



Anesthetic management during the first combined heart-liver transplant performed in Korea -a case report-

Hyejin Park, Jungchan Park, Jonghwan Lee, and Gaabsoo Kim

Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

Herein, we describe the anesthetic management during the first combined heart-liver transplant (CHLT) performed in Korea. Though CHLT is a rare procedure, accumulating evidence suggests that it is a feasible option for patients with coexisting heart and liver failure. A 45-year-old female patient presented with severe cardiac dysfunction requiring extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) support and secondary congestive hepatopathy. The patient underwent consecutive heart and liver transplantation using extracorporeal circulatory devices—heart transplant with cardiopulmonary bypass, and liver transplant with peripheral ECMO. In this case report, we focus on the specific anesthetic considerations for CHLT pertaining to the challenges associated with dual pathophysiology.

Key Words: Cardiac cirrhosis, Combined heart-liver transplantation.

Organ transplantation has become the treatment of choice for end-stage organ failure that is not responding to medical therapy. Advances in surgical techniques and anesthetic management have rendered simultaneous multiple organ transplantation a viable option for carefully selected patients. Deteriorating cardiac function may lead to concurrent liver damage via hypoxic injury associated with a reduced cardiac index and congestive hepatopathy involving right heart failure [1]. The

Corresponding author: Gaabsoo Kim, M.D., Ph.D.

Received: February 21, 2017. Revised: April 27, 2017. Accepted: May 2, 2017.

Korean J Anesthesiol 2017 October 70(5): 571-576 https://doi.org/10.4097/kjae.2017.70.5.571 presence of liver dysfunction on preoperative evaluation, which significantly increases morbidity and mortality, has been suggested as a contraindication for isolated heart transplantation in most centers [2]. In such cases, combined heart-liver transplantation (CHLT) can be a life-saving procedure. While it remains an uncommonly performed procedure, the number of cases is steadily increasing and the outcome is comparable to that of isolated heart and isolated liver transplantation [3]. Herein, we describe the first CHLT performed in Korea, with a focus on the anesthetic management utilized during the procedure.

Case Report

A 45-year-old woman diagnosed with end-stage heart failure and cardiac cirrhosis was referred for CHLT. She was 158 cm tall and weighed 45.6 kg, and she had valvular heart disease with a functional status of class IV based on the New York Heart Association criteria. Initially, no substantial hepatic dysfunction was apparent but she did exhibit signs of mild jaundice. She had undergone mitral valve replacement and tricuspid annuloplasty, 1 month before being transferred to our hospital. Ischemic kid-

Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, 81, Irwon-ro, Gangnam-gu, Seoul 06351, Korea Tel: 82-2-3410-0360, Fax: 82-2-3410-0361 Email: gskim@skku.edu ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9383-2652

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ney injury was suspected on postoperative day (POD) 1, requiring continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT). Two days later, the patient suffered cardiac arrest caused by ventricular fibrillation, and venoarterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) was applied to the right femoral vessels.

After admission to our institution, transthoracic echocardiogram demonstrated severe biventricular dysfunction with a left ventricular ejection fraction of less than 5%. As the patient was waitlisted for CHLT, the peripheral ECMO was converted to a central ECMO with direct cannulation into the ascending aorta and right atrium. The ECMO flow rate ranged from 3.6-4.1 L/ min, and heparinization was conducted to maintain activating clotting time (ACT) within 160-180 s. The patient remained on CRRT, and infusion of norepinephrine (0.03 µg/kg/min) was set to obtain a mean arterial pressure ranging from 50-60 mmHg. Progressive hyperbilirubinemia developed, and abdominal computed tomographic images revealed hepatic fissure widening, irregularity of hepatic contours, and heterogeneous enhancement of parenchyma, which were all considered features suggestive of chronic liver disease. In addition to those findings, splenomegaly, a moderate amount of ascites, and dilated inferior vena cava indicated congestive hepatopathy secondary to heart failure. A tracheostomy was performed to facilitate long-term ventilator care, and the patient was sedated with remifentanil ($0.03 \mu g/kg/min$). Electrocardiography revealed the onset of new atrial fibrillation with a ventricular response of 76 bpm. Plain chest X-ray revealed cardiomegaly with total atelectasis of the left lung, and bronchoscopic examination revealed compression of the left main bronchus by the enlarged left atrium. Preoperative blood test results were as follows: total bilirubin 47.3 mg/dl, direct bilirubin 36.2 mg/dl, aspartate transaminase 92 units/L, alanine transaminase 51 units/L, creatinine 0.91 mg/dl, platelet count 34,000 /µl, and prothrombin time international normalized ratio (PT INR) 1.49. Child-Pugh class C and a model for end-stage liver disease score of 26 were established, and an emergent CHLT was scheduled.

Table 1	Intraoperative	Vital Signs
---------	----------------	-------------

General anesthesia was induced with midazolam (2 mg), etomidate (8 mg), and atracurium (50 mg), and maintained with oxygen, room air, and desflurane. Mechanical ventilation was set to pressure controlled mode with a peak airway pressure of 20 cmH₂O, targeting normocarbia. Hemodynamic monitoring was comprised of intra-arterial (both right radial and femoral artery), central venous, inferior vena cava (femoral vein), and pulmonary arterial pressures with cardiac output measurements (VigilanceTM monitoring, Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine, CA, USA) using a Swan-Ganz catheter (CCombo V; 7.5 French, Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine, CA, USA). Because the right inguinal area had been anatomically disturbed during prior ECMO insertion, the right femoral vessels were each punctured with an arterial catheter (Leader Cath^{\circ}, 20 G 0.5 × 80 mm, Vygon^{\circ}, Écouen, France) under the guidance of ultrasound imaging. Leaving aside the previously inserted 7 Fr central line in the right internal jugular vein, a 9-Fr Advanced Venous Access catheter (Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine, CA, USA) was also placed approximately 5 cm distal to the original insertion point under ultrasonographic guidance. After unremarkable induction, a transesophageal echocardiography probe was inserted to assess function and confirm de-airing of the heart graft at the end of the cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB).

A sequential heart and liver transplant was planned without interrupting extracorporeal circulatory support. The heart and liver graft were both immersed in histidine-tryptophan-ketoglutarate solution and maintained at approximately 0°C. After re-sternotomy, the left femoral vessels and superior vena cava were cannulated and CPB was established by the administration of 100 mg heparin. Heart transplantation was performed using a modified bicaval technique, and at the end of anastomosis a temporary pacemaker was inserted to maintain the heart rate at 90 bpm (Table 1). During the total bypass time of 148 min, 11 units of leukocyte-depleted red blood cells (LDRBC) and 2 units of fresh frozen plasma (FFP) were transfused. CPB was rapidly

	HR (beats/min)	BP (mmHg)	CVP/IVC (mmHg)	PA (mmHg)	CO (L/min)	SVR (dynes∙sec/cm ⁻⁵)
Preop	102	104/63 (75)	10/13	-	-	-
HT start	104	79/32 (45)	7/9	-	-	-
LT start	90	74/51 (57)	11/11	15/10 (12)	3.3	1115
Anhepatic	90	81/60 (64)	12/19	16/12 (14)	1.2	3467
Post-reperfusion, 3 min	90	54/44 (47)	3/11	-	-	-
Post-reperfusion, 5 min	90	88/61 (67)	15/14	20/16 (17)	1.4	2971
Post-reperfusion, 1 h	90	123/65 (76)	8/11	25/12 (15)	2.1	2590
Post-reperfusion, 3 h	90	82/43 (49)	4/5	15/7 (8)	2.3	1565
Post-reperfusion, 5 h	90	98/79 (85)	6/14	Mean 7	-	-

HR: heart rate, BP: blood pressure, CVP: central venous pressure, IVC: inferior vena cava, PA: pulmonary artery, CO: cardiac output, SVR: systemic vascular resistance, Preop: preoperative, HT: heart transplantation, LT: liver transplantation.

converted to peripheral ECMO via the left femoral vessels. Protamine sulfate (100 mg) was used to reverse heparinization and achieve an ACT of 163 s.

With the chest left open, liver transplantation was initiated. A separate incision below the subcostal area was made and full mobilization of the recipient's liver was achieved by performing careful dissection. During hepatectomy, substantial hemorrhage was observed from not only the surgical field in progress but also the thorax, which necessitated massive volume resuscitation using a rapid infusion system (Level 1, Smiths Medical Products, MN, USA). The cardiac surgeon was called upon for additional bleeding control. The piggyback technique was applied during the anhepatic stage.

While referring to the hourly results of complete blood cell counts and coagulation profiles, transfusion was conducted as follows: LDRBC targeting hemoglobin of 10 g/dl, two units of FFP when PT INR was above 3, and one unit of single-donor platelets when the platelet count was below 50,000 /µl. A potassium level exceeding 4.5 mEq/L during the anhepatic stage was managed by using a bolus dose of 10 international units (IU) of

regular insulin, followed by 200 ml of 5% dextrose solution infusion. Correction of metabolic acidosis with a base deficit below -10 mmol/L was attempted via the infusion of 1 L of half saline mixed with 80 mEq/L sodium bicarbonate, and 300 mg calcium chloride was administered when ionized calcium was less than 0.8 mmol/L. After reperfusion, glucose control was performed using regular insulin in accordance with the Portland protocol, targeting 80-120 mg/dl. Perioperative coagulation and arterial blood gas profiles are shown in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. Furthermore, thromboelastography was utilized (Table 4), as platelet count alone does not accurately correlate with actual coagulation function in patients with ECMO [4]. Perioperative thromboelastography analyses resulted in flat traces for all, except the protamine-added blood sample, which showed mostly normal curve characteristics, slightly decreased maximum amplitude and slightly increased R time (Fig. 1). This indicated that the effect of heparin was the dominant cause of the coagulation disorder. Despite pre-administration of 1 mg atropine, 600 mg calcium chloride, and 20 mEq bicarbonate, post-reperfusion syndrome lasting approximately 3 min developed (Table 1) and

Table 2. Coagulation Profile, Hemoglobin, and Electrolytes during Combined Heart-liver Transplantation

	Coagulation						Electrolytes					
	Platelets (1000 /μl)	PT (INR)	aPTT (s)	ACT (s)	Fibrinogen (mg/dl)	(g/dl, %)	Na (mEq/L)	K (mEq/L)	Ca (mEq/L)	Glu (mg/dl)	Lactate (mmol/L)	
Preop (central ECMO)	34	1.49	99.0	156	-	8.8/25.0	136.0	4.20	1.22	167	1.74	
HT start (before CPB)	27	2.15	300.0	199	439	7.4/21.1	134.2	4.52	0.96	84	2.96	
LT start (on ECMO)	58	1.79	70.5	148	162	10.5/30.3	137.1	5.63	0.86	127	11.21	
Post-anhepatic, 1 h	32	2.66	212.6	-	82	9.3/28.0	135.7	5.04	0.60	128	12.47	
Post-reperfusion, 5 min	54	3.11	300.0	226	70	11.3/34.2	135.3	5.06	0.83	150	11.92	
Post-reperfusion, 1 h	49	3.22	300.0	215	93	10.9/32.8	136.0	4.87	0.98	135	10.45	
Post-reperfusion, 3 h	57	2.69	222.6	-	94	9.9/28.9	137.7	4.50	0.90	135	9.76	
End of operation	24	3.22	137.0	-	73	12.0/34.9	137.9	4.55	0.88	123	6.98	

PT: prothrombin time, INR: international normalized ratio, aPTT: activated partial thromboplastin time, ACT: activated clotting time, Hb: hemoglobin, Hct: hematocrit, Preop: preoperative, ECMO: extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, HT: heart transplantation, CPB: cardiopulmonary bypass, LT: liver transplantation.

Tab	ole 3.	Mec	hanical	V	⁷ entilation	and	Arterial	Blood	Gas	Analysis	
-----	--------	-----	---------	---	-------------------------	-----	----------	-------	-----	----------	--

	Ventilator setting					Arterial blood gas analysis						
	FIO ₂	TV (ml)	RR (breaths/min)	PIP/PEEP (cmH ₂ O)		рН	PaCO ₂ (mmHg)	PaO ₂ (mmHg)	HCO ₃ - (mmol/L)	BE (mmol/L)		
HT start (before CPB)	0.5	301	14	16/0		7.356	43.4	57.3	23.7	-1.7		
LT start (on ECMO)	0.3	211	10	16/5		7.303	33.0	358.4	16.0	-9.4		
Post-anhepatic, 1 h	0.3	245	10	16/5		7.248	31.2	411.6	13.3	-12.8		
Post-reperfusion, 5 min	0.5	179	10	20/7		7.172	32.9	370.0	11.8	-15.5		
Post-reperfusion, 1 h	0.4	270	10	19/5		7.269	33.4	394.8	15.0	-10.9		
Post-reperfusion, 3 h	0.5	220	10	18/5		7.368	31.6	346.5	17.8	-6.6		

 FIO_2 : fraction of inspired oxygen, TV: tidal volume, RR: respiration rate, PIP: peak inspiratory pressure, PEEP: positive end expiratory pressure, pH: hydrogen ion concentration, PaCO₂: partial pressure of carbon dioxide, PaO₂: partial pressure of oxygen, HCO₃-: bicarbonate ion, BE: base excess, HT: heart transplantation, CPB: cardiopulmonary bypass, LT: liver transplantation, ECMO: extra-corporeal membrane oxygenation.

Combined heart-liver transplantation

	CT (s)	CFT (s)	α angle (degrees)	MCF (min)	LI60 (%)
Baseline	5394	-	-	-	-
Post-CPB, 30 min	2400	-	-	8	-
Anhepatic	1052	-	-	-	-
Anhepatic, 1 h	9172	-	-	-	-
Reperfusion, 5 min	9368	-	-	-	-
Post-reperfusion, 1 h	1009	-	-	-	-
POD 1	2255	1929	9	43	100

Table 4. Thromboelastography (ROTEM[®] Analysis)

CT: clotting time, CFT: clot formation time, MCF: maximum clot firmness, LI60: lysis index at 60 minutes, CPB: cardiopulmonary bypass, POD: postoperative day.



Fig. 1. Thromboelastography analysis of the protamine-added blood sample, obtained 5 min post-reperfusion. SP: split point (initial fibrin formation), Pt: patient, NR: normal range, R: reaction time, K: clot formation time, MA: Maximum amplitude, Ang: alpha angle, LY30: clot lysis after 30 min, LY60: clot lysis after 60 min.

small doses of epinephrine (total of 100 μ g) were required for recovery. Tranexamic acid (500 mg) was administered 10 min after reperfusion, and an additional bolus of protamine (50 mg) was given due to the prolonged ACT (215 s). After completing the closure of the abdominal incision, the cardiac transplant team returned to control residual bleeding and close the sternum.

Overall, 13,600 ml crystalloid, 1,250 ml 5% albumin, 3,800 ml of half saline, 32 units of LDRBC, 5,500 ml of cell saver (Haemonetics, MA, USA) blood, 11 units of FFP, 4 units of single-donor platelets, and 5 units of cryoprecipitate were infused. There was no measurable urine output, and lost red cell mass was calculated to be approximately 7,500 ml [5]. Removal of body waste through substantial surgical bleeding and replacement by fluids and blood products mimicked the effects of plasmapheresis [6], which led to a decline in postoperative creatinine level (0.7 mg/dl) without implementing intraoperative renal replacement therapy. Upon completion of surgery, dobutamine (5 μ g/kg/min), norepinephrine (0.05 μ g/kg/min), wasopressin (0.05 units/min), and epinephrine (0.05 μ g/kg/min) were administered and the patient was transferred to the cardiothoracic intensive care unit on ECMO support. The total anesthesia time was 13 h and 15 min.

On POD 1, the patient exhibited ischemic signs in the right hand which appeared to be associated with prolonged arterial cannulation, and these were alleviated after an emergent thromboembolectomy of the right radial and brachial artery. Because the arterial line had been inserted prior to arrival at our institution, whether the Allen's test had been performed was uncertain. Moreover, a significant dose of vasopressor may have impeded perfusion of the right hand contributing to the ischemic event. ECMO was removed on POD 4, but norepinephrine (0.1 µg/ kg/min) infusion was needed, and it was slowly tapered over the course of 1 month postoperatively. Transaminase levels, prothrombin time, and bilirubin levels were normalized within 3 weeks. However, the patient's recovery was hindered by type II respiratory failure requiring mechanical ventilation for over 1 month, and oliguric acute kidney injury necessitating CRRT. Improving renal function was evident, and the transition from

CRRT to hemodialysis (3 times a week) took place on POD 45, at which point hemodialysis was no longer needed. In addition, the patient exhibited defective wound healing at multiple locations on the sternum, abdomen, and left inguinal area, which were treated using antibiotics and surgical revision. Two months after CHLT, transudative ascites and right pleural effusion developed transiently, but improved after daily drainage. To investigate the underlying cause, abdominal computed tomography was undertaken and revealed signs of chronic liver disease and mild periportal edema implying volume overload status. The patient survived a recent episode of septic shock due to catheterrelated infection. The most recent echocardiogram revealed normal left ventricular function and slightly reduced right ventricular systolic function with a small amount of pericardial effusion. At 5 months postoperatively, she was discharged in a stable condition without evidence of rejection of either allograft.

Discussion

Since its introduction in 1984 by Starzl et al. [7], the number of CHLTs performed has accumulated to over 200 in the United States, the majority of which were performed within the last decade [8]. Despite limited global experience, medical and surgical advances have improved the outcome of CHLT, which is becoming a promising therapy for those who suffer dual organ failure. A single-center study of 26 CHLT recipients demonstrated acceptable results, with 1 year and 5-year survival rates of 87% and 83%, respectively [3]. According to the medical literature, the most common indications for CHLT are familial amyloidosis and heart failure with concomitant cardiac cirrhosis [9,10].

As the majority of CHLT candidates with severe cardiac insufficiency are unable to tolerate the hemodynamic changes that occur during liver transplantation, it is suggested that heart transplantation be performed prior to liver transplantation [11]. A number of operative strategies have been published, including (1) a separate staged operation involving heart transplantation followed by liver transplantation, (2) heart transplantation on CPB then liver transplantation after discontinuing CPB during the same operation, and (3) heart and liver transplantation both performed on CPB [3]. The most widely applied technique is performing the heart transplant on CPB, separating from the bypass, and continuing the liver transplant with selective use of venovenous bypass [10,11].

The patient was receiving ECMO support in the current case, indicating the relative urgency of a heart transplant over that of a liver transplant. The authors decided to begin the cardiac transplantation on CPB and proceed with liver transplantation on ECMO in order to mitigate the hemodynamic stress on the transplanted heart and minimize the cold ischemia time of the liver by omitting the CPB weaning process. Considering the inherent volume-overload condition of cirrhotic patients, cardiac graft function may decline after cessation of CPB [12]. Moreover, the left bronchus remained collapsed, so achieving adequate gas exchange would have been difficult without an extracorporeal circulatory device.

The underlying pathophysiology of liver cirrhosis poses a challenge to the management of heart transplantation. Cirrhotic patients are characterized by a hyperdynamic state with high cardiac output and low systemic vascular resistance, mandating increased vasopressor support during anesthesia [13]. Balanced anesthesia via a combination of intravenous drugs with minimal suppression on hemodynamics (*e.g.*, etomidate, opioids) and low-dose inhalation agents to avoid excessive vasopressor use is essential [14]. In addition, hepatic dysfunction causes acid/base disorders, thrombocytopenia, and imbalance between procoagulant and anticoagulant factors, which is complicated by the use of hemodynamic support devices (*e.g.*, ECMO, CPB) [15]. The authors conducted prudent transfusion of blood products intraoperatively, referring to consecutive coagulation profiles and clinical estimation of blood loss.

The newly grafted heart is also subject to specific obstacles during liver transplantation. The heart allograft possesses a normal Starling relationship between end-diastolic volume and cardiac output, and is therefore vulnerable to a sudden decrease in preload-which is frequently encountered during inferior vena cava clamping [13]. Conversely, excessive volume overload as a result of massive fluid infusion during liver transplantation may compromise the graft's right ventricular function, leading to right heart failure [12]. Continuous monitoring of central venous pressure and transesophageal echocardiography are pivotal in determining the recipient's volume status, which can be managed via resuscitation, diuresis, or vasoactive agents [12]. The authors integrated various hemodynamic parameters with the information provided by transesophageal echocardiography to aid fluid therapy and inotropic use. Also, cirrhosis-induced electrolyte disorder, volume redistribution, and metabolic acidosis from reperfusion of the liver graft should be promptly managed because such conditions may jeopardize cardiac function and increase the risk of arrhythmias [13].

In conclusion, many factors should be taken into account for a successful CHLT, due to the burden of two surgical procedures and the reduced physiologic reserve of the recipient associated with concurrent cardiac and hepatic dysfunction. Evidence is accumulating that CHLT is a safe procedure with a favorable outcome for selected patients with dual organ failure who would be precluded from either heart or liver transplantation alone. With individualized anesthetic and surgical methods focusing on adequate organ perfusion, optimal graft function, and minimizing perioperative complications, CHLT may ultimately become the standard of care.

ORCID

Hye Jin Park, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5469-2060 Jungchan Park, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0863-1387 Jong-Hwan Lee, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8249-5550 Gaabsoo Kim, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9383-2652

References

- 1. Møller S, Bernardi M. Interactions of the heart and the liver. Eur Heart J 2013; 34: 2804-11.
- 2. Chokshi A, Cheema FH, Schaefle KJ, Jiang J, Collado E, Shahzad K, et al. Hepatic dysfunction and survival after orthotopic heart transplantation: application of the MELD scoring system for outcome prediction. J Heart Lung Transplant 2012; 31: 591-600.
- 3. Atluri P, Gaffey A, Howard J, Phillips E, Goldstone AB, Hornsby N, et al. Combined heart and liver transplantation can be safely performed with excellent short- and long-term results. Ann Thorac Surg 2014; 98: 858-62.
- 4. Oliver WC. Anticoagulation and coagulation management for ECMO. Semin Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2009; 13: 154-75.
- Bang SR, Ahn HJ, Kim GS, Yang M, Gwak MS, Ko JS, et al. Predictors of high intraoperative blood loss derived by simple and objective method in adult living donor liver transplantation. Transplant Proc 2010; 42: 4148-50.
- 6. Wi W, Hahm TS, Kim GS. A case series on simultaneous liver and kidney transplantation: do we need intraoperative renal replacement therapy? Korean J Anesthesiol 2017; 70: 467-76.
- 7. Starzl TE, Bilheimer DW, Bahnson HT, Shaw BW Jr, Hardesty RL, Griffith BP, et al. Heart-liver transplantation in a patient with familial hypercholesterolaemia. Lancet 1984; 1: 1382-3.
- 8. U.S. Department of Health & Human Services. Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network [internet]. 2017 Feb. Available from http://optn.transplant.hrsa.gov/data/.
- 9. Cannon RM, Hughes MG, Jones CM, Eng M, Marvin MR. A review of the United States experience with combined heart-liver transplantation. Transpl Int 2012; 25: 1223-8.
- 10. Reich HJ, Awad M, Ruzza A, De Robertis MA, Ramzy D, Nissen N, et al. Combined heart and liver transplantation: the cedars-sinai experience. Transplant Proc 2015; 47: 2722-6.
- 11. Beal EW, Mumtaz K, Hayes D Jr, Whitson BA, Black SM. Combined heart-liver transplantation: Indications, outcomes and current experience. Transplant Rev (Orlando) 2016; 30: 261-8.
- 12. DiStefano YE, Cvetkovic D, Malekan R, McGoldrick KE. Anesthetic management of combined heart-liver transplantation in a patient with ischemic cardiomyopathy and cardiac cirrhosis: lessons learned. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2017; 31: 646-52.
- 13. Diaz GC, Renz JF, Nishanian E, Kinkhabwala M, Emond JC, Wagener G. Anesthetic management of combined heart-liver transplantation. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2007; 21: 253-6.
- 14. Baker J, Yost CS, Neimann CU. Organ transplantation. In: Miller's Anesthesia. 6th ed. Edited by Miller R: Philadelphia, Elsevier. 2005, pp 2231-83.
- 15. Tripodi A, Mannucci PM. The coagulopathy of chronic liver disease. N Engl J Med 2011; 365: 147-56.