# Treatment response between Asian and non-Asian patients with type 2 diabetes: is there any similarity or difference?

# Xiao-Ling Cai, Li-Nong Ji

Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Peking University People's Hospital, Beijing 100044, China.

### Introduction

According to the latest edition of Diabetes Atlas, the prevalence of diabetes is increasing globally, but the situation is particularly alarming in Asia and in China.<sup>[1-3]</sup> It was estimated that, for the same body mass index (BMI), Asian population had a higher prevalence of diabetes than the non-Asian counterparts,<sup>[4]</sup> because of the lower BMIs reported in Asian diabetes population. Based on the physiologic and pathologic background, it was believed that compared to non-Asian patients with type 2 diabetes, Asian patients might have a different pattern as more defect in  $\beta$ -cell function than decreased insulin sensitivity.<sup>[5-7]</sup> Moreover, given the different genetic and pharmacogenetics ethnic background,<sup>[8,9]</sup> it was deduced that the treatment response in terms of glucose control and body weight control between the two ethnicities might be different. Is there any similarity or difference in the treatment response between Asian and non-Asian patients with type 2 diabetes? Asian diabetes, do it really exist? There is a need to better understand this question.

### Treatment response to oral anti-diabetes drugs

In the treatment of alpha glucosidase inhibitors (AGIs), based on a recently published meta-analysis with 67 trials included, comparisons between Asian and Caucasian patients with type 2 diabetes indicated that no significant difference was observed in hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) change (difference between ethnicities=0.097%, 95% confidence interval [CI]: -0.42% to 0.62%, P=0.709), or in body weight change (difference between ethnicities=0.45 kg, 95% CI: -1.28 to 2.18 kg, P=0.599).<sup>[10]</sup> The difference between Asian and non-Asian patients in fasting plasma glucose (FPG) change (difference between ethnicities=0.39 mmol/L, 95% CI: -0.40 to 1.19 mmol/L, P=0.318), post-prandial plasma glucose (PPG) change

Access this article online	
Quick Response Code:	Website: www.cmj.org
	DOI: 10.1097/CM9.000000000000012

(difference between ethnicities = -0.29 mmol/L, 95% CI: -1.80 to 1.22 mmol/L, P=0.692) or incidence of hypoglycemia (odds ratio [OR]=1.25, 95% CI: 0.82– 1.91 in Asian; OR=1.75, 95% CI: 1.19–2.55 in non-Asian) also did not show any significance. Previously published meta-analysis also demonstrated this no significant difference between Asian and Caucasian patients with type 2 diabetes.<sup>[11]</sup>

In terms of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitor treatment, published systematic reviews, and meta-analysis<sup>[12]</sup> comparing the efficacy between Asians and non-Asians revealed that the between-group difference of HbA1c reduction was -0.26% with significance (95% CI: -0.36% to -0.17%, P < 0.001), while body weight changes corrected by placebo were comparable between Asians and Caucasians (weighted mean difference corrected by placebo: 0.37 and 0.45 kg, respectively).<sup>[13]</sup> Another study by using univariate meta-regression analysis also applied that the percentage of Asian subjects was associated with the glucose-lowering efficacy in DPP-4 inhibitor treatment.<sup>[14]</sup> However, according to individual patient data, a study with a small sample size of patients included, evaluated DPP-4 inhibitor as a third-line add-on therapy in patients inadequately controlled by metformin and sulfonylurea, which concluded that the glycemic improvement in HbA1c was similar between Asian and non-Asian patients.<sup>[15]</sup>

In the treatment of sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors, a meta-analysis including 17 trials with Asian patients and 39 trials with non-Asian patients<sup>[16]</sup> suggested that there was a non-significant difference of HbA1c decreases between groups (difference 0.05%, P > 0.05) and a non-significant difference of weight reductions as well (difference 0.08 kg, P > 0.05). The changes in systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure were neither with significant difference between these two ethnicities. However, compared with non-Asians, reduction in

Correspondence to: Prof. Li-Nong Ji, Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Peking University People's Hospital, Beijing 100044, China E-Mail: jiln@bjmu.edu.cn

Copyright © 2018 The Chinese Medical Association, produced by Wolters Kluwer, Inc. under the CC-BY-NC-ND license. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

Chinese Medical Journal 2019;132(1) Received: 29-10-2018 Edited by: Li-Shao Guo Chinese Medical Journal 2019;132(1)

triglyceride (difference -0.14 mmol/L, P < 0.05) and elevation in high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (difference 0.09 mmol/L, P < 0.05) were significantly more in Asians.

So far, no direct or indirect comparison between Asian and non-Asian patients with diabetes in the treatment of metformin, sulfonylureas, or thiazolidinediones was found. However, data from some clinical trials may provide some evidence. In a prospective, multicenter, openlabel study in China,<sup>[17]</sup> mean HbA1c decreases at week 16 were -1.84%, -1.78%, and -1.78% in normal-weight, overweight, and obese patients (P=0.664), which was comparable with the decrease in a diabetes outcome progression trial (ADOPT) with metformin therapy in newly diagnosed Caucasian patients with diabetes.<sup>[18]</sup> In a controlled, double blind, multicentre non-inferiority trial, Chinese patients with Xiaoke Pill treatment, a compound of Chinese herbs combined with glibenclamide, or with glibenclamide treatment, both showed significant absolute HbA1c changes from baseline (-0.70%, 95%) CI: -1.06% to -0.34% in Xiaoke Pill group; -0.66%, 95% CI: -1.05% to -0.28% in glibenclamide group) at 48 weeks,<sup>[19]</sup> which was also comparable with the decrease in ADOPT with glibenclamide therapy in patients with diabetes with mainly Caucasians.<sup>[18]</sup> In Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes, mean HbA1c was reduced by 0.67% in patients receiving pioglitazone plus metformin during a 12-week observation period.<sup>[20]</sup> In another randomized, open-labeled, active-controlled trial in China, HbA1c change from baseline at week 48 was  $-1.49\% \pm 0.05\%$  in avandamet treatment.<sup>[21]</sup> Results from a meta-analysis indicated that thiazolidinediones led to a decrease of 0.80% (95% CI: –1.00% to –0.66%,  $P\!<\!0.05)$  in Caucasian patients.  $^{[22]}$ 

# Treatment response to glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist

In terms of glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist (GLP-1RA) treatment, a meta-analysis with 15 trials included comparing the HbA1c-lowering efficacy of GLP-1RA between Asians and non-Asians with type 2 diabetes<sup>[23]</sup> illustrated that GLP-1RA lowered HbA1c more in Asian-dominant studies than in non-Asian-dominant studies (between-group difference, -0.32%, 95% CI: -0.64 to -0.01; P=0.04). Body weight changes were similar between the two groups. However, the risk of hypoglycemia tended to be higher in Asian-dominant studies (risk ratio [RR]=2.8, 95% CI: 2.3–3.5) than that in non-Asian-dominant studies (RR=1.5, 95% CI: 1.2–1.8).

# Treatment response to insulin

A post-hoc analysis<sup>[24]</sup> with more than 2000 patients with type 2 diabetes enrolled to explore the impact of race/ ethnicity on efficacy and safety of twice-daily insulin lispro mix 75/25 (LM75/25) and once daily insulin glargine (GL), outlined that Asian patients had less HbA1c reduction from baseline vs Caucasian patients (LM75/25: -1.46%vs. -1.84%, P < 0.01; GL: -1.25% vs. -1.78%, P <0.01), with a higher PPG post-lunch (GL:  $40 \pm 3$  mg/dL vs.  $30 \pm 2$  mg/dL, P < 0.01), a lower weight gain (LM75/25:  $2.6 \pm 0.3$  kg vs.  $3.6 \pm 0.2$  kg; P < 0.05), a higher insulin dose (GL:  $0.47 \pm 0.02$  U/kg vs  $0.39 \pm 0.01$  U/kg, P < 0.01; LM75/25:  $0.63 \pm 0.02$  U/kg vs.  $0.44 \pm 0.01$  U/kg, P < 0.01), and a lower hypoglycemia rate  $(15 \pm 2 \text{ vs. } 23 \pm 1 \text{ sc})$ events/patient per year). Another post-hoc analysis using insulin-naïve patients data with the aim to explore the efficacy of insulin lispro mix 25 (LM25) or insulin glargine plus insulin lispro (G+L) among different racial/ethnic groups,<sup>[25]</sup> stated that East Asians (LM25, -2.03%; G+L, -1.76%) and non-Asians (LM25, -2.07%; G+L, -2.05%) achieved similar HbA1c reduction in the LM25 arm, but East Asians got a lower rate of hypoglycemia (LM25: 69.8% vs 94.1%; G+L: 77.3% vs. 91.8%). The total daily insulin dose for non-Asians was 0.67 U/kg (LM25) and 0.61 U/kg (G+L), for East Asians was 0.53 U/kg (LM25) and 0.59 U/kg (G+L). The mean change in body weight (kg) for each subgroup was comparable between East Asians (LM25: 2.95 ± 2.97 kg vs.  $3.00 \pm 4.09$  kg; G+L:  $2.81 \pm 3.39$  kg vs.  $3.43 \pm 4.72$  kg).

A recently published analysis using 235 Asian patients' data and 3351 non-Asian patients data from 16 trials with the aim to compare outcomes between Asian and non-Asian patients with type 2 diabetes initiating insulin glargine 100 U/mL (Gla-100),<sup>[26]</sup> found that Gla-100 resulted in less reduction in HbA1c from baseline in Asian patients (-1.3% vs. -1.6%, respectively; P=0.0001) and less absolute weight gain (+1.3 kg vs. +1.9 kg, P=0.013) compared with non-Asian patients. But reduction in FPG and incidence of hypoglycemia were similar between Asian and non-Asian patients. In addition, Asian patients required a lower insulin dose than non-Asian patients (0.36 U/kg vs. 0.41 U/kg, P=0.045).

Of course, there are limitations to the published studies. These studies, whether post-hoc analysis based on patient level data, or meta-analyses based on randomized control trials, none of which, were specifically designed to assess the impact of race/ethnicity on treatment or pre-specified the ethnicity as subgroup analysis. In addition, multiple factors of culture between Asian population and non-Asian population were not possible to be adequately controlled, such as style of diet and exercise, access to medical care, socioeconomic factors, patient adherence to medical treatment, etc. Despite these limitations, understanding the similarities and differences between these two ethnicities may be important in designing treatment plans for Asian patients with type 2 diabetes.

# Conclusion

Although Asian individuals with type 2 diabetes had a lower BMI and a younger age than non-Asian individuals, with a genetic susceptibility for developing type 2 diabetes, and was characterized by early decline in b-cell function, which may influence their response to anti-diabetes treatment, results from systematic reviews and metaanalyses, as well as post-hoc analyses, illustrated that for some hypoglycemic treatments, the response between Asian and non-Asian patients tended to be similar, while for some other hypoglycemic treatments, the difference of treatment response between these two ethnicities was somehow with significance. Chinese Medical Journal 2019;132(1)

#### **Acknowledgements**

None.

#### Funding

None.

#### **Conflicts of interest**

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

#### References

- International Diabetes Federation. Diabetes Atlas. 8th ed2017; International Diabetes Federation, Belgium:Available from: https:// www.idf.org/e-library/epidemiology-research/diabetes-atlas/134-idfdiabetes-atlas-8th-edition.html. Accessed August 1, 2018
- Yang W, Lu J, Weng J, Jia W, Ji L, Xiao J, et al. Prevalence of diabetes among men and women in China. N Engl J Med 2010;362:1090– 1101. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0908292.
- Xu Y, Wang L, He J, Bi Y, Li M, Wang T, et al. Prevalence and control of diabetes in Chinese adults. JAMA 2013;310:948–959. doi: 10.1001/jama.2013.168118.
- Ma RC, Chan JC. Type 2 diabetes in East Asians: similarities and differences with populations in Europe and the United States. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2013;1281:64–91. doi: 10.1111/nyas.12098.
- Tuomi T, Santoro N, Caprio S, Cai M, Weng J, Groop L. The many faces of diabetes: a disease with increasing heterogeneity. Lancet 2014;383:1084–1094. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)62219-9.
- 6. Moller JB, Dalla Man C, Overgaard RV, Ingwersen SH, Tornøe CW, Pedersen M, et al. Ethnic differences in insulin sensitivity, beta-cell function, and hepatic extraction between Japanese and Caucasians: a minimal model analysis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2014;99:4273– 4280. doi: 10.1210/jc.2014-1724.
- Yang W, Weng J. Early therapy for type 2 diabetes in China. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2014;2:992–1002. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587 (14)70136-6.
- Cho YS, Lee JY, Park KS, Nho CW. Genetics of type 2 diabetes in East Asian populations. Curr Diabetes Rep 2012;12:686–696. doi: 10.1007/s11892-012-0326-z.
- Ramachandran A, Ma RC, Snehalatha C. Diabetes in Asia. Lancet 2010;375:408–418. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60937-5.
- 10. Gao X, Cai X, Yang W, Chen Y, Han X, Ji L, et al. Meta-analysis and critical review on the efficacy and safety of alpha-glucosidase inhibitors in Asian and non-Asian populations. J Diabetes Investigation 2018;9:321–331. doi: 10.1111/jdi.12711.
- 11. Cai X, Han X, Luo Y, Ji L. Comparisons of the efficacy of alpha glucosidase inhibitors on type 2 diabetes patients between Asian and Caucasian. PLoS One 2013;8:e79421doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0079421.
- Kim YG, Hahn S, Oh TJ, Kwak SH, Park KS, Cho YM. Differences in the glucose-lowering efficacy of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors between Asians and non-Asians: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Diabetologia 2013;56:696–708. doi: 10.1007/s00125-012-2827-3.
- Cai X, Han X, Luo Y, Ji L. Efficacy of dipeptidyl-peptidase-4 inhibitors and impact on beta-cell function in Asian and Caucasian type 2 diabetes mellitus patients: a meta-analysis. J Diabetes 2015;7:347–359. doi: 10.1111/1753-0407.12196.
- 14. Fujita K, Kaneko M, Narukawa M. Factors related to the glucoselowering efficacy of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors: a systematic

www.cmj.org

review and meta-analysis focusing on ethnicity and study regions. Clin Drug Investig 2017;37:219–232. doi: 10.1007/s40261-016-0478-8.

- 15. Zhang X, Brooks B, Molyneaux L, Landy E, Banatwalla R, Wu T, et al. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors as a third-line oral antihyperglycaemic agent in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: the impact of ethnicity. Int J Endocrinol 2014;2014:354040doi: 10.1155/2014/354040d.
- 16. Cai X, Gao X, Yang W, Chen Y, Zhang S, Zhou L, et al. No disparity of the efficacy and all-cause mortality between Asian and non-Asian type 2 diabetes patients with sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors treatment: a meta-analysis. J Diabetes Investig 2018;9:850–861. doi: 10.1111/jdi.12760.
- 17. Ji L, Li H, Guo X, Li Y, Hu R, Zhu Z. Impact of baseline BMI on glycemic control and weight change with metformin monotherapy in Chinese type 2 diabetes patients: phase IV open-label trial. PLoS One 2013;8:e57222doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0057222.
- Kahn SE, Haffner SM, Heise MA, Herman WH, Holman RR, Jones NP, et al. Glycemic durability of rosiglitazone, metformin, or glyburide monotherapy. N Engl J Med 2006;355:2427–2443. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa066224.
- Ji L, Tong X, Wang H, Tian H, Zhou H, Zhang L, et al. Efficacy and safety of traditional Chinese medicine for diabetes: a double-blind, randomised, controlled trial. PLoS One 2013;8:e56703doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0056703.
- 20. Kaku K. Efficacy and safety of therapy with metformin plus pioglitazone in the treatment of patients with type 2 diabetes: a double-blind, placebo-controlled, clinical trial. Curr Med Res Opin 2009;25:1111–1119. doi: 10.1185/03007990902820816.
- 21. Cai X, Chen Y, Zhao J, Shan ZY, Qiu MC, Li CJ, et al. Efficacy and safety of avandamet or uptitrated metformin treatment in patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled with metformin alone: a multicenter, randomized, controlled trial. Chin Med J 2015;128: 1279–1287. doi: 10.4103/0366-6999.156735.
- 22. McIntosh B, Cameron C, Singh SR, Yu C, Ahuja T, Welton NJ, et al. Second-line therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled with metformin monotherapy: a systematic review and mixed-treatment comparison meta-analysis. Open Med 2011;5:e35– e48.
- 23. Kim YG, Hahn S, Oh TJ, Park KS, Cho YM. Differences in the HbA1c-lowering efficacy of glucagon-like peptide-1 analogues between Asians and non-Asians: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Diabetes Obes Metab 2014;16:900–909. doi: 10.1111/ dom.12293.
- 24. Davidson JA, Wolffenbuttel BH, Arakaki RF, Caballero AE, Jiang HH, Hardin DS. Impact of race/ethnicity on efficacy and safety of two starter insulin regimens in patients with type 2 diabetes: a posthoc analysis of the DURABLE trial. Ethn Dis 2013;23: 393–400.
- 25. Ji L, Min KW, Oliveira J, Lew T, Duan R. Comparison of efficacy and safety of two starting insulin regimens in non-Asian, Asian Indian, and East Asian patients with type 2 diabetes: a post hoc analysis of the PARADIGM study. Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes 2016;9:243–249. doi: 10.2147/DMSO.S104752.
- 26. Chan JCN, Bunnag P, Chan SP, Tan ITI, Tsai ST, Gao L, et al. Glycaemic responses in Asian and non-Asian people with type 2 diabetes initiating insulin glargine 100 units/mL: a patient-level pooled analysis of 16 randomised controlled trials. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2018;135:199–205. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2017.11.025.

How to cite this article: Cai XL, Ji LN. Treatment response between Asian and non-Asian patients with type 2 diabetes: is there any similarity or difference? Chin Med J 2019;132:1–3. doi: 10.1097/CM9. 00000000000012