### Check for updates

### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Karl Hassan, The University of Newcastle, Australia

REVIEWED BY Yhiya Amen, Mansoura University, Egypt Rosa Alduina, University of Palermo, Italy

\*CORRESPONDENCE Jaime Ribeiro-Filho, jaime.ribeiro@fiocruz.br

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Pharmacology of Infectious Diseases, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

RECEIVED 26 May 2022 ACCEPTED 27 September 2022 PUBLISHED 13 October 2022

### CITATION

Dias KJSDO, Miranda GM, Bessa JR, Araújo ACJD, Freitas PR, Almeida RSD, Paulo CLR, Neto JBDA, Coutinho HDM and Ribeiro-Filho J (2022), Terpenes as bacterial efflux pump inhibitors: A systematic review. *Front. Pharmacol.* 13:953982. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.953982

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Dias, Miranda, Bessa, Araújo, Freitas, Almeida, Paulo, Neto, Coutinho and Ribeiro-Filho. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Terpenes as bacterial efflux pump inhibitors: A systematic review

Kaio Jefté Santos De Oliveira Dias<sup>1</sup>, Gustavo Marinho Miranda<sup>1</sup>, Jonatas Reis Bessa<sup>2</sup>, Ana Carolina Justino De Araújo<sup>3</sup>, Priscilla Ramos Freitas<sup>3</sup>, Ray Silva De Almeida<sup>3</sup>, Cícera Laura Roque Paulo<sup>3</sup>, José Bezerra De Araújo Neto<sup>3</sup>, Henrique D. M. Coutinho<sup>3</sup> and Jaime Ribeiro-Filho<sup>1.4</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Genetics and Translational Hematology, Oswaldo Cruz Foundation (FIOCRUZ), Salvador, Bahia, Brazil, <sup>2</sup>Institute of Psychology, Federal University of Bahia (UFBA), Salvador, Bahia, Brazil, <sup>3</sup>Laboratory of Microbiology and Molecular Biology, Department of Biological Sciences, Regional University of Cariri, Crato, Ceará, Brazil, <sup>4</sup>Fiocruz Ceará, Oswaldo Cruz Foundation (FIOCRUZ). Eusébio, Ceará, Brazil

Managing antibiotic resistance is a significant challenge in modern pharmacotherapy. While molecular analyses have identified efflux pump expression as an essential mechanism underlying multidrug resistance, the targeted drug development has occurred slower. Thus, considering the verification that terpenes can enhance the activity of antibiotics against resistant bacteria, the present study gathered evidence pointing to these natural compounds as bacterial efflux pump inhibitors. A systematic search for manuscripts published between January 2007 and January 2022 was carried out using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) protocol and the following search terms: "Terpene"; AND "Efflux pump"; and "Bacteria." From a total of 101 articles found in the initial search, 41 were included in this review. Seventy-five different terpenes, 63 bacterial strains, and 22 different efflux pumps were reported, with carvacrol, Staphylococcus aureus SA-1199B, and NorA appearing most frequently mentioned terpene, bacterial strain, and efflux pump (EP), respectively. The Chi-Squared analysis indicated that terpenes are significantly effective EP inhibitors in Gram-positive and Gram-negative strains, with the inhibitory frequency significantly higher in Gram-positive strains. The results of the present review suggest that terpenes are significant efflux pump inhibitors and, as such, can be used in drug development targeting the combat of antibacterial resistance.

### KEYWORDS

terpenes, efflux pumps, antibiotic resistance, natural products, systematic review

# Introduction

The introduction of antibiotics in the medical scenario in the 1940s dramatically reduced mortality rates due to bacterial infections. It revolutionized the treatment of diseases requiring surgical procedures, significantly improving life expectancy worldwide (Habboush and Guzman, 2022). On the other hand, the increased access without proper sanitary control has resulted in irrational antibiotic use, significantly contributing to the development of antibiotic resistance (Laxminarayan et al., 2016). Epidemiological studies have revealed that the number of deaths yearly from antibiotic resistance worldwide is approximately 700,000. Additionally, it is estimated that this number could rise to 10 million by 2050 if significant improvements in antibiotic drug development are not achieved (Willyard, 2017). Consequently, the management of antimicrobial resistance, as well as the reduction in the associated morbidity and mortality rates, currently represent a significant challenge in public health care (Reygaert, 2018; Sharma et al., 2020).

Antibiotic resistance can be defined as the process by which bacteria evolve, causing antibiotics to become less effective against infections they were developed to treat (Murray et al., 2022). As a significantly complex phenomenon, antibiotic resistance can arise from and be affected by various factors, among which bacteria-antibiotic interaction, mutation, and transmission rates in the population, are highlighted (Holmes et al., 2016). Accordingly, bacterial resistance may be due to Intrinsic Resistance, Acquired Resistance, Genetic Change, or DNA Transfer (Habboush and Guzman, 2022).

Despite the increasing variety of molecular mechanisms allowing bacteria to overcome the action of an antibiotic, the expression of efflux proteins stands out for its widespread occurrence among resistant pathogens (Munita and Arias, 2016; Chatterjee et al., 2018). The antibacterial resistance mediated by efflux pumps (EPs) consists of the lack of effectiveness of antibiotics due to their inability to reach the molecular target at an inhibitory concentration (Tortora., 2012; Blanco et al., 2016). While some efflux pumps are substratespecific, others can mediate the active transport of several compounds, contributing to the development of multidrug resistance (Van Bambeke et al., 2000; Piddock., 2006). These proteins are classified according to their composition, energy source, and the number of transmembrane regions (Sun et al., 2014) and can be grouped into five major families: ATP binding cassette (ABC), small multidrug resistance (SMR), multidrug and toxin extrusion (MATE), major facilitator superfamily (MFS), and resistance nodulation cell division (RND). Except for RND, which is found only in Gram-negative bacteria, all others are present in Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria (Pathania et al., 2019).

Cell wall biosynthesis inhibitors (CBIs), such as  $\beta$ -lactams and glycopeptide antibiotics, and cell membrane inhibitors, such

as polymyxins and daptomycin, stand out as the most extensively used antibiotic classes (Epand et al., 2016; Sarkar et al., 2017). However, an increasing number of bacterial strains have developed resistance against these drugs (Schwarz et al., 2017; Singh et al., 2017). Therefore, drug research and development targeting resistance mechanisms are highly prioritized (Shrivastava et al., 2018). In this context, consistent evidence has demonstrated that natural products represent promising sources of new bioactive compounds (Huang et al., 2021), among which terpenes stand out for their promising antibacterial properties (Swamy et al., 2016; Mahizan et al., 2019).

A large body of research conducted by scientists all over the world, among which our group is included, has demonstrated that terpenes such as safrole,  $\alpha$ -pinene, thymol, carvacrol, limonene, and eugenol are intensely active against Multiple Drug Resistance (MDR) strains (Barbieri et al., 2017; Limaverde et al., 2017; Oliveira-Tintino et al., 2018; de Figueiredo et al., 2019; Almeida et al., 2020; Araújo et al., 2020; Freitas et al., 2020; Muniz et al., 2021). In addition, it has been demonstrated that these compounds can enhance the activity of antibiotics, which is partially due to their ability to inhibit the activity of efflux pumps in resistant bacteria (Barbosa et al., 2021).

Therefore, the present systematic review gathered evidence of terpenes as bacterial efflux pump inhibitors, discussing their potential impact on antibiotic resistance-targeted drug development.

### **Methods**

The present review was conducted from a systematic search of manuscripts in four scientific databases (Pubmed, Medline, Scopus, and EMBASE) using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) protocol (Page et al., 2021) and the following search terms: "Terpene"; and "Efflux pump"; and "Bacteria."

This work included original articles published between January 2007 and January 2022 addressing the potential of fully characterized terpenes as potential bacterial efflux pump inhibitors through *in vivo*, *in vitro*, and *in silico* research. Articles randomly found during the theoretical reference search which met the inclusion criteria were also included. The exclusion criteria were the following: 1) studies demonstrating the effects of terpenes as components of essential oils, extracts, or other complex formulations; 2) articles investigating the participation of efflux pumps in the mechanism of resistance to terpenes.

Following these eligibility criteria, the search was conducted by KJSOD and ACJA. Further, JRF and JRC analyzed and filtered the eligible studies based on their abstracts. This procedure was



executed using the Rayyan—Intelligent Systematic Review tool (Ouzzani et al., 2016).

The initial search found 101 articles, among which 24 were duplicated. Following the title and abstract analysis, 60 articles were considered eligible for the study. These manuscripts were then double-checked by full-text reading, and 41 articles were included in the final version of this review (Figure 1). The primary data of each study was organized into a table describing the reference, terpene, bacterial strain, efflux pump, and main findings (Table 1).

Additionally, IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 24.0 (IBM Corp. Released, 2016), and Jeffrey Amazing Statistic Program—JASP Team. (2022) were used to carry out a descriptive analysis and its plots of the frequency of the following variables: Year of publication, type of terpene, bacterial strain, and type of efflux pump. Finally, a binary logistic regression was computed in which the effect of the efflux pumps inhibition was the dependent variable, and the Gram classification, i.e., Gram-negative and Gram-positive was the independent variable.

### **Results and discussion**

Several proteins have been targeted in studies evaluating the activity of new antibacterial compounds, among which the following are highlighted: 1) In the SMR family, the Smr/ QacC and EmrE proteins, identified in *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli*, respectively; 2) In the MFS family, the NorA and TetK proteins, expressed by *Staphylococcus aureus*; 3) In the RND family, the AcrB protein, found in *Escherichia coli*; 4) In the MATE family, the NorM protein, expressed by *Neisseria meningitidis*, and 5) In the ABC family, the MacB and MsbA efflux proteins, both identified in *Escherichia coli* (Borges-Walmsley et al., 2003).

The search for efflux pump inhibitors has identified a variety of natural products with the potential to be used in antibacterial drug development, among which terpenes, flavonoids, tannins, and alkaloids stand out for their notable pharmacological activity. However, the clinical development of many of these compounds is limited mainly due to their significant toxicity (Stavri et al., 2007; Prasch and Bucar, 2015). Nevertheless, studies conducted by ours and other groups have highlighted the therapeutic potential of terpenes in the context of antibiotic resistance, emphasizing their role as efflux pump inhibitors (Stavri et al., 2007). Additionally, studies have proven that monoterpenes can affect the structure and function of the bacterial membrane by interacting with membrane including polysaccharides, components, fatty acids. phospholipids, and proteins, facilitating the intracellular action of antibiotics (Sikkema et al., 1994).

In order to guide future research targeting antibacterial drug development, the present review systematically analyzed the inhibitory effects of terpenes against bacterial efflux pumps, reporting the frequency of terpenes, bacterial strains, and efflux pumps (Figure 2). In addition, the results obtained by these studies are described in Table 1. Considering the 41 articles selected for the present review, carvacrol (11,7%), thymol (7.8%), and estragole (5.2%) were the most frequently studied compounds (Figure 2A, Figure 3), demonstrating a prevalence of monoterpenes in the search for natural products as efflux pump inhibitors (the complete analysis of these results is expressed in Supplementary Materials). Staphylococcus aureus was the most frequently examined bacterial species in studies of the EPI activities of terpenes (Figure 2B), with emphasis on the following strains: Staphylococcus aureus SA-1199B (13.1%), Staphylococcus aureus RN-4220 (7.1%), and Staphylococcus aureus IS-58 (7.1%) (Supplementary Material).

Concerning the bacterial efflux pumps, the most frequently reported proteins were NorA (24.2%), TetK (12.9%), and MepA (9.7%), corroborating the above-described data on the frequency of bacterial strains. However, it is noteworthy that many studies did not report the type of efflux pump expressed by the tested bacterial strains, which impaired the establishment of a more precise analysis. Most of these studies were published in the last 3 years (Supplementary Material), demonstrating an increasing interest in this topic. It is worth mentioning that a significant number of studies reported the MsrA protein as a target for terpenes. However, strong evidence shows that as a member of the ABC-F family, MsrA proteins are not EP. Instead, they mediate resistance to ribosome targeting antibiotics via ribosomal protection (Sharkey et al., 2016; Su et al., 2018; Murina et al., 2019; Wilson et al., 2020). Therefore, although we have maintained these articles in the review, we emphasize the importance of critically interpreting their conclusions.

### TABLE 1 Summary of the selected studies and their main findings.

| Authors                        | Terpenes  | Strain  | Efflux<br>Pumps   | Results  |  |
|--------------------------------|---|---|-------------------|--|--|
| Almeida et al.<br>(2020)       | Safrole   | Staphylococcus aureus SA-<br>1199B                                | NorA              | Sub-inhibitory concentrations of safrole significantly reduced the MIC of EtBr norfloxacin and ciprofloxacin against the   |  |
|                                |   | Staphylococcus aureus K2068                                       | МерА              | 1199B and K2068 strains of <i>S. aureus</i> , suggesting inhibition<br>of the NorA and MepA efflux pumps, which was<br>corroborated by <i>in silico</i> analysis   |  |
| Barbosa et al.                 | Carvacrol   | Staphylococcus aureus SA-1199                                     | NorA              | The results showed that both carvacrol and thymol caused a   |  |
| (2021)                         | Thymol  | Staphylococcus aureus SA-<br>1199B                                |                   | significant reduction in the MIC of Norfloxacin and EtBr in <i>S. aureus</i> strains carrying the NorA efflux pump and docking analysis suggested that these terpenes act as competitive NorA inhibitors   |  |
| Bezerra et al.<br>(2020)       | Estragol  | Staphylococcus aureus RN-<br>4220                                 | MsrAª             | The association between estragole and EtBr resulted in<br>antagonism, suggesting that the terpene does not act as an EP<br>inhibitor   |  |
| Cirino et al.<br>(2014)        | Carvacrol   | <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> SA-<br>1199B                         | NorA              | Both terpenes increased the inhibitory effects of tetracycline against <i>S. aureus</i> strains overexpressing the TetK, NorA, and   |  |
|                                | Thymol  | Staphylococcus aureus IS-58                                       | TetK              | MsrA proteins, suggesting that they may act as EPI   |  |
|                                |   | <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> RN-<br>4220                          | MsrA <sup>a</sup> |  |  |
| Coêlho et al.<br>(2016)        | Nerol<br>3,7-Dimethyl-octanol<br>Estragole                                  | Staphylococcus aureus SA-<br>1199B                                | NorA              | All these bioactive terpenes, especially nerol, enhanced the activity of norfloxacin against the <i>S. aureus</i> strain 1199B (NorA overexpression), which was interpreted as a result of EP inhibition   |  |
| Cruz et al. (2020)             | α-Bisabolol   | <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> SA-<br>1199B                         | NorA              | $\alpha\text{-}Bisabolol$ reduced the MIC of tetracycline and norfloxacin to clinically relevant values in S. aureus strains that  |  |
|                                |   | Staphylococcus aureus IS-58                                       | TetK              | overexpressed the NorA and TetK EP. The inclusion complex<br>α-bisabolol β-CD showed lower modulating activity   |  |
| Cuaron et al.<br>(2013)        | Terpinen-4-ol   | Staphylococcus aureus SH1000                                      | RND               | The expression of the <i>mmpL</i> gene, which encodes proteins of<br>the RND family, was upregulated following the treatment<br>with terpinen-4-ol, providing a potential mechanism of EP-<br>mediated resistance to terpenes by <i>S. aureus</i> strain SH 1000 |  |
| Da Costa et al.<br>(2021)      | Estragole   | Staphylococcus aureus SA-<br>1199B<br>Staphylococcus aureus K2068 | NorA<br>MepA      | The association between estragole and EtBr resulted in synergism against <i>S. aureus</i> 1199B and <i>S. aureus</i> K2068, suggesting this terpene could inhibit the NorA and MepA  |  |
|                                |   |   |                   | proteins in these strains  |  |
| Dwivedi et al.<br>(2015)       | 3-O-acetyl-urs-12-en-28-isopropyl<br>ester (UA4)                            | Escherichia coli KG4  | AcrAB-<br>TolC    | The UA4 and UA5 (ursolic acid derivatives) reduced the MIC of tetracycline and EtBr. They inhibited the expression of game of the <i>RND</i> and <i>ABC</i> (amilie of transporter, such as  |  |
|                                | 3-Oacetylurs-12- en-28-n-butyl ester<br>(UA-5)                              |   | МасВ<br>ҮојІ      | acrA, acrB, tolC, indicating inhibition of EP expression. In silico analysis corroborated these findings   |  |
| Espinoza et al.<br>(2019)      | Epicubenol<br>15-Copaenol<br>15-Copaenal (6OX)<br>15-Copaenyl acetate (6AC) | Staphylococcus aureus K2378                                       | NorA              | Among the testing compounds, only 15-copaenol and epicubenol inhibited EtBr efflux by the K2378 strain of <i>S. aureus</i>   |  |
| Fadli et al. (2014)            | Carvacrol   | Escherichia coli AG100  | AcrAB-            | Thymol and carvacrol significantly reduced the expression of   |  |
| 1 aun et al. (2014)            | Thymol  | Escherichia coli AG100A   | TolC              | proteins forming the AcrAB-TolC complex in the <i>E. coli</i><br>AG100 and <i>E. coli</i> AG100A strains, indicating an EP<br>inhibitory role by these terpenes  |  |
| de Figueiredo<br>et al. (2019) | (4R,14S)-4a,14adihydroxydolasta-1<br>(15),8-diene                           | Staphylococcus aureus 1199B                                       | NorA              | (4S,9R,14S)-4a-acetoxy-9 $\beta$ ,14a-dihydroxydolasta-1 (15),7-diene as well as a mixture of (4R,14S)-  |  |
|                                | (4R,7R,14S)-4α,7α-diacetoxy-14α-<br>hydroxydolasta-1 (15),8-diene           | Staphylococcus aureus RN-<br>4220                                 | MsrAª             | 4α,14αdihydroxydolasta-1 (15),8-diene and (4R,7R,14S)-<br>4α,7α-diacetoxy-14α-hydroxydolasta-1 (15),8-diene<br>cimifeantly reduced the MIC of tetractycline anthromycin  |  |
|                                | (4S,9R,14S)-4α-acetoxy-9β,14α-<br>dihydroxydolasta-1 (15),7- diene          | Staphylococcus aureus IS-58                                       | TetK              | and norfloxacin against <i>S. aureus</i> strains bearing the NorA,<br>TetK, and MsrA proteins (1199B, IS-58, and RN4220,<br>respectively)  |  |
| Freitas et al.<br>(2020)       | α-Pinene  | Staphylococcus aureus RN-<br>4220                                 | MrsAª             | $\alpha\text{-pinene}$ enhanced the activity of tetracycline against the S. aureus IS-58 strain but failed in modify the antibacterial   |  |
|                                |   | Staphylococcus aureus IS-58                                       | TetK              | effect of erythromycin against the S. aureus RN-4220 strain. The authors suggest inhibition of the TetK EP   |  |

(Continued on following page)

TABLE 1 (Continued) Summary of the selected studies and their main findings.

| Authors                    | Terpenes   | Strain   | Efflux<br>Pumps                      | Results  |  |  |
|----------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Freitas et al.<br>(2021)   | Limonene   | Staphylococcus aureus K2068  | MepA                                 | Limonene association decreased the MIC of EtBr and<br>ciprofloxacin in addition to showing favorable interaction<br>with the active site of MepA <i>in silico</i> . The inhibitory activity<br>was confirmed through analysis of EtBr fluorescence<br>emission intensity |  |  |
| Gupta et al.<br>(2016)     | 16α-hydroxycleroda-3,13 (14) -Z-<br>dien-15,16-olide | Staphylococcus aureus MRSA-<br>ST2071  | NorA<br>NorB<br>NorC<br>MdeA<br>MepA | This diterpene significantly inhibited EtBr efflux and<br>extended the antibiotic effect of fluoroquinolones in addition<br>to inhibiting the expression of <i>NorA</i> , <i>NorB</i> , <i>NorC</i> , <i>MdeA</i> , and<br><i>MepA</i> genes                             |  |  |
| Gupta et al.<br>(2017)     | Citral   | Staphylococcus aureus MRSA-<br>ST2071  | Not<br>Reported                      | Citral showed a potential EP inhibitory capacity, as indicated<br>by the decreased fluorescence due to reduced EtBr extrusion  |  |  |
| Jang and Eom.<br>(2019)    | α-humulene   | Bacteroides fragilis WT-ETBF<br>Bacteroides fragilis rETBF<br>Bacteroides fragilis WT-NTBF | RND                                  | α-humulene induced transcriptional changes in the <i>Bacteroides fragilis</i> , resulting in a reduced expression of the <i>bmeB1</i> and <i>bmeB2</i> genes, which indicates inhibition of the RND EP expression in <i>B. fragilis</i> strains                          |  |  |
| Jin et al. (2010)          | Farnesol   | <i>Mycobacterium smegmatis</i><br>mc2 155 ATCC 700084                                      | Not<br>reported                      | Farnesol decreased the MIC of EtBr and rifampicin and increased the accumulation of EtBr in <i>M. smegmatis</i>  |  |  |
| Kim et al. (2018)          | Celastrol  | Stenotrophomonas maltophilia<br>ATCC 13637   | RND                                  | Celastrol significantly inhibited the expression of the <i>smeY</i> , gene in both strains of <i>S. maltophilia</i> . Such an effect was   |  |  |
|                            |  | Stenotrophomonas maltophilia<br>GNU2233  |                                      | associated with the attenuation of biofilm formation,<br>swimming motility, and protease secretion   |  |  |
| Kim et al. (2019)          | Zerumbone  | Bacteroides fragilis WT-ETBF<br>Bacteroides fragilis WT-NTBF<br>Bacteroides fragilis rFTBF | RND                                  | In the WT-ETBF and rETBF strains, zerubone reduced the <i>bmeB12</i> gene expression levels. However, in the WT-NTBF, no significant modulation of gene expression was observed  |  |  |
| Li et al. (2011)           | Artesunate   | Escherichia coli ATCC 35218<br>Escherichia coli AG100A                                     | AcrAB-<br>TolC                       | The terpenoid did not show an antibacterial action but<br>reduced the antibiotic MICs when associated with penicillin,<br>cefpiramide, and ampicillin/sulbactam  |  |  |
| Limaverde et al.<br>(2017) | a-terpinene  | Staphylococcus aureus IS-58  | TetK                                 | α-terpinene showed synergistic effects with tetracycline and<br>EtBr, possibly due to an effect on the Tetk efflux pump of <i>S.</i><br><i>aureus</i> strain IS-58   |  |  |
| Lorenzi et al.<br>(2009)   | Geraniol   | Enterobacter aerogenes<br>EAEP289  | AcrAB-<br>TolC                       | The terpene geraniol increased the effectiveness of $\beta$ -lactam<br>and quinolone antibiotics, decreasing the MICs of ampicillin,<br>penicillin, and norfloxacin against an <i>E. aerogenes</i> strain<br>overexpressing the AcrAB-Tolc efflux pump                   |  |  |
| Mahmoudi et al.<br>(2020)  | Menthol  | Acinetobacter baumannii  | AdeABC                               | Menthol decreased the antibiotic resistance observed against<br>imipenem and ciprofloxacin in <i>A. baumannii</i> isolates<br>overexpressing genes <i>adeA</i> , <i>adeB</i> , and <i>adeC</i> , which incodes<br>efflux pumps   |  |  |
| Martins et al.<br>(2011)   | Uvaol  | Staphylococcus aureus MRSA<br>COL <sub>OXA</sub>   | Not<br>reported                      | These terpenes significantly increased the amount of fluorescence of EtBr accumulated in the evaluated strains, in   |  |  |
|                            | β-Amyrin   | Enterococcus faecalis  |                                      | addition to decreasing the antibiotic MIC. Among the   |  |  |
|                            | oleanolic acid                                       | AG100TET8  |                                      | activity   |  |  |
|                            |  | Salmonella enterica serotype<br>Enteritidis  |                                      |  |  |  |
|                            |  | Mycobacterium tuberculosis   |                                      |  |  |  |
| Miladi et al.              | Carvacrol  | Staphylococcus aureus ATCC   | Not                                  | All these compounds increased the accumulation of EtBr in<br>bacterial cells, indicating efflux pump inhibition. In addition,<br>these compounds increased the effectiveness of antibiotics  |  |  |
| (2017) A                   | Eugenol  | 25923  | reported                             |  |  |  |
|                            | p-cymene   |  |                                      | and reduced the accumulation of biofilm, which confirms<br>their antibacterial activity  |  |  |
|                            | Thymol   |  |                                      |  |  |  |
|                            | γ-terpinene  |  |                                      |  |  |  |

(Continued on following page)

TABLE 1 (Continued) Summary of the selected studies and their main findings.

| Authors                      | Terpenes                    | Strain   | Efflux<br>Pumps   | Results   |  |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|-------------------|---|--|
| Montagu et al.<br>(2016)     | Carvacrol                   | Acinetobacter baumannii                        | Not<br>reported   | Both carvacrol and its lipid nanocapsule incorporated formulation presented a synergistic effect with the efflux inhibitor CCCP, indicating interference with the efflux mechanisms of <i>A. baumannii</i>  |  |
| Mouwakeh et al.<br>(2019)    | Carvacrol                   | Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923               | MepA              | Carvacrol induced the accumulation of EtBr in both strains of<br><i>S. aureus</i> . Thymoquinone and p-cymene down-regulated and  |  |
|                              | p-cymene                    | Staphylococcus aureus MRSA<br>272123           |                   | upregulated, respectively, the expression of MepA in the<br>ATCC strain, whereas in the MRSA strain, the expression of<br>these genes was down-regulated by p-cymene alone  |  |
|                              | Thymoquinone                |  |                   |   |  |
| Muniz et al.                 | Eugenol                     | Staphylococcus aureus 1,199                    | NorA              | Eugenol, as well as its natural and synthetic derivatives,<br>enhanced the effectiveness of norfloxacin and reduced the<br>MIC of EtBr against NorA expressing <i>S. aureus</i> 1199B strain,<br>which corroborated the favorable interaction between 4-<br>allyl1-2,6-dimethoxyphenol and NorA demonstrated <i>in silico</i> |  |
| (2021)                       | Allylbenzene                | Staphylococcus aureus 1199B                    |                   |   |  |
|                              | Estragole                   |  |                   |   |  |
|                              | Isoeugenol                  |  |                   |   |  |
|                              | 4-allyl-2,6-dimethoxyphenol |  |                   |   |  |
| Ojeda -Sana et al.<br>(2012) | Carnosic acid               | Enterococcus faecalis ATCC 29212               | Not<br>reported   | Carnosic acid inhibited the uptake/efflux of EtBr, which correlated with the induction of change in the membrane  |  |
|                              |                             | Staphylococcu s aureus ATCC 25923              |                   | potential gradient in S. aureus and E. faecalis   |  |
| Oliveira et al.              | α, β-amyrin                 | Staphylococcus aureus 1199B                    | NorA              | $\alpha,\beta\text{-amyrin}$ showed synergistic effects with CCCP against S.  |  |
| (2021)                       |                             | Staphylococcus aureus K2068                    | МерА              | <i>aureus</i> strains. Also, <i>in silico</i> testing demonstrated that this<br>compound has a higher affinity to the MepA and NorA<br>binding sites than standard antibiotics such as ciprofloxacin<br>and norfloxacin   |  |
| Ramalhete et al.<br>(2011)   | Balsaminol A                | Staphylococcus aureus<br>COL <sub>OXA</sub>    | NorA              | None of the tested compounds presented a significant inhibitory activity against the efflux system of the <i>S</i> .  |  |
|                              | Balsaminol F                | Enterococcus faecalis ATCC 29212               | AcrAB-<br>TolC    | <i>typhimurium</i> and <i>E. coli</i> strains. However, they all promoted<br>the accumulation of EtBr in MRSA Coloxa and <i>E. faecalis</i> ,<br>indicating that these terrenes especially beleaminggenin B   |  |
|                              | Balsaminagenin A            | <i>Salmonella enterica</i><br>Typhimurium 5408 |                   | act as EPI in Gram-positive strains   |  |
|                              | Balsaminagenin B            | Salmonella enterica<br>Typhimurium 5408CIP     |                   |   |  |
|                              | Balsaminoside A             | Escherichia coli AG100                         |                   |   |  |
|                              | Karavilagenin C             | Escherichia coli AG100 <sub>TET</sub> 100      |                   |   |  |
| Scherf et al.<br>(2020)      | Terpinolene                 | Staphylococcus aureus K4100                    | QacC              | The association of terpinolene with EtBr promoted a reduction in the MIC of the EPI, pointing to a potentiating activity by oxacillin, which suggests EP inhibition-mediated synergism  |  |
| Silveira et al.              | Carvacrol                   | Staphyloccus aureus IS-58                      | Tetk              | No evidence of EP inhibition in the IS-58 strain of S aureus  |  |
| (2020)                       | Thymol                      |  |                   | was observed following the association of thymol or carvacrol<br>with EtBr  |  |
| Smith et al.<br>(2007a)      | Ferruginol                  | Staphylococcus aureus XU212                    | Tetk and<br>MecA  | Ferruginol and 5-epipisiferol potentiated the activity of standard antibiotics against these efflux system–expressing   |  |
| ()                           | 5-epipisiferol              | Staphylococcus aureus RN4220                   | MsrAª             | strains of S. aureus. Moreover, ferruginol inhibited EtBr   |  |
|                              |                             | Staphylococcus aureus 1199B                    | NorA              | efflux in the 1199B strain, which may involve inhibition of<br>the NorA efflux nump   |  |
|                              |                             | Staphylococcus aureus MRSA<br>EMRSA-15         | MecA              | the Norri enter pump  |  |
|                              |                             | Staphylococcus aureus<br>EMRSA-16              | MecA              |   |  |
| Smith et al.                 | Totarol                     | Staphylococcus aureus XU212                    | TetK              | Totarol potentiated the activity of standard antibiotics  |  |
| (2007b)                      |                             | Staphylococcus aureus RN-<br>4220              | NorA              | against these efflux system–expressing strains of <i>S. aureus</i> , in addition to inhibiting the efflux of EtBr in the K3902 strain, possibly due to the inhibition of the North offlux surger  |  |
|                              |                             | Staphylococcus aureus 1199B                    | MsrA <sup>a</sup> | possibly due to the minipation of the NorA emux pump  |  |
|                              |                             | Staphylococcus aureus K3092                    |                   |   |  |

(Continued on following page)

| Authors                      | Terpenes  | Strain   | Efflux<br>Pumps | Results   |
|------------------------------|---|--|-----------------|---|
| Upadhyay et al.<br>(2014)    | Pivaloyl Phytol<br>3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoyl Phytol | Escherichia coli CA8000<br>Escherichia coli DH5a<br>Escherichia coli MDREC-KG4 | ABC             | From a total of 15 phytol derivatives, these five compounds<br>promoted EtBr efflux inhibition. The expression pattern of<br>the MDREC-KG4 transcript was inhibited in the presence of<br>tetracycline  |
|                              | 2,3-Dichlorobenzoyl Phytol                        |  |                 |   |
|                              | Cinnamoyl Phytol                                  |  |                 |   |
|                              | Aldehyde Phytol                                   |  |                 |   |
| Vasconcelos et al.<br>(2018) | Carvacrol   | Mycobacterium tuberculosis<br>H37Rv (ATCCR 27294)                              | Not<br>reported | The carvacrol <i>in vitro</i> treatment resulted in increased EtBr<br>accumulation in <i>M. Tuberculosis.</i> Also, the potentiation of<br>the rifampicin activity indicated that the compound has<br>efflux pump inhibitory activity   |
| Wu et al. (2008)             | Andrographolide                                   | Pseudomonas aeruginosa<br>PAO1   | MexAB-<br>OprM  | Andrographolide inhibited the expression of the MexB/rpsL efflux pump gene in both wild-type and MexAB-OprB strains   |
|                              |   | Pseudomonas aeruginosa<br>MexAB-OprM   |                 | of P. aeruginosa  |
| Yuan and Yuk<br>(2019)       | Carvacrol   | Escherichia coli O 157:H7  | MarA            | The exposition to sublethal concentrations of thymol and  |
|                              | Thymol  |  | AcrB            | carvacrol resulted in a decreased expression of the MDR<br>efflux pump genes <i>MarA</i> and <i>AcrB</i> in <i>E. coli</i> O 157:H7.<br>Additionally, an EtBr accumulation assay observed a<br>significant loss of EP activity  |
| Zhang et al.<br>(2014)       | Ginsenoside 20(S)-Rh2                             | Staphylococcus aureus 29,213   | NorA            | Ginsenoside 20(S)-Rh2 promoted the intracellular<br>accumulation of ciprofloxacin. It inhibited the efflux of<br>pyronin Y in <i>the S. aureus</i> 29,213 strain indicating EP<br>inhibition, which was confirmed <i>in silico</i> through the<br>interaction between this terpene and the NorA efflux pump |

TABLE 1 (Continued) Summary of the selected studies and their main findings.

Legends: MIC: minimum inhibitory concentration; EtBr: Ethidium bromide; EP: efflux pump; EPI: efflux pump inhibitory; CD: cyclodextrin; ETBF: bacteroides fragilis; MDR: multidrug resistance; PA  $\beta$  N: Phenylalanine arginine  $\beta$ -naphthylamide; CCCP: Carbonylcyanide-3-chlorophenyl hydrazine; *S. aureus = Staphylococcus aureus; S. typhimurium: Salmonella typhimurium; E. coli: Escherichia Coli; P. aeruginosa: Pseudomonas aeruginosa; M. tuberculosis: Mycobacterium tuberculosis; E. faecalis: Enterococcus faecalis.* <sup>a</sup>As reported in the discussion, evidence has indicated that MsrA proteins are not efflux pumps.



### FIGURE 2

Pie chart plots of frequency analysis. (A) Strains; (B) terpenes; and (C) efflux pumps. These data were analyzed using the Jeffrey Amazing Statistic Program–JASP Team (2022).



TABLE 2 Binary logistic regression correlating efflux pumps inhibition and the gram classification.

|               | В    | OR   | Or (95% CI) | <i>p</i> -value |  |
|---------------|------|------|-------------|-----------------|--|
| Gram-negative | 1.11 | 3.03 | 1.47-6.24   | 0,03*           |  |
| Gram-positive | 1    | 1    | 1           | **              |  |

Note: B: beta; OR: odds ratio; OR (95% CI): Odds Ratio with confidence interval of 95%; \*p-value <0.05; \*\* Category of Reference on the regression: Gram-Positive.

Following the binary logistic regression analysis, the results showed an association between Gram classification and terpenes (X<sup>2</sup>(gl=1)= 9.13; p = 0.03), indicating that these compounds are significantly effective EP inhibitors in both Gram-positive and Gram-negative strains. Notably, the odds ratio (OR) value of 3.03 (95% CI = 1.47–6.23) indicates that Gram-negative bacteria have less chance of being inhibited by a given terpene, i.e., Grampositive bacteria are probably better targets for further research targeting EP inhibition by terpenes (Table 2).

In this study, 75 different terpenes, 63 bacterial strains, and 21 different efflux pumps were reported, with carvacrol, Staphylococcus aureus SA-1199B, and NorA appearing as the most frequently mentioned terpene, bacterial strain, and efflux pump, respectively. An analysis of the selected articles revealed that the most common method used to investigate the effectiveness of terpenes as efflux inhibitors is the evaluation of the modification of the Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) of Ethidium Bromide (EtBr) and Carbonyl Cyanide m-Chlorophenylhydrazine (CCCP). Both these compounds can reduce the efflux of another EP substrate. In this case, a reduction in the MIC EtBr or CCCP resulting from their association with a given terpene indicates that this terpene may be acting as an efflux pump inhibitor. Accordingly, several studies have shown that these terpenes synergize when associated with conventional antibiotics against efflux pumpoverexpressing bacterial strains. The MIC determination is the primary method used to analyze in vitro the susceptibility to

antibiotics and, therefore, is a reliable tool in the evaluation of bacterial resistance, as well as in the identification of potential new therapies (Gunes et al., 2013; Van de Vel et al., 2019; Kowalska-Krochmal and Dudek-Wicher, 2021).

This approach has been adopted to investigate the activity of many compounds reported in this study. Barbosa et al. (2021) investigated the antibacterial activity of thymol and carvacrol against Staphylococcus aureus strains 1199 and 1199B, demonstrating that their association with norfloxacin and EtBr resulted in a decreased MIC. Cirino et al. (2014) demonstrated that the same terpenes presented inhibitory effects in association with tetracycline against S. aureus strains that overexpress the TetK, NorA, and MsrA proteins; Montagu et al., 2016 showed that both carvacrol and its lipid nanocapsule incorporated formulation presented a synergistic effect with the efflux inhibitor CCCP, indicating interference with the efflux mechanisms of A. baumannii. On the other hand, Silveira et al. (2020) found no evidence of EP inhibition in the IS-58 strain of S aureus following the association of thymol or carvacrol with EtBr.

Regarding other frequently tested terpenes, a study by Almeida et al. (2020) showed that safrole significantly reduced the MIC of EtBr norfloxacin and ciprofloxacin against the 1199B and K2068 strains of S. aureus. Nerol was found to enhance the activity of norfloxacin against the S. aureus strain 1199B (Coêlho et al., 2016), while  $\alpha$ -terpinene showed synergistic effects with tetracycline and EtBr against the strain IS-58 of the same species (Limaverde et al., 2017). Da costa et al. (2021) showed that the association between estragole and EtBr resulted in synergism against S. aureus 1199B and S. aureus K2068. On the other hand, Bezerra et al. (2020) verified that the association between estragole and EtBr resulted in antagonism against the strain RN-4220. Using the same bacterial species, Freitas et al. (2021) observed a decrease in the MIC of EtBr and ciprofloxacin following their combination with limonene, while Muniz et al. (2021) reported that eugenol and its derivatives enhanced the effectiveness of norfloxacin and reduced the MIC of EtBr. Additionally, according to Oliveira et al. (2021), the compound  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ -amyrin showed synergistic effects with the efflux inhibitor CCCP against this species. A study by Freitas et al. (2020) demonstrated that  $\alpha$ -pinene enhanced the activity of tetracycline against the Staphylococcus aureus IS-58 strain, which mainly expresses the TetK EP, suggesting that this compound could be interacting with this protein.

In sílico modeling was applied by many studies to verify their interaction with these proteins, which was mainly investigated through molecular docking to confirm the interference of monoterpenes with the efflux systems. This approach is commonly used to model the interaction between a small molecule and the binding site of target proteins by predicting the ligand conformation and assessing the binding affinity (Meng et al., 2011). In the previously reported study (Freitas et al., 2020), docking analysis indicated favorable interaction of  $\alpha$ -pinene with

the active site of the MepA pump. Later, the same group found comparable results when simulating the interaction of limonene and the same protein. Almeida et al. (2020) showed that safrole had favorable interaction with the NorA and MepA efflux pumps, corroborating the *in vitro* results obtained in experiments with *S. aureus* strains. *In silico* analysis by Barbosa et al. (2021) suggested that carvacrol and thymol act as competitive NorA inhibitors, as well as the terpene 4-allyl1-2,6-dimethoxyphenol, investigated by Muniz et al. (2021).

A considerable number of studies reviewed by this work have suggested that terpenes can inhibit the NorA-mediated efflux of antibiotics based mainly on the decrease in antibiotic MIC and consequent synergism resulting from the association of terpenes and conventional antibacterial drugs. Although many of these works have concluded that terpenes act as inhibitors of specific efflux pumps based on their higher expression by the strains investigated, it is essential to note that most of them did not perform molecular tests capable of confirming such conclusions. Therefore, in our opinion, additional tests are needed to ensure that the inhibition of specific efflux pumps corresponds to the mechanism by which terpenes promote synergism when associated with conventional antibiotics or efflux inhibitors such as EtBr and CCCP.

The interference of terpenes in the gene expression of efflux pump components is an important mechanism, in addition to the interference with the activity (as demonstrated in the EtBr test) and interaction with the active site of these proteins (through in silico analysis), as demonstrated in several studies included in the present systematic review. In this context, Gupta et al. (2016) demonstrated through Real-Time Quantitative Reverse Transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) that the diterpene clerodane diterpene downregulated the gene expression of efflux pump components, corroborating the findings of Mahmoudi et al. (2020) and Jang and Eom. (2019). On the other hand, Wu et al. (2008) showed that andrographolide alone or combined with standard drugs induced no change in gene expression. The mechanism of action of the monoterpene thymol (Al-Kandari et al., 2019) was associated with the alteration of the membrane permeability and induction of genetic and morphological changes that lead to inhibition of the expression of AcrAB-TolC efflux pump in Escherichia coli. Accordingly, Lorenzi et al. (2009) showed that the terpene geraniol inhibited chloramphenicol's efflux, which was mediated by the AcrAB-TolC system. The inhibition of this efflux system was also observed for ursolic acid against Escherichia coli KG4. In addition to inhibiting gene expression of the components of this efflux pump, including AcrAB-TolC, MacB, and Yojl, the terpene was found to reduce the EtBr efflux, as verified through the fluorescence emission intensity method (Dwivedi et al., 2015). This method is based on the principle that EtBr accumulates in cells with low efflux activity, emitting thus higher fluorescence. Of note, this method was used in many studies to analyze terpenes' interference on different bacterial efflux systems (Jin et al., 2010; Gupta et al., 2017; Freitas et al., 2021); Ramalhete et al., 2011).

In addition to the evidence that terpenes can act as efflux pump inhibitors, it has been demonstrated that these compounds have intrinsic antibacterial activity. Research by Almeida et al. (2020) showed that safrole has significant antibacterial activity against Staphylococcus aureus 1199B and K2068, which expresses the NorA and MepA pumps, respectively. Similar findings were obtained by Oliveira-Tintino et al. (2018), who tested the compound aterpinene against Staphylococcus aureus strains 1199 and 1199B. Smith et al. (2007a) and Smith et al. (2007b) analyzed the effectiveness of some terpenes against several strains of S. aureus overexpressing different efflux proteins. The authors demonstrated that ferruginol and 5-epipisiferol potentiated the activity of standard antibiotics against these strains, reversing the degree of the observed antibiotic resistance. Moreover, ferruginol inhibited EtBr efflux in the 1199B strain while totarol potentiated the activity of standard antibiotics, in addition to inhibiting the efflux of EtBr in the Staphylococcus aureus K3902 strain, which indicates that terpenes may act as inhibitors of different efflux proteins expressed by S. aureus strains, such as NorA, MsrA, and TetK. This finding corroborates the work of Cruz et al. (2020). They demonstrated that the antibacterial activity of the sesquiterpene a-bisabolol against the IS-58 strain of Staphylococcus aureus is associated with the inhibition of the TetK efflux pump.

Finally, it is essential to emphasize that terpenes can also function as structural models for the obtention of derivatives with improved pharmacological properties, which may significantly contribute to the discovery of new antibacterial drugs (Daouda et al., 2014; Melo-Coutinho et al., 2015).



Illustration of the inhibition of bacterial efflux pumps by terpenes and its impact on antibiotic resistance.

# Conclusion

Terpenes are compounds with significant antibacterial activity against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative strains. While some compounds showed no clinically relevant intrinsic antibacterial effects, their association with conventional antibiotics frequently resulted in synergistic effects, indicating enhanced antibiotic activity.

The investigation of terpenes as efflux pump inhibitors in *S. aureus* strains is the most analyzed, with NorA and carvacrol being the most investigated terpene and efflux pump, respectively. Importantly, Efflux pumps of Gram-positive bacterial strains are probably more susceptible to the action of terpenes, which involve either gene expression inhibition or the interaction with the binding site of membrane-associated efflux proteins, although the molecular mechanisms underlying the action of terpenes as EP inhibitors remain to be better elucidated (Figure 4).

In conclusion, terpenes are significant efflux pump inhibitors in a wide variety of bacterial strains and can potentially be used in drug development to combat antibiotic resistance.

### Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

### Author contributions

Conceptualization—JR-F; search, selection, and analysis—KD, GM, JB, and JR-F; writing of the manuscript,

### References

Al-Kandari, F., Al-Temaimi, R., van Vliet, A., and Woodward, M. (2019). Thymol tolerance in *Escherichia coli* induces morphological, metabolic and genetic changes. *BMC Microbiol.* 19, 294. doi:10.1186/s12866-019-1663-8

Almeida, R., Freitas, P., Araújo, A., Alencar Menezes, I., Santos, E., Tintino, S., et al. (2020). GC-MS profile and enhancement of antibiotic activity by the essential oil of Ocotea odorífera and safrole: Inhibition of *Staphylococcus aureus* efflux pumps. *Antibiotics* 9, 247. doi:10.3390/antibiotics9050247

Araújo, A., Freitas, P., Rodrigues dos Santos Barbosa, C., Muniz, D., Rocha, J., Albuquerque da Silva, A., et al. (2020). GC-MS-FID characterization and antibacterial activity of the *Mikania cordifolia* essential oil and limonene against MDR strains. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 136, 111023. doi:10.1016/j.fct.2019.111023

Barbieri, R., Coppo, E., Marchese, A., Daglia, M., Sobarzo-Sánchez, E., Nabavi, S., et al. (2017). Phytochemicals for human disease: An update on plant-derived compounds antibacterial activity. *Microbiol. Res.* 196, 44–68. doi:10.1016/j.micres. 2016.12.003

Barbosa, C., Scherf, J., de Freitas, T., de Menezes, I., Pereira, R., dos Santos, J., et al. (2021). Effect of Carvacrol and Thymol on NorA efflux pump inhibition in multidrug-resistant (MDR) *Staphylococcus aureus* strains. *J. Bioenerg. Biomembr.* 53, 489–498. doi:10.1007/s10863-021-09906-3

Bezerra, A., Bezerra, S., Macêdo, N., de Sousa Silveira, Z., dos Santos Barbosa, C., de Freitas, T., et al. (2020). Effect of estragole over the RN4220 *Staphylococcus* 

AA, PF, RA, CP, and JN, critical review, JR-F and HC. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Oswaldo Cruz Foundation (Fiocruz), CNPq, and CAPES for the support of this publication.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar. 2022.953982/full#supplementary-material

aureus strain and its toxicity in Drosophila melanogaster. Life Sci. 264, 118675. doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2020.118675

Blanco, P., Hernando-Amado, S., Reales-Calderon, J., Corona, F., Lira, F., Alcalde-Rico, M., et al. (2016). Bacterial multidrug efflux pumps: Much more than antibiotic resistance determinants. *Microorganisms* 4, 14. doi:10.3390/microorganisms4010014

Borges-Walmsley, M. I., McKeegan, K. S., and Walmsley, A. R. (2003). Structure and function of efflux pumps that confer resistance to drugs. *Biochem. J.* 376, 313–338. doi:10.1042/BJ20020957

Chatterjee, A., Modarai, M., Naylor, N., Boyd, S., Atun, R., Barlow, J., et al. (2018). Quantifying drivers of antibiotic resistance in humans: A systematic review. *Lancet. Infect. Dis.* 18, e368–e378. doi:10.1016/s1473-3099(18)30296-2

Cirino, I., Menezes-Silva, S., Silva, H., de Souza, E., and Siqueira-Júnior, J. (2014). The essential oil from origanum vulgare L. And its individual constituents carvacrol and thymol enhance the effect of tetracycline against *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Chemotherapy* 60, 290–293. doi:10.1159/000381175

Coêlho, M., Ferreira, J., Siqueira-Júnior, J., Kaatz, G., Barreto, H., and Cavalcante, A. (2016). Inhibition of the NorA multidrug transporter by oxygenated monoterpenes. *Microb. Pathog.* 99, 173–177. doi:10.1016/j. micpath.2016.08.026

Cruz, R., Freitas, T., Costa, M., Santos, A., Campina, F., Pereira, R., et al. (2020). Effect of  $\alpha$ -bisabolol and its  $\beta$ -cyclodextrin complex as TetK and NorA efflux pump inhibitors in *Staphylococcus aureus* strains. *Antibiotics* 9, 28. doi:10.3390/ antibiotics9010028

Cuaron, J., Dulal, S., Song, Y., Singh, A., Montelongo, C., Yu, W., et al. (2013). Tea tree oil-induced transcriptional alterations in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Phytother. Res.* 27, 390–396. doi:10.1002/ptr.4738

de Figueiredo, C., Menezes Silva, S., Abreu, L., da Silva, E., da Silva, M., Cavalcanti de Miranda, G., et al. (2019). Dolastane diterpenes from *Canistrocarpus cervicornis* and their effects in modulation of drug resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Nat. Prod. Res.* 33, 3231–3239. doi:10.1080/14786419.2018.1470512

Da Costa, R., Rocha, J., de Freitas, T., Pereira, R., Junior, F., de Oliveira, M., et al. (2021). Evaluation of antibacterial activity and reversal of the NorA and MepA efflux pump of estragole against *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteria. *Arch. Microbiol.* 203, 3551–3555. doi:10.1007/s00203-021-02347-x

Daouda, T., Prevost, K., Gustave, B., Joseph, D., Nathalie, G., Raphaël, O., et al. (2014). Terpenes, antibacterial and modulatory antibiotic activity of essential oils from *Croton hirtus* L' hér. (Euphorbiaceae) from ivory coast. J. Essent. Oil Bear. Plants 17, 607–616. doi:10.1080/0972060x.2014.958550

Dwivedi, G., Maurya, A., Yadav, D., Khan, F., Darokar, M., and Srivastava, S. (2015). Drug resistance reversal potential of ursolic acid derivatives against nalidixic acid- and multidrug-resistant *Escherichia coli. Chem. Biol. Drug Des.* 86, 272–283. doi:10.1111/cbdd.12491

Epand, R. M., Walker, C., Epand, R. F., and Magarvey, N. A. (2016). Molecular mechanisms of membrane targeting antibiotics. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1858 (5), 980–987. doi:10.1016/j.bbamem.2015.10.018

Espinoza, J., Urzúa, A., Sanhueza, L., Walter, M., Fincheira, P., Muñoz, P., et al. (2019). Essential oil, extracts, and sesquiterpenes obtained from the heartwood of *Pilgerodendron uviferum* act as potential inhibitors of the *Staphylococcus aureus* NorA multidrug efflux pump. *Front. Microbiol.* 10, 337. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2019.00337

Fadli, M., Chevalier, J., Hassani, L., Mezrioui, N., and Pagès, J. (2014). Natural extracts stimulate membrane-associated mechanisms of resistance in Gramnegative bacteria. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 58, 472–477. doi:10.1111/lam.12216

Freitas, P., de Araújo, A., dos Santos Barbosa, C., Muniz, D., da Silva, A., Rocha, J., et al. (2020). GC-MS-FID and potentiation of the antibiotic activity of the essential oil of *Baccharis reticulata* (ruiz & pav.) pers. and  $\alpha$ -pinene. *Industrial Crops Prod.* 145, 112106. doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2020.112106

Freitas, P., de Araújo, A., dos Santos Barbosa, C., Muniz, D., de Almeida, R., de Menezes, I., et al. (2021). Inhibition of the MepA efflux pump by limonene demonstrated by *in vitro* and *in silico* methods. *Folia Microbiol.* 67, 15–20. doi:10.1007/s12223-021-00909-6

Gunes, H., Gulen, D., Mutlu, R., Gumus, A., Tas, T., and Topkaya, A. (2013). Antibacterial effects of curcumin: An *in vitro* minimum inhibitory concentration study. *Toxicol. Ind. Health* 32, 246–250. doi:10.1177/0748233713498458

Gupta, P., Patel, D., Gupta, V., Pal, A., Tandon, S., and Darokar, M. (2017). Citral, a monoterpenoid aldehyde interacts synergistically with norfloxacin against methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Phytomedicine* 34, 85–96. doi:10. 1016/j.phymed.2017.08.016

Gupta, V., Tiwari, N., Gupta, P., Verma, S., Pal, A., Srivastava, S., et al. (2016). A clerodane diterpene from *Polyalthia longifolia* as a modifying agent of the resistance of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Phytomedicine* 23, 654–661. doi:10.1016/j.phymed.2016.03.001

Habboush, Y., and Guzman, N. (2022). Antibiotic resistance. Available at: https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK513277/(Accessed April 2, 2022).

Holmes, A., Moore, L., Sundsfjord, A., Steinbakk, M., Regmi, S., Karkey, A., et al. (2016). Understanding the mechanisms and drivers of antimicrobial resistance. *Lancet* 387, 176–187. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(15)00473-0

Huang, L., Ahmed, S., Gu, Y., Huang, J., An, B., Wu, C., et al. (2021). The effects of natural products and environmental conditions on antimicrobial resistance. *Molecules* 26, 4277. doi:10.3390/molecules26144277

IBM Corp. Released (2016). IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.

Jang, H., and Eom, Y. (2019). Antibiofilm and antibacterial activities of repurposing auranofin against *Bacteroides fragilis. Arch. Microbiol.* 202, 473–482. doi:10.1007/s00203-019-01764-3

JASP Team (2022). Jasp. [Computer software].

Jin, J., Zhang, J., Guo, N., Sheng, H., Li, L., Liang, J., et al. (2010). Farnesol, a potential efflux pump inhibitor in *Mycobacterium smegmatis*. *Molecules* 15, 7750–7762. doi:10.3390/molecules15117750

Kim, H., Lee, D., and Eom, Y. (2018). Anti-biofilm and anti-virulence efficacy of celastrol against *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. *Int. J. Med. Sci.* 15, 617–627. doi:10. 7150/ijms.23924

Kim, H., Rhee, K., and Eom, Y. (2019). Anti-biofilm and antimicrobial effects of zerumbone against *Bacteroides fragilis*. *Anaerobe* 57, 99–106. doi:10.1016/j. anaerobe.2019.04.001

Kowalska-Krochmal, B., and Dudek-Wicher, R. (2021). The minimum inhibitory concentration of antibiotics: Methods, interpretation, clinical relevance. *Pathogens* 10, 165. doi:10.3390/pathogens10020165

Laxminarayan, R., Sridhar, D., Blaser, M., Wang, M., and Woolhouse, M. (2016). Achieving global targets for antimicrobial resistance. *Science* 353, 874–875. doi:10. 1126/science.aaf9286

Li, B., Yao, Q., Pan, X., Wang, N., Zhang, R., Li, J., et al. (2011). Artesunate enhances the antibacterial effect of  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics against *Escherichia coli* by increasing antibiotic accumulation via inhibition of the multidrug efflux pump system AcrAB-TolC. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 66, 769–777. doi:10.1093/jac/dkr017

Limaverde, P., Campina, F., da Cunha, F., Crispim, F., Figueredo, F., Lima, L., et al. (2017). Inhibition of the TetK efflux-pump by the essential oil of *Chenopodium* ambrosioides L. and  $\alpha$ -terpinene against *Staphylococcus aureus* IS-58. Food Chem. Toxicol. 109, 957–961. doi:10.1016/j.fct.2017.02.031

Lorenzi, V., Muselli, A., Bernardini, A., Berti, L., Pagès, J., Amaral, L., et al. (2009). Geraniol restores antibiotic activities against multidrug-resistant isolates from gram-negative species. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 53, 2209–2211. doi:10. 1128/aac.00919-08

Mahizan, N., Yang, S., Moo, C., Song, A., Chong, C., Chong, C., et al. (2019). Terpene derivatives as a potential agent against antimicrobial resistance (AMR) pathogens. *Molecules* 24, 2631. doi:10.3390/molecules24142631

Mahmoudi, H., Shokoohizadeh, L., Zare Fahim, N., Mohamadi Bardebari, A., Moradkhani, S., and Alikhani, M. (2020). Detection of adeABC efflux pump encoding genes and antimicrobial effect of *Mentha longifolia* and Menthol on MICs of imipenem and ciprofloxacin in clinical isolates of *Acinetobacter baumannii. BMC Complement. Med. Ther.* 20, 92. doi:10.1186/s12906-020-02887-7

Martins, A., Vasas, A., Viveiros, M., Molnár, J., Hohmann, J., and Amaral, L. (2011). Antibacterial properties of compounds isolated from *Carpobrotus edulis*. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 37, 438–444. doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2011.01.016

Melo-Coutinho, H. D., Freitas, M. A., Gondim, C. N., Albuquerque, R. S., Ferreira, J. V. A., and Andrade, J. C. (2015). *In vitro* antimicrobial activity of Geraniol and Cariophyllene against *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Rev. Cubana Plantas Med.* 20, 98–105.

Meng, X. Y., Zhang, H. X., Mezei, M., and Cui, M. (2011). Molecular docking: A powerful approach for structure-based drug discovery. *Curr. Comput. Aided. Drug Des.* 7 (2), 146–157. doi:10.2174/157340911795677602

Miladi, H., Zmantar, T., Kouidhi, B., Al Qurashi, Y., Bakhrouf, A., Chaabouni, Y., et al. (2017). Synergistic effect of eugenol, carvacrol, thymol, p-cymene and γterpinene on inhibition of drug resistance and biofilm formation of oral bacteria. *Microb. Pathog.* 112, 156–163. doi:10.1016/j.micpath.2017.09.057

Montagu, A., Joly-Guillou, M., Guillet, C., Bejaud, J., Rossines, E., and Saulnier, P. (2016). Demonstration of the interactions between aromatic compound-loaded lipid nanocapsules and *Acinetobacter baumannii* bacterial membrane. *Int. J. Pharm.* 506, 280–288. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2016.03.033

Mouwakeh, A., Kincses, A., Nové, M., Mosolygó, T., Mohácsi-Farkas, C., Kiskó, G., et al. (2019). *Nigella sativa* essential oil and its bioactive compounds as resistance modifiers against *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Phytother. Res.* 33, 1010–1018. doi:10. 1002/ptr.6294

Munita, J., and Arias, C. (2016). Mechanisms of antibiotic resistance. *Microbiol.* Spectr. 4. doi:10.1128/microbiolspec.vmbf-0016-2015

Muniz, D., dos Santos Barbosa, C., de Menezes, I., de Sousa, E., Pereira, R., Júnior, J., et al. (2021). *In vitro* and *in silico* inhibitory effects of synthetic and natural eugenol derivatives against the NorA efflux pump in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Food Chem.* 337, 127776. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.127776

Murina, V., Kasari, M., Takada, H., Hinnu, M., Saha, C. K., Grimshaw, J. W., et al. (2019). ABCF ATPases involved in protein synthesis, ribosome assembly and antibiotic resistance: Structural and functional diversification across the tree of life. J. Mol. Biol. 431 (18), 3568–3590. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2018.12.013

Murray, C., Ikuta, K., Sharara, F., Swetschinski, L., Robles Aguilar, G., Gray, A., et al. (2022). Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: A systematic analysis. *Lancet* 399, 629–655. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(21)02724-0

Ojeda-Sana, A., Repetto, V., and Moreno, S. (2013). Carnosic acid is an efflux pumps modulator by dissipation of the membrane potential in *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 29, 137–144. doi:10. 1007/s11274-012-1166-3

Oliveira, R., Bandeira, P., Lemos, T., dos Santos, H., Scherf, J., Rocha, J., et al. (2021). In silico and in vitro evaluation of efflux pumps inhibition of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ -amyrin. J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn. 2021, 1–15. doi:10.1080/07391102.2021.1976277

Oliveira-Tintino, C., Tintino, S., Limaverde, P., Figueredo, F., Campina, F., da Cunha, F., et al. (2018). Inhibition of the essential oil from *Chenopodium ambrosioides* L. and  $\alpha$ -terpinene on the NorA efflux-pump of *Staphylococcus aureus*. Food Chem. 262, 72–77. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.04.040

Ouzzani, M., Hammady, H., Fedorowicz, Z., and Elmagarmid, A. (2016). Rayyan—A web and mobile app for systematic reviews. Syst. Rev. 5, 210. doi:10.1186/s13643-016-0384-4)

Page, M., McKenzie, J., Bossuyt, P., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T., Mulrow, C., et al. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 372, n71. doi:10.1136/bmj.n71

Pathania, R., Sharma, A., and Gupta, V. (2019). Efflux pump inhibitors for bacterial pathogens: From bench to bedside. *Indian J. Med. Res.* 149, 129–145. doi:10.4103/ijmr.jmr\_2079\_17

Piddock, L. (2006). Clinically relevant chromosomally encoded multidrug resistance efflux pumps in bacteria. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 19, 382-402. doi:10. 1128/cmr.19.2.382-402.2006

Prasch, S., and Bucar, F. (2015). Plant derived inhibitors of bacterial efflux pumps: An update. *Phytochem. Rev.* 14, 961–974. doi:10.1007/s11101-015-9436-y

Ramalhete, C., Spengler, G., Martins, A., Martins, M., Viveiros, M., Mulhovo, S., et al. (2011). Inhibition of efflux pumps in meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Enterococcus faecalis* resistant strains by triterpenoids from *Momordica balsamina*. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 37, 70–74. doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2010.09.011

Reygaert, W. C. (2018). An overview of the antimicrobial resistance mechanisms of bacteria. AIMS Microbiol. 4, 482–501. doi:10.3934/microbiol.2018.3.482

Sarkar, P., Yarlagadda, V., Ghosh, C., and Haldar, J. (2017). A review on cell wall synthesis inhibitors with an emphasis on glycopeptide antibiotics. *Medchemcomm* 8 (3), 516–533. doi:10.1039/c6md00585c

Scherf, J., Santos, C., Freitas, T., Rocha, J., Macêdo, N., Lima, J., et al. (2020). Effect of terpinolene against the resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strain, carrier of the efflux pump QacC and  $\beta$ -lactamase gene, and its toxicity in the *Drosophila melanogaster* model. *Microb. Pathog.* 149, 104528. doi:10.1016/j.micpath.2020.104528

Schwarz, S., Loeffler, A., and Kadlec, K. (2017). Bacterial resistance to antimicrobial agents and its impact on veterinary and human medicine. *Vet. Dermatol.* 28, 82–e19. doi:10.1111/vde.12362

Sharkey, L. K., Edwards, T. A., and O'Neill, A. J. (2016). ABC-F proteins mediate antibiotic resistance through ribosomal protection. *MBio* 7 (2), 019755–e2015. doi:10.1128/mBio.01975-15

Sharma, A., Biharee, A., Kumar, A., and Jaitak, V. (2020). Antimicrobial terpenoids as a potential substitute in overcoming antimicrobial resistance. *Curr. Drug Targets* 21, 1476–1494. doi:10.2174/1389450121666200520103427

Shrivastava, S., Shrivastava, P., and Ramasamy, J. (2018). World health organization releases global priority list of antibiotic-resistant bacteria to guide research, discovery, and development of new antibiotics. *J. Med. Soc.* 32, 76. doi:10.4103/jms.jms\_25\_17

Sikkema, J., de Bont, J., and Poolman, B. (1994). Interactions of cyclic hydrocarbons with biological membranes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 269, 8022–8028. doi:10. 1016/s0021-9258(17)37154-5

Silveira, Z., Macêdo, N., Santos, J., Freitas, T., Barbosa, C., Sousa-Júnior, D., et al. (2020). Evaluation of the antibacterial activity and efflux pump reversal of thymol and carvacrol against *Staphylococcus aureus* and their toxicity in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Molecules* 25, 2103. doi:10.3390/molecules25092103

Singh, S., Singh, S., Chowdhury, I., and Singh, R. (2017). Understanding the mechanism of bacterial biofilms resistance to antimicrobial agents. *Open Microbiol. J.* 11, 53–62. doi:10.2174/1874285801711010053

Smith, E., Kaatz, G., Seo, S., Wareham, N., Williamson, E., and Gibbons, S. (2007b). The phenolic diterpene totarol inhibits multidrug efflux pump activity in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. 51, 4480–4483. doi:10.1128/ aac.00216-07

Smith, E., Williamson, E., Wareham, N., Kaatz, G., and Gibbons, S. (2007a). Antibacterials and modulators of bacterial resistance from the immature cones of *Chamaecyparis lawsoniana*. *Phytochemistry* 68, 210–217. doi:10.1016/j.phytochem. 2006.10.001

Stavri, M., Piddock, L., and Gibbons, S. (2007). Bacterial efflux pump inhibitors from natural sources. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 59, 1247–1260. doi:10.1093/jac/dkl460

Su, W., Kumar, V., Ding, Y., Ero, R., Serra, A., Lee, B. S. T., et al. (2018). Ribosome protection by antibiotic resistance ATP-binding cassette protein. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 115 (20), 5157–5162. doi:10.1073/pnas.1803313115

Sun, J., Deng, Z., and Yan, A. (2014). Bacterial multidrug efflux pumps: Mechanisms, physiology and pharmacological exploitations. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 453, 254–267. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2014.05.090

Swamy, M., Akhtar, M., and Sinniah, U. (2016). Antimicrobial properties of plant essential oils against human pathogens and their mode of action: An updated review. *Evid. Based. Complement. Altern. Med.* 2016, 3012462–3012521. doi:10. 1155/2016/3012462

Tortora, G., Case, C., and Funk, B. (2012). "Microbiologia," in *Porto alegre*. 10th ed. (RS, Brazil: Artmed Editora).

Upadhyay, H., Dwivedi, G., Roy, S., Sharma, A., Darokar, M., and Srivastava, S. (2014). Phytol derivatives as drug resistance reversal agents. *ChemMedChem* 9, 1860–1868. doi:10.1002/cmdc.201402027

Van Bambeke, F., Balzi, E., and Tulkens, P. (2000). Antibiotic efflux pumps. Biochem. Pharmacol. 60, 457-470. doi:10.1016/s0006-2952(00)00291-4

Van de Vel, E., Sampers, I., and Raes, K. (2019). A review on influencing factors on the minimum inhibitory concentration of essential oils. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 59, 357–378. doi:10.1080/10408398.2017.1371112

Vasconcelos, S., Caleffi-Ferracioli, K., Hegeto, L., Baldin, V., Nakamura, C., Stefanello, T., et al. (2018). Carvacrol activity & morphological changes in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Future Microbiol.* 13, 877–888. doi:10.2217/fmb-2017-0232

Willyard, C. (2017). The drug-resistant bacteria that pose the greatest health threats. *Nature* 543, 15. doi:10.1038/nature.2017.21550)

Wilson, D. N., Hauryliuk, V., Atkinson, G. C., and O'Neill, A. J. (2020). Target protection as a key antibiotic resistance mechanism. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 18 (11), 637–648. doi:10.1038/s41579-020-0386-z

Wu, C., Cao, J., Zheng, M., Ou, Y., Zhang, L., Zhu, X., et al. (2008). Effect and mechanism of andrographolide on the recovery of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* susceptibility to several antibiotics. *J. Int. Med. Res.* 36, 178–186. doi:10.1177/ 147323000803600123

Yuan, W., and Yuk, H. (2019). Effects of sublethal thymol, carvacrol, and *trans*-cinnamaldehyde adaptation on virulence properties of *Escherichia coli* 0157:H7. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 85, 002711–e319. doi:10.1128/aem. 00271-19

Zhang, J., Sun, Y., Wang, Y., Lu, M., He, J., Liu, J., et al. (2014). Non-antibiotic agent ginsenoside 20(S)-Rh2 enhanced the antibacterial effects of ciprofloxacin *in vitro* and *in vivo* as a potential NorA inhibitor. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 740, 277–284. doi:10.1016/j.ejphar.2014.07.020