



Rare Dihydropyrimidine Dehydrogenase Variants and Toxicity by Floropyrimidines: A Case Report

Raffaele Palmirotta^{1*}, Domenica Lovero¹, Hervé Delacour^{2,3}, Audrey Le Roy⁴, Serge Cremades^{3,4} and Franco Silvestris¹

¹ Section of Clinical and Molecular Oncology, Department of Biomedical Sciences and Human Oncology, Università degli Studi di Bari, Bari, Italy, ² Department of Biology, Military Training Hospital Begin, Saint Mandé, France, ³ Val-de-Grâce Military School, Paris, France, ⁴ Department of Oncology, Military Training Hospital Begin, Saint Mandé, France

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Christian Celia, Università degli Studi G. d'Annunzio Chieti e Pescara, Italy

Reviewed by:

Todd Skaar, Indiana University Hospital, United States Andrea Lapucci, Università degli Studi di Firenze, Italy

> ***Correspondence:** Raffaele Palmirotta raffaelepalmirotta@gmail.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Pharmacology of Anti-Cancer Drugs, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

> Received: 24 November 2018 Accepted: 18 February 2019 Published: 11 March 2019

Citation:

Palmirotta R, Lovero D, Delacour H, Le Roy A, Cremades S and Silvestris F (2019) Rare Dihydropyrimidine Dehydrogenase Variants and Toxicity by Floropyrimidines: A Case Report. Front. Oncol. 9:139. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2019.00139 Variations in the activity, up to absolute deficiency, of the enzyme dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPD), result in the occurrence of adverse reactions to chemotherapy, and have been included among the pharmacogenetic factors underlying inter-individual variability in response to fluoropyrimidines. The study of single-nucleotide polymorphisms of the DPYD gene, which encodes the DPD enzyme, is one of the main parameters capable of predicting reduced enzymatic activity and the consequent influence on fluoropyrimidine treatment, in terms of reduction of both adverse reactions and therapeutic efficacy in disease control. In this paper, we describe a patient with metastatic breast cancer showing signs of increased toxicity following capecitabine therapy. The DPD enzyme activity analysis revealed a partial deficiency. The study of the most frequent polymorphisms of the DPYD gene suggested a wild-type genotype but indicated a novel variant c.1903A>G (p.Asn635Asp), not previously described, proximal to the splice donor site of exon 14. After excluding the potential pathogenic feature of the newly-identified variant, we performed cDNA sequencing of the entire DPYD coding sequence. This analysis identified the variants c.85T>C and c.496A>G, which were previously described as pivotal components of the haplotype associated with decreased enzyme activity and suggested that both variant alleles are related to DPD deficiency. The clinical case findings described in this study emphasize the importance of performing complete genetic analysis of the DPYD gene in order to identify rare and low frequency variants potentially responsible for toxic reactions to fluoropyrimidine treatment.

Keywords: pharmacogenomics, capecitabine, fluoropyrimidine, dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase, gene variation, toxicity

BACKGROUND

Fluoropyrimidines, as fluorouracil, capecitabine and tegafur, are chemotherapeutic agents commonly used in the treatment of many solid tumors, including gastrointestinal, head and neck, and breast cancers (1). Up to 90% of the administered dose is physiologically inactivated in the liver by dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPD), an enzyme involved in the catabolism of the drug (2).

1

The *DPYD* gene (OMIM * 612779) which extends for 4,399 nucleotides and includes 23 coding exons on chromosome 1p22, usually presents a number of polymorphisms, transmitted in an autosomal recessive manner, which may result in a partial or absolute enzyme deficiency associated with an increased risk of toxicity (3, 4). Carriers of some of these allelic variants, even if heterozygous, are exposed to a high risk of developing severe toxicity such as neutropenia, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, stomatitis, mucositis, hand-foot syndrome, and peripheral neuropathy with sometimes even fatal outcomes following fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy (3–5).

Among around 450 missense *DPYD* single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) reported in NCBI dbSNP (6) to date, only approximately twenty of them acquire a functional significance. Four of these variants are considered to be of clinical relevance for recognized effects on the protein, their identified toxic effects, and for their population frequency (7, 8): c.1905G >A (rs3918290, also IVS14 +1G >A), c.1679T >G (rs55886062), c.2846A>T (rs67376798) and c.1129–5923C>G (rs75017182, HapB3). This last variant is in linkage disequilibrium with the synonymous variant c.1236G> A (rs56038477) in Europeans (7) and is often employed during mutational screening analysis.

Therefore, the main scientific consortia and working groups in this field suggest adoption of analysis of DPYD mutations and suggest a reduction in fluoropyrimidine doses, or the adoption of an alternate drug in case of heterozygosity or homozygosity, respectively (4, 7, 8). However, it has been suggested that other DPYD polymorphisms could contribute to the variability in therapeutic responses, while several of the more common DPYD variants to date show conflicting evidence regarding their effects (7). Genotyping methods appear to be a more reliable approach to identify patients at risk of serious adverse reactions, compared to pharmacokinetic analyses and evaluations of enzymatic activity (9). Thus, development of an extensive screening method is a suitable tool for validating the potential pathogenicity of some variants, as well as for the proper management of cancer patients undergoing fluoropyrimidine treatment (10).

CASE REPORT

An 81 year-old woman was admitted to our oncology unit (Military Training Hospital Bégin, Unit of Oncology, Paris, France) for the management of grade 3 diarrhea due to fluoropyrimidine-related toxicity. She suffered from an invasive ductal carcinoma of the left breast (Elston-Ellis grade 3, RH⁺ HER2-) diagnosed in 2007. Medical management was initially based on surgery, radiation therapy and chemotherapy (adriamycine and cyclophosphamide). Hormonal therapy (anastrozole then exemestane) was performed during the following 5 years (2008–2012), and then she entered a regular clinical and radiological follow-up program. As bone and hepatic metastases were diagnosed in 2016 and 2017, respectively, hormonal therapy (exemestane) and chemotherapy (paclitaxel) were reintroduced. In May 2018, given evidence of CNS progression with multiple cerebral metastases, capecitabine (1,500 mg twice a day) was administered. The patient presented signs of major toxicity requiring urgent hospitalization in our department 20 days after capecitabine treatment, and the main symptoms included diarrhea (grade 3) and asthenia (grade 3). She was admitted to our department for close monitoring, with intensive fluid and nutritional support.

Biological investigations performed at admission revealed hematological toxicity with grade 4 neutropenia (absolute neutrophil count: 0.31×10^9 /L; reference interval, $1.5-4 \times$ 10^{9} /L) and grade 4 thrombocytopenia (platelet count: 35×10^{9} /L; reference interval, $150-300 \times 10^9$ /L). The consequences of the severe diarrhea resulted in low blood levels of potassium (2.8 mmol/L; reference interval, 3.5-4.5 mmol/L), phosphate (0.4 mmol/L: reference interval, 0.81-1.45 mmol/L) and magnesium (0.63 mmol/L; reference interval, 0.7-1.05 mmol/L). Moreover, prothrombin time was increased (prothrombin ratio: 41 %; reference interval, >70 %) with a mild elevation of liver transaminase levels (ALT: 60 UI/L; reference interval, <33 UI/L and AST: 106; reference interval, < 32 UI/L) and a marked hypoalbuminemia (albumin level: 22.3 g/L, reference interval, 35-52 g/L). Stool cultures failed to detect bacterial pathogens, including C. difficile strains.

Capecitabine treatment was suspended upon the patient's admission to our service. The clinical evolution was slowly favorable: no diarrhea was observed after 72 h, and cell blood counts were normalized in 1 month.

DPD deficiency was suspected as a potential explanation for the severe toxicity following the first cycle of treatment with capecitabine. DPD phenotype assessment was performed by measurements of plasma uracil (U) and dihydrouracil (UH2) using an LC-MS/MS method (11). Analysis revealed a partial DPD deficiency according to the established criteria (U: 40.4 ng/mL, deficiency cut-off > 16 ng/mL and/or UH2/U ratio: 5.0, deficiency cut-off < 6) (12). The patient signed written informed consent for genotyping and related data for scientific research. The four DPYD SNPs most commonly observed in the Indo-European population were genotyped according to the current recommendations of the Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (7). The SNPs (c.1905+1G>A, rs3918290; c.2846A>T, rs67376798; c.1679T>G; rs55886062 and c.1236G>A, rs56038477) were genotyped using LAMP Human DPD deficiency kit (LaCAR MDx Technologies, Lièges, Belgium). None of the four variant were detected. However, an atypical profile of the melting curve relative to rs3918290 genotyping was observed (Figure 1). As the presence of an uncommon SNP was suspected, sequencing of DPYD exon 14 was performed which revealed that the patient harbored a SNP in a heterozygous state: c.1903A>G (p.Asn635Asp) (chromosome position 1:97915617, A/G). To the best of our knowledge, this is a novel variant and is not identified in any of the specific Ensembl, dbSNP, ExAC, Alfred, HGMD or LOVD databases.

In order to evaluate the impact of the proximity of this variant to the intron 14 mRNA splice donor site, we used the most popular *in silico* tools to predict potential functional alterations involving splicing sites. SIFT (Scale-Invariant Feature Transform, http://sift.bii.a-star.edu.sg/, accessed 28 September 2018) and

MutationTaster (http://www.mutationtaster.org/, accessed 28 September 2018) predictions suggested a deleterious effect for this variant, with scores of 0.002 and 23, respectively, while PolyPhen-2 prediction (http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/ pph2/index.shtml, accessed 28 September 2018) indicated a benign effect, with a confidence score of 0.371. The PROVEAN (Protein Variation Effect Analyzer-http://provean. jcvi.org/index.php, accessed 28 September 2018) tool indicated a neutral prediction with a score of -1.770, while the Human Splicing Finder (htt://www.umd.be/HSF3/index.html, accessed 28 September 2018) tool referred to an exonic splicing enhancer (ESE) mutation "Alteration of an exonic ESE site. Potential alteration of splicing." Furthermore, the SwissModel web tools (http://swissmodel.expasy.org/, accessed 28 September 2018) indicated that the missense variant did not affect the final structure of the protein.

Therefore, in order to characterize the potential pathogenic features of the identified variant, a peripheral blood sample stored in PAXgene Blood RNA Tube (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) was sent to the Oncogenomic Research Center (University of Bari, Italy) for further molecular analysis. Total RNA was extracted from whole blood using the PAXgene Blood RNA Kit (PreAnalytiX GmbH, Hombrechtikon, Switzerland) and reverse transcribed into cDNA with an iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA). Using a pair of primers spanning exons 13 and 15 of *DPYD*, the cDNA was amplified and sequenced using a 3500 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, 3500 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Sequence analysis performed on the cDNA tract including exons 13–15 did not indicate exon 14 skipping (**Figure 2**).

The cDNA was then used to perform real-time quantitative PCR assays using the iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix (BioRad) in the Step One Plus instrument (Applied Biosystems). The mRNA levels were measured using 3 replicates per sample, with the comparative threshold cycle (Ct) method using glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (*GAPDH*) and beta-actin (ACTB) as endogenous controls, and with related values calculated by Δ Ct. As controls, equal amounts of RNA extracted from whole blood of 3 subjects, previously genotyped and identified as wild-type for variants in the *DPYD* gene, were used. *DPYD* mRNA levels in the patient carrying the variant were



FIGURE 2 | Direct sequence analysis of the DPYD c.1903A>G, p.Asn635Asp variant detected in our patient. The upper panel shows the gDNA sequence of exon 14 and intron–exon border. The lower panel shows the patient cDNA sequence, performed with primers spanning exons 13 and 15, demonstrating the integrity of exon 14.



reduced by an average of 45% compared to those observed in healthy subjects expressing the wild-type *DPYD* gene. A potential limitation in this analysis is that it is difficult to normalize across patients in samples containing multiple cell types that have different expression levels of house keeping genes, but normalizing with either a structural gene and a metabolic gene gave similar results, suggesting the effective reduction of gene expression in the patient.

As a subsequent analytical step, 5 pairs of primers were designed to perform sequencing of a 3296 bp cDNA segment, including the 3078 bp coding sequence of the *DPYD* gene (**Table 1**).

Analysis of the results allowed the identification of the variants c.85T>C (Cys29Arg) and c.496A>G (Met166Val) recognized as the reference SNP (refSNP) Cluster Report rs1801265 and rs2297595, described with clinical significance of "*Pathogenic*" and "*With drug-response allele*" respectively (http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/snp/ accessed 28 September 2018).

The re-introduction of capecitabine at lower doses (1,000 mg twice a day) was associated with recurrence of adverse effects (grade 3 diarrhea and thrombocytopenia—platelet count: 88×10^9 /L) and treatment was stopped. Following this, new therapies were adopted (Palbociclib and Fulvestrant) until the patient died 3 weeks later.

DISCUSSION

Here, we describe the diagnostic procedure involving a breast cancer patient exhibiting fluoropyrimidine-related toxicity and subjected to *DPYD* mutational analysis. At first, during

 TABLE 1 | Primers used in the DPYD cDNA amplification and sequencing (RefSeq: NM_000110, Transcript ID: ENST00000370192.7).

Included Code sequence 5'-3' region		тм	Size (bp)
5'UTR-35- cDNA1 fw 916	TTGTCACTGGCAGA CTCG	55	951
cDNA1 rev	ATGTATAAAACCCCTG GTCC		
827–1946 cDNA2 fw EX 13-15 rev	TACAAAGCTGCTT TCATTGG	56	1,139
	TTGGCAAGTTCC GTCCAG		
1605–2291 EX 13-15 fw cDNA3 rev	TAGAAATGGCCGG ATTGAAG	58	686
	TCCATATGTAGTT CGCTTTGC		
2209–2875 cDNA4 fw A2846T rev	ACTGTCTCAGGT CTGATGGG	58	676
	TCATGTAGCATTT ACCACAGTTGA		
2781– A2846T fw 3'UTR+182	AAGCACTGCAGT ACCTTGGAA	59	482
cDNA5 rev	TTGAATGGTCATTGA CATGAGAC		
	Code CDNA1 fw CDNA1 rev CDNA2 fw EX 13-15 rev EX 13-15 fw CDNA3 rev CDNA4 fw A2846T rev A2846T fw CDNA5 rev	Code sequence 5'-3'cDNA1 fwTIGTCACTGGCAGA CTCGcDNA1 revATGTATAAAACCCCTG GTCCcDNA2 fwTACAAAGCTGCTT TCATTGGEX 13-15 revTIGGCAAGTTCC GTCCAGEX 13-15 fwTAGAAATGGCCGG ATTGAAGcDNA3 revCCATATGTAGTT CGCTTGCcDNA4 fwACTGTCTCAGGT CTGATGGGGA2846T revTCATGTAGCATTT ACCACAGTTGAA2846T fwAAGCACTGCAGT ACCTGGAAcDNA5 revTIGAATGGTCATGA CCATGTAGCATTGA	Code sequence 5'-3'TMcDNA1 fwTIGTCACTGGCAGA CTCG55cDNA1 revATGTATAAAACCCCTG GTCC56cDNA2 fwTACAAAGCTGCTT TCATTGG56EX 13-15 revTIGGCAAGTTCC GTCCAG58EX 13-15 fwTAGAAATGGCCGG ATTGAAG58cDNA3 revTCCATATGTAGTT CGCTTTGC58cDNA4 fwACTGTCTCAGGT CTGATGGG58A2846T revTCATGTAGCATTT ACCACAGTTGA59A2846T fwAAGCACTGCAGT ACCTGGAA59cDNA5 revTIGAATGGTCATTGA CATGAGAC59

TM, Melting Temperature; bp, base pair.

the molecular screening carried out to evaluate the variant IVS14 + 1G> A, a never previously described variant, c.1903A> G, was identified at the last codon of *DPYD* exon 14, encoding the amino acid substitution p.Asn635Asp. Therefore, to assess its potential pathogenic role, we used several Web tools to identify any possible deleterious effects on the protein. The prediction of its potential pathogenicity by several websites led us to perform both qualitative and quantitative mRNA analyses, which in all instances excluded any involvement of the splicing process, but confirmed a reduction in mRNA abundance.

At the same time, we also performed a complete mutational analysis of the *DPYD* gene, which revealed the presence of two other rare variants.

The c.496A>G (Met166Val) transition is referred to as "Damaging" by SIFT (score: 0.004), PolyPhen-2 (score: 1.00) and PROVEAN (score: -3.50). Analysis of the DPYD protein crystalline structure showed that this mutation has the ability to modify the integrity of the dimeric complex of DPYD, resulting in an alteration of a hydrophobic conserved three-dimensional environment determined by the interaction of amino acids L840, W849, Q852, V162, and I168 (13). A study conducted on 89 patients diagnosed with breast, gastroesophageal and colorectal cancer showed a significant G allele association with the phenotype of enhanced toxicity of grade III/IV (1). Other studies did not show a significant correlation between the presence of the variant and 5-FU toxicity (14, 15) and one study even demonstrated that the M166V variant exhibited significantly higher enzyme activity of approximately 120% compared to that of wild-type DPYD (16).

To date the c.85T>C (Cys29Arg) variant is considered neutral/tolerated by the SIFT algorithms (score: 0.19), PolyPhen-2 (score: 0.00) and PROVEAN (score: 0.08). The first *in vitro* studies performed on the c.85 T>C variant by analysis of expression in *Escherichia coli* resulted in a C29R inactive mutant DPD protein without residual enzymatic activity (17), while subsequent studies have shown a significant increase in functionality, exhibiting 13% higher activity than wild-type (18). Ocular side effects manifesting in the course of 5-FU-based chemotherapy have also been described in a subject carrying this mutation (19).

More recently the two mutations were included in a panel of 10 DPYD genetic variants for fluoropyrimidine-related adverse events (FAEs) retrospectively evaluated in the TOSCA (Three or six colon adjuvant) clinical trial (5). The study included 508 patients with surgically resected, stage III and high-risk stage II colorectal cancer, treated with 3 or 6 months of either FOLFOX-4 or XELOX adjuvant chemotherapy. The rs2297595 variant was one of the mutations that showed a shorter time-to-toxicity (TTT) with a 6.6 and 1.2 month TTT in the heterozygous and homozygous states, respectively. The deleterious effect of this variant is explained by the authors on the basis of the methionine-valine exchange at a site crucial for enzyme function and which is highly conserved through evolution (20). On the contrary, the same study did not show any association regarding the rs1801265 variant (5). Finally, a DPYD multi-SNP analysis performed to investigate the genotype-phenotype

correlation involving 5-FU metabolism showed that the presence of minor alleles of the SNPs rs1801265 (C) and rs2297595 (G) determining the formation of a haplotype (Hap4, estimated frequency 8% in the Western population), is associated with a marked reduction in the values of 5-FU degradation rate (5-FUDR) (21).

CONCLUSION

Here, we describe a diagnostic itinerary in a patient with metastatic breast cancer presenting symptoms of toxicity to capecitabine. In the first instance, during a mutational analysis of the four clearly pathogenic variants suggested by the main current guidelines, we identified a novel mutation at the terminal portion of exon 14. Despite the prediction of its pathogenicity by several computational algorithms, mRNA analysis allowed us to exclude a potential deleterious effect for this variant. However, the detection of reduced mRNA levels and the partial DPYD protein deficiency led us to extend the mutational analysis across the coding region of the entire *DPYD* gene. Numerous reports suggest today that the discovery of rare or novel mutations in the DPYD gene may account for an appreciable percentage of fluoropyrimidine toxicity in chemotherapy patients (22). Our analytical approach, based on the mutational analysis of the entire transcript of the DPYD gene in our patient, suggests that the rare variants identified may most likely be responsible for a reduction in mRNA levels, as also suggested by the previous scientific literature (1, 5, 17, 19, 20).

We believe that the solution adopted here, which provides a complete sequence analysis of the mRNA through the use of only 5 amplification reactions, provides a rapid and reliable method to identify rare sequence variants and splice site alterations (22). This can be an effective, inexpensive solution and is also

REFERENCES

- Gross E, Busse B, Riemenschneider M, Neubauer S, Seck K, Klein HG, et al. Strong association of a common dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase gene polymorphism with fluoropyrimidine-related toxicity in cancer patients. *PLoS ONE*. (2008) 3:e4003. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0004003
- Dobritzsch D, Schneider G, Schnackerz KD, Lindqvist Y. Crystal structure of dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase, a major determinant of the pharmacokinetics of the anti-cancer drug 5-fluorouracil. *EMBO J.* (2001) 20:650–60. doi: 10.1093/emboj/20.4.650
- 3. Palmirotta R, Lovero D, Silvestris E, Carella C, Felici C, Quaresmini D, et al. Characterization of a rare nonpathogenic sequence variant (c.1905C>T) of the dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase gene (DPYD). *Int J Biol Markers*. (2017) 32:e357–e60. doi: 10.5301/ijbm.5000260
- Palmirotta R, Carella C, Silvestris E, Cives M, Stucci SL, Tucci M, et al. SNPs in predicting clinical efficacy and toxicity of chemotherapy: walking through the quicksand. Oncotarget. (2018) 9:25355–82. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.25256
- Ruzzo A, Graziano F, Galli F, Galli F, Rulli E, Lonardi S, et al. Dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase pharmacogenetics for predicting fluoropyrimidine-related toxicity in the randomised, phase III adjuvant TOSCA trial in high-risk colon cancer patients. *Br J Cancer.* (2017) 117:1269–77. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2017.289
- Sherry ST, Ward MH, Kholodov M, Baker J, Phan L, Smigielski EM, et al. dbSNP: the NCBI database of genetic variation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2001) 29:308–11. doi: 10.1093/nar/29.1.308

achievable in most diagnostic centers and, in agreement with recent specific studies that identify an ideal screening for the identification of *DPYD* variants as "feasible and convenient, exceeding screening costs" (9, 23). Therefore, we hope that our experience can help to update specific databases and could be clinically relevant to address diagnostic paths useful for identifying fluoropyrimidine-related toxicity.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The authors state that they have obtained appropriate institutional review board approval with written informed consent from the subject. Informed consent was obtained from the patient in order to publish this case report. The subject gave written informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

RP, HD, and FS participated in the study's design and coordination, performed acquisition of data, and drafted the manuscript. DL and HD participated in molecular biology tests, data interpretation, and revised the manuscript. ALR and SC were resident in charge of patients during treatment and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the AIRC Associazione Italiana per la Ricerca sul Cancro [grant number 17536], from the Apulia Region (Oncogenomic Project and Jonico-Salentino Project).

- Amstutz U, Henricks LM, Offer SM, Barbarino J, Schellens JHM, Swen JJ, et al. Clinical pharmacogenetics implementation consortium (CPIC) guideline for dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase genotype and fluoropyrimidine dosing: 2017 Update. *Clin Pharmacol Therapeut*. (2018) 103:210–6. doi: 10.1002/cpt.911
- Shrestha S, Zhang C, Jerde CR, Nie Q, Li H, Offer SM, et al. Genespecific variant classifier (DPYD-Varifier) to identify deleterious alleles of dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase. *Clin Pharmacol Therapeut.* (2018) 104:709–18. doi: 10.1002/cpt.1020
- Deenen MJ, Meulendijks D, Cats A, Sechterberger MK, Severens JL, Boot H, et al. Upfront genotyping of DPYD*2A to individualize fluoropyrimidine therapy: a safety and cost analysis. J Clin Oncol. (2016) 34:227–34. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2015.63.1325
- Savonarola A, Palmirotta R, Guadagni F, Silvestris F. Pharmacogenetics and pharmacogenomics: role of mutational analysis in anti-cancer targeted therapy. *Pharmacogenomics J.* (2012) 12:277–86. doi: 10.1038/tpj.2012.28
- Jacobs BA, Rosing H, de Vries N, Meulendijks D, Henricks LM, Schellens JH, et al. Development and validation of a rapid and sensitive UPLC-MS/MS method for determination of uracil and dihydrouracil in human plasma. *J Pharmaceut Biomed Anal.* (2016) 126:75–82. doi: 10.1016/j.jpba.2016. 04.039
- 12. Meulendijks D, Henricks LM, Jacobs BAW, Aliev A, Deenen MJ, de Vries N, et al. Pretreatment serum uracil concentration as a predictor of severe and fatal fluoropyrimidine-associated toxicity. *Br J Cancer.* (2017) 116:1415–24. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2017.94

- Gross E, Ullrich T, Seck K, Mueller V, de Wit M, von Schilling C, et al. Detailed analysis of five mutations in dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase detected in cancer patients with 5-fluorouracil-related side effects. *Hum Mutat.* (2003) 22:498. doi: 10.1002/humu.9201
- Schwab M, Zanger UM, Marx C, Schaeffeler E, Klein K, Dippon J, et al. Role of genetic and nongenetic factors for fluorouracil treatment-related severe toxicity: a prospective clinical trial by the German 5-FU Toxicity Study Group. *J Clin Oncol.* (2008) 26:2131–8. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2006.10.4182
- Amstutz U, Farese S, Aebi S, Largiader CR. Dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase gene variation and severe 5-fluorouracil toxicity: a haplotype assessment. *Pharmacogenomics*. (2009) 10:931–44. doi: 10.2217/pgs.09.28
- Offer SM, Fossum CC, Wegner NJ, Stuflesser AJ, Butterfield GL, Diasio RB. Comparative functional analysis of DPYD variants of potential clinical relevance to dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase activity. *Cancer Res.* (2014) 74:2545–54. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-13-2482
- Vreken P, Van Kuilenburg AB, Meinsma R, van Gennip AH. Dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPD) deficiency: identification and expression of missense mutations C29R, R886H and R235W. *Hum Genetics.* (1997) 101:333–8. doi: 10.1007/s0043900 50637
- Offer SM, Wegner NJ, Fossum C, Wang K, Diasio RB. Phenotypic profiling of DPYD variations relevant to 5-fluorouracil sensitivity using real-time cellular analysis and *in vitro* measurement of enzyme activity. *Cancer Res.* (2013) 73:1958–68. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-12-3858
- Baskin Y, Amirfallah A, Unal OU, Calibasi G, Oztop I. Dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase 85T>C mutation is associated with ocular toxicity of 5-fluorouracil: a case report. *Am J Therapeut.* (2015) 22:e36–9. doi: 10.1097/MJT.0b013e31829e8516

- Mattison LK, Johnson MR, Diasio RB. A comparative analysis of translated dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase cDNA; conservation of functional domains and relevance to genetic polymorphisms. *Pharmacogenetics*. (2002) 12:133–44. doi: 10.1097/00008571-200203000-00007
- Gentile G, Botticelli A, Lionetto L, Mazzuca F, Simmaco M, Marchetti P, et al. Genotype-phenotype correlations in 5-fluorouracil metabolism: a candidate DPYD haplotype to improve toxicity prediction. *Pharmacogenomics J.* (2016) 16:320–5. doi: 10.1038/tpj.2015.56
- 22. van Kuilenburg AB, Meijer J, Maurer D, Dobritzsch D, Meinsma R, Los M, et al. Severe fluoropyrimidine toxicity due to novel and rare DPYD missense mutations, deletion and genomic amplification affecting DPD activity and mRNA splicing. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis.* (2017) 1863:721–30. doi: 10.1016/j.bbadis.2016.12.010
- Offer SM, Diasio RB. Is it finally time for a personalized medicine approach for fluorouracil-based therapies? J Clin Oncol. (2016) 34:205–7. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2015.64.2546

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Palmirotta, Lovero, Delacour, Le Roy, Cremades and Silvestris. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.