Research Article

Impact of Perioperative Blood Transfusion on Postoperative Complications and Prognosis of Gastric Adenocarcinoma Patients with Different Preoperative Hemoglobin Value

Lian Xue,^{1,2} Xiao-Long Chen,^{1,2} Zhang Wei-Han,^{1,2} Kun Yang,^{1,2} Xin-Zu Chen,^{1,2} Bo Zhang,¹ Zhi-Xin Chen,¹ Jia-Ping Chen,¹ Zong-Guang Zhou,^{1,3} and Jian-Kun Hu^{1,2}

¹Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, No. 37 Guo Xue Xiang Street, Chengdu, Sichuan 610041, China

²Laboratory of Gastric Cancer, State Key Laboratory of Biotherapy, West China Hospital, Sichuan University,

No. 37 Guo Xue Xiang Street, Chengdu, Sichuan 610041, China

³Laboratory of Digestive Surgery, State Key Laboratory of Biotherapy, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, No. 37 Guo Xue Xiang Street, Chengdu, Sichuan 610041, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Jian-Kun Hu; hujiankunwestchina@126.com

Received 25 June 2015; Revised 15 September 2015; Accepted 15 September 2015

Academic Editor: Fausto Rosa

Copyright © 2016 Lian Xue et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background. The impact of perioperative blood transfusion on the prognosis of gastric adenocarcinoma patients is still controversial. The aim of this study was to elucidate the impact of perioperative blood transfusion on postoperative complications and prognosis of patients who underwent gastrectomy for gastric adenocarcinoma with different levels of preoperative hemoglobin value (POHb). *Method.* From 2003 to 2011, 1199 patients who underwent curative gastrectomy were retrospectively enrolled and followed up to December 2014. Clinicopathological features and survival outcomes were compared between transfused and nontransfused patients. *Results.* In this study, transfused patients had more postoperative complications than nontransfused ones (P = 0.002). In survival analysis, the difference was not significant between transfused and nontransfused patients with POHb between 70 and 100 g/L (P = 0.191). However, in patients with POHb >100 g/L, transfused patients had significantly worse prognosis (P < 0.001), especially in TNM III stage patients (P = 0.002). And intraoperative blood transfusion predicted poor prognosis (P = 0.001). *Conclusion.* Perioperative blood transfusion might lead to poor survival in gastric adenocarcinoma patients with POHb >100 g/L and transfused patients had more postoperative complications; thus it is better to refrain from unnecessary perioperative blood transfusion.

1. Introduction

Gastric adenocarcinoma (GAC) is one of the most common malignant cancers in the world [1]. Surgery is considered to be the main treatment for GAC. Patients with cancers were prone to have anemia, and radical resection with extended lymphadenectomy might cause much blood loss during surgery; thus patients with low hemoglobin (Hb) value might receive perioperative blood transfusion.

Whether perioperative blood transfusion impacted on the prognosis of patients with cancer was still under debate. Some studies reported that blood transfusion was related to poor prognosis of patients with colorectal cancer, renal cell carcinoma, lung cancer, and breast cancer [2–6]. The possible reason might be that blood transfusion could cause immunomodulation, which decreased the activities of natural killer cells and increased the activities of regulatory T cells [7]. However, other studies held the opposite opinion, indicating that blood transfusion did not affect patient's outcomes [8, 9].

With respect to gastric adenocarcinoma, several researches had been carried out in order to find out the relationship among perioperative blood transfusion, postoperative complications, and prognosis, but it still remained controversial. Although some reports did not support the fact that blood transfusion was related to poor prognosis, others claimed that it did exist [10–14]. Some reports showed that transfused patients had more postoperative complications [13, 15].

In China, according to the clinical guideline of blood transfusion, patients with POHb lower than 70 g/L should be transfused, and there is no need of transfusion for patients with POHb >100 g/L [16]. However, there were few studies discussing prognosis of perioperative transfused patients with cancer grouped by different POHb. In our study, we divided the patients into different groups according to their POHb and tried to find out the relationship among blood transfusion, postoperative complications, and survival of patients in these different groups.

2. Methods

The West China Hospital research ethics committee approved retrospective analysis of anonymous data. Signed patient informed consent was waived per the committee approval, because it was a retrospective analysis.

2.1. Patients. From January 2003 to April 2011, 1199 patients who underwent curative gastrectomy with R0 resection for gastric adenocarcinoma at Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, were enrolled in our study. Patients with distant metastases, neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy, previous neoplastic diseases, and hematological pathologies were excluded from our study. We divided patients into three groups according to their POHb value: Group 1: patients with POHb \leq 70 g/L; Group 2: patients with POHb between 70 and 100 g/L; Group 3: patients with POHb >100 g/L. In Group 2 and Group 3, patients were divided into two subgroups according to whether they had perioperative blood transfusion. And all the patients in Group 1 had received blood transfusion. The clinicopathological features such as tumor size, tumor location, differentiation grade, and pathological TNM stage were recorded according to Japanese classification of gastric carcinoma by JGCA [17]. Other variables including operation time, intraoperative blood loss, and postoperative complications were also analyzed. Clinicopathological features and overall survival rates were compared among these groups.

2.2. Surgical Treatment. Gastrectomy plus lymphadenectomy was the mainstay treatment for patients with gastric adenocarcinoma. Patients with early gastric adenocarcinoma underwent D1/D1+, while patients with advanced gastric adenocarcinoma underwent D2/D2+ lymphadenectomy. All the operations were performed by expertise of surgeons specialized in gastrointestinal surgery according to Japanese Gastric Cancer Treatment Guidelines [18].

2.3. Perioperative Blood Transfusions. Perioperative blood transfusion was defined as either whole blood or packed red blood cells administered within 14 days before surgery, during surgery, or 14 days after surgery [14]. Usually, POHb \leq 70 g/L and significant intraoperative blood loss were general

indications for blood transfusion. The amount and time of perioperative blood transfusion were recorded.

2.4. Follow-Up. Regular outpatient visit was the first choice for follow-up, while telephones and mails were adopted as two main supplementary follow-up methods. Follow-up information was updated until December 2014. The main reasons for the loss of follow-up were the changes of phone number or home address and refusal of reexamination in our hospital.

2.5. Statistical Analysis. All the statistical analyses were performed with the statistical software SPSS 19.0 (SPSS, Chicago, Illinois, USA). All continuous values were presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Unordered categorical variable and ranked data were analyzed through chi-square test and rank sum test, respectively. Student's *t*-test was used to analyze continuous data if variance was homogeneity and distribution was normal. If not, rank sum test was used. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed to identify risk factors for need of perioperative blood transfusion. Survival curves were computed using Kaplan-Meier and compared by means of log-rank test. Multivariate analysis was performed by Cox regression test to find out independent prognostic factors. *P* value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Demography of Patients. In this study, 1199 patients with different POHb were included, with 345 (28.8%) patients receiving perioperative blood transfusion and 854 (71.2%) receiving no transfusion. 54 (4.5%) patients were in POHb \leq 70 g/L group while 257 (21.4%) ones were in POHb between 70 and 100 g/L group and 888 (74.1%) patients in POHb >100 g/L group. Among transfused patients, 177 (51.3%) patients received less than 2 units (200 mL/unit) of blood transfusion, 94 (27.2%) patients received 2–4 units, and 74 (21.5%) received more than 4 units. Ninety-six (27.8%) patients underwent preoperative transfusion; 210 (60.9%) ones underwent postoperative transfusion.

Comparisons of clinicopathological features between transfused and nontransfused patients were shown in Table 1. Of all the patients, transfused ones had significantly more combined organ resections (P < 0.001), more patients with open surgery (P < 0.001), and more intraoperative blood loss (P < 0.001). Postoperative complications seemed to occur more frequently in transfused patients (P = 0.002) especially pulmonary infection (transfused 18.8% versus nontransfused 13.7%). Table 2 showed the comparison between transfused and nontransfused patients in POHb between 70 and 100 g/L or POHb >100 g/L groups. Clinicopathological characteristics were similar with regard to sex, TNM stage, differentiation grade, macroscopic type, and tumor size in transfused and nontransfused subgroups of patients with POHb between 70 and 100 g/L (all P > 0.05). However, in the patients with POHb >100 g/L group, transfused patients had more advanced TNM stage (P < 0.001), larger tumor size

	Catagorias	Transfused	Nontransfused	Dyrahua	
	Categories	<i>n</i> = 345 (%)	n = 854 (%)	P value	
Age	Mean ± SD	58.4 ± 11.7	55.9 ± 11.5	0.001	
Gender	Male	244 (70.7)	605 (70.8)	0.967	
Gender	Female	101 (29.3)	245 (29.2)	0.907	
Combined organ resection	Yes	41 (11.9)	31 (3.6)	< 0.001	
Combined organ resection	No	304 (88.1)	823 (96.4)	<0.001	
	DG	193 (55.9)	519 (60.8)		
Type of gastrectomy	TG	86 (24.9)	160 (18.7)	0.055	
	PG	66 (19.2)	175 (20.5)		
Operation approach	Open surgery	325 (94.2)	725 (84.9)	< 0.001	
	Laparoscopic assisted	20 (5.8)	129 (15.1)	<0.001	
Concomitant disassas	Yes	182 (52.8)	403 (47.2)	0.081	
Conconntant diseases	No	163 (47.2)	451 (52.8)	0.081	
	U	72 (20.9)	221 (25.9)		
Longitudinal location	М	63 (18.3)	102 (11.9)	0.004	
	L	202 (58.6)	523 (61.2)	0.004	
	Whole	8 (2.2)	8 (1.0)		
Differentiation grade	Well/moderate	48 (13.9)	171 (20.0)	0.013	
Differentiation grade	Poor/undifferentiated	297 (86.1)	683 (80.0)	0.015	
Macroscopic type	Types 0–2	210 (60.9)	569 (66.6)	0.058	
Macroscopic type	Types 3–4	135 (39.1)	285 (33.4)		
Tumor size (cm)	Mean ± SD	5.7 ± 2.6	4.6 ± 2.5	< 0.001	
	≤4.5	120 (34.8)	461 (54.0)	<0.001	
	>4.5	225 (65.2)	393 (46.0)	< 0.001	
	T1	28 (8.1)	192 (22.5)		
Tistage	Τ2	37 (10.7)	121 (14.2)	< 0.001	
1 stage	Τ3	14 (4.1)	44 (5.2)	<0.001	
	Τ4	266 (77.1)	497 (58.1)		
	N0	84 (24.3)	319 (37.4)		
	N1	69 (20.0)	154 (18.0)		
N stage	N2	66 (19.1)	168 (19.7)	<0.001	
	N3a	90 (26.1)	157 (18.4)		
	N3b	37 (10.5)	56 (6.5)		
TNM stage	I/II	108 (31.3)	410 (48.0)	< 0.001	
	III	237 (68.7)	444 (52.0)	<0.001	
Operation time (min)	Mean ± SD	241.0 ± 61.9	232.8 ± 49.9	0.169	
Intraoperative blood loss (mL)	Mean ± SD	528.7 ± 282.2	414.2 ± 194.3	< 0.001	
	Stomach without tension	2 (0.6)	19 (2.2)		
	Wound infection	7 (2.0)	10 (1.2)		
	Anastomotic leakage	8 (2.3)	6 (0.7)		
Postoperative complications	Bleeding	9 (2.6)	3 (0.4)	0.002	
	Pulmonary infection	65 (18.8)	117 (13.7)		
	Others	14 (4.1)	16 (1.9)		
	Total patients	82 (23.8)	152 (17.8)		
Postoperative hospital stay	Mean ± SD	12.4 ± 10.6	11.7 ± 9.8	0.261	

TABLE 1: Comparison o	f clinicopathologica	l features of all transfused	and nontransfused patients.

SD: standard deviation, DG: distal gastrectomy, TG: total gastrectomy, PG: proximal gastrectomy, U: upper, M: middle, and L: lower.

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			Transfused ones with POHb hetween 70 and	Nontransfused with POH		Transfused ones with	Nontransfused with POH	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Categories	1 OLID CLIWCCII / V MILL	between 70 and 100 g/L	P value	POHb >100 g/L	>100 g/L	P value
Age Mathe 80.3 ± 10.3 65.3 ± 10.4 65.4 ± 10.5 55.4 ± 14.4 60.20 Gender Fennle 19.6 ± 10.5 55.4 ± 12.6 0.50 36.7 ± 11.4 0.50 Gender Fennle 16.7 ± 0.5 36.5 ± 5.5 0.200 40.9 ± 0.5 25.6 ± 10.5 55.6 ± 10.5 0.6 ± 0.5 Topolation No 13.4 ± 0.5 10.6 ± 0.5 0.6 ± 0.5			n = 150 (%)	n=107~(%)		n = 141 (%)	n = 747 (%)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Age	Mean ± SD	60.2 ± 10.8	57.4 ± 12.6	0.051	58.1 ± 11.2	55.7 ± 11.4	0.020
$ \begin{array}{c cccc} \mbox{there} & \mbox{fmale} & fm$	Conder	Male	108 (72.0)	69 (64.5)	0000	100 (70.9)	536 (71.8)	0 9.11
	Genuer	Female	42 (28.0)	38 (35.5)	0.700	41 (29.1)	211 (28.2)	0.041
$ \begin{array}{c cccc} \mbox{the location} & the$	Combined ermon recordion	Yes	16 (10.7)	1 (0.9)	0000	22 (15.6)	30 (4.0)	100.07
	Computed of gain resection	No	134 (89.3)	106 (99.1)	700.0	119(84.4)	717 (96.0)	100.02
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		DG	83 (55.3)	62 (57.9)		71 (50.4)	457 (61.2)	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Type of gastrectomy	TG	39 (26)	26 (24.3)	0.916	38 (27.0)	134(17.9)	0.024
		PG	28 (18.7)	19 (17.8)		32 (22.6)	156 (20.9)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Onon annonch	Open surgery	141 (94.0)	93 (86.9)	0.050	138 (97.9)	632 (84.6)	100.02
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Орен арргоасн	Laparoscopic assisted	9 (6.0)	14 (13.1)	000.0	3 (2.1)	115 (15.4)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		D	30 (20.0)	27 (25.2)		34 (24.1)	194 (26.0)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Tomoite discollection	Μ	26 (17.3)	16 (15.0)		27 (19.1)	86 (11.5)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	LULIBILIULIIAI IUCALIUII	Г	90 (60.0)	64 (59.8)	6/7.0	76 (53.9)	459 (61.4)	0.024
		Whole	4 (2.7)	(0) (0)		4 (2.9)	8 (1.1)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Differentiation anade	Well/moderate	22 (14.7)	17 (15.9)	0 788	16 (11.3)	154 (20.6)	0.010
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Differentiation grade	Poor/undifferentiated	128 (85.7)	90(84.1)	00 /*0	125 (88.7)	593(79.4)	010.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Macmic time	Types 0–2	91 (60.7)	64 (59.8)	0 800	86 (61.0)	505 (67.6)	0 177
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	macroscopic is be	Types 3–4	59 (39.3)	43(40.2)	0.00.0	55(39.0)	242 (32.4)	/71.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Mean ± SD	5.9 ± 2.6	5.5 ± 3.0	0.262	5.1 ± 2.5	4.5 ± 2.3	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Tumor size (cm)	≤ 4.5	47 (31.3)	41 (38.3)	0 745	60(42.6)	420 (56.2)	0.009
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		>4.5	103 (68.7)	66 (61.7)	0.42.0	81 (57.4)	327 (43.8)	
$ T \ \text{stage} \ T \ \text{stage} \ T \ \text{targe} \ T \ T \ T \ \text{targe} \ T \ T \ T \ T \ T \ T \ T \ T \ T \ $		T1	12 (8.0)	15 (14.0)		15 (10.6)	177 (23.7)	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T2	20 (13.3)	16 (15.0)		12(8.5)	105(14.1)	100.02
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	I stage	Τ3	6(4.0)	2 (1.9)	177.0	5(3.5)	42 (5.6)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		T4	112 (74.7)	74 (69.1)		109 (77.4)	423 (56.6)	
		N0	35 (23.4)	32 (29.9)		34 (24.1)	287 (38.4)	
		NI	32 (21.3)	20 (18.7)		26(18.4)	134(18.0)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	N stage	N2	32 (21.3)	26 (24.3)	0.228	27 (19.1)	142 (19.0)	< 0.001
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		N3a	36 (24.0)	21 (19.6)		38 (27.0)	136 (18.2)	
$ TNM stage \\ TNM stage \\ III \\ III \\ Operation time (min) \\ III \\ IIII \\ III \\ IIII \\ III \\ IIII \\ III \\ I$		N3b	15 (10.0)	8 (7.5)		16 (11.4)	48(6.4)	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	TNIM of a contract	I/II	49 (32.7)	46 (43.0)	0.001	44 (31.2)	364 (48.7)	100.02
	I INIM Stage	III	101 (67.3)	61 (57.0)	160.0	97 (68.8)	383 (51.3)	
	Operation time (min)	Mean ± SD	236.9 ± 55.2	228.4 ± 51.4	0.231	249.3 ± 64.6	233.5 ± 49.6	0.008
Postoperative complicationsYes32 (21.3)18 (16.8) 0.426 $37 (26.2)$ $134 (17.9)$ 0.027 Postoperative hospital stayNo118 (78.7)89 (83.2) 0.426 $104 (73.8)$ $613 (82.1)$ 0.027 Postoperative hospital stayMean \pm SD12.0 \pm 8.4 11.2 ± 6.7 0.428 13.2 ± 13.7 11.7 ± 10.2 0.146	Intraoperative blood loss (mL)	Mean ± SD	498.5 ± 215.2	408.5 ± 212.1	0.001	625.9 ± 421.5	415.0 ± 191.7	0.002
$ \begin{array}{ccccccc} r \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	Doctonerative coundications	Yes	32 (21.3)	18(16.8)	967.0	37 (26.2)	134 (17.9)	2000
Postoperative hospital stayMean \pm SD12.0 \pm 8.411.2 \pm 6.70.42813.2 \pm 13.711.7 \pm 10.20.146	r usuperative complications	No	118 (78.7)	89 (83.2)	071.0	104(73.8)	613 (82.1)	170.0
	Postoperative hospital stay	Mean ± SD	12.0 ± 8.4	11.2 ± 6.7	0.428	13.2 ± 13.7	11.7 ± 10.2	0.146

4

	Parameter estimate	SE	Adjusted OR	95% CI	P value
Age					0.073
Gender					0.097
Macroscopic type					0.256
Differentiation grade					0.786
Tumor size					0.302
TNM					0.438
Intraoperative blood loss	-0.002	0.001	0.998	0.997-0.999	0.002
Combined organ resection	2.540	1.047	12.674	1.629-98.640	0.015

TABLE 3: Multivariate analysis of risk factors for blood transfusion in patients with POHb between 70 and 100 g/L.

Adjusted OR estimated by the Cox model.

95% CI: 95% confidence interval, OR: odd ratio, and SE: standard error.

TABLE 4: Multivariate analysis of risk factors for blood transfusion in patients with POHb >100 g/L.

	Parameter estimate	SE	Adjusted OR	95% CI	P value
Age	0.799	0.322	2.224	1.184-4.178	0.013
Gender					0.481
Macroscopic type					0.829
Differentiation grade					0.113
Tumor size					0.957
Type of gastrectomy					0.402
TNM stage	-1.028	0.367	0.358	0.174-0.734	0.005
Longitudinal location					0.867
Intraoperative blood loss	-0.003	0.001	0.997	0.995-0.998	< 0.001
Combined organ resection	1.105	0.518	3.019	1.094-8.333	0.033

Adjusted OR estimated by the Cox model.

95% CI: 95% confidence interval, OR: odd ratio, and SE: standard error.

(P = 0.009), more poor differentiated grade (P = 0.010), and more postoperative complications (P = 0.027).

3.2. Risk Factors for Blood Transfusion. To identify risk factors for blood transfusion, univariate and multivariate analyses were performed in each group, respectively. The results of multivariate analysis of different groups were shown in Tables 3 and 4. In logistic regression analysis, risk factors for blood transfusion in patients with POHb between 70 and 100 g/L were significantly associated with intraoperative blood loss (P = 0.002) and combined organ resection (P = 0.015). However, risk factors for blood transfusion in patients with POHb >100 g/L were associated with age (P = 0.013), combined organ resection (P = 0.005), and intraoperative blood loss (P < 0.001).

3.3. Survival Analyses. Finally, 999 patients (83.3%) were followed up and included in survival analysis. The median survival time of transfused and nontransfused group was 46.3 (0.07–141.9) months and 111.5 (0–141.9) months, respectively. Three-year survival rates were 51% and 61% in transfused and nontransfused patients with POHb between 70 and 100 g/L, respectively. In transfused and nontransfused patients with POHb >100 g/L, the 3-year survival rates were 44% and 65%, respectively. In univariate analysis, age (P = 0.001), type of gastrectomy (P < 0.001), combined organ resections (P = 0.003), longitudinal location (P < 0.001), differentiation

grade (P = 0.009), macroscopic type (P < 0.001), tumor size (P < 0.001), pT stage (P < 0.001), pN stage (P < 0.001), pTNM stage (P < 0.001), and perioperative blood transfusion (P < 0.001) were associated with overall survival in all patients with gastrectomy in our study (Table 5). The multivariate analysis revealed that age (P = 0.005), tumor size (P < 0.001), and TNM stage (P < 0.001) were independent prognostic factors in gastric adenocarcinoma patients (Table 5).

3.4. Subgroup Analyses. Of all patients, Kaplan-Meier curve showed that transfused patients had significantly worse prognosis than nontransfused patients (Figure 1(a), P < 0.001). Nontransfused patients with POHb >100 g/L had better survival outcomes among the subgroups we divided in this study (Figure 1(b), P = 0.001). When comparing prognosis between transfused and nontransfused patients in POHb between 70 and 100 g/L and POHb >100 g/L groups, respectively, we found out that there was no significant difference in prognosis between transfused and nontransfused ones in POHb between 70 and 100 g/L group (P = 0.191, Figure 1(c)). However, in POHb >100 g/L group, the survival was significantly better in the nontransfused group than transfused group (P < 0.001, Figure 1(d)). We further divided patients according to their TNM stage (I/II stage; III stage) in POHb >100 g/L subgroup, and constituent ratios of differentiation grade, macroscopic type, and tumor size between these two

Dials fo atom	Catagorias	3 year overall survival rate (%)	Universite analysis Dyalue	Mu	tivariate	e analysis
KISK Idetors	Categories	5-year overall survival face (70)	Onivariate analysis 1 value	P value	OR	95% CI
Ago (voors)	≤60	64	0.001	0.005	1 308	1 084_1 579
Age (years)	>60	56	0.001	0.005	1.500	1.004-1.579
Condor	Male	59	0 191			
Gender	Female	63	0.171			
There a f	DG	66				
rype or gastrectomy	TG	48	< 0.001	0.254		
Sustreetoniy	PG	54				
Combined organ	Yes	44	0.003	0.104		
resection	No	61	0.005	0.104		
	U	53				
Longitudinal	М	59	< 0.001	0.934		
location	L	64	<0.001	0.754		
	Whole	17				
Differentiation	Well/ moderate	70	0.000	0.078		
grade	Poor/undifferentiated	58	0.009	0.078		
Manager	Types 0–2	68	<0.001	0.083		
	Types 3–4	45	<0.001	0.005		
T	≤4.5 cm	72	<0.001	<0.001	1 4 6 0	1 100 1 701
Tumor size	>4.5 cm	48	<0.001	<0.001	1.400	1.190-1.791
	T1	87				
Tistaga	T2	79	< 0.001			
1 stage	Т3	65	<0.001			
	Τ4	48				
	N0	84				
	N1	68				
N stage	N2	51	< 0.001			
-	N3a	38				
	N3b	23				
	I/II	83	<0.001	<0.001	2 6 0 7	2 0.02 2 256
I NM stage	III	43	<0.001	< 0.001	2.607	2.08/-3.230
Postoperative	Yes	52	0 110			
complications	No	61	0.110			
Perioperative	Yes	49	<0.001	0.070		
blood transfusion	No	64	<0.001	0.079		

TABLE 5: Univariate and multivariate Cox analysis for prognostic factors.

SD: standard deviation, DG: distal gastrectomy, TG: total gastrectomy, PG: proximal gastrectomy, U: upper, M: middle, and L: lower.

subgroups were similar. We found that, in stage I/II subgroup, the difference was not significant between transfused and nontransfused groups (P = 0.674, Figure 2(a)); however, in TNM stage III subgroup, survival outcome was remarkably better in nontransfused group than that in transfused group (P = 0.002, Figure 2(b)). In addition, a dose-response relationship between the amount of transfused blood and prognosis was not recognized (P = 0.153, Figure 2(c)), and the patients with intraoperative transfusion had obviously worse prognosis than those who had preoperative or postoperative transfusion (P = 0.001, Figure 2(d)).

4. Discussion

Variety of experiments had demonstrated that perioperative blood transfusion could result in immunological changes which might contribute to poor survival of patients [19, 20]. Although many studies had been carried out to evaluate the influence of perioperative blood transfusion on the prognosis of gastric adenocarcinoma patients, the results were still varied. Sánchez-Bueno et al.'s study showed that perioperative blood transfusion did not influence the survival of patients with gastric adenocarcinoma [11]. A study by Moriguchi et al.



FIGURE 1: (a) Survival analysis of transfused and nontransfused patients. (b) Survival analysis of transfused and nontransfused patients with different POHb. (c) Survival analysis of transfused and nontransfused patients with POHb between 70 and 100 g/L. (d) Survival analysis of transfused and nontransfused patients with POHb >100 g/L.

also revealed the lack of any relationship between perioperative blood transfusion and survival of patients with gastric cancer [21]. On the other hand, other studies had opposite opinion that blood transfusion resulted in worse prognosis of gastric cancer patients. Ojima et al. demonstrated that perioperative blood transfusion had a negative influence on survival of patients with gastric cancer [22]. A large retrospective study with 1710 patients by Hyung et al. found that blood transfusion was an independent risk factor for recurrence and poor survival [14]. However, all the studies mentioned above discussed the relationship between perioperative blood transfusion and prognosis without concerning POHb of patients. Clinical decision for blood transfusion was driven more by hemoglobin threshold. Whether patients with POHb >70 g/L should be transfused and whether perioperative transfusion could influence postoperative complications and prognosis of gastric adenocarcinoma patients with different POHb still remain unknown. Therefore, in our study, we divided gastric adenocarcinoma patients into different groups according to their POHb and tried to find out the impact of transfusion on postoperative complications and prognosis.

Our retrospective study showed that transfused patients had more postoperative complications than nontransfused ones. Other studies also demonstrated that transfused gastric cancer patients had more postoperative complications [13, 15]. We also found that pulmonary infection happened more frequently in transfused patients than nontransfused ones (transfused 18.8% versus nontransfused 13.7%). Maybe transfusion could disturb the immune system and cause high morbidity of pulmonary infection.



FIGURE 2: (a) Survival analysis of transfused and nontransfused TNM I/II stage patients with POHb >100 g/L. (b) Survival analysis of transfused and nontransfused TNM III stage patients with POHb >100 g/L. (c) Survival analysis of transfused patients with different units of blood. (d) Survival analysis of transfused patients with different transfusion time.

In our study, perioperative blood transfusion resulted in poor prognosis of patients with gastric adenocarcinoma but not an independent prognostic factor. However, this might be influenced by different distributions of clinicopathological features or operation approach between transfused and nontransfused subgroups. When patients were divided according to POHb, we found out that difference was not significant between prognosis of transfused and nontransfused patients with POHb between 70 and 100 g/L (P = 0.191). Survival outcome was significantly worse in transfused group than nontransfused group in patients with POHb >100 g/L; however, this difference might be caused by more advanced TNM stage patients in transfused group. Hence, we carried out survival analysis grouped by TNM stage (I/II stage; III stage) in patients with POHb >100 g/L. Distributions were similar with regard to sex, differentiation grade, macroscopic type, and tumor size in both subgroups. We found that transfused TNM III stage patients had worse prognosis than nontransfused patients, while differences were not significant between transfused and nontransfused patients in I/II stage subgroups. We concluded that perioperative blood transfusion contributed to poor prognosis, especially in stage III gastric adenocarcinoma patients.

Blood transfusion may regulate immunity system which may be harmful for patients with cancer. The explanation for the adverse effect of transfusion is probably immunosuppression which may be caused by decreased natural killer cell activity and increased suppressor T lymphocytes activity. Other suppressor factors such as anti-idiotypic antibodies may also be generated after blood transfusion [7, 23]. Heiss held the opinion that transfusion might have effect on minimal residual disease in resected-cancer patients which might lead to poor prognosis of them [24]. They witnessed a significant quantitative increase of tumor cells in bone marrow of transfused patients only during follow-up. In advanced stage, immunosuppression caused by transfusion may lead to progression of residual foci and also fail to clear cancer cells in bone marrow. Their finding supported our results that transfused patients had worse prognosis and more postoperative complications such as pulmonary infections, especially in TNM stage III patients.

There were some reports focused on the relationship between prognosis and amount of transfused blood. Hyung's study described significant differences in the prognosis between patients transfused with different units of blood [14]. However, in this study, we revealed that transfused patients with different units of blood did not show significant difference in survival outcomes which was similar to Ojima's and Kanda's results [15, 22]. Maybe it was transfusion itself which caused immunosuppression and poor prognosis rather than amount of blood transfused. We also found that patients with intraoperative transfusion seemed to have worse survival than preoperative and postoperative transfused patients. Surgical stress inhibited the immune system and might result in immunosuppression which led to poor prognosis of patients with intraoperative blood transfusion [25]. If we cannot avoid perioperative transfusion, we may avoid intraoperative transfusion at least.

This present study, which is one of the largest retrospective researches on Chinese patients, aims to excavate the relationship among Hb value, transfusion, and prognosis. In patients with POHb between 70 and 100 g/L, the difference was not significant between transfused and nontransfused patients. However, in patients with POHb >100 g/L especially TNM III stage, perioperative blood transfusion related to poor outcomes. Although transfusion did not affect much prognosis of patients with POHb between 70 and 100 g/L, blood transfusion still should be avoided for transfused patients who had more postoperative complications. And we also should avoid intraoperative blood transfusion for the adverse effect on prognosis. In our study, intraoperative blood loss was an independent risk factor for transfusion. Thus, as to surgeons, the best method to avoid perioperative transfusion is to reduce intraoperative blood loss, for example, careful anatomical dissection to avoid injury of blood vessels; using some technology or devices to reduce blood loss such as electrocoagulation, ultrasonic, laser devices, and collagen-sealing devices; reducing the length of incision and suture carefully.

Our study is a retrospective study which included 1199 Chinese patients in one center, and we did not analyze the effect of postoperative treatment such as adjuvant chemotherapy or radiotherapy on the long-term survival of our patients in this study. Thus it is necessary to carry out some prospective, randomized, controlled studies to examine the prognostic value of blood transfusion in gastric adenocarcinoma.

In conclusion, perioperative blood transfusion was related to poor prognosis of patients with gastric adenocarcinoma, especially in TNM III stage patients with POHb >100 g/L. Transfused patients also had more postoperative complications than nontransfused ones; thus it is better to refrain from unnecessary perioperative blood transfusion especially intraoperative transfusion.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the substantial work of Volunteer Team of Gastric Cancer Surgery (VOLTGA) based on Multidisciplinary Team (MDT) of Gastrointestinal Tumors, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, China. This work received domestic support from (1) National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 81372344, no. 81301866); (2) Sichuan Province Youth Science & Technology Innovative Research Team, no. 2015TD0009.

References

- A. Jemal, F. Bray, M. M. Center, J. Ferlay, E. Ward, and D. Forman, "Global cancer statistics," *CA Cancer Journal for Clinicians*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 69–90, 2011.
- [2] Y. Talukder, A. P. Stillwell, S. K. Siu, and Y.-H. Ho, "Comparing survival and recurrence in curative stage I to III colorectal cancer in transfused and nontransfused patients," *International Surgery*, vol. 99, no. 1, pp. 8–16, 2014.
- [3] R. S. Foster Jr., M. C. Costanza, J. C. Foster, and M. C. Wanner, "Adverse relationship between blood transfusions and survival after colectomy for colon cancer," *Cancer*, vol. 55, no. 6, pp. 1195– 1201, 1985.
- [4] B. J. Linder, R. H. Thompson, B. C. Leibovich et al., "The impact of perioperative blood transfusion on survival after nephrectomy for non-metastatic renal cell carcinoma (RCC)," *BJU International*, vol. 114, no. 3, pp. 368–374, 2013.
- [5] N. H. Hyman, R. S. Foster Jr., J. E. DeMeules, and M. C. Costanza, "Blood transfusions and survival after lung cancer resection," *The American Journal of Surgery*, vol. 149, no. 4, pp. 502–507, 1985.
- [6] P. I. Tartter, L. Burrows, A. E. Papatestas, G. Lesnick, and A. H. Aufses Jr., "Perioperative blood transfusion has prognostic significance for breast cancer," *Surgery*, vol. 97, no. 2, pp. 225–230, 1985.
- [7] H. S. Wu and A. G. Little, "Perioperative blood transfusions and cancer recurrence," *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 6, no. 8, pp. 1348–1354, 1988.
- [8] S. A. Müller, A. Mehrabi, N. N. Rahbari et al., "Allogeneic blood transfusion does not affect outcome after curative resection for advanced cholangiocarcinoma," *Annals of Surgical Oncology*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 155–164, 2014.
- [9] R. Warschkow, U. Güller, D. Köberle et al., "Perioperative blood transfusions do not impact overall and disease-free survival after curative rectal cancer resection: a propensity score analysis," *Annals of Surgery*, vol. 259, no. 1, pp. 131–138, 2014.
- [10] M. Bortul, L. Calligaris, M. Roseano, and A. Leggeri, "Blood transfusions and results after curative resection for gastric cancer," *I Supplementi di Tumori*, vol. 2, no. 5, pp. S27–S30, 2003.
- [11] F. Sánchez-Bueno, J. A. García-Marcilla, J. M. Pérez-Abad et al., "Does perioperative blood transfusion influence long-term"

prognosis of gastric cancer?" Digestive Diseases and Sciences, vol. 42, no. 10, pp. 2072–2076, 1997.

- [12] S. Rausei, L. Ruspi, F. Galli et al., "Peri-operative blood transfusion in gastric cancer surgery: prognostic or confounding factor?" *International Journal of Surgery*, vol. 11, supplement 1, pp. S100–S103, 2013.
- [13] F. Pacelli, F. Rosa, D. Marrelli et al., "Do perioperative blood transfusions influence prognosis of gastric cancer patients? Analysis of 927 patients and interactions with splenectomy," *Annals of Surgical Oncology*, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 1615–1623, 2011.
- [14] W. J. Hyung, S. H. Noh, D. W. Shin et al., "Adverse effects of perioperative transfusion on patients with stage III and IV gastric cancer," *Annals of Surgical Oncology*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 5–12, 2002.
- [15] M. Kanda, D. Kobayashi, C. Tanaka et al., "Adverse prognostic impact of perioperative allogeneic transfusion on patients with stage II/III gastric cancer," *Gastric Cancer*, 2015.
- [16] J. H. Liu and W. C. Lin, *Clinical Guideline of Blood Transfusion*, People's Military Medical Press, Beijing, China, 1998.
- [17] Japanese Gastric Cancer Association, "Japanese classification of gastric carcinoma: 3rd English edition," *Gastric Cancer*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 101–112, 2011.
- [18] Japanese Gastric Cancer Association, "Japanese gastric cancer treatment guidelines 2010 (ver. 3)," *Gastric Cancer*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 113–123, 2011.
- [19] P. Gascon, N. C. Zoumbos, and N. S. Young, "Immunologic abnormalities in patients receiving multiple blood transfusions," *Annals of Internal Medicine*, vol. 100, no. 2, pp. 173–177, 1984.
- [20] N. Blumberg, D. J. Triulzi, and J. M. Heal, "Transfusion-induced immunomodulation and its clinical consequences," *Transfusion Medicine Reviews*, vol. 4, supplement 1, no. 4, pp. 24–35, 1990.
- [21] S. Moriguchi, Y. Maehara, K. Akazawa, K. Sugimachi, and Y. Nose, "Lack of relationship between perioperative blood transfusion and survival time after curative resection for gastric cancer," *Cancer*, vol. 66, no. 11, pp. 2331–2335, 1990.
- [22] T. Ojima, M. Iwahashi, M. Nakamori et al., "Association of allogeneic blood transfusions and long-term survival of patients with gastric cancer after curative gastrectomy," *Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*, vol. 13, no. 10, pp. 1821–1830, 2009.
- [23] M. E. Brunson and J. W. Alexander, "Mechanisms of transfusion-induced immunosuppression," *Transfusion*, vol. 30, no. 7, pp. 651–658, 1990.
- [24] M. M. Heiss, H. Allgayer, K. U. Gruetzner et al., "Prognostic influence of blood transfusion on minimal residual disease in resected gastric cancer patients," *Anticancer Research*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 2657–2661, 1997.
- [25] M. Maeta, N. Shimizu, A. Oka et al., "Perioperative allogeneic blood transfusion exacerbates surgical stress-induced postoperative immunosuppression and has a negative effect on prognosis in patients with gastric cancer," *Journal of Surgical Oncology*, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 149–153, 1994.