional risk among canadian newcomer children in Saskatchewan. *Nutrients* **2019**, *11*, 1744. [CrossRef]
- Charles, U.; Josephine, O.; Ngozi, O.; Chidimma, O.; Charles, I. Factors that Enable Food Insecurity among Orphan and Vulnerable Households in South-East Nigeria. *J. Food Secur.* 2017, *5*, 223–231.
- Tariku, A.; Gonete, K.A.; Bikes, G.A.; Alemu, K. Household food insecurity predisposes to undiversified diet in northwest Ethiopia: Finding from the baseline survey of nutrition project, 2016. *BMC Res. Notes* 2019, 12, 1–7. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. Baer, T.E.; Scherer, E.A.; Fleegler, E.W.; Hassan, A. Food Insecurity and the Burden of Health-Related Social Problems in an Urban Youth Population. *J. Adolesc. Heal.* **2015**, *57*, 601–607. [CrossRef]
- 41. Jebena, M.G.; Lindstrom, D.; Lachat, C.; Belachew, T.; Kolsteren, P. The effect of food insecurity on health status of adolescents in Ethiopia: Longitudinal study. *BMC Public Health* **2017**, *17*, 1–12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Belachew, T.; Lindstrom, D.; Gebremariam, A.; Jira, C.; Hattori, M.K.; Lachat, C.; Huybregts, L.; Kolsteren, P. Predictors of chronic food insecurity among adolescents in Southwest Ethiopia: A longitudinal study. *BMC Public Health* **2012**, *12*, 604. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Belachew, T.; Hadley, C.; Lindstrom, D.; Gebremariam, A.; Michael, K.W.; Getachew, Y.; Lachat, C.; Kolsteren, P. Gender Differences in Food Insecurity and Morbidity Among Adolescents in Southwest Ethiopia. *Pediatrics* 2011, 127, e398–e405. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Whatnall, M.C.; Hutchesson, M.J.; Patterson, A.J. Predictors of food insecurity among Australian university students: A cross-sectional study. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17*, 60. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Nikolaus, C.J.; Schierer, M.; Ellison, B.; Gundersen, C. Grit is Associated with Food Security among US Parents and Adolescents. *Am. J. Heal. Behav.* **2019**, *43*, 207–218. [CrossRef]
- Mortazavi, Z.; Dorosty, A.R.; Eshraghian, M.R.; Ghaffari, M.; Ansari-Moghaddam, A.; Mohammadi, M. Household Food Insecurity in Southeastern Iran: Severity and Related Factors. *Int. J. Food Sci.* 2017, 2017, 1–8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nakitto, M.; Asano, K.; Choi, I.; Yoon, J. Dietary intakes of adolescents from food insecure households: Analysis of data from the 6th(2013–2015) Korea national health and nutrition examination survey. *Nutr. Res. Pract.* 2017, *11*, 507–516. [CrossRef]
- Weigel, M.M.; Armijos, R.X.; Racines, M.; Cevallos, W. Food insecurity is associated with undernutrition but not overnutrition in ecuadorian women from low-income urban neighborhoods. *J. Environ. Public Health* 2016, 2016, 1–15. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Motbainor, A.; Worku, A.; Kumie, A. Level and determinants of food insecurity in East and West Gojjam zones of Amhara Region, Ethiopia: A community based comparative cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health* 2016, 16, 503. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mohamadpour, M.; Sharif, Z.M.; Keysami, M.A. Food Insecurity, Health and Nutritional Status among Sample of Palm-plantation Households in Malaysia. *Ournal Heal. Popul. Nutr.* 2012, 30, 291–302. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 51. Amadi, A.N.; Azuamah, Y. Assessment of Water Sanitation and Hygiene Practices in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Int. J. Res.* **2018**, *2*, 94–100.
- 52. Demilew, Y.M.; Emiru, A.A. Under nutrition and associated factors among school adolescents in Dangila Town, Northwest Ethiopia: A cross sectional study. *Afr. Health Sci.* **2018**, *18*, 756–766. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ismail, A.; Darling, A.M.; Mosha, D.; Fawzi, W.; Sudfeld, C.; Sando, M.M.; Noor, R.A.; Charles, J.; Vuai, S. Prevalence and risk factors associated with malnutrition among adolescents in rural Tanzania. *Trop. Med. Int. Health* 2020, 25, 89–100. [CrossRef]
- Besha, B.; Guche, H.; Chare, D.; Amare, A.; Kassahun, A.; Kebede, E.; Yesuf, A. Assessment of Hand Washing Practice and it's Associated Factors among First Cycle Primary School Children in Arba Minch Town, Ethiopia, 2015. *Epidemiology* 2016, *6*, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- 55. Vivas, A.; Gelaye, B.; Aboset, N.; Kumie, A.; Berhane, Y.; Williams, M.A. Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices (KAP) of Hygiene among School Children in Angolela, Ethiopia. *J. Prev. Med. Hyg.* **2010**, *51*, 73–79.
- 56. Wolde, T.; Belachew, T. Predictors of thinness and improved dietary diversity among School Aged Children in Southern Ethiopia. *Nutr. Diet. Suppl.* **2019**, *11*, 49–58. [CrossRef]
- 57. Saeed, S.; Ghebrehiwot, L.; Juni, M.H. Factors Associated With Hand Washing Practices Among Adolescents Yemeni Students in Klang Valley, Malaysia. *Int. J. Public Heal. Clin. Sci.* **2018**, *5*, 164–177.
- Sarkar, M. Personal hygiene among primary school children living in a slum of Kolkata, India. J. Prev. Med. Hyg. 2013, 54, 153–158. [PubMed]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).