# Genetic testing for colon cancer: Joint statement of the American College of Medical Genetics and American Society of Human Genetics

Joint Test and Technology Transfer Committee Working Group

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the second leading cause of cancer death in the United States. Annually, approximately 130,200 individuals will be diagnosed and 56,300 will die from this disease.1 In general, CRC evolves in an "adenoma to carcinoma" sequence during which a series of somatic alterations accumulate in the DNA of the tumor tissue. Since 1987, significant strides have been made in characterizing the genetic events that lead to colorectal cancer. This work has been based on detailed clinical and molecular genetic studies of colorectal tumors. Acquired genetic alterations seen in tumors include APC and MCC on chromosome 5q, KRAS on chromosome 12p, DCC on chromosome 18q, GTBP (hMSH6) on chromosome 2p, and p53 on chromosome 17p.<sup>2</sup> In addition, development of these genetic alterations may be accelerated by molecular instability or chromosomal instability.3-4 About 75% of the time, molecular alterations are sporadic events, but the remaining instances arise in individuals with a family history of colon cancer.5

An important strategy in identifying genes involved in colorectal neoplasia has been the study of colorectal cancer syndromes, through which it has been found that specific genes are the basis of inherited cancer susceptibilities. Mutations in several genes have been associated with hereditary cancer: APC gene in familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) and the DNA 'mismatch repair' genes, hMSH2, hMLH1, hPMS1, hPMS2, and hMSH6 (GTBP) in hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC).<sup>6–10</sup> A novel locus has been reported on chromosome 15q in a multiplex CRC family, and the gene has been named CRAC (colorectal adenoma and carcinoma).<sup>11</sup> Although these currently known susceptibility genes account for < 10% of all colorectal cancers, there remains at least 20% of patients who have family histories of colon cancer and for which mutations in genes remain to be identified.<sup>5,7</sup>

### Familial adenomatous polyposis

Germline mutations (primarily nonsense, frameshift) of APC are associated with FAP, an autosomal dominant syndrome, which is clinically characterized by young onset (age 12–15 years), hundreds of adenomatous polyps in the colon, and increased risk for gastric polyps, duodenal cancer, thyroid cancer, and desmoid tumors.<sup>9</sup> An attenuated variety (AFAP) has fewer than 100 adenomas with proximal predominance and later age of onset (55 years). In general, AFAP is associated with APC mutations that occur near the 3' and 5' ends of the gene.<sup>12–14</sup> Missense mutations in APC have also been reported in non syndromic colorectal neoplasia families.<sup>15,16</sup>

A recently discovered missense mutation in APC, known as APC I1307K, is associated with an increased risk for colorectal adenomas and carcinoma, but not as high as in FAP.<sup>17</sup> This mutation, which has been found to occur only in the Ashkenazi Jewish population, with a prevalence of 6%, is found in 10% of colorectal cancer patients who are of Ashkenazi Jewish heritage, and up to 28% of such patients who also have a positive family history of colon cancer. This particular mutation does not in itself cause polyposis or cancer, but instead is a true cancer predisposition gene, because it creates an instability in the colon cell's APC gene (making it hypermutable) that then may develop a more deleterious mutation that can lead to cancer. This novel mechanism for cancer predisposition may partially explain the reduced penetrance of the mutation. Others have confirmed this observation<sup>18-20</sup>; for example, Woodage et al.18 have confirmed the relatively high frequency of this mutation, finding 7.2% of over 5,000 Ashkenazi Jews to be carriers.

### Hereditary non-polyposis colon cancer

Germline mutations in five mismatch repair-related genes (hMSH2, hMLH1, hMSH6, hPMS1, and hPMS2) cause

This guideline is designed primarily as an educational resource for medical geneticists and other health care providers to help them provide quality medical genetic services. Adherence to this guideline does not necessarily ensure a successful medical outcome. This guideline should not be considered inclusive of all proper procedures and tests or exclusive of other procedures and tests that are reasonably directed toward obtaining the same results. In determining the propriety of any specific procedure or test, the geneticist should apply his or her own professional judgment to the specific clinical circumstances presented by the individual patient or specimen. It may be prudent, however, to document in the patient's record the rationale for any significant deviation from this guideline.

HNPCC, and are associated with specific somatic alterations in the tumor, characterized by high microsatellite instability (MSI-H).<sup>21,22</sup> HNPCC is characterized by young onset colorectal cancer (mean age 44 years), proximal colon location, multiple primary cancers, and increased risk of endometrial cancer, transitional cell cancer of the ureters, small bowel cancer, gastric cancer, bile duct cancer, and ovarian cancer.<sup>23,24</sup>

Microsatellite instability (MSI) in the tumor is associated with HNPCC and tumors with specific pathologic characteristics, suggesting a group of patients on whom such analysis may be useful.<sup>21,22</sup> Recently, in a large retrospective study, MSI has been found to be associated with improved survival in young colorectal cancer patients, at all stages, potentially heralding the use of MSI in treatment decisions or risk management.<sup>25</sup>

### Technology

### Germline genetic testing

Gene tests for colorectal cancer (see Table 1) in the clinical setting primarily consist of the following:

 Protein truncation testing (in vitro synthesized protein assay) for truncating germline mutations of APC, MSH2, and MLH1 in patients where the mutation is not known. This method detects disease-causing mutations (nonsense or frameshift) that result in premature protein termination. In particular, APC mutations in the majority of patients with classic FAP phenotype may be detected this way.<sup>26–30</sup> This method is less sensitive for HNPCC, because other types of mutations contribute to the disease phenotype.<sup>31</sup> If the truncated protein is detected by this assay in the affected patient, then the defect can be similarly assayed in a focused evaluation of at-risk relatives.

- CSGE, SSCP, or other screening assay, followed by DNA sequencing for MSH2 and MLH1 in HNPCC families where the mutation is not known. These methods are more sensitive than protein truncation testing, but may still not detect all mutations.<sup>31–33</sup> Once a disease-associated germline mutation is detected in an affected patient, then ASO methods (described next) can be employed to evaluate at-risk relatives.
- Allele specific oligonucleotide (ASO) hybridization is appropriate when the specific disease-causing mutation is known, in cases such as APC I1307K,<sup>17</sup> or predictive testing in an FAP or HNPCC kindred where the causal mutation has been identified.<sup>31,34</sup>

### Tumor assays for genetic predisposition

The availability of archival paraffin-preserved colorectal tissue (normal and tumor) enhances our ability to evaluate patients or families suspected of harboring HNPCC mutations. There are two approaches that are moving into clinical application:

• Microsatellite instability (MSI) is considered to be a feasible and informative option in evaluating a family suspected of HNPCC.<sup>21,22,35</sup> Patients with colorectal cancer

Genetic tests for susceptibility to colon cancer					
Cancer type	Test name	Method (reference)	Estimated analytic sensitivity/specificity	Sample	Indication
HNPCC	MSI, IHC	Microsatellite instability analysis (22) and immunohistochemical staining (38–40)	85%/85%	Paraffin block (tumor)	Affected individuals with colon or uterine cancer in families with $\geq$ 3 cases of colon or uterine cancer, or early-onset colon cancer; if tumor manifests MSI, germline mutation analysis should be considered
HNPCC	MSH2, MLH1	DNA sequencing (i.e., 31)	70% <sup><i>a</i></sup> /99%	Whole blood (14 mL lavender top tube)	Affected individuals in families with greater ≥3 cases of colon or uterine cancer; if prior MSI tumor assay done, probability of germline mutation is low if tumor was microsatellite stable
HNPCC	MSH2, MLH1	Protein truncation (28–30)	50% <sup><i>a</i></sup> /99%	Whole blood (7 mL lavender top tube)	Affected individuals in families with ≥3 cases of colon cancer tested first; unaffected at-risk relatives tested only if affected patient mutation detected
FAP	APC	Protein truncation (26–27)	75%/99%	Whole blood (7 mL lavender top tube)	Affected individuals tested first; unaffected at-risk relatives tested only if affected patient mutation detected
Familial colon cancer	APC I1307K	ASO (17)	99%/99%	Whole blood (7 mL lavender top tube)	Affected and unaffected individuals of Ashkenazi Jewish ethnicity with family history of colon cancer

 Table 1

 Genetic tests for susceptibility to colon cancer

<sup>a</sup>At least three other genes account for the other 30% of cases.

whose tumors are found to manifest MSI should be considered for further germline mutation analysis, particularly if the family history and the value of risk assessment for family members is warranted.<sup>9,36</sup> If the MSI result is negative or equivocal, one should still rely on the strength of the family history to make screening recommendations,<sup>33–37</sup> since up to 15% of colorectal tumors from HNPCC patients will not display the phenotype. Likewise, an equal number (15%) of apparently sporadic tumors are MSI positive.

• Promising new research suggests that immunohistochemistry (IHC) for MLH1 and MSH2 expression is an inexpensive first screen of CRC tumors to evaluate whether MSI and/or germline testing is indicated. Lack of expression of either MSH2 or MLH1 by IHC in tumors is correlated with MSI in the tumor.<sup>38–40</sup> The majority of MSI observed in sporadic cases appear to be due to somatic hypermethylation of the MLH1 promoter.<sup>41,42</sup> It has also been observed that absent MSH2 IHC expression is associated with germline MSH2 mutation, and a minority of absent MLH1 IHC expression is associated with germline MLH1 mutation.<sup>42</sup> The translation of these new methods into routine clinical practice awaits large-scale validation studies.

## Future technology: conversion of diploidy to haploidy

Recently, Vogelstein and coworkers have developed a new technique whereby mutations in APC, MSH2, or MLH1 may be detected by converting diploid cells to haploid cells by selectively fusing with mouse cells and screening the human chromosome of interest. Also termed monoallelic mutation analysis, this method may be more widely available in the near future.<sup>32,43</sup>

### **Unresoved** issues

There are a number of issues that need to be considered in the context of colon cancer genetic testing options. The characterization of genetic susceptibility to colon cancer is a very active area of research, and until studies on large populations of patients are completed, precision in risk assessment and screening or preventive interventions will be based on current best evidence. With respect to FAP and HNPCC, genetic tests are part of the spectrum of clinical information gathering, through which management options can be developed. The clinical, technical, and psychosocial frameworks (both the medical as well as the patients' point of view) should be incorporated in testing strategies.

## 1. How many genes are going to turn out to have an effect on colon cancer, especially the hereditary form?

For example, the syndrome HNPCC is due to a class of genes that are involved in the DNA mismatch repair pathway, so that essentially the identical clinical diagnosis can be explained by any of five different genes. While a majority of mutations are found in just two genes (MSH2 and MLH1), if no mutation is found in these two, there are few other clinical testing options. Only a few research laboratories are studying mutations in the other three genes. Yan et al.<sup>32</sup> suggest that the majority of HNPCC families that manifest MSI tumors will be accounted for by MSH2 and MLH1. In total, however, mutations in these genes only account for approximately one half of the families that fit the Amsterdam criteria (particularly those that do not manifest MSI); thus, additional genes are likely to be involved that may have other underlying mechanisms of action.

# 2. What is the clinical spectrum associated with mutations in "colon cancer" genes?

Molecular diagnostic testing can better characterize syndromes or even split syndromes that were originally considered a single entity on clinical observation grounds. For example, there are more cancers of the renal pelvis, ureter, stomach, and ovaries when the family harbors a mutation in MSH2 (vs. MLH1), while mutations in MLH1 that completely silence the gene product (i.e., no protein produced) have a paucity of extracolonic tumors. An atypical phenotype is seen in families with MSH6 mutations where endometrial/ovarian cancers outnumber colorectal cancers. Further differentiation must await long-term follow-up of multiple families with well-characterized mutations.

In another example, Turcot syndrome was thought to be an autosomal recessive condition characterized by colonic polyposis and brain tumors. Mutation analyses have now shown that Turcot syndrome can be split into at least two dominant polyposis syndromes: (1) the true Turcot syndrome, which is associated with glioblastoma and is due to mutations at MLH1 and PMS2; and (2) the Crail syndrome, which is associated with a different brain tumor and medulloblastoma, and is due to mutations in APC.<sup>44</sup>

As geneticists study the action of these genes and the mutations in different regions, more subtle phenotypes are being associated with each [e.g., 15–16]. This finding has the potential to complicate the management of carriers until such time that the most complete spectrum of potential tumors has been identified. Individuals shown to harbor pathologic mutations should remain in an ongoing surveillance program.

## 3. What is the best surveillance for carriers?

The American Cancer Society, American Gastroenterology Association, and National Cancer Center Network have developed recommendations and practice guidelines that consider genetic risk for surveillance of individuals from familial or hereditary colorectal cancer pedigrees.<sup>45,46</sup> These recommendations are largely based on retrospective clinical observations and expert opinion, as there are no data from large-scale population-based or prospective studies of cancer risk in carriers.

Molecular testing has the potential to improve management of mutation carriers. For example, the attenuated form of FAP (AFAP), which is characterized by fewer adenomas and may be of later onset, should be diagnostically followed by colonoscopy rather than sigmoidoscopy as is standard for classic FAP.<sup>47</sup> HNPCC also requires colonoscopy to monitor for polyps.<sup>48</sup> It is the hope, anecdotally observed, that there would be concomitant improvement in adherence to cancer prevention recommendations.

### **Informed consent**

The American Society of Clinical Oncology<sup>49</sup> has developed a list of elements of informed consent for cancer genetic testing, including (1) information on the specific test being performed, (2) implications of a positive and negative results, (3) possibility that the test will not be informative, (4) options for risk estimation without genetic testing, (5) risk of passing a mutation to children, (6) technical accuracy of the test, (7) fees involved in testing and counseling, (8) risks of psychologic distress, (9) risks of insurer or employment discrimination, (10) confidentiality issues, and (11) options and limitations of medical surveillance and screening following testing. It is recommended that all testing be done with a comprehensive informed consent document.

### Summary

Colorectal cancer gene discoveries have led to clinical application in the form of improved cancer genetic risk assessment and genetic testing for hereditary colon cancer, including FAP and HNPCC. However, much more continues to be learned about the biology and clinical aspects of colon cancer susceptibility.

- Germline gene test for FAP utilizes a protein truncation test of APC.
- Germline gene test for APC I1307K is an ASO-based assay and may identify persons of Ashkenazi Jewish origin who are at increased risk for colon neoplasia.
- HNPCC is genetically heterogeneous but is largely due to mutations in MSH2 and MLH1. Mutations in these mismatch repair genes often result in microsatellite instability (MSI) in the tumor. Tumor assays for MSI may help identify persons who are likely to carry germline MSH2 or MLH1 mutations.
- Germline gene tests for MSH2 and MLH1 utilize protein truncation and DNA sequencing strategies.
- Genetic counseling is an important and crucial component of the genetic risk assessment process. Informed consent for genetic testing is an integral part of the process, and a clear understanding by the patient can only be arrived at by careful counseling.
- In view of the complicated and evolving technology and clinical issues, individuals with a family history of colon cancer would be best served in programs with appropriate laboratory and clinical expertise.

Approved by the American College of Medical Genetics and American Society of Human Genetics Boards of Directors in September 2000.

© AMERICAN COLLEGE OF MEDICAL GENETICS, 2000 9650 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, MD 20814-3998 Phone 301-530-7127, Fax 301-571-1895 Joint Test and Technology Transfer Committee Working Group

Patricia Murphy, PhD, Co-Chair Gloria Petersen, PhD, Co-Chair Stephen Thibodeau, PhD Richard Fishel, PhD

#### References

- American Cancer Society. Cancer facts and figures 2000. Atlanta: American Cancer Society, 2000.
- Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B. Colorectal tumors. In: Vogelstein B, Kinzler KW, editors. The genetic basis of human cancer. New York: McGraw Hill, 1998:565–587.
- Lengauer C, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B. Genetic instability in colorectal cancers. Nature 1997;386:623–627.
- Cahill DP, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B, Lengauer C. Genetic instability and Darwinian selection in tumours. *Trends Biochem Sci* 1999;24:M57–M60.
- Lynch HT, de la Chapelle A. Genetic susceptibility to non-polyposis colorectal cancer. J Med Genet 1999;36:801–818.
- Giardiello FM. Genetic testing in hereditary colorectal cancer. JAMA 1997;278:1278–1281.
- Lynch HT, Smyrk TC. Identifying hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med 1998;338:1537–1538.
- Peltomaki P, Vasen HFA, The International Collaborative Group on Hereditary Nonpolyposis Colorectal Cancer. Mutations predisposing to hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer: database and results of a collaborative study. *Gastroenterology* 1997;113:1146–1158.
- Petersen GM, Brensinger JD, Johnson KA, Giardiello FM. Genetic testing and counseling for hereditary forms of colorectal cancer. *Cancer* 1999;86:2540–2550.
- Miyaki M, Konishi M, Tanaka K, Kikuchi-Yanoshita R, Muraoka M, Yasuno M, Igari T, Koike M, Chiba M, Mori T. Germline mutation of MSH6 as the cause of hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer. *Nat Genet* 1997;17:271–272.
- Tomlinson I, Rahman N, Frayling I, Mangion J, Barfoot R, Hamoudi R, Seal S, Northover J, Thomas HJ, Neale K, Hodgson S, Talbot I, Houlston R, Stratton MR. Inherited susceptibility to colorectal adenomas and carcinomas: evidence for a new predisposition gene on 15q14-q22. *Gastroenterology* 1999;116:789–795.
- Spirio L, Olschwang S, Groden J, Robertson M, Samowitz W, Joslyn G, Gelbert L, Thliveris A, Carlson M, Otterud B, et al. Alleles of the APC gene: an attenuated form of familial polyposis. *Cell* 1993;75:951–957.
- Brensinger JD, Laken SJ, Luce MC, Powell SM, Vance GH, Ahnen DJ, Petersen GM, Hamilton SR, Giardiello FM. Variable phenotype of familial adenomatous polyposis in pedigrees with 3' mutation in the APC gene. *Gut* 1998;43:548–552.
- Soravia C, Berk T, Madlensky L, Mitri A, Cheng H, Gallinger S, Cohen Z, Bapat B. Genotype-phenotype correlations in attenuated adenomatous polyposis coli. *Am J Hum Genet* 1998;62:1290–1301.
- Pedemonte S, Sciallero S, Gismondi V, Stagnaro P, Biticchi R. Novel germline APC variants in patients with multiple adenomas. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 1998;22: 257–267.
- Frayling IM, Beck NE, Ilyas M, Dove-Edwin I, Goodman P, Pack K, Bell JA, Williams CB, Hodgson SV, Thomas HJ, Talbot IC, Bodmer WF, Tomlinson IP. The APC variants I1307K and E1317Q are associated with colorectal tumors, but not always with a family history. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1998;95:10722–10727.
- Laken SJ, Petersen GM, Gruber SB, Oddoux C, Ostrer H, Giardiello FM, Hamilton SR, Hampel H, Markowitz A, Klimstra D, Jhanwar S, Winawer S, Offit K, Luce MC, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B. Familial colorectal cancer in Ashkenazim due to a hypermutable tract in APC. *Nat Genet* 1997;17:79–83.
- Woodage T, King SM, Wacholder S, Hartge P, Struewing JP, McAdams M, Laken SJ, Tucker MA, Brody LC. The APC 11307K allele and cancer risk in a communitybased study of Ashkenazi Jews. *Nat Genet* 1998;20:62–65.
- Gryfe R, De Nicola N, Lal G, Gallinger S, Redston M. Inherited colorectal polyposis and cancer risk of the APC I1307K polymorphism. *Am J Hum Genet* 1999;64:378– 384.
- Rozen P, Shomrat R, Strul H, Naiman T, Karminsky N, Legum C, Orr-Urtreger A. Prevalence of the 11307K APC gene variant in Israeli Jews of differing ethnic origin and risk for colorectal cancer. *Gastroenterology* 1999;116:54–57.
- Rodriguez-Bigas MA, Boland CR, Hamilton SR, Henson DE, Jass JR, Khan PM, Lynch H, Perucho M, Smyrk T, Sobin L, Srivastava S. A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Hereditary Colorectal Cancer Syndrome: meeting highlights and Bethesda guidelines. J Natl Cancer Inst 1997;89:1758–1762.
- 22. Boland CR, Thibodeau SN, Hamilton SR, Sidransky D, Eshleman JR, Burt RW, Meltzer SJ, Rodriguez-Bigas MA, Fodde R, Ranzani GN, Srivastava S. A National Cancer Institute workshop on microsatellite instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res* 1998;58:5248–5257.

### ACMG/ASHG statement

- Lynch HT, Smyrk T, Lynch JF. Overview of natural history, pathology, molecular genetics and management of HNPCC (Lynch syndrome). *Int J Cancer* 1996;69:38– 43.
- 24. Marra G, Boland CR. Hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer: the syndrome, the genes and historical perspective. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1995;87:1114–1125.
- Gryfe R, Kim H, Hsieh ET, Aronson MD, Holowaty EJ, Bull SB, Redston M, Gallinger S. Tumor microsatellite instability and clinical outcome in young patients with colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med 2000;342:69–77.
- Powell SM, Petersen GM, Krush AJ, Booker S, Jen J, Giardiello FM, Hamilton SR, Vogelstein B, Kinzler KW. Molecular diagnosis of familial adenomatous polyposis. N Engl J Med 1993;329:1982–1987.
- Kraus C, Gunther K, Vogler A, Hohenberger W, Pfeiffer RA, Ballhausen WG. Rapid RT-PCR-based protein truncation test in the screening for 5' located mutations of the APC gene. *Mol Cell Probes* 1998;12:143–147.
- Luce MC, Marra G, Chauhan DP, Laghi L, Carethers JM, Cherian SP, Hawn M, Binnie CG, Kam-Morgan LN, Cayouette MC, et al. In vitro transcription/translation assay for the screening of hMLH1 and hMSH2 mutations in familial colon cancer. *Gastroenterology* 1995;109:1368–1374.
- Froggatt NJ, Brassatt C, Koch DJ, Evans DG, Hodgson SV, Ponder BA, Maher ER. Mutation screening of MSH2 and MLH1 mRNA in hereditary non-polyposis colon cancer syndrome. J Med Genet 1996;33:726–730.
- Kohonen-Corish M, Ross VL, Doe WF, Doe WF, Kool DA, Edkins E, Faragher I, Wijnen J, Khan PM, Macrae F, St John DJ. RNA-based mutation screening in hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer. *Am J Hum Genet* 1996;59:818–824.
- Wahlberg S, Liu T, Lindblom P, Lindblom A. Various mutation screening techniques in the DNA mismatch repair genes hMSH2 and hMLH1. *Genet Test* 1999;3: 259–264.
- Yan H, Papadopoulos N, Marra G, Perrera C, Jiricny J, Boland CR, Lynch HT, Chadwick RB, de la Chapelle A, Berg K, Eshleman JR, Yuan W, Markowitz S, Laken SJ, Lengauer C, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B. Conversion of diploidy to haploidy. *Nature* 2000;403:723–724.
- Syngal S, Fox EA, Li C, Dovidio M, Eng C, Kolodner RD, Garber JE. Interpretation of genetic test results for hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer: implications for clinical predisposition testing. *JAMA* 1999;282:247–253.
- Petersen GM, Francomano C, Kinzler K, Nakamura Y. Presymptomatic direct detection of APC gene mutations in familial adenomatous polyposis. *Hum Genet* 1993; 91:307–311.
- 35. Aaltonen LA, Salovaara R, Kristo P, Canzian F, Hemminki A, Peltomaki P, Chadwick RB, Kaariainen H, Eskelinen M, Jarvinen H, Mecklin JP, de la Chapelle A. Incidence of hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer and the feasibility of molecular screening for the disease. N Engl J Med 1998;338:1481–1487.
- Wijnen JT, Vasen HFA, Khan PM, Zwinderman AH, van der Klift H, Mulder A, Tops C, Moller P, Fodde R. Clinical findings with implications for genetic testing in families with clustering of colorectal cancer. *N Engl J Med* 1998;339:511–518.

- Wijnen J, Khan PM, Vasen H, van der Klift H, Mulder A, van Leeuwen-Cornelisse I, Bakker B, Losekoot M, Moller P, Fodde R. Hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer families not complying with the Amsterdam criteria show extremely low frequency of mismatch repair gene mutations. *Am J Hum Genet* 1997;61:329–335.
- Thibodeau SN, French AJ, Roche PC, Cunningham JM, Tester DJ, Lindor NM, Moslein G, Baker SM, Liskay RM, Burgart LJ, Honchel R, Halling KC. Altered expression of hMSH2 and hMLH1 in tumors with microsatellite instability and genetic alterations in mismatch repair genes. *Cancer Res* 1996;56:4836–4840.
- Marcus VA, Madlensky L, Gryfe R, Kim H, So K, Millar A, Temple LK, Hsieh E, Hiruki T, Narod S, Bapat BV, Gallinger S, Redston M. Immunohistochemistry for hMLH1 and hMSH2: a practical test for DNA mismatch repair-deficient tumors. *Am J Surg Pathol* 1999;23:1248–1255.
- Cawkwell L, Gray S, Murgatroyd H, Sutherland F, Haine L, Longfellow M, O'Loughlin S, Cross D, Kronborg O, Fenger C, Mapstone N, Dixon M, Quirke P. Choice of management strategy for colorectal cancer based on a diagnostic immunohistochemical test for defective mismatch repair. *Gut* 1999;45:409–415.
- Cunningham JM, Christensen ER, Tester DJ, Kim CY, Roche PC, Burgart LJ, Thibodeau SN. Hypermethylation of the hMLH1 promoter in colon cancer with microsatellite instability. *Cancer Res* 1998;58:3455–3460.
- 42. Cunningham JM, Kim CY, Tester DJ, et al. The frequency and mechanism of defective DNA mismatch repair in unselected colorectal carcinomas. *Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res* 1999;40:1611.
- Laken SJ, Papadopoulos N, Petersen GM, Gruber SB, Hamilton SR, Giardiello FM, Brensinger JD, Vogelstein B, Kinzler KW. Analysis of masked mutations in familial adenomatous polyposis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1999;96:2322–2326.
- Hamilton SR, Liu B, Parsons RE, Papadopoulos N, Jen J, Powell SM, Krush AJ, Berk T, Cohen Z, Tetu B, et al. The molecular basis of Turcot's syndrome. N Engl J Med 1995;332:839–847.
- 45. Winawer SJ, Fletcher RH, Miller L, Godlee F, Stolar MH, Mulrow CD, Woolf SH, Glick SN, Ganiats TG, Bond JH, Rosen L, Zapka JG, Olsen SJ, Giardiello FM, Sisk JE, Van Antwerp R, Brown-Davis C, Marciniak DA, Mayer RJ. Colorectal cancer screening: clinical guidelines and rationale. *Gastroenterology* 1997;112:594–642.
- National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN colorectal cancer screening practice guidelines. Oncology 1999;13:152–179.
- Lynch HT. Classification of familial adenomatous polyposis: a diagnostic nightmare. Am J Hum Genet 1998;62:1288–1289.
- Burke W, Petersen G, Lynch P, Botkin J, Daly M, Garber J, Kahn MJ, McTiernan A, Offit K, Thomson E, Varricchio C. Recommendations for follow-up care of individuals with an inherited predisposition to cancer: I. Hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer. *JAMA* 1997;277:915–919.
- ASCO Subcommittee on Genetic Testing for Cancer Susceptibility. Statement of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. Genetic testing for cancer susceptibility. *J Clin Oncol* 1996;14:1730–1736.