Rare gastrointestinal stromal tumor in an ileal conduit detected by recurrent massive bleeding from the stoma

Michio Noda,¹ D Yukimasa Matsuzawa,^{1,2} Hiroaki Nishimatsu,¹ Shinichiro Murayama,¹ Hirohisa Kishi,³ Masaki Nakamura² and Haruki Kume²

¹Department of Urology, The Fraternity Memorial Hospital, ²Department of Urology, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, and ³Department of Pathology, The Fraternity Memorial Hospital, Tokyo, Japan

Abbreviations & Acronyms CT = computed tomography GIST = gastrointestinal stromal tumor Hb = hemoglobin HE = hematoxylin and eosin HPF = high-powered field SMA = smooth muscle actin

Correspondence: Yukimasa Matsuzawa M.D., Ph.D., Department of Urology, The Fraternity Memorial Hospital, 2-1-11 Yokoami, Sumida, Tokyo 130-8587, Japan. Email: matsuzaway-uro@h.u-tokyo.ac.jp

How to cite this article: Noda M, Matsuzawa Y, Nishimatsu H *et al.* Rare gastrointestinal stromal tumor in an ileal conduit detected by recurrent massive bleeding from the stoma. *IJU Case Rep.* 2020; **3**: 207–210.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received 12 May 2020; accepted 11 June 2020. Online publication 4 August 2020 **Introduction:** The development of secondary tumors is a well-known late adverse event after urinary diversion. However, the frequency of secondary tumors after an ileal conduit is the lowest compared to other methods used for urinary diversion. We observed a rare case of a gastrointestinal stromal tumor in an ileal conduit detected by recurrent massive bleeding from the stoma.

Case presentation: An 87-year-old female was hospitalized at our hospital due to recurrent bleeding from a stoma 22 years after radical cystectomy. Contrast-enhanced computed tomography revealed a 5-cm mass in her ileal conduit. She underwent a complete resection of the tumor, a histological examination of which revealed it to be a gastrointestinal stromal tumor. The condition of the patient has been good showing no recurrence or metastases 4 years after surgery.

Conclusion: We report a rare secondary tumor, a gastrointestinal stromal tumor, arising from an ileal conduit.

Key words: gastrointestinal stromal tumor, ileal conduit, radical cystectomy.

Keynote message

We observed a case of a GIST in an ileal conduit detected after recurrent massive bleeding from a stoma. Although the development of secondary tumors is well known as late adverse event after urinary diversion, a GIST has been rarely reported as a secondary tumor.

Introduction

Radical cystectomy is the standard treatment for invasive urothelial cell carcinoma of the bladder and an ileal loop conduit has long been the most common method for urinary diversion after cystectomy.¹

The development of secondary tumors is well known as a late adverse event after urinary diversion. However, the prevalence of tumor development after an ileal conduit was reported to be minimal compared to the other urinary diversions in a multicenter analysis.² In addition, the histopathology of most secondary tumors are adenomas and adenocarcinomas.^{2,3}

We present an 87-year-old female who was admitted to our hospital with anemia due to recurrent bleeding from a protruding tumor detected in her stoma. The pathology of this tumor was finally revealed to be a GIST. This is the first reported case of a GIST in an ileal conduit.

Case presentation

An 87-year-old female underwent a radical cystectomy with an ileal conduit for invasive bladder cancer. Pathological examination demonstrated G3 urothelial carcinoma with a component of squamous cell carcinoma (<5%), pT3b. she underwent two cycles of adjuvant chemotherapy with methotrexate, vinblastine, doxorubicin, and cisplatin and was in good condition without metastatic disease.



Fig. 1 A white arrow points to: (a) a $35 \times 30 \times 25$ mm mass detected by enhanced CT on the first admission with bleeding; and (b) a $50 \times 45 \times 40$ mm mass detected by enhanced CT 3 years later.

Nineteen years after the cystectomy, she was admitted to our hospital with anemia and Hb of 8 g/dL. Bleeding from the stoma continued and contrast-enhanced CT showed a tumor measuring $35 \times 30 \times 25$ mm on the right-side wall of the conduit (Fig. 1a). Biopsy examination of the tumor revealed erosive mucosa and granulation tissue without malignancy. The bleeding from the stoma stopped with conservative therapy and she refused invasive treatment at the time; she was therefore discharged after 13 days' hospitalization. After hospitalization, she underwent outpatient checkups every 3 months, with no recurrence of bleeding thereafter.

Three years later, acute bleeding from the stoma recurred and she was hospitalized again with severe anemia (Hb of 5.9 g/dL). Contrast-enhanced CT revealed an enlarged tumor measuring $50 \times 45 \times 40$ mm in the ileal conduit (Fig. 1b).

The bleeding did not stop this time with conservative therapy and the size of this tumor had been gradually increasing over 3 years, so she underwent a complete resection of the tumor 16 days after admission.

The tumor was recognized from the surface of her abdominal wall under general anesthesia. Five centimeters incision was made just beside the stoma on the opposite side of mesentery and the tumor was dragged out of the stoma. The mass was not adhered to its surrounding tissue and we performed en bloc resection of the tumor with its stalk from the wall of conduit. It was a solid and multinodular 50*45*40-



Fig. 2 A solid and multinodular 50 \times 45 \times 40 mm-sized tumor was resected.



Fig. 3 The cut surface of the tumor was light gray in color.



Fig. 4 Microscopic appearance. (a) The tumor was mostly composed of irregularly arranged spindle cells (HE). (b) Rounded cells were partially detected in the tumor (HE). (c) CD117 (*KIT*) immunoreactivity in tumor cells.

mm-sized tumor, mostly covered with mucosa of the small intestine (Fig. 2). The cut surface of this tumor was light gray in color (Fig. 3). Surgical margin was histologically negative, therefore her conduit was preserved. Histopathological examination showed the tumor consisted of irregularly arranged spindle cells as well as rounded cells (Fig. 4). The mitotic count was below 5 per 50 HPF.

Immunohistochemical examination revealed reactivity of CD117 (*KIT*) and SMA antibodies. However, CD34 and S100 were not stained. The tumor was histopathologically diagnosed as a GIST (low-risk type).

The patient was discharged in a good condition 11 days after surgery without any adverse post-operative events. She has had no recurrence or metastasis 4 years since the tumor was resected.

Discussion

Many types of urinary diversions exist, including ureterocutaneostomy, ileal conduit, and orthotopic neobladder reconstruction. The development of secondary tumors after urinary diversion is a well-recognized late adverse event. It has been reported that adenocarcinomas are the most common pathological type, followed by adenomas.^{2,3} Although other types of secondary tumors, such as urothelial carcinomas, carcinoid, and squamous cell carcinomas, have been reported, the development of a GIST has been reported in only one case, in which GIST developed in a neobladder 4 years after neobladder reconstruction.⁴ We are the first to report the development of a GIST in an ileal conduit.

The pathogenesis of secondary tumors after urinary diversion is not well understood. Inflammatory changes and N-nitrosamine formation induced by chronic exposure of the bowel to urine are reported to be possible mechanisms.^{5,6} Nnitrosamine has been suggested to initiate the multistage process of neoplastic transformation by causing irreversible changes in DNA structure.⁷ Other possible mechanisms, such as surgical and mechanical trauma, an excess concentration of electrolytes, and exposure to free radicals, are recognized.⁸

An article which has summarized the prevalence of secondary tumor growth in each urinary diversion case in Germany has been published.² In 17 758 patients who underwent urinary diversions between 1970 and 2007, 32 cases of secondary tumors were noted; two cases occurred with ileal conduit diversion, two cases with neobladder reconstruction, 16 cases with ureterosigmoidostomy, four cases with ileocystoplasties, and the other eight cases with other types of urinary diversions. Secondary tumors did not include urothelial tumor recurrence in the upper urinary tract. The rate (0.02%) of tumor development after an ileal conduit was the lowest compared to other urinary diversions.

It is known that a GIST is the most common mesenchymal neoplasm of the gastrointestinal tract, commonly arising in stomach and small intestine.⁹ Although most GISTs do not show symptoms, large-sized GISTs sometimes cause bleeding and abdominal pain. GISTs in the small intestine are known to be difficult to find at an early stage, but are often found after gastrointestinal bleeding.^{10,11} GISTs have the potential for metastases and recurrence. The risk of metastases and recurrence is based on the diameter of the primary tumor and the mitotic count. In our case, the diameter was 5 cm and mitotic count was below 5/50 HPF, so the patient's GIST was diagnosed as low risk.^{11,12}

Surgery is the standard treatment for non-metastatic GISTs. All tumors sized more than two centimeters should be en bloc resected with their pseudocapsule in order to yield an adequate margin. Imatinib is the standard treatment for metastatic GISTs. Although GISTs responded poorly to chemotherapy or radiation therapy, tyrosine kinase inhibitors such as imatinib, were found to be effective for GISTs, being able to improve median survival.¹³

Although a GIST is a rare condition arising from urinary diversion, regular checkups may be necessary to monitor the development of this malignancy.

Acknowledgment

We thank the patient for participating in this case report.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1 Wein AJ, Kavoussi LR, Patyin AW, Peters CA. *Campbell-Walsh Urology*, 11th edn. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2015.
- 2 Kälble T, Hofmann I, Riedmiller H, Vergho D. Tumor growth in urinary diversion: a multicenter analysis. *Eur. Urol.* 2011; 60: 1081–6.

- 3 Austen M, Kälble T. Secondary malignancies in different forms of urinary diversion using isolated gut. J. Urol. 2004; 172: 831–8.
- 4 Joung JY, Kang DG, Choi HJ *et al.* Gastrointestinal stromal tumor in ileal neobladder. *Int. J. Urol.* 2006; 13: 1451–3.
- 5 Moorcraft J, DuBoulay CE, Isaacson P et al. Changes in the mucosa of Colon conduits with particular reference to the risk of malignant changes. BJU Int. 1983; 55: 185–8.
- 6 Stewart M, Hill MJ, Pugh RCB et al. The role of N-nitrosamine in carcinogenesis at the ureterocolic anastomosis. BJU Int. 1981; 2: 115–8.
- 7 Weinstein IB. The origins of human cancer: molecular mechanisms of carcinogenesis and their implications for cancer prevention and treatment – twenty seventh G. H. A. Clowes memorial award lecture. *Cancer Res.* 1988; 48: 4135–43.
- 8 Huang A, McPherson GA. Colonic carcinoma after ureterosigmoidostomy. Postgrad. Med. J. 2000; 76: 579–81.
- 9 Patil DT, Rubin BP. Gastrointestinal stromal tumor: Advances in diagnosis and management. Arch. Pathol. Lab. Med. 2011; 135: 1298–310.
- 10 Zhao L, Zhao Z, Wang W et al. Current characteristics on small intestinal stromal tumor – a case control study. Ann. Palliat. Med. 2020; 9: 98–107.
- 11 Huda T, Singh MP. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors of small intestine. Surg. J. 2019; 5: 92–5.
- 12 Joensuu H. Gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST). Ann. Oncol. 2006; 17: 280-6.
- 13 Casali PG, Abecassis N, Bauer S *et al.* Gastrointestinal stromal tumours: ESMO–EURACAN clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann. Oncol.* 2018; 4: 68–78.

Editorial Comment

Editorial Comment to Rare gastrointestinal stromal tumor in an ileal conduit detected by recurrent massive bleeding from the stoma

This is a very valuable report of a gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) detected in an ileal conduit that recurred 19 years after radical cystectomy. The GIST was found on computed tomography scan due to bleeding from the stoma and was resected by laparotomy. The patient has had no recurrence for 4 years.¹

The occurrence of secondary tumor associated with different forms of urinary diversion is rare, and the incidence is approximately 0.18% in the literature. Kälble et al. analyzed 32 secondary tumors occurring in 17 758 urinary diversions performed between 1970 and 2007. They reported that, of the 32 cases, the secondary tumor risk of ureterosigmoidostomy (2.58%) was the highest, and the second highest was cystoplasty (1.58%). The secondary tumor risk of ileal neobladder (0.05%) and ileal conduit (0.02%), which comprise the majority of all urinary diversions, is extremely low. Kälble et al. discussed the difference in secondary tumor risk of various urinary diversions, and speculated that it might result from the difference of colon vs ileum rather than the urinary diversion itself. In terms of pathological type, adenocarcinomas are reported to be the most common, followed by adenomas.² The pathological diagnosis of this case was GIST, which is very rare. The occurrence of GIST associated with different forms of urinary diversion is very rare, and there is only one other case in the worldwide literature. The previous case was GIST arisen from the ileal neobladder,³ and the case in this report is the first case of GIST in the ileal conduit.

With regard to treatment, surgery was performed for both cases. Surgery is necessary for secondary tumor without distant metastasis in urinary diversion, because tumor biopsy can be difficult. The secondary tumor latency period after urinary diversion varies by urinary diversion and tumor histology, although the secondary tumor in this case was found 19 years postoperatively. The authors recommend regular checks after urinary diversion to monitor the development of secondary tumors – how long should this follow-up be in patients with urinary diversion?

The spread of robot-assisted radical cystectomy and the accompanying skill improvement is expected to result in an increase in long-term survivors after urinary diversion in the near future. As such, this case report will be of significant importance to urologists because an increase in secondary tumors after urinary diversion can be expected. Careful monitoring for secondary tumors after urinary diversion is thus essential.

Shinya Yamamoto M.D., Ph.D. Department of Genitourinary Oncology, Japanese Foundation for Cancer Research, Cancer Institute Hospital, Tokyo, Japan shinya.yamamoto@jfcr.or.jp DOI: 10.1002/iju5.12198

Conflict of interest

The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

- 1 Noda M, Matsuzawa Y, Nishimatsu H *et al.* Rare gastrointestinal stromal tumor in an ileal conduit detected by recurrent massive bleeding from the stoma. *IJU Case Rep.* 2020; **3**: 207–10.
- 2 Kälble Y, Hofmann I, Riedmiller H, Vergho D. Tumor growth in urinary diversion: a multicenter analysis. *Eur. Urol.* 2011; 60: 1081–6.
- 3 Joung JY, Kang DG, Choi HJ *et al.* Gastrointestinal stromal tumor in ileal neobladder. *Int. J. Urol.* 2006; **13**: 1451–3.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.