DOI: 10.1002/cai2.33

REVIEW

Mesoscience in cell biology and cancer research

Haili Oian¹ | Adriana Sujey Beltran²

¹State Key Laboratory of Molecular Oncology, National Cancer Center/National Clinical Research Center for Cancer/Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China

²Department of Pharmacology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

Correspondence

Haili Qian, State Key Laboratory of Molecular Oncology, National Cancer Center/National Clinical Research Center for Cancer/Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, 17 Panjiayuan Nanli, Chaoyang District, Beijing 100021, China. Email: qianhaili@pumc.edu.cn

Adriana Sujey Beltran, Department of Pharmacology, University of North Carolina, 103 South Bldg Cb 9100, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA. Email: adriana_beltran@med.unc.edu

Funding information

National Key Research and Development Program of China, Grant/Award Numbers: 2022YFE0103600, 2021YFF1201300; CAMS Innovation Fund for Medical Sciences (CIFMS), Grant/Award Number: 2021‐I2M‐ 1‐014; National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant/Award Numbers: 81872280, 82073094; Open Issue of State Key Laboratory of Molecular Oncology, Grant/Award Number: SKL‐KF‐2021‐16; Independent Issue of State Key Laboratory of Molecular Oncology,

Grant/Award Number: SKL‐2021‐16

Abstract

Mesoscale characteristics and their interdimensional correlation are the focus of contemporary interdisciplinary research. Mesoscience is a discipline that has the potential to radically update the existing knowledge structure, which differs from the conventional unit‐scale and system‐scale research models, revealing a previously untouchable area for scientific research. Integrative biology research aims to dissect the complex problems of life systems by conducting comprehensive research and integrating various disciplines from all biological levels of the living organism. However, the mesoscientific issues between different research units are neglected and challenging. Mesoscale research in biology requires the integration of research theories and methods from other disciplines (mathematics, physics, engineering, and even visual imaging) to investigate theoretical and frontier questions of biological processes through experiments, computations, and modeling. We reviewed integrative paradigms and methods for the biological mesoscale problems (focusing on oncology research) and prospected the potential of their multiple dimensions and upcoming challenges. We expect to establish an interactive and collaborative theoretical platform for further expanding the depth and width of our understanding on the nature of biology.

KEYWORDS

mesoscience, biology paradigms, oncology, integrative paradigms

Abbreviations: cryo-EM, cryo-electron microscopy; DCs, dendritic cells; DPD, dissipative particle dynamics; EMMS, Energy Minimum Multiscale; LBM, lattice Boltzmann method;; LLPS, liquid‐liquid phase separation; LSPS, liquid‐solid phase separation; NET, nonequilibrium thermodynamics; TCR, T cell receptors; Tregs, regulatory T cells.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution‐NonCommercial‐NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non‐commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2022 The Authors. Cancer Innovation published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd. on behalf of Tsinghua University Press.

1 | THE CONCEPT AND ORIGINS OF MESOSCIENCE

Meso is rooted from Greek mésos, which means middle, and in the middle; is akin to Latin medius, which means mid. Mesoscale is traditionally termed as the space between the unit‐ and system‐scale. The use of the term in meteorology was first seen in 1959 (Fujita, T. (1959) J. Meteorol. 16:454–66). In the view of mesoscale, the essence of universal connection between objects is a contradictory unity; and the essence of the development is the replacement of old systems with new ones $[1]$ $[1]$. The mesoscale characteristics between each stage or level is distinct from that of their adjacent scales, and the research at mesoscale is a linker that combines multi‐ level information, which will discover the unknowns between the knowns. Therefore, studying the features of objects from the aspect of mesoscale is also called mesoscience, which is a new scientific perspective, with universal applicability across the disciplines, for establishing the inner connection between macroscopic and microscopic scales. Mesoscale‐driven exploration of the intrinsic relationship between every two scale units will be a distinctive atlas of the knowledge system in the future.

With the rapid development of scientific technology and the explosive expansion of knowledge in depth, collaborative and shared research has become the mainstream in contemporary science. Interdisciplinary and integrating research and applications are increasingly demanded to break the bottleneck in scientific frontlines. However, the specialization of each research field has also led to a prominent stratifications of information between disciplines and sub‐divisions, which hinders further knowledge mining and scientific advancement that can only be achieved under conditions of efficient disciplinary integration. To discover the scientific connections between different research levels from a new perspective and to promote the depth and breadth of knowledge integration, we need to refine or reforge the knowledge systems by further exploring the intrinsic connections between each scientific unit. Furthermore, to energize the scientific and social values of current knowledge system and discover neoteric laws of interaction between research units, we need to update the connotation of knowledge and scientific connections among various disciplines.

In the traditional research paradigm of natural science, we generally learnt the macro‐scale behavior of research objects, and then gradually delved into their micro‐scale intrinsic mechanisms to establish the correlation between the macro‐micro levels of facts using trans-dimensional evidence [\[2](#page-9-1)]. In fact, it is challenging to accurately explore the connection between macroscopic and microscopic research units because each scientific, at each level, is characterized by complex‐stage and multi‐scale, as well as different research methods and descriptive patterns. Specifically, the development of each scientific process undergoes multiple stages of high‐dimensional structure, so the interactions or connections between other scientific units are also multi‐scale. The accumulation of multi-dimensional and precise data and the weakness of cross‐disciplinary analysis make the understanding of each research unit one‐sided or even inaccurate, significantly obstructing existing knowledge to play roles in the scientific promotion and society service. Now, there is a clear understanding of the particle, atomic, molecular and macroscopic materials, and at the same time, knowledge of the scientific range between these scales, such as aggregates, dynamic properties of aggregates, and consequently their system‐scale behaviors, is much neglected, and quite challenging [\[3](#page-9-2)].

Classical case of mesoscale was an intermediate state of the process from Newtonian mechanics to quantum mechanics, that is, the quantization process, representing the gradual partitioning of objects until they approach the limit of scaling from geometrical optics to physical optics. Mesoscale or mesoscopy was first used to describe an electronic system close to phase coherence length that is associated with quantum coherence and transport [\[4\]](#page-9-3). Later, its principles and methods were gradually promoted and applied to the research of particle motion $[5]$ $[5]$, solidliquid phase change [[6\]](#page-9-5), and the development of functional materials [[7, 8\]](#page-9-6) in physical and chemical engineering, which markedly improved industrial efficiency. In a broad sense, mesoscale is not limited to the study of the absolute physical dimension but is a concept that refers to the specific scientific research between the unitary scale and the systemic scale, which applies to different disciplines, and is interdisciplinarities, including both natural and social sciences. The research at mesoscale covers the object with different volumetric sizes with a specific definition depending on the discipline units. In the natural sciences, elementary particles aggregate into atoms, molecules and even the earth and the universe at the hierarchical unit scale. Still the multiscale cognitive structure makes interdisciplinary research a very challenging attempt. Similarly, social science also has multi-layered attributes, such as individuals, families, cities, countries, and so on [[3\]](#page-9-2). Therefore, in the studies involving multiple units or disciplines, the investigation at the mesoscale level will be quite complex but indispensable. Mesoscale research is not only a challenge and bottleneck in all scientific fields, but also an opportunity for scientific breakthroughs.

2 | THE INTEGRATION OF MESO‐SCIENCE AND BIOLOGY

Life science combines the knowledge of mathematics, physics and chemistry to explore the mechanisms behind life phenomena from the macroscopic level to the microscopic level. Cells are the basic units of life, composed of biological macromolecules such as proteins, nucleic acids, lipids, and, importantly, dynamic interactions between a large number of molecules. In organisms, cells are constructed into tissues or organs, which are further organized into various life systems to conduct the life activities of the body [\[9\]](#page-9-7). To study the life activity in depth, the traditional field of biology has gradually developed different branch disciplines, such as zoology, plant microbiology, cell biology, molecular biology and so on. Therefore, in the context of interdisciplinary integration, new opportunities and challenges have emerged, such as biochemistry, biophysics, biomedicine, and bioinformatics and other interdisciplinary research, providing an opportunity to re‐integrate the laws of life in another kind of way.

Although the multi‐level research hierarchy provides more diversified theories and perspectives for life research, it also raises the elusive scientific problems arising from the boundary scales of the various biological levels and disciplines. Breaking the inertial thinking and the bottleneck of knowledge and integrating the unit scale to truly achieve the assimilation of disciplines is particularly important. In traditional research methods, we have accumulated many scientific theories and mechanisms of biological units and events such as molecular structure, signaling pathways, organelles, and cell types. However, it was still difficult to draw a dynamic network containing biological synergies of each level [\[10\]](#page-9-8). Due to the dynamic nature of biological units and the elusive scientific space between them, it is challenging to describe and predict the properties of the parent unit or the overall unit from the perspective of a single biological unit. For example, as one of the essential components of cells, proteins not only play the role of building cellular structures in solid phase, but also act in various chemical reactions in the form of solution phase. Interestingly, some proteins in the liquid phase do not mix, and little is known about how these liquid‐phase proteins affect the structure and behavior of cells. The separation of two immiscible liquids, such as oil and water, known as "liquid‐liquid phase separation," is a fundamental concept in physics, chemistry and engineering that is critical to the function of many proteins. Phase separation is ubiquitous in cell biology, such as in enzymatic reactions, where substrate molecules are enriched in

a specific phase, but reaction products are excluded from it; one example is the repair process after DNA damage, where the DNA repair‐related polymerase PARP1 produces phase-separated droplets [[11](#page-9-9)].

In addition to phase separation phenomena, many biological descriptions also reflect the mesoscale features of biological systems (other systems share common similarities), including nonlinearity, self‐assembly, dissipation, intermittent, disorder, and so forth. While there is strong interest in what happens during these processes and what their characteristics are, little is understood about the ways in which this space is explored, especially in nonmathematical disciplines such as traditional biology and medicine. For example, bridging our understanding of the kinetic characteristics of genomic chromatin accessibility, the condensation and solidification of chromatin, the dynamic structure and function of non‐membrane biomolecular clusters and their regulatory mechanisms, the structure of organelles and the significance of changes in their subcellular localization, and so forth, will advance our comprehension of complex systems. Thus if we can explore life phenomena between the hierachical units from a mesoscale perspective by integrating knowledge from different levels, we will produce a rapidly developing and forward‐looking research field [\[12\]](#page-9-10).

3 | MESOSCIENCE IN BIOLOGY (INCLUDING CANCER BIOLOGY)

In recent years, we have accumulated an increasingly astonishing amount of information on the fundamental theories and mechanism of biological events at each unit level. However, until today, we cannot map the dynamic networks composing the collaborative relationships among the units at the cell or organism level. Although, mesoscopic space exists between unitary scales, the biological rules are not easily or not suitable to be deciphered by conventional experiments and the techniques. Here, we discuss examples of biological units and highlight possible scientific challenges from the mesoscience perspective.

3.1 | Genome

Chromatin, the genetic material of eukaryotes, is a polymer of ribonucleoproteins (nucleosomes) that carry chemical signatures according to specific biological environments [[13\]](#page-9-11). The multi-scale nature of the genome, resulting from the physicochemical heterogeneity of chromatin, poses a great challenge to resolve chromatin states and corresponding genome functions [\[14](#page-9-12)–16]. Phase separation is a thermodynamic process in

which a mixture of molecules disintegrates into dense and dilute phases to reach a state with the lowest free energy [[17, 18](#page-9-13)]. It has been proposed that chromatin aggregation is a physiologically relevant liquid‐solid phase separation process driven by chromatin self‐ interactions [19–[21\]](#page-9-14). Also, under certain solution conditions, chromatin can also undergo liquid‐liquid phase separation (LLPS) $[22]$ $[22]$. These chromatin stages have significant implication. Furthermore, next-generation multi‐scale chromatin models attempted to use the atomic properties of nucleosomes to explain gene regulation and even phase separation of chromatin organization. All this knowledge lead to a paradigm shift in genomics research, bridging the gap between the atomic behavior of biomolecules and the genome‐wide properties at the cellular nuclear scale [[20, 23](#page-9-16)–25].

In the mesoscale view, genome transcription involves interactions of hundreds to thousands of nucleotides [[26\]](#page-9-17). During transcription, DNA is organized into mesoscale structures by forming supercoiled structures and changing the location of DNA‐packaging proteins in the genome [\[27](#page-9-18)–30]. Enhancer-driven transcriptional regulation is one of the major mechanisms mediating cell‐type‐ and signaling-pathway-dependent transcriptional diversity [\[31, 32\]](#page-10-0). Acutely activated transcriptional enhancers had been found to regulate chromatin assembly into hierarchical mesoscale structures with distinct physical properties [\[33](#page-10-1)–35]. Live imaging of transcriptional processes and transcriptional regulators revealed that transcription factors and gene-regulatory proteins form dynamic clusters up to 300 nm at their transcription sites in the nucleus [[36, 37\]](#page-10-2). Biophysical and biochemical techniques have shown that enhancer complexes are a new class of membrane‐less nuclear structures [\[36, 38, 39\]](#page-10-2). Exploring the biological interactions among various transcriptional processes at the mesoscale level is crucial to elucidate both cell‐type‐dependent and species‐dependent, common and unique principles of gene transcription.

3.2 | Plasma membrane and cytoskeleton

The fundamental mechanism underlying the division of different cellular processes at the cell surface is the establishment of plasma membrane heterogeneity, in which certain proteins, lipids and carbohydrates form specific membrane domains to perform different functions, such as signal transduction, material transport, and cellular adhesion [[40](#page-10-3)]. Signaling proteins on the plasma membrane do not diffuse freely on the membrane surface, but function in the form of highly dynamic signaling nanoclusters, which are characteristic organization of the

plasma membrane [[41, 42\]](#page-10-4). At the mesoscale, nanoclusters contain microdomains that support pathogen binding, cell adhesion, and cell recognition [43–[45\]](#page-10-5). For example, desmosomes are protein complexes with specific mesoscale lipid raft properties [[46, 47\]](#page-10-6), whose assembly, function, and disassembly all depend on raft dynamics. This dynamic process including anchoring keratin filaments to the plasma membrane through protein‐protein interactions which mediate vital cell adhesion that enables tissues to resist mechanical stress [\[48, 49](#page-10-7)].

Similarly, the cytoskeleton is an integrated network of filamentous proteins that organizes the cytoplasmic network to maintain cell shape, facilitate cell motility and build the compartment required to segregate genetic material [\[50, 51\]](#page-10-8). From the mesoscale view, the eukaryotic cytoskeleton is formed by a non‐covalent array of polymers, called microtubules [\[52, 53](#page-10-9)]. Thus, cells regulate the structure, assembly dynamics, and mechanics of microtubules by using a "microtubule protein code" that selects microtubule protein isoforms and exerting posttranslational modifications. This protein code, guides various microtubule morphologies and dynamics in different cell types, cellular cycles, and developmental stages [54–[56\]](#page-10-10). Thus, the complex and diverse spatiotemporal microtubule modification patterns are a function of the expression levels, subcellular distribution, substrate specificity, and kinetic parameters of posttranslational tubulin‐modifying enzymes [\[57, 58](#page-10-11)]. Consequently, deciphering the tubulin code is an interdisciplinary challenge that requires the combined efforts of physicists, chemists and geneticists.

3.3 | Immune system such as T cell antigens

To protect the host and limit autoimmunity, mature T cells can differentiate between foreign antigen and self‐ antigens [\[59](#page-11-0)]. Traditional mammalian $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ αβ T cells engage in host defense by utilizing surface T cell receptors to detect corresponding ligands on pathogens or tumors [[60, 61\]](#page-11-1). CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ $\alpha\beta$ T cells, regulatory T cells (Tregs) and dendritic cells (DCs) constitute a minimal control system regulated by intercellular circuits [62–[64](#page-11-2)]. Tregs, which are involved in the negative feedback on immune recognition, were found to recognize mesoscale T-cell antigens [[65](#page-11-3)]. Small fluctuations in the control system will significantly affect antigen recognition at the mesoscale level, thereby altering T‐cell response thresholds and autoimmune disease risk [\[66, 67](#page-11-4)]. Mesoscale studies of immune system regulation will open a new window for understanding the immune system and disease intervention.

3.4 | Mesoscientific characteristics of macro‐biology

The above descriptions were all problems of mesoscientific biology in the traditional sense. As stated above, "mesoscale" is a relative concept, and conceptually expanding the definition leads to broader mesoscientific questions. As research has progressed, it has come to be understood that biological systems in traditionally defined in eukaryotes and prokaryotes interact in a way that intersects in space and time, rather than acting independently. The atypical mesoscale structure has led to some previously unnoticed mesoscientific problems. In the mitochondria of eukaryotic cells, mitochondrial DNA can form mitochondrial nucleoids, and various proteins are dispersed in the mitochondrial matrix in form of granules or anchored on the inner mitochondrial membrane surface, affecting processes such as oxidative phosphorylation and energy supply [\[68](#page-11-5)]. With the emergence and development of spatial transcriptome technology, it has been found that some mesoscale structures are formed between cells. Depleted CD8⁺ T cells and tumor‐associated macrophages had been found to be spatiotemporally interdependent and maintain each other's maturation through persistent antigen‐specific synaptic contacts, constituting a microenvironment for immune escape [[69\]](#page-11-6). Circulating tumor cells (CTCs) are tumor cells that shed from the primary tumor and infiltrate and circulate in the bloodstream. When CTCs are transported in the blood, most CTCs undergo programmed cell death due to loss of cell adhesion [[70,](#page-11-7) [71\]](#page-11-7). However, a small fraction of CTCs forms CTC clusters that promote tissue invasion and tumor metastasis by interacting closely with platelets, neutrophils, macrophages, myeloid‐derived suppressor cells or tumor‐ associated fibroblasts (CAFs), thereby evading the immune system [[72](#page-11-8)–79]. Clusters of CTCs composed of distinct cellular fractions can be viewed as mesoscale structures between the immune system and tumors. CTC clusters can be isolated by microfluidic chips and nanotechnology to facilitate the study of CTCs as biomarkers for tumor diagnosis, prognosis, and therapeutic detection [\[80, 81\]](#page-11-9). In addition, some prokaryotic phenomena can be explained by applying mesoscientific hypotheses. Hu et al. (Hu (2022) Science 378(6615):85–9) applied the physics concept of "phase transition" to the study of gut microbiota. They found that only a small number of community-scale control variables are required to demonstrate a dynamic transition in microbial ecosystems from a stable equilibrium of all species coexistence to partial coexistence to persistent fluctuations in species abundance, enabling predictability of complex gut behavior in microbial ecosystems [[82\]](#page-11-10). Based on the above findings, many biological questions can be further answered by mesoscientific models or concepts.

3.5 | Tumor microenvironment

The development of single‐cell technology has brought us new knowledge about tumor composition [[83\]](#page-11-11). Taking cancer as a whole, the tumor microenvironment has typical mesoscale features. Tumor cells interact with stromal cells, extracellular matrix, cytokines, and signaling molecules to dynamically coordinate the complex and diverse tumor microenvironment. These complex interactions make the tumor microenvironment the hallmark of cancer [[84\]](#page-11-12). The stromal components of the tumor microenvironment are recruited from surrounding normal tissues. Stromal cells include mesenchymal cells, infiltrating immune cells, endothelial cells, and adipocytes. Tumors will reprogram the microenvironment to a state that preempts new metastases in distant organs by secreting exosomes or related factors [\[85\]](#page-12-0). All these tumor biological processes have a mesoscale scientific space. Attempts to use mesoscale mathematical models to build workflows for predicting tumor migration have led to advances in glioblastoma invasion and spread [\[86, 87\]](#page-12-1). Using atomic force microscopy to quantify the micromechanical characteristics of metastatic breast cancer and its surrounding bone microenvironment may shed new light on the mechanical characteristics of breast cancer bone metastases [\[88\]](#page-12-2). Tumors form a gradient hypoxic environment from the surface to the inside. In this context, mesoscale computational models can be used to simulate angiogenesis and oxygen transfer in the microcirculation [89–[92\]](#page-12-3). Furthermore, given mesoscale computational models, morphological and kinetic reprogramming patterns of tumor cells and stromal cells by the extracellular matrix can also be explained [[93, 94\]](#page-12-4). Mesoscale studies of tumor microenvironment may provide new clues and basis to developing novel tumor immunotherapy strategies.

4 | RESEARCH METHODS IN MESOSCIENCE BIOLOGY

According to Thea Newman, "mesoscale structure" can be adopted as a central conceptual framework for the study of various complex physical phenomena [[95\]](#page-12-5). By incorporating biological research methods, we can also apply the concepts and models obtained from physical systems to biology, especially cancer. By combining experimental approaches from microscopical biology,

276 \blacksquare \blacksquare

structural biology, and bioinformatics, we are able to build structural models of whole cells with molecular details. Emerging research techniques and methods are linking nanoscale molecular biology with microscale cell biology. This allows us to model and visualize the biological events at the mesoscale. Vibrant and dynamic digital cells or organisms can be created only by filling the mesoscale knowledge gaps in cell biology. Here, various methods for several mesobiological studies are presented.

4.1 | Microscopic imaging: Visual acquisition of raw images

Advances in microscopy techniques have provided opportunities for high‐resolution characterization of internal cellular structures. To determine the atomic (or near‐atomic) structure of biomolecules and biomolecular assemblies, cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) has been developed to a level comparable to X‐ray scattering techniques, becoming an important tool in structural and functional biology [[96](#page-12-6)–98]. Cryo‐EM is an indispensable new method in the field of molecular structure‐based drug discovery that favors keeping the sample in its native state through rapid freezing techniques and avoiding protein crystallization steps. This process preserves valuable structural information for pharmacological targets [\[99](#page-12-7)]. In addition, optical microscopy techniques are rapidly advancing. Super‐ resolution microscopy overcomed the diffraction limitations of conventional optical microscopy, enabling cross‐scale imaging from metal nanoparticles to single protein molecules to bilayer structures [\[100, 101\]](#page-12-8). Additionally, dynamic imaging capabilities are being added to new microscopy imaging techniques. Overall, these new methods of examining the internal structure of cells are the driving force behind many of the recent breakthrough discoveries in mesoscale science.

4.2 | Molecular composition and structural biology

Mesoscale models are a natural extension of the structural biology revolution [\[102\]](#page-12-9). While genomics provides the complete sequence information of proteins and nucleic acids that helps understand the molecular structure, proteomic techniques provide information on the properties and abundance of proteins in the different cell compartments [\[103\]](#page-12-10). In structural biology, structural models were built using data obtained through methods and procedures such as near‐atomic and low‐resolution imaging, cross-link confinement, and proteome identification [\[104](#page-12-11)]. Modeling platforms that simulate biological networks were combined with differential equations and graphical models to understand the structure and biological function of specific cellular regions such as nuclear pores [\[105](#page-12-12)–107]. For example, several research teams used transcription frequencies retrieved by chromosome conformation capture techniques to model bacterial chromosomes and eukaryotic chromatin [\[108](#page-12-13)–111]. Structural biology‐oriented integrated modeling techniques had also been applied to explore organelle structures, such as synaptic vesicles and photochromic vesicles [\[112, 113\]](#page-12-14). Thus, the integration of physical with biological approaches has significantly advance our understanding of complex interaction in biological systems.

4.3 | Computational biology: Digital processing under statistical laws

Biological processes consist of a large number of complex, multidimensional, multiscale systematic events that obey specific biological laws with stable endpoints. Using physical and mathematical methods, biological processes can be described in a hierarchical organizational framework to enable virtual engineering of processes [[114](#page-12-15)]. For physical systems that are not in thermodynamic equilibrium but can be represented by corresponding nonequilibrium variables, the range of the variables used to characterize systems in thermodynamic equilibrium, that is, the nonequilibrium thermodynamics (NET) methods, can be utilized [\[115](#page-13-0)]. NET had been used to describe how biological organisms develop disease and how cancer develops and occurs metabolically and genetically [\[116](#page-13-1)–118]. The mesoscale model "Energy Minimum Multiscale" (EMMS Model), abstracted from gas-solid fluidization, proposed a possible general principle of competitive compromise (EMMS principle), also had the mechanistic nature of bio‐applicability [\[1](#page-9-0)]. In an ecosystem, the mechanisms of functional biological units are coordinated and compromised in competition to form a biological system composed of multi‐scale dynamic structures. Dynamic stability, as the result of a competitive compromise, unifies and coordinates all levels of units and mesoscales regional laws. For cancer research, each stage of tumor progression is a process in which new biological properties emerging in tumor cells cooperate and compromise in competition to reach a new stable stage (Figure [1\)](#page-6-0). Due to the mesoscale, tumor formation is just an evolutionary process that reflects the law of compromise in competition.

CANCER INNOVATION C and C

Tumor dominance

FIGURE 1 Competition between normal cells and tumor cells during tumor progression

5 | FUTURE APPLICATIONS OF MESOSCIENCE IN BIOLOGY AND ONCOLOGY

Introducing mesoscale concepts and models to biological research may revolutionize our traditional understanding of cellular structure and function, and thus their applications. As discussed earlier, mesoscale models have been applied to the study of the genomes, plasma membrane cytoskeletons, cell surface receptors, and so forth, filling the knowledge gaps of traditional methods in cell biology and molecular biology. In the future, the mesoscale models in the cellular environment will provide logical new thinking for scientific hypotheses, preliminary models for simulating and interpreting the experiments, and new opportunities for drug development [\[102](#page-12-9)].

In addition to describing traditional biological activities, mesoscience extends further into disease areas such as oncology. The tumor is not only an organism with a definite development endpoint that strictly follows the principle of life activities, but also an abnormal organism that metastasizes at the development endpoint and can further metastasize as the disease progresses. It maintains the same hierarchical unit as a normal organism in its structural and functional patterns, but its cellular activity in mesoscale space between adjacent hierarchical units is altered,

resulting in a comprehensive disorder of the structure and behavior between cancer cells and their normal counterparts in mesoscale space. Both the mesoscale space and entropy at each level are expanded in cancer compared to the corresponding putative non‐cancer state. In this view, cancer can be seen as a disease with disordered properties in mesoscale space that is overexploited. This may be the reason why after decades of efforts, we still searching for the true nature of the differences between tumors and normal tissues at a single scale level. Tumor is a disease with high spatiotemporal heterogeneity and disordered biological phenotypes, which may reflect abnormalities at mesoscale levels, such as epigenetic modifications, transcriptional regulation, alternative splicing events, etc. Therefore, there is an urgent need to introduce a new paradigm that leverage our understanding of the complex relationships in biological systems. By bringing mesoscience concepts to tumor biology research, for example, using evolutionary models and bynamic systematic theories we could verify the principle of compromise in competition (competitive coordination) and the spiral of compromise in competition (i.e., the evolution of cancer). Suppose the biological processes can be virtualized and engineered, biological research will usher in a revolutionary era of controllable, predictable, and even manufacturable biological processes. Precise intervention or even reversal of cancer is then possible.

6 | FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND CHALLENGES OF MESOSCIENCE IN BIOLOGICAL RESEARCH

Mesoscale space is not a scale space created by the imagination of theoretical researchers, but a scientific existence that has been verified in practice or is being verified by scientists and engineers with the help of rapid technological development and understanding of the real world, and is more and more widely recognized by broad disciplinary research fields. Mesoscale research is a more adventurous study of the common nature of the various disciplines, reflecting the complexity of multiphase reactions with materiality and abstraction [[1\]](#page-9-0). As a foundational branch of natural science, biology is not only the basic elements of other disciplines, but also indispensable for social development and improving the quality of human life. Mesoscale biological research is a new field of modern biological exploration, which is of great significance but also full of challenges.

6.1 | Challenges to traditional scientific theories

Traditionally, biological research explored individual units such as biomacromolecules, cells, tissues, individuals, species, and biospheres, but no biological research can be studied alone at a scale. For example, genetics research focuses on both microscopic molecular genetics and macroecological genetics, while immunological research addresses interactions between ligands and receptors and immune responses at the organ or individual level, and oncology research covers everything from molecular mechanisms to cancer incidence in the population. With the introduction of mesoscience into biological research, experts realized that the subdivision in biological research is dynamic and continuous, and research areas between units were mostly neglected $[1]$ $[1]$. For what is currently known or recognized theories, the introduction of mesoscience has produced a "double-edged sword" effect, which can not only complete the traditional understanding with a novel vision, but also revise or subvert the traditional biological principles. As mentioned above, working from a mesoscience perspective, the researchers found that the condensed chromatin in the interphase nucleus exhibits solid‐like properties, providing mechanical strength to the interphase nucleus and facilitating the spatial organization of nucleus by concentrating the binding sites of chromatin‐ binding proteins [[20](#page-9-16)]. This was an important completion for cell cycle and transcription studies. Cellular compartmentalization is one of the essential features, and different organelles or subcellular organelles are strictly restricted to

278 | CANCER INNOVATION

their functional locations. Mesoscience researchers have investigated how cellular compartmentalization uses measures of phase separation to modulate cellular function, thereby having a major impact on the known functions of organelles or subcellular organelles [\[119\]](#page-13-2). As a result, combining mesoscience with biology often leads to some surprising new conclusions that will be vigorously challenged by widely accepted traditional theories and by peer review from scientific colleagues. Of course, these challenges also put forward higher requirements for subsequent mesoscale biological research.

6.2 | Research platform construction

Interdisciplinary research platforms are also one of the major barriers to mesoscale biological research. As mentioned before, mesoscale was first validated and applied in the study of stochastic processes in physics and chemistry, and subsequent mesoscale research was mainly conducted in the fields of physical and chemical engineering, although it has been reported, but it is still emerging in the biological sciences [\[120, 121\]](#page-13-3). Some scientists intuitively believed that mesoscale studies in biology are that somewhere between the molecular and cellular scales, on the order of 10 nm to $0.1 \mu m$ in length. As mesoscience is gradually integrated into other disciplines, the definition of this physical scale is being redefined. Mesoscale atomic details are often too small to be dissected by microscopy, and too large and inhomogeneous to be examined by X‐ray crystallography and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy [[122\]](#page-13-4). For instance, the cell tomography methods provide the most detailed view of experiments at this level and have had many successes in the localizing macromolecules such as ribosomes to whole cells [\[123](#page-13-5)]. However, while this mesoscale atomic resolution view is useful for hypothesis generation, scientific communication, and simulation, its visualization resolution is still insufficient for smaller and smaller research scales [\[122](#page-13-4)]. In recent years, cryo-electron tomography and super‐resolution microscopy, combined with modeling and simulation, have played a significant role in bridging different scales [[124\]](#page-13-6). There are relatively successful research models, including phase separation, which is ubiquitous in cell biology, and LLPS has become a classic paradigm for how membrane‐less organelles participate in different cellular activities [[125\]](#page-13-7). Furthermore, by combining Bessel Focusing Module into two‐photon fluorescence mesoscopic microscopy, the researchers achieved fast volumetric imaging of mesoscale neural activity in synapsis resolution [\[126](#page-13-8)]. In conclusion, mesoscale studies in biology must be conducted on integrative research platforms. As such, we must find ways to integrate multiple types of data in different scales into a

spatial model for comprehensive analysis, better communication, and more accurate simulation.

6.3 | Exploration of research mode

Shared and integrated science are shifting global scientific research, and global academic communications are adapting to open access models. The development of multiscale research methods is a prerequisite for mesoscale research. As such, many questions arise; for instance, how can we create a comprehensive model that integrates data management, model generation, and mesoscale characterization tools into biological research applications? How can we facilitate effective dialog among scientists, engineers, and computational mathematicians to achieve the goals of mesoscale research in biology? Furthermore, is mesoscale research in biology a bottom‐up (micro to mesoscale) or a top-down approach (macro to mesoscale) approach [[127](#page-13-9)]? Answers to these questions may require decades of significant effort and generations of exploration.

6.4 | Disease‐related mesoscience research

Disease‐oriented biological research is critical in integrating of modern biology and medicine. Although many mesoscience research methods objectively described a biological problem, such as subcellular organelle localization and function [\[125](#page-13-7)], chromosome replication, and transcription [[20](#page-9-16)], and so forth, they have yet to achieved scientific translation, nor have they involved the relationship to human disease. Tumor is one of the most important problems endangering human health. Under the severe pressure of tumor prevention and control, mesoscience‐based oncology research is still rare. Data from the cancer genome, transcriptome, proteome, and epigenome have been extensively analyzed at different scales to molecularly characterization of various tumors, but little is known about the molecular landscape at the mesoscale. For instance, researchers found that mesoscale features of the genome include unique DNA secondary structures (e.g., DNA stem-loop structures) that are uncommon in the genome and recurred in many cancer patients. One example is the APOBEC3A protein, which has a strong mutational preference for DNA stemloop structure and contributes to cancer recurrence. This finding not only challenges the assumption that recurrent mutations are drivers of tumor, but also demonstrates the importance of incorporating mesoscale signatures into cancer genome analyses [[128\]](#page-13-10). Another example of mesoscience studies is the function of Treg in autoimmune disease, where it divides its nearby microenvironment into two phases in a negative feedback manner to perturb the signal integration of activated T cells. However, part of the framework remains hypothetical and more support from interdisciplinary knowledge is needed to refine this immune response predictive models [\[129\]](#page-13-11). These are some examples of mesoscience research in disease biology.

The complexity and heterogeneity of disease-related molecules make it extremely difficult to develop comprehensive analytical models and design efficient experiments based on Trial‐and‐Error testing. All physiological activities of organisms are inseparable from the complex humoral environment, which makes the analysis of molecular bio‐fluids exceptionally difficult. To address this problem, computational biology offers unique advantages for modeling specific biomedical systems, such as the mesoscale algorithms dissipative particle dynamics (DPD) and lattice Boltzmann methods, which examine biologically related dynamics. They can track the movement of individual molecules and allow precise reconstruction of molecular structure and properties. Although they have been extensively and successfully validated with experimental data, including direct comparisons with specific biomedical and bioengineered systems, several important questions remain open and need to be answered to facilitate further practical applications of these methods [[127\]](#page-13-9).

One of the important goals of biological research is to explore and reveal all steps from genotype to macrophenotype, and to achieve research translation as much as possible. At present, mesoscale biological research seems to play an irreplaceable role in bridging the "black hole" of knowledge. In this context, comprehensive mesoscale biological research has just started, and higher requirements have also been placed on researchers. Only by building a more complete research platform and efficient research model, to maximize the crossintegration and functional sharing of scientific research results, can we expect forward‐looking progress and lay a solid foundation for promoting scientific research innovation and transformation.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The authors read and contributed to the manuscript polish and approved the submission.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest. Professor Haili Qian and Adriana Sujey Beltran are the members of

280 | CANCER INNOVATION

the Cancer Innovation Editorial Board. To minimize bias, they were excluded from all editorial decision‐making related to the acceptance of this article for publication.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Not applicable.

ETHICS STATEMENT

Not applicable.

INFORMED CONSENT

Not applicable.

REFERENCES

- 1. Li J. Exploring the logic and landscape of the knowledge system: multilevel structures, each multiscaled with complexity at the mesoscale. Engineering. 2016;2(3):276–85. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENG.2016.03.001>
- 2. Huang W, Li J, Edwards PP. Mesoscience: exploring the common principle at mesoscales. Natl Sci Rev. 2018;5(3): 321–6. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwx083>
- 3. Li J, Huang W. From multiscale to mesoscience: addressing mesoscales in mesoregimes of different levels. Annu Rev Chem Biomol Eng. 2018;9:41–60. [https://doi.org/10.1146/](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-chembioeng-060817-084249) [annurev-chembioeng-060817-084249](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-chembioeng-060817-084249)
- 4. Heinrich AJ, Oliver WD, Vandersypen LMK, Ardavan A, Sessoli R, Loss D, et al. Quantum‐coherent nanoscience. Nat Nanotechnol. 2021;16(12):1318–29. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-021-00994-1) [s41565-021-00994-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-021-00994-1)
- 5. Shi C, Hu F, Wu R, Xu Z, Shao G, Yu R, et al. New silk road: from mesoscopic reconstruction/functionalization to flexible meso‐electronics/photonics based on cocoon silk materials. Adv Mater. 2021;33(50):e2005910. [https://doi.org/10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202005910) [adma.202005910](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202005910)
- 6. Novoselov KS, Geim AK, Morozov SV, Jiang D, Katsnelson MI, Grigorieva IV, et al. Two-dimensional gas of massless Dirac fermions in graphene. Nature. 2005;438(7065): 197–200. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04233>
- 7. Prost J, Rondelez F. Structures in colloidal physical chemistry. Nature. 1991;350(Suppl 6319):11–23. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/350011a0) [1038/350011a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/350011a0)
- 8. Tanifuji K, Ohki Y. Metal-sulfur compounds in N2 reduction and nitrogenase‐related chemistry. Chem Rev. 2020;120(12): 5194–251. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.9b00544>
- 9. Kellenberger E. The evolution of molecular biology. EMBO Rep. 2004;5(6):546–9. [https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.embor.](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.embor.7400180) [7400180](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.embor.7400180)
- 10. Akhtar A, Fuchs E, Mitchison T, Shaw RJ, St Johnston D, Strasser A, et al. A decade of molecular cell biology: achievements and challenges. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2011;12(10):669–74. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3187>
- 11. Lyon AS, Peeples WB, Rosen MK. A framework for understanding the functions of biomolecular condensates across scales. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2021;22(3):215–35. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-020-00303-z) [org/10.1038/s41580-020-00303-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-020-00303-z)
- 12. Li JH, Huang W. Towards mesoscience: the principle of compromise in competition. In: Li J, Huang W, editors. Briefs

in applied sciences and technology, Springer; 2014. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-41790-0) doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-41790-0

- 13. Misteli T. The self‐organizing genome: principles of genome architecture and function. Cell. 2020;183(1):28–45. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.09.014) doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.09.014
- 14. Bohrer CH, Larson DR. The stochastic genome and its role in gene expression. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2021;13(10): a040386. <https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a040386>
- 15. Hansen JC, Maeshima K, Hendzel MJ. The solid and liquid states of chromatin. Epigenetics Chromatin. 2021;14(1):50. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13072-021-00424-5>
- 16. Huertas J, Woods EJ, Collepardo‐Guevara R. Multiscale modelling of chromatin organisation: resolving nucleosomes at near‐atomistic resolution inside genes. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2022;75:102067. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2022.02.001>
- 17. Banani SF, Lee HO, Hyman AA, Rosen MK. Biomolecular condensates: organizers of cellular biochemistry. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2017;18(5):285–98. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm.2017.7>
- 18. Shin Y, Brangwynne CP. Liquid phase condensation in cell physiology and disease. Science. 2017;357(6357):eaaf4382. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf4382>
- 19. Sanulli S, Trnka MJ, Dharmarajan V, Tibble RW, Pascal BD, Burlingame AL, et al. HP1 reshapes nucleosome core to promote phase separation of heterochromatin. Nature. 2019;575(7782): 390–4. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1669-2>
- 20. Strickfaden H, Tolsma TO, Sharma A, Underhill DA, Hansen JC, Hendzel MJ. Condensed chromatin behaves like a solid on the mesoscale in vitro and in living. cells. Cell. 2020;183(7):1772–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.11.027>
- 21. Strom AR, Emelyanov AV, Mir M, Fyodorov DV, Darzacq X, Karpen GH. Phase separation drives heterochromatin domain formation. Nature. 2017;547(7662):241–5. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22989) doi.org/10.1038/nature22989
- 22. Rippe K. Liquid–liquid phase separation in chromatin. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2022;14(2):a040683. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a040683) [org/10.1101/cshperspect.a040683](https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a040683)
- 23. Collepardo‐Guevara R, Portella G, Vendruscolo M, Frenkel D, Schlick T, Orozco M. Chromatin unfolding by epigenetic modifications explained by dramatic impairment of internucleosome interactions: a multiscale computational study. J Am Chem Soc. 2015;137(32):10205–15. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.5b04086) [org/10.1021/jacs.5b04086](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.5b04086)
- 24. Joseph JA, Reinhardt A, Aguirre A, Chew PY, Russell KO, Espinosa JR, et al. Physics‐driven coarse‐grained model for biomolecular phase separation with near‐quantitative accuracy. Nat Comput Sci. 2021;1(11):732–43. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s43588-021-00155-3) [1038/s43588-021-00155-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s43588-021-00155-3)
- 25. Zhurkin VB, Norouzi D. Topological polymorphism of nucleosome fibers and folding of chromatin. Biophys J. 2021;120(4):577–85. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2021.01.008>
- 26. Abdelkareem M, Saint‐André C, Takacs M, Papai G, Crucifix C, Guo X, et al. Structural basis of transcription: RNA polymerase backtracking and its reactivation. Mol Cell. 2019;75(2):298–309. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2019.04.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2019.04.029) [029](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2019.04.029)
- 27. Baranello L, Wojtowicz D, Cui K, Devaiah BN, Chung HJ, Chan‐Salis KY, et al. RNA polymerase II regulates topoisomerase 1 activity to favor efficient transcription. Cell. 2016;165(2):357–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.02.036>
- 28. Corless S, Gilbert N. Effects of DNA supercoiling on chromatin architecture. Biophys Rev. 2016;8(3):245–58. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12551-016-0210-1>
- 29. Craig JM, Laszlo AH, Nova IC, Brinkerhoff H, Noakes MT, Baker KS, et al. Determining the effects of DNA sequence on Hel308 helicase translocation along single-stranded DNA using nanopore tweezers. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019;47(5): 2506–13. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz004>
- 30. Kim S, Beltran B, Irnov I, Jacobs‐Wagner C. Long‐distance cooperative and antagonistic RNA polymerase dynamics via DNA supercoiling. Cell. 2019;179(1):106–19. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.08.033) [10.1016/j.cell.2019.08.033](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.08.033)
- 31. Panigrahi A, O'Malley BW. Mechanisms of enhancer action: the known and the unknown. Genome Biol. 2021;22(1):108. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-021-02322-1>
- 32. Sartorelli V, Lauberth SM. Enhancer RNAs are an important regulatory layer of the epigenome. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2020;27(6):521–8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41594-020-0446-0>
- 33. Fukaya T, Lim B, Levine M. Enhancer control of transcriptional bursting. Cell. 2016;166(2):358–68. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.05.025) [1016/j.cell.2016.05.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.05.025)
- 34. Larsson AJM, Johnsson P, Hagemann‐Jensen M, Hartmanis L, Faridani OR, Reinius B, et al. Genomic encoding of transcriptional burst kinetics. Nature. 2019;565(7738):251–4. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0836-1) [org/10.1038/s41586-018-0836-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0836-1)
- 35. Nair SJ, Suter T, Wang S, Yang L, Yang F, Rosenfeld MG. Transcriptional enhancers at 40: evolution of a viral DNA element to nuclear architectural structures. Trends Genet. 2022;38(10):1019–47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2022.05.015>
- 36. Boija A, Klein IA, Sabari BR, Dall'Agnese A, Coffey EL, Zamudio AV, et al. Transcription factors activate genes through the phase‐separation capacity of their activation domains. Cell. 2018;175(7):1842–55. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.10.042) [j.cell.2018.10.042](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.10.042)
- 37. Price RM, Budzyński MA, Kundra S, Teves SS. Advances in visualizing transcription factor—DNA interactions. Genome. 2021;64(4):449–66. <https://doi.org/10.1139/gen-2020-0086>
- 38. Liu Z, Tjian R. Visualizing transcription factor dynamics in living cells. J Cell Biol. 2018;217(4):1181–91. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201710038) [10.1083/jcb.201710038](https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201710038)
- 39. Shrinivas K, Sabari BR, Coffey EL, Klein IA, Boija A, Zamudio AV, et al. Enhancer features that drive formation of transcriptional condensates. Mol Cell. 2019;75(3):549–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2019.07.009>
- 40. Sezgin E, Levental I, Mayor S, Eggeling C. The mystery of membrane organization: composition, regulation and physiological relevance of lipid rafts. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2017;18(6):361–74. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm.2017.16>
- 41. Bakker GJ, Eich C, Torreno‐Pina JA, Diez‐Ahedo R, Perez‐ Samper G, van Zanten TS, et al. Lateral mobility of individual integrin nanoclusters orchestrates the onset for leukocyte adhesion. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2012;109(13):4869–74. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1116425109>
- 42. Eggeling C, Ringemann C, Medda R, Schwarzmann G, Sandhoff K, Polyakova S, et al. Direct observation of the nanoscale dynamics of membrane lipids in a living cell. Nature. 2009;457(7233):1159–62. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07596>
- 43. Garcia‐Parajo MF, Cambi A, Torreno‐Pina JA, Thompson N, Jacobson K. Nanoclustering as a dominant feature of plasma

membrane organization. J Cell Sci. 2014;127(Pt 23): 4995–5005. <https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.146340>

- 44. Nussinov R, Tsai CJ, Jang H. Is nanoclustering essential for all oncogenic KRas pathways? Can it explain why wild‐type KRas can inhibit its oncogenic variant. Semin Cancer Biol. 2019;54:114–20. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2018.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2018.01.002) [01.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2018.01.002)
- 45. Pan X, Fang L, Liu J, Senay‐Aras B, Lin W, Zheng S, et al. Auxin‐induced signaling protein nanoclustering contributes to cell polarity formation. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):3914. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17602-w>
- 46. Lewis JD, Caldara AL, Zimmer SE, Stahley SN, Seybold A, Strong NL, et al. The desmosome is a mesoscale lipid raft–like membrane domain. Mol Biol Cell. 2019;30(12): 1390–405. <https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E18-10-0649>
- 47. Lorent JH, Diaz‐Rohrer B, Lin X, Spring K, Gorfe AA, Levental KR, et al. Structural determinants and functional consequences of protein affinity for membrane rafts. Nat Commun. 2017;8(1):1219. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01328-3) [017-01328-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01328-3)
- 48. Garcia MA, Nelson WJ, Chavez N. Cell–cell junctions organize structural and signaling networks. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2018;10(4):a029181. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a029181) [1101/cshperspect.a029181](https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a029181)
- 49. Zimmer SE, Kowalczyk AP. The desmosome as a model for lipid raft driven membrane domain organization. Biochim Biophys Acta Biomembr. 2020;1862(9):183329. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2020.183329) [org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2020.183329](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2020.183329)
- 50. Barisic M, Silva e Sousa R, Tripathy SK, Magiera MM, Zaytsev AV, Pereira AL, et al. Microtubule detyrosination guides chromosomes during mitosis. Science. 2015;348(6236): 799–803. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa5175>
- 51. Camargo Ortega G, Götz M. Centrosome heterogeneity in stem cells regulates cell diversity. Trends Cell Biol. 2022;32(8):707–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2022.03.004>
- 52. Alushin GM, Lander GC, Kellogg EH, Zhang R, Baker D, Nogales E. High‐Resolution microtubule structures reveal the structural transitions in αβ-tubulin upon GTP hydrolysis. Cell. 2014;157(5):1117–29. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.03.053) [03.053](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.03.053)
- 53. Stepanek L, Pigino G. Microtubule doublets are double-track railways for intraflagellar transport trains. Science. 2016;352(6286):721–4. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf4594>
- 54. Bär J, Popp Y, Bucher M, Mikhaylova M. Direct and indirect effects of tubulin post-translational modifications on microtubule stability: insights and regulations. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res. 2022;1869(6):119241. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2022.119241) doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2022.119241
- 55. Montecinos‐Franjola F, Chaturvedi S, Schuck P, Sackett DL. All tubulins are not alike: dimer dissociation and monomer exchange differ depending on the biological source of tubulin. Biophys J. 2018;114(3):504a. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2017.11.2753) [1016/j.bpj.2017.11.2753](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2017.11.2753)
- 56. Vemu A, Atherton J, Spector JO, Moores CA, Roll‐Mecak A. Tubulin isoform composition tunes microtubule dynamics. Mol Biol Cell. 2017;28(25):3564–72. [https://doi.org/10.1091/](https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E17-02-0124) [mbc.E17-02-0124](https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E17-02-0124)
- 57. Verhey KJ, Gaertig J. The tubulin code. Cell Cycle. 2007;6(17):2152–60. <https://doi.org/10.4161/cc.6.17.4633>

282 \overrightarrow{C} ANCER

- 58. Yu I, Garnham CP, Roll‐Mecak A. Writing and reading the tubulin code. J Biol Chem. 2015;290(28):17163–72. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.R115.637447) doi.org/10.1074/jbc.R115.637447
- 59. Germain RN. MHC‐dependent antigen processing and peptide presentation: providing ligands for T lymphocyte activation. Cell. 1994;76(2):287–99. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(94)90336-0) [0092-8674\(94\)90336-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(94)90336-0)
- 60. Taylor MJ, Husain K, Gartner ZJ, Mayor S, Vale RD. A DNA‐ based T cell receptor reveals a role for receptor clustering in ligand discrimination. Cell. 2017;169(1):108–19. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.03.006) [org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.03.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.03.006)
- 61. Vrisekoop N, Monteiro JP, Mandl JN, Germain RN. Revisiting thymic positive selection and the mature T cell repertoire for antigen. Immunity. 2014;41(2):181–90. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2014.07.007) doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2014.07.007
- 62. Bashkirov PV, Kuzmin PI, Vera Lillo J, Frolov VA. Molecular shape solution for mesoscopic remodeling of cellular membranes. Annu Rev Biophys. 2022;51:473–97. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biophys-011422-100054) doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biophys-011422-100054
- 63. O'gorman WE, Dooms H, Thorne SH, Kuswanto WF, Simonds EF, Krutzik PO, et al. The initial phase of an immune response functions to activate regulatory T cells. J Immunol. 2009;183(1):332–9. <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.0900691>
- 64. Oyler‐Yaniv A, Oyler‐Yaniv J, Whitlock BM, Liu Z, Germain RN, Huse M, et al. A tunable diffusionconsumption mechanism of cytokine propagation enables plasticity in cell‐to‐cell communication in the immune system. Immunity. 2017;46(4):609–20. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2017.03.011) [1016/j.immuni.2017.03.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2017.03.011)
- 65. Ganti RS, Lo WL, McAffee DB, Groves JT, Weiss A, Chakrabort AK. How the T cell signaling network processes information to discriminate between self and agonist ligands. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2020;117(42):26020–30. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2008303117) [org/10.1073/pnas.2008303117](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2008303117)
- 66. Matheu MP, Othy S, Greenberg ML, Dong TX, Schuijs M, Deswarte K, et al. Imaging regulatory T cell dynamics and CTLA4‐mediated suppression of T cell priming. Nat Commun. 2015;6:1–11. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7219) [1038/ncomms7219](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7219)
- 67. Simeonov DR, Gowen BG, Boontanrart M, Roth TL, Gagnon JD, Mumbach MR, et al. Discovery of stimulation‐ responsive immune enhancers with CRISPR activation. Nature. 2017;549(7670):111–15. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature23875>
- 68. Chen K, Lu P, Beeraka NM, Sukocheva OA, Madhunapantula SV, Liu J, et al. Mitochondrial mutations and mitoepigenetics: focus on regulation of oxidative stress‐induced responses in breast cancers. Semin Cancer Biol. 2022;83:556–69. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2020.09.012) [org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2020.09.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2020.09.012)
- 69. Kersten K, Hu KH, Combes AJ, Samad B, Harwin T, Ray A, et al. Spatiotemporal co-dependency between macrophages and exhausted $CD8⁺$ T cells in cancer. Cancer Cell. 2022;40(6): 624–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2022.05.004>
- 70. Follain G, Herrmann D, Harlepp S, Hyenne V, Osmani N, Warren SC, et al. Fluids and their mechanics in tumour transit: shaping metastasis. Nat Rev Cancer. 2020;20(2): 107–24. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41568-019-0221-x>
- 71. Strilic B, Offermanns S. Intravascular survival and extravasation of tumor cells. Cancer Cell. 2017;32(3):282–93. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2017.07.001) doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2017.07.001
- 72. Szczerba BM, Castro‐Giner F, Vetter M, Krol I, Gkountela S, Landin J, et al. Neutrophils escort circulating tumour cells to enable cell cycle progression. Nature. 2019;566(7745):553–7. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-0915-y>
- 73. Hattar K, Franz K, Ludwig M, Sibelius U, Wilhelm J, Lohmeyer J, et al. Interactions between neutrophils and non‐ small cell lung cancer cells: enhancement of tumor proliferation and inflammatory mediator synthesis. Cancer Immunol Immunother. 2014;63(12):1297–306. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00262-014-1606-z) [org/10.1007/s00262-014-1606-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00262-014-1606-z)
- 74. Hamilton G, Rath B, Klameth L, Hochmair MJ. Small cell lung cancer: recruitment of macrophages by circulating tumor cells. Oncoimmunology. 2016;5(3):e1093277. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1080/2162402X.2015.1093277) doi.org/10.1080/2162402X.2015.1093277
- 75. Hamilton G, Rath B. Circulating tumor cell interactions with macrophages: implications for biology and treatment. Transl Lung Cancer Res. 2017;6(4):418–30. [https://doi.org/10.21037/](https://doi.org/10.21037/tlcr.2017.07.04) [tlcr.2017.07.04](https://doi.org/10.21037/tlcr.2017.07.04)
- 76. Thomas GM, Brill A, Mezouar S, Crescence L, Gallant M, Dubois C, et al. Tissue factor expressed by circulating cancer cell‐derived microparticles drastically increases the incidence of deep vein thrombosis in mice. J Thromb Haemost. 2015;13(7):1310–9. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jth.13002>
- 77. Stark K, Schubert I, Joshi U, Kilani B, Hoseinpour P, Thakur M, et al. Distinct pathogenesis of pancreatic cancer microvesicle‐associated venous thrombosis identifies new antithrombotic targets in vivo. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2018;38(4):772–86. [https://doi.org/10.1161/ATVBAHA.](https://doi.org/10.1161/ATVBAHA.117.310262) [117.310262](https://doi.org/10.1161/ATVBAHA.117.310262)
- 78. Liu Q, Liao Q, Zhao Y. Myeloid‐derived suppressor cells (MDSC) facilitate distant metastasis of malignancies by shielding circulating tumor cells (CTC) from immune surveillance. Med Hypotheses. 2016;87:34–9. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mehy.2015.12.007) [org/10.1016/j.mehy.2015.12.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mehy.2015.12.007)
- 79. Duda DG, Duyverman AM, Kohno M, Snuderl M, Steller EJ, Fukumura D, et al. Malignant cells facilitate lung metastasis by bringing their own soil. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2010;107(50):21677–82. [https://doi.org/10.1073/](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1016234107) [pnas.1016234107](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1016234107)
- 80. Nasiri R, Shamloo A, Ahadian S, Amirifar L, Akbari J, Goudie MJ, et al. Microfluidic‐based approaches in targeted cell/particle separation based on physical properties: fundamentals and applications. Small. 2020;16(29):e2000171. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.202000171>
- 81. Zhang Y, Li M, Gao X, Chen Y, Liu T. Nanotechnology in cancer diagnosis: progress, challenges and opportunities. J Hematol Oncol. 2019;12(1):137. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-019-0833-3) [s13045-019-0833-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-019-0833-3)
- 82. Hu J, Amor DR, Barbier M, Bunin G, Gore J. Emergent phases of ecological diversity and dynamics mapped in microcosms. Science. 2022;378(6615):85–9. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abm7841) [10.1126/science.abm7841](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abm7841)
- 83. Xiao Z, Dai Z, Locasale JW. Metabolic landscape of the tumor microenvironment at single cell resolution. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):3763. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11738-0) [019-11738-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11738-0)
- 84. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell. 2011;144(5):646–74. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013) [j.cell.2011.02.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013)
- 85. Peinado H, Zhang H, Matei IR, Costa‐Silva B, Hoshino A, Rodrigues G, et al. Pre‐metastatic niches: organ‐specific homes for metastases. Nat Rev Cancer. 2017;17(5):302–17. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc.2017.6>
- 86. Engwer C, Knappitsch M, Surulescu C. A multiscale model for glioma spread including cell-tissue interactions and proliferation. Math Biosci Eng. 2016;13(2):443–60. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3934/mbe.2015011) doi.org/10.3934/mbe.2015011
- 87. Knobe S, Dzierma Y, Wenske M, Berdel C, Fleckenstein J, Melchior P, et al. Feasibility and clinical usefulness of modelling glioblastoma migration in adjuvant radiotherapy. Z Med Phys. 2022;32(2):149–58. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.zemedi.2021.03.004) [zemedi.2021.03.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.zemedi.2021.03.004)
- 88. Chen X, Hughes R, Mullin N, Hawkins RJ, Holen I, Brown NJ, et al. Atomic force microscopy reveals the mechanical properties of breast cancer bone metastases. Nanoscale. 2021;13(43):18237–46. [https://doi.org/10.1039/](https://doi.org/10.1039/d1nr03900h) [d1nr03900h](https://doi.org/10.1039/d1nr03900h)
- 89. Bonilla LL, Capasso V, Alvaro M, Carretero M, Terragni F. On the mathematical modelling of tumor-induced angiogenesis. Math Biosci Eng. 2017;14(1):45–66. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3934/mbe.2017004) [3934/mbe.2017004](https://doi.org/10.3934/mbe.2017004)
- 90. Capasso V, Micheletti A, Morale D. Stochastic geometric models, and related statistical issues in tumour‐induced angiogenesis. Math Biosci. 2008;214(1–2):20–31. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mbs.2008.03.009) [org/10.1016/j.mbs.2008.03.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mbs.2008.03.009)
- 91. Capasso V, Morale D. Stochastic modelling of tumourinduced angiogenesis. J Math Biol. 2009;58(1–2):219–33. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00285-008-0193-z>
- 92. Possenti L, Cicchetti A, Rosati R, Cerroni D, Costantino ML, Rancati T, et al. A mesoscale computational model for microvascular oxygen transfer. Ann Biomed Eng. 2021;49(12): 3356–73. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10439-021-02807-x>
- 93. Eddy CZ, Raposo H, Manchanda A, Wong R, Li F, Sun B. Morphodynamics facilitate cancer cells to navigate 3D extracellular matrix. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):20434. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-99902-9) [org/10.1038/s41598-021-99902-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-99902-9)
- 94. Wershof E, Park D, Jenkins RP, Barry DJ, Sahai E, Bates PA. Matrix feedback enables diverse higher‐order patterning of the extracellular matrix. PLoS Comput Biol. 2019;15(10):e1007251. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007251>
- 95. Bertolaso M, Strauss B. The search for progress and a new theory framework in cancer research. In: Strauss B, Bertolaso M, Ernberg I, Bissell MJ, editors. Rethinking cancer: a new paradigm for the postgenomics era. The MIT Press; 2021. <https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/12111.003.0005>
- 96. Cheng Y, Grigorieff N, Penczek PA, Walz T. A primer to single-particle cryo-electron microscopy. Cell. 2015;161(3): 438–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.03.050>
- 97. Rout MP, Sali A. Principles for integrative structural biology studies. Cell. 2019;177(6):1384–403. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.05.016) [cell.2019.05.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.05.016)
- 98. Dodd T, Yan C, Ivanov I. Simulation‐based methods for model building and refinement in cryoelectron microscopy. J Chem Inf Model. 2020;60(5):2470–83. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jcim.0c00087) [1021/acs.jcim.0c00087](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jcim.0c00087)
- 99. Robertson MJ, Meyerowitz JG, Skiniotis G. Drug discovery in the era of cryo‐electron microscopy. Trends Biochem Sci. 2022;47(2):124–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2021.06.008>
- 100. Jakobs S, Stephan T, Ilgen P, Brüser C. Light microscopy of mitochondria at the nanoscale. Annu Rev Biophys. 2020;49: 289–308. [https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biophys-121219-](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biophys-121219-081550) [081550](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biophys-121219-081550)
- 101. Priest L, Peters JS, Kukura P. Scattering‐based light microscopy: from metal nanoparticles to single proteins. Chem Rev. 2021;121(19):11937–70. [https://doi.org/10.1021/](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.1c00271) [acs.chemrev.1c00271](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.1c00271)
- 102. Goodsell DS, Olson AJ, Forli S. Art and science of the cellular mesoscale. Trends Biochem Sci. 2020;45(6):472–83. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2020.02.010) doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2020.02.010
- 103. Goodsell DS, Franzen MA, Herman T. From atoms to cells: using mesoscale landscapes to construct visual narratives. J Mol Biol. 2018;430(21):3954–68. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2018.06.009) [jmb.2018.06.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2018.06.009)
- 104. Alber F, Förster F, Korkin D, Topf M, Sali A. Integrating diverse data for structure determination of macromolecular assemblies. Annu Rev Biochem. 2008;77:443–77. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.77.060407.135530) [org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.77.060407.135530](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.77.060407.135530)
- 105. Russel D, Lasker K, Webb B, Velázquez‐Muriel J, Tjioe E, Schneidman‐Duhovny D, et al. Putting the pieces together: integrative modeling platform software for structure determination of macromolecular assemblies. PLoS Biol. 2012;10(1):e1001244. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001244) [1001244](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001244)
- 106. Im W, Liang J, Olson A, Zhou HX, Vajda S, Vakser IA. Challenges in structural approaches to cell modeling. J Mol Biol. 2016;428(15):2943–64. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2016.05.024) [2016.05.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2016.05.024)
- 107. Kim SJ, Fernandez‐Martinez J, Nudelman I, Shi Y, Zhang W, Raveh B, et al. Integrative structure and functional anatomy of a nuclear pore complex. Nature. 2018;555(7697):475–82. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature26003>
- 108. Rosa A, Zimmer C. Computational models of large‐scale genome architecture. Int Rev Cell Mol Biol. 2014;307: 275–349. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-800046-5.00009-6>
- 109. Hacker WC, Li S, Elcock AH. Features of genomic organization in a nucleotide‐resolution molecular model of the Escherichia coli chromosome. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45(13):7541–54. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx541>
- 110. Goodsell DS, Autin L, Olson AJ. Lattice models of bacterial nucleoids. J Phys Chem B. 2018;122(21):5441–47. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcb.7b11770) [org/10.1021/acs.jpcb.7b11770](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcb.7b11770)
- 111. Yildirim A, Feig M. High-resolution 3D models of caulobacter crescentus chromosome reveal genome structural variability and organization. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;46(8): 3937–52. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky141>
- 112. Takamori S, Holt M, Stenius K, Lemke EA, Grønborg M, Riedel D, et al. Molecular anatomy of a trafficking organelle. Cell. 2006;127(4):831–46. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.10.030) [10.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.10.030)
- 113. Singharoy A, Maffeo C, Delgado‐Magnero KH, Swainsbury D, Sener M, Kleinekathöfer U, et al. Atoms to phenotypes: molecular design principles of cellular energy metabolism. Cell. 2019;179(5):1098–111. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.10.021) [10.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.10.021)
- 114. Prokop A. Towards the first principles in biology and cancer: new vistas in computational systems biology of cancer. Life (Basel). 2021;12(1):21. <https://doi.org/10.3390/life12010021>

284 | CANCER INNOVATION

- 115. Prigogine I, Rysselberghe PV. Introduction to thermodynamics of irreversible processes. J Electrochem Soc. 1963;110(4):97C. <https://doi.org/10.1149/1.2425756>
- 116. Seely AJE, Macklem P. Fractal variability: an emergent property of complex dissipative systems. Chaos. 2012;22(1): 013108. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3675622>
- 117. Yuan R, Zhu X, Wang G, Li S, Ao P. Cancer as robust intrinsic state shaped by evolution: a key issues review. Rep Prog Phys. 2017;80(4):042701. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6633/aa538e>
- 118. Anastasiadou E, Jacob LS, Slack FJ. Non‐coding RNA networks in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer. 2018;18(1):5–18. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc.2017.99>
- 119. Lasker K. Mesoscale organization in bacteria. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2022;23(4):230. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-022-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-022-00459-w) [00459-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-022-00459-w)
- 120. Susca EM, Beaucage PA, Thedford RP, Singer A, Gruner SM, Estroff LA, et al. Preparation of macroscopic Block‐ Copolymer‐Based gyroidal mesoscale single crystals by solvent evaporation. Adv Mater. 2019;31(40):e1902565. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201902565>
- 121. Wendl A, Eisenlohr H, Rucker F, Duvinage C, Kleinhans M, Vojta M, et al. Emergence of mesoscale quantum phase transitions in a ferromagnet. Nature. 2022;609(7925):65–70. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04995-5>
- 122. Johnson GT, Autin L, Al‐Alusi M, Goodsell DS, Sanner MF, Olson AJ. cellPACK: a virtual mesoscope to model and visualize structural systems biology. Nat Methods. 2015;12(1): 85–91. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3204>
- 123. Stölken M, Beck F, Haller T, Hegerl R, Gutsche I, Carazo JM, et al. Maximum likelihood based classification of electron tomographic data. J Struct Biol. 2011;173(1):77–85. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2010.08.005) doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2010.08.005
- 124. Vos SM. Understanding transcription across scales: from base pairs to chromosomes. Mol Cell. 2021;81(8):1601–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2021.03.002>
- 125. Huang S, Zhu S, Kumar P, MacMicking JD. A phase‐ separated nuclear GBPL circuit controls immunity in plants. Nature. 2021;594(7863):424–29. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03572-6) [s41586-021-03572-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03572-6)
- 126. Lu R, Liang Y, Meng G, Zhou P, Svoboda K, Paninski L, et al. Rapid mesoscale volumetric imaging of neural activity with synaptic resolution. Nat Methods. 2020;17(3):291–4. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-0760-9) doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-0760-9
- 127. Mills ZG, Mao W, Alexeev A. Mesoscale modeling: solving complex flows in biology and biotechnology. Trends Biotechnol. 2013;31(7):426–34. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2013.05.001) [tibtech.2013.05.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2013.05.001)
- 128. Buisson R, Langenbucher A, Bowen D, Kwan EE, Benes CH, Zou L, et al. Passenger hotspot mutations in cancer driven by APOBEC3A and mesoscale genomic features. Science. 2019;364(6447):eaaw2872. [https://doi.org/10.1126/science.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw2872) [aaw2872](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw2872)
- 129. Wong HS, Germain RN. Mesoscale T cell antigen discrimination emerges from intercellular feedback. Trends Immunol. 2021;42(10):865–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2021.08.006>

How to cite this article: Qian H, Beltran AS. Mesoscience in cell biology and cancer research. Cancer Innovation. 2022;1:271–284. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cai2.33>