Session: 59. Healthcare Epidemiology: Updates in C. difficile Thursday, October 4, 2018: 12:30 PM

Background. Clostridium difficile infection (CDI) is the most common nosocomial infection, representing 12% of all hospital acquired infections. The risk for CDI is clearly linked to antibiotic (abx) exposure. Several studies, including one from our institution, indicate prophylaxis of patients who recently had CDI with oral vancomycin decreases the risk of a relapse when exposed to abx. In an effort to further analyze this, we examined all patients with CDI in our institution who received any abxafter the CDI and determined how that modified their risk of relapse.

Methods. All patients with a positive PCR for C. difficile at our institution between 2012 and 2014 were examined for receipt of abx within 3 months of a positive PCR. Patients who received metronidazole were excluded to remove the potential confounding effect. The relapse rate for all patients, patients who received abx, and patients who did not receive abx were calculated. Timing of the relapse from the last episode of CDI and from receipt of abx were determined.

Results. A total of 6,436 patients were identified, representing 8,000 episodes of CDI. The relapse rates and timing based on prior CDI episodes and receipt of additional abx prior to relapse are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Relapse Rates and Timing of Relapses Within 3 Months of CDI Episode

Category	Relapse Rate	Days Since Last CDI	Days Since abx
All episodes	12.5%	38.4	N/A
Received abx prior to relapse	11.8%	46	7.3
Received high-risk abx prior to relapse	12.4%	46.5	7.2
Received no abx prior to relapse	12.6%	36.9	N/A

There were 1,375 episodes of CDI where abx were given within 3 months of the episode. Of these patients, 33 received prophylaxis with oral vancomycin, and none of those relapsed within 3 months.

Conclusion. While abx clearly are the major risk factor for CDI, the receipt of abx after an episode of CDI does not change the overall rate of CDI relapse. However, when the timing of the relapses after abx is examined, the relapses occur both later in those who received abx than relapses in patients who do not receive abx and shortly after abx. It is likely that abx trigger relapses in patients who otherwise would not have relapsed. Oral vancomycin prophylaxis appears to be effective in preventing relapses in patients given abx after CDI.

Disclosures. All authors: No reported disclosures.

## 504. Change in Clostridium difficile Strain-Type Distribution After Implementation of Diagnostic Stewardship

Tracy McMillen, BS<sup>1</sup>; Hoi Yan Chow, MS<sup>2</sup>; Anoshé Aslam, MPH<sup>2</sup>; Jennifer Brite, DPH<sup>3</sup>; N. Esther Babady, PhD<sup>4</sup> and Mini Kamboj, MD<sup>3</sup>; <sup>1</sup>Laboratory Medicine, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York, 2Infection Control, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York, <sup>4</sup>Clinical Microbiology Service, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York

Session: 59. Healthcare Epidemiology: Updates in C. difficile Thursday, October 4, 2018: 12:30 PM

Background. The aim of this study was to evaluate the change in strain-type distribution after eliminating testing of formed and laxative induced diarrheal stool.

Methods. Beginning in July 2013, all Clostridium difficile-positive stool samples by Cepheid's GeneXpert were routinely typed using Multi-Locus Sequence Typing (MLST). MLST was performed as previously described (1). After implementation of rejection policy and re-education of staff, strain type (ST) distribution among tested samples were analyzed and compared with historic data.

Results. After evaluation of our historical typing data the 10 most frequent ST were identified. Diagnostic stewardship led to 40.0% reduction in testing volume, the positivity rate increased from 12.0% to 12.6%. The frequency distribution of threemost prevalent strain types (MLST-2, 8, and 42) declined by 38%, 60%, and 42%, respectively. The absolute number of epidemic strains, ST-1 and ST-11, remained unchanged and the frequency distribution increased from 9.6% to 14.0%. No clonal outbreaks were detected during this time.

Conclusion. Implementation of diagnostic stewardship led to a reduction in recovery of endemic strains without substantial impact on detection of hypervirulent or epidemic strains.

Disclosures. All authors: No reported disclosures.

505. Bezlotoxumab Reduces Recurrence of Clostridium difficile Infection in Immunocompromised Patients: Early Experience at a Tertiary Care Center Sally Askar, MPH1; Rachel M. Kenney, PharmD2; Ruth Conner, RN3; Mayur Ramesh, MD<sup>4</sup> and George Alangaden, MD, FIDSA<sup>4</sup>; <sup>1</sup>Central Michigan University College of Medicine, Mount Pleasant, Michigan, <sup>2</sup>Henry Ford Health-System, Detroit, Michigan, <sup>3</sup>Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, Michigan, <sup>4</sup>Infectious Diseases, Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, Michigan

Session: 59. Healthcare Epidemiology: Updates in C. difficile Thursday, October 4, 2018: 12:30 PM

Background. Bezlotoxumab (BEZ) was approved in 2017 for prevention of recurrent C. difficile infection (CDI), with a number needed to treat (NNT) of 10 reported in the registration trials. Little information is available on its effectiveness in high-risk populations. BEZ was added to the institutional outpatient formulary in 2017 for use in patients with CDI at high-risk for recurrent CDI (rCDI), i.e., history of solid organ (SOT) or hematopoietic stem cell (HCT) transplantation, active malignancy, chronic steroid (prednisone equivalent 20 mg/day), and failed fecal microbiome transplant (FMT). Patients that met criteria were referred by the antimicrobial stewardship team to the infectious disease clinic for BEZ insurance approval and administration. The goal of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness and safety of BEZ in this high-risk population.

Methods. The cohort of patients referred for BEZ were compared by those who received BEZ vs. those who did not receive BEZ (standard of care, SOC). The primary endpoint was rCDI at ≤100 days of BEZ infusion or end-of-treatment (EÔT). Secondary endpoints were time to rCDI and insurance status. Safety of BEZ was evaluated as infusion reaction ≤24 hours and death ≤100 days.

Results. Twenty-nine patients were referred for BEZ: 14 (48%) received BEZ. Patient characteristics are in Table 1. rCDI at 100 days occurred in 14.3% BEZ vs. 28.6% SOC (P = 0.3654) with an NNT of 7. Average time to rCDI was longer in the BEZ group vs. SOC (49 vs. 27 days). No infusion reactions or death were noted in the BEZ group. Insurance approval for BEZ was denied in 26.7%. Medicaid coverage was common in SOC (46.7% vs. 7.1%; P = 0.0191) and Medicare coverage was more common in BEZ group (71.4% vs. 33.3%; P = 0.0438).

Conclusion. Early experience with BEZ appears promising in a high-risk, predominantly immunocompromised population. The NNT to prevent rCDI was 7. Larger cost-benefit studies in immunocompromised and transplant populations are warranted.

Table 1: Characteristics of BEZ and SOC Patients

Variable	BEZ ( <i>N</i> = 14)	SOC (N = 15)	<i>P</i> -value
Age ≥60	57.1%	26.7%	0.1027
≥1 prior CDI episodes	50%	26.7%	0.2042
Average no. of prior CDI episodes	2	2	
Immunocompromised	78.6%	86.7%	0.5704
SOT recipient	42.8%	33.3%	
HCT recipient	21.4%	13.3%	
Active cancer	28.6%	26.7%	
Failed FMT	7.1%	6.7%	0.9667

Disclosures. All authors: No reported disclosures.

## 506. The Impact of Bowel Management System (BMS) on the Incidence of Hospital-Onset (HO) Clostridium difficile Infection Laboratory-ID Events Despite Diagnostic Stewardship

Justin F. Hayes, MD<sup>1</sup>, Rachael A. Lee, MD<sup>2</sup> and Bernard Camins, MD, MSc<sup>3</sup>; Division of Infectious Diseases, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, <sup>2</sup>Infectious Disease, Birmingham VA Medical Center, Birmingham, Alabama and <sup>3</sup>Infectious Diseases, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama

Session: 59. Healthcare Epidemiology: Updates in C. difficile Thursday, October 4, 2018: 12:30 PM

Background. Clostridium difficile infection (CDI) Laboratory identified events are reportable to CMS through the CDC's NHSN. Diagnostic stewardship has been shown to decrease the incidence by decreasing false-positive incidence. Bowel management systems (BMS) have been associated with transient loss of tone of anal sphincter muscles that result in diarrhea. These episodes of diarrhea may be misdiagnosed as CDI due to a false-positive test result. The objective of this study was to determine whether the use of BMS has resulted in false-positive CDI Lab ID events.

Methods. We performed a retrospective review of all HO-CDI Lab ID events from October 1, 2016 to December, 31, 2017 in a 1,157-bed tertiary academic medical center. Since 2013, several interventions were implemented to decrease the incidence of CDI Lab ID events. These interventions have included: (i) enhanced environmental cleaning, (ii) CDI testing algorithm, (iii) use of hydrogen peroxide enhanced terminal cleaning of high-risk units, and (iv) computer-assisted decision support diagnostic stewardship. Poisson regression analysis was performed to compare incidence rates. A P-value of ≤0.05 was considered significant.

Results. A sustained low and decreasing HO-CDI incidence was observed from 2013 to 2017 (7.9, 6.0, 7.1, 6.5 and 5.2 CDI/10,000 patient days; P = 0.01). An incremental decrease was observed when comparing the annual incidence in 2016 to the YTD incidence in 2017 (6.5 vs. 5.2 CDI/10,000 patient days; P < 0.001). Comparing the five quarters before diagnostic stewardship was implemented to post implementation, the CDI incidence decreased from 6.7 to 5.2 CDI events/10,000 patient days (P = 0.009). Of the 180 HO-CDI Lab ID events that occurred post-implementation of the diagnostic stewardship, 31 (17%) were cases in which the computer-assisted alerts were overridden and may have been false positives. An additional 12 (6.7%) cases occurred in patients who had BMS in place within 48 hours and 22 (12%) had BMS in place within 1 week.

Conclusion. Diagnostic stewardship through computer-assisted decision support is an effective method of reducing false-positive CDI Lab ID events. We found that an additional 12% of the HO-CDI are potentially false positives as these were obtained from patients who had BMS in place immediately before the positive test results. *Disclosures.* All authors: No reported disclosures.