

COMMENTARY

Is placebo useful in the treatment of major depression in clinical practice?

Carlo Marchesi Chiara De Panfilis Matteo Tonna Paolo Ossola

University of Parma, Department of Neuroscience, Psychiatric Unit, Parma, Italy **Background:** For many years, placebo has been defined by its inert content and use in clinical trials. In recent years, several studies have demonstrated its effect in the treatment of major depression. The aim of this paper is to present the conclusions of recent meta-analyses of the placebo effect in major depression, to explain the mechanism by which placebo exerts its effect, and to discuss whether placebo can be used in the treatment of patients with major depression in clinical practice. Recent meta-analyses have demonstrated that the placebo effect is estimated to account for 67% of the treatment effect in patients receiving antidepressants, and furthermore that placebo is as effective as antidepressants in patients with mild to moderate major depression (reporting a Hamilton Depression Rating Scale score lower than 25), whereas placebo is less effective than antidepressants in severely depressed patients. However, several limitations make the translation of these conclusions into clinical practice impracticable. Clinicians should learn from the "placebo lesson" to maximize the nonspecific effects of treatment when they prescribe an antidepressant, particularly in less severely depressed patients, who show a higher placebo response in randomized controlled trials. This strategy can increase the antidepressant effect and may reduce nonadherence with treatment.

Keywords: placebo effect, major depressive disorder, subthreshold depressive disorder, antidepressants

Introduction

In recent years, the placebo effect had received increasing attention in the treatment of depressive disorders, given that data from randomized controlled trials (RCTs) suggest that the placebo effect is estimated to account for 67% of the treatment effect in patients receiving antidepressants.¹

Data from other recent RCTs confirm the clinical meaningful effect of placebo in depressed patients, and have opened up a debate as to the usefulness of antidepressants. The present paper summarizes the recent data on the placebo effect in the treatment of major depression with the aim of evaluating whether placebo can also be used successfully in clinical practice.

Materials and methods

We search the MEDLINE/PubMed database for papers published in the English language between January 2007 and December 2012, using the terms "placebo OR placebo effect" AND "depression", AND "antidepressant". The search was limited to meta-analyses and reviews, and those judged not to be pertinent or less relevant were excluded. Reference lists of reviews and meta-analyses were also hand-searched for

Correspondence: Carlo Marchesi Università di Parma, Dipartimento di Neuroscienze, Unità di Psichiatria, Ospedale Maggiore, Padiglione Braga, via Gramsci 14, 43126 Parma, Italy Tel +39 05 2190 3594 Fax +39 05 2134 7047 Email carlo.marchesi@unipr.it

http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/NDT.S44519

further relevant reports. Using this strategy, we identified 23 papers.

Discussion

Placebo effect in major depression

The placebo effect in the treatment of patients with major depression is supported by many placebo-controlled trials, in which the rate of patients with depression showing an improvement in symptoms was estimated to be about 30%.² In recent years, the magnitude of the placebo effect has been suggested to be clinically relevant, particularly in mildly to moderately depressed patients. A meta-analysis of 35 short-term RCTs submitted to the US Food and Drug Administration for regulatory approval (also including unpublished studies) found little evidence for the efficacy of antidepressants in patients whose depression was not severe, unless alternative treatments had failed to provide benefit.3 This finding was confirmed in a recent metaanalysis of six RCTs, which demonstrated that the efficacy of antidepressants, compared with placebo, increases with the severity of depressive symptoms, being minimal or null in patients with mild or moderate depression and substantial in patients with severe depression.⁴ The authors found that antidepressants were superior to placebo only in patients with a pre-treatment Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS) score higher than 24.5 This result was recently confirmed by Leucht et al who analyzed the efficacy of amitriptyline compared with placebo in the treatment of major depressive disorder.6

However, the results of these studies were not unanimously accepted. The conclusion of Kirsch et al was criticized by Mathew and Charney chiefly for the use, as a measure of outcome, of the mean difference in HDRS between antidepressants and placebo at the end of the studies, rather than the more clinically meaningful response or remission rate, and for the potentially severe consequences of undertreating depressed patients using placebo (risk of suicide, disability, and familial, vocational, or social dysfunction).^{3,7} A recent meta-analysis on the outcome of placebo versus antidepressants in RCTs concluded that drug-placebo differences may be improved by inclusion of fewer sites and subjects, and use of better quality control in diagnostic and clinical assessments.⁸

Moreover, the data from the above-mentioned metaanalyses are contradicted by the results of a review of 14 short-term RCTs conducted in the primary care setting, in which less severely depressed patients are usually treated. The review found a higher response/remission rate in patients treated with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors or tricyclic antidepressants than in patients receiving placebo.⁹

Collected together, these data open a scientific and clinical debate concerning the treatment of mild to moderate forms of major depression, because: these accounted for 49% of all episodes of major depression; ¹⁰ mild severity (HDRS scores less than 22) was found in 71% of depressed outpatients seeking treatment; ¹¹ and participation in RCTs of patients with milder, briefer, and more responsive forms of depression could have contributed to the two-fold increase in the placebo response observed in clinical trials conducted from 1980 to 2005. ^{1,12}

The aforementioned RCT data, even where contradictory and open to criticism, suggest that placebo seems to be as effective as antidepressants in the treatment of patients with mild to moderate depression, whereas placebo is less effective than antidepressants in the treatment of patients with severe depression. However, they leave unresolved the question of whether and how the patient with mild to moderate depression might be successfully treated with placebo in clinical practice.

Placebo effect in subthreshold depression

The advent of operational criteria in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Third Edition and its subsequent editions for the diagnosis of major depression has brought patients with subthreshold major depression to clinical attention. Even though this approach was criticized for the risk of treating normal emotional states as illness and challenging the model's credibility, subthreshold major depression had received increasing attention, particularly in depressed patients with medical illness (eg, cardiovascular disease), for the recognized negative effect of depression on the outcome of medical conditions. 13-20 Moreover, subthreshold major depression can represent the residual symptoms of major depression, increasing the risk of recurrence, which needs to be adequately prevented. Therefore, in the conditions mentioned above, the treatment of subthreshold major depression has become a matter of clinical interest. Placebo is expected to exert a meaningful clinical effect in the treatment of such depressed patients, according to the point of view that the mild forms of major depression are responsive to placebo. A recent meta-analysis confirmed that there is no evidence of superiority for antidepressants compared with placebo in the treatment of patients with subthreshold major depression.²¹ However, use of placebo in the treatment of subthreshold depression should be considered with caution in patients with severe medical illness and in patients with a history of severe

Dovepress Placebo in depression

major depression, because placebo would be ineffective in these patients (see below).

How does placebo exert an antidepressant effect?

The placebo effect should not be confused with other causes of healing, such as spontaneous improvement, the Hawthorne effect (ie, the subject improves simply in response to the fact that she/he is being studied and not in response to any particular experimental manipulation), regression to the mean, patient or doctor evaluation bias, and possibly unidentified effects of cointervention.^{22,23} The placebo effect can be defined as a biologic or psychologic response induced by administration of pills, liquids, or injections of substances without a specific effect in relation to the disease needing treatment.^{24,25}

One of the more considered explanations of the placebo effect is the "expectation theory", which considers the placebo effect to be the result of the subject's expectation that a treatment will be effective for her/his illness. ²⁶ This expectation is based on personal beliefs, previous experiences, conditioning, the context of treatment, and a positive relationship with the doctor. ^{27–31} Hence a better definition of placebo would be the one proposed by Brody, ie, "a change in patient's illness attributable to the symbolic import of a treatment rather than a specific pharmacological or physiological property". ³²

The role of expectation in the placebo response is also demonstrated in RCTs. In a recent review of 90 clinical trials, which included patients with nonpsychotic unipolar depression, the placebo response was influenced by the probability of receiving an active treatment, and the placebo response rates were higher in RCTs using a three-arm design, with two groups receiving antidepressants and one group receiving placebo, than in RCTs using a two-arm design (one receiving antidepressants and one receiving placebo).³³ The opposite was observed for antidepressants, in that response rates to antidepressants were lower in studies including a placebo arm. Also, in a previous report, response rates to antidepressants were lower in placebo-controlled trials (46%) than in trials comparing the effect of two antidepressants (60%).³⁴ These studies suggest that the patient's expectation of receiving an antidepressant increases the placebo response, whereas the expectation to receive placebo decreases the response to an antidepressant.

Further, the investigator's expectations can be involved in the placebo effect, in that the increased placebo response observed from 1980 and 2005 in RCTs was found only in trials using rating scales completed by an observer and not in those using self-reported rating scales, suggesting that investigators tended to overestimate positive changes in patients, perhaps because enthusiasm about the efficacy of a new antidepressant can induce high expectations for improvement.¹

Interestingly, expectation of improvement can play a role in treatment regardless of the type of treatment administered, as suggested by Miller and Colloca, who found that expectation-induced therapeutic effects could enhance the patient response to placebo and to pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic treatments.³⁵ Alleviation of demoralization might be a possible explanation for this effect, ie, if the patient accepts that her/his stressful condition is overwhelming their abilities to cope (demoralization) and decides to seek help, their demoralization improves and the condition ameliorates.³⁶ This mechanism might also be involved in the treatment of depressed patients, who are often demoralized. Demoralization can be viewed as a step in a sequence, starting with loss of interest and pleasure, and if the loss of pleasure and interest becomes pervasive, demoralization can follow.^{37,38} Therefore, any kind of treatment (placebo, antidepressants, psychotherapy) alleviating demoralization can improve a depressive disorder to some extent.

Although there is a lack of data on the neurobiology of the placebo effect in depression, some authors have reported that placebo induces release of dopamine in the ventral striatum in patients with Parkinson's disease.³⁹ Interestingly, the amount of dopamine release was associated with the level of expectation.⁴⁰ It cannot be excluded that this effect could be also active when a placebo induces improvement in depressive symptoms, since a reward deficit mediated by dysfunction of the dopaminergic pathways is believed to be present in major depression.^{41–43}

Is placebo useful in clinical practice?

Care provided in the clinical practice setting is significantly different from that in RCTs, so conclusions about the placebo effect derived from RCTs cannot be automatically transferred to treatment provided in clinical practice.

In clinical practice, many factors could reduce the placebo effect seen in RCTs, including: severity of illness, ie, patients with severe major depression (and suicide risk) are excluded from RCTs but need to be treated in clinical practice, so the severity of major depression influences the choice and setting of treatment; baseline patient characteristics, ie, in a naturalistic study, patients eligible for an RCT and patients not eligible differ significantly

on several baseline measures;44 the context of treatment, ie, visits and evaluations are frequent in RCTs, and the increasing number of follow-up assessments enhances the placebo response, even though this effect is observed for antidepressants too, 45,46 and more time is dedicated to the patient at each visit so motivation is high, whereas comparable characteristics cannot be easily obtained in clinical practice; the role of patient and clinician expectations of a placebo effect, ie, the placebo effect is increased in RCTs due to the expectation to receive (patient) or to prescribe (doctor) an active treatment, whereas this situation is not yet feasible in clinical practice, due to the impossibility of prescribing a placebo without deception (see below);³⁴ the outcome of treatment, ie, the chance to respond to antidepressant treatment is better in patients enrolled in a RCT than in patients treated in clinical practice, as demonstrated in the STAR*D (Sequenced Treatment Alternatives to Relieve Depression) naturalistic cohort for patients eligible for a RCT compared with patients not eligible, and by a recent review, in which the antidepressant efficacy estimates in RCTs were larger than those in observational studies. 47,48

Among the above-mentioned variables, the condition which makes placebo clinically impractical, even in the treatment of patients with mild to moderate depression (the only patients who respond to placebo in RCTs), is the question of how to obtain from the patient an expectation to be treated with an active compound. The "watchful waiting" procedure is not comparable with administration of placebo, given that less expectation is created by this practice because no treatment is prescribed, and may even be detrimental to the patient.⁴⁹ The greatest barrier to use of placebo is its prescription without deception, since informing patients accurately that they are being given a placebo should prevent its effect. A possible solution is suggested by Kirsch: "if a convincing rationale can be presented, perhaps placebo can be prescribed openly without deception."50 The method consists of telling the patients that placebo has been shown to be effective for their condition, that its effect is induced at least in part by a well-known mechanism (classical conditioning), and that for this reason, taking a placebo pill could work as a new treatment. This strategy ameliorates symptoms in patients with irritable bowel syndrome and its effect has been shown to be superior to no treatment and as large as that produced by commonly prescribed medication for the syndrome.⁵¹

Even though this strategy is interesting from a scientific point of view, its effect has not yet been evaluated in the treatment of patients with mild to moderate depression, and therefore it should not be recommended in clinical practice. Moreover, clinicians should keep in mind the following issues. All the international guidelines for treatment of major depression, even though recognizing the relevance of the placebo effect, do not recommend placebo (instead of antidepressants or psychotherapy) in the acute treatment of nonsevere forms or in the prevention of recurrence, 52-55 and there is evidence that placebo is not as effective as antidepressants in mildly depressed patients with medical comorbidity.¹⁸ For example, in mildly depressed patients with myocardial infarction, the risk of cardiac death is reduced by use of a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor, such as citalogram, but not by usual care or psychotherapy, suggesting that antidepressants have superior efficacy compared with alternative treatments in these patients.^{56–58} In patients with subthreshold depression and a previous history of severe major depression (subthreshold depression being a risk factor for a new episode), placebo seems not to be as effective as antidepressants in the prevention of recurrence, and a recent guideline recommends use of antidepressants in these patients.55

Finally, from a cost-benefit point of view, the widespread use of generic antidepressant formulations reduces the cost of antidepressant therapy, and thereby also the attractiveness of less costly alternatives (placebo) for health services, which may be concerned with better expenditure of resources.

For the reasons outlined above, placebo is not recommended in the treatment of major depression in clinical practice, because of patient peculiarities, frequent medical comorbidities, and for now, the impossibility of administering it without deception.

Conclusion

Even though contradictory and criticized, the RCT data suggest that placebo is as effective as antidepressants in the acute treatment of patients with mild to moderate depression, but is less effective than antidepressants in the treatment of severely depressed patients. Even though these findings deserve attention due to the possible clinical implications, their translation into clinical practice is impracticable for the following reasons: patients seeking treatment in daily practice are different from those enrolled in RCTs; the inefficacy of treatment, other than antidepressants, in preventing the negative effect of mild-moderate depression on medical comorbidity (which suggests placebo may be ineffective where comorbidity is concerned); and the impossibility of administering placebo without deception, even though a

Dovepress Placebo in depression

strategy to overcome this barrier has been proposed but not as yet tested in depressed patients.⁵¹

However, clinicians should learn from the "placebo lesson" that expectation is a relevant mechanism that should be utilized and optimized in clinical practice, given that the nonspecific effects of treatment can also act during prescription of an active treatment. Therefore, clinicians should maximize the nonspecific effects of treatment when they prescribe an antidepressant, particularly for less severely depressed patients, who show a higher placebo response in RCTs. This strategy can increase the antidepressant effect and perhaps also reduce nonadherence with treatment, which is estimated to be around 40% or even higher, especially in outpatients with mild to moderate depression treated in clinical practice.⁴⁹

Acknowledgment

Special thanks goes to Jonathan D Patel who reviewed this paper for English language.

Disclosure

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest in the authorship or publication of this paper. In particular, this research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

References

- Rief W, Nestoriuc Y, Weiss S, Welzel E, Barsky AJ, Hofmann SG. Meta-analysis of the placebo response in antidepressant trials. *J Affect Disord*. 2009;118:1–8.
- Khan A, Kolts RL, Rapaport MH, Krishnan KR, Brodhead AE, Browns WA. Magnitude of placebo response and drug-placebo differences across psychiatric disorders. *Psychol Med.* 2005;35:743–749.
- Kirsch I, Deacon BJ, Huedo-Medina TB, Scoboria A, Moore TJ, Johnson BT. Initial severity and antidepressant benefits: a metaanalysis of data submitted to the Food and Drug Administration. *PLoS Med.* 2008;5:260–268.
- Fournier JC, De Rubeis RJ, Hollon SD, et al. Antidepressant drug effects and depression severity, a patient-level meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2010;303:47–53.
- Hamilton M. A rating scale for depression. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 1960;23:56–62.
- Leucht C, Huhn M, Leucht S. Amitriptyline versus placebo for major depressive disorder. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2012;12: CD009138.
- 7. Mathew SJ, Charney DS. Publication bias and the efficacy of antidepressants. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2009;166:140–145.
- Undurraga J, Baldessarini RJ. Randomized, placebo-controlled trials of antidepressants for acute major depression: thirty-year meta-analytic review. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2012;37:851–864.
- Arroll B, Elley CR, Fishman T, et al. Antidepressants versus placebo for depression in primary care. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2009;3: CD007954.
- Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, et al. The epidemiology of major depressive disorder: results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication (NCS-R). *JAMA*. 2003;289:3095–3105.

- Zimmerman M, Posternak MA, Chelminski I. Symptom severity and exclusion from antidepressant efficacy trials. *J Clin Psychopharmacol*. 2002;22:610–614.
- Walsh BT, Seidman SN, Sysko R, Gould M. Placebo response in studies of major depression: variable, substantial and growing. *JAMA*. 2002;287:1840–1847.
- 13. Parker G. Is depression overdiagnosed? Yes. BMJ. 2007;335:329.
- Pincus HA, Davis WW, McQueen LE. 'Subthreshold' mental disorders.
 A review and synthesis of studies on minor depression and other 'brand names'. Br J Psychiatry. 1999;174:288–296.
- Barth J, Schumacher M, Herman-Lingen C. Depression as a risk factor for mortality in patients with coronary heart disease: a meta-analysis. *Psychosom Med.* 2004;66:802–813.
- Mastrogiannis D, Giamouzis G, Dardiotis E, et al. Depression in patients with cardiovascular disease. Cardiol Res Pract. 2012;2012:794762.
- 17. Ha JH, Wong CK. Pharmacologic treatment of depression in patients with myocardial infarction. *J Geriatr Cardiol*. 2011;8:121–126.
- Baumeister H, Hutter N, Bengel J. Psychological and pharmacological interventions for depression in patients with coronary artery disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2011;9:CD008012.
- Weihs K, Wert JM. A primary care focus on the treatment of patients with major depressive disorder. Am J Med Sci. 2011;342:324–330.
- 20. Prince M, Patel V, Saxena S, et al. No health without mental health. *Lancet*. 2007;370:859–877.
- Barbui C, Cipriani A, Patel V, Ayuso-Mateos JL, van Ommeren M. Efficacy of antidepressants and benzodiazepines in minor depression: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2011;198: 11–16.
- Rutherford BR, Mori S, Sneed JR, Pimontel MA, Roose SP. Contribution
 of spontaneous improvement to placebo response in depression:
 a meta-analytic review. J Psychiatr Res. 2012;46:697–702.
- Berthelot JM, Le Goff B, Maugars Y. The Hawthorne effect: stronger than the placebo effect? *Joint Bone Spine*. 2011;78:335–336.
- 24. Haour F. Mechanism of the placebo effect and of conditioning. *Neuroimmunomodulation*. 2005;12:195–200.
- Wolf S. The pharmacology of placebos. *Pharmacol Rev.* 1959;11: 689–704.
- Goldstein AP. Participant expectancies in psychotherapy. Psychiatry. 1962;25:72–79.
- Pollo A, Amanzio M, Arslanian A, Casadio C, Maggi G, Benedetti F. Response expectancies in placebo analgesia and their clinical relevance. *Pain*. 2001;93:77–84.
- Sunshine A, Laska E, Meisner M, Morgan S. Analgesic studies of indomethacin as analyzed by computer techniques. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 1964;66:699–707.
- Ader R. The role of conditioning in pharmacotherapy. In: Harrington A, editor. *The Placebo Effect: An Interdisciplinary Exploration*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; 1997.
- Di Blasi Z, Harkness E, Ernst E, Georgiou A, Kleijnen J. Influence of context effect on health outcomes. A systemic review. *Lancet*. 2001;357:757–762.
- 31. Thomas KB. The placebo in general practice. *Lancet*. 1994;344: 1066–1067.
- 32. Brody H. The lie that heals: the ethics of giving placebo. *Ann Intern Med.* 1982;97:112–118.
- Sinyor M, Levitt AJ, Cheung AH, et al. Does inclusion of a placebo arm influence response to active antidepressant treatment in RCT? Results from pooled and meta-analyses. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2010;71: 270–279.
- 34. Sneed JR, Rutherford BR, Rindskopf D, Lane DT, Sackeim HA, Roose SP. Design makes a difference: a meta-analysis of antidepressant response rates in placebo-controlled versus comparator trials in late-life depression. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2008;16:65–73.
- Colloca L, Miller FG. Role of expectations in health. Curr Opin Psychiatry. 2011;24:149–155.
- Frank JD. Psychotherapy: the restoration of morale. Am J Psychiatry. 1974;131:271–274.

- Marchesi C, Maggini C. Socio-demographic and clinical features associated with demoralization in medically ill in-patients. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2007;42:824–829.
- 38. Klein DF. Endogenomorphic depression. A conceptual and terminological revision. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1974;31:447–454.
- de la Fuente-Fernández R. The placebo-reward hypothesis: dopamine and the placebo effect. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord*. 2009;15 Suppl 3: S72–S74.
- Lidstone SC, Schulzer M, Dinelle K, et al. Effects of expectation on placebo-induced dopamine release in Parkinson disease. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2010;67:857–865.
- Blood AJ, Iosifescu DV, Makris N, et al. Microstructural abnormalities in subcortical reward circuitry of subjects with major depressive disorder. *PLoS One*. 2010;5:e13945.
- Der-Avakian A, Markou A. The neurobiology of anhedonia and other reward-related deficits. *Trends Neurosci.* 2012;35:68–77.
- Scott DJ, Stohler CS, Egnatuk CM, Wang H, Koeppe RA, Zubieta JK. Placebo and nocebo effects are defined by opposite opioid and dopaminergic responses. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2008;65:220–231.
- 44. Seemüller F, Möller HJ, Obermeier M, et al. Do efficacy and effectiveness samples differ in antidepressant treatment outcome? An analysis of eligibility criteria in randomized controlled trials. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2010;71:1425–1433.
- Posternak MA, Zimmerman M. Therapeutic effect of follow-up assessments on antidepressant and placebo response rates in antidepressant efficacy trials: meta-analysis. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2007;190:287–292.
- 46. Iovieno N, Tedeschini E, Levkovitz Y, Ameral VE, Papakostas GI. Does the frequency of follow-up assessments affect clinical trial outcome? A meta-analysis and meta-regression of placebo-controlled randomized trials. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2011:1–8.
- 47. Wisniewski SR, Rush AJ, Nierenberg AA, et al. Can phase III trial results of antidepressant medications be generalized to clinical practice? A STAR*D report. Am J Psychiatry. 2009;166:599–607.
- Naudet F, Maria AS, Falissard B. Antidepressant response in major depressive disorder: a meta-regression comparison of randomized controlled trials and observational studies. *PLoS One*. 2011;6:e20811.

- Hegerl U, Mergl R. The clinical significance of antidepressant treatment effects cannot be derived from placebo-verum response differences. *J Psychopharmacol*. 2010;24:445–448.
- Kirsch I. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences. Preface. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* 2011;366:1781–1782.
- Kaptchuk TJ, Friedlander E, Kelley JM, et al. Placebos without deception: a randomized controlled trial in irritable bowel syndrome. *PLoS One*. 2010;5:e15591.
- 52. Yatham LN, Kennedy SH, Schaffer A, et al. Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments (CANMAT) and International Society for Bipolar Disorders (ISBD) collaborative update of CANMAT guidelines for the management of patients with bipolar disorder: update 2009. Bipolar Disord. 2009;11:225–255.
- 53. American Psychiatric Association. Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Patients With Major Depressive Disorder. 3rd ed. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Association; 2010. Available from: http://www. guidelines.gov/content.aspx?id=24158. Accessed June 8, 2013.
- National Institute for Clinical Excellence. The treatment and management of depression in adults (CG90). Issued Oct 2009. Available from: http:// www.nice.org.uk/CG90. Accessed June 8, 2013.
- Anderson IM, Ferrier IN, Baldwin RC, et al. Evidence-based guidelines for treating depressive disorders with antidepressants: a revision of the 2000 British Association for Psychopharmacology guidelines. *J Psychopharmacol*. 2008;22:343–396.
- Hansen BH, Hanash JA, Rasmussen A, et al. Effects of escitalopram in prevention of depression in patients with acute coronary syndrome (DECARD). J Psychosom Res. 2012;72:11–16.
- Taylor CB, Youngblood ME, Catellier D, et al; ENRICHD Investigators.
 Effects of antidepressant medication on morbidity and mortality in depressed patients after myocardial infarction. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2005;62:792–798.
- Lespérance F, Frasure-Smith N, Koszycki D, et al. Effects of citalopram and interpersonal psychotherapy on depression in patients with coronary artery disease: the Canadian Cardiac Randomized Evaluation of Antidepressant and Psychotherapy Efficacy (CREATE) trial. *JAMA*. 2007;297:367–379.

Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment

Publish your work in this journal

Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment is an international, peerreviewed journal of clinical therapeutics and pharmacology focusing on concise rapid reporting of clinical or pre-clinical studies on a range of neuropsychiatric and neurological disorders. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, the 'PsycINFO' database and CAS. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

 $\textbf{Submit your manuscript here:} \ \texttt{http://www.dovepress.com/neuropsychiatric-disease-and-treatment-journal} \\$

