

Citation: Gebauer F, Kemper M, Sauter G, Prehm P, Schumacher U (2017) Is hyaluronan deposition in the stroma of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma of prognostic significance? PLoS ONE 12(6): e0178703. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178703

Editor: Surinder K. Batra, University of Nebraska Medical Center, UNITED STATES

Received: September 6, 2016

Accepted: May 17, 2017

Published: June 5, 2017

Copyright: © 2017 Gebauer et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Data are available from the University Medical Center-Hamburg Institutional Data Access upon request to any researcher who meet the criteria to access to patient related and confidential data. Researchers have to get in personal contact with the corresponding author or the senior author of the manuscript and then will get access to all raw data with a total anonymous data set. According to legal law by the state of Hamburg, Germany (Hamburg Hospital Act, Hamburger Krankenhausgesetz, §12a, Abs. 4, http://www.landesrecht-hamburg.de/ RESEARCH ARTICLE

Is hyaluronan deposition in the stroma of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma of prognostic significance?

Florian Gebauer^{1‡}*, Marius Kemper^{2‡}, Guido Sauter³, Peter Prehm⁴, Udo Schumacher²

1 Department of General, Visceral and Thoracic Surgery, University Medical Centre Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany, 2 Centre of Experimental Medicine, Department of Anatomy and Experimental Morphology, University Medical Centre Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany, 3 Centre for Diagnostic, Department of Pathology, University Medical Centre Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany, 4 Hylitis, Nottuln, Germany

‡ These authors are first authors on this work.
* fgebauer@uke.de

Abstract

Background

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) has a dismal prognosis and the number of PDACrelated deaths is rising. Recently the tumour stroma and in particular one of its main components, hyaluronan (HA), have attracted considerable attention as intravenous hyaluronidase treatment together with conventional chemotherapy considerably prolonged survival in HA-rich PDA patients. We therefore wanted to investigate the prognostic significance of HA deposition in PDA using both antibodies to HA and hyaluronan binding protein (HABP).

Material and methods

Tissue microarrays of PDAs of 184 patients and pancreatic xenografts tumours were immunohistochemically (IHC) stained for HA using either biotinylated hyaluronic acid binding protein (HABP) or anti-HA antibody.

Results

The pattern of staining with HABP differed significantly from that with antibody IHC. Antibody staining was found both within cancer cells and in the extracellular matrix and staining could not be eliminated by hyaluronidase predigestion of the tissue sections. In contrast, HABP staining was generally confined to the extracellular matrix and was completely abolished by hyaluronidase pretreatment. HA positivity as determined by HABP was associated with larger primary tumours (p = 0.046). There were no correlations between overall survival, disease-free survival and HA expression.

Conclusion

Presence of HA alone is not of prognostic importance in PDAC, and IHC with utilization of antibody detection shows no reliable staining pattern and should not be applied for HA IHC.



jportal/portal/page/bshaprod.psml?showdoccase= 1&doc.id=jlr-KHGHArahmen&doc.part=X&doc.

origin=bs), clinical and personal data from patients formerly treated in the University Medical-Center Hamburg Eppendorf must not given public Access. Therefore, it is mandatory to get in personal contact with the research group requesting the data. Request on the data can be made to the Study Center of the Medical faculty of the University of Hamburg (c.fehrs@uke.de). Postal adress: Study Center (Studienzentrum), University Medical-Center Hamburg Eppendorf, Martinistrasse 52, 20246 Hamburg.

Funding: The authors received no specific funding for this work.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Introduction

Despite intensive research efforts, the prognosis of patients suffering from pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDA) has not improved during the last few decades [1]. Therefore novel therapeutic approaches are urgently required to improve the clinical situation. One such approach might be modulation of the extracellular matrix (ECM) of carcinomas in order to enhance cancer therapy [2, 3]. One particular component of the ECM, namely hyaluronan (HA), has attracted considerable interest. It is a large polyanion of the ECM that allows extensive hydration, thus occupying a large hydrodynamic volume [4]. By combining pegylated hyaluronidase to degrade HA in the ECM with gencitabine, a considerable therapeutic benefit was observed in a clinical study by Hingorani et al. in a small number of PDA patients whose tumour stroma was rich in HA [5]. The observation that a HA-rich stroma is associated with significantly shorter survival had already been made by Cheng and colleagues [6]. These two studies used different methodologies to detect HA: Hingorani used hyaluronan binding protein (HABP) to detect HA in tissue sections [5] while Cheng and colleagues used antibodies to detect HA [6]. As shown for carbohydrate histochemistry in particular, differences in the methods used can severely influence the results of survival analyses, hence we also evaluated the influence of the two methods on the results of HA detection in tissue sections.

Material and methods

Study design and patients

This study was approved by the ethics committee of the chamber of physicians, Hamburg, Germany. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients to use the resected tumour samples. For this study, 264 patients with PAC who underwent surgery at the University Medical Centre Hamburg-Eppendorf between February 1994 and May 2005 were analysed. None of the patients received neoadjuvant treatment. All data including sex, histology, tumour size, lymph node metastasis and disease stage (UICC 6th edition) were obtained from review of a combination of clinical and pathological records, from outpatient clinic medical records and communication with patients and their attending physicians.

Tissue microarray (TMA) construction and analysis

Tissue cores were obtained from pathologically proven formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue blocks of PAC. Based on haematoxylin-eosin staining representative areas of the tumour were selected.

TMA construction was performed as described previously [7]. Briefly, 358 tissue cylinders with a diameter of 0.6 mm were punched from the "donor" tissue blocks using a homemade semiautomatic robotic precision instrument and brought into one recipient paraffin block containing 358 individual samples. Among these samples there were 264 PDAC, 33 intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms (IPMN), 40 neuroendocrine pancreatic tumours (NET) and 36 samples of healthy tissue as negative controls. Four-micrometre sections of the resulting TMA blocks were transferred to an adhesive-coated slide system (Instrumedics Inc., Hackensack, New Jersey). The staining pattern was analysed using a modified analysis protocol as previously described [8]. In brief, the staining intensity (0, 1+, 2+, 3+) was scored for each tissue spot. Spots without staining and with a staining intensity of 1+ of the extracellular stroma were scored as HA negative; positive scores were given for a staining intensity of 2+ and more. Staining of intracellular compartments was not considered for analysis. Immunohistochemical analysis of the sections was performed without knowledge of the patients' identity or clinical status.

Hyaluronan detection in tissue sections

Both human nasal conchae previously used as control tissue for HABP [9] and primary xenografts of a human pancreatic adenocarcinoma cell line (PaCa 5061) [10, 11] were used as control tissues for the detection of HA and for the hyaluronidase digestion experiments.

Ligand binding histochemistry using hyaluronic acid binding protein (HABP)

Sections were deparaffinized and dried overnight at 37°C. Sections were incubated in a water bath at 60°C in a 1:10 diluted DAKO retrieval solution (# S1699, Dako, Glostrup, Danmark). After cooling down for 30 minutes half of the retrieval solution was replaced by distilled water. After 10 minutes sections were placed in distilled water for 5 minutes. After two washes in Tris-buffered saline (TBS; 0.05 M Tris-HCl at pH 7.6 and 0.15 M NaCl) for 5 minutes, the sections were incubated with 1% bovine serum albumin in TBS for 30 minutes (Dako). Biotinylated hyaluronic acid binding protein (HABP, # 385911 Calbiochem, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) diluted 1:75 in Antibody Diluent (# B 1-31C, Medac, Wedel, Germany) was applied for 1 h at room temperature. The binding sites were detected using the ABC-AP-Kit (Vector Laboratories Inc., Burlingame, CA). The Permanent Red Kit (Dako) was used as a chromogen. Sections were counterstained with hemalumn (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), dehydrated and covered with Eukitt (Kindler, Freiburg, Germany).

Immunohistochemical detection of hyaluronan using a polyclonal antibody

For antigen retrieval, deparaffinized sections were microwaved three times for 5 minutes each in a pH 6 citrate buffer at the maximum setting of the microwave oven. Afterwards the sections were treated in the same buffer for 20 minutes in a water bath at 90°C. After cooling down to room temperature, sections were washed twice in TBS for 5 minutes each and sheep anti-HA polyclonal antibody (Abcam ab 53842, Cambridge, UK) diluted 1: 200 in Antibody Diluent (S3022, Dako) was applied at 4°C overnight. After washing twice in TBS plus 0.2% Triton X 100 there was a further wash for 5 minutes in TBS. A biotinylated rabbit anti-goat secondary antibody (Dako) diluted 1: 200 in TBS was applied for 30 minutes. Normal rabbit control serum (# X0903, Dako) was used as a negative control. For the detection of the antigen binding sites the same ABC-AP-Kit and visualization procedures as described above were used.

Specificity control using hyaluronidase digestion

Streptomyces hyaluronidase (389561-100U, Merck) was dissolved in 1 ml 0.02 M sodium acetate buffer pH 6.0. This stock solution was diluted 1:10 in the sodium acetate buffer for final use. Deparaffinized sections were treated three times for 5 minutes each in the sodium acetate buffer and thereafter for 4 hours at 37°C in 10 U/ml hyaluronidase in acetate buffer. This was followed by a fresh hyaluronidase 10 U/ml solution for another 20 hours. After two washes in TBS plus Tween 20 and one additional wash in TBS alone, the primary antibody was applied.

Statistical analysis

SPSS Statistics for Mac OS (Version 20, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL USA) was used for statistical analysis. Relationships between the immunostaining and clinico-pathological data were calculated using Chi-square and Fisher's Exact tests. Survival curves were plotted using the Kaplan-Meier method and analysed by log-rank test. Multivariate analysis was performed using the

Cox regression model. All tests were two-sided. P-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

HA deposition in PaCa 5061 xenograft primary tumours

To validate the staining method, human nasal conchae were used as a positive control as high basal HA expression has been described previously in this tissue (H&E staining depicted in Fig 1) [8]. Immunohistochemistry performed using a polyclonal antibody showed non-specific binding as it was abolished after hyaluronidase pretreatment (Fig 2). Similar results were found for xenografts tumours of a human cancer cell line (Fig 3). Using the polyclonal antibody against HA, moderate HA immunolabelling was noted in the cancer cells while weak or no labelling was noted in the extracellular matrix. Again, the labelling intensity was not diminished by hyaluronidase pre-treatment. In contrast, HABP stained the extracellular matrix only and not the cancer cells and all HABP binding was completely abolished by hyaluronidase pre-treatment.

TMA results

HA expression was evaluable in 184 cases. Reasons for non-informative cases (n = 80; 30%) included complete lack of tissue samples or absence of unequivocal cancer tissue in the TMA section. Five patients (2.7%) died within 30 days after surgery due to surgically related or perioperative complications and were not considered for the analysis. Finally, 175 patients were included in the survival analysis.

Characteristics of patients with interpretable tumours are listed in <u>Table 1</u>. Briefly, the median age of the study population was 63.4 years (range 32–87.5 years), 103 were male (56.0%), 81 female (44.0%). All patients underwent pancreaticoduodenectomy between 2000 and 2012, none was treated with neoadjuvant therapy, while 162 (88.8%) patients received adjuvant gemcitabine chemotherapy, and none underwent postoperative radiotherapy. One hundred and forty patients received a R0 resection (72.2%), and 44 (27.8%) patients a R1 resection.



Fig 1. Haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining of human nasal conchae (A) and PaCa 5061 xenograft tumour (B).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178703.g001



Fig 2. Hyaluronan (HA) deposition in human nasal conchae as determined by anti-HA antibody staining (A) and after hyaluronidase pretreatment (B). Changes in staining patterns and intensity were not seen, unlike when detecting HA by hyaluronic acid binding protein (HABP) ligand histochemistry (C), which showed a complete absence of HA after pretreatment (D).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178703.g002

PLOS ONE

HA was expressed in 162 patients (86.4%), while 22 patients showed no HA expression (13.6%). HA showed an extracellular distribution within the tumour and no intracellular accumulation of HA within tumour cells was noted (Fig 4). Staining was not seen on normal acinar and ductal cells of the peritumoural areas. A correlation between HA staining and the primary tumour size was observed. Larger tumours showed HA expression significantly more often than smaller ones did (p = 0.046). Apart from that, there was no correlation with other clinic-pathological data (Table 1).

Overall and disease-free survival

Median overall survival for all patients was 14.0 months (95%CI 11.7–16.3 months), and median disease-free survival was 8.0 months (95%CI 5.9–10.1 months), respectively. Median overall survival was 16.0 months (95%CI 12.9–19.2 months) in patients with positive HA expression, and 14.0 months (95CI 11.5–16.5 months, P = .549) in patients without HA



Fig 3. PaCa 5061 primary human pancreatic adenocarcinoma xenograft immunohistochemically stained with an anti-hyaluronan polyclonal antibody. Note the staining of the cancer cells themselves, which were more intensively stained than the surrounding extracellular matrix (A). If hyaluronan was detected by hyaluronic acid binding protein, it was present in the extracellular matrix and not in the cancer cells themselves (C). If the section was pretreated with hyaluronidase, the hyaluronan immunoreactivity did not vanish after antibody IHC (B); in contrast, hyaluronidase pre-treatment abolished its reactivity completely according to HABP staining (D). Collectively these results indicate that the anti-hyaluronan antibody binding is not caused by hyaluronan and that this approach is therefore unsuitable for its detection.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178703.g003

expression (Fig 5A). Disease-free survival in patients with and without HA expression showed a similar pattern, with a slightly shorter disease-free survival (7.0 months, 95%CI 5.1–8.9 months) in patients with HA expression versus those without (13.0 months, 95%CI 3.5–22.5 months, P = 0.081) (Fig 5B). Survival analysis was also performed with R0 resected patients only. Overall and disease-free survival did not show any significant differences in terms of correlation with HA expression status (data not shown).

Discussion

The present study was undertaken to evaluate the possible prognostic role of HA deposition in the extracellular matrix of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma and identify patient subgroups with high HA expression profiles that may profit from hyaluronidase treatment. In our series we were unable to find a prognostic impact of HA deposition on the patients' survival



Table 1. Clinico-pathological data of patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma correlated with hyaluronan (HA) expression levels (*n.a.* not available).

			HA expression		
		total	negative	positive	<i>p</i> value
All patients		184	22	162	n.a.
			13.6%	86.4%	
Sex	male	103	14	89	0.296
			13.6%	86.4%	
	female	81	8	73	
			9.9%	90.1%	
Age group	< 65 years	99	13	86	0.383
			13.1%	86.9%	
	> 65 years	85	9	76	
			10.6%	89.4%	
Tumour stage	pT1	5	2	3	0.046
			40.0%	60.0%	
	pT2	47	2	45	
			4.3%	95.7%	
	pT3	121	17	104	
			14.0%	86.0%	
	pT4	7	0	7	
			0.0%	100.0%	
Lymph node stage	pN0	63	9	54	0.632
			14.3%	85.7%	
	pN1	116	12	104	
			10.3%	89.7%	
Distant metastasis	MO	177	21	156	0.596
			11.9%	88.1%	
	M1	7	1	6	
			14.3%	85.7%	
Grading	G1	8	1	7	0.618
			12.5%	87.5%	
	G2	82	12	70	
			14.6%	85.4%	
	G3	92	9	83	
			9.8%	90.2%	
Resection status	R0	140	25	115	0.409
			18.2%	81.8%	
	R1	44	5	39	
			11.8%	88.2%	

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178703.t001

although the vast majority of patients (88%) showed at least moderate or high expression of HA in the extracellular matrix. In addition, larger tumours were associated with higher levels of HA expression.

Pancreatic cancer is characterized typically by a dense desmoplastic stroma containing a large amount of extracellular matrix such as collagen, fibronectin, laminin and HA [12, 13]. The biological functions of a HA-rich microenvironment in malignant tumours have been investigated in preclinical trials and showed that presence of HA may promote tumour progression by enhancing cell proliferation, migration, invasion, neo-angiogenesis and increased





Fig 4. Hyaluronan deposition in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma as determined by hyaluronic acid binding protein (HABP) ligand histochemistry. Some tumours showed no extracellular HA (A) deposition while other tumours showed moderate (B) or high amounts of HA (C).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178703.g004

resistance to chemo- or targeted cancer therapy [12, 14, 15]. Increased levels of HA were already correlated with increased tumour progression and shortened overall-survival in breast cancer [16], colorectal cancer [17], gastric cancer [18] and prostate cancer [19]. Animal models revealed accelerated tumour growth following HA accumulation by overexpression of HA-synthase [20]. These findings suggest that HA is a potential target for improved cancer-specific therapy in PDAC. A recently published phase Ib trial by Hingorani and colleagues analysed the safety and tolerability of PEG-hyaloronidase in patients with PDAC in combination with gemcitabine, with promising results for future clinical trials [5]. The results of our study underline the importance of HA in PDAC, despite the lack of significant differences in OS in our series. However, the fact that almost all tumours show high HA expression underlines the biological importance of HA in small tumour progression. The fact that HA expression was seen in large tumours more often than in small tumours is consistent with the aforementioned





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178703.g005

observations as the synthesis of large amounts of ECM is obviously mandatory during tumour progression in PDAC [3, 21, 22].

The results of our present study are contrast with those of a recent study published by Cheng and colleagues who were able to show a strong correlation between HA expression and patients' overall survival although larger tumours were correlated with higher HA expression in our series [6]. However, different methodologies were used in our study. Cheng and colleagues used a polyclonal antibody against hyaluronan, which resulted in strong staining of the pancreatic cancer cells (Fig 2). As hyaluronan is a non-immunogenic polysaccharide [23] we found this result astonishing and used this antibody in parallel with our established HABP histochemistry [9]. This staining method had been validated previously and also used in recent clinical studies for analysis of baseline HA expression. Indeed, the antibody used by Cheng et al. [6] was also used by us and showed the same staining pattern in our pancreatic tumours, namely the staining was present in the cancer cells themselves. However, this staining could not be abolished by hyaluronidase predigestion. This is in contrast to the HABP binding sites, which were present in the extracellular matrix and could be abolished completely by hyaluronidase predigestion. We therefore assume that the presence of HA cannot adequately be determined by using this antibody. Hence no conclusion with respect to prognosis and HA deposition can be drawn from this paper because of the methodological problems.

According to our findings, HA in itself is not of prognostic relevance. As almost 90% of the tumours were HA positive, the expression of HA is likely to be of great importance during tumour progression in PDAC, as illustrated by the extensive desmoplastic reaction in almost every PDAC. Interestingly, our results revealed higher levels of HA expression in larger tumours and 100% of pT3/4 tumours were HA positive. Obviously HA expression becomes mandatory at some point during tumour progression in order to provide an optimized tumour microenvironment for further tumour growth. Unfortunately, we were not able to analyse PanIN precursor lesions with respect to HA expression but it would be interesting if early HA expression could be correlated with later transformation in malignant tumours.

As immunohistochemistry using antibodies to HA has proven to be unreliable, the stratification of patients according to high and low HA content should therefore be made by HABP histochemistry. The increased survival of pancreatic cancer patients treated by pegylated hyaluronidase and gemcitabine [5] is therefore due to a drug-mediated effect and not caused by differences in HA deposition pattern, as this pattern is not indicative of prognosis in pancreatic adenocarcinoma patients.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: FG US. Data curation: FG MK. Investigation: FG MK. Methodology: MK PP GS. Project administration: US. Resources: US. Software: FG MK. Supervision: US. Validation: FG US GS. Visualization: FG.

Writing - original draft: FG US.

Writing - review & editing: PP GS.

References

- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2016. CA Cancer J Clin. 2016; 66(1):7–30. https://doi. org/10.3322/caac.21332 PMID: 26742998
- Venning FA, Wullkopf L, Erler JT. Targeting ECM Disrupts Cancer Progression. Front Oncol. 2015; 5:224. PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4611145. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2015.00224</u> PMID: 26539408
- Gkretsi V, Stylianou A, Papageorgis P, Polydorou C, Stylianopoulos T. Remodeling Components of the Tumor Microenvironment to Enhance Cancer Therapy. Front Oncol. 2015; 5:214. PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4604307. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2015.00214 PMID: 26528429
- Shepard HM. Breaching the Castle Walls: Hyaluronan Depletion as a Therapeutic Approach to Cancer Therapy. Front Oncol. 2015; 5:192. PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4551830. https://doi.org/10. 3389/fonc.2015.00192 PMID: 26380222
- Hingorani SR, Harris WP, Beck JT, Berdov BA, Wagner SA, Pshevlotsky EM, et al. Phase Ib Study of PEGylated Recombinant Human Hyaluronidase and Gemcitabine in Patients with Advanced Pancreatic Cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2016; 22(12):2848–54. <u>https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-2010</u> PMID: 26813359
- Cheng XB, Sato N, Kohi S, Yamaguchi K. Prognostic impact of hyaluronan and its regulators in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. PLoS One. 2013; 8(11):e80765. PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3823618. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0080765 PMID: 24244714
- Dancau AM, Simon R, Mirlacher M, Sauter G. Tissue Microarrays. Methods Mol Biol. 2016; 1381:53– 65. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-3204-7_3 PMID: 26667454
- Dancau AM, Simon R, Mirlacher M, Sauter G. Tissue microarrays. Methods Mol Biol. 2010; 576:49–60. Epub 2009/11/03. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-59745-545-9_4 PMID: 19882257
- Fuhrmann IK, Steinhagen J, Ruther W, Schumacher U. Comparative immunohistochemical evaluation of the zonal distribution of extracellular matrix and inflammation markers in human meniscus in osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis. Acta Histochem. 2015; 117(3):243–54. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acthis.</u> 2014.12.009 PMID: 25827912
- Gebauer F, Wicklein D, Stubke K, Nehmann N, Schmidt A, Salamon J, et al. Selectin binding is essential for peritoneal carcinomatosis in a xenograft model of human pancreatic adenocarcinoma in pfp —/rag2— mice. Gut. 2013; 62(5):741–50. https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2011-300629 PMID: 22490524
- Kalinina T, Gungor C, Thieltges S, Moller-Krull M, Penas EM, Wicklein D, et al. Establishment and characterization of a new human pancreatic adenocarcinoma cell line with high metastatic potential to the lung. BMC Cancer. 2010; 10:295. PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2927995. https://doi.org/10. 1186/1471-2407-10-295 PMID: 20553613
- Whatcott CJ, Han H, Von Hoff DD. Orchestrating the Tumor Microenvironment to Improve Survival for Patients With Pancreatic Cancer: Normalization, Not Destruction. Cancer J. 2015; 21(4):299–306. PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4817719. https://doi.org/10.1097/PPO.00000000000140 PMID: 26222082
- Whatcott CJ, Diep CH, Jiang P, Watanabe A, LoBello J, Sima C, et al. Desmoplasia in Primary Tumors and Metastatic Lesions of Pancreatic Cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2015; 21(15):3561–8. PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4526394. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-14-1051 PMID: 25695692
- Nogueira DR, Yaylim I, Aamir Q, Kahraman OT, Fayyaz S, Kamran-ul-Hassan Naqvi S, et al. TRAIL mediated signaling in pancreatic cancer. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2014; 15(15):5977–82. PMID: 25124560
- Sugahara KN, Hirata T, Hayasaka H, Stern R, Murai T, Miyasaka M. Tumor cells enhance their own CD44 cleavage and motility by generating hyaluronan fragments. J Biol Chem. 2006; 281(9):5861–8. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M506740200 PMID: 16407205
- Auvinen PK, Parkkinen JJ, Johansson RT, Agren UM, Tammi RH, Eskelinen MJ, et al. Expression of hyaluronan in benign and malignant breast lesions. Int J Cancer. 1997; 74(5):477–81. PMID: 9355968
- Ropponen K, Tammi M, Parkkinen J, Eskelinen M, Tammi R, Lipponen P, et al. Tumor cell-associated hyaluronan as an unfavorable prognostic factor in colorectal cancer. Cancer Res. 1998; 58(2):342–7. PMID: 9443415

- Setala LP, Tammi MI, Tammi RH, Eskelinen MJ, Lipponen PK, Agren UM, et al. Hyaluronan expression in gastric cancer cells is associated with local and nodal spread and reduced survival rate. Br J Cancer. 1999; 79(7–8):1133–8. PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2362238. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjc. 6690180 PMID: 10098747
- Lipponen P, Aaltomaa S, Tammi R, Tammi M, Agren U, Kosma VM. High stromal hyaluronan level is associated with poor differentiation and metastasis in prostate cancer. Eur J Cancer. 2001; 37(7):849– 56. PMID: <u>11313172</u>
- 20. Li P, Xiang T, Li H, Li Q, Yang B, Huang J, et al. Hyaluronan synthase 2 overexpression is correlated with the tumorigenesis and metastasis of human breast cancer. Int J Clin Exp Pathol. 2015; 8 (10):12101–14. PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4680340. PMID: 26722395
- 21. Mahadevan D, Von Hoff DD. Tumor-stroma interactions in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Mol Cancer Ther. 2007; 6(4):1186–97. https://doi.org/10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-06-0686 PMID: 17406031
- 22. Rooney P, Kumar S, Ponting J, Wang M. The role of hyaluronan in tumour neovascularization (review). Int J Cancer. 1995; 60(5):632–6. PMID: 7532158
- 23. Maytin EV. Hyaluronan: More than just a wrinkle filler. Glycobiology. 2016; 26(6):553–9. PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4847620. https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cww033 PMID: 26964566