Identification of a novel endogenous long non-coding RNA that inhibits selenoprotein P translation

Yuichiro Mita^{1,*}, Risa Uchida¹, Sayuri Yasuhara¹, Kohei Kishi¹, Takayuki Hoshi², Yoshitaka Matsuo³, Tadashi Yokooji¹, Yoshino Shirakawa¹, Takashi Toyama², Yasuomi Urano¹, Toshifumi Inada [©]³, Noriko Noguchi¹ and Yoshiro Saito [©]^{1,2,*}

¹The Systems Life Sciences laboratory, Department of Medical Life Systems, Faculty of Life and Medical Sciences, Doshisha University, Kyotanabe 610-0394, Japan, ²Laboratory of Molecular Biology and Metabolism, Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Tohoku University, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8578, Japan and ³Laboratory of Gene Regulation, Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8578, Japan

Received January 07, 2021; Revised May 13, 2021; Editorial Decision May 23, 2021; Accepted May 28, 2021

ABSTRACT

Selenoprotein P (SELENOP) is a major plasma selenoprotein that contains 10 Sec residues, which is encoded by the UGA stop codon. The mRNA for SE-LENOP has the unique property of containing two Sec insertion sequence (SECIS) elements, which is located in the 3' untranslated region (3'UTR). Here, we coincidentally identified a novel gene, CCDC152, by sequence analysis. This gene was located in the antisense region of the SELENOP gene, including the 3'UTR region in the genome. We demonstrated that this novel gene functioned as a long non-coding RNA (IncRNA) that decreased SELENOP protein levels via translational rather than transcriptional, regulation. We found that the CCDC152 RNA interacted specifically and directly with the SELENOP mRNA and inhibited its binding to the SECIS-binding protein 2, resulting in the decrease of ribosome binding. We termed this novel gene product IncRNA inhibitor of SELENOP translation (L-IST). Finally, we found that epigallocatechin gallate upregulated L-IST in vitro and in vivo, to suppress SELENOP protein levels. Here, we provide a new regulatory mechanism of SE-LENOP translation by an endogenous long antisense ncRNA.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT



INTRODUCTION

Selenium (Se), which is an essential trace element, is mainly incorporated into proteins as a selenocysteine (Sec) residue (an analogue of cysteine containing Se instead of sulphur) (1). Twenty-five types of Sec-containing proteins, i.e. selenoproteins, have been identified in humans. These proteins play an important role in several physiological processes: glutathione peroxidase (GPx) functions for the removal of several hydroperoxides, thioredoxin reductase (TrxR) for redox regulation, selenophosphate synthetase for Sec synthesis and iodothyronine deiodinases for the regulation of thyroid hormones (1–3). Thus, several dysfunctions, such as thyroid dysfunction, spermatogenesis dysfunction, and the increase of cancer risk, are induced by the deficiency of Se and selenoproteins (4–7).

Sec is encoded by the UGA codon, whose normal function is to terminate translation, and its translation involves a unique mechanism (8,9). In eukaryotes, the Sec insertion sequence (SECIS), which is a specific hairpin structure located in the 3' untranslated region (3'UTR) of selenoprotein mRNA, is essential for the incorporation of Sec during the biosynthesis of selenoproteins (10,11). SE-CIS binds the SECIS-binding protein 2 (SBP2) and forms a complex for Sec translation via the recruitment of the

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +81 22 795 6870; Email: yoshiro.saito.a8@tohoku.ac.jp Correspondence may also be addressed to Yuichiro Mita. Tel: +81 774 65 6258; Email: ymita@mail.doshisha.ac.jp

© The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Nucleic Acids Research.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

eukaryotic elongation factor for Sec translation (eEFsec) and Sec-tRNA^{[Ser]Sec} (an anticodon complimentary to the UGA codon) (12). SBP2 is stably associated with ribosomes and contains a distinct L7Ae RNA-binding domain, which binds the SECIS element with high affinity and specificity (13). The eEFSec binds to both SBP2 and Sec-tRNA^{[Ser]Sec}. When the ribosome and the canonical translation complex reach an UGA codon, Sec is inserted from the SECIS complex and premature termination is prevented. In addition to these factors, other factors, such as the eukaryotic initiation factor 4a3 and the ribosomal protein L30 (RPL30), are related to the translation of Sec (14).

Selenoprotein P (SELENOP), which is encoded by SE-LENOP, is a major selenoprotein in the plasma that is mainly produced in the liver (15, 16). SELENOP is the only selenoprotein that contains multiple Sec residues that are important for its function. One N-terminal Sec residue forms an active site of GPx-like enzyme activity to reduce phospholipid hydroperoxide, while the nine C-terminal Sec residue function as a Se transporter to deliver Se to the cells effectively (17-19). The mRNA of SELENOP has the unique property of containing two SECIS elements, as other selenoprotein mRNAs have only one SECIS element in their 3'UTR (20,21). It has been reported that the first SECIS, which is located on the 5' side near the stop codon, mainly facilitates the processive Sec incorporation, while the second SECIS functions slow decoding at the first UGA codon (22). However, the mechanisms of Sec translation in SELENOP and the regulation of the expression of SE-LENOP are not fully understood.

More than 98% of the genome does not code for mRNAs, and the biological role of the non-coding genomic sequence, such as intergenic regions, has been receiving much attention. In recent years, many nuclear and cytoplasmic noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs) have been reported (23,24). Some of these nuclear ncRNAs can regulate protein levels, e.g. nuclear ncRNAs control the epigenetic state of the promoter regions of genes, participate in transcriptional regulation, are involved in alternative splicing, constitute subnuclear compartments and export nuclear mRNAs (25-27). Recent studies have reported that some types of antisense cytoplasmic ncRNAs, such as miRNA/siRNA (28), Linc RNA p21 (29) and the SINEB2 repeat-containing RNA (30), regulate mRNA levels. Furthermore, a fraction of sense ncRNAs, such as competing endogenous RNAs (ceRNAs), enhance the levels of target mRNAs by trapping and inhibiting miR-NAs (31,32).

In the present study, we coincidentally identified a novel gene by sequence analysis. This gene is located in the antisense region of the SELENOP gene in the genome. We investigated the function of this novel gene and found that it suppresses SELENOP translation. Here, we provide a new regulatory mechanism of SELENOP translation by an endogenous long antisense ncRNA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture

Human hepatoma Li-7 were purchased from Cell Resource Center for Biomedical Research in Tohoku University (Sendai, Japan). Other all cell lines used here were obtained

from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas VA, USA). Human embryonic kidney (HEK293) cells and human hepatocellular carcinoma (HepG2) cells were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Gibco Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham MA, USA) containing 10% heat-inactivated foetal bovine serum (FBS) and antibiotics (100 U/ml penicillin and 100 μ g/ml streptomycin; Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cells were maintained in DMEM/F12 medium (Gibco) containing 10% FBS and antibiotics. Malignant glioma U-87 MG cells were maintained in MEM (Gibco) containing 10% FBS and antibiotics. Human Tcell lymphocyte Jurkat cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) containing 10% FBS and antibiotics. All cell lines were cultured at 37°C under an atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO₂. FBS and EGCg (E4143) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. All other chemicals used in this work were of the highest quality commercially available.

Animal experiments

All animal experiments described in this study were approved by the Animal Care Committee of Doshisha University (approval no. A16035) and conformed fully to the guidelines outlined in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of Japan. Eight-weeks-old male C57BL/6J mice were obtained from Shimizu Laboratory Supplies (Kyoto, Japan). All animals were housed in a 12/12 h light/dark cycle and had free access to food and water. Blood glucose levels were determined by the glucose oxidase method (Glutest Sensor; Sanwa Kagaku, Kyoto, Japan).

Real-time PCR analysis

Total RNA was extracted from cells and tissues using the TriPure Isolation Reagent (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) and reverse transcribed using a PrimeScript RT reagent kit (Takara, Shiga, Japan). Quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR was performed using the power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and a 7900HT Fast Real Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer's suggestions. The expression levels of target genes were normalized to the expression levels of RLP32 or 18S rRNA. The sequences of the primers used are provided in Supplementary Table S1.

Plasmids and transfection

Human SELENOP with a SECIS sequence was a gift from Dr. Misu (Kanazawa University) and was re-inserted into the BamHI site of pcDNA3.1 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using an In-Fusion HD Cloning Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The human CCDC152 gene was amplified via PCR from U-87 MG cell line cDNA. The human CCDC152 gene, its short variants, and the antisense sequence of the SECIS region of selenoproteins were inserted into the BamHI site of pcDNA3.1 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) or pcDNA 4 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using an In-Fusion HD Cloning Kit. The HA tag was inserted by the sitedirected mutagenesis method. Plasmids were transfected using Lipofectamine LTX (Thermo Fisher Scientific), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Human CCDC152 RNAs were transiently transfected using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Thermo Fisher Scientific), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Western blotting

To obtain whole-cell lysates, treated cells were suspended in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP40, 0.1% SDS, 1% sodium deoxycholic acid with a cocktail of protease inhibitors (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan) and phosphatase inhibitors (PhosSTOP; Roche) at 4°C for 30 min. Nuclei and unlysed cellular debris were removed by centrifugation at $15\,000 \times g$ for 5 min. The concentration of protein was determined using a Bicinchoninic Acid Protein Assay Kit (Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, IL, USA) with bovine serum albumin as the standard. The protein samples were separated by sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and subjected to western blotting with appropriate antibodies. The antibodies used for immunoblotting included anti-SELENOP (33), anti-β-actin (1:10 000, A5441; Sigma), anti-GPx1 (1:1000, ab22607; Abcam, Cambridge, UK), anti-GPx4 (1:1000, ab125066; Abcam), anti-TrxR1 (34), anti-TrxR2 (1:1000, HPA003323; Sigma), anti-GAPDH (1:40 000, ab8245; Abcam), anti-lamin A/C (1:1000, #2032; Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA, USA) and anti-SBP2 (1:1000, 12798-1-AP; Protein Tech) antibodies. HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, USA) and Jackson Immuno Research (West Grove, PA, USA). The immunoreactivity in the PVDF membrane (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) was visualized using the Immobilon Western HRP Substrate (Millipore) and an LAS-4000 luminescence imager (Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan).

Reverse transcriptional PCR

Total RNA was extracted from cells using the TriPure Isolation Reagent (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) and reverse transcribed using a Revertra Ace (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan) with oligo dT (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) or random primers (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Reverse transcriptional PCR was performed using the TaKaRa Ex Taq (Takara) and a TaKaRa PCR Thermal Cycler Dice[®] Touch (Takara), according to the manufacturer's suggestions.

Subcellular fractionation of cells

Cells were suspended with 10 mM HEPES–KOH buffer (pH 8.0) containing 10 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂ and 1 mM DTT and homogenized by Dounce Tissue Grinder at 4°C. The cytosolic fractions were obtained from supernatant by centrifugation at 1300 × g for 5 min. The pellet was suspended with 10 mM Tris–HCl buffer (pH 7.9) containing 8.55% Sucrose, 5 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM DTT, and 0.01% Triton X-100 and after centrifugation at 1300 × g for 5 min,

the pellet was suspended with lysis buffer and used as the nuclear fraction. Protease inhibitors were added to each fraction. The distribution of each subcellular fraction was judged by standard proteins such as lamin A/C (nuclear marker) and GAPDH (cytosolic marker).

In vitro RNA transcription and RNA antisense purification (RAP)

Each RNA was synthesized using a TranscriptAid T7 High Yield Transcription Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). We performed RAP as described previously (35,36), with modifications. The CCDC152 RNA was biotinylated using a Biotin RNA Labeling mix (Roche). We used 2 million HepG2 cells and 3 µg of biotin-labelled CCDC152 RNA per experiment, as well as incubation in a highly denaturing buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.4% sodium deoxycholate [Sigma], 1% NP-40 [Sigma], 1% N-lauroylsarcosine [Sigma], 2 mM DTT [Sigma], 1% protease inhibitor cocktail [nacalai tesque] and 40 U/ml RNase inhibitor [Takara]) at 37°C for 2 h. RNAs that interacted with the biotin-labelled CCDC152 RNA were isolated from whole-cell extracts using avidin magnetic beads (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and the TriPure isolation reagent (Roche) and were then subjected to reverse transcription using a PrimeScript RT reagent kit (Takara). Reverse transcription PCR was performed using Ex Taq (Takara).

Polysome analysis

We performed a polysome analysis as described previously (37), with modifications. Polysome profiles were obtained using sucrose density gradients. HepG2 cells were transfected with pcDNA4 or CCDC152 plasmid for 72 h before polysome analysis. HepG2 cells were harvested, and cell extracts were layered onto linear sucrose density gradients [10–50% sucrose in 20 mM HEPES–NaOH (pH 7.6), 100 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM dithiothreitol and 100 μ g/ml cycloheximide] that had been prepared in open-top polyclear tubes (Seton Scientific, CA, USA) with the use of a Gradient Master. Samples were centrifuged at 284 000 \times g for 80 min at 4°C in a P40ST rotor (Hitachi Koki, Tokyo, Japan). Gradients were then fractionated (Towa, Tsukuba, Japan). Polysome profiles were generated by continuous measurement of absorbance at 254 nm with a single-path UV-1 optical unit (AC-5200, ATTO, Tokyo, Japan) connected to a chart recorder (ATTO). Twenty fractions of equal volume were collected and RNA was extracted using the Isogen II (NIPPON GENE, Tokyo, Japan). RNA levels of each fraction were measured by NanoDrop (Thermo Scientific). RNA levels of each fraction were measured, and a fixed volume of each RNA sample was subjected to reverse transcription and qPCR. The ratio of RNA levels in each fraction was calculated as the relative Ct value to total RNA.

RNA pull-down assay

We performed a SECIS and SBP2 interaction as described previously (38) with modifications. The SELENOP mRNA was synthesized using a TranscriptAid T7 High Yield Transcription Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The SELENOP mRNA was biotinylated using a Biotin RNA Labeling mix (Roche). We used 2.5 million HepG2 cells and 2 μ g of biotin-labelled SELENOP mRNA and GFP mRNA per experiment, as well as incubation in a pull-down buffer (50 mM HEPES [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM 0.5% Triton X-100, 1% protease inhibitor cocktail [nacalai tesque] and 40 U/ml RNase inhibitor [Takara]) at 4°C for overnight. The proteins that interacted with the biotin-labelled SE-LENOP mRNA were isolated from whole-cell extracts using avidin magnetic beads (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA).

Transfection of small interfering RNA

The human *CCDC152*-small interfering RNAs (siRNA) were designed and manufactured by Thermo Fisher Scientific, according to the current guidelines for effective knockdown by this method, respectively. The target sequences for h*CCDC152*-siRNA (Invitrogen, 5'-ACAAGGAGAU UGCAAUUCUUCGUAA-3' [forward] and 5'-UUACGA AGAAUUGCAAUCUCCUUGU-3' [reverse]) were used. The siRNAs were transfected into HepG2 cells by Lipofectamine RNAi MAX (Thermo Fisher Scientific). After transfection, cells were treated with EGCg and subjected to western blotting and real-time PCR analysis.

Statistical analysis

Data are shown as the mean \pm standard deviation (SD, *in vitro*) or standard error of mean (SEM, *in vivo*). Statistical comparisons were performed using the two-tailed unpaired Student's *t* test or analysis of variance (ANOVA) by running post hoc tests (for data involving more than two groups). A probability value (*P* value) < 0.05 was considered indicative of statistical significance. Statistical analyses were performed using Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA) and SPSS software (IBM SPSS, Armonk, NY, USA).

RESULTS

Identification of a novel gene with sequences that are complementary to selenoprotein P

We obtained the sequence of the SECIS element of SE-LENOP gene from SelenoDB (http://selenodb.crg.eu) and then conducted a Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) analysis of this SECIS element on Nucleotide collection databases. As a result, we found a gene called the coiled coil domain-containing protein 152 (CCDC152) gene, which is located in the antisense region of the SE-LENOP gene in the genome (Figure 1A). The CCDC152 gene exhibited a complete antisense sequence, including the SECIS element of SELENOP mRNA. Next, we compared the gene expression levels of SELENOP and CCDC152. The SELENOP mRNA was mostly expressed in human hepatoma HepG2 cells, whereas the PCR products of the SELENOP gene, although low, were detected in human embryonic kidney 293 cells (HEK293 cells) and T lymphoma Jurkat cells, but not detectable in other human cell lines in the experimental condition used (Figure 1B). On the other hand, CCDC152 gene expression was mostly observed in human neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cells, glioma U-87MG cells and Jurkat cells. The PCR products of the CCDC152 gene were low but detected in other cell lines including rhabdomyosarcoma RD cells, HEK293 cells, and human colorectal adenocarcinoma HT-29 cells (Figure 1B). The expression levels of the SELENOP and CCDC152 genes were determined in mouse organs. We found that the SELENOP mRNA was mostly expressed in the small intestine, liver, and kidney, while the CCDC152 gene was mostly expressed in the testis, followed by the liver, kidney, and white adipose tissue (Figure 1C and Supplementary Figure S1A). The increase in SELENOP expression in the presence of high levels of glucose has been documented; therefore, we investigated the effects of glucose on the expression of both the SELENOP and CCDC152 genes. We found that the expression of these genes was significantly altered by glucose concentration in HepG2 cells, namely the increase in the SELENOP gene expression by high glucose while the decrease in the CCDC152 gene expression (Figure 1D). The changes in SELENOP and CCDC152 gene expression levels were further evaluated in mice that were fed a high-fat, high-sucrose diet (HFHSD) for 16 weeks (which led to the increase in blood glucose and SELENOP levels). A significant increase in SELENOP expression was observed in the liver as well as the colon, spleen, testes and white adipose tissue of mice that were fed a HFHSD (Figure 1C). As for the CCDC152 gene, its expression levels in the small intestine and white adipose tissue were significantly changed, and its expression in the liver tended to be decreased in mice that were fed a HFHSD (Figure 1D). These results suggest that the gene expressions of SELENOP and CCDC152 are changed by glucose levels.

Specific suppressive effect of the CCDC152 gene on SE-LENOP levels

To further investigate the relationship between the SE-LENOP and CCDC152 genes, we examined the effects of CCDC152 expression on the protein and mRNA levels of SELENOP. Transient expression of CCDC152 in HepG2 cells [SELENOP(+)/ $C\bar{C}DC152(-)$] resulted in the statistically significant downregulation of SELENOP protein levels in both whole-cell lysates and conditioned medium, while the endogenous SELENOP mRNA levels were not changed (Figure 2A). In the case of human hepatoma Li-7 cells, the decrease in SELENOP protein levels in wholecell lysates was also induced by CCDC152 transfection without the significant change of SELENOP mRNA levels (Supplementary Figure S1B). In the case of HEK293 cells [SELENOP(-)/CCDC152(-)], SELENOP protein levels in both whole-cell lysates and conditioned medium were significantly decreased by co-expression with SELENOP and CCDC152 (Figure 2B). Comparing the results of Figure 2A and B, it was considered that CCDC152 transfection may show a suppressing effect only when the expression level of SELENOP was high. CCDC152 overexpression did not affect the protein and mRNA levels of other selenoproteins, such as GPx1, GPx4, TrxR1 and TrxR2, which are Seccontaining proteins with a SECIS element, in HepG2 and HEK293 cells (Supplementary Figure S2A and B). These results suggest that the effect of the CCDC152 gene is spe-



Figure 1. Expression of the CCDC152 gene in cells and tissues. (A) Schematic diagram of the sequence of SELENOP and the CCDC152 gene. The exons of each gene are shown in bold. The SECIS region is indicated as a red bar. (B) Relative expression levels of SELENOP and of the CCDC152 gene in each cell line. Each cell was harvested for RNA isolation and then analysed by real-time PCR. The expression levels of the human selenoprotein P (SELENOP, left panel) and human CCDC152 (right panel) RNAs were normalized to that of the RPL32 mRNA (n = 3, mean \pm SD). N.D.: not detectable. (C) Relative expression levels of SELENOP and CCDC152 gene in each tissue of mice that has been fed a high-fat, high-sucrose diet (HFHSD). RNA isolated from each mouse tissue from animals who were fed a normal diet or HFHSD for 16 weeks was subjected to real-time PCR analysis (n = 5, mean \pm SEM) **P < 0.01, *P < 0.05 versus Control, Student's t test. (D) Effects of glucose concentration on the expression levels of SELENOP and CCDC152. HepG2 cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of glucose for 24 h, harvested for RNA isolation, and then analysed by real-time PCR. The expression levels of SELENOP and CCDC152 (lower panel) were normalized to that of the RPL32 mRNA (n = 3, mean \pm SD). **P < 0.01, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, *P < 0.05 versus Control, Student's t test. (D) Effects of to that of the RPL32 mRNA (n = 3, mean \pm SD). **P < 0.01, *P < 0.05, Tukey-ANOVA.

cific to SELENOP protein expression, and not to other selenoproteins.

We next examined the effects of protease inhibitors to evaluate the involvement of protein degradation on the decrease of SELENOP protein levels induced by *CCDC152*. The addition of bafilomycin A1, a specific inhibitor of the vacuolar type H⁺-ATPase to prevent autophagosome– lysosome fusion, resulted in the increase of LC3-II, while bafilomycin A1 did not affect the decrease of SELENOP protein levels induced by *CCDC152* (Figure 2C). We also examined the effect of MG132, a cell-permeable proteasome and calpain inhibitor, on SELENOP protein levels. The sole treatment of MG132 resulted in the increase of LC3-II and polyubiquitinated proteins (Figure 2D). We found that MG132 treatment significantly decreased SE-LENOP protein levels in HepG2 cells in the presence of sodium selenite (Figure 2D). Further, the decrease in SE-LENOP mRNA levels and the increase in the CCDC152 gene were observed by the treatment with MG132 in the presence of sodium selenite (Figure 2D). The changes of these expression levels were also observed by the treatment with TAK-243, an inhibitor of the ubiquitin-activating enzyme, with unknown mechanisms (Figure 2D). It is interesting to note that both inhibitors significantly increased



Figure 2. Suppressive effects of the CCDC152 gene on SELENOP levels. (A) Effects of CCDC152 gene expression on SELENOP levels in HepG2 cells. HepG2 cells were treated with *CCDC152* plasmid DNA or control plasmid DNA for 48 h, and then whole-cell lysates, extracted total RNA, and conditioned medium were subjected to western blotting with an anti-SELENOP Ab and real-time PCR, respectively (n = 3, mean \pm SD). **P < 0.01 versus Control, Student's *t* test. (**B**) Effects of CCDC152 gene expression on SELENOP levels in HEK293 cells. HEK293 cells were treated with *CCDC152* plasmid DNA, *SELENOP* plasmid DNA, or control plasmid DNA in the presence or absence of 500 nM sodium selenite for 48 h, and then whole-cell lysates, extracted total RNA, and conditioned medium were subjected to western blotting and real-time PCR, respectively (n = 3, mean \pm SD). *P < 0.05, Tukey-ANOVA. (**C**) Effects of bafilomycin A1 on the decrease of SELENOP levels induced by CCDC152 gene in HepG2 cells. HepG2 cells were treated with *CCDC152* plasmid DNA or control plasmid DNA for 48 h, and then whole cell lysates were subjected to western blotting. Bafilomycin A1 (5 nM) was added 24 h before the preparation of cell lysates. (**D**) Effects of MG132 and TAK243 on the SELENOP protein and the SELENOP and CCDC152 gene expression levels in HepG2 cells. HepG2 cells were treated with 1 μ M MG132 in the presence or absence of 100 nM sodium selenite for 24 h, and then whole-cell lysates were subjected to western blotting. In the case of real-time PCR analysis, HepG2 cells were treated with indicated concentration of MG132 and TAK243 in the presence of 100 nM sodium selenite for 24 h (n = 3, mean \pm SD). **P < 0.01 versus DMSO control, Tukey-ANOVA.

CCDC152 gene expression levels (Figure 2D). These results of proteasome inhibitors include unexpected effects that needed to be clarified with further research. Collectively, these results suggest that at least, the decrease of SE-LENOP protein levels induced by *CCDC152* did not involve the proteasomal degradation. Collectively, these results suggest that the CCDC152 gene specifically decreases SELENOP protein levels independent of protein degradation.

The nature of the product of *CCDC152* and analysis of its sequence that is essential for the downregulation of SELENOP

Next, we characterized the nature of the CCDC152 gene. Based on the PCR products obtained using an oligo dT primer and random hexamers, this gene was considered to have a poly A tail (Figure 3A). A cellular distribution analysis suggested that the product of CCDC152 is localized mainly in the nuclei of both U-87 MG cells and HepG2 cells, which expressed CCDC152 endogenously (Figure 3B). CCDC152 overexpression in HEK293 cells and HepG2 cells did not yield an obvious protein band (Figure 3C). Further, the protein band of CCDC152 was not observed by western blot analysis using an antibody against HAtag, while that of SELENOP with HA-tag was detected as a positive control (Figure 3C). The stability of transfected CCDC152 RNA with HA-tag was confirmed (Figure 3C). These results suggest the role of this gene as a long ncRNA (lnc RNA).

To evaluate the role of the CCDC152 gene as a lncRNA and identify the sequence of this gene that is essential to suppress SELENOP expression, we examined the effects of the following CCDC152 RNA fragments: full length (FL), overlapping region (OL), 3'UTR region (3'UTR), anti-SECIS region (a-S), deletion of the 5' region without overlapping ($\Delta 5'$) and deletion of the 3' region without overlapping ($\Delta 3'$) (Supplementary Figure S3A). Transfection of FL-CCDC152 suppressed SELENOP levels in HepG2 cells, while the other fragments did not (Supplementary Figure S3B and C). The stability of transfected CCDC152 RNA fragments was confirmed (Supplementary Figure S3B). These results suggest an essential role for both the OL and other regions in CCDC152 in the suppression of SELENOP levels. Further, we prepared the following CCDC152 RNA fragments deleted from 300 to 600 bp of the 5' region $(5' \Delta 300-600)$ (Figure 3D and E). As shown in Figure 3E, transfection of FL-, $5' \Delta 300$ -, $5' \Delta 400$ - and 5' Δ 500-CCDC152 suppressed SELENOP levels in HepG2 cells, while 5' $\Delta 600$ -CCDC152 fragment did not. These results suggest the essential role of the sequence from 500 bp of the 5' region to suppress SELENOP protein levels (Figure 3D and E).

Direct interaction between the CCDC152 RNA and the SE-LENOP mRNA

Next, we investigated the molecular mechanisms of SE-LENOP suppression induced by the CCDC152 gene. First, we investigated whether the interaction between the CCDC152 RNA and the SELENOP mRNA using a pulldown assay. A synthesized SELENOP mRNA and a biotinylated CCDC152 sense or antisense RNA were mixed and the precipitates were analysed by PCR. As shown in Figure 4A, co-precipitation of the SELENOP mRNA with the biotinylated sense CCDC152 RNA, but not with the antisense CCDC152 RNA, was observed. In addition, we found that the CCDC152 RNA did not interact with other SECIS-containing mRNAs and the GAPDH mRNA (Figure 4A), suggesting a specific direct interaction between the sense CCDC152 RNA and the SELENOP mRNA.

Subsequently, we examined the effects of the CCDC152 gene on SELENOP mRNA distribution, as a shift from the nucleus to the cytoplasm is necessary for translation. The cellular distribution of the CCDC152 RNA was compared with that of the SELENOP mRNA using nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions of CCDC152-plasmid-transfected HepG2 cells. In these cells, SELENOP mRNA derived from the endogenous promoter of the SELENOP gene was mainly distributed in the cytosolic fraction (Figure 4B). In contrast, the major CCDC152 RNA, which was overexpressed by a plasmid containing the cytomegalovirus promoter, was detected in the nuclear fraction. Based on the results shown in Figures 3B and 4B, it is thought that not only endogenous but also overexpressed CCDC152 RNA is mainly distributed in the nuclear fraction. As shown in Figure 4B, CCDC152 gene overexpression did not change the distribution of the SELENOP mRNA. We also found that the speed of degradation of the SELENOP mRNA in CCDC152overexpressing HepG2 cells was not changed (Figure 4C). These results suggest that the CCDC152 RNA interacts with the SELENOP mRNA and that the CCDC152 gene does not affect the distribution or the degradation speed of the SELENOP mRNA.

CCDC152 inhibited the interaction between the SELENOP mRNA and SBP2

The SELENOP mRNA binds to ribosomes in the cytoplasm, which triggers translation. To analyse the effect of the CCDC152 gene on the interaction between the SE-LENOP mRNA and ribosomes, which reflects the translational level of proteins, we evaluated the binding of ribosomes to the SELENOP mRNA using a polysome analysis. We observed that there was no obvious change in polysome profiles between the control and CCDC152-transfected cells (Figure 5A). We also checked that CCDC152 overexpression did not influence cell growth and morphology (Supplementary Figure S4A-C). In turn, the SELENOP mRNA levels in fraction 18 of CCDC152-transfected HepG2 cells, where the SELENOP mRNA highly interacted with ribosomes, were significantly decreased, while the SELENOP mRNA with low ribosome binding in the lower fractions significantly increased (Figure 5B). These results suggest that the interaction between SELENOP mRNA and ribosomes was significantly decreased because of the overexpression of the CCDC152 gene (Figure 5B). Conversely, the GPx4 mRNA, which contains one SECIS in its mRNA, was not affected by CCDC152 overexpression (Figure 5B). In addition, the interaction of CCDC152 RNA with ribosome was low (Figure 5B). These results suggest that the CCDC152 gene specifically inhibits the binding of ribosomes to the SELENOP mRNA.



Figure 3. The nature of the product of *CCDC152* and its sequence that is essential to suppress SELENOP levels. (A) PCR analysis using an oligo dT primer and random hexamers. U-87MG cells and HepG2 cells were harvested for RNA isolation, and then real-time PCR was performed using an oligo dT primer and random hexamers. The PCR product was electrophoresed and visualized for the analysis. (B) Analysis of the cellular distribution of the product of CCDC152 gene. Nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions of U-87MG cells and HepG2 cells were prepared. The RNA in each fraction was separated and subjected to real-time PCR analysis for CCDC152 (n = 3, mean \pm SD). (C) Effects of CCDC152 gene overexpression on cellular protein levels. HEK293 cells and HepG2 cells were treated with *CCDC152* plasmid DNA or *SELENOP* plasmid DNA with HA-tag or control GFP plasmid DNA for 48 h, and then extracted proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and visualized using silver staining and western blotting with an anti-HA Ab. The expected molecular weight of the CCDC152 protein is indicated by a red arrow. After transfection, total RNA was also subjected to real-time PCR analysis. The expression levels of each transfected gene (CCDC152-HA and SELENOP-HA) were normalized to that of the neomycin-resistant gene (Neo^r) mRNA (n = 3, mean \pm SD). (D, E) Effects of CCDC152 RNA fragments on SELENOP levels of HepG2 cells. The full-length CCDC152 RNA and its fragments were synthesized in vitro and were transfected into HepG2 cells. A synthesized GFP mRNA was used as a control. After transfection, whole-cell lysates and total RNA were subjected to western blotting and real-time PCR analysis, respectively (n = 3, mean \pm SD). *P < 0.05 versus GFP control, Dunnett-ANOVA. 5' Δ 300–600, deletion of 300–600 bp of the 5' region.



Figure 4. Direct interaction between the CCDC152 RNA and the SELENOP mRNA and effects of CCDC152 gene on the cellular distribution and degradation speed of the SELENOP mRNA. (A) Specific interaction between the CCDC152 RNA and the SELENOP mRNA. Biotinylated CCDC152 sense (S) and antisense (AS) RNAs were mixed with a synthesized mRNA, pulled down by avidin beads; subsequently, the precipitants and flow-through fractions were subjected to PCR analysis. (B) Effects of the CCDC152 gene on the cellular distribution of the SELENOP mRNA. The *CCDC152* and control plasmids were transfected into HepG2 cells and nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions were prepared. The quality of this fractionation was confirmed by the levels of lamin A/C (nuclear) and GAPDH (cytoplasm). RNA in each fraction was separated and subjected to real-time PCR analysis (n = 3, mean \pm SD). (C) Effects of the CCDC152 gene on the degradation speed of the SELENOP mRNA. The *CCDC152* and control plasmids were transfected into HepG2 cells; in the presence of actinomycin D, SELENOP and GAPDH mRNA levels were determined at the indicated time points (n = 3, mean \pm SD).

In selenoproteins, the interaction between the SECIS element and SBP2 plays an important role in translation (39,40). To investigate the effect of the CCDC152 gene on the interaction between SBP2 and the SELENOP mRNA, we performed a messenger ribonucleoprotein pulldown assay. Overexpression of the CCDC152 gene affected neither the expression levels nor the localization of SBP2 protein in HepG2 cells (Supplementary Figure S4D and E). In the pull-down experiment using wholecell lysates of *CCDC152*-overexpressing HepG2 cells and a biotinylated SELENOP mRNA, a decrease in the binding of the SBP2 protein to the SELENOP mRNA was observed in *CCDC152*-overexpressing HepG2 cells (Figure 5C). Furthermore, the interaction between SBP2 and the SELENOP mRNA in the nuclear was evaluated via SBP2 immunoprecipitation of the nuclear fraction (Supplementary Figure S4F). The immunoprecipitants of the nuclear fraction were subjected to the quantitative PCR analysis of SELENOP mRNA levels, and GPx4 mRNA levels were also determined as a control. The quantitative



Figure 5. Effects of the CCDC152 gene on the interaction between the SELENOP mRNA and ribosomes and the SBP2 protein. (**A**, **B**) Effects of CCDC152 gene transfection on total and SELENOP translation in HepG2 cells. The control and *CCDC152* plasmids were transfected into HepG2 cells and the binding of ribosomes to total mRNA was determined by sucrose-gradient polysome analysis. Ribosome fractionation was revealed by measurement of absorbance at 254 nm (A_{254}). Fractions 10 and 20 were assayed as polysomes (A). In the polysome analysis, the binding of ribosomes to the SELENOP mRNA, GPx4 mRNA, and CCDC152 RNA was determined. RNA in each fraction was extracted, its levels were measured and then a fixed volume of each RNA sample was subjected to quantitative PCR. The ratio of RNA levels in each fraction was calculated as the relative Ct value to total RNA (B, at least n = 3, mean \pm SD). **P < 0.01 versus Control, Student's t test. (**C**, **D**) Effects of CCDC152 gene transfection on the interaction between the SELENOP mRNA and SBP2. The control and *CCDC152* plasmids were transfected into HepG2 cells and whole-cell lysates were prepared. Biotinylated-GFP mRNA and SELENOP mRNA was added to each cell lysate and the binding of the SBP2 protein to each mRNA was evaluated (C). The precipitants were subjected to western blotting using an anti-SBP2 antibody, and the band intensity of SBP2 was evaluated (n = 3, mean \pm SD). **P < 0.01, *P < 0.05, versus GFP, #P < 0.05, versus SELENOP, control plasmid, Tukey-ANOVA. Anti-SBP2 Ab was added to each nuclear extracted fraction and the binding of the SELENOP mRNA levels were used as a reference mRNA in the nuclear fraction (n = 3, mean \pm SD). **P < 0.01 versus Control, Student's t test.

PCR analysis of SBP2 immunoprecipitants indicated the specific and significant decrease in SBP2-bound SELENOP mRNA levels, but not in SBP2-bound GPx4 mRNA levels in *CCDC152*-overexpressing HepG2 cells (Figure 5D). Further, in the pull-down experiment using a nuclear fraction of *CCDC152*-overexpressing HepG2 cells and a biotinylated SELENOP mRNA, a decrease in the binding of the SBP2 protein to the SELENOP mRNA was observed in *CCDC152*-overexpressing HepG2 cells (Supplementary Figure S4G). These results suggest that the CCDC152 gene downregulates SELENOP via the inhibition of the binding of the SELENOP mRNA to the SBP2 protein in the nuclear. Based on these properties of the CCDC152 gene, we called its product a lncRNA inhibitor of SELENOP translation (L-IST).

EGCg treatment up-regulates *L-IST* and downregulates SE-LENOP

We examined several antioxidants and polyphenols to identify compounds that up-regulate L-IST and identified epigallocatechin gallate (EGCg) as an inducer of this molecule. Other antioxidants such as α -tocopherol and resveratrol did not change SELENOP and L-IST levels (data not shown). EGCg is a polyphenol that is contained in green tea and has anti-diabetic effects. As shown in Figure 6A, EGCg up-regulated L-IST in a concentration-dependent manner, but did not change the expression levels of the SE-LENOP mRNA in HepG2 cells. In EGCg-treated HepG2 cells, SELENOP levels were significantly reduced (Figure 6A). To further evaluate the role of L-IST elevation in EGCg-induced SELENOP decrease, we examined the effects of L-IST-siRNA. As shown in Figure 6B, L-ISTsiRNA significantly decreased both endogenous L-IST and EGCg-induced L-IST elevation, while SELENOP mRNA was not changed. Further, L-IST-siRNA resulted in the inhibitory effects on the decrease of SELENOP protein induced by EGCg treatment (Figure 6B). These results suggested the role of L-IST in EGCg-induced SELENOP reduction. The administration of EGCg to C57BL/6J mice resulted in the increase of L-IST in the liver, but not in the SELENOP mRNA (Figure 6C). EGCg treatment significantly decreased the blood concentration of SELENOP (Figure 6C). We further determined the levels of *L-IST* and SELENOP mRNA in several tissues. We found that EGCG treatment significantly increased *L-IST* levels not only in the liver, but also the small intestine and kidney (Figure 6D). In contrast, SELENOP mRNA levels did not change significantly (Figure 6D).

DISCUSSION

SBP2 and Sec-tRNA^{[Ser]Sec} play critical roles in the synthesis of selenoproteins, and defects and/or dysfunctional mutation in these factors decrease selenoprotein levels, resulting in multiple disorders (6,41). In this report, we identified *L-IST* as a novel regulator of SELENOP via the modification of the interaction between SBP2 and the SELENOP mRNA, suggesting a specific mechanism for the translational control of SELENOP (Figure 7).

A recent study has reported that the up-regulation of SE-LENOP is related to an increase in insulin resistance and exercise resistance, a decrease in insulin secretion and the proliferation of smooth muscle cells in pulmonary hypertension (42–45). A SELENOP-neutralizing antibody that inhibits SELENOP uptake and Se transport might be an effective therapy for diseases related to SELENOP excess (43); however, this would not be a radical treatment, as this method cannot suppress SELENOP production or reduce SELENOP concentration. It has been shown that therapeutic drugs used for diabetes, such as metformin and exendin-4, can suppress SELENOP expression in the liver (46,47); however, the targets of these reagents include a wide range of genes and proteins and their specificity for SELENOP is low. Thus, the high specificity of *L-IST* for SELENOP translation is a merit of this strategy. EGCg, a major catechin component of green tea, prevents and treats type 2 diabetes in humans and rodents. Onishi et al. reported recently that green tea extracts reduce SELENOP levels in the liver, although the underlying mechanism is unclear (48). The present study showed that EGCg increased *L-IST* expression in the liver and suppressed SELENOP levels in the blood. The anti-diabetic function of EGCg has been reported, and the relation between favorable action of EGCg on glucose metabolism and the effects on both L-IST expression and SELENOP levels is a significant subject of future study. EGCg might be a good candidate lead compound for the development of effective medicines for patients with diabetes who have high SELENOP levels.

Various mechanisms have been proposed to explain the translational control afforded by ncRNAs. Antisense UCHL1, an antisense RNA of the UCHL1 mRNA, promotes translation by recruiting the ribosome to the UCHL1 mRNA (30,49). Antisense Basel inhibits the degradation of the target Base-1 mRNA and increases the levels of the BASE-1 protein (50). It has been reported that these antisense ncRNAs exhibit biological function via direct binding to target mRNAs. ceRNA, which do not bind directly to the target mRNA, promote its translation by trapping its miRNA (31,51). L-IST binds directly to its target mRNA; thus, it is considered to belong to the former ncRNA group with high specificity. L-IST bound to the SE-LENOP mRNA but did not bind to other mRNAs containing a SECIS element, such as GPx4 and TrxR1. siRNAs are ncRNAs that bind directly to their target mRNA, induce the degradation of the mRNA by AGO1 and downregulate its protein product (52). Conversely, L-IST did not show any action that promoted the decomposition of its target mRNA, suggesting that L-IST is different from known ncRNAs because it binds to its target mRNA directly. It has been reported that RNA sequences other than antisense are necessary for translational control of ncRNAs (30). For example, antisense UCHL1 requires the SINE B2 sequence for its biological function, which exists outside of the overlapping region (30,49). The translational control of SELENOP by L-IST also required another RNA sequence other than the overlapping region. It is possible that new regulatory mechanisms will be found by advancing the domain analysis of the L-IST RNA. It is hypothesized that *L-IST* induces conformational changes by interacting with the SELENOP mRNA, which might result in the inhibition of the interaction between the SECIS element and the SBP2 protein. It also needs attention that the regulatory role



Figure 6. EGCg treatment up-regulated L-IST and downregulated SELENOP. (A) Effects of EGCg on L-IST and SELENOP expression in HepG2 cells. HepG2 cells were treated with EGCg for 24 h, and then the total RNA and whole-cell lysates were subjected to real-time PCR analysis for the SELENOP mRNA and L-IST (CCDC152), followed by western blotting for SELENOP protein (n = 4, mean \pm SD). Each of the RNA levels were normalized to that of the RPL32 mRNA, and relative expression levels to control condition (0 μ M) are shown. **P < 0.01, ANOVA, Dunnett. (B) Effects of L-IST-siRNA on SELENOP protein levels in EGCg-treated HepG2 cells. HepG2 cells were treated with L-IST (CCDC152)-siRNA (siL-IST) or non-specific RNA (negative control, NC), and then the cells were treated with EGCg for 24 h. Total RNA and whole-cell lysates were subjected to real-time PCR analysis for the SELENOP mRNA and L-IST, followed by western blotting for SELENOP protein (n = 4, mean \pm SD). **P < 0.01 versus NC, Tukey-ANOVA. (C, D) Effects of EGCg on L-IST and SELENOP expression in C57BL/6J mice. C57BL/6J mice were injected with EGCg (50 and 100 mg/kg intraperitoneally) or vehicle control (PBS intraperitoneally) 24 h before sampling. After serum samples were collected and perfusion with saline, total RNA samples of liver tissues were analysed by real time PCR (C, n = 4, mean \pm SEM). SELENOP mRNA and L-IST (CCDC152) levels were normalized to that of the RPL32 mRNA, and relative expression levels to control condition (0 mg/kg) are shown. Serum samples were subjected to western blotting with an anti-mSELENOP Ab. CBB staining was used as a control for protein loading. Graphs display the results of densitometric quantification, normalized to the major protein (albumin, indicated by the black arrowhead) stained with \tilde{CBB} (\hat{C} , n = 4, mean \pm SEM). *P < 0.05, ANOVA, Dunnett. RNA isolated from each mouse tissue from animals that were injected with EGCg (100 mg/kg intraperitoneally) was subjected to real-time PCR analysis (D, n = 4-5, mean \pm SEM). SELENOP mRNA and L-IST (CCDC152) levels were normalized to that of the RPL32 mRNA, and relative expression levels to PBS treatment are shown. **P < 0.01 versus PBS, Student's t test.



Figure 7. Schematic representation of the molecular mechanisms to decrease SELENOP protein expression by *L-IST*. *L-IST* directly interacts with SE-LENOP mRNA, inhibits the binding of SECIS-binding protein 2 (SBP2) and ribosome to SELENOP mRNA, and finally decreases SELENOP protein expression.

of *L-IST* on the secretory pathway of SELENOP is not revealed in the present study since the evaluation of conditioned medium was not conducted throughout this study.

RNA and gene therapy have received much attention as a new therapeutic strategy that is different from that afforded by small compounds and antibodies. In the past decades, a gene-delivery system using a virus has been developed to express the telomerase gene in cancer cells driven by the human telomerase (hTERT) promoter and to kill cancer cells (53,54). In recent years, the drug-delivery system technology has been advancing and techniques for carrying RNA to specific tissues have been established. Among them, the usage of N-acetylgalactosamine (GALNAC) is a promising technique to deliver RNA to the liver specifically, for clinical applications (55). It is notable that the administration of GALNAC together with *L-IST* might be an effective therapeutic approach for patients with diabetes.

SECIS elements are cis-acting hairpin loop structures located in the 3'UTR of eukaryotic and archaeal selenoprotein mRNAs. However, there are differences in sequence and structure between eukaryotic and archaeal SECIS elements, and only the hairpin structure and a conserved AAA/G (AAR) motif in a stem-loop structure are common to all eukaryotic SECIS elements (1,56). It is thought that sequence-dependent conformation is important for the function of these SECIS elements. Usually, abnormal mRNAs, such as those with premature termination codons (PTCs), are degraded by the nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD) system; in the absence of Se and SectRNA^{[Ser]Sec}, selenoprotein mRNAs are expected to be a target of the NMD system (57,58). It was postulated that the structural changes in the SELENOP mRNA induced by L-IST might generate PTCs; however, L-IST did not change the SELENOP mRNA levels and did not induce mRNA decay, suggesting that the SELENOP mRNA or L-IST might avoid NMD. It is unclear why the SELENOP mRNA might avoid NMD in the presence of L-IST, which decreases the interaction with SBP2. The avoidance of the NMD system of the SELENOP mRNA is observed in SBP2 KO mice (59). In addition, the interaction of the SELENOP mRNA with RIG-I recently reported (60). These observations suggest the existence of a complex regulatory system related to the SELENOP mRNA with an unknown mechanism that needs further elucidation.

It is notable that the CCDC152 gene was most expressed in the testis (Figure 1C), suggesting the function of this gene other than regulating SELENOP. It might be interesting to investigate possible activators to induce CCDC152 expression and its biological role, possibly as a protein, in the testis. In conclusion, we discovered a novel lncRNA termed *L-IST* that contained an antisense SECIS sequence of the SELENOP mRNA. We also found that EGCg upregulated *L-IST* and downregulated SELENOP protein. Translational control of SELENOP by *L-IST* may be a new therapeutic strategy for SELENOP-related diseases such as diabetes and pulmonary hypertension.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Author contributions: Experiment design and Manuscript description, Y.M., T.I., N.N. and Y.Saito.; Perform cell experiments, Y.M., R.U., S.Y., M.Y, K.K., T.H., Y.M., Y.S., T.T. and Y.U.; Perform animal experiments, Y.M. and R.U.; Funding acquisition, Y.M., N.N. and Y.Saito.

FUNDING

JSPS KAKENHI [25292078, 17H03821, 19K22480, 20H00488, 20H05491 to Y.S., 16K18707 to Y.M., 19K11770 to Y.M., in part]; Suzuken Memorial Foundation [19-047 to Y.M.]; Harris Science Research Institute of Doshisha University, MEXT-Supported Program for the Strategic Research Foundation at Private Universities; Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED) [20ek0210144h0001 and BINDS]. Funding for open access charge: KAKENHI from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

REFERENCES

- Labunskyy, V.M., Hatfield, D.L. and Gladyshev, V.N. (2014) Selenoproteins: molecular pathways and physiological roles. *Physiol. Rev.*, 94, 739–777.
- Flohe, L. (2009) The labour pains of biochemical selenology: the history of selenoprotein biosynthesis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1790, 1389–1403.
- Dagnell,M., Schmidt,E.E. and Arner,E.S.J. (2018) The A to Z of modulated cell patterning by mammalian thioredoxin reductases. *Free Rad. Biol. Med.*, 115, 484–496.
- Dumitrescu, A.M., Di Cosmo, C., Liao, X.H., Weiss, R.E. and Refetoff, S. (2010) The syndrome of inherited partial SBP2 deficiency in humans. *Antioxid. Redox. Signal.*, 12, 905–920.
- 5. Rayman, M.P. (2012) Selenium and human health. *Lancet*, **379**, 1256–1268.
- Schoenmakers, E., Agostini, M., Mitchell, C., Schoenmakers, N., Papp, L., Rajanayagam, O., Padidela, R., Ceron-Gutierrez, L., Doffinger, R., Prevosto, C. *et al.* (2010) Mutations in the selenocysteine insertion sequence-binding protein 2 gene lead to a multisystem selenoprotein deficiency disorder in humans. *J. Clin. Invest.*, **120**, 4220–4235.
- Saito, Y. and Yoshida, Y. (2019) Chemical reactivity and cellular uptake of tocopherols and tocotrienols. In: Niki, E. (ed). *Vitamin E: Chemistry and Nutritional Benefits*. The Royal Society of Chemistry, pp. 51–63.
- Lee,B.J., Worland,P.J., Davis,J.N., Stadtman,T.C. and Hatfield,D.L. (1989) Identification of a selenocysteyl-tRNA(Ser) in mammalian cells that recognizes the nonsense codon, UGA. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 264, 9724–9727.
- 9. Copeland, P.R., Fletcher, J.E., Carlson, B.A., Hatfield, D.L. and Driscoll, D.M. (2000) A novel RNA binding protein, SBP2, is required for the translation of mammalian selenoprotein mRNAs. *EMBO J.*, **19**, 306–314.
- Berry, M.J., Banu, L., Chen, Y.Y., Mandel, S.J., Kieffer, J.D., Harney, J.W. and Larsen, P.R. (1991) Recognition of UGA as a selenocysteine codon in type I deiodinase requires sequences in the 3' untranslated region. *Nature*, 353, 273–276.
- Walczak, R., Westhof, E., Carbon, P. and Krol, A. (1996) A novel RNA structural motif in the selenocysteine insertion element of eukaryotic selenoprotein mRNAs. *RNA*, 2, 367–379.
- Seale, L.A. (2019) Selenocysteine beta-lyase: biochemistry, regulation and physiological role of the selenocysteine decomposition enzyme. *Antioxidants*, 8, 357.
- Caban,K., Kinzy,S.A. and Copeland,P.R. (2007) The L7Ae RNA binding motif is a multifunctional domain required for the ribosome-dependent Sec incorporation activity of Sec insertion sequence binding protein 2. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 27, 6350–6360.
- Hatfield,D.L., Tsuji,P.A., Carlson,B.A. and Gladyshev,V.N. (2014) Selenium and selenocysteine: roles in cancer, health, and development. *Trends Biochem. Sci.*, **39**, 112–120.
- Saito, Y. and Takahashi, K. (2012) Selenoprotein P. In: Liu, J. (ed). Selenoproteins Mimics. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 77–88.
- 16. Burk, R.F. and Hill, K.E. (2015) Regulation of selenium metabolism and transport. *Ann Rev Nutr*, **35**, 109–134.
- Saito,Y., Hayashi,T., Tanaka,A., Watanabe,Y., Suzuki,M., Saito,E. and Takahashi,K. (1999) Selenoprotein P in human plasma as an extracellular phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase. Isolation and enzymatic characterization of human selenoprotein p. J. Biol. Chem., 274, 2866–2871.
- Saito, Y. and Takahashi, K. (2002) Characterization of selenoprotein P as a selenium supply protein. *Eur. J. Biochem.*, 269, 5746–5751.
- Saito, Y., Sato, N., Hirashima, M., Takebe, G., Nagasawa, S. and Takahashi, K. (2004) Domain structure of bi-functional selenoprotein P. *Biochem. J.*, 381, 841–846.
- Hill,K.E., Lloyd,R.S., Yang,J.G., Read,R. and Burk,R.F. (1991) The cDNA for rat selenoprotein P contains 10 TGA codons in the open reading frame. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 266, 10050–10053.
- Hill,K.E., Lloyd,R.S. and Burk,R.F. (1993) Conserved nucleotide sequences in the open reading frame and 3' untranslated region of selenoprotein P mRNA. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 90, 537–541.
- Shetty, S. and Copeland, P.R. (2018) Molecular mechanism of selenoprotein P synthesis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1862, 2506–2510.

- Visel, A., Rubin, E.M. and Pennacchio, L.A. (2009) Genomic views of distant-acting enhancers. *Nature*, 461, 199–205.
- Consortium, E.P. (2012) An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome. *Nature*, 489, 57–74.
- 25. Palazzo, A.F. and Lee, E.S. (2018) Sequence determinants for nuclear retention and cytoplasmic export of mRNAs and lncRNAs. *Front. Genet.*, **9**, 440.
- Sun,Q., Hao,Q. and Prasanth,K.V. (2018) Nuclear long noncoding RNAs: key regulators of gene expression. *Trends Genet.*, 34, 142–157.
- Uszczynska-Ratajczak, B., Lagarde, J., Frankish, A., Guigo, R. and Johnson, R. (2018) Towards a complete map of the human long non-coding RNA transcriptome. *Nat. Rev. Genet.*, 19, 535–548.
- Carthew, R.W. and Sontheimer, E.J. (2009) Origins and mechanisms of miRNAs and siRNAs. *Cell*, 136, 642–655.
- Yoon,J.H., Abdelmohsen,K., Srikantan,S., Yang,X., Martindale,J.L., De,S., Huarte,M., Zhan,M., Becker,K.G. and Gorospe,M. (2012) LincRNA-p21 suppresses target mRNA translation. *Mol. Cell*, 47, 648–655.
- Carrieri, C., Cimatti, L., Biagioli, M., Beugnet, A., Zucchelli, S., Fedele, S., Pesce, E., Ferrer, I., Collavin, L., Santoro, C. *et al.* (2012) Long non-coding antisense RNA controls Uchl1 translation through an embedded SINEB2 repeat. *Nature*, **491**, 454–457.
- Cesana, M., Cacchiarelli, D., Legnini, I., Santini, T., Sthandier, O., Chinappi, M., Tramontano, A. and Bozzoni, I. (2011) A long noncoding RNA controls muscle differentiation by functioning as a competing endogenous RNA. *Cell*, 147, 358–369.
- 32. Tay, Y., Kats, L., Salmena, L., Weiss, D., Tan, S.M., Ala, U., Karreth, F., Poliseno, L., Provero, P., Di Cunto, F. *et al.* (2011) Coding-independent regulation of the tumor suppressor PTEN by competing endogenous mRNAs. *Cell*, **147**, 344–357.
- Saito, Y., Watanabe, Y., Saito, E., Honjoh, T. and Takahashi, K. (2001) Production and application of monoclonal antibodies to human selenoprotein P. J Health Sci, 47, 346–352.
- Yarimizu, J., Nakamura, H., Yodoi, J. and Takahashi, K. (2000) Efficiency of selenocysteine incorporation in human thioredoxin reductase. *Antiox Redox Signal*, 2, 643–651.
- 35. Engreitz,J.M., Pandya-Jones,A., McDonel,P., Shishkin,A., Sirokman,K., Surka,C., Kadri,S., Xing,J., Goren,A., Lander,E.S. *et al.* (2013) The Xist lncRNA exploits three-dimensional genome architecture to spread across the X chromosome. *Science*, 341, 1237973.
- Engreitz,J.M., Sirokman,K., McDonel,P., Shishkin,A.A., Surka,C., Russell,P., Grossman,S.R., Chow,A.Y., Guttman,M. and Lander,E.S. (2014) RNA-RNA interactions enable specific targeting of noncoding RNAs to nascent Pre-mRNAs and chromatin sites. *Cell*, 159, 188–199.
- 37. Nakagawa, T., Hattori, S., Nobuta, R., Kimura, R., Nakagawa, M., Matsumoto, M., Nagasawa, Y., Funayama, R., Miyakawa, T., Inada, T. *et al.* (2020) The autism-related protein SETD5 controls neural cell proliferation through epigenetic regulation of rDNA expression. *iScience*, 23, 101030.
- Howard,A.S., Morozova,N., Stoytcheva,Z., Forry,E.P., Mansell,J.P., Harney,J.W., Carlson,B.A., Xu,X., Hatfield,D.L. and Berry,M.L. (2006) Supramolecular complexes mediate selenocysteine incorporation in vivo. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 26, 2337–2346.
- Low, S.C., Grundner-Culemann, E., Harney, J.W. and Berry, M.J. (2000) SECIS-SBP2 interactions dictate selenocysteine incorporation efficiency and selenoprotein hierarchy. *EMBO J.*, **19**, 6882–6890.
- Shetty, S.P., Sturts, R., Vetick, M. and Copeland, P.R. (2018) Processive incorporation of multiple selenocysteine residues is driven by a novel feature of the selenocysteine insertion sequence. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 293, 19377–19386.
- 41. Saito, Y., Shichiri, M., Hamajima, T., Ishida, N., Mita, Y., Nakao, S., Hagihara, Y., Yoshida, Y., Takahashi, K., Niki, E. *et al.* (2015) Enhancement of lipid peroxidation and its amelioration by vitamin E in a subject with mutations in the SBP2 gene. *J. Lipid Res.*, 56, 2172–2182.
- Misu,H., Takamura,T., Takayama,H., Hayashi,H., Matsuzawa-Nagata,N., Kurita,S., Ishikura,K., Ando,H., Takeshita,Y., Ota,T. *et al.* (2010) A liver-derived secretory protein, selenoprotein P, causes insulin resistance. *Cell Metab.*, **12**, 483–495.
- Mita, Y., Nakayama, K., Inari, S., Nishito, Y., Yoshioka, Y., Sakai, N., Sotani, K., Nagamura, T., Kuzuhara, Y., Inagaki, K. *et al.* (2017) Selenoprotein P-neutralizing antibodies improve insulin secretion and

glucose sensitivity in type 2 diabetes mouse models. *Nat. Commun.*, **8**, 1658.

- 44. Misu,H., Takayama,H., Saito,Y., Mita,Y., Kikuchi,A., Ishii,K.A., Chikamoto,K., Kanamori,T., Tajima,N., Lan,F. et al. (2017) Deficiency of the hepatokine selenoprotein P increases responsiveness to exercise in mice through upregulation of reactive oxygen species and AMP-activated protein kinase in muscle. *Nat. Med.*, 23, 508–516.
- Kikuchi, N., Satoh, K., Kurosawa, R., Yaoita, N., Elias-Al-Mamun, M., Siddique, M.A.H., Omura, J., Satoh, T., Nogi, M., Sunamura, S. *et al.* (2018) Selenoprotein P promotes the development of pulmonary arterial hypertension. *Circulation*, **138**, 600–623.
- 46. Takayama, H., Misu, H., Iwama, H., Chikamoto, K., Saito, Y., Murao, K., Teraguchi, A., Lan, F., Kikuchi, A., Saito, R. *et al.* (2014) Metformin suppresses expression of the selenoprotein P gene via an AMP-activated kinase (AMPK)/FoxO3a pathway in H4IIEC3 hepatocytes. J. Biol. Chem., 289, 335–345.
- 47. Tajima-Shirasaki, N., Ishii, K.A., Takayama, H., Shirasaki, T., Iwama, H., Chikamoto, K., Saito, Y., Iwasaki, Y., Teraguchi, A., Lan, F. et al. (2017) Eicosapentaenoic acid down-regulates expression of the selenoprotein P gene by inhibiting SREBP-1c protein independently of the AMP-activated protein kinase pathway in H4IIEC3 hepatocytes. J. Biol. Chem., 292, 10791–10800.
- Onishi, S., Kitazawa, H., Meguro, S. and Tokimitsu, I. (2018) Green tea extracts reduce leukocyte cell-Derived chemotaxin 2 and selenoprotein P levels in the livers of C57BL/6J mice fed a high-fat diet. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.*, 82, 1568–1575.
- 49. Carrieri, C., Forrest, A.R., Santoro, C., Persichetti, F., Carninci, P., Zucchelli, S. and Gustincich, S. (2015) Expression analysis of the long non-coding RNA antisense to Uchl1 (AS Uchl1) during dopaminergic cells' differentiation in vitro and in neurochemical models of Parkinson's disease. *Front Cell Neurosci*, 9, 114.
- 50. Faghihi, M.A., Modarresi, F., Khalil, A.M., Wood, D.E., Sahagan, B.G., Morgan, T.E., Finch, C.E., St Laurent, G. 3rd, Kenny, P.J. and Wahlestedt, C. (2008) Expression of a noncoding RNA is elevated in Alzheimer's disease and drives rapid feed-forward regulation of beta-secretase. *Nat. Med.*, 14, 723–730.

- Salmena,L., Poliseno,L., Tay,Y., Kats,L. and Pandolfi,P.P. (2011) A ceRNA hypothesis: the Rosetta Stone of a hidden RNA language? *Cell*, 146, 353–358.
- Betancur, J.G., Yoda, M. and Tomari, Y. (2012) miRNA-like duplexes as RNAi triggers with improved specificity. *Front Genet*, 3, 127.
- 53. Zhang,Q., Nie,M., Sham,J., Su,C., Xue,H., Chua,D., Wang,W., Cui,Z., Liu,Y., Liu,C. *et al.* (2004) Effective gene-viral therapy for telomerase-positive cancers by selective replicative-competent adenovirus combining with endostatin gene. *Cancer Res.*, 64, 5390–5397.
- Mizukoshi, E. and Kaneko, S. (2019) Telomerase-targeted cancer immunotherapy. Int. J. Mol. Sci., 20, 1823.
- Springer, A.D. and Dowdy, S.F. (2018) GalNAc-siRNA conjugates: leading the way for delivery of RNAi therapeutics. *Nucleic Acid Ther.*, 28, 109–118.
- Caban,K. and Copeland,P.R. (2012) Selenocysteine insertion sequence (SECIS)-binding protein 2 alters conformational dynamics of residues involved in tRNA accommodation in 80 S ribosomes. J. Biol. Chem., 287, 10664–10673.
- He,F. and Jacobson,A. (2015) Nonsense-mediated mRNA decay: degradation of defective transcripts is only part of the story. *Annu. Rev. Genet.*, 49, 339–366.
- Kurosaki, T. and Maquat, L.E. (2016) Nonsense-mediated mRNA decay in humans at a glance. J. Cell Sci., 129, 461–467.
- Fradejas-Villar, N., Seeher, S., Anderson, C.B., Doengi, M., Carlson, B.A., Hatfield, D.L., Schweizer, U. and Howard, M.T. (2017) The RNA-binding protein Secisbp2 differentially modulates UGA codon reassignment and RNA decay. *Nucleic. Acids. Res.*, 45, 4094–4107.
- Murai,K., Honda,M., Shirasaki,T., Shimakami,T., Omura,H., Misu,H., Kita,Y., Takeshita,Y., Ishii,K.A., Takamura,T. et al. (2019) Induction of selenoprotein P mRNA during hepatitis C virus infection inhibits RIG-I-mediated antiviral immunity. Cell Host Microbe, 25, 588–601.