Revised: 12 January 2021 Accepted: 17 January 2021

CLINICAL AND TRANSLATIONAL MEDICINE

WII FY

LETTER TO EDITOR

Identification of distinct metabolic characteristics of pneumonia in type 2 diabetes mellitus

Dear Editor,

Pneumonia is the leading infectious cause of death worldwide, with approximately 3 million annual casualties based on the World Health Organization data.¹ The incidence and short- or long-term mortality of lower respiratory tract infections in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) are much higher than those of non-diabetic patients.^{2–4} To our knowledge, the number of patients in China with T2DM was 88.5 million in the year 2017. The elderly have the highest prevalence of T2DM in China and are also more susceptible to pneumonia.^{5,6} Early detection and intervention in pneumonia patients with T2DM are crucial; however, few specific targets for this purpose have been identified.

In this study, we conducted ultraperformance liquid chromatography-tandem quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (UPLC-QTOF/MS) metabolome profiling of serum samples and a transcriptomic validation of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) to determine the metabolic characteristics of pneumonia in T2DM patients (Figure 1A, study scheme). All methods are detailed in Materials and Methods section of the Supporting Information.

Thirty-six pneumonia patients with T2DM, 31 nondiabetic pneumonia patients, 31 T2DM patients without pneumonia, and 31 healthy controls without pneumonia or T2DM were enrolled between March 2018 and December 2018 in the discovery set. Twenty-five pneumonia patients with T2DM, 31 non-diabetic pneumonia patients, 27 T2DM patients without pneumonia, and 27 healthy controls were enrolled between January 2019 and December 2019 in the validation set. The ages and sexes of the subjects in each group of the discovery and validation sets were balanced as closely as possible. Except for serum glucose level, there were no significant differences in the parameters between pneumonia patients with or without T2DM (Table 1). However, pneumonia patients with T2DM required longer hospital stays to achieve clinical stability compared with nondiabetic pneumonia patients in both the discovery set

Unsupervised principal component analysis (PCA) and orthogonal partial least-squares discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) were performed to characterize the metabolite patterns corresponding to the maximum separation between the included subgroups. As illustrated by the PCA score plot, patients with pneumonia were clearly separated from those without pneumonia under four different modules (Figure 2A). The OPLS-DA model revealed a significant differential metabolic profile between pneumonia patients with T2DM and healthy controls or T2DM patients without pneumonia (Table S1; Figures 1B-1E). According to the criteria of a variable importance in the projection > 1.0 and absolute covariance p(corr) value > 0.52 in the OPLS-DA model and p < 0.05 in the univariate analysis between pneumonia patients with T2DM and T2DM patients without pneumonia or healthy controls, 91 differential metabolites were ultimately identified (Figure S1, Table S2). Most were different types of lipids, including intermediate metabolites of glycerophospholipids and arachidonic acids (Figure 2B; Table S3).

There were 23 metabolites in the discovery set that met the criteria, with an area under the curve > 0.85, and an absolute log2 fold change > 0.585, which we considered the most significant differential metabolites between pneumonia patients with T2DM and T2DM patients without pneumonia or healthy controls (Figure 2C, Tables S4 and S5). The heatmap showed that arachidonic acid intermediates were significantly upregulated in pneumonia patients with T2DM compared to healthy controls, while phospholipid metabolites were significantly downregulated (Figure 3A). Strong correlations were found within groups of related metabolites, especially arachidonic acids and phospholipids (Figure 3B).

⁽Median [interquartile range,IQR] length of stay, 19.5 [13.3–26.0] days vs 12.0 [8.0–20.0] days; p = 0.009) and the validation set (Median [IQR] length of stay, 25.0 [14.0–36.0] vs 19.0 [10.0–27.0]; p = 0.108). This was consistent with previous findings in hospitalized patients with diabetes.⁷

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{© 2021} The Authors. Clinical and Translational Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of Shanghai Institute of Clinical Bioinformatics



FIGURE 1 The Scheme of exploration on metabolic profile of pneumonia patients with T2DM. (A) Scheme of this study. (B-E) The OPLS-DA score in four modules of metabolomics analysis via UPLC-QTOF/MS: organic extracts in the (B) ESI+ mode and (C) ESI- mode; aqueous extracts in the (D) ESI+ mode and (E) ESI- model. Permutation testing was carried out for the validation of the OPLS-DA model. Circles in red represent samples from healthy control, circles in blue represent samples from T2DM patients without pneumonia, and circles in green represent samples from pneumonia patients with T2DM.

3 of 8



FIGURE 2 Pathway and enrichment analysis with the differential metabolites. (A) Score plot from PCA of organic extracts in the ESI+ mode and ESI- mode and ESI- mode and of aqueous extracts in the ESI+ mode and ESI- model. Healthy subjects (red circle), T2DM without pneumonia (blue circle), non-diabetic pneumonia patients (yellow circle) and pneumonia patients with T2DM (green circle). (B) Pathway analysis with MetaboAnalyst on the differential metabolites between pneumonia patients with T2DM and healthy subjects or T2DM patients. Each circle in is a representative of a biological pathway and the size of the circle is enumerated based on the importance. (C) Cleveland plots of the differential metabolites between pneumonia patients whose AUC > 0.85, fold change > 1.5 and p-value < 0.05. The whole set of metabolites was ranked according to fold changes in the discovery set. The results of the discovery set were shown in orange and the validation set were in blue. (D) The joint pathway analysis by MetaboAnalyst on differential metabolites and genes between pneumonia patients with T2DM group and healthy subject group. Each circle in is a representative of a biological pathway, and the size of the circle is enumerated based on the importance and the validation set were in blue. (D) The joint pathway analysis by MetaboAnalyst on differential metabolites and genes between pneumonia patients with T2DM group and healthy subject group. Each circle in is a representative of a biological pathway, and the size of the circle is enumerated based on the importance.







FIGURE 3 Distribution and correlation analysis of the identified significant differential metabolites between pneumonia patients with T2DM and healthy subjects. (A) The heatmap of the amount of the 23 novel metabolic candidates in pneumonia patients with T2DM group and healthy subject group in the discovery set. (B) The heatmap of the amount of the 23 novel metabolic candidates in pneumonia patients with T2DM group and healthy subject group in the validation set. Correlation coefficients between the 23 most significant differential metabolites in pneumonia patients with T2DM group (C) in the discovery set and (D) in both the discovery and validation set. Only these coefficients of p value < 0.05 were shown.

TABLE 1 Clinical information of the subjects enrolled in the metabolomics study

Baseline characteristic	Discovery set	·			Validation set			
	Healthy subjects (n = 31)	T2DM patients (n = 31)	Non- diabetic pneumonia patients (n = 31)	Pneumonia patients with T2DM (n = 36)	Healthy subjects (N = 27)	T2DM patients (n = 27)	Non- diabetic pneumonia patients (n = 31)	Pneumonia patients with T2DM (n = 25)
Age, years	72.0 (65.0–79.0)	73.0 (71.0–79.0)	68.0 (62.0-82.0)	69.0 (65.0–77.0)	63.0 (60.0–68.0)	63.0 (62.0-65.0)	67.0 (54.0–74.0)	65.0 (56.5–76.5)
Male (n,%)	21 (68)	27 (87)	25 (81)	25 (69)	19 (70)	19 (70)	16 (52)	21 (84)
BMI, kg⋅m ⁻²	25.2 (23.5–25.9)	23.7 (22.2–25.3)	22.6 (20.8–26.3)	24.5 (22.6–26.8)	23.6 (20.7–27.0)	24.7 (21.4–27.1)	21.9 (21.0–23.7)	24.2 (22.1–26.1)
Leucocyte (× 10 ⁹ /L)	6.8 (5.6-8.3)	5.9 (5.1–7.1)	8.1 (4.7–11.7) ^a	6.5 (4.9–10.3) ^a	5.8 (4.8-6.4)	5.7 (5.0-6.9)	7.3 (5.8–13.3) ^a	7.5 (5.6–10.1) ^a
Neutrophil (× 10 ⁹ /L)	4.0 (3.2–4.9)	3.4 (2.9–4.1)	5.9 (2.9–8.3) ^a	5.4 (3.3–8.5) ^a	3.4 (2.7–3.8)	3.2 (2.9–4.1)	5.1 (3.4–11.1) ^a	4.8 (3.4–7.4) ^a
Lymphocyte(× 10 ⁹ /L)	2.0 (1.6–2.4)	1.5 (1.3–1.8)	1.1 (0.8–1.4)	1.0 (0.6–1.4)	1.7 (1.22–2.05)	1.9 (1.6–2.20)	1.2 (0.8–1.9) ^a	1.4 (1.0–2.1)
NLR	2.0 (1.7, 2.5)	2.3 (1.8, 2.9)	4.9 (2.3, 10.1) ^a	5.9 (3.4, 10.4) ^a	1.9 (1.8, 2.6)	2.0 (1.5, 2.3)	5.0 (2.3, 12.3) ^a	2.7 (1.9, 5.8)
Neutrophil, %	60.0 (53.0-65.0)	61.4 (56.7–66.7)	75.9 (60.2– 84.0) ^a	76.1 (69.3– 84.4) ^a	60.0 (55.0-65.0)	60.0 (51.9–63.7)	73.8 (62.2– 84.5) ^a	67.4 (59.0– 78.3) ^a
Lymphocyte,%	29.0 (25.0-33.0)	26.8 (23.7-32.1)	16.1 (8.3–26.2) ^a	13.7 (8.3–22.3) ^a	31.0 (21.0-35.0)	31.8 (27.5–37.1)	18.5 (7.3–27.7) ^a	23.0 (8.9–30.0) ^a
Albumin (g/L)	45.0 (42.0–50.0)	46.0 (44.3-47.8)	32.0 (27.0– 35.0) ^a	32.0 (26.3– 34.6) ^a	46.0 (40.0-51.0)	44.0 (42.8–46.0)	35.0 (28.0– 40.0) ^a	32.0 (30.0– 37.5) ^a
Creatinine (µmol/L)	70.0 (64.0–79.0)	84.0 (74.0-88.0)	74.0 (59.0–85.0)	72.0 (62.0–96.5)	75.0 (68.0–80.0)	70.5 (60.0–78.8)	75.0 (66.0–85.0)	71.0 (60.5–81.0)
Urea nitrogen (mmol/L)	4.6 (3.2–6.4)	5.6 (5.1–7.1)	5.3 (4.0–7.3) ^a	5.4 (3.4–7.5)	4.9 (3.6–5.6)	5.2 (4.1-6.4)	5.8 (4.4–7.2) ^a	6.5 (4.7–7.5) ^a
Glucose (mmol/L)	4.9 (4.4–5.4)	7.5 (6.8–9. 1) ^a	5.5 (5.0-6.4)	9.4 (7.2–11.8) ^{a,b}	5.1 (4.7–5.4)	8.8 (8.3–10.2) ^a	5.4 (4.9-6.6)	8.2 (6.1–12.5) ^{a,b}
D-Dimer (mg/L)			1.5 (0.3–4.1)	1.4 (0.6–3.2)			1.2 (0.3–3.6)	1.0 (0.3–3.6)
Fg (g/L)			4.6 (3.2-6.2)	4.1 (2.7–5.3)			3.8 (2.8–5.7)	3.9 (2.5-6.1)
CURB-65 score (n, %) 0−1 2 ≥3			21(68) 9(29) 1(3)	22(61) 13(36) 1(3)			19(61) 11(36) 1(3)	17(68) 5(20) 3(12)
Length of stay			12.0 (8.0–20.0)	19.5 (13.3–26.0) ^b			19.0 (10.0–27.0)	25.0 (14.0-36.0)

Data are presented as median (interquartile range) for continuous variables and n (%) for categorical variables.

^aRepresented p < 0.05 in the comparison of clinical parameters in T2DM without pneumonia, non-diabetic pneumonia patients, pneumonia patients with T2DM versus healthy subjects without pneumonia or T2DM by one-way ANOVA.

^bRepresented p < 0.05 in the comparison of clinical parameters in non-diabetic pneumonia patients versus pneumonia patients with T2DM.

Transcriptomic analysis was conducted on PBMC samples to validate the results of the untargeted metabolomics (Table S6, patient characteristics). The results of the gene set enrichment analysis indicated that the most significantly altered pathways were those related to IL-6 signalling, oxidative stress, and fatty acid metabolism, which were all upregulated in pneumonia patients with T2DM compared to healthy subjects and T2DM patients without pneumonia (Figure S2). The combined analysis of metabolomic and transcriptomic results suggested that glycerophospholipid metabolism was the most affected metabolic pathway by pneumonia in T2DM patients (Figure 2D, Table S7, Figure S3).

Based on the relative intensities of the metabolites from the normalised profiling data, one-way ANOVA post hoc tests were applied to reveal the significant differences in the 91 differential metabolites between each group. The Student-Newman-Keuls q results showed that the serum



FIGURE 4 The serum LPC (18:0) level for discriminating non-diabetic pneumonia patients and pneumonia patients with T2DM. (A) Decreasing trend of serum LPC (18:0) level in pneumonia patients with T2DM in relation to non-diabetic pneumonia patients. (B) Relative serum LPC (18:0) level in pneumonia patients with T2DM based on SMART-COP score. (C) Positive correlation between serum LPC (18:0) level and neutrophilevel and serum albumin level in pneumonia patients with T2DM. (D) Negative correlation between serum LPC (18:0) level and neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in pneumonia patients with T2DM. *p < 0.05 represents significant difference for the group compared with the healthy control group

Abbreviation: NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio.

lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC) (18:0) level was significantly decreased in pneumonia patients regardless of diabetes history, and was further decreased in pneumonia patients with T2DM compared to non-diabetic pneumonia patients (Figure 4A). A significant difference in the LPC (18:0) value was still observed after adjustment of serum glucose levels (Table S8). The LPC (18:0) value was significantly lower in the higher SMART-COP score group than in the 0–1 SMART-COP score group in pneumonia patients with T2DM (Figure 4B). Meanwhile, serum LPC (18:0) levels were positively correlated with serum albumin levels (r = 0.378, p = 0.004) (Figure 4C), but negatively correlated with neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR) (r = -0.332; p = 0.012) in pneumonia patients with T2DM (Figure 4D). Studies have suggested that there is a positive association between the decrease in plasma LPC levels and lethality in septic patients.⁸ In vivo studies also proved that LPC, especially LPC (18:0), markedly increased bactericidal activity. The mechanism may be enhancement of the bactericidal response of innate immunity.^{9,10} The small population size

and lack of adjustment for multiple comparisons in the identification of differential metabolites are limitations of our study.

In conclusion, the results presented here demonstrate that the levels of phospholipid metabolites are decreased in patients with pneumonia. Serum LPC (18:0) levels were significantly lower in pneumonia patients with T2DM than in non-diabetic pneumonia patients balanced by age, gender, and CURB-65 score, although overall metabolic changes were similar between the two groups. The LPC (18:0) level was positively associated with the favorable prognosis indices among pneumonia patients with T2DM. Our findings provide new perspectives for further studies to validate LPC, especially LPC (18:0), as a useful biomarker for improving the poor outcome of pneumonia in T2DM patients.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank BGI (Beijing Genomics Institute, China) for expert technical assistance in transcriptomics analysis. This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (grant numbers: 2017YFC1309700 and 2017YFC1309701) and by Shanghai Key Discipline for Respiratory Diseases (grant number: 2017ZZ02014). This work was also funded in part by a grant from innovative research team of high-level local universities in Shanghai and by Institute of Respiratory Disease, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of the research reported.

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

The Ruijin Hospital Ethics Committee approved the study protocol and received verbal informed consent because patients involved in the study, the data, and serum sample collection were all anonymized. All participants provided verbal consent prior to participation.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Jieming Qu and Min Zhou first drew up the study plan and clarified the aim of the experiment. Jingwen Huang, Ying Xie, and Daoyi Yuan designed the experiments in detail, collected and analyzed data, and wrote the paper. Jingwen Huang and Lingxi Guo recruited participants and collected the clinical data and samples. Jingwen Huang, Ying Xie, and Min Zhou did the critical revision of the manuscript. Jieming Qu and Min Zhou are responsible for the integrity of the work as a whole. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript to be published.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

> Jingwen Huang^{1,2,#} Ding Xie^{3,#} Daoyi Yuan^{3,#} Lingxi Guo^{1,2} Jieming Qu^{1,2} Min Zhou^{1,2}

¹ Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China ² Institute of Respiratory Diseases, Shanghai Jiao Tong

University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China ³ Department of Pharmacology and Chemical Biology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

Correspondence

Jieming Qu and Min Zhou, Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, No.197, Ruijin No. 2 Road, Shanghai 20025, China. Email: jmqu0906@163.com; doctor_zhou_99@163.com

[#]These authors contributed equally to this work.

ORCID

Jingwen Huang https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5233-9693

REFERENCES

- 1. World Health Organization. *The Top 10 Causes of Death 2019.* 2020. http://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ the-top-10-causes-of-death. Accessed December 09, 2020.
- 2. Carey IM, Critchley JA, DeWilde S, Harris T, Hosking FJ, Cook DG. Risk of infection in type 1 and type 2 diabetes compared with the general population: a matched cohort study. *Diabetes Care*. 2018;41(3):513-521.
- Koskela HO, Salonen PH, Romppanen J, Niskanen L. Longterm mortality after community-acquired pneumonia-impacts of diabetes and newly discovered hyperglycaemia: a prospective, observational cohort study. *BMJ Open*. 2014;4(8):e005715.
- Lepper PM, Ott S, Nuesch E, et al. Serum glucose levels for predicting death in patients admitted to hospital for community acquired pneumonia: prospective cohort study. *BMJ*. 2012;344:e3397.
- 5. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195

countries and territories, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2017. Lancet. 2018;392(10159):1789-1858.

- 6. Xu Y, Wang L, He J, et al. Prevalence and control of diabetes in Chinese adults. JAMA. 2013;310(9):948-959.
- 7. Valent F, Tonutti L, Grimaldi F. Does diabetes mellitus comorbidity affect in-hospital mortality and length of stay? Analysis of administrative data in an Italian Academic Hospital. Acta Diabetologica. 2017;54(12):1081-1090.
- 8. Drobnik W, Liebisch G, Audebert FX, et al. Plasma ceramide and lysophosphatidylcholine inversely correlate with mortality in sepsis patients. J Lipid Res. 2003;44(4): 754-761.
- 9. Yan JJ, Jung JS, Lee JE, et al. Therapeutic effects of lysophosphatidylcholine in experimental sepsis. Nat Med. 2004;10(2):161-167.
- 10. Smani Y, Domínguez-Herrera J, Ibáñez-Martínez J, Pachón J. Therapeutic efficacy of lysophosphatidylcholine in severe infections caused by Acinetobacter baumannii. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2015;59(7):3920-3924.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.