

## 

**Citation:** Lobo EH, Johnson T, Frølich A, Kensing F, Rasmussen LJ, Hosking SM, et al. (2022) Utilization of social media communities for caregiver information support in stroke recovery: An analysis of content and interactions. PLoS ONE 17(1): e0262919. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0262919

Editor: Vishnu Renjith, Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland, IRELAND

Received: June 29, 2021

Accepted: January 7, 2022

Published: January 26, 2022

**Peer Review History:** PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262919

**Copyright:** © 2022 Lobo et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the paper and its <u>Supporting Information</u> files.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Utilization of social media communities for caregiver information support in stroke recovery: An analysis of content and interactions

Elton H. Lobo<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Tara Johnson<sup>2,3</sup>, Anne Frølich<sup>2,4</sup>, Finn Kensing<sup>5</sup>, Lene J. Rasmussen<sup>6,7</sup>, Sarah M. Hosking<sup>3</sup>, Amy T. Page<sup>8,9</sup>, Patricia M. Livingston<sup>3</sup>, Sheikh Mohammed Shariful Islam<sup>10</sup>, John Grundy<sup>11</sup>, Mohamed Abdelrazek<sup>1</sup>

 School of Information Technology, Deakin University, Geelong, VIC, Australia, 2 Department of Public Health, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, 3 Faculty of Health, Deakin University, Geelong, VIC, Australia, 4 Innovation and Research Centre for Multimorbidity, Slagelse Hospital, Slagelse, Region Zealand, Denmark, 5 Department of Computer Science, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, 6 Department of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, 7 Center for Healthy Aging, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, 8 Pharmacy Department, Alfred Health, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, 9 Centre for Medicine Use and Safety, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, 10 Institute for Physical Activity and Nutrition (IPAN), Deakin University, Geelong, Australia, 11 Faculty of Information Technology, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

\* elobo@deakin.edu.au

## Abstract

## Background

Caregivers often use the internet to access information related to stroke care to improve preparedness, thereby reducing uncertainty and enhancing the quality of care.

## Method

Social media communities used by caregivers of people affected by stroke were identified using popular keywords searched for using Google. Communities were filtered based on their ability to provide support to caregivers. Data from the included communities were extracted and analysed to determine the content and level of interaction.

## Results

There was a significant rise in the use of social media by caregivers of people affected by stroke. The most popular social media communities were charitable and governmental organizations with the highest user interaction—this was for topics related to stroke prevention, signs and symptoms, and caregiver self-care delivered through video-based resources.

## Conclusion

Findings show the ability of social media to support stroke caregiver needs and practices that should be considered to increase their interaction and support.

**Funding:** This study was supported through doctoral scholarships from the School of Information Technology, Deakin University, and the Department of Public Health, University of Copenhagen. Further, Prof John Grundy is supported by ARC Laureate Fellowship FL190100035, and Dr. Sarah Hosking is supported by an Alfred Deakin Postdoctoral Research Fellowship.

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

**Abbreviations:** QoL, Quality of Life; ADL, Activities of Daily Living; HCP, Health Care Professionals.

### Introduction

Stroke is the leading cause of dependency and disability worldwide [1], resulting in family caregivers providing substantial care to people with stroke [2]. Family caregivers, generally known as informal caregivers [3] are responsible for assisting with daily activities, including mobilization, toileting, bathing, transportation, and navigating the health care system [4]. Despite their key role in care, many caregivers feel unprepared [5], leading to psychological, social, physical, and financial strains [6].

Family (or informal) caregivers have varying needs for education and support during the stroke care trajectory [7]. Yet, to date, standard clinical practice guidelines have not considered programs to ensure caregiver education and support [8]. The most common form of information received by caregivers at the hospital included booklets and pamphlets, which caregivers have reported to be very basic or out of date [9]. Caregivers may attempt to source alternate information sources to improve preparedness to reduce uncertainty and enhance recovery [10].

In the past, caregivers have predominately used the internet (or online) sources to access information related to stroke care [11–13]. The internet is changing how health information is accessed [14], thereby influencing individuals' knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs towards a specific health behaviour [15]. As a result, the trend towards internet use for health information purposes has been significantly rising [16]. A cross-sectional study by Naqvi, Montiel [17] reported over 96.8% of caregivers having access to the internet to generally browse web pages (84.6%) and access their emails (89.4%).

Today, in the era of Web 2.0, social media such as Facebook and Twitter has changed the landscape in health care information delivery [16, 18]. Social media can empower people to adopt a healthy lifestyle and help improve health management and decision-making processes [19]. Furthermore, social media creates an unprecedented opportunity to enhance the quality of care by mobilizing many social media users and enabling the users to generate a large amount of content [19]. The content generated is in the form of user health care knowledge, experiences, symptoms, health care products, doctors, and medicines in easily accessible formats, such as images, text, and videos [18].

Social media use has provided organizations and individuals with an openly accessible platform to engage actively and participate in healthcare [20]. However, very little is known about its potential benefit to caregiving and its ability to interact with the caregiver actively. This study presents three key aims. This study aims to:

- 1. Investigate frequency of searches for stroke-related terms over time using Google Insights and Google Trends.
- 2. Identify the information content available to caregivers on popular information-supportbased social media platforms (i.e., Facebook and Twitter) to support their needs and activities.
- 3. Understand the levels of interaction for the different social media posts identified through the likes, comments, and shares by content types (i.e., image, video, link, or text).

## Method

#### Study design

Our study consisted of a mixed-method approach to answer identified research aims. The mixed methods approach is a type of research where a researcher or group of researchers combine elements of quantitative and qualitative methods (e.g. use of quantitative and qualitative

viewpoints for data collection, analysis and inference techniques) to provide a broad understanding of the research problem [21]. For example, to investigate the frequency of stroke terms, a quantitative analysis was conducted to determine the online activity of people interested in stroke recovery and care using tools such as Google Trends and Google Insights. Google Trends and Google Insights provide a platform for individuals to investigate its users' search behaviour throughout time based on a relative cumulative search volume score from 0–100, which is the ratio of single search term volume to all possible searches. A qualitative analysis was used to analyse the information content using a thematic synthesis approach. Finally, the levels of interaction were identified through a quantitative statistical analysis of likes, comments, and shares based on the different content types.

## Identifying relevant communities

The identification of relevant social media communities (or groups) in stroke recovery involved multiple steps. Initially, we identified the relevant search keywords used based on discussions with topic experts and electronic database searches. We tested the keywords on Google Insights and Google Trends to determine their relevance to individuals around the world in stroke recovery and care based on their searching behaviour. Finally, we performed individual searches on two popular social media platforms (i.e., Facebook and Twitter).

A search of social media platforms (i.e., Facebook and Twitter) was conducted from December 2020 to January 2021 and was limited to those available in the English language. Moreover, the search included only communities made public by the administrator (or did not require permissions to be accessed by the user).

#### **Community selection**

Initially, the researchers used a custom-built web form to manually extract information from all social media communities, including community names, descriptions, links, number of followers (or likes), and several posts, and store the data in a MySQL database. The communities identified were then filtered based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria described in Table 1.

#### Analysis the findings

The analysis process involved a multi-stage data extraction and management process using a custom-built python scraper consisting of all the community page links and outputs findings to a MySQL database. The data was then extracted as a Microsoft Excel file and coded independently using QSR NVivo 12 by two researchers based on a three-stage thematic synthesis approach, involving: 'line by line' coding of text, development of descriptive themes, and generation of analytical themes [22]. All posts unrelated to the caregiver and/or posts that did not provide information support (e.g., advertisements, event photos, news articles, research studies, etc.) were excluded from the study. Additionally, descriptive characteristics data from the communities (such as community name, origin, published date, and basic information) and interaction data (such as likes and comments) were charted by one researcher to answer the specific research aims.

#### Table 1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria used to filter social media communities.

Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Considers Caregivers of Stroke described through its description or content	• Does not include Caregivers of Stroke
<ul> <li>Provides Information regarding Stroke</li> <li>Supports User Interaction on Posts</li> </ul>	Blocks Users from Replying to Posts

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262919.t001

### **Collating and summarizing**

Both qualitative and quantitative findings were collated and summarized to answer the research questions resulting in the descriptive numerical summary and thematic analysis. The predefined descriptive classification applied to the initial coding of the communities include;

#### a. Community Demographics

- *Year Published*-to understand the growth in online communities over the past few decades.
- Community location-to understand the target population
- *Community affiliation*-to know if the content created is by people working in the stroke domain
- Community Purpose-to understand the purpose of the community through the community description
- c. **Information Support**-to understand the type of information provided to the caregiver in the post (i.e., disease, patient care management, self-care, etc.) and the method of delivery (i.e., text, image, video, or link) using a thematic analysis technique
- d. Community Interaction
  - Post purpose-to understand the information type required by the user
  - *Likes, followers, reactions, and comments*-to understand user interaction based on the post purpose

## Results

#### Digital interest regarding stroke

Overall, 94 keywords were identified from discussions with topic experts and electronic database searches. Of these 94 keywords, 15 keywords were based on stroke disease and its definitions, 25 keywords were related to the signs & symptoms of stroke, 37 keywords included different medications used in stroke and 17 keywords focused on aspects related to recovery & care.

Findings from the Google Trends and Google Insights searches demonstrated an apparent increase in the cumulative search volumes for the terms identified through discussions with topic experts and electronic database searches over the past ten years (Fig 1). The rise in the cumulative search volume was 12.4 between January 2011 and December 2020 identified by:

$$a_{ij} = \frac{\sum k_i}{N_{ij}} \tag{1}$$

Where  $a_{ij}$  is the average cumulative search volume for each topic (*j*) each year (*i*), *k* is the cumulative search volume acquired from Google Trends and Insights for all the keywords associated with the topic for year *i*, *N* is the total number of keywords in the topic (*j*) for year *i*, *i* is the year ranging from 1 to 10 and *j* is the topic ranging from 1 to 4.

$$Y_{i} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{j=4} a_{ij}}{4}$$
(2)



Fig 1. Cumulative search volume from January 2011 to December 2020 for stroke related topics used in this study.

where  $Y_i$  is the average cumulative search volume for all topics  $(a_{ij})$  in year *i* ranging from 1 to 10

$$A = Y_{10} - Y_1$$
(3)

where *A* is the rise in the cumulative search volume between the Tenth  $(Y_{10})$  and First  $(Y_1)$  Years (i.e. January 2011 and December 2020).

Topics including 'stroke definition' and 'stroke recovery' were the most commonly searched during the ten years. Issues such as 'signs & symptoms of stroke', and stroke medication have had a significant rise in searches during the past four years.

**Identification of relevant keywords.** <u>Table 2</u> presents the ten most commonly searched terms identified by the online search (or usage) activity as extracted from Google Trends and Google Insights. The ten most frequently used keywords were selected based on their cumulative search volume over the past year.

Table 2.	Ten most commonl	v used kevwo	rds in stroke i	dentified throu	igh Google	Trends
					0 0 .	

	Cumulative Search Volume
Stroke	85.3
Stroke Care	81.6
Stroke Recovery	76.8
Apoplexy	76.3
Cerebrovascular Accident	75.6
Stroke Unit	75.1
Traumatic Brain Injury	74.5
Lacunar Infarct	74.2
Stroke Medication	73.6
Aphasia	73.1

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262919.t002

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262919.g001

#### Social media communities

The combined search strategies identified 352 social media communities using the keywords identified in Table 2, which were then screened for eligibility using the inclusion and exclusion criteria demonstrated in Table 1. Out of the 352 social media communities, 111 were excluded as they were not related to stroke patient caregivers, 23 were not accessible to the public, 17 were not associated with stroke, and 3 were not available in English. Overall, 198 social media communities were included in the study, as shown in Table 3.

**Descriptive characteristics.** Out of the 198 social media communities, 141 (71.2%) were available on Facebook and 57 (28.8%) were available on Twitter. These communities were created by individuals (n = 64; 32.3%), charitable or non-profit organizations (n = 61; 30.8%), community centres (n = 24; 12.1%), educational organizations (n = 20; 10.1%), medical centres (n = 13; 9.1%), small and medium sized organizations (n = 8; 4.0%) and governmental organizations (n = 3; 1.5%) identified based on administrator affiliations and community descriptions as illustrated in Fig 2. The most popular groups, identified by the number of followers, were charitable organizations and governmental organizations (Fig 3).

Across all social media platforms, Twitter was seen to have the highest average number of followers and posts (7093.6 followers and 4828.7 posts), followed by Facebook (4202.8 followers and 579.6 posts) as shown in Fig 4. The earliest identified pages were published in 2009 on both Twitter (n = 10; 5.1%) and Facebook (n = 5; 2.5%). Since 2009, both social media platforms have witnessed a variation in the number of new stroke communities for caregivers (Fig 5).

**Community purpose.** The analysis of the social media community description identified six prominent themes (Fig 6) detailed below:

- a. **Support:** Support-based social media communities were the most common community type (n = 81; 40.9%); these are intended to provide users with tools to support and share caregiving responsibilities. Moreover, these communities allowed users to join either virtual or local groups to promote emotional and psychological support.
- b. Awareness: Communities in this theme (n = 59; 29.8%) intend to make the caregiver more aware of the tools and resources available locally to support the patient during care. It also allowed the caregiver to understand the risk factors and signs of a stroke to prepare them during a secondary stroke event.
- c. Education: The education theme (n = 34; 17.2%) consisted of communities that share online books and resources intended to educate the caregiver on stroke-related topics, factors associated with its occurrence, secondary prevention techniques, management, support guidelines, medication resources, and similar issues. This was generally delivered in the form of text and video-based resources.
- d. **Advertising:** These communities (n = 14; 7.1%) generally focused on advertising recovery products to support caregivers during care and ongoing research conducted at local universities to develop better care practices to support caregivers and their patients.
- e. **Motivation:** Motivation (n = 8; 4.0%) oriented communities generally delivered this by caregivers and patients through personal stories and practices during recovery. Social media communities motivated their users through inspirational quotes and success stories.
- f. **Fundraising:** The fundraising communities (n = 2; 1.0%) were either delivered by charitable organizations to support caregivers and their patients or by individual caregivers struggling to support patients due to financial constraints. The fundraising in charitable organizations involved links to fundraising campaigns and campaign invites to events conducted locally.

#### Table 3. Social media communities included in the review.

Association for the Rehabilitation of the Brain Injured	Minnesota Brain Injury Alliance/Minnesota Stroke Association		
Stroke Recovery Foundation	Suncoast Aphasia Support Group		
United Stroke Alliance	Oceanside Stroke Recovery Society		
American Stroke Association	Orillia Stroke Survivor and Caregiver Support Group		
Stroke Association	Delta Stroke Recovery Society     Pittsburgh Aphasia Community		
Stroke Foundation	• StrokeEd		
National Aphasia Association	Aphasia Lab-USC		
Aphasia Recovery Connection	BRAIN Lab: Brain Research for Aphasia and Intensive Neurorehabilitation Lab		
• American Stroke Foundation	• Aphasia CRE		
• Stroke Association NI	• SIRUKE-The Road to Recovery		
Stroke Association South West     Stroke Survivors Foundation	Stroke Special Interact Group		
Anhasia Ireland	• University of Michigan Aphasia Program (UMAP)		
Stroke Association East of England	Stroke Rehabilitation Research		
• Aphasia Network	Stroke and Cerebrovascular Accident Education		
• Aphasia Nova Scotia	Triangle Aphasia Project, Unlimited		
Stroke Association London	Aphasia Connections		
Stroke Family Awareness	Priority Research Centre for Stroke and Brain Injury		
Bright Spot Pediatric Stroke	The Big Sky Aphasia Program		
American Aphasia Society	Purdue University Aphasia Group		
FAST Stroke Awareness	Hazard & Surrounding Area Stroke Survivor & Caregiver Support Group		
• World Stroke Day Kenya 2017	• Spot Stroke		
• Stroke SA Inc	Kathi Naumann -Stroke Support & Survival Guide		
• Supporting Aphasia Fellowship and Education Fellowship and Education	• The Aphasia Cafe by Dr. Dawn McGuire		
Brain Injury Recovery Foundation	Stroke Awareness		
Australian Aphasia Association     Stroke Foundation of N/Z	Kaising Stroke Awareness     Stroke Awareness		
• Shoke Foundation of NZ	Stroke Prevention		
Stroke Fighters	Aphasia Awareness		
Stroke Survivors Empowering Each Other (SSEEO)	Stroke therapy tricks for stroke survivors		
Singapore National Stroke Association	• Stroke Group		
BINA Stroke & Brain Injury Assistance	Canadian Aphasia Association		
Stroke Rehabilitation & Healing, Inc.	• Aphasia Awareness		
• Calgary Aphasia Centre	• Stroke		
Stroke Support of Texas	Stroke Cure		
• Stroke Help Network	Stroke Rehabilitation Awareness		
• Aphasia NSW	Stroke Caregiver		
The Scott Coopersmith Stroke Awareness Foundation	Rehabilitation for Stroke		
Brain injury & Stroke Foundation KENYA	• TBI Hope & Inspiration		
• Friends of Aphasia Detroat & Defrech Strate Comm	• The Brain Fairy—Living with Brain Injury		
Ketreat & Refresh Stroke Camp     Adlar Aphagia Cantar	Apnasia Friendly Resources     Stronger After Stroleg Plag		
Anner Aphasia Center     Aphasia Center of California	Stroke information		
• Living with Aphasia	Stroke Support		
• Talkback Association for Aphasia Inc	Recovering from Brain Injury		
• Stroke Information Support Group	• Stroke Recovery Tips		
Alberta Aphasia Camp	Stroke Recovery: Stories from Patients, Doctors, Families and Caregivers		
Aphasia Centre of Ottawa	• Stroke		
• Aphasia vzw	GRASP—Geriatric Relearning After Stroke-Induced Paralysis		
Stroke Rehabilitation Ireland	Caregiving for Stroke Survivors		
Stroke Caregivers	Teamconnor fundraising and brain injury/stroke awarness		
Stroke Ownership & Recovery	Stroke Survivor Caregivers		
<ul> <li>Midwest Stroke support group for survivors and caregivers</li> </ul>	Surviving A Stroke		
• Stroke, tbi, and their, caregivers	Stroke Survivors		
• The Other Stroke Talk for survivors, caregivers and anyone who wants to be	Caregiving After Stroke     Stroke		
Support for Caregivers of Stroke Patients     Malausian Stroke Dalahilitation	• Stroke Awareness		
Ivialaysian Stroke Kenabilitation     Stroke & Neuro Intervention	Stroke Warriors		
Anhasia SG	• Stroke Survivor		
UCAN Stroke Rehabilitation in Merseyside and Cheshire	Stroke Rehabilitation		
Stroke Support India	TBI & Stroke Victims		
• AphasiaAccess	Stroke Recovery Solutions		

(Continued)

#### Table 3. (Continued)

Stroke Survivors	Aphasia will not be silent / Stroke Survivor Coach
Certified Stroke Rehabilitation Specialist (CSRS)	• Stroke UK
Greenhills Stroke Rehabilitation Center Ghana	• TBI TED—Brain Injury and CTE Support
Stroke Rehabilitation	Group Stroke
Montgomery County Stroke Survivor, Caregiver, and Aphasia Support Group	• Stroke Therapy
Stroke & Neuro Rehabilitation for Shropshire	Stroke Rehab
Stroke Rehabilitation Centre	NXT Senior & Caregiver Resources Inc.
UNT Aphasia Support Group	
Stroke Awareness	
Twitte	r (n = 29)
• American_Stroke	CDC Division for Heart Disease & Stroke Prevention
Stroke Association	The Aphasia Center
Sign Against Stroke	Stroke Survivors Foundation
• heartandstroke	Adler Aphasia Center
• Aphasia Hope	Stroke Foundation NZ
Stroke Foundation	Caregiver's Cargiver
• Croi- Heart & Stroke	StrokeRehab Plymouth
American Heart News	Stroke Recovery
Better Conversations	• Dyscover
• davida godett	East Lancs Stroke Assistance & Support
Million Hearts	• Heart & Stroke NL
Tactus Therapy	fermanagh Stroke Support Group—SOSS
ARC AphasiaRecovery	Reclaiming Ourselves
HeartFoundationSA	• StrokeSupport
<ul> <li>Northern Ireland Chest Heart &amp; Stroke</li> </ul>	Stroke Recovery Association MB
Stroke Association Yorkshire	Stroke Support Group
• BAS	• act F.A.S.T
• INS	• Stroke Rehab
<ul> <li>Prasanna Tadi M.D TEDx Speaker, Stroke Doc, Blogge</li> </ul>	City Access—Resources for Aphasia
• Natl Aphasia Assoc	Stroke Recovery Association NSW
• Heart&Stroke NB   Coeur+AVC NB	StrokeSmart Magazine
• Aphasia Institute	• IschemicStroke
LivingWithAphasia	• BIA-MA
Heart & Stroke Science	Stroke Caregivers
Treat The Stroke	Signs Of Stroke
• Aphasia Nova Scotia	Stroke Support
Stroke Connection	• BIAF
• Connect	BrainLine.org
• BIAAZ	

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262919.t003

**Community role in information support.** While community information extracted demonstrated a total of 356,960 posts, only 173,508 posts could be extracted using a python-based scraper tool. Of these 173,508 posts, the following posts were excluded: 6369 (related to motivating the individual), 16960 (focused on advertising local events, products, and research), 45726 (consisted of news articles regarding stroke), 25939 (included photos or videos of local community activities or events), 28089 (focused on creating awareness for the prevention of the disease), 4176 (looked to fundraise to support an individual or organization), 24672 (did not provide information support), and 14070 (did not offer general stroke information or focus on caregivers). The remaining 7507 posts provided the caregiver with information to support them during the care trajectory, and hence were further analysed and classified as summarized in Table 4.

Analysis of interaction. Table 5 summarizes user interaction based on the topics identified in Table 4 and content type (i.e., text, image, video, and link), identified through the average of likes, shares, and comments. The data presented showed that the individual's interaction with the post varied based on the topic and the content type. For example, the





target user group generally interacted with video-based content (i.e., Likes– 13.41, Comments– 8.79 and Shares– 8.53) followed by image (i.e., Likes– 12.35, Comments– 4.46 and Shares– 6.69), link (i.e., Likes– 6.99, Comments– 1.59 and Shares– 3.06) and text (i.e., Likes– 4.03, Comments– 1.68 and Shares– 2.58) based content as shown in Fig 7. While the most interacted topics based on content type has been illustrated in Fig 8 identified through the data summarized on Table 5.

## Discussion

This study aims to highlight the information-seeking behaviour of people affected by stroke and the interaction of content created for caregivers on popular social media platforms (i.e., Facebook and Twitter). This study is significant for content creators of social media communities to identify appropriate topics to support stroke caregiving needs and promote caregiver



https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262919.g003



https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262919.g004

interaction within the community, thereby ensuring caregiver education and preparedness when supporting the survivor.

Findings from our Google Insights show an increase in search trends for stroke-related topics over the past ten years. The growth has been predominately for topics related to the signs and symptoms and medications, with stroke definition and recovery being the most popular searches over the past ten years. This concurs with Tan and Goonawardene [23], which suggests an increase in users seeking health information online to ensure education and preparedness for the disease, thereby allowing them to make better healthcare decisions during recovery.

The increase in user access to internet resources for stroke was not limited to Google searches but also within popular social media platforms. The findings from the study show an increase in social media communities for caregivers post-2009 created by individuals with different affiliations. A majority of which are individuals and charitable organizations. However,



https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262919.g005



Fig 6. Social media communities by community purpose and followers.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262919.g006

the most accessed social media communities were found to be affiliated with governmental and charitable organizations. This could be due to the trust factor associated with information provided by federal agencies and community organizations, as highlighted in the study by Dutta-Bergman [24], suggesting that the information provided by these individuals is based on expert-based literature and credible sources.

Nowadays, misinformation or lack of quality information is a growing problem [25]. Crocco, Villasis-Keever [26] in a systematic review highlighted the internet's capacity to harm the health of the user to be equal to the good and useful information it provides in a relatively timely and inexpensive manner. For example, in one case the misinformation available on the internet contributed to emotional harm, while in another case lead to hepatorenal failure in an oncology patient who obtained misinformation regarding medication use over the internet [26]. To prevent healthcare issues and fears amongst the population, Cuan-Baltazar, Muñoz-Perez [25] suggests the need for governmental organizations to develop a strategy that teaches its residents to verify the quality of information they read. Moreover, Swire-Thompson and Lazer [27] describes the need for internet users to collaborate with physicians to ensure they are more actively involved in the decision-making processes, and they are aware of methods to separate health myths from facts that the internet provides.

While social media communities do not exclusively focus on the caregiver, it was possible to identify the relevant communities and posts through their content, which were classified in this study based on their relevance. The classification involved two categories; (i) General, i.e., posts that enabled the caregiver to understand the disease, causes, types, diagnosis methods, risk factors, prevention, consequences, and treatment, and (ii) Caregiver, i.e., information to enable the caregiver to communicate with relevant stakeholders, understand the impact of caregiving, understand the roles and decision making practices, understand means to support and care for the patient and to ensure self-care. Overall, findings from these comments highlight a positive interaction in terms of likes, shares, and comments, especially for video-based content and topics related to prevention, self-care, signs and symptoms, caregiver impact, and patient support and care.

Video-based education resources have numerous advantages to promote positive health decisions and lifestyle changes [28]. The benefits include: (i) cost-effectiveness, (ii) removal of

Information Topics	Frequency	Percentage (%)
GENERAL	4609	66.90
What is Stroke?	89	1.29
Signs and Symptoms	753	10.93
Types of Stroke	112	1.63
Causes of Stroke	54	0.78
Diagnosis	20	0.29
Risk Factors	1011	14.68
Demographics	122	1.77
Heart and Vascular Health	714	10.36
Mental Health	46	0.67
Women's Health	30	0.44
Lifestyle	312	4.53
Medication	66	0.96
Other Medical Conditions	181	2.63
Diabetes	175	2.54
Head Injury	6	0.09
Prevention	1310	19.02
Managing Lifestyle	1236	17.94
Managing Mental Health	100	1.45
Managing Medical Risks	35	0.51
Managing Sleep	30	0.44
Consequences	758	11.00
Cognitive	613	8.90
Emotional	103	1.50
Physical	103	1.50
Sleep	8	0.12
Relationships	2	0.03
Quality of Life	2	0.03
Living and Independence	5	0.07
Treatment	1234	17.91
Treatment Practices	103	1.50
Importance of Early Treatment	83	1.20
Rehabilitation	820	11.90
Guidelines	454	6.59
Importance	28	0.41
Cost	4	0.06
At-Home Rehabilitation	363	5.27
Treatment of Risk Factors	305	4.43
Monitoring	136	1.97
Surgery	13	0.19
Medications	204	2.96
CAREGIVER	2280	33.10
Impact	184	2.67
Communication Practices	117	1.70
Health Professional	12	0.17
Patient	105	1.52
Roles and Decision Making	21	0.30

Table 4. Topics identified and their frequency of occurrence on the two social media platforms.

(Continued)

Frequency	Percentage (%)
1195	17.35
1077	15.63
123	1.79
72	1.05
162	2.35
864	12.54
81	1.18
864	12.54
52	0.75
105	1.52
131	1.90
677	9.83
46	0.67
48	0.70
55	0.80
	Frequency           1195           1077           123           72           162           864           81           864           52           105           131           677           46           48           55

Table 4. (	(Continued)
I able I.	Commuca /

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262919.t004

inconsistencies and presentation of information in a standardized format, (iii) creation of content that allows individuals with low health literacy to comprehend health information, and (iv) access through numerous different platforms or interventions [29]. However, Ferguson [28] highlights the importance of presenting the content concisely to avoid overwhelming the target audience with information, with a specific focus on the video length to ensure attentiveness of the target audience during the duration of the video.

While this study suggests caregivers in the stroke generally prefer video-based resources on social media communities, it is crucial to understand the influences of other media like text and images on health education. For instance, text-based resources allow individuals to access materials at their own pace and may be easier to access than video-based resources, particularly for individuals with low technical literacy [29]. On the other hand, images benefit individuals with low literacy skills [30] and have enhanced comprehension, satisfaction, and readability amongst the target audience [31].

Given that information type (i.e., video, image, and text) is a critical aspect for delivering information to specific individuals, it is also equally essential for one to consider individuals' needs to maximize interaction. Despite the existing set of topics that researchers believe to be important to address specific health information needs, there are several differences in the actual individual's needs [32]. For example, researchers are influenced by the disease type and researcher's motivation [32], while in stroke caregiving, the caregiver's needs differ based on the different stages of the survivors' illness, the need to maintain care continuum, and to ensure self-care during recovery [7, 33]. The need to maintain a care continuum and ensure self-care was evident in this study, with maximum interaction identified in prevention, signs & symptoms, patient support & care, risk factors, caregiver impact, and self-care. However, greater emphasis would need to be considered to provide information at different stages of the survivors' illness, that may impact the target audience and may limit their motivation to engage with the information, which can be restricted by co-designing information to limit these barriers [30].

One method that can be implemented when designing health information is Participatory Design (or PD) approach [34]. The PD approach has been drawn from several methods,

Content	Туре	Likes	Shares	Comments
General	Text	4.12	1.83	2.07
	Image	13.36	4.35	6.5
	Video	14.73	9.44	9.03
	Link	7.39	1.73	3.49
What is Stroke?	Text	0.33	1	0.33
	Image	12.62	3.73	10.87
	Video	1	0	0.67
	Link	9	0.41	2.54
Signs and Symptoms	Text	17.87	3.1	2.57
	Image	13.29	3.6	11.61
	Video	19.42	7	13.02
	Link	9.77	3.96	8.11
Types of Stroke	Text	7.75	1	6.5
	Image	8.04	0.96	4.81
	Video	2.71	0	1.71
	Link	10.57	1.25	4.35
Causes of Stroke	Text	0.67	0.67	0.67
	Image	6	3	3.8
	Video	8	0	7.67
	Link	14.32	1.84	7.38
Diagnosis	Text	2	0	0
	Image	6	0	0.5
	Video	0.5	0	0
	Link	11.67	0.67	5.67
Risk Factors	Text	7.6	2.82	3.2
	Image	25.61	5.28	6.66
	Video	6.49	7.18	6.28
	Link	4.54	2.25	3.67
Prevention	Text	8.45	3.21	3.69
	Image	18.21	4.13	5.92
	Video	21.51	8.07	12.1
	Link	3.54	1.86	2.56
Consequences	Text	1.53	1.69	1.59
	Image	9.58	2.63	4.15
	Video	20.92	9.64	6.44
	Link	16.57	1.12	4.28
Treatment	Text	2.17	1.2	1.29
	Image	11.39	6.39	7.72
	Video	10.8	12.82	6.38
	Link	5.46	0.76	1.99
Caregiver	Text	2.73	1.96	2.3
	Image	15.68	3.95	5.38
	Video	19	8	1.18
	Link	5.94	1.5	2.43
Impact	Text	2.67	0	0.67
	Image	6.19	3.3	3.11
	Video	14.25	11.13	13.75
	Link	15.1	2.15	4.1

#### Table 5. Analysis of user interaction based on the averages of likes, shares and comments for different content types.

(Continued)

Content	Туре	Likes	Shares	Comments
Communication Practices	Text	2	1.83	1.67
	Image	4.94	3.06	4.44
	Video	11.4	7.6	4.4
	Link	4.67	0.72	1.4
Roles and Decision Making	Text	0	0	0
	Image	0	0	0
	Video	0	0	0
	Link	3	0.35	2.25
Patient Support & Care	Text	2.34	1.49	1.97
	Image	26.25	4.43	7.01
	Video	21.19	8.23	9.54
	Link	4.18	1	2.04
Self-care	Text	4.05	2.9	3.45
	Image	4.2	3.42	3.58
	Video	17.06	7.12	16.82
	Link	6.86	2.14	2.93

#### Table 5. (Continued)

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262919.t005

theories, and evidence from multiple disciplines such as human factors, marketing, engineering, sociology, and health [35]. This approach aims to actively involve different stakeholders with the intention to understand their needs and barriers towards creating meaningful, actionable, and feasible knowledge [34, 36], thereby enhancing communication and enriching the health information designed [37]. Hence, making it an ideal methodology for co-designing information in stroke caregiving.

## **Study limitations**

The study was focused on understanding the information-seeking behaviour, types of information available, and interaction of caregivers online through Google Insights and Content Analysis of popular social media platforms. During the analysis process, several limitations



Fig 7. Average interaction based on user likes, comments and shares for different content types.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262919.g007



https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262919.g008

arose. First, the inability of the scraper tool developed to extract all the posts from the social media community due to the particular restrictions by the social media platforms that monitor programs accessing social media content and blocking its access. Further, several posts were excluded during the filtration process if they did not include the target audience, i.e., the caregiver. These limitations may have resulted in several excluded posts that may have supported the caregiver during their care process. Second, the user interaction analysis considered the likes, shares, and comments of all audiences within the community as it was impossible to segregate the users based on their role. If the posts were segregated based on the type of user, the outcomes might demonstrate a difference in caregiver information needs and their level of interaction on the popular social media platforms. Third, the search criterion was limited to only English, and we are unsure if the inclusion of non-English communities may impact the outcomes of the findings. Finally, the exclusion of communities that are not publicly accessible. We excluded these communities due to ethical considerations and privacy. As a result, we are unsure if the discussions within these communities would provide a comprehensive understanding of the health information needs of caregivers and their levels of interaction.

## Conclusions

The study investigated the information-seeking behavior on Google and the content and user interaction on popular social media platforms. Findings suggest that there is a significant rise in online searches over the past ten years in stroke. The surge is indicated on both Google and social media communities. On analysis of comments designed explicitly for caregivers, topics related to the continuum of care and self-care were most engaging, especially in video-based formats. However, content creators need to understand the influences of information needs and delivery to maximize user interaction. This may be possible through co-design practices such as participatory design, which has in the past demonstrated efficient results in enhancing communication practices and enriching health information quality. Therefore, creating a deeper understanding of the caregiver and necessary information topics ensures they are prepared throughout the care process.

## Supporting information

**S1 File. Keywords searched in Google Trends and Insights.** (XLSX)

S2 File. Included social media communities.

(XLSX)

S3 File. Included community posts and user interactions. (XLSX)

## **Author Contributions**

**Conceptualization:** Elton H. Lobo, Anne Frølich, Finn Kensing, Lene J. Rasmussen, Sarah M. Hosking, Amy T. Page, Patricia M. Livingston, Sheikh Mohammed Shariful Islam, John Grundy, Mohamed Abdelrazek.

Data curation: Elton H. Lobo, Tara Johnson, Patricia M. Livingston, Mohamed Abdelrazek.

- **Formal analysis:** Elton H. Lobo, Tara Johnson, Anne Frølich, Sheikh Mohammed Shariful Islam, Mohamed Abdelrazek.
- Investigation: Elton H. Lobo, Tara Johnson, Amy T. Page.
- Methodology: Elton H. Lobo, Finn Kensing, Sheikh Mohammed Shariful Islam, Mohamed Abdelrazek.

Software: Elton H. Lobo.

- Supervision: Anne Frølich, Finn Kensing, Lene J. Rasmussen, Patricia M. Livingston, Sheikh Mohammed Shariful Islam, John Grundy, Mohamed Abdelrazek.
- Validation: Elton H. Lobo, Anne Frølich, Finn Kensing, Lene J. Rasmussen, Patricia M. Livingston, Sheikh Mohammed Shariful Islam, Mohamed Abdelrazek.
- Writing original draft: Elton H. Lobo, Tara Johnson.
- Writing review & editing: Elton H. Lobo, Anne Frølich, Finn Kensing, Lene J. Rasmussen, Sarah M. Hosking, Amy T. Page, Patricia M. Livingston, Sheikh Mohammed Shariful Islam, John Grundy, Mohamed Abdelrazek.

#### References

- Deyhoul N, Vasli P, Rohani C, Shakeri N, Hosseini M. The effect of family-centered empowerment program on the family caregiver burden and the activities of daily living of Iranian patients with stroke: a randomized controlled trial study. Aging clinical and experimental research. 2020 Jul; 32(7):1343–52. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40520-019-01321-4 PMID: 31473982
- Kumar R, Kaur S, Reddemma K. Family needs of caregivers of stroke survivors. Adv Practice Nurs. 2016; 1(120):2.
- McCurley JL, Funes CJ, Zale EL, Lin A, Jacobo M, Jacobs JM, et al. Preventing Chronic Emotional Distress in Stroke Survivors and Their Informal Caregivers. Neurocritical Care. 2019 2019/06/01; 30 (3):581–9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12028-018-0641-6 PMID: 30421266
- Mores G, Whiteman RM, Ploeg J, Knobl P, Cahn M, Klaponski L, et al. An Evaluation of the Family Informal Caregiver Stroke Self-Management Program. The Canadian journal of neurological sciences Le journal canadien des sciences neurologiques. 2018 Nov; 45(6):660–8. https://doi.org/10.1017/cjn. 2018.335 PMID: 30430966
- Lutz BJ, Young ME, Creasy KR, Martz C, Eisenbrandt L, Brunny JN, et al. Improving Stroke Caregiver Readiness for Transition From Inpatient Rehabilitation to Home. The Gerontologist. 2017 Oct 1; 57 (5):880–9. PMID: PMC5881730. https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gnw135 PMID: 27816914

- Lobo EH, Frølich A, Rasmussen LJ, Livingston PM, Grundy J, Abdelrazek M, et al. Understanding the Methodological Issues and Solutions in the Research Design of Stroke Caregiving Technology. Frontiers in public health. 2021; 9:647249. PMID: PMC8085388. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2021.647249</u> PMID: 33937175
- Tsai P-C, Yip P-K, Tai JJ, Lou M-F. Needs of family caregivers of stroke patients: a longitudinal study of caregivers' perspectives. Patient Prefer Adherence. 2015; 9:449–57. <u>https://doi.org/10.2147/PPA.</u> S77713 PMID: 25834409
- Tseung V, Jaglal SB, Salbach NM, Yoshida K, Cameron JI. Key informants' perspectives on implementing caregiver programs in an organized system of stroke care. Disability and rehabilitation. 2021 Apr; 43 (8):1145–52. https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2019.1652704 PMID: 31424961
- Ang SY, Tin AS, Pavitar G, Ng WM, Lee KE, Lim LH, et al. A Qualitative Study into Stroke Caregivers' Educational Needs—Perspectives of Caregivers and Healthcare Professionals. Proceedings of Singapore Healthcare. 2013 2013/09/01; 22(3):166–74. https://doi.org/10.1177/201010581302200303
- 10. Wu C-m. Learning to be a family caregiver for severely debilitated stroke survivors during the first year in Taiwan. Iowa City: University of Iowa; 2009.
- Smith SD, Gignac MAM, Richardson D, Cameron JI. Differences in the experiences and support needs of family caregivers to stroke survivors: does age matter? Top Stroke Rehabil. 2008 Nov-Dec; 15 (6):593–601. https://doi.org/10.1310/tsr1506-593 PMID: 19158067
- Creasy KR, Lutz BJ, Young ME, Ford A, Martz C. The impact of interactions with providers on stroke caregivers' needs. Rehabilitation nursing: the official journal of the Association of Rehabilitation Nurses. 2013 Mar-Apr; 38(2):88–98. PMID: PMC3742102. https://doi.org/10.1002/rnj.69 PMID: 23529947
- 13. Danzl MM, Harrison A, Hunter EG, Kuperstein J, Sylvia V, Maddy K, et al. "A Lot of Things Passed Me by": Rural Stroke Survivors' and Caregivers' Experience of Receiving Education From Health Care Providers. The Journal of rural health: official journal of the American Rural Health Association and the National Rural Health Care Association. 2016 Winter; 32(1):13–24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jrh.12124</u> PMID: 26100171
- Scantlebury A, Booth A, Hanley B. Experiences, practices and barriers to accessing health information: A qualitative study. International Journal of Medical Informatics. 2017 2017/07/01/; 103:103–8. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2017.04.018 PMID: 28550995
- Chu JTW, Wang MP, Shen C, Viswanath K, Lam TH, Chan SSC. How, When and Why People Seek Health Information Online: Qualitative Study in Hong Kong. Interact J Med Res. 2017 2017/12/12; 6(2): e24. https://doi.org/10.2196/ijmr.7000 PMID: 29233802
- Zhao Y, Zhang J. Consumer health information seeking in social media: a literature review. Health information and libraries journal. 2017 Dec; 34(4):268–83. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/hir.12192</u> PMID: 29045011
- Naqvi IA, Montiel TC, Bittar Y, Hunter N, Okpala M, Johnson C, et al. Internet Access and Usage Among Stroke Survivors and Their Informal Caregivers: Cross-sectional Study. JMIR Form Res. 2021 2021/3/8; 5(3):e25123. https://doi.org/10.2196/25123 PMID: 33683206
- Li Y, Wang X, Lin X, Hajli M. Seeking and sharing health information on social media: A net valence model and cross-cultural comparison. Technological Forecasting and Social Change. 2018 2018/01/01/ ; 126:28–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2016.07.021
- Zhou L, Zhang D, Yang CC, Wang Y. Harnessing social media for health information management. Electronic Commerce Research and Applications. 2018 2018/01/01/; 27:139–51. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.elerap.2017.12.003 PMID: 30147636
- Cabrera-Maqueda Jose M, Minhas Jatinder S. New Horizons for Stroke Medicine: Understanding the Value of Social Media. Stroke. 2018 2018/02/01; 49(2):e25–e7. https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA. 117.020068 PMID: 29301974
- Schoonenboom J, Johnson RB. How to Construct a Mixed Methods Research Design. Kolner Z Soz Sozpsychol. 2017; 69(Suppl 2):107–31. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11577-017-0454-1 PMID: 28989188
- 22. Thomas J, Harden A. Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in systematic reviews. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2008 Jul 10; 8:45. PMID: PMC2478656. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-8-45 PMID: 18616818
- Tan SS, Goonawardene N. Internet Health Information Seeking and the Patient-Physician Relationship: A Systematic Review. Journal of medical Internet research. 2017 Jan 19; 19(1):e9. PMID: PMC5290294. https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.5729 PMID: 28104579
- Dutta-Bergman M. Trusted online sources of health information: differences in demographics, health beliefs, and health-information orientation. Journal of medical Internet research. 2003 Jul-Sep; 5(3): e21. PMID: PMC1550562. https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.5.3.e21 PMID: 14517112

- Cuan-Baltazar JY, Muñoz-Perez MJ, Robledo-Vega C, Pérez-Zepeda MF, Soto-Vega E. Misinformation of COVID-19 on the Internet: Infodemiology Study. JMIR public health and surveillance. 2020 Apr 9; 6(2):e18444. PMID: PMC7147328. https://doi.org/10.2196/18444 PMID: 32250960
- Crocco AG, Villasis-Keever M, Jadad AR. Analysis of cases of harm associated with use of health information on the internet. Jama. 2002 Jun 5; 287(21):2869–71. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.287.21.2869 PMID: 12038937
- Swire-Thompson B, Lazer D. Public Health and Online Misinformation: Challenges and Recommendations. Annual review of public health. 2020 Apr 2; 41:433–51. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurevpublhealth-040119-094127 PMID: 31874069
- Ferguson LA. Implementing a Video Education Program to Improve Health Literacy. The Journal for Nurse Practitioners. 2012 2012/09/01/; 8(8):e17–e22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nurpra.2012.07.025
- Tuong W, Larsen ER, Armstrong AW. Videos to influence: a systematic review of effectiveness of video-based education in modifying health behaviors. Journal of behavioral medicine. 2014 Apr; 37 (2):218–33. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10865-012-9480-7 PMID: 23188480
- 30. van Beusekom MM, Grootens-Wiegers P, Bos MJ, Guchelaar HJ, van den Broek JM. Low literacy and written drug information: information-seeking, leaflet evaluation and preferences, and roles for images. International journal of clinical pharmacy. 2016 Dec; 38(6):1372–9. PMID: PMC5124048. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11096-016-0376-4</u> PMID: 27655308
- Lühnen J, Steckelberg A, Buhse S. Pictures in health information and their pitfalls: Focus group study and systematic review. Zeitschrift fur Evidenz, Fortbildung und Qualitat im Gesundheitswesen. 2018 Nov; 137–138:77–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.zefq.2018.08.002 PMID: 30217737
- Pian W, Song S, Zhang Y. Consumer health information needs: A systematic review of measures. Information Processing & Management. 2020 2020/03/01/; 57(2):102077. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipm. 2019.102077
- Pierce LL, Gordon M, Steiner V. Families dealing with stroke desire information about self-care needs. Rehabilitation nursing: the official journal of the Association of Rehabilitation Nurses. 2004 Jan-Feb; 29 (1):14–7. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2048-7940.2004.tb00294.x PMID: 14727471
- Smith F, Wallengren C, Öhlén J. Participatory design in education materials in a health care context. Action Research. 2016 2017/09/01; 15(3):310–36. https://doi.org/10.1177/1476750316646832
- Neuhauser L, Rothschild B, Graham C, Ivey SL, Konishi S. Participatory design of mass health communication in three languages for seniors and people with disabilities on Medicaid. American journal of public health. 2009 Dec; 99(12):2188–95. PMID: PMC2775764. <u>https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2008</u>. 155648 PMID: 19833990
- Andersen TO, Bansler JP, Kensing F, Moll J, Mønsted T, Nielsen KD, et al. Aligning Concerns in Telecare: Three Concepts to Guide the Design of Patient-Centred E-Health. Comput Supported Coop Work. 2019 2019/10/01; 28(6):1039–72. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10606-018-9309-1
- **37.** Paulovich B. Design to Improve the Health Education Experience: using participatory design methods in hospitals with clinicians and patients. Visible Language. 2015 04//; 49(1/2):144–59.