

## OPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Sánchez-Rodríguez MA, Zacarías-Flores M, Arronte-Rosales A, Mendoza-Núñez VM (2019) Association between hot flashes severity and oxidative stress among Mexican postmenopausal women: A cross-sectional study. PLoS ONE 14(9): e0214264. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214264

**Editor:** Jed N. Lampe, University of Colorado Denver Skaggs School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, UNITED STATES

Received: March 7, 2019

Accepted: September 11, 2019

Published: September 24, 2019

**Copyright:** © 2019 Sánchez-Rodríguez et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** The Hotflashes database1.xls file is available from the Dryad database, DOI: 10.5061/dryad.q7j8457.

**Funding:** This project was supported by a grant from the Secretaría de Educación, Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación de la Ciudad de México SECITI/042/2018, Red colaborativa de Investigación Traslacional para el Envejecimiento Saludable de la Ciudad de México (RECITES). The RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Association between hot flashes severity and oxidative stress among Mexican postmenopausal women: A cross-sectional study

Martha A. Sánchez-Rodríguez<sup>1</sup>\*, Mariano Zacarías-Flores<sup>2‡</sup>, Alicia Arronte-Rosales<sup>1‡</sup>, Víctor Manuel Mendoza-Núñez<sup>1</sup>

1 Unidad de Investigación en Gerontología, Facultad de Estudios Superiores Zaragoza, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad de México, México, 2 División de Ginecología y Obstetricia, Hospital Gustavo Baz Prada, Instituto de Salud del Estado de México, Nezahualcoyotl, Estado de México, México

• These authors contributed equally to this work.

‡ These authors also contributed equally to this work.

\* masanrod@yahoo.com.mx

## Abstract

## Objective

To assess the association between hot flashes (HFs) severity and oxidative stress (OS) in Mexican postmenopausal women.

## Methods

A cross-sectional study was carried out with perimenopausal women aged 40–59 years community-dwelling from Mexico City, Mexico. They participated in Menopause and Oxidative Stress Project. The baseline sample consisted of 476 women recruited to participate; 161 women were excluded due to different reasons. Hence, 315 women were selected to establish two groups, a) 145 premenopausal women (yet with menstrual bleeding), and b) 170 postmenopausal women (without menses). All women were free of cardiovascular, kidney, hepatic or cancer disease, and without antioxidant supplement intake for at least six months prior to the beginning of the study; none had previously received hormone therapy. As OS markers, we measured plasma malondialdehyde using the TBARS assay, erythrocyte superoxide dismutase (SOD) and glutathione peroxidase (GPx), uric acid, and total antioxidant status; also, we calculated SOD/GPx ratio, antioxidant gap and an oxidative stress score ranging from 0 to 7. The HFs were evaluated using the Menopause Rating Scale. The women completed Spanish version of the Athens Insomnia Scale, Zung Self-Rating Anxiety Scale and Zung Self-Rating Depression Scale and a questionnaire of prooxidant factors.

## Results

Stress score increased with HFs severity (mild  $2.7\pm0.17$ , moderate  $2.9\pm0.20$  and severe  $3.7\pm0.20$ , p = 0.001) in postmenopausal women. We observed a positive correlation between

work was supported by Dirección General de Asuntos del Personal Académico, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, grant number DGAPA-PAPIIT IN306517.

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

HFs severity and stress score, r = 0.247 (p = 0.001) in postmenopausal women; other test scores were not correlated. Severe HFs were a risk factor for OS (OR = 5.12, 95%CI: 1.99– 13.17, p<0.05) in an adjusted multivariate analysis by different postmenopausal symptoms and pro-oxidant factors; we did not see any association in premenopausal women.

#### Conclusion

Our findings suggest an association between HFs severity and OS in Mexican postmenopausal women.

## Introduction

Menopause, an expected event in a woman's life, it usually occurs around midlife and it is commonly defined as a 12-month period of amenorrhea [1] or hypoestrogenism (estrogen level < 25 pg/mL) due to ovarian senescence, hence, the postmenopausal period is considered the beginning of female aging. During ovarian aging, there is an erratic production of estradiol (E2) until it reaches a permanently low level in postmenopausal women [2], which result in a series of uncomfortable symptoms, such as vasomotor symptoms that interfere with sleep and quality of life. The most distressing symptoms of menopausal transition are hot flashes (HFs). They occur in over 75% of menopausal women [3]. Recently, it was highlighted that moderate/severe HFs continue, on average, for nearly 5 years after menopause, and more than one third of women experience moderate/severe HFs 10 years or more after menopause [4]; however, HFs onset or intensify occurs during the late menopausal transition [1].

The reduction in E2 has been shown to increase the oxidative stress (OS) in the body because E2 presents antioxidant properties due to its structure and its capacity to prevent OS by different ways, such as free-radical scavenging, neutralizing excess reactive oxygen species (ROS), and increasing antioxidant molecules (e.g. thioredoxin and superoxide dismutase) [5,6]; therefore, E2 is part of the antioxidant system that counteracts OS during the reproductive stage. Additionally, low concentrations of this hormone have pro-oxidant like effects [7]. In this regard, our research group has described that menopause is a risk factor for OS [8] because when the production of E2 decreases, the antioxidant protection is lost and therefore OS increases.

Oxidative stress is also involved in the pathogenesis of menopausal symptoms, such as vasomotor disturbances (e.g. HFs or night sweats). During menopause transition and postmenopausal period, the women suffer repeated episodes of such vasomotor disturbances, which produce an increase of the metabolic rate. These episodes of vasomotor symptoms contribute to OS production by raising the level of oxidant species and by blocking antioxidants and their function in neutralizing reactive oxygen/nitrogen species [7].

Additionally, the relationship between HFs and OS is little understood; several studies support an association, but others do not. Recently a report noted that HFs and OS are independent events [9], causing a controversy; therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the association between HFs severity and OS in in Mexican postmenopausal women.

## Material and methods

#### Study design and population

We carried out a cross-sectional study with a deterministic sample of 315 perimenopausal women aged 40–59 years community-dwelling from Mexico City, Mexico. They were invited





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214264.g001

to participate in Menopause and Oxidative Stress Project directed by the Gerontology Research Unit at Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico, Zaragoza Campus, from February 2015 to March 2016. The baseline sample consists of 476 women recruited by informative brochures that were distributed in the community specifying the objectives of the study and the admission criteria; 161 women were excluded due to different reasons (Fig 1). Women included were separated into two groups, a) 145 premenopausal women (yet with menstrual bleeding), and b) 170 postmenopausal women (without menses).

All women were free of overt cardiovascular, kidney, hepatic and cancer disease as assessed by medical history and physical examination and without antioxidant supplement intake for at least six months prior to the beginning of the study; none had previously received hormone therapy. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico, Zaragoza Campus, Mexico City, Mexico (register number FESZ/DEPI/CI/004/17).

The women agreed to participate in the study after signing the informed consent. The participants underwent the following examinations: complete clinical history, complete blood count, glucose and lipids profile, anthropometric and blood pressure measurements. Those tests were used to establish their health status, using the cut-off points of reference values for Mexican adults [10].

We measured E2 level using a radioimmunoassay method (Siemens, Malvern, PA, USA) and FSH level using a chemiluminescence method (Siemens). The within-run precision level for these methods were 3.1% and 7.4%, respectively, and the E2 analytical sensitivity was 8 pg/mL.

Blood samples were collected after a 12-h fasting period by venipuncture and placed in vacutainer/siliconized test tubes containing a separating gel and no additives, and heparin as anticoagulant agent (Becton-Dickinson, Mexico City, Mexico). Samples containing heparin were analyzed using a hemoglobin test protocol (including hemoglobin, hematocrit, and leukocyte counts) in a Celly 70 auto analyzer (Chronolab, Mexico City, Mexico). Serum was obtained from samples without additives and was tested for glucose, cholesterol, triglycerides and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-c) concentrations using a Cobas C111 analyzer (Roche Diagnostics, Basilea, Sw). The intra- and inter-assay variation coefficients were less 5% in all determinations.

After clinical history and physical examination were conducted, we performed the following anthropometric measurements: weight was measured while the woman was wearing underwear and a clinical gown and in a fasting state (after evacuation). A Torino® scale (Tecno Lógica, Mexicana, Mexico, TLM®) was used, and was calibrated before each weight measurement. Height was obtained with an aluminum cursor stadiometer graduated in millimeters. The woman stood barefoot, back, and head in contact with the stadiometer in Frankfurt horizontal plane. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing weight (in kilograms) through squared height (in meters).

Blood pressure was measured in both arms 3 times in the morning, in a fasting condition, in sitting position. A mercurial manometer was used to measure the blood pressure and it was taken by medical technicians who had attended training sessions to standardize the procedures. The technicians were supervised to avoid possible biases in measurement.

### Assessment of oxidative stress

With the blood samples containing heparin, we measured red blood cell superoxide dismutase (SOD) and glutathione peroxidase (GPx) activities, plasma total antioxidant status (TAS), and plasma malondialdehyde level (MDA). All the methods were validated in our research laboratory, and the within-run precision for the markers were as follows: 3.8%, 4.6%, 4.3%, and 6%. Artefactual formation of thiobarbituric acid reacting substances (TBARS) in the samples was prevented by adding 10  $\mu$ L of 2 mM butylated hydroxytoluene in ethanol at 95% immediately after centrifugation.

SOD activity was measured by the method that employs xanthine and xanthine oxidase to generate superoxide radicals, which react with 2-(4-iodophenyl)-3-(4-nitrophenol)-5-phenylte-trazolium chloride to form a red formazan dye (Randox Laboratories, Ltd., Crumlin Co. UK). GPx was measured using the oxidation of glutathione by cumene hydroperoxide in the presence of glutathione reductase and NADPH, oxidized glutathione is immediately converted into the reduced form with the subsequent oxidation of NADPH to NADP<sup>+</sup> (Randox Laboratories, Ltd.). Antioxidant status (TAS) quantification was conducted using 2,2-azino-bis (3-ethyl-benzthiazoline-6-sulfonic acid, ABTS<sup>+</sup>) radical formation kinetics (Randox Laboratories Ltd.).

The MDA level was measured with TBARS assay, which was performed as described by Jentzsch et al. [11], and as we previously validated. All the measures were performed in a Shimadzu UV-1601 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Kyoto, Japan).

Uric acid level was measured by uricase colorimetric method and albumin level by bromocresol green technique with a Cobas C111 analyzer.

In addition, we calculated the antioxidant gap (GAP) with the equation GAP = TAS— [(albumin (µmol) X 0.69) + uric acid (µmol)] [12]

Also, we obtained the SOD/GPx ratio, a proposal from some authors that indicates oxidative damage because the enzymatic antioxidant pathway is a two-step process. In first step, SOD converts superoxide anion to hydrogen peroxide, a strong oxidant; and in the secondstep glutathione peroxidase converts hydrogen peroxide to water. Thus, when there is an imbalance between the first and second-step, an accumulation of hydrogen peroxide is produced which affects the cellular functions and may lead to organic dysfunction [13–15].

Alternative cut-off values of each parameter were defined based on the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of young healthy subjects: MDA  $\geq$  0.320 µmol/L, SOD  $\leq$  1.20 U/gHb, GPx  $\leq$  50.1 U/gHb, TAS  $\leq$  900 µmol/L, SOD/GPx  $\geq$  0.023, GAP  $\leq$  190 µmol/L. The uric acid cut-off value was the median of the reference interval (> 268 µmol/L) as determined at the Gerontologic Clinical Research Laboratory of the Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM) Zaragoza Campus in Mexico City [10]. An oxidative stress score (SS) was obtained, ranging from 0 to 7, represented the severity of the marker modifications; a score of 1 was given to each value higher or lower than the cut-off point established. A cut-off value of  $\geq$  4 was considered as OS.

# Assessment of hot flashes, symptomatology linked to menopausal transition and pro-oxidant lifestyle factors

As potential confounding factors, mood disturbances, insomnia and pro-oxidant lifestyle aspects were considered. All the women completed Spanish versions of self-assessment tests and a structured questionnaire about pro-oxidant factors.

Menopausal symptoms were assessed with the Menopause Rating Scale (MRS), a validated test to assess the intensity from them [16,17]. The test is composed by 11 items assessing menopausal symptoms divided into three subscales: somatic, psychological and urogenital [18]. Each item can be graded by the subject from 0 (not present) to 4 (1 = mild; 2 = moderate; 3 = severe; 4 = very severe). We used the question about vasomotor symptoms of somatic subscale to assess HFs intensity, in which the woman is asked to indicate the intensity of her symptoms by making an evaluation of the last two weeks. To facilitate the evaluation of the intensity of hot flashes, we provide a printed scale describing the duration and sensations according to the intensity (S1 File).

Anxiety was evaluated with Zung Self-Rating Anxiety Scale (SAS) in Spanish version (S2 File). The SAS is a 20-item measure developed to assess the frequency of anxiety symptoms based on diagnostic conceptualizations. The total scores on the SAS ranged from 0 to 80. A cut-off value >45 was considered to indicate anxiety [19,20].

For depressive mood, we used the Zung Self-Rating Depression Scale (SDS) that consists of 20 items. The score ranges from 20 to 80. A woman with a SDS score below 40 was considered normal [21,22]. The women answered the Spanish version (S3 File).

We used the Athens Insomnia Scale (AIS) in Spanish version to evaluate sleep disturbances (S4 File). The AIS is a validated self-assessment psychometric instrument designed to determine sleep difficulty based on the ICD-10 criteria. It consists of eight items and the higher the score, the greater intensity of sleep disturbances. A cut-off value of  $\geq$  8 was considered as insomnia [23,24].

About lifestyle pro-oxidant factors, the participants answered a structured questionnaire assessing the following: smoking, the consumption of caffeinated and/or alcoholic beverages, and physical inactivity. We considered a pro-oxidant factor present when the following were noted: smoking  $\geq 2$  cigarettes/day, consumption of  $\geq 2$  glasses/day alcoholic beverages, consumption of > 2 cups/day caffeinated beverages, and < 30 min/day of physical activity.

## Statistical analysis

Quantitative results were described with the means  $\pm$  standard error (SE), and they were compared using two sample t-test. We separated the women in three subgroups for each group per the HFs intensity: 1) no/mild (< 2), 2) moderate (= 2), and 3) severe/very severe ( $\geq$  3), and we compared with one-way ANOVA with Dunnett test as posthoc, using subgroup 1 as control. Categorical data were analyzed using frequencies, percentages and 95% confidence interval (95%CI) for proportions, which were compared using the chi square test. Also, we calculated Spearman's correlation between SS and HFs intensity or other tests scores, for each group. Three logistic regression models, with the enter method, were generated according to different confounding factors, using categorical OS (SS cut-off value  $\geq$ 4) as dependent variable. In all models, we included HFs as no/mild, moderate and severe/very severe, and the other variables as dummy. The first model was unadjusted, only HFs severity was included as independent variable; in the second model, we added anxiety (score > 45), depressive mood (score  $\geq$  40) and insomnia (score  $\geq$  8) as confounding pro-oxidant symptoms. Finally, to simultaneously control the risk factors for OS, we incorporated the following variables at the second model: age (> 50 y), smoker (> 2 cigarettes/d), caffeinated beverages intake (> 2 cups/d), sedentary (< 30 min/d ofphysical activity) and overweight/obesity ( $> 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ); alcohol intake was not included because the frequency in the groups was very low. The models were built using the variables identified in the literature as potential pro-oxidant factors associated with OS. Interactions among pro-oxidant variables were not important to the models. With the odds ratio (OR) results, we calculated chi square for trends. Risk factors were defined by OR > 1 and a 95%CI that did not include the 1.0 value. A *p*-value < 0.05 was considered significant. The data were processed using the standard statistical software package SPSS V. 20.0 (IBM SPSS Statistics Armonk, NY, USA).

## **Results**

## Sample characteristics

A total of 315 women separated in two groups (145 premenopausal and 170 postmenopausal) were included in the study, from a baseline sample of 476 women recruited. Seventy-three (50%) premenopausal women reported mild to moderate hot flashes vs. 112 (66%) postmenopausal women that indicated moderate to severe hot flashes (p < 0.01). The biochemical-hematologic parameters, anthropometric and blood pressure measurements in both groups had similar values in all parameters, except in red blood parameters and cholesterol (p < 0.0001). Of the analyzed symptoms, insomnia was more frequent in postmenopausal women than in premenopausal women (p < 0.05); psychological alterations were not different between the groups. Frequency in the pro-oxidant factors was similar, except that more premenopausal women were smokers (Table 1).

## Oxidative stress and hot flashes

Among OS markers, MDA level was higher in postmenopausal women with severe HFs compared to women with mild HFs (p< 0.01), and SOD activity was lower (p< 0.05) when HFs intensity increase in this group. In premenopausal women, the markers did not show any

#### Table 1. Descriptive characteristics of study groups.

| Characteristic                              | Premenopausal Women<br>(n = 145) | Postmenopausal Women<br>(n = 170) |  |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Age (y)                                     | 47.1 ± 0.3                       | $52.9 \pm 0.3^{a}$                |  |
| Estrogen (pg/mL)                            | 94.3 ± 6.3                       | $10.1 \pm 0.5^{a}$                |  |
| FSH (mU/mL)                                 | $17.0 \pm 1.6$                   | $57.5 \pm 2.0^{a}$                |  |
| Hemoglobin (mmol/L)                         | $8.6 \pm 0.07$                   | $9.0 \pm 0.06^{a}$                |  |
| Hematocrit (%)                              | 42.8 ± 0.29                      | $43.9\pm0.30^{\rm b}$             |  |
| Glucose (mmol/L)                            | 5.65 ± 0.22                      | 5.72 ± 0.20                       |  |
| Cholesterol (mmol/L)                        | $5.29 \pm 0.08$                  | $5.76 \pm 0.09^{a}$               |  |
| Triglyceride (mmol/L)                       | $2.09 \pm 0.12$                  | $2.15 \pm 0.10$                   |  |
| HDL-c (mmol/L)                              | $1.41 \pm 0.03$                  | $1.47 \pm 0.03$                   |  |
| Systolic tension (mm Hg)                    | $122 \pm 1.3$                    | $125 \pm 1.2$                     |  |
| Diastolic tension (mm Hg)                   | $82 \pm 0.8$                     | $84 \pm 0.7$                      |  |
| Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )        | $29.03 \pm 0.37$                 | $29.69 \pm 0.39$                  |  |
| Anxiety                                     | 37 (26%, 19–33%)                 | 55 (32%, 25–39%)                  |  |
| Depressive mood                             | 35 (24%, 17–31%)                 | 51 (30%, 23–37%)                  |  |
| Insomnia                                    | 74 (51%, 43–59%)                 | 110 (65%, 58–72%) <sup>c</sup>    |  |
| Smokers (> 2 cigarettes/d)                  | 28 (19%, 13–25%)                 | 15 (9%, 5–13%) <sup>c</sup>       |  |
| Caffeinated beverages intake (> 2 cups/d)   | 49 (34%, 26–42%)                 | 48 (28%, 21–35%)                  |  |
| Alcohol intake (> 2 glasses/d)              | 7 (5%, 1–9%)                     | 7 (4%, 1–7%)                      |  |
| Sedentary (<30 min/d of physical activity)  | 90 (62%, 58–66%)                 | 99 (58%, 51–65%)                  |  |
| Overweight/obesity (> $25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ) | 122 (84%)                        | 144 (85%)                         |  |

FSH, Follicle stimulating hormone; HDL-c, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

Quantitative data show means ± standard error; categorical data show frequency, percentage and 95% confidence interval. The *p* values were calculated with two sample t-test,

 $a_{p} < 0.0001$ ,

 $^{b}p = 0.01$  and chi square test,

 $^{c}p < 0.05.$ 

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214264.t001

change with HFs severity. Additionally, we used an oxidative stress score (SS) that integrates both oxidized and antioxidant markers to represent the dynamics of OS. This index included MDA level and SOD/GPx ratio as oxidative damage markers, two antioxidant enzymes (SOD and GPx), and three plasma antioxidant components (TAS, GAP and uric acid), this to evaluate integrally the OS. In this context, we found that SS was increased with HFs severity (mild  $2.9 \pm 0.23$ , moderate  $3.1 \pm 0.21$  and severe  $3.8 \pm 0.18$ , p<0.01) in postmenopausal women; in premenopausal women, the index did not change (Table 2).

In the univariate analyses between SS and HFs severity or each menopausal symptom measured by the applied test scores, we found a better correlation between SS and HFs intensity (r = 0.248, p = 0.001) in postmenopausal women; other menopausal symptoms were not significant. In premenopausal women, we did not see any relationship (Table 3).

Furthermore, we built several logistic models that included HFs intensity, menopausal symptoms and lifestyle pro-oxidant factors. We found significant models for postmenopausal women (Table 4). Accordingly, we observed a gradual increment of risk for OS in postmenopausal women with severe HFs when we included pro-oxidant factors; thus, when the model was unadjusted, OR = 2.54 (95% CI: 1.20–5.39), and when we incorporated both, menopausal symptoms and lifestyle pro-oxidant factors as categorical variables, the risk increased to 3.37 (95% CI: 1.20–9.51).

|                                   | Premenopausal Women |                      |                    | Postmenopausal Women |                      |                         |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Hot flashes intensity             | Mild<br>(n = 80)    | Moderate<br>(n = 39) | Severe<br>(n = 26) | Mild<br>(n = 58)     | Moderate<br>(n = 52) | Severe<br>(n = 60)      |
| Oxidative stress markers          |                     |                      |                    |                      |                      |                         |
| Malondialdehyde (µmol/L)          | $0.333 \pm 0.006$   | $0.333 \pm 0.010$    | $0.324 \pm 0.013$  | $0.333 \pm 0.007$    | $0.351 \pm 0.008$    | $0.367 \pm 0.008^{a}$   |
| SOD/GPx ratio                     | $0.023 \pm 0.001$   | $0.024 \pm 0.001$    | $0.021 \pm 0.001$  | $0.024 \pm 0.001$    | $0.024\pm0.001$      | $0.024 \pm 0.001$       |
| Superoxide dismutase (U/g Hb)     | $1.25 \pm 0.02$     | $1.21 \pm 0.02$      | $1.21 \pm 0.03$    | $1.22 \pm 0.02$      | $1.20 \pm 0.02$      | $1.16 \pm 0.01^{\rm b}$ |
| Glutathione peroxidase (U/g Hb)   | $60.1 \pm 2.12$     | $54.8 \pm 2.57$      | 58.8 ± 2.52        | $55.1 \pm 1.97$      | $54.5 \pm 2.05$      | $51.5 \pm 1.80$         |
| Uric acid (µmol/L)                | 253 ± 7.2           | 281 ± 9.9            | 267 ± 16.2         | $264 \pm 7.7$        | 281 ± 9.9            | $278 \pm 9.8$           |
| Total antioxidant status (µmol/L) | $1105 \pm 21.8$     | $1152 \pm 32.0$      | $1152 \pm 40.4$    | $1144 \pm 25.5$      | 1161 ± 27.2          | $1119 \pm 28.8$         |
| Antioxidant gap (µmol/L)          | $420 \pm 18.2$      | 421 ± 30.9           | $442 \pm 42.9$     | $418 \pm 23.8$       | $412 \pm 25.7$       | 362 ± 26.6              |
| Oxidative stress score            | $2.7 \pm 0.17$      | $3.1 \pm 0.22$       | $2.7 \pm 0.30$     | $2.7 \pm 0.17$       | $2.9 \pm 0.22$       | $3.7 \pm 0.20^{\circ}$  |

#### Table 2. Oxidative stress markers by hot flashes intensity in study groups.

Data show means  $\pm$  standard error. Hot flashes intensity: mild (< 2), moderate (= 2), severe ( $\geq$  3). The *p* values were calculated using one-way ANOVA with Dunnett test as *posthoc* regarding mild hot flashes subgroup as a control,

 $^{a}p = 0.01$ ,

<sup>b</sup>p< 0.05,

 $^{c}p = 0.001.$ 

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214264.t002

## Discussion

Hot flashes are the most prevalent and bothersome symptoms reported by women during the menopausal transition; recently it was noted that up to 80% of women experience HFs during this period and that on average, symptoms persist at least 5 years [4]. HFs intensity increase around perimenopause and is high in the postmenopausal period [4,25]. As we observed in this study, postmenopausal women referred more discomfort due to HFs because their vasomotor sensations were moderate to severe, contrary to premenopausal women; however, the prevalence of moderate/severe HFs in our study was higher than the Penn Ovarian Aging Study cohort [4] and other study [26] (66% vs. 46% and 40%), this difference may be due to the cross-sectional design of our study and the way of collecting the data; moreover, the populations are different and the HFs are dependent on several factors such as genetic, diet, physical changes, cultural influences, and individual experiences and expectations [27].

Furthermore, HFs have been consistently shown to be associated with discomfort, sleep disturbances, fatigue, mood disturbances and deficient quality of life [25,28], all pro-oxidant

| Scale                 | Premenopausal Women<br>(n = 145) |                      | Postmenopausal Women<br>(n = 170) |                      |  |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|--|
|                       | r                                | P value <sup>a</sup> | r                                 | P value <sup>a</sup> |  |
| Hot flashes intensity | 0.087                            | 0.298                | 0.247                             | 0.001                |  |
| MRS score             | 0.029                            | 0.730                | 0.148                             | 0.054                |  |
| AIS score             | 0.052                            | 0.537                | 0.063                             | 0.416                |  |
| SAS score             | 0.117                            | 0.161                | 0.059                             | 0.446                |  |
| SDS score             | 0.045                            | 0.587                | 0.055                             | 0.476                |  |

| Table 3. | Relationship | between | stress score and | menopausal | symptoms | scores in stud | y grou | ps. |
|----------|--------------|---------|------------------|------------|----------|----------------|--------|-----|
|----------|--------------|---------|------------------|------------|----------|----------------|--------|-----|

MRS, Menopause Rating Scale; AIS, Athens Insomnia Scale; SAS, Zung Self-Rating Anxiety Scale; SDS, Zung Self-Rating Depression Scale.

<sup>a</sup>*p* values were calculated using the Spearman correlation.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214264.t003

|                                    | OR (95% confidence interval) |                            |                                |  |  |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Hot Flashes Intensity <sup>a</sup> | Mild (< 2)<br>(n = 58)       | Moderate (= 2)<br>(n = 52) | Severe $(\geq 3)$<br>(n = 60)  |  |  |
| Oxidative stress (SS $\geq$ 4)     | 18 (31%)                     | 18 (35%)                   | 32 (53%)                       |  |  |
| Model <sup>b</sup>                 |                              |                            |                                |  |  |
| A                                  | 1.00                         | 1.18 (0.53-2.61)           | 2.54 (1.20–5.39) <sup>c</sup>  |  |  |
| B                                  | 1.00                         | 1.46 (0.63-3.36)           | 4.67 (1.85–11.71) <sup>d</sup> |  |  |
| С                                  | 1.00                         | 1.59 (0.67-3.80)           | 5.12 (1.99–13.17) <sup>e</sup> |  |  |

Table 4. Odds ratio to present different intensities of hot flashes according to oxidative stress and pro-oxidant factors in postmenopausal women.

SS: stress score.

<sup>a</sup> According MRS scale.

<sup>b</sup> Models included following baseline variables:

A. Unadjusted model included hot flashes severity and oxidative stress score  $\geq$  4. Significance of the model *p* = 0.03 using logistic regression;

 $^{\rm c}$  p < 0.05 obtained with chi square for trend.

B. Adjusted for: anxiety (score > 45), depressive mood (score  $\ge$  40) and insomnia (score  $\ge$  8). Neither of the symptoms were significant for the model.

<sup>d</sup> Significance into the model p < 0.05 using logistic regression.

C. Add to B model the pro-oxidant factors: age ( $\geq$  50 y), smoker (> 2 cigarettes/d), caffeinated beverages intake (> 2 cups/d), overweight/obesity (BMI > 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and sedentary (< 30 min/d of physical activity). Neither of the pro-oxidant factors were significant for the model.

 $^{\rm e}$  Significance into the model  $p{<}$  0.05 using logistic regression.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214264.t004

factors that cause OS. Oxidative stress occurs when the balance between ROS, produced by the metabolism, and antioxidants is disrupted, causing an accumulation of reactive species or the depletion of antioxidants [29,30]; this imbalance can cause severe oxidative damage in cells, and it is related to several chronic diseases that frequently are associated to aging, as well as HFs have been linked to cardiovascular disease, osteoporosis and cognitive decline [27]. In fact, vasomotor symptoms are associated with an increase in carotid intima–media thickness and other vascular changes, which causes vascular dysfunction and activation of pathways that increase the production of ROS and promote OS [31–33].

Additionally, OS is increased in the postmenopausal period probably due to the decrease in estrogen level, a natural antioxidant, by different biochemical mechanisms. Moreover, we previously noted that menopause is a risk factor for OS, which may be due to an estrogenic deficiency and symptomatology severity [8]; thus, in this study we explored which of the symptoms may be related to OS, and we focused on HFs because it is the onset of all disturbances.

In this sense, we observed that MDA level was higher and SOD activity was lower, as individual markers, and SS was higher, all in postmenopausal women with severe HFs, showing high OS in these women. Although there are few references that analyze the relationship between HFs and OS, our results are similar to a study in which postmenopausal women with HFs had a high lipoperoxide level (MDA) and low total antioxidant status compared to women without HFs [34]; and other research that showed a markedly reduced antioxidant defense in women with vasomotor symptoms [35], probably because E2 can stimulate cellular antioxidant enzymes [6,36], and this capacity is lost in the postmenopausal period. However, recently research was conducted to evaluate the association between HFs and OS markers in middle-aged women; this study indicated that none of the peripheral markers examined were found to be significantly associated to the presence of HFs [9], contrary to our results. A possible explanation to these controversial results is that the authors used urinary 8-iso-prostaglandin F2 $\alpha$  and 8-OH-deoxy-2'-guanosine level as oxidative damage markers and different antioxidant components, and in our study, we used an index to integrally assess OS that includes both, oxidized and antioxidant components. Although some oxidative damage markers are used to evaluate OS, such as 4-HNE and isoprostanes, the measurement of antioxidant markers has not always been consistent, therefore, our research group had proposed an index to integrate both processes which includes the main OS markers used in clinical settings, such as MDA that has been a recognized lipoperoxidation index that assesses both recent and chronic oxidative damage in health and disease subjects; SOD and GPx which are the most important intracellular antioxidant enzymes and TAS as a parameter that assess the antioxidant extracellular environment. This index has been used in different studies and has shown to be useful for measuring OS [37–39].

It is known that the psycho-neuro-endocrine change and vasomotor symptoms during menopausal transition affect self-esteem, mood states and therefore quality of life [40,41]. Furthermore, depressive mood, anxiety and insomnia are considered pro-oxidant factors as well as the severe discomfort felt, and these factors can increase OS [8,39,42,43]. Therefore, we analyzed a possible correlation between SS and menopausal symptoms scores to assess which alteration was related with OS. We found a positive correlation with HFs severity in postmenopausal women, but the other symptoms tested did not show any relationship; and these women with severe HFs had four-fold more risk for OS compared to women with mild HFs, even after controlling for menopausal symptoms and pro-oxidant factors. In this sense, although there are inconsistencies in the reports about the relationship between HFs and OS, our results showed that postmenopausal women with severe HFs have a high risk for OS.

In the physiology of HFs, the sweat and vasodilation produced by the process are controlled by the thermoregulatory nucleus, located in the preoptic area of the hypothalamus, which regulates core body temperature to maintain a homeostatic range (thermoregulatory zone). This thermoregulatory zone is narrow in postmenopausal women; therefore, small increases in core body temperature can trigger HFs [3,44]. The thermoregulatory zone is controlled by a complex neuroendocrine pathway that can produce an increment of norepinephrine and serotonin that causes changes in the thermoregulatory nucleus, diminishing the set point and increasing the probability of HFs [45]. The rapid degradation of these monoamine neurotransmitters is fundamental for the correct synaptic neurotransmission, but it is also a reaction that involves the enzyme monoamine oxidase (MAO), which generates several products, such as hydrogen peroxide, that are potentially neurotoxic and can trigger the production of ROS and induce mitochondrial damage and neuronal apoptosis, increasing the OS [46]. Additionally, in the setting of OS, catecholamines are oxidatively converted to different molecules that are potentially oxidants, which may further develop an environment of OS. An OS environment leads eventually to cytotoxic responses and altered cellular function, producing neuronal degeneration [47]. Thus, the women with severe HFs are in a constant oxidative challenge without the protection of estrogen as the main antioxidant mechanism at both cerebral and systemic level, since the women have estrogen receptors in many cells of the body [6], which probably increases their OS.

It is necessary to consider several limitations of this study. Initially, we do not include some pro-oxidant factors such as certain types of food in the diet and the cooking style, but all the participants had similar lifestyles and socioeconomic level, therefore we supposed similar feeding habits; we considered the main pro-oxidant factors in the analysis. Other limitations are study design, which can be used to explore the associations between HFs and OS but is unable to establish a causal conclusion, and the sample size. Even so, the sample size that allowed us to achieve similar subgroups size when we stratified by HFs severity in postmenopausal women, the use of an integral OS index and the control of potential confounders in the multivariate logistic models, are aspects that strengthen the study.

In conclusion, among Mexican postmenopausal women there is an association between HFs severity and OS; however, longitudinal studies or controlled clinical trials must be carried out to confirm our findings.

**Author contributions:** MASR conceived the study, completed statistical analyses and interpretation of data, and drafted the manuscript. MZF conceived the study and contributed to the interpretation of data. AAR collected and interpreted the data. VMMN contributed to the discussion and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Supporting information

**S1** File. The text used to describe the hot flashes intensity in Spanish and English versions. (DOCX)

**S2** File. Spanish version of the Zung Self-Rating Anxiety Scale (SAS). (DOC)

**S3** File. Spanish version of the Zung Self-Rating Depression Scale (SDS). (DOC)

**S4** File. Spanish version of the Athens Insomnia Scale (AIS). (DOC)

## **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Martha A. Sánchez-Rodríguez, Mariano Zacarías-Flores.

Formal analysis: Martha A. Sánchez-Rodríguez, Mariano Zacarías-Flores.

Investigation: Alicia Arronte-Rosales.

Methodology: Alicia Arronte-Rosales.

Writing - original draft: Martha A. Sánchez-Rodríguez.

Writing - review & editing: Víctor Manuel Mendoza-Núñez.

#### References

- Soules MR, Sherman S, Parrott E, Rebar R, Santoro N, Utian W, et al. Executive summary: Stages of Reproductive Aging Workshop (STRAW) Park City, Utah, July, 2001. Menopause. 2001; 8:402–407. PMID: 21141649
- Broekmans FJ, Soules MR, Fauser BC. Ovarian aging: mechanisms and clinical consequences. Endocrin Rev. 2009; 30:465–493. https://doi.org/10.1210/er.2009-0006 PMID: 19589949
- 3. Pachman DR, Jones JM, Loprinzi CL. Management of menopause-associated vasomotor symptoms: current treatment options, challenges and future directions. Int J Women's Health. 2010; 2:123–135.
- Freeman EW, Sammel MD, Sanders RJ. Risk of long-term hot flashes after natural menopause: evidence from the Penn Ovarian Aging Study cohort. Menopause. 2014; 21:924–932. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/GME.00000000000196</u> PMID: 24473530
- Subbiah MT, Kessel B, Agrawal M, Rajan R, Abplanalp W, Rymaszewski Z. Antioxidant potential of specific estrogens on lipid peroxidation. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1993; 77:1095–1097. https://doi.org/10. 1210/jcem.77.4.8408459 PMID: 8408459
- Kumar S, Lata K, Mukhopadhyay S, Mukherjee TK. Role of estrogen receptors in pro-oxidative and anti-oxidative actions of estrogens: A perspective. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2010; 1800:1127–1135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2010.04.011 PMID: 20434525

- Doshi SB, Agarwal A. The role of oxidative stress in menopause. J Mid-Life Health. 2013; 4:140–146. https://doi.org/10.4103/0976-7800.118990 PMID: 24672185
- Sánchez-Rodríguez MA, Zacarías-Flores M, Arronte-Rosales A, Mendoza-Núñez VM. Menopause as a risk factor for oxidative stress. Menopause. 2012; 19:361–367. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/gme.</u> 0b013e318229977d PMID: 21971210
- Bonaccorsi G, Romani A, Cremonini E, Bergamini CM, Castaldini MC, Fila E, et al. Oxidative stress and menopause-related hot flashes may be independent events. Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol. 2015; 54:290– 293. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tjog.2014.09.009 PMID: 26166343
- Sánchez-Rodríguez MA, Mendoza-Núñez VM, García-Sánchez A, González-González B, Rodríguez-Torres E, González-Obregón A. Valores de referencia de poblaciones senecta y adulta de la ciudad de México. Parámetros bioquímicos y hematológicos. Acta Bioquim Clin Latinoam. 1998; 32:812–821.
- Jentzsch AM, Bachmann H, Fürst P, Biesalski HK. Improved analysis of malondialdehyde in human body fluids. Free Radic Biol Med. 1996; 20:251–256. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0891-5849(95)02043-8</u> PMID: 8746446
- Miller NJ. Nonvitamin plasma antioxidants. In: Armstrong D (Ed). Free radical and antioxidant protocols. New Jersey: Humana Press, 1998:285–297.
- Remacle J, Lambert D, Raes M, Pigeolet E, Michiels C, Toussaint O. Importance of various antioxidant enzymes for cell stability. Confrontation between theoretical and experimental data. Biochem J 1992; 286:41–46. https://doi.org/10.1042/bj2860041 PMID: 1325782
- de Haan JB, Cristiano F, Iannello R, Kelner M, Kola I. Elevation in the ratio of Cu/Zn-superoxide dismutase to glutathione peroxidase leads to cellular senescence and this effect is mediated by hydrogen peroxide. Hum Mol Genet 1996; 5:283–292. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/5.2.283 PMID: 8824885
- de Haan JB, Crack PJ, Flentjar N, Iannello RC, Hertzog PJ, Kola I. An imbalance in antioxidant defense affects cellular function: the pathophysiological consequences of a reduction in antioxidant defense in the glutathione peroxidase-1 (Gpx1) knockout mouse. Redox Rep. 2003; 8(2):69–79. https://doi.org/10. 1179/135100003125001378 PMID: 12804009
- Heinemann LA, Potthoff P, Schneider HP. International versions of the Menopause Rating Scale (MRS). Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2003; 1:28. https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7525-1-28 PMID: 12914663
- Chedraui P, Aguirre W, Hidalgo L, Fayad L. Assessing menopausal symptoms among healthy middle aged women with the Menopause Rating Scale. Maturitas. 2007; 57:271–278. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. maturitas.2007.01.009 PMID: 17329046
- 18. Aedo S, Porcile A, Irribarra C. Calidad de vida relacionada con el climaterio en una población Chilena de mujeres saludables. Rev Chil Obstet Ginecol. 2006; 71:402–409.
- Zung WW. A rating instrument for anxiety disorders. Psychosomatics. 1971; 12:371–379. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0033-3182(71)71479-0 PMID: 5172928</u>
- Olatunji BO, Deacon BJ, Abramowitz JS, Tolin DF. Dimensionality of somatic complaints: factor structure and psychometric properties of the Self-Rating Anxiety Scale. J Anxiety Disord 2006; 20:543–561. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2005.08.002 PMID: 16198532
- Zung WW, Richards CB, Short MJ. Self-rating depression scale in an outpatient clinic. Further validation on the SDS. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1965; 13:508–515. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.1965</u>. 01730060026004 PMID: 4378854
- 22. Carroll BJ, Fielding J, Blashki TG. Depression rating scales: a critical review. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1973; 28:361–366. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.1973.01750330049009 PMID: 4688625
- Soldatos CR, Dikeos DG, Paparrigopoulos TJ. Athens Insomnia Scale: validation of an instrument based on ICD-10 criteria. J Psychosom Res. 2000; 48;555–560. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-3999</u> (00)00095-7 PMID: 11033374
- Soldatos CR, Dikeos DG, Paparrigopoulos TJ. The diagnostic validity of the Athens Insomnia Scale. J Psychosom Res. 2003; 55:263–267. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-3999(02)00604-9 PMID: 12932801
- Avis NE, Brockwell S, Colvin A. A universal menopause syndrome? Am J Med 2005; 118:37S–46S. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2005.09.057 PMID: 16414325
- Sussman M, Trocio J, Best C, Mirkin S, Bushmakin AG, Yood R, et al. Prevalence of menopausal symptoms among mid-life women findings from electronic medical records. BMC Women's Health. 2015; 15:58. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12905-015-0217-y PMID: 26271251
- Biglia N, Cagnacci A, Gambacciani M, Lello S, Maffei S, Nappi RE. Vasomotor symptoms in menopause: a biomarker of cardiovascular disease risk and other chronic diseases? Climacteric. 2017; 20:306–312. https://doi.org/10.1080/13697137.2017.1315089 PMID: 28453310
- 28. Ayers B, Hunter MS. Health-related quality of life of women with menopausal hot flushes and night sweats. Climacteric. 2013; 16:235–239. https://doi.org/10.3109/13697137.2012.688078 PMID: 22809134

- Halliwell B. Biochemistry of oxidative stress. Biochem Soc Trans. 2007; 35(part 5):1147–1150. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1042/bst0351147">https://doi.org/10.1042/bst0351147</a> PMID: 17956298
- Birben E, Sahiner UM, Sackesen C, Erzurum S, Kalayci O. Oxidative stress and antioxidant defense. World Allergy Organ J. 2012; 5:9–19. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/WOX.0b013e3182439613</u> PMID: 23268465
- Özkaya E, Cakir E, Kara F, Okuyan E, Cakir C, Ustün G, et al. Impact of hot flashes and night sweats on carotid intima–media thickness and bone mineral density among postmenopausal women. Int J Gynecol Obstet. 2011; 113:235–238. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2010.12.020 PMID: 21457975
- 32. Muka T, Oliver-Williams C, Colpani V, Kunutsor S, Chowdhury S, Chowdhury R, et al. Association of vasomotor and other menopausal symptoms with risk of cardiovascular disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2016; 11(6):e0157417. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0157417 PMID: 27315068
- Chen AF, Chen DD, Daiber A, Faraci FM, Li H, Rembold CM, et al. Free radical biology of the cardiovascular system. Clin Sci. 2012; 123:73–91. https://doi.org/10.1042/CS20110562 PMID: 22455351
- Leal M, Díaz J, Serrano E, Abellán J, Carbonell L. Hormone replacement therapy for oxidative stress in postmenopausal women with hot flushes. Obstet Gynecol. 2000; 95: 804–809. https://doi.org/10.1016/ s0029-7844(00)00822-x PMID: 10831971
- Cagnacci A, Cannolette M, Palma F, Bellafronte M, Romani C, Palmieri B. Relation between oxidative stress and climacteric symptoms in early postmenopausal women. Climacteric. 2015; 18:631–636. https://doi.org/10.3109/13697137.2014.999659 PMID: 25536006
- Strehlow K, Rotter S, Wassmann S, Adam O, Grohé C, Laufs K, et al. Modulation of antioxidant enzyme expression and function by estrogen. Circ Res. 2003; 93:170–177. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.RES. 0000082334.17947.11 PMID: 12816884
- Sánchez-Rodríguez MA, Martínez-Cruz M, Correa-Muñoz E, Mendoza-Núñez VM. Relationship between metabolic syndrome components and oxidative stress in elderly community-dwelling Mexicans. Ann Nutr Metab. 2010; 56:302–307. https://doi.org/10.1159/000309601 PMID: 20530961
- Mendoza-Núñez VM, Rosado-Pérez J, Santiago-Osorio E, Ortiz R, Sánchez-Rodríguez MA, Galván-Duarte RE. Aging linked to type 2 diabetes increases oxidative stress and chronic inflammation. Rejuvenation Res. 2011; 14:25–31. https://doi.org/10.1089/rej.2010.1054 PMID: 21204649
- Sánchez-Rodríguez MA, Castrejón-Delgado L, Zacarías-Flores M, Arronte-Rosales A, Mendoza-Núñez VM. Quality of life among post-menopausal women due to oxidative stress boosted by dysthymia and anxiety. BMC Women's Health. 2017; 17:1. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12905-016-0358-7</u> PMID: 28049464
- Terauchi M, Hiramitsu S, Akiyoshi M, Owa Y, Kato K, Obayashi S, et al. Associations between anxiety, depression and insomnia in peri- and post-menopausal women. Maturitas. 2012; 72:61–65. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.maturitas.2012.01.014</u> PMID: 22326659
- Avis NE, Colvin A, Bromberger JT, Hess R, Matthews KA, Ory M, et al. Change in health-related quality of life over the menopausal transition in a multiethnic cohort of middle-aged women: study of Women's Health Across the Nation (SWAN). Menopause. 2009; 16:860–869. https://doi.org/10.1097/gme. 0b013e3181a3cdaf PMID: 19436224
- Hachul de Campos H, Brandao LC, D´Almeida V, Grego BH, Bittencourt LR, Tufik S, et al. Sleep disturbances, oxidative stress and cardiovascular risk parameters in postmenopausal women complaining of insomnia. Climacteric. 2006; 9:312–319. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/13697130600871947</u> PMID: 16857662
- 43. Grases G, Colum MA, Fernandez RA, Costa-Bauzá A, Grases F. Evidence of higher oxidative status in depression and anxiety. Oxid Med Cell Longev. 2014; 2014:430216. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/ 430216 PMID: 24876911</u>
- 44. Shanafelt TD, Barton DL, Adjei AA, Loprinzi CL. Pathophysiology and treatment of hot flashes. Mayo Clin Proc. 2002; 77:1207–1218. https://doi.org/10.4065/77.11.1207 PMID: 12440557
- 45. Kronenberg F. Menopausal hot flashes: a review of physiology and biosociocultural perspective on methods of assessment. J Nutr. 2010; 140:1380S–1385S. https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.109.120840 PMID: 20505017
- Bortolato M, Chen K, Shih JC. Monoamine oxidase inactivation: from pathophysiology to therapeutics. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2008; 60:1527–1533. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2008.06.002 PMID: 18652859
- Napolitano A, Manini P, d'Ischia M. Oxidation chemistry of catecholamines and neuronal degeneration: an update. Curr Med Chem. 2011; 18:1832–1845. <u>https://doi.org/10.2174/092986711795496863</u> PMID: 21466469