

ORAL PRESENTATION

Open Access

Implantable cardioverter defibrillator implantation and degree of left ventricular scarring predict survival in patients with severe ischemic cardiomyopathy

Deboarh H Kwon*, Zoran B Popovic, Ernesto Ruiz Rodriguez, Carmel M Halley, Randall C Starling, Milind Y Desai, Thomas Marwick, Scott D Flamm

From 2011 SCMR/Euro CMR Joint Scientific Sessions
Nice, France. 3-6 February 2011

Introduction

Myocardial scar burden, measured by delayed hyperenhancement cardiac magnetic resonance (DHE-CMR), is an independent predictor of mortality in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy (ICM) and severe left ventricular (LV) systolic dysfunction. It is unknown if myocardial scar burden impacts the protective benefit of implantable cardioverter defibrillators (ICDs).

Purpose

In patients with severe ICM, we sought to assess the impact of LV myocardial scarring and ICD implantation on survival in patients with severe ICM.

Methods


Patients (n = 337) with $\geq 70\%$ disease in ≥ 1 epicardial coronary artery (77% men, median age 66 years, median LV ejection fraction [EF] of 22 %) undergoing CMR (Siemens 1.5-T scanner, Erlangen, Germany) between 2003-2007 were studied. CMR evaluation included long and short axis assessment of LV function on steady state free precession cine images along with assessment of myocardial scar (on inversion recovery DHE-CMR sequence ~ 10 -20 minutes after injection of 0.2 mmol/kg of Gadolinium dimeglumine). Scar was identified as regions of interest > 2 SD above normal myocardium. LV scar was categorized based on mean scar % and transmural extent (0 = none, 1 = 1-25%, 2 = 26-50%, 3 = 51-75%, and 4 = $> 75\%$). Total scar score was determined from the summed scar score of 17 segments per

patient divided by 17. 94 patients underwent subsequent ICD implantation. The composite end-point was cardiac transplantation and all-cause mortality. Results: Over a follow-up of up to 8 years, there were 107 events (102 deaths, 5 cardiac transplantations). Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis was used to select the optimum threshold of mean scar % $\geq 33\%$, total scar score ≥ 2.3 to predict death/transplantation. On multivariate analysis, only age, total scar score, diabetes, gender, and ICD implantation were independent predictors of mortality/transplantation (Table 1). ICD

Table 1 Multivariate Analysis Demonstrating Independent Predictors of Survival in Patients with Severe ICM

	Hazard Ratio (Confidence Interval)	P-value
Age	1.04 (1.02-1.06)	0.00011
Total Scar Score	1.42 (1.16-1.73)	0.00048
Diabetes	1.91 (1.27-2.87)	0.00155
Gender	1.75 (1.13 - 2.71)	0.01
ICD	0.59 (0.36 - 0.96)	0.029
HTN		0.50
Statin		0.89
Beta-blocker		0.36
ACE-inhibitor		0.98
Post-CMR CABG		0.48
Post-CMR MV repair/replacement		0.98
LV Ejection Fraction		0.26
LV End Diastolic Volume		0.24

Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland, OH, USA



resulted in significantly improved outcomes independent of scar burden (Figure 1 - log rank $p = 0.03$). However, amongst patients with ICD, mean scar % $\geq 33\%$ or total scar score ≥ 2.3 was still a powerful predictor of mortality/transplantation (Figure 1 - log rank $p = 0.04$).

Conclusions

In patients with ICM and severe LV dysfunction, ICD provides mortality benefit, irrespective of myocardial scar burden. Nonetheless, scar burden remains a

powerful predictor of mortality/cardiac transplantation even after ICD implantation.

Published: 2 February 2011

doi:10.1186/1532-429X-13-S1-O91

Cite this article as: Kwon *et al.*: Implantable cardioverter defibrillator implantation and degree of left ventricular scarring predict survival in patients with severe ischemic cardiomyopathy. *Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance* 2011 **13**(Suppl 1):O91.