ALCOHOL RESEARCH Current Reviews

Alcohol Res. 2022;42(1):09 | https://doi.org/10.35946/arcr.v42.1.09

Published: 19 May 2022

Alcohol-Endocannabinoid Interactions: Implications for Addiction-Related Behavioral Processes

Antonia Serrano^{1,2} and Luis A. Natividad³

¹Instituto de Investigación Biomédica de Málaga (IBIMA), Málaga, Spain ²Unidad de Gestión Clínica de Salud Mental, Hospital Regional Universitario de Málaga, Málaga, Spain ³College of Pharmacy, Division of Pharmacology and Toxicology, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas, USA

Correspondence

Address correspondence regarding this article to:

Antonia Serrano, Laboratorio Medicina Regenerativa, Hospital Regional Universitario de Málaga, Avda. Carlos Haya, 82, 29010 Málaga, Spain. Email: <u>antonia.serrano@ibima.eu</u>

Luis A. Natividad, Assistant Professor, College of Pharmacy, Division of Pharmacology and Toxicology, University of Texas at Austin, 107 W. Dean Keeton Street, C0875, Biomedical Engineering Building (BME) 6.114A, Austin, TX 78712, USA. Email: <u>luis.natividad@austin.utexas.edu</u>

Acknowledgments

This article was supported by Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII) and European Regional Development Funds-European Union (ERDF-EU), Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (grants PI17/02026 and PI20/01399); Ministerio de Sanidad and Delegacion del Gobierno para el Plan Nacional sobre Drogas (grant PND2017/043); and a "Miguel Servet" research contract (CPII19/00031) funded by ISCIII and ERDF-EU.

This work was supported by National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism grant K99/R00AA025393.

The authors are ever grateful for the guiding hand of our dearly departed mentor and friend, Larry Parsons. His legacy in the endocannabinoid field continues to move us forward in our careers with much awe and inspiration for his achievements. Dr. Natividad would also like to dedicate this work in loving memory of his father, Pedro Natividad for his unconditional love and support.

Disclosures

The authors declare no competing financial or nonfinancial interests.

Publisher's Note

Opinions expressed in contributed articles do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, National Institutes of Health. The U.S. government does not endorse or favor any specific commercial product or commodity. Any trade or proprietary names appearing in *Alcohol Research: Current Reviews* are used only because they are considered essential in the context of the studies reported herein. **PURPOSE:** The endogenous cannabinoid system is involved in several physiological functions in the central nervous system including the modulation of brain reward circuitry and emotional homeostasis. Substantial evidence implicates brain endocannabinoid signaling in the processing of drug-induced reward states, wherein repeated exposure besets pathological changes in activity that contribute to the progression of alcohol use disorder. This review provides a narrative summary of recent studies exploring the interaction between alcohol exposure and changes in endocannabinoid signaling that may underlie the development of alcohol use disorder.

SEARCH METHODS: The authors began with an initial search for review articles to assist in the identification of relevant literature. This was followed by separate searches for primary literature and recent studies. The search terms "alcohol/ethanol" and "endocannabinoids" were applied, along with terms that covered specific objectives in reinforcement and addiction behavior. The content was further refined by excluding articles containing a broad focus on psychiatric disorders, polysubstance abuse, non-cannabinoid signaling lipids, and other criteria.

SEARCH RESULTS: The initial search yielded a total of 49 review articles on PubMed, 13 on ScienceDirect, and 17 on Wiley Online, from which the authors garnered information from a total of 16 reviews. In addition to independent searches, this review provides information from a collection of 212 publications, including reviews and original research articles.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS: The review discusses the effects of alcohol consumption on brain endocannabinoid signaling, including alcohol-based perturbations in endocannabinoid-mediated synaptic transmission, the modulation of alcohol-related behaviors by manipulating signaling elements of the endocannabinoid system, and the influence of dysregulated endocannabinoid function in promoting withdrawal-induced anxiety-like behavior. Notable emphasis is placed on studies exploring the possible therapeutic relevance of bolstering brain endocannabinoid tone at different stages of alcohol use disorder.

KEYWORDS: alcohol; dependence; cannabinoids; anxiety; reinforcing; anandamide; 2-arachidonoylglycerol; effects on the brain

Endogenous cannabinoids, or endocannabinoids (eCBs), are bioactive lipid molecules that modulate signaling activity of several physiological processes involved in pain, appetite, energy balance, stress/anxiety, immune signaling, and learning and memory. Although understanding of the eCB system has grown in complexity since its discovery by Raphael Mechoulam, it is now widely known that eCB systems play an important role in the regulation of brain reward and emotional homeostasis. Given the relevance of these physiological responses in motivated behavior, the hypothesis of the involvement of eCB systems in addiction has been widely investigated.¹⁻³ Generally, these findings support a role for eCB signaling in mediating the positive reinforcing effects of substances with abuse potential, while repeated drug exposure elicits long-lasting changes aligned with the emergence of negative affective states during abstinence. While these changes ostensibly apply to more than one type of substance with abuse potential, the field has come to understand the strong relation between negative affective states and increased alcohol consumption that facilitates the development of alcohol use disorder (AUD).⁴ Extensive efforts have been made to study the role of eCB systems in alcoholinduced pathologies.^{5,6} Highlighted here is recent work exploring the basis of alcohol-eCB interactions in the development of AUD. A brief overview of the molecular constituents involved in eCB synthesis and degradation is followed by a foray of the literature exploring the effect of alcohol consumption on brain eCB signaling. Emphasis is placed on cutting-edge research utilizing genetic and pharmacological approaches to discretely manipulate elements of eCB signaling. This review discusses these findings in terms of the purported roles of the eCBs in synaptic plasticity, stress, and anxiety, and further elucidates the therapeutic relevance of bolstering brain eCB tone in the possible treatment of AUD.

Search Methods and Results

Searches of the existing literature were primarily conducted on PubMed/PubMed Central. The authors first conducted a broad search of review articles to assist in the identification of primary literature. The terms "alcohol" or "ethanol" and "endocannabinoid" were searched, restricted to the "title/abstract" setting under the "Advanced Search Builder" function. The authors then activated search filters for "Reviews" published within 10 years of June 2021. This search strategy led to the identification of 49 review articles. Similar search strategies in ScienceDirect and Wiley Online Library generated fewer citations (13 and 17, respectively), the majority of which were redundant. To narrow the search more specifically to the goals of the current work, the authors excluded reviews with a broad focus on psychiatric disorders or polysubstance use, fetal drug exposure, non-cannabinoid signaling lipids, phytocannabinoids and other metabolites, as well as eCB/ cannabinoid responses outside of the central nervous system. Thus, the authors conducted a thorough reading of 16 reviews.

Separate searches were then conducted to identify primary literature and recent studies using the terms "alcohol/ethanol" and "endocannabinoid" along with general terms covered in each section of the review (e.g., "reward," "consumption," "withdrawal/ abstinence," "dependence," "anxiety," "FAAH inhibitors," "MAGL inhibitors"). In some cases, this article refers to reviews and primary literature from major contributors in the field or from the respective laboratories of the authors of this review. All searches were restricted to the English language and generally reflect published work from 1990 to the present, with a few exceptions for foundational work on lipid-alcohol interactions. Most of the studies presented here concern data collected in rodent models. For information on clinical trial testing, the <u>clinicaltrials.gov</u> website was used. This review cites information from a total of 212 publications.

The eCB System

The eCB system comprises two G-protein coupled receptors, their endogenous lipid ligands, and the enzymes that mediate synthesis and clearance of these molecules. Currently, there are two major types of cannabinoid receptors that are well characterized and cloned: cannabinoid receptor type 1 (CB₁) and cannabinoid receptor type 2 (CB₂). CB₁ receptors are mainly found on presynaptic terminals of neurons in the brain,^{7,8} whereas CB₂ receptors are mostly expressed in immune cells of peripheral tissues,⁹ but are also found in the central nervous system.¹⁰⁻¹³ Both receptors are coupled to G_{1/0} protein second messenger systems regulating the amount of cyclic adenosine monophosphate levels in the cell and, by extension, the concentration of intracellular calcium and potassium ions that facilitate synaptic transmission. The relative importance of CB₁ versus CB₂ signaling is still under investigation; however, CB, receptors are abundantly found in mesocorticolimbic areas that are important for reward and motivation.2,14

Currently, the best-studied endogenous ligands of cannabinoid receptors are two arachidonic acid derivatives, *N*-arachidonylethanolamine (anandamide or AEA) and 2-arachidonylglycerol (2-AG). Several other endogenous compounds possess cannabinoid-like properties, although much regarding their pharmacological activity, synthesis, and metabolism remains to be characterized.¹⁵AEA and 2-AG activate cannabinoid receptors with a high degree of specificity (see Figure 1A). AEA is a partial agonist of both cannabinoid receptors, with slightly higher affinity for CB₁ than CB₂ receptors. On the other hand, 2-AG is a full agonist of both receptors, exhibiting low to moderate affinity for each subtype, and with greater overall potency and efficacy than AEA.^{15,16} AEA and 2-AG demonstrate some promiscuity to other receptor systems,



Figure 1. Endocannabinoid signaling and biosynthetic/degradation mechanisms. A: Schematic representation of the synaptic organization of the main components of the endocannabinoid system, including established routes of AEA and 2-AG metabolism. B: Metabolic pathways of synthesis and degradation of AEA and 2-AG. See text for details. *Note*: 2-AG, 2-arachidonylglycerol; 2-arachidonoyl-LPA, 2-arachidonoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphate; AA, arachidonic acid; ABHD6/12, alpha/beta-hydrolase domains 6 and 12; AEA, anandamide; CB₁, cannabinoid receptor type 1; CB₂, cannabinoid receptor type 2; COX-2, cyclo-oxygenase 2; DAG, diacylglycerol; DAGLα/β, diacylglycerol lipase-alpha/beta; EMT, endocannabinoid membrane transporter; FAAH, fatty acid amide hydrolase; GPR55, G-protein coupled receptor 55; HETE-EAs, hydroxyeicosatetraenoyl-ethanolamides; HETE-Gs, hydroxyeicosatetraenoyl-glycerols; LOXs, lipoxygenases; LPI, lysophosphatidylinositol; lyso-NAPE, lyso-*N*-arachidonoyl-phosphatidylethanolamine; lyso-PLC, lyso-phospholipase C; lyso-PLD, lyso-phospholipase D; MAGL, monoacylglycerol lipase; NAPE, *N*-arachidonoyl-phosphatidylethanolamine; NAPE-PLD, *N*-arachidonoyl-phosphatidylethanolamine-specific phospholipase D; p-AEA, phospho-anandamide; PG-EAs, prostaglandin-ethanolamides; PG-Gs, prostaglandin-glycerols; PLA, phospholipase A; PLC, phospholipase C; PPARs, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor; sPLA₂, soluble phospholipase A₂; TRPV1, transient receptor potential vanilloid type-1.

including peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) and the orphan G-protein coupled receptors 55 (GPR55) and 119 (GPR119).¹⁷⁻²⁰ AEA is also known for exerting potent agonist effects on transient receptor potential vanilloid type 1.²¹

Unlike classical neurotransmitters, eCBs are not stored in intracellular compartments but instead are produced "on demand" from membrane lipid precursors in the postsynaptic membrane (see Figure 1B). AEA is produced from the phospholipid precursor N-arachidonoyl-phosphatidylethanolamine (NAPE) by a NAPEspecific phospholipase D (NAPE-PLD).²² Interestingly, knockdown of NAPE-PLD only moderately depletes AEA signaling pools, suggesting that AEA contains several redundancies in its biosynthesis.²³ On the other hand, 2-AG is tightly coupled to the production of diacylglycerol from the hydrolysis of an inositol phospholipid by a phospholipase C, which is rapidly converted to 2-AG by two sn-1-specific diacylglycerol lipase (DAGL) isoforms (DAGL-alpha and DAGL-beta).^{24,25} Emerging research suggests that 2-AG, although widely regarded as the primary synthase, also may be influenced by alternative biosynthetic pathways. One pathway involves the hydrolysis of phosphatidylinositol by a phospholipase A to form a lysophosphatidylinositol, which is

hydrolyzed to 2-AG by phospholipase C.²⁶ Another alternative pathway is by the dephosphorylation of arachidonic acidcontaining lysophosphatidic acid by a phosphatase.²⁷

Once released into the synaptic cleft, AEA and 2-AG exert their effects through the retrograde activation of CB, receptors located on presynaptic terminals, followed by rapid termination of signaling via multiple degrading enzymes. In this regard, AEA is primarily degraded by fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) into free arachidonic acid and ethanolamine,²⁸ whereas monoacylglycerol lipase (MAGL) is the main enzyme involved in the hydrolysis of 2-AG to produce arachidonic acid and glycerol.²⁹ Interestingly, these clearance enzymes are located in different cellular compartments. FAAH is mainly localized to the postsynaptic cell, suggesting a key role for this enzyme in monitoring interstitial AEA concentrations. By contrast, MAGL is mainly found in the presynaptic terminal and contributes to the inactivation of 2-AG near its site of action.³⁰ This configuration would suggest that AEA and 2-AG assume different roles in eCB signaling despite the signaling redundancy to cannabinoid receptors. The enzymatic clearance of 2-AG is mostly driven by MAGL,³¹ although other enzymes such as alpha/beta-hydrolase domains 6 and 12

(ABHD6/12)^{31,32} and FAAH³³ have been shown to metabolize 2-AG under certain conditions. AEA and 2-AG also may be oxidized by cyclo-oxygenase 2 and several lipoxygenases^{34,35} contributing to the pool of liberated arachidonic acid moieties that can be targeted for eicosanoid production. Overall, these metabolic enzymes play a key role in the production and maintenance of AEA and 2-AG signaling, which portend downstream effects on the regulation of the chemical synapse.

Neurochemical Role of eCBs in Synaptic Plasticity

The role of the eCB system in synaptic plasticity largely stems from the findings that stimulation of cannabinoid receptors modulates the release of neurotransmitters at excitatory and inhibitory synapses. Further research has characterized the importance of eCB signaling in providing inhibitory control of fastacting transmitters such as glutamate and gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA), as well as in modulating activity of other small molecules, such as mesolimbic dopamine.³⁶ More generally, eCBs contribute to the shaping of synaptic activity in mesocorticolimbic areas of the brain, which—depending on the strength, frequency, and duration of transmission—can have both immediate and longlasting consequences on synaptic function.³⁷⁻⁴²

Triggering eCB-CB, receptor signaling results in short-term adjustments in neurotransmitter release that modulate activity of the postsynaptic cell via depolarization-induced suppression of excitation or inhibition.43-45 These transient forms of plasticity typically last a minute or less and are more strongly associated with 2-AG than AEA signaling, although both lipids have been implicated in such responses.⁴² Activation of eCB-CB₁ receptor signaling can also facilitate more persistent forms of synaptic plasticity, such as long-term depression (LTD). These events vary with the nature of synaptic stimulation but generally persist anywhere from hours to weeks.⁴² The eCB system has long been observed to mediate plasticity in brain regions involved in the etiology of addiction, including the ventral tegmental area, nucleus accumbens (NAc), prefrontal cortex (PFC), hippocampus, amygdala, and dorsal striatum.^{1,42,46} In this regard, several conceptualizations of addiction theory propose that drug and alcohol exposure result in the disruption of plasticity mechanisms involved in learning and memory, which may contribute further to maladaptations in brain reward circuitry.47-49

Acute and chronic alcohol exposure disrupts eCB-mediated synaptic plasticity. In this regard, low- to moderate-frequency stimulation of the dorsolateral striatum results in the elevation of eCB levels, which is thought to shift the balance of excitatory and inhibitory regulation of striatal neurons toward long-lasting disinhibition of synaptic output.⁵⁰ Interestingly, acute alcohol exposure impairs this eCB-mediated process and further reduces

LTD of medium spiny neurons at inhibitory relative to excitatory synapses.^{51,52} The disruption in eCB function is significant given that neural circuits in the dorsal striatum mediate behavioral processes related to reward-guided learning and habitual responding.⁵³ In this regard, mice undergoing chronic intermittent alcohol vapor exposure exhibit impaired CB,-dependent LTD in the dorsolateral striatum that corresponded with increases in dorsolateral striatal activation and enhanced stimulus-reward learning.⁵⁴ More recently, intermittent alcohol exposure during adolescence conferred long-lasting impairments in CB₁dependent LTD in the hippocampus that were associated with disruptions in recognition memory.55 These findings suggest that alcohol dysregulates eCB signaling in a manner that fundamentally changes the regulation of the chemical synapse. Impairments in eCB-mediated plasticity likely reflect the loss of an important source of inhibitory constraint of neuronal synapses, leading to pathology in reward-based learning and the modulation of rewarded behavior that influences the progression of AUD.

Alcohol-Induced Alterations in Brain eCB Levels

One of the more compelling cases for alcohol-eCB interactions regards a series of neuroimaging studies that used positron emission topography to examine CB, receptor binding in humans who smoke cannabis, and then separately in people with AUD.56-58 Chronic cannabis use produced a striking pattern of CB, receptor downregulation in several (but not all) corticolimbic regions. The results were not surprising given that the psychotropic effects of cannabis are largely mediated by CB, receptor stimulation. Interestingly, patients with AUD showed a similar pattern of dysregulation, though were noted to exhibit decreased binding in all brain regions that were analyzed.^{59,60} Moreover, the effects produced by chronic cannabis use returned to normal function after a protracted abstinence period, whereas the disruptions in patients with AUD persisted after 4 weeks of withdrawal from alcohol use. These findings suggest that CB, receptor downregulation is a common neuroadaptation to chronic substance use, although seemingly more extensive under alcohol exposure than with substances that directly interact with CB, receptors. This may suggest that alcohol has potent effects on the mechanisms of CB, receptor expression and function (e.g., signaling transduction, epigenetic changes). Alcohol is also a notable activator of neuroinflammation, which over the course of repeated use may temper the anti-inflammatory responses of exogenous/endogenous cannabinoid signaling.⁶¹ Moreover, it is possible that alcohol may play a role in altering endogenous mediators of cannabinoid signaling (e.g., eCBs), from which lapses in the recovery of these signaling ligands influence the long-lasting deficits in CB₁ receptor signaling.

Substantial literature indicates that brain eCB content is altered by substances with abuse potential. In this regard, alcohol alters AEA and 2-AG content in the brain, and chronic alcohol exposure generally leads to impairments in eCB signaling mechanisms. Early in vitro studies demonstrated that chronic alcohol exposure increases both AEA and 2-AG formation in human neuroblastoma cells and primary cultures of rodent cerebellar granule neurons.⁶²⁻⁶⁴ Subsequent studies have evaluated the effects of alcohol exposure on brain eCB levels and reported differential effects.⁶⁵ Currently, it is difficult to draw a firm consensus of these data given the plethora of responses induced by alcohol administration, which may include-in addition to sample preparation, brain-region specificity, and methodological differences-the differential mobilization of AEA and 2-AG. Highlighted below are some of these findings, summarized in Table 1.

Chronic alcohol exposure has been shown to increase AEA content in the limbic forebrain of rodents, whereas withdrawal decreased AEA in these brain regions.⁶⁶⁻⁶⁹ This increase in AEA is consistent with the reduction in FAAH activity following chronic alcohol exposure.⁶⁶ By contrast, protracted (but not acute) withdrawal increased AEA content in the rat hippocampus.⁷⁰ Short-term alcohol exposure also has been reported to decrease AEA content in several brain regions including the amygdala, hypothalamus, and caudate putamen.⁷¹ Regarding 2-AG, several studies describe both increases and decreases in striatal 2-AG content after chronic alcohol exposure.^{67,68,72} Moreover, acute and protracted withdrawal from chronic intermittent alcohol exposure was observed to increase 2-AG content in the rat hippocampus.⁷⁰ In the PFC, acute alcohol exposure was associated with decreases in 2-AG content,⁷¹ whereas voluntary consumption in genetically selected rats that were bred for high alcohol preference was shown to increase 2-AG in this region.⁶⁹ Drinking behavior in Sardinian alcohol-preferring (sP) rats also was associated with increases in striatal 2-AG content that were most evident during the acquisition and maintenance phases.72 These varied responses between studies are likely influenced by methodological differences in the procedure employed to quantify eCB tissue content,⁷³ as well as by other experimental factors including the selection of rodent model, rat strain, duration and amount of alcohol exposure, and timepoints of withdrawal assessment. Emerging research also suggests the possibility of sex differences in alcohol-eCB interactions that may be specific to ovarian hormones.^{69,74}

As opposed to bulk eCB tissue levels, some laboratories have utilized in vivo microdialysis approaches to estimate changes in eCB levels in flux.⁷³ These studies likewise have reported region-specific effects in alcohol administration, as well as the influence of several factors involved in the administration, dose, contingency, and prior history of alcohol exposure.^{75,76} Seminal work from Larry Parsons' laboratory demonstrated that operant alcohol self-administration increased interstitial levels of 2-AG in the NAc without altering dialysate levels in the medial PFC.^{77,78} Systemic administration of moderate doses of alcohol also increased 2-AG levels in a similar manner in alcohol-naïve rats, and this effect was potentiated in alcohol

Table 1. Summary of Alcohol-Induced Alterations in Brain eCB Levels

Type of Study (cell/species)	Alcohol Exposure	Effects	Brain Region
In vitro (human neuroblastoma cells)	Chronic alcohol	▲ AEA	N/A
In vitro (rodent cerebellar granule neurons)	Chronic alcohol	▲AEA ▲2-AG	N/A
Ex vivo tissue content (male Swiss Webster mice)	Chronic vapor inhalation	▲ AEA	Cortex
	Acute withdrawal	▼AEA	Cortex
Ex vivo tissue content (male Wistar rats)	Chronic liquid diet	▼AEA ▼2-AG	Midbrain
		▲ AEA	Limbic forebrain
	Acute withdrawal	▼AEA	Limbic forebrain
Ex vivo tissue content (male Sprague-Dawley rats)	Acute withdrawal	►AEA ▲2-AG	Llinnegerman
	Long-term withdrawal	▲AEA ▲2-AG	Hippocallipus
	Short-term alcohol exposure (liquid diet for 24h)	▼AEA	Hypothalamus Amygdala Caudate putamen
		▼2-AG	PFC

Table 1. Summary of Alcohol-Induced Alterations in Brain eCB Levels (Continued)

Type of Study (cell/species)	Alcohol Exposure	Effects	Brain Region
Ex vivo tissue content (female and male alcohol- preferring AA rats)	Long-term alcohol consumption in female:		
	Before drinking session	▲ AEA	PFC NAc CPu
		▲2-AG	CPu Amygdala Hippocampus
	After drinking session	▼AEA	PFC CPu Amygdala Hippocampus
		▲2-AG	PFC
	Long-term alcohol consumption in male:		DEC
	Before drinking session	►AEA ►2-AG	NAc CPu Amygdala Hippocampus
	After drinking session	▲ AEA	NAc CPu
Ex vivo tissue content (male sP rats)	Long-term voluntary alcohol consumption	▲2-AG	Striatum
Ex vivo tissue content (male and female Wistar rats)	Acute withdrawal male	▼AEA ▼2-AG	BLA vmPFC
	Acute withdrawal female	▼AEA	vmPFC
In vivo microdialysis (male Wistar rats)	Alcohol self-administration	▲2-AG ►AEA ►2-AG	NAc mPEC
In vivo microdialysis (male Wistar rats)	Acute alcohol administration in naïve rats (low doses)	▲2-AG ▼AEA	
	Acute alcohol administration in naïve rats (high doses)	▲ AEA	NAc
	Acute alcohol administration in alcohol-dependent rats	▲ 2-AG ► AEA	NAc
In vivo microdialysis (male Wistar rats)	Chronic alcohol exposure	▼2-AG ► AEA	CeA CeA / NAc

Note: ▲, increase; ▼, decrease; ►, no effect; 2-AG, 2-arachidonylglycerol; AA rats, Alko alcohol rats; AEA, anandamide; BLA, basolateral amygdala; CeA, nucleus of the central amygdala; CPu, caudate putamen; mPFC, medial prefrontal cortex; NAc, nucleus accumbens; PFC, prefrontal cortex; sP rats, Sardinian alcohol-preferring rats; vmPFC, ventromedial prefrontal cortex.

dependence.⁷⁶ More recently, the authors observed that alcohol dependence resulted in the reduction of baseline 2-AG levels in the central nucleus of the amygdala (CeA), conferring a blunting of alcohol's mobilizing responses in this region.⁷⁹ Regarding AEA, alcohol self-administration did not differentially alter interstitial levels of AEA across several brain regions.^{76,77,79} Interestingly, noncontingent alcohol administration reduced AEA in the NAc, whereas higher doses produced a milder increase in dialysate levels.^{75,76,80} Alcohol dependence also did not appear to drastically alter baseline AEA levels in the CeA.⁷⁹

Overall, it is clear that alcohol administration alters eCB responsivity, albeit in a manner that is dependent on several factors of exposure. What is less clear, however, is the manner in which alcohol may be mobilizing these responses, let alone with any given specificity to eCB signaling. Previous studies have shown that alcohol possesses cell membrane-disrupting properties that build tolerance over the course of repeated exposure. This resistance is conferred through the alteration of lipid membrane composition that includes changes in important glycerophospholipids such as phosphatidylinositol, cardiolipin, and several classes of amino glycerophospholipids (e.g., phosphatidylcholine, phosphatidylserine,

phosphatidylethanolamine).^{81,82} The changes in phospholipid content vary with the nature of alcohol-induced perturbation, demonstrating higher depletion effects under intermittent versus continuous exposure conditions.⁸³ Acute withdrawal also has membrane-disordering consequences in different cellular compartments that were previously acclimated to the presence of alcohol.⁸¹ Collectively, these findings suggest that alcohol exposure and withdrawal perturb the integrity of the cellular lipid bilayer, which may be important for determining the source of glycerophospholipid content available for eCB synthesis. In this regard, depletions in inositol phospholipid content would seemingly have profound implications in the ability to mobilize 2-AG synthesis relative to AEA systems that contain biosynthetic redundancies for recuperating losses.

The Influence of eCB Systems on Alcohol-Related Behaviors

Given the precedence for alcohol-eCB dysregulation, there are several avenues for which one might explore the role of eCB systems in addiction behavior. Although many studies point to the influence of CB₁ receptors, recent advancements have made it possible to discretely manipulate eCB signaling elements. Highlighted below are some of these investigations that underscore the involvement of eCB systems in alcohol-related behaviors. Table 2 provides a summary of the main findings for cannabinoid receptors.

CB₁ Receptors

The consensus of preclinical work demonstrates that activation of CB, receptors has a facilitatory effect on the motivation and consumption of alcohol. For example, systemic administration of the synthetic CB, receptor agonists WIN 55,212-2 and CP 55,940 both increased spontaneous drinking in sP rats and mice.⁸⁴⁻⁸⁷ These synthetic agonists also increased operant responding for alcohol in Alko alcohol rats and Indiana P rats, as well as in non-selected Wistar rats.88-90 The facilitatory effect on alcohol consumption likely involves the activation of mesolimbic CB, receptors, given that both systemic and intracranial infusions of WIN 55,212-2 into the posterior ventral tegmental area increased binge-like alcohol intake.91 Additional studies have shown that WIN 55,212-2 administration increased the magnitude of excessive drinking elicited by the alcohol deprivation effect.^{92,93} Conversely, the pharmacological blockade of CB, receptors by the CB, antagonist/inverse agonist SR141716A (rimonabant) decreased alcohol consumption in non-selected and alcohol-preferring rats and mice.^{86,94-98} This decrease was observed in both dependent and non-dependent rodent models^{98,99} and was further associated with reduced motivation for alcohol.^{97,100} SR141716A also reduced the magnitude of alcohol deprivation effect responses in alcoholpreferring rats^{72,90,101} and treatment with other selective CB, antagonists/inverse agonists recapitulated many of these same effects.¹⁰²⁻¹⁰⁵ Consistent with this, the genetic ablation of CB, receptors in mice attenuated alcohol preference and intake,^{86,106-108} diminished the influence of SR141716A pharmacology,¹⁰⁸ and reduced preference for environments previously paired with alcohol reward (e.g., conditioned place preference [CPP]).¹⁰⁹ This likely has some bearing with the modulation of mesolimbic dopamine given that alcohol's ability to increase NAc dopamine release was compromised in CB₁ receptor knockout mice.¹⁰⁶

Overall, these findings demonstrate that while activation of CB, receptors promotes alcohol consumption, the pharmacological blockade or genetic deletion of these receptors has the opposite effect.¹¹⁰ The results underscore the importance of CB, receptors in alcohol-related behaviors, although there is less clarity regarding the signaling substrates that mediate these responses. In this regard, the authors' recent work demonstrated that SR141716A infused directly into the NAc shell decreased alcohol self-administration and this tempering response was recapitulated with the exogenous administration of 2-AG, but not AEA into this region.¹¹¹ The findings suggest the possibility of 2-AG-CB, signaling being an important mediator in the reinforcing effects of alcohol, although the possibility of non-cannabinoid signaling pathways has not yet been ruled out. These findings have translational relevance in the clinic given that polymorphisms of the Cnr1 gene that encodes for CB₁ receptors were associated with symptoms of AUD.¹¹²

CB₂ Receptors

Although numerous findings corroborate the involvement of CB, receptors in alcohol-related pathology, the possible role of CB, receptors remains somewhat controversial. Brain CB, signaling is typically engaged under marked conditions of neuroinflammation and tissue trauma,¹¹³ and the extent to which drugs of abuse may elicit such phenotypes is currently under investigation. That being stated, sub-chronic treatment with the CB₂ receptor agonist JWH-015 was reported to increase chronic stress-induced alcohol consumption, whereas similar protocols with the CB₂ receptor antagonist AM630 prevented alcohol preference.¹¹⁴ The naturally available full-agonist of CB₂ receptors, beta-carvophyllene, had dissimilar effects and instead decreased preference and consumption as well as inhibited the expression of alcohol-induced CPP.¹¹⁵ Studies using the selective CB₂ agonist JWH-133 also reported contradictory findings, in some cases showing the attenuation of alcohol-induced CPP and operant self-administration, 116,117 and in others having no effect on these behaviors.^{118,119} The varied responses may be due to experimental factors such as the method and duration of alcohol exposure, the mouse strain utilized, or the dose of agonist administered prior to testing.

The blockade of CB₂ receptors has somewhat more consistent effects that align with increased reinforcement and motivation for alcohol. For example, repeated administration of the antagonist AM630 increased operant alcohol self-administration in mice,¹¹⁷ although others reported no effects on alcohol intake or alcohol-induced CPP.^{114,118} Behavioral phenotyping in CB₂ receptor knockout mice has shown that these animals exhibit increased alcohol preference and consumption, elicit more physical signs of alcohol dependence,¹²⁰ and express higher alcohol-induced CPP than wild-type controls.^{118,120} By contrast, knockout mice of a different strain did not exhibit significant differences in limited-access drinking,^{118,121} but interestingly showed an increase in alcohol intake under forced alcohol exposure and group-housing conditions. These data suggest the possibility that CB, receptors may tie into complex interactions of alcohol and stress that is facilitated by the social environment.¹²¹ Targeting the deletion of CB₂ receptors in dopamine neurons also reduced alcohol consumption and mitigated the expression of alcohol-induced CPP in DAT-Cnr2 conditional knockout mice.¹¹⁶ These findings may bear some translational relevance in the clinical field given that polymorphisms in the CB₂ receptor gene (Cnr2) were associated with AUD in Japanese populations.¹¹⁴

Inhibition of eCB Clearance

The modulation of cannabinoid receptors provides a strong basis for alcohol-eCB interactions; however, the recent development of novel pharmacological and genetic tools that prevent the clearance of eCBs provides a means to discern the roles of these lipids in alcohol-induced behavior. Table 3 summarizes the information below.

FAAH Inhibition

The inhibition or genetic deletion of the clearance enzyme FAAH results in an increase in AEA levels as well as other acylethanolamines such as oleoylethanolamine and palmitoylethanolamine.¹²² Growing evidence suggests that impairment of FAAH may prime sensitivity to the reinforcing effects of alcohol and attenuate the negative consequences of excessive drinking. For example, acute administration of the FAAH inhibitor URB597 in mice increased alcohol preference and consumption, while also reducing sensitivity to the motorimpairing responses of intoxication.^{85,123,124} Similar effects were observed in the genetic deletion of FAAH in mice, 85,123,124 that among other attributes promoted the quick recovery of alcohol-induced motor discoordination. The pharmacological effects of URB597 were further abrogated in CB, receptor and FAAH knockout mice, and behavioral sensitization to repeated alcohol administration was diminished in these mouse lines.¹²⁵ Contrary to the findings in mice, URB597 administration did not alter voluntary drinking in alcohol-preferring rats or operant responding in non-selected Wistar rats.^{126,127} The authors observed similar findings with the administration of the selective FAAH inhibitor PF-3845 in both dependent and nondependent rats.⁷⁹ Thus, although FAAH inhibition may differentially alter alcohol-related behaviors in mice, it is less clear whether similar phenotypes exist in rat models. Alternatively, several studies have demonstrated that inhibiting FAAH more discretely within corticolimbic areas of the brain resulted in observable phenotypes. For example, the local administration of URB597 into the PFC of non-selected rats facilitated operant alcohol selfadministration, and this effect was consistent with observations of decreased FAAH expression and activity in the PFC of alcoholpreferring Alko alcohol rats.¹²⁶ By contrast, infusions of URB597 into the CeA or the basolateral amygdala reduced alcohol selfadministration in Marchigian Sardinian alcohol-preferring (msP) rats, while having no effect in non-selected Wistar rats.¹²⁸ The msP rat line has been previously shown to exhibit elevated FAAH activity in amygdalar brain regions,¹²⁹ suggesting that facilitation or inhibition of alcohol drinking may largely depend on the status of AEA signaling in these corticolimbic regions. Thus, peripheral administration of an FAAH inhibitor is likely to offset the region-specific differences in AEA clearance, not surprisingly culminating in a null response on alcohol drinking.

Recent work has explored the contribution of FAAH mechanisms in driving alcohol-seeking behavior. Consistent with the studies above, FAAH inhibition in mice reduced reinstatement-induced drinking in a CB₁-dependent manner.¹³⁰ In rats, the peripheral administration of URB597 did not facilitate operant responding in an alcohol reinstatement model,¹²⁷ nor did it moderate alcohol reinstatement driven by pharmacological stressors. However, the local administration of URB597 into the lateral habenula reduced voluntary consumption and preference in alcohol-dependent rats¹³¹ and reduced alcohol-

seeking behavior; these effects were effectively reversed by coadministration of rimonabant. The lateral habenula has garnered recent interest in the addiction field given its role in mediating negative valence information that may contribute to the negative symptoms of withdrawal.¹³² Dysregulation of FAAH is also observed in the clinic, given that a missense mutation in FAAH (e.g., the C385A polymorphism) was associated with heightened prevalence of AUD,^{133,134} and increased risk of developing alcohol problems in young people.¹³⁵

Inhibition of eCB Transport

Currently, the mechanisms mediating fatty acid sequestration and membrane transport of the eCBs are unclear, although a few studies have elucidated the effects of an active metabolite of acetaminophen (i.e., AM404) in modulating alcohol-related behaviors. AM404 is thought to prevent the uptake of AEA and 2-AG, in effect prolonging synaptic signaling of these lipids.¹³⁶⁻¹³⁸ In mice, AM404 reduced alcohol-seeking behavior and consumption.¹³⁹ Similarly, this compound reduced alcohol selfadministration in Wistar rats at doses that did not alter saccharin self-administration, though no effects were observed in cue- or stress-induced reinstatement models.¹⁴⁰

MAGL Inhibition

Although many studies have characterized the role of AEA/ FAAH signaling systems on alcohol-related behaviors, the possible relevance of 2-AG/MAGL is only beginning to be explored with the development of selective and efficacious tools for inhibiting MAGL. In this regard, the authors have shown that local administration of the selective MAGL inhibitor URB602

CB Receptor Manipulation	Effects
CB ₁ receptor agonists	 ▲ spontaneous drinking in alcohol-preferring rodents ▲ alcohol SA in rats ▲ binge-like alcohol intake in mice ▲ alcohol-seeking behavior
CB ₁ receptor antagonists	
systemic administration	 ▼ alcohol preference ▼ alcohol consumption in rodents ▼ alcohol-seeking-behavior
localized infusions: intra-NAc	▼alcohol SA
intra-VTA	▼alcohol SA
intra-mPFC	► alcohol SA in normal rats
intra-PFC	▼alcohol SA in alcohol-preferring rats
CB ₁ receptor knockout mice	▼alcohol preference ▼alcohol consumption in rodents ▼CPP ▼alcohol-induced NAc dopamine
CB ₂ receptor agonists	 ▲ alcohol consumption in stressed mice ▼ CPP / ► CPP ▼ alcohol preference ▼ alcohol consumption / ► alcohol consumption ▼ alcohol SA
CB ₂ receptor antagonists	▲alcohol SA
CB ₂ receptor knockout mice	 ▲ alcohol consumption ▲ alcohol preference ▲ physical signs of withdrawal ▲ CPP

Table 2. Summary of CB Receptor Influence on Alcohol-Related Behaviors

Note: \blacktriangle , increase; \lor , decrease; \triangleright , no effect; CB, cannabinoid; CB₁ receptor, cannabinoid receptor type 1; CB₂ receptor, cannabinoid receptor type 2; CPP, conditioned place preference; mPFC, medial prefrontal cortex; NAc, nucleus accumbens; PFC, prefrontal cortex; SA, self-administration; VTA, ventral tegmental area.

Table 3. Summary of eCB Clearance Inhibition Influer	nce on Alcohol-Related Behaviors
--	----------------------------------

eCB Clearance Manipulation	Effects
FAAH inhibitors	
systemic administration	▲ alcohol preference in mice, but not rats ▲ alcohol consumption in mice, but not rats
localized infusions: intra-PFC	▲ alcohol SA in rats
intra-amygdala	▼alcohol SA in msP rats ▶alcohol SA in Wistar rats
intra-LHb	 ▼ alcohol preference in alcohol-dependent rats ▼ alcohol consumption in alcohol-dependent rats ▼ alcohol-seeking behavior
FAAH knockout mice	 ▲ alcohol preference ▲ alcohol consumption ▼ sensitivity to alcohol intoxication
eCB transport inhibitor	▼alcohol seeking ▼alcohol consumption ▼alcohol SA in rats
MAGL inhibitors	
systemic administration	 ▼ alcohol intake in alcohol-dependent rodents ▶ alcohol intake in non-alcohol-dependent rodents
localized infusions: intra-NAc shell	▼alcohol SA in rats
intra-LHb	 ▼ alcohol consumption in alcohol-dependent rats > alcohol consumption in non-alcohol-dependent rats

Note: ▲, increase; ▼, decrease; ►, no effect; eCB, endocannabinoid; FAAH, fatty acid amide hydrolase; LHb, lateral habenula; MAGL, monoacylglycerol lipase; msP rats, Marchigian Sardinian alcohol-preferring rats; NAc, nucleus accumbens; PFC, prefrontal cortex; SA, self-administration.

into the NAc shell reduces operant alcohol self-administration in rats.¹¹¹ In addition, acute administration of the inhibitor MJN110 reduced operant self-administration in alcohol-dependent rats, and in separate studies reduced voluntary drinking in dependent mice using the inhibitor JZL184.⁷⁹ Consistent with these findings, increased MAGL activity was observed in the lateral habenula of dependent rats, and intracranial infusions of JZL184 reduced alcohol consumption in a CB₁-dependent manner.¹³¹ Thus, as opposed to the varied responses obtained with systemic FAAH inhibitors, the dysregulation of 2-AG/MAGL signaling in dependence appears to be a pervasive or stable phenotype. That stated, a more time-dependent profiling of the changes induced by chronic alcohol exposure and withdrawal is warranted and should provide a better means of discerning the therapeutic potential of FAAH and MAGL inhibitors in AUD.

Endocannabinoids and Withdrawal-Related Anxiety

Repeated cycles of alcohol intoxication and withdrawal induce neuroadaptations that alter the motivational mechanisms involved in compulsive alcohol seeking and drinking.¹⁴¹ Although initial use is motivated by the hedonic effects of alcohol, prolonged exposure results in the blunting of brain reward pathways that are overcome pharmacologically by escalating alcohol intake. At the same time, opponent processes involved in the remediation of mood states gain traction and contribute to the expression of negative affect during periods of alcohol abstinence. This rise in sensitivity marks a transition point where alcohol use becomes an effective means of alleviating negative behavioral states, thus creating a psychological tangent for the progression of AUD. Namely, withdrawal-induced increases in negative affective states (e.g., hyperkatifeia⁴) arise from the combination of stress signaling factors that activate areas of the extended amygdala (e.g., corticotropin-releasing factor [CRF]) and diminished performance of the mechanisms that constrain these responses through so-called "anti-stress" functions.¹⁴² Growing evidence implicates the eCB system as a prevailing mechanism in the regulation of stress signaling,^{112,143,144} and by extension of this basic function, reflects the loss of a critical "anti-stress" mechanism in AUD.¹⁴⁵ Highlighted below is some of the research supporting the framework for dysregulated eCB signaling in the manifest of negative affective behavior associated with alcohol withdrawal.

Substantial evidence shows that eCB systems play a key role in the modulation of stress signaling, wherein disruptions of eCB signaling can facilitate anxiety-like states.¹⁴⁶ CB₁ receptors are expressed in high or moderate densities across many regions involved in the expression of anxiety, including the CeA, basolateral amygdala, PFC, ventral hippocampus, and bed nucleus of the stria terminalis.^{8,147,148} As with the findings observed in human subjects with AUD, the downregulation of CB₁ receptors appears to be an important attribute of mood affective disorders, at least within subcortical regions that are posited to interact more frequently with upstream hormonal regulators.¹⁴⁹

Cannabis use in humans is known to alter anxiety-like states in a dose-dependent manner.^{150,151} For example, the acute administration of Delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) produces anxiolytic responses at low doses,¹⁵²⁻¹⁵⁵ but elicits anxiogenic effects with progressively higher doses.152,156,157 Synthetic agonists of CB, receptors display similar propensities in rodents that are abrogated with a CB, receptor antagonist.158,159 Interestingly, not all agonists modulate anxiety-like behavior in the same manner and instead display complex interactions with the testing environment. Indeed, low doses of the agonist HU-210 were observed to contain anxiolytic-like effects in a model of defensive withdrawal behavior when tested in novel environments, whereas similar doses under habituated settings produced anxiogenic-like responses.¹⁶⁰ Given that CB₁ receptors are located on the terminals of glutamatergic and GABAergic neurons,¹⁶¹ it is hypothesized that the regulation of anxiety-like behavior may relate more specifically to the subpopulation of neurons influenced by CB₁ receptor activation. In this regard, studies using conditional mutant mice lacking CB, receptors within specific neurons reported that low-dose activation of CB, receptors on glutamatergic neurons was associated with anxiolytic-like responses, whereas high doses of agonist that disrupted GABAergic signaling were anxiogenic.162-164

There is now considerable evidence demonstrating that elevations in eCB levels (via the inhibition of clearance mechanisms) modulate anxiety-like behavior without inducing the same biphasic responses obtained with CB_1 receptor agonists. For example, the indirect stimulation of AEA signaling

by FAAH inhibitors reduced the expression of anxiety-like behaviors in rodents but did so specifically under stressful or aversive conditions.^{129,165-168} Similar effects were obtained in FAAH knockout mice.^{169,170} In addition to AEA/FAAH signaling, there is evidence supporting the role of 2-AG/MAGL in the regulation of anxiety-like behavior. In this regard, the MAGL inhibitor JZL184 produced anxiolytic-like effects in rodents mainly under heightened stress conditions (e.g., brightly lit environments, following restraint stress).^{165,168,171-174} Unlike the anxiolytic effects of FAAH inhibitors that are strongly associated with CB₁ receptor signaling,¹⁴⁴ both CB₁ and CB₂ receptors have been implicated in the anxiety-reducing properties of MAGL inhibitors;¹⁷³⁻¹⁷⁶ to date, however, the preponderance of evidence suggests a CB₁ receptor contingency.

The authors' recent work with msP rats provides collective evidence of the strong relation between dysregulated AEA/ FAAH signaling and innate symptoms of anxiety.¹²⁹ In this regard, msP rats are genetically selected for increased alcohol preference and consumption, as well as for the heightened expression of anxiety-like behavior.¹⁷⁷ Accordingly, the authors observed that msP rats displayed a sensitized stress response in the CeA and provided evidence of diminished AEA neurotransmission driven by increased clearance of this lipid by FAAH. Inhibition of FAAH with PF-3845 rescued the msP phenotype in several models of anxiety-like behavior, likely by restoring the integrity of stress-gating control in the CeA. Subsequent work demonstrated that local administration of the inhibitor URB597 into the CeA reversed the anxiety-producing effects of restraint stress, whereas no effects were observed in non-selected Wistar rats.¹²⁸ Consistent with this, the authors also have examined the effects of FAAH and MAGL inhibitors on withdrawal-induced anxiety-like behaviors in rodents and found that both inhibitors were effective in reducing these responses.79 Given the tempered effects of systemic FAAH inhibitors in alcohol drinking behavior, it is tempting to suggest that AEA and 2-AG may be regulating different components of the addiction process, the former being more attuned to the regulation of basal anxiety levels and the latter being consequential of alcoholinduced perturbations. How this may fit into a gain- or loss-offunction model that can inform the therapeutic relevance of eCB clearance inhibitors remains to be elucidated. Additionally, the interactive role of eCB systems with stress-inducing factors such as CRF and other stress-constraining mechanisms such as cortisol/corticosterone is not well understood. In this regard, previous work suggests that neuroadaptations involving CRFdriven stimulation of FAAH coincide with the depletion of AEAmediated constraint of the amygdala,^{129,178} whereas the delayed and blunted release of corticosterone in msP rats¹⁷⁹ may present a challenge in mounting 2-AG remediation.¹⁸⁰

Unlike the selective FAAH or MAGL inhibitors, the increase of AEA and 2-AG levels with the dual eCB clearance inhibitor JZL195 has little effect on reducing anxiety-like behavior and instead appears to have anxiogenic-like properties.^{165,181} Recently, the authors observed evidence of an anxiolytic-like effect with high doses of JZL195 on the elevated plus maze, but similar treatments had no effect in the light/dark box assay.¹⁶⁸ Moreover, treatment with the MAGL inhibitor JZL184 in FAAH knockout mice, mimicking the putative inhibitor properties of JZL195, did not produce any effects on anxiety-like behaviors. It should be borne in mind that dual FAAH/MAGL inhibition produced cannabimimetic effects¹⁸² and prolonged changes in 2-AG signaling (via MAGL inhibitor treatment in FAAH knockout mice) that were associated with cannabinoid receptor dysregulation, tolerance to antinociception, and increased sensitivity to rimonabant-precipitated withdrawal behavior.183 The potential role of dual FAAH/MAGL inhibition has not been thoroughly examined in alcohol-dependent rodents, but has been shown to contain neurogenesis-suppressing effects in the dentate gyrus in the same manner as the combined treatment of acute alcohol with a CB, agonist.184

Other studies have observed that the loss of 2-AG signaling through the genetic or pharmacological inhibition of synthase mechanisms is associated with anxiogenic-like responses. For example, DAGL-alpha knockout mice exhibit increased anxietylike behaviors relative to their wild-type littermates,^{185,186} and these effects were reversed by the administration of JZL184.185 In the same regard, the DAGL inhibitor DO34 produced anxiogeniclike effects,¹⁸⁷ although the extent to which prior stress conditions may differentially influence the expression of anxiety-like behavior remains to be elucidated. Given evidence of alcohol's mobilizing properties of 2-AG signaling, it is possible that DAGL inhibition may serve as a novel therapeutic for the treatment of AUD. Indeed, recent studies are providing insight into the possible therapeutic relevance of DAGL inhibition in reducing alcohol consumption without precipitating negative affective behaviors associated with chronic alcohol exposure and withdrawal.¹⁸⁸

In addition to preclinical work, clinical studies are underway to evaluate the therapeutic efficacy of eCB enzyme inhibitor treatment in humans. Currently, there is more information on pharmacological inhibitors of FAAH given that selective inhibitors of MAGL have been characterized only recently.¹⁸⁹ The FAAH inhibitor PF-04457845 has entered Phase 2 clinical testing for the treatment or study of several conditions including chronic pain, fear response, Tourette's syndrome, and cannabis use disorder. PF-04457845 was found to be safe, well tolerated, and-although showing negligible effects for analgesia-successful in facilitating fear extinction behavior in healthy individuals.^{190,191} More recently, PF-04457845 was reported to reduce withdrawal symptoms and cannabis use in patients with cannabis use disorder.¹⁹² Other FAAH inhibitors, such as JNJ-42165279 and ASP3652, also were found to be safe and well tolerated; although confirming the lack of efficacy for chronic pain, these FAAH inhibitors displayed anxiolytic effects in people with social anxiety disorders.¹⁹³⁻¹⁹⁷ By contrast, the FAAH inhibitor BIA 10-2474 caused widespread concern when

high doses of this drug induced neurotoxic effects in healthy individuals, ending in the death of one volunteer.¹⁹⁸ It was later reported that BIA 10-2474 displayed substantial "off-targets" that were unique to this drug and likely responsible for inducing metabolic dysregulation and cellular death.¹⁹⁹ Although future studies should continue to ascertain the safety profile of FAAH inhibitors, the positive responses observed in people with cannabis use disorder bode well for substance abuse treatment. Together with the recent development of selective MAGL inhibitors (ABX-1431) in clinical trial testing,²⁰⁰ serine hydrolase inhibitors represent a possible treatment avenue for restoring dysfunctional cannabinoid signaling in people with AUD.

Conclusion and Future Directions

Despite some inconsistencies in the literature, a preponderance of evidence suggests that alcohol exposure alters brain eCB signaling. Findings from the Parsons' laboratory demonstrated that acute alcohol self-administration elicits increases in eCB release that are tempered over repeated exposure;^{76,79} however, readers are referred to the Alcohol-Induced Alterations in Brain eCB Levels section of this review for noteworthy distinctions. In addition, the method of alcohol exposure plays a marked role in the subsequent analysis of abstinence-related effects.^{201,202} That stated, chronic alcohol exposure is generally associated with the disruption of eCB clearance mechanisms, impaired eCBmediated forms of synaptic plasticity, and the downregulation of cannabinoid receptor function. The dysregulation of eCB signaling may be relevant given that eCBs play a prominent role in the maintenance of affective states and the constraint of stress responses, both of which serve as provocateurs of continued use and relapse. The remediation of eCB signaling remains an important goal for the possible treatment of AUD; however, this is unlikely to be achieved through the exogenous manipulation of CB₁ receptors that are fraught with concerns.²⁰²⁻²⁰⁵ Accordingly, eCB clearance therapeutics may present an alternative pathway for restoring dysfunctional signaling elements, although further research is needed to better understand the consequence of eCB augmentation in dependence states across other relevant variables, including sex, brain regions, environment, emotional valence, pre-existing conditions, and neurohormones.²⁰⁶

Understanding of eCB signaling has greatly evolved since the discovery of eCBs nearly 30 years ago. This was fueled by technological advancements in the isolation, detection, and sequencing of the two primary eCBs, as well as the crystallization of biosynthetic enzymes and receptor systems that enable them. Cutting-edge technology continues to be an important driver in the field for the identification of novel molecular species and distinctions in eCB function. For example, mass spectrometry analysis can be broadly applied to investigate the brain lipidome, from which metabolic products of eCB degradation are utilized by downstream signaling pathways (e.g., eicosanoids) to mediate neuroinflammation.²⁰⁷ This is coupled closely to the advancements of novel pharmacological tools such as DO34 and the NAPE-PLD inhibitor LEI-401²⁰⁸ that will allow us to manipulate AEA and 2-AG signaling with great precision and selectivity. Moreover, the spatiotemporal resolution of such changes is fundamental to the understanding of eCB function and may provide insight on the purpose of having multiple endogenous ligands of cannabinoid receptors. Although traditionally studied with in vivo microdialysis, the recent development of G-protein coupled receptor activationbased eCB sensors offers subsecond resolution kinetics and robust fluorescence-based detection in awake-behaving rodents.²⁰⁹ Finally, the development of novel positron-emission topography tracers such as [11C]MK-3168²¹⁰ and [18F]T-401²¹¹ will allow the direct assessment of FAAH and MAGL activity under a number of planned clinical studies, including in people with AUD. Taken all together, emerging research appears to be on the precipice of divulging new information about the eCB system. The combination of selective pharmacology and in vivo capture methods remains an important endeavor in this research for answering fundamental questions of eCB function, its relation to stress and anxiety, and its higher-order influence in complex psychopathologies such as AUD and addiction.

References

- 1. Parsons LH, Hurd YL. Endocannabinoid signalling in reward and addiction. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2015;16(10):579-94. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn4004.
- 2. Manzanares J, Cabañero D, Puente N, García-Gutiérrez MS, Grandes P, Maldonado R. Role of the endocannabinoid system in drug addiction. *Biochem Pharmacol.* 2018;157:108-121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2018.09.013.
- 3. Spanagel R. Cannabinoids and the endocannabinoid system in reward processing and addiction: From mechanisms to interventions. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci*. 2020;22(3):241-250. https://doi.org/10.31887/DCNS.2020.22.3/rspanagel.
- Koob GF, Powell P, White A. Addiction as a coping response: Hyperkatifeia, deaths of despair, and COVID-19. Am J Psychiatry. 2020;177(11):1031-1037. <u>https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.</u> 2020.20091375.
- 5. Basavarajappa BS. Endocannabinoid system and alcohol abuse disorders. *Adv Exp Med Biol*. 2019;1162:89-127. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-21737-2_6</u>.
- Basavarajappa BS, Joshi V, Shivakumar M, Subbanna S. Distinct functions of endogenous cannabinoid system in alcohol abuse disorders. Br J Pharmacol. 2019;176(17):3085-3109. https://doi.org/10.1111/bph.14780.
- Herkenham M, Lynn AB, de Costa BR, Richfield EK. Neuronal localization of cannabinoid receptors in the basal ganglia of the rat. Brain Res. 1991;547(2):267-274. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1016/0006-8993(91)90970-7</u>.
- Herkenham M, Lynn AB, Johnson MR, Melvin LS, de Costa BR, Rice KC. Characterization and localization of cannabinoid receptors in rat brain: A quantitative in vitro autoradiographic study. J Neurosci. 1991;11(2):563-583. <u>https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.11-02-00563.1991</u>.
- Munro S, Thomas KL, Abu-Shaar M. Molecular characterization of a peripheral receptor for cannabinoids. *Nature*. 1993;365(6441):61-65. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/365061a0</u>.

- Van Sickle MD, Duncan M, Kingsley PJ, et al. Identification and functional characterization of brainstem cannabinoid CB₂ receptors. *Science*. 2005;310(5746):329-332. <u>https://doi.org/</u> 10.1126/science.1115740.
- 11. Atwood BK, Mackie K. CB₂: A cannabinoid receptor with an identity crisis. *Br J Pharmacol.* 2010;160(3):467-479. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1476-5381.2010.00729.x.</u>
- 12. Cabral GA, Raborn ES, Griffin L, Dennis J, Marciano-Cabral F. CB₂ receptors in the brain: Role in central immune function. *Br J Pharmacol.* 2008;153(2):240-251. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/</u> sj.bjp.0707584.
- 13. Onaivi ES, Ishiguro H, Gong JP, et al. Discovery of the presence and functional expression of cannabinoid CB2 receptors in brain. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 2006;1074:514-536. <u>https://doi.org/10.1196/</u> annals.1369.052.
- 14. Moreira FA, Jupp B, Belin D, Dalley JW. Endocannabinoids and striatal function: Implications for addiction-related behaviours. *Behav Pharmacol.* 2015;26(1-2):59-72. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/</u>fbp.00000000000109.
- 15. Di Marzo V, De Petrocellis L. Why do cannabinoid receptors have more than one endogenous ligand? *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* 2012;367(1607):3216-3228. <u>https://doi.org/10.1098/</u> <u>rstb.2011.0382</u>.
- Pertwee RG, Howlett AC, Abood ME, et al. International Union of Basic and Clinical Pharmacology. LXXIX. Cannabinoid receptors and their ligands: Beyond CB₁ and CB₂. *Pharmacol Rev.* 2010;62(4):588-631. <u>https://doi.org/10.1124/pr.110.003004</u>.
- O'Sullivan SE. Cannabinoids go nuclear: Evidence for activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors. Br J Pharmacol. 2007;152(5):576-582. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjp.0707423</u>.
- Overton HA, Babbs AJ, Doel SM, et al. Deorphanization of a G protein-coupled receptor for oleoylethanolamide and its use in the discovery of small-molecule hypophagic agents. *Cell Metab.* 2006;3(3):167-175. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2006.02.004.
- 19. Ryberg E, Larsson N, Sjögren S, et al. The orphan receptor GPR55 is a novel cannabinoid receptor. *Br J Pharmacol*. 2007;152(7):1092-1101. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjp.0707460.
- 20. Godlewski G, Offertáler L, Wagner JA, Kunos G. Receptors for acylethanolamides-GPR55 and GPR119. *Prostaglandins Other Lipid Mediat*. 2009;89(3-4):105-111. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.</u> prostaglandins.2009.07.001.
- 21. Zygmunt PM, Petersson J, Andersson DA, et al. Vanilloid receptors on sensory nerves mediate the vasodilator action of anandamide. *Nature*. 1999;400(6743):452-457. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1038/22761</u>.
- 22. Piomelli D. The molecular logic of endocannabinoid signalling. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2003;4(11):873-884. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/</u> <u>nrn1247</u>.
- 23. Liu J, Wang L, Harvey-White J, et al. Multiple pathways involved in the biosynthesis of anandamide. *Neuropharmacology*. 2008;54(1):1-7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.</u> <u>neuropharm.2007.05.020</u>.
- Bisogno T, Howell F, Williams G, et al. Cloning of the first sn1-DAG lipases points to the spatial and temporal regulation of endocannabinoid signaling in the brain. *J Cell Biol.* 2003;163(3):463-468. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200305129.
- Sugiura T, Kondo S, Sukagawa A, et al. 2-Arachidonoylglycerol: A possible endogenous cannabinoid receptor ligand in brain. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1995;215(1):89-97. <u>https://doi.org/10.1006/bbrc.1995.2437</u>.
- Yamashita A, Kumazawa T, Koga H, Suzuki N, Oka S, Sugiura T. Generation of lysophosphatidylinositol by DDHD domain containing 1 (DDHD1): Possible involvement of phospholipase D/phosphatidic acid in the activation of DDHD1. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2010;1801(7):711-720. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j. bbalip.2010.03.012</u>.

- Nakane S, Oka S, Arai S, et al. 2-Arachidonoyl-sn-glycero-3phosphate, an arachidonic acid-containing lysophosphatidic acid: Occurrence and rapid enzymatic conversion to 2-arachidonoylsn-glycerol, a cannabinoid receptor ligand, in rat brain. Arch Biochem Biophys. 2002;402(1):51-58. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/</u> <u>\$0003-9861(02)00038-3</u>.
- McKinney MK, Cravatt BF. Structure and function of fatty acid amide hydrolase. Annu Rev Biochem. 2005;74:411-432. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.74.082803.133450.
- 29. Dinh TP, Carpenter D, Leslie FM, et al. Brain monoglyceride lipase participating in endocannabinoid inactivation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2002;99(16):10819-10824. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.152334899</u>.
- Gulyas AI, Cravatt BF, Bracey MH, et al. Segregation of two endocannabinoid-hydrolyzing enzymes into pre- and postsynaptic compartments in the rat hippocampus, cerebellum and amygdala. *Eur J Neurosci.* 2004;20(2):441-458. <u>https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2004.03428.x</u>.
- 31. Blankman JL, Simon GM, Cravatt BF. A comprehensive profile of brain enzymes that hydrolyze the endocannabinoid 2-arachidonoylglycerol. *Chem Biol.* 2007;14(12):1347-1356. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chembiol.2007.11.006.
- 32. Marrs WR, Blankman JL, Horne EA, et al. The serine hydrolase ABHD6 controls the accumulation and efficacy of 2-AG at cannabinoid receptors. *Nat Neurosci.* 2010;13(8):951-957. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2601</u>.
- 33. Goparaju SK, Ueda N, Yamaguchi H, Yamamoto S. Anandamide amidohydrolase reacting with 2-arachidonoylglycerol, another cannabinoid receptor ligand. *FEBS Lett.* 1998;422(1):69-73. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0014-5793(97)01603-7.
- 34. Hermanson DJ, Hartley ND, Gamble-George J, et al. Substrate-selective COX-2 inhibition decreases anxiety via endocannabinoid activation. *Nat Neurosci.* 2013;16(9):1291-1298. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3480</u>.
- Rouzer CA, Marnett LJ. Endocannabinoid oxygenation by cyclooxygenases, lipoxygenases, and cytochromes P450: Crosstalk between the eicosanoid and endocannabinoid signaling pathways. *Chem Rev.* 2011;111(10):5899-5921. <u>https://doi.org/</u> 10.1021/cr2002799.
- Solinas M, Justinova Z, Goldberg SR, Tanda G. Anandamide administration alone and after inhibition of fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) increases dopamine levels in the nucleus accumbens shell in rats. J Neurochem. 2006;98(2):408-419. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-4159.2006.03880.x.
- Kano M, Ohno-Shosaku T, Hashimotodani Y, Uchigashima M, Watanabe M. Endocannabinoid-mediated control of synaptic transmission. *Physiol Rev.* 2009;89(1):309-380. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1152/physrev.00019.2008</u>.
- Lovinger DM. Presynaptic modulation by endocannabinoids. Handb Exp Pharmacol. 2008(184):435-477. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-74805-2_14</u>.
- Marsicano G, Lutz B. Neuromodulatory functions of the endocannabinoid system. *J Endocrinol Invest*. 2006;29 (3 Suppl):27-46.
- Chevaleyre V, Takahashi KA, Castillo PE. Endocannabinoidmediated synaptic plasticity in the CNS. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2006;29:37-76. <u>https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.</u> neuro.29.051605.112834.
- 41. Alger BE. Retrograde signaling in the regulation of synaptic transmission: Focus on endocannabinoids. *Prog Neurobiol*. 2002;68(4):247-286. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0301-0082(02)00080-1</u>.
- Sidhpura N, Parsons LH. Endocannabinoid-mediated synaptic plasticity and addiction-related behavior. *Neuropharmacology*. 2011;61(7):1070-1087. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.</u> 2011.05.034.

- 43. Kreitzer AC, Regehr WG. Retrograde inhibition of presynaptic calcium influx by endogenous cannabinoids at excitatory synapses onto Purkinje cells. *Neuron*. 2001;29(3):717-727. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0896-6273(01)00246-x.
- 44. Ohno-Shosaku T, Maejima T, Kano M. Endogenous cannabinoids mediate retrograde signals from depolarized postsynaptic neurons to presynaptic terminals. *Neuron*. 2001;29(3):729-738. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0896-6273(01)00247-1.
- Wilson RI, Nicoll RA. Endogenous cannabinoids mediate retrograde signalling at hippocampal synapses. *Nature*. 2001;410(6828):588-592. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/35069076</u>.
- Zlebnik NE, Cheer JF. Drug-induced alterations of endocannabinoid-mediated plasticity in brain reward regions. *J Neurosci.* 2016;36(40):10230-10238. <u>https://doi.org/10.1523/</u> JNEUROSCI.1712-16.2016.
- 47. Kalivas PW, O'Brien C. Drug addiction as a pathology of staged neuroplasticity. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2008;33(1):166-180. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.npp.1301564.
- Kauer JA, Malenka RC. Synaptic plasticity and addiction. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2007;8(11):844-858. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2234.
- 49. Torregrossa MM, Corlett PR, Taylor JR. Aberrant learning and memory in addiction. *Neurobiol Learn Mem.* 2011;96(4):609-623. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nlm.2011.02.014.
- 50. Adermark L, Lovinger DM. Frequency-dependent inversion of net striatal output by endocannabinoid-dependent plasticity at different synaptic inputs. *J Neurosci*. 2009;29(5):1375-1380. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3842-08.2009.
- 51. Clarke RB, Adermark L. Acute ethanol treatment prevents endocannabinoid-mediated long-lasting disinhibition of striatal output. *Neuropharmacology*. 2010;58(4-5):799-805. <u>https://doi.org/</u> 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2009.12.006.
- 52. Adermark L, Jonsson S, Ericson M, Söderpalm B. Intermittent ethanol consumption depresses endocannabinoid-signaling in the dorsolateral striatum of rat. *Neuropharmacology*. 2011;61(7):1160-1165. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2011.01.014.
- 53. Volkow ND, Fowler JS, Wang GJ, Swanson JM, Telang F. Dopamine in drug abuse and addiction: Results of imaging studies and treatment implications. *Arch Neurol*. 2007;64(11):1575-1579. https://doi.org/10.1001/archneur.64.11.1575.
- DePoy L, Daut R, Brigman JL, et al. Chronic alcohol produces neuroadaptations to prime dorsal striatal learning. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2013;110(36):14783-14788. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/</u> pnas.1308198110.
- Peñasco S, Rico-Barrio I, Puente N, et al. Intermittent ethanol exposure during adolescence impairs cannabinoid type 1 receptor-dependent long-term depression and recognition memory in adult mice. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2020;45(2):309-318. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41386-019-0530-5</u>.
- 56. Hirvonen J, Goodwin RS, Li CT, et al. Reversible and regionally selective downregulation of brain cannabinoid CB₁ receptors in chronic daily cannabis smokers. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2012;17(6):642-649. https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2011.82.
- 57. Ceccarini J, Kuepper R, Kemels D, van Os J, Henquet C, Van Laere K. [¹⁸F]MK-9470 PET measurement of cannabinoid CB₁ receptor availability in chronic cannabis users. *Addict Biol.* 2015;20(2):357-367. https://doi.org/10.1111/adb.12116.
- Sloan ME, Grant CW, Gowin JL, Ramchandani VA, Le Foll B. Endocannabinoid signaling in psychiatric disorders: A review of positron emission tomography studies. *Acta Pharmacol Sin.* 2019;40(3):342-350. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41401-018-0081-z</u>.
- 59. Ceccarini J, Hompes T, Verhaeghen A, et al. Changes in cerebral CB₁ receptor availability after acute and chronic alcohol abuse and monitored abstinence. *J Neurosci.* 2014;34(8):2822-2831. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0849-13.2014.

- Hirvonen J, Zanotti-Fregonara P, Umhau JC, et al. Reduced cannabinoid CB₁ receptor binding in alcohol dependence measured with positron emission tomography. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2013;18(8):916-921. https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2012.100.
- García-Baos A, Alegre-Zurano L, Cantacorps L, Martín-Sánchez A, Valverde O. Role of cannabinoids in alcoholinduced neuroinflammation. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. 2021;104:110054. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.</u> pnpbp.2020.110054.
- 62. Basavarajappa BS, Hungund BL. Chronic ethanol increases the cannabinoid receptor agonist anandamide and its precursor N-arachidonoylphosphatidylethanolamine in SK-N-SH cells. *J Neurochem.* 1999;72(2):522-528. <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1471-4159.1999.0720522.x.</u>
- 63. Basavarajappa BS, Saito M, Cooper TB, Hungund BL. Stimulation of cannabinoid receptor agonist 2-arachidonylglycerol by chronic ethanol and its modulation by specific neuromodulators in cerebellar granule neurons. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2000;1535(1): 78-86. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-4439(00)00085-5.
- Basavarajappa BS, Saito M, Cooper TB, Hungund BL. Chronic ethanol inhibits the anandamide transport and increases extracellular anandamide levels in cerebellar granule neurons. *Eur J Pharmacol.* 2003;466(1-2):73-83. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/</u> s0014-2999(03)01557-7.
- Pava MJ, Woodward JJ. A review of the interactions between alcohol and the endocannabinoid system: Implications for alcohol dependence and future directions for research. *Alcohol.* 2012;46(3):185-204. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.alcohol.</u> 2012.01.002.
- 66. Vinod KY, Yalamanchili R, Xie S, Cooper TB, Hungund BL. Effect of chronic ethanol exposure and its withdrawal on the endocannabinoid system. *Neurochem Int*. 2006;49(6):619-625. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuint.2006.05.002</u>.
- González S, Cascio MG, Fernández-Ruiz J, Fezza F, Di Marzo V, Ramos JA. Changes in endocannabinoid contents in the brain of rats chronically exposed to nicotine, ethanol or cocaine. *Brain Res.* 2002;954(1):73-81. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0006-8993(02)03344-9</u>.
- González S, Valenti M, de Miguel R, et al. Changes in endocannabinoid contents in reward-related brain regions of alcohol-exposed rats, and their possible relevance to alcohol relapse. Br J Pharmacol. 2004;143(4):455-464. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1038/sj.bjp.0705963.</u>
- Malinen H, Lehtonen M, Hyytiä P. Modulation of brain endocannabinoid levels by voluntary alcohol consumption in alcohol-preferring AA rats. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res.* 2009;33(10):1711-1720. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-0277.2009.01008.x</u>.
- 70. Mitrirattanakul S, López-Valdés HE, Liang J, et al. Bidirectional alterations of hippocampal cannabinoid 1 receptors and their endogenous ligands in a rat model of alcohol withdrawal and dependence. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res.* 2007;31(5):855-867. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-0277.2007.00366.x.
- Rubio M, McHugh D, Fernández-Ruiz J, Bradshaw H, Walker JM. Short-term exposure to alcohol in rats affects brain levels of anandamide, other N-acylethanolamines and 2-arachidonoylglycerol. Neurosci Lett. 2007;421(3):270-274. <u>https://doi.org/10.</u> 1016/j.neulet.2007.05.052.
- 72. Vinod KY, Maccioni P, Garcia-Gutierrez MS, et al. Innate difference in the endocannabinoid signaling and its modulation by alcohol consumption in alcohol-preferring sP rats. Addict Biol. 2012;17(1):62-75. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1369-1600.2010.00299.x.
- Buczynski MW, Parsons LH. Quantification of brain endocannabinoid levels: Methods, interpretations and pitfalls. Br J Pharmacol. 2010;160(3):423-442. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1476-5381.2010.00787.x</u>.

- 74. Henricks AM, Berger AL, Lugo JM, et al. Sex- and hormonedependent alterations in alcohol withdrawal-induced anxiety and corticolimbic endocannabinoid signaling. *Neuropharmacology*. 2017;124:121-133. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2017.05.023</u>.
- Ferrer B, Bermúdez-Silva FJ, Bilbao A, et al. Regulation of brain anandamide by acute administration of ethanol. *Biochem J*. 2007;404(1):97-104. <u>https://doi.org/10.1042/BJ20061898</u>.
- 76. Alvarez-Jaimes L, Stouffer DG, Parsons LH. Chronic ethanol treatment potentiates ethanol-induced increases in interstitial nucleus accumbens endocannabinoid levels in rats. *J Neurochem.* 2009;111(1):37-48. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-</u> 4159.2009.06301.x.
- 77. Caillé S, Alvarez-Jaimes L, Polis I, Stouffer DG, Parsons LH. Specific alterations of extracellular endocannabinoid levels in the nucleus accumbens by ethanol, heroin, and cocaine self-administration. *J Neurosci.* 2007;27(14):3695-3702. <u>https://doi.org/10.1523/</u> JNEUROSCI.4403-06.2007.
- Alvarez-Jaimes L, Polis I, Parsons LH. Regional influence of cannabinoid CB₁ receptors in the regulation of ethanol selfadministration by Wistar rats. *Open Neuropsychopharmacol J*. 2009;2:77-85.
- 79. Serrano A, Pavon FJ, Buczynski MW, et al. Deficient endocannabinoid signaling in the central amygdala contributes to alcohol dependence-related anxiety-like behavior and excessive alcohol intake. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2018;43(9):1840-1850. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41386-018-0055-3</u>.
- Ceccarini J, Casteels C, Koole M, Bormans G, Van Laere K. Transient changes in the endocannabinoid system after acute and chronic ethanol exposure and abstinence in the rat: A combined PET and microdialysis study. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2013;40(10):1582-1594. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-013-2456-1</u>.
- Taraschi TF, Ellingson JS, Janes N, Rubin E. The role of anionic phospholipids in membrane adaptation to ethanol. *Alcohol Alcohol Suppl.* 1991;1:241-245.
- 82. Gustavsson L. Brain lipid changes after ethanol exposure. Ups J Med Sci Suppl. 1990;48:245-266.
- Alling C, Rodriguez FD, Gustavsson L, Simonsson P. Continuous and intermittent exposure to ethanol: Effect on NG 108-15 cell membrane phospholipids. *Alcohol Alcohol Suppl.* 1991;1:227-231.
- 84. Colombo G, Serra S, Brunetti G, et al. Stimulation of voluntary ethanol intake by cannabinoid receptor agonists in ethanolpreferring sP rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2002;159(2):181-187. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s002130100887</u>.
- Vinod KY, Sanguino E, Yalamanchili R, Manzanares J, Hungund BL. Manipulation of fatty acid amide hydrolase functional activity alters sensitivity and dependence to ethanol. *J Neurochem*. 2008;104(1):233-243. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-4159.2007.04956.x</u>.
- Wang L, Liu J, Harvey-White J, Zimmer A, Kunos G. Endocannabinoid signaling via cannabinoid receptor 1 is involved in ethanol preference and its age-dependent decline in mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2003;100(3):1393-1398. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/</u> pnas.0336351100.
- 87. Kelai S, Hanoun N, Aufrere G, Beauge F, Hamon M, Lanfumey L. Cannabinoid-serotonin interactions in alcohol-preferring vs. alcohol-avoiding mice. *J Neurochem*. 2006;99(1):308-320. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-4159.2006.04054.x.
- Gallate JE, Saharov T, Mallet PE, McGregor IS. Increased motivation for beer in rats following administration of a cannabinoid CB₁ receptor agonist. *Eur J Pharmacol*. 1999;370(3):233-240. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0014-2999(99)00170-3</u>.
- Malinen H, Hyytia P. Ethanol self-administration is regulated by CB1 receptors in the nucleus accumbens and ventral tegmental area in alcohol-preferring AA rats. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res.* 2008;32(11):1976-1983. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-0277.2008.00786.x.

- 90. Getachew B, Hauser SR, Dhaher R, et al. CB1 receptors regulate alcohol-seeking behavior and alcohol self-administration of alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.* 2011;97(4):669-675. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbb.2010.11.006.
- 91. Linsenbardt DN, Boehm SL 2nd. Agonism of the endocannabinoid system modulates binge-like alcohol intake in male C57BL/6J mice: Involvement of the posterior ventral tegmental area. *Neuroscience*. 2009;164(2):424-434. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2009.08.007</u>.
- 92. Alen F, Moreno-Sanz G, Isabel de Tena A, et al. Pharmacological activation of CB1 and D2 receptors in rats: Predominant role of CB1 in the increase of alcohol relapse. *Eur J Neurosci.* 2008;27(12):3292-3298. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2008.06302.x.
- Alén F, Santos Á, Moreno-Sanz G, et al. Cannabinoid-induced increase in relapse-like drinking is prevented by the blockade of the glycine-binding site of N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors. *Neuroscience*. 2009;158(2):465-473. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.</u> neuroscience.2008.10.002.
- Arnone M, Maruani J, Chaperon F, et al. Selective inhibition of sucrose and ethanol intake by SR 141716, an antagonist of central cannabinoid (CB₁) receptors. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 1997;132(1):104-106. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002130050326.
- Colombo G, Agabio R, Diaz G, Lobina C, Reali R, Gessa GL. Appetite suppression and weight loss after the cannabinoid antagonist SR 141716. Life Sci. 1998;63(8):PL113-7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/</u> s0024-3205(98)00322-1.
- 96. Rodríguez de Fonseca F, Roberts AJ, Bilbao A, Koob GF, Navarro M. Cannabinoid receptor antagonist SR141716A decreases operant ethanol self-administration in rats exposed to ethanol-vapor chambers. *Zhongguo Yao Li Xue Bao*. 1999;20(12):1109-1114.
- Economidou D, Mattioli L, Cifani C, et al. Effect of the cannabinoid CB₁ receptor antagonist SR-141716A on ethanol self-administration and ethanol-seeking behaviour in rats. *Psychopharmacology* (Berl). 2006;183(4):394-403. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-005-0199-9.
- Freedland CS, Sharpe AL, Samson HH, Porrino LJ. Effects of SR141716A on ethanol and sucrose self-administration. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res.* 2001;25(2):277-282.
- Lallemand F, Soubrié PH, De Witte PH. Effects of CB₁ cannabinoid receptor blockade on ethanol preference after chronic ethanol administration. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res.* 2001;25(9):1317-1323. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-0277.2001.tb02353.x.
- 100. Cippitelli A, Bilbao A, Hansson AC, et al. Cannabinoid CB1 receptor antagonism reduces conditioned reinstatement of ethanol-seeking behavior in rats. *Eur J Neurosci*. 2005;21(8):2243-2251. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2005.04056.x</u>.
- 101. Serra S, Brunetti G, Pani M, et al. Blockade by the cannabinoid CB₁ receptor antagonist, SR 141716, of alcohol deprivation effect in alcohol-preferring rats. *Eur J Pharmacol*. 2002;443(1-3):95-97. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0014-2999(02)01594-7.
- 102. Pavon FJ, Bilbao A, Hernández-Folgado L, et al. Antiobesity effects of the novel in vivo neutral cannabinoid receptor antagonist 5-(4-chlorophenyl)-1-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-3-hexyl-1H-1,2,4triazole – LH 21. Neuropharmacology. 2006;51(2):358-366. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2006.03.029.
- 103. Gessa GL, Serra S, Vacca G, Carai MA, Colombo G. Suppressing effect of the cannabinoid CB₁ receptor antagonist, SR147778, on alcohol intake and motivational properties of alcohol in alcoholpreferring sP rats. *Alcohol Alcohol.* 2005;40(1):46-53. <u>https://doi.org/</u> 10.1093/alcalc/agh114.
- 104. de Bruin NM, Lange JH, Kruse CG, et al. SLV330, a cannabinoid CB₁ receptor antagonist, attenuates ethanol and nicotine seeking and improves inhibitory response control in rats. *Behav Brain Res.* 2011;217(2):408-415. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2010.11.013.

- 105. Dean RL, Eyerman D, Todtenkopf MS, Turncliff RZ, Bidlack JM, Deaver DR. Effects of oral loperamide on efficacy of naltrexone, baclofen and AM-251 in blocking ethanol self-administration in rats. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav*. 2012;100(3):530-537. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbb.2011.10.019.
- 106. Hungund BL, Szakall I, Adam A, Basavarajappa BS, Vadasz C. Cannabinoid CB1 receptor knockout mice exhibit markedly reduced voluntary alcohol consumption and lack alcohol-induced dopamine release in the nucleus accumbens. J Neurochem. 2003;84(4):698-704. <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1471-4159.2003.</u> 01576.x.
- 107. Naassila M, Pierrefiche O, Ledent C, Daoust M. Decreased alcohol self-administration and increased alcohol sensitivity and withdrawal in CB1 receptor knockout mice. *Neuropharmacology*. 2004;46(2):243-253. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.</u> neuropharm.2003.09.002.
- 108. Thanos PK, Dimitrakakis ES, Rice O, Gifford A, Volkow ND. Ethanol self-administration and ethanol conditioned place preference are reduced in mice lacking cannabinoid CB₁ receptors. *Behav Brain Res.* 2005;164(2):206-213. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2005.06.021</u>.
- 109. Houchi H, Babovic D, Pierrefiche O, Ledent C, Daoust M, Naassila M. CB₁ receptor knockout mice display reduced ethanol-induced conditioned place preference and increased striatal dopamine D2 receptors. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2005;30(2):339-349. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.npp.1300568</u>.
- 110. Henderson-Redmond AN, Guindon J, Morgan DJ. Roles for the endocannabinoid system in ethanol-motivated behavior. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. 2016;65:330-339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpbp.2015.06.011.
- 111. Pavon FJ, Polis I, Stouffer DG, et al. COX-2 inhibition antagonizes intra-accumbens 2-arachidonoylglycerol-mediated reduction in ethanol self-administration in rats. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res.* 2020;44(11):2158-2165. https://doi.org/10.1111/acer.14456.
- 112. Serrano A, Parsons LH. Endocannabinoid influence in drug reinforcement, dependence and addiction-related behaviors. *Pharmacol Ther.* 2011;132(3):215-241. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2011.06.005</u>.
- 113. Pacher P, Mechoulam R. Is lipid signaling through cannabinoid 2 receptors part of a protective system? *Prog Lipid Res.* 2011;50 (2):193-211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plipres.2011.01.001.
- 114. Ishiguro H, Iwasaki S, Teasenfitz L, et al. Involvement of cannabinoid CB2 receptor in alcohol preference in mice and alcoholism in humans. *Pharmacogenomics J*. 2007;7(6):380-385. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.tpj.6500431</u>.
- 115. Al Mansouri S, Ojha S, Al Maamari E, Al Ameri M, Nurulain SM, Bahi A. The cannabinoid receptor 2 agonist, beta-caryophyllene, reduced voluntary alcohol intake and attenuated ethanol-induced place preference and sensitivity in mice. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.* 2014;124:260-268. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbb.2014.06.025.
- 116. Liu QR, Canseco-Alba A, Zhang HY, et al. Cannabinoid type 2 receptors in dopamine neurons inhibits psychomotor behaviors, alters anxiety, depression and alcohol preference. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7(1):17410. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-17796-y.
- 117. Navarrete F, García-Gutiérrez MS, Manzanares J. Pharmacological regulation of cannabinoid CB2 receptor modulates the reinforcing and motivational actions of ethanol. *Biochem Pharmacol*. 2018;157:227-234. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2018.07.041</u>.
- 118. Powers MS, Breit KR, Chester JA. Genetic versus pharmacological assessment of the role of cannabinoid type 2 receptors in alcohol reward-related behaviors. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res.* 2015;39(12):2438-2446. https://doi.org/10.1111/acer.12894.
- 119. Rivera P, Blanco E, Bindila L, et al. Pharmacological activation of CB₂ receptors counteracts the deleterious effect of ethanol on cell proliferation in the main neurogenic zones of the adult rat brain. *Front Cell Neurosci.* 2015;9:379. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2015.00379</u>.

- 120. Ortega-Álvaro A, Ternianov A, Aracil-Fernández A, Navarrete F, García-Gutiérrez MS, Manzanares J. Role of cannabinoid CB₂ receptor in the reinforcing actions of ethanol. *Addict Biol.* 2015;20(1):43-55. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/adb.12076</u>.
- 121. Pradier B, Erxlebe E, Markert A, Rácz I. Interaction of cannabinoid receptor 2 and social environment modulates chronic alcohol consumption. *Behav Brain Res.* 2015;287:163-171. <u>https://doi.org/10.</u> <u>1016/j.bbr.2015.03.051</u>.
- 122. Cravatt BF, Demarest K, Patricelli MP, et al. Supersensitivity to anandamide and enhanced endogenous cannabinoid signaling in mice lacking fatty acid amide hydrolase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2001;98(16):9371-9376. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.161191698.
- 123. Basavarajappa BS, Yalamanchili R, Cravatt BF, Cooper TB, Hungund BL. Increased ethanol consumption and preference and decreased ethanol sensitivity in female FAAH knockout mice. *Neuropharmacology*. 2006;50(7):834-844. <u>https://doi.org/10.</u> 1016/j.neuropharm.2005.12.005.
- 124. Blednov YA, Cravatt BF, Boehm SL 2nd, Walker D, Harris RA. Role of endocannabinoids in alcohol consumption and intoxication: Studies of mice lacking fatty acid amide hydrolase. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2007;32(7):1570-1582. <u>https://doi.org/</u> 10.1038/sj.npp.1301274.
- 125. Pavón FJ, Serrano A, Stouffer DG, et al. Ethanol-induced alterations in endocannabinoids and relevant neurotransmitters in the nucleus accumbens of fatty acid amide hydrolase knockout mice. *Addict Biol.* 2019;24(6):1204-1215. https://doi.org/10.1111/adb.12695.
- 126. Hansson AC, Bermúdez-Silva FJ, Malinen H, et al. Genetic impairment of frontocortical endocannabinoid degradation and high alcohol preference. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2007;32(1):117-126. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.npp.1301034.
- 127. Cippitelli A, Cannella N, Braconi S, et al. Increase of brain endocannabinoid anandamide levels by FAAH inhibition and alcohol abuse behaviours in the rat. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2008;198(4):449-460. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-008-1104-0.
- 128. Stopponi S, Fotio Y, Domi A, et al. Inhibition of fatty acid amide hydrolase in the central amygdala alleviates co-morbid expression of innate anxiety and excessive alcohol intake. *Addict Biol.* 2018;23(6):1223-1232. https://doi.org/10.1111/adb.12573.
- 129. Natividad LA, Buczynski MW, Herman MA, et al. Constitutive increases in amygdalar corticotropin-releasing factor and fatty acid amide hydrolase drive an anxious phenotype. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2017;82(7):500-510. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2017.01.005</u>.
- 130. Zhou Y, Schwartz BI, Giza J, Gross SS, Lee FS, Kreek MJ. Blockade of alcohol escalation and "relapse" drinking by pharmacological FAAH inhibition in male and female C₅₇BL/6J mice. *Psychopharmacology* (*Berl*). 2017;234(19):2955-2970. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-017-4691-9.</u>
- 131. Fu R, Tang Y, Li W, et al. Endocannabinoid signaling in the lateral habenula regulates pain and alcohol consumption. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2021;11(1):220. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-021-01337-3</u>.
- 132. Clerke JA, Congiu M, Mameli M. Neuronal adaptations in the lateral habenula during drug withdrawal: Preclinical evidence for addiction therapy. *Neuropharmacology*. 2021;192:108617. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2021.108617</u>.
- 133. Sipe JC, Chiang K, Gerber AL, Beutler E, Cravatt BF. A missense mutation in human fatty acid amide hydrolase associated with problem drug use. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2002;99(12):8394-8399. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.082235799.
- 134. Sloan ME, Gowin JL, Yan J, et al. Severity of alcohol dependence is associated with the fatty acid amide hydrolase Pro129Thr missense variant. *Addict Biol.* 2018;23(1):474-484. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/</u> adb.12491.
- 135. Bühler KM, Huertas E, Echeverry-Alzate V, et al. Risky alcohol consumption in young people is associated with the fatty acid amide hydrolase gene polymorphism C₃8₃A and affective rating of drug pictures. *Mol Genet Genomics*. 2014;289(3):279-289. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00438-013-0809-x.

- 136. Beltramo M, Piomelli D. Carrier-mediated transport and enzymatic hydrolysis of the endogenous cannabinoid 2-arachidonylglycerol. *NeuroReport*. 2000;11(6):1231-1235. https://doi.org/10.1097/00001756-200004270-00018.
- 137. Beltramo M, Stella N, Calignano A, Lin SY, Makriyannis A, Piomelli D. Functional role of high-affinity anandamide transport, as revealed by selective inhibition. *Science*. 1997;277(5329):1094-1097. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.277.5329.1094</u>.
- 138. Hajos N, Kathuria S, Dinh T, Piomelli D, Freund TF. Endocannabinoid transport tightly controls 2-arachidonoyl glycerol actions in the hippocampus: Effects of low temperature and the transport inhibitor AM404. *Eur J Neurosci.* 2004;19(11):2991-2996. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0953-816X.</u> 2004.03433.x.
- 139. Gianessi CA, Groman SM, Thompson SL, Jiang M, van der Stelt M, Taylor JR. Endocannabinoid contributions to alcohol habits and motivation: Relevance to treatment. *Addict Biol.* 2020;25(3):e12768. https://doi.org/10.1111/adb.12768.
- 140. Cippitelli A, Bilbao A, Gorriti MA, et al. The anandamide transport inhibitor AM404 reduces ethanol self-administration. Eur J Neurosci. 2007;26(2):476-486. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2007.05665.x</u>.
- 141. Koob GF. Theoretical frameworks and mechanistic aspects of alcohol addiction: Alcohol addiction as a reward deficit disorder. *Curr Top Behav Neurosci.* 2013;13:3-30. <u>https://doi.org/10.</u> <u>1007/7854_2011_129</u>.
- 142. Koob GF. A role for brain stress systems in addiction. *Neuron*. 2008;59(1):11-34. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2008.06.012</u>.
- 143. Mechoulam R, Parker LA. The endocannabinoid system and the brain. Annu Rev Psychol. 2013;64:21-47. <u>https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-113011-143739</u>.
- 144. Morena M, Patel S, Bains JS, Hill MN. Neurobiological interactions between stress and the endocannabinoid system. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2016;41(1):80-102. <u>https://doi.org/10.</u> <u>1038/npp.2015.166</u>.
- 145. Bedse G, Centanni SW, Winder DG, Patel S. Endocannabinoid signaling in the central amygdala and bed nucleus of the stria terminalis: Implications for the pathophysiology and treatment of alcohol use disorder. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res.* 2019;43(10):2014-2027. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/acer.14159</u>.
- 146. Petrie GN, Nastase AS, Aukema RJ, Hill MN. Endocannabinoids, cannabinoids and the regulation of anxiety. *Neuropharmacology*. 2021:108626. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.</u> neuropharm.2021.108626.
- 147. Tsou K, Brown S, Sañudo-Pena MC, Mackie K, Walker JM. Immunohistochemical distribution of cannabinoid CB1 receptors in the rat central nervous system. *Neuroscience*. 1998;83(2):393-411. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0306-4522(97)00436-3.
- 148. Puente N, Elezgarai I, Lafourcade M, et al. Localization and function of the cannabinoid CB1 receptor in the anterolateral bed nucleus of the stria terminalis. *PLoS One*. 2010;5(1):e8869. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0008869.
- 149. Hillard CJ, Liu QS. Endocannabinoid signaling in the etiology and treatment of major depressive illness. *Curr Pharm Des.* 2014;20(23):3795-3811. <u>https://doi.org/10.2174/138161281131</u> 96660735.
- 150. Dannon PN, Lowengrub K, Amiaz R, Grunhaus L, Kotler M. Comorbid cannabis use and panic disorder: Short term and long term follow-up study. *Hum Psychopharmacol*. 2004;19(2):97-101. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/hup.560</u>.
- 151. Tournier M, Sorbara F, Gindre C, Swendsen JD, Verdoux H. Cannabis use and anxiety in daily life: A naturalistic investigation in a non-clinical population. *Psychiatry Res.* 2003;118(1):1-8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0165-1781(03)00052-0</u>.
- 152. Valjent E, Mitchell JM, Besson MJ, Caboche J, Maldonado R. Behavioural and biochemical evidence for interactions between Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol and nicotine. Br J Pharmacol. 2002;135(2):564-578. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjp.0704479.

- 153. Braida D, Limonta V, Malabarba L, Zani A, Sala M. 5-HT_{1A} receptors are involved in the anxiolytic effect of Δ⁹-tetrahydrocannabinol and AM 404, the anandamide transport inhibitor, in Sprague-Dawley rats. Eur J Pharmacol. 2007;555(2-3):156-163. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2006.10.038</u>.
- 154. Rubino T, Sala M, Viganò D, et al. Cellular mechanisms underlying the anxiolytic effect of low doses of peripheral Δ° -tetrahydrocannabinol in rats. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2007;32(9):2036-2045. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.npp.1301330.
- 155. Berrendero F, Maldonado R. Involvement of the opioid system in the anxiolytic-like effects induced by Δ⁹-tetrahydrocannabinol. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2002;163(1):111-117. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-002-1144-9</u>.
- 156. Rock EM, Limebeer CL, Petrie GN, Williams LA, Mechoulam R, Parker LA. Effect of prior foot shock stress and Δ⁹tetrahydrocannabinol, cannabidiolic acid, and cannabidiol on anxiety-like responding in the light-dark emergence test in rats. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2017;234(14):2207-2217. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-017-4626-5.
- 157. Todd SM, Arnold JC. Neural correlates of interactions between cannabidiol and Δ° -tetrahydrocannabinol in mice: Implications for medical cannabis. *Br J Pharmacol.* 2016;173(1):53-65. https://doi.org/10.1111/bph.13333.
- 158. Patel S, Hillard CJ. Pharmacological evaluation of cannabinoid receptor ligands in a mouse model of anxiety: Further evidence for an anxiolytic role for endogenous cannabinoid signaling. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 2006;318(1):304-311. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1124/jpet.106.101287</u>.
- 159. Haller J, Varga B, Ledent C, Freund TF. CB1 cannabinoid receptors mediate anxiolytic effects: Convergent genetic and pharmacological evidence with CB1-specific agents. *Behav Pharmacol.* 2004;15(4):299-304. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/01.</u> <u>fbp.0000135704.56422.40</u>.
- 160. Rodríguez de Fonseca F, Rubio P, Menzaghi F, et al. Corticotropinreleasing factor (CRF) antagonist [D-Phe12,Nle21,38,C alpha MeLeu37]CRF attenuates the acute actions of the highly potent cannabinoid receptor agonist HU-210 on defensive-withdrawal behavior in rats. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 1996;276(1):56-64.
- 161. Hu SS, Mackie K. Distribution of the endocannabinoid system in the central nervous system. *Handb Exp Pharmacol*. 2015;231:59-93. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-20825-1_3.
- 162. Ruehle S, Remmers F, Romo-Parra H, et al. Cannabinoid CB₁ receptor in dorsal telencephalic glutamatergic neurons: Distinctive sufficiency for hippocampus-dependent and amygdala-dependent synaptic and behavioral functions. *J Neurosci.* 2013;33(25):10264-10277. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4171-12.2013.
- 163. Lafenêtre P, Chaouloff F, Marsicano G. Bidirectional regulation of novelty-induced behavioral inhibition by the endocannabinoid system. *Neuropharmacology*. 2009;57(7-8):715-721. <u>https://doi.org/</u> 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2009.07.014.
- 164. Rey AA, Purrio M, Viveros MP, Lutz B. Biphasic effects of cannabinoids in anxiety responses: CB1 and GABA_B receptors in the balance of GABAergic and glutamatergic neurotransmission. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2012;37(12):2624-2634. <u>https://doi.org/</u> 10.1038/npp.2012.123.
- 165. Bedse G, Bluett RJ, Patrick TA, et al. Therapeutic endocannabinoid augmentation for mood and anxiety disorders: Comparative profiling of FAAH, MAGL and dual inhibitors. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2018;8(1):92. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-018-0141-7.
- 166. Bluett RJ, Gamble-George JC, Hermanson DJ, Hartley ND, Marnett LJ, Patel S. Central anandamide deficiency predicts stress-induced anxiety: Behavioral reversal through endocannabinoid augmentation. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2014;4:e408. https://doi.org/10.1038/tp.2014.53.
- 167. Marco EM, Rapino C, Caprioli A, Borsini F, Laviola G, Maccarrone M. Potential therapeutic value of a novel FAAH inhibitor for the treatment of anxiety. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(9):e0137034. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0137034</u>.

- 168. Pavón FJ, Polis IY, Stouffer DG, et al. Selective inhibition of monoacylglycerol lipase is associated with passive coping behavior and attenuation of stress-induced dopamine release in the medial prefrontal cortex. *Neurobiol Stress*. 2021;14:100293. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ynstr.2021.100293.
- 169. Moreira FA, Kaiser N, Monory K, Lutz B. Reduced anxietylike behaviour induced by genetic and pharmacological inhibition of the endocannabinoid-degrading enzyme fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) is mediated by CB1 receptors. *Neuropharmacology*. 2008;54(1):141-150. <u>https://doi.org/10.</u> 1016/j.neuropharm.2007.07.005.
- 170. Naidu PS, Varvel SA, Ahn K, Cravatt BF, Martin BR, Lichtman AH. Evaluation of fatty acid amide hydrolase inhibition in murine models of emotionality. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2007;192(1):61-70. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-006-0689-4.
- 171. Aliczki M, Balogh Z, Tulogdi A, Haller J. The temporal dynamics of the effects of monoacylglycerol lipase blockade on locomotion, anxiety, and body temperature. *Behav Pharmacol.* 2012;23(4):348-357. https://doi.org/10.1097/FBP.0b013e3283564dfa.
- 172. Aliczki M, Zelena D, Mikics E, et al. Monoacylglycerol lipase inhibition-induced changes in plasma corticosterone levels, anxiety and locomotor activity in male CD1 mice. *Horm Behav*. 2013;63(5):752-758. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2013.03.017</u>.
- 173. Busquets-Garcia A, Puighermanal E, Pastor A, de la Torre R, Maldonado R, Ozaita A. Differential role of anandamide and 2-arachidonoylglycerol in memory and anxiety-like responses. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2011;70(5):479-486. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/</u> j.biopsych.2011.04.022.
- 174. Sciolino NR, Zhou W, Hohmann AG. Enhancement of endocannabinoid signaling with JZL184, an inhibitor of the 2-arachidonoylglycerol hydrolyzing enzyme monoacylglycerol lipase, produces anxiolytic effects under conditions of high environmental aversiveness in rats. *Pharmacol Res.* 2011;64(3): 226-234. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phrs.2011.04.010.
- 175. Kinsey SG, O'Neal ST, Long JZ, Cravatt BF, Lichtman AH. Inhibition of endocannabinoid catabolic enzymes elicits anxiolytic-like effects in the marble burying assay. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav*. 2011;98(1):21-27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbb.2010.12.002.
- 176. Sumislawski JJ, Ramikie TS, Patel S. Reversible gating of endocannabinoid plasticity in the amygdala by chronic stress: A potential role for monoacylglycerol lipase inhibition in the prevention of stress-induced behavioral adaptation. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2011;36(13):2750-2761. https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2011.166.
- 177. Ciccocioppo R, Economidou D, Cippitelli A, et al. Genetically selected Marchigian Sardinian alcohol-preferring (msP) rats: An animal model to study the neurobiology of alcoholism. *Addict Biol.* 2006;11(3-4):339-355. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1369-</u> 1600.2006.00032.x.
- 178. Gray JM, Vecchiarelli HA, Morena M, et al. Corticotropin-releasing hormone drives anandamide hydrolysis in the amygdala to promote anxiety. *J Neurosci*. 2015;35(9):3879-3892. <u>https://doi.org/10.1523/</u> JNEUROSCI.2737-14.2015.
- 179. Natividad LA, Steinman MQ, McGinn MA, et al. Impaired hypothalamic feedback dysregulates brain glucocorticoid signaling in genetically-selected Marchigian Sardinian alcohol-preferring rats. *Addict Biol.* 2021;26(3):e12978. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/</u> adb.12978.
- 180. Gray JM, Wilson CD, Lee TT, et al. Sustained glucocorticoid exposure recruits cortico-limbic CRH signaling to modulate endocannabinoid function. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2016;66:151-158. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2016.01.004</u>.
- 181. Manduca A, Morena M, Campolongo P, et al. Distinct roles of the endocannabinoids anandamide and 2-arachidonoylglycerol in social behavior and emotionality at different developmental ages in rats. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2015;25(8):1362-1374. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroneuro.2015.04.005</u>.

- 182. Long JZ, Nomura DK, Vann RE, et al. Dual blockade of FAAH and MAGL identifies behavioral processes regulated by endocannabinoid crosstalk in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009;106(48):20270-20275. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/</u> pnas.0909411106.
- 183. Schlosburg JE, Kinsey SG, Ignatowska-Jankowska B, et al. Prolonged monoacylglycerol lipase blockade causes equivalent cannabinoid receptor type 1 receptor-mediated adaptations in fatty acid amide hydrolase wild-type and knockout mice. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2014;350(2):196-204. <u>https://doi. org/10.1124/jpet.114.212753</u>.
- 184. Khatri D, Laroche G, Grant ML, et al. Acute ethanol inhibition of adult hippocampal neurogenesis involves CB1 cannabinoid receptor signaling. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res.* 2018;42(4):718-726. https://doi.org/10.1111/acer.13608.
- 185. Shonesy BC, Bluett RJ, Ramikie TS, et al. Genetic disruption of 2-arachidonoylglycerol synthesis reveals a key role for endocannabinoid signaling in anxiety modulation. *Cell Rep.* 2014;9(5):1644-1653. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j. celrep.2014.11.001</u>.
- 186. Jenniches I, Ternes S, Albayram O, et al. Anxiety, stress, and fear response in mice with reduced endocannabinoid levels. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2016;79(10):858-868. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j. biopsych.2015.03.033</u>.
- 187. Bedse G, Hartley ND, Neale E, et al. Functional redundancy between canonical endocannabinoid signaling systems in the modulation of anxiety. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2017;82(7):488-499. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2017.03.002.
- 188. Winters ND, Bedse G, Astafyev AA, et al. Targeting diacylglycerol lipase reduces alcohol consumption in preclinical models. *J Clin Invest.* 2021;131(17):e146861. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCl146861.
- 189. Chang JW, Cognetta AB 3rd, Niphakis MJ, Cravatt BF. Proteome-wide reactivity profiling identifies diverse carbamate chemotypes tuned for serine hydrolase inhibition. ACS Chem Biol. 2013;8(7):1590-1599. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/cb400261h</u>.
- 190. Huggins JP, Smart TS, Langman S, Taylor L, Young T. An efficient randomised, placebo-controlled clinical trial with the irreversible fatty acid amide hydrolase-1 inhibitor PF-04457845, which modulates endocannabinoids but fails to induce effective analgesia in patients with pain due to osteoarthritis of the knee. *Pain*. 2012;153(9):1837-1846. <u>https://doi.org/10.</u> <u>1016/j.pain.2012.04.020</u>.
- 191. Mayo LM, Asratian A, Lindé J, et al. Elevated anandamide, enhanced recall of fear extinction, and attenuated stress responses following inhibition of fatty acid amide hydrolase: A randomized, controlled experimental medicine trial. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2020;87(6):538-547. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2019.07.034.
- 192. D'Souza DC, Cortes-Briones J, Creatura G, et al. Efficacy and safety of a fatty acid amide hydrolase inhibitor (PF-04457845) in the treatment of cannabis withdrawal and dependence in men: A double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel group, phase 2a singlesite randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2019;6(1):35-45. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(18)30427-9</u>.
- 193. Postnov A, Schmidt ME, Pemberton DJ, et al. Fatty acid amide hydrolase inhibition by JNJ-42165279: A multiple-ascending dose and a positron emission tomography study in healthy volunteers. *Clin Transl Sci.* 2018;11(4):397-404. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/</u> <u>cts.12548</u>.
- 194. Schmidt ME, Liebowitz MR, Stein MB, et al. The effects of inhibition of fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) by JNJ-42165279 in social anxiety disorder: A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled proof-of-concept study. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2021;46(5):1004-1010. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41386-020-00888-1.
- 195. Wagenlehner FME, van Till JWO, Houbiers JGA, et al. Fatty acid amide hydrolase inhibitor treatment in men with chronic prostatitis/ chronic pelvic pain syndrome: An adaptive double-blind, randomized controlled trial. *Urology*. 2017;103:191-197. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/</u> j.urology.2017.02.029.

- 196. Takizawa M, Hatta T, Iitsuka H, et al. Safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamics of ASP3652, a reversible fatty acid amide hydrolase inhibitor, in healthy, nonelderly, Japanese men and elderly, Japanese men and women: A randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled, single and multiple oral dose, phase I study. *Clin Ther.* 2020;42(5):906-923. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.</u> <u>clinthera.2020.03.021</u>.
- 197. Houbiers JGA, van Till JWO, Kaper M, et al. An adaptive randomized clinical trial in interstitial cystitis/bladder pain syndrome evaluating efficacy of ASP3652 and the relationship between disease characteristics and Hunner's lesions. *World J Urol.* 2021;39(6): 2065-2071. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00345-020-03372-z</u>.
- 198. Kerbrat A, Ferre JC, Fillatre P, et al. Acute neurologic disorder from an inhibitor of fatty acid amide hydrolase. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;375 (18):1717-1725. <u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1604221</u>.
- 199. van Esbroeck ACM, Janssen APA, Cognetta AB 3rd, et al. Activitybased protein profiling reveals off-target proteins of the FAAH inhibitor BIA 10-2474. *Science*. 2017;356(6342):1084-1087. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf7497</u>.
- 200. Deng H, Li W. Monoacylglycerol lipase inhibitors: Modulators for lipid metabolism in cancer malignancy, neurological and metabolic disorders. *Acta Pharm Sin B*. 2020;10(4):582-602. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.apsb.2019.10.006</u>.
- 201. Holleran KM, Winder DG. Preclinical voluntary drinking models for alcohol abstinence-induced affective disturbances in mice. *Genes Brain Behav.* 2017;16(1):8-14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gbb.12338</u>.
- 202. Kleczkowska P, Smaga I, Filip M, Bujalska-Zadrozny M. Cannabinoid ligands and alcohol addiction: A promising therapeutic tool or a humbug? *Neurotox Res.* 2016;29(1):173-196. <u>https://doi.org/10.</u> <u>1007/s12640-015-9555-7.</u>
- 203. Panagis G, Mackey B, Vlachou S. Cannabinoid regulation of brain reward processing with an emphasis on the role of CB₁ receptors: A step back into the future. *Front Psychiatry*. 2014;5:92. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2014.00092</u>.
- 204. Erdozain AM, Callado LF. Involvement of the endocannabinoid system in alcohol dependence: The biochemical, behavioral and genetic evidence. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2011;117(2-3):102-110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2011.02.003.
- 205. Sloan ME, Gowin JL, Ramchandani VA, Hurd YL, Le Foll B. The endocannabinoid system as a target for addiction treatment: Trials and tribulations. *Neuropharmacology*. 2017;124:73-83. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2017.05.031</u>.
- 206. McPartland JM, Guy GW, Di Marzo V. Care and feeding of the endocannabinoid system: A systematic review of potential clinical interventions that upregulate the endocannabinoid system. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(3):e89566. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0089566</u>.
- 207. Nomura DK, Morrison BE, Blankman JL, et al. Endocannabinoid hydrolysis generates brain prostaglandins that promote neuroinflammation. *Science*. 2011;334(6057):809-813. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1209200.
- 208. Mock ED, Mustafa M, Gunduz-Cinar O, et al. Discovery of a NAPE-PLD inhibitor that modulates emotional behavior in mice. *Nat Chem Biol.* 2020;16(6):667-675. <u>https://doi.org/10.</u> <u>1038/s41589-020-0528-7</u>.
- 209. Dong A, He K, Dudok B, et al. A fluorescent sensor for spatiotemporally resolved imaging of endocannabinoid dynamics in vivo. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2021. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/</u> <u>s41587-021-01074-4</u>.
- 210. Liu P, Hamill TG, Chioda M, et al. Discovery of MK-3168: A PET tracer for imaging brain fatty acid amide hydrolase. *ACS Med Chem Lett.* 2013;4(6):509-513. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/ml4000996</u>.
- Hattori Y, Aoyama K, Maeda J, et al. Design, synthesis, and evaluation of (4R)-1-{3-[2-(¹⁸F)fluoro-4-methylpyridin-3-yl]phenyl}-4-[4-(1,3-thiazol-2-ylcarbonyl)piperazin-1-yl]pyrrolidin-2-one ([¹⁸F] T-401) as a novel positron-emission tomography imaging agent for monoacylglycerol lipase. J Med Chem. 2019;62(5):2362-2375. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.8b01576.