Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of canine pyoderma isolates of *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* for biofilm formation

Patrizia CASAGRANDE PROIETTI¹)*, Valentina STEFANETTI¹), Doreene Rose HYATT²), Maria Luisa MARENZONI¹), Stefano CAPOMACCIO¹), Mauro COLETTI¹), Annalisa BIETTA¹), Maria Pia FRANCIOSINI¹) and Fabrizio PASSAMONTI¹)

¹⁾Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Perugia, Via San Costanzo 4, 06126, Perugia, Italy ²⁾Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Pathology, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, U.S.A.

(Received 21 January 2015/Accepted 18 March 2015/Published online in J-STAGE 18 April 2015)

ABSTRACT. Biofilm-forming ability is increasingly being recognized as an important virulence factor in several *Staphylococcus* species. This study evaluated the biofilm-forming ability of sixty canine derived clinical isolates of *S. pseudintermedius*, using three phenotypic methods, microtiter plate test (MtP), Congo red agar method (CRA) and tube adherence test, and the presence and impact of biofilm-associated genes (*icaA* and *icaD*). The results showed that *icaA* and *icaD* genes were detected concomitantly in 55 (91.7%) of 60 isolates. A majority (88.3%) of the strains screened had matching results by the tube adherence test, MtP and PCR analysis. Better agreement (95%) was found between the PCR-based analysis and the CRA. Results of the *icaA* and *icaD* gene PCRs showed good agreement with CRA results, with a kappa of 0.7. Comparing the phenotypic methods, the statistical analysis showed that the agreement among the phenotypical tests using categorical data was generally good. Considering two classes (biofilm producer and biofilm non-producer), the percentage of matching results between the CRA method and the tube adherence test. The results indicate a high prevalence of the *ica* genes within *S. pseudintermedius* isolates, and their presence is associated with *in vitro* formation of a biofilm. A combination of phenotypic and genotypic tests is recommended for investigating biofilm formation in *S. pseudintermedius*.

KEY WORDS: biofilm, Congo red agar, ica genes, microtiter plate test, Staphylococcus pseudintermedius

doi: 10.1292/jvms.15-0043; J. Vet. Med. Sci. 77(8): 945-951, 2015

Staphylococcus pseudintermedius is an opportunistic pathogen of dogs and has emerged as a leading cause of skin, wound infections, urinary tract infections and otitis externa worldwide [5, 7, 28]. Biofilm-forming ability is increasingly being recognized as an important virulence factor in several staphylococcal species that make it difficult to treat chronic infections [19, 23]. The principal component of biofilms is a polysaccharide intercellular adhesion molecule (PIA) produced by the *ica* operon on the bacterial chromosome that includes four genes (A, B, C and D) [17, 27]. In S. epidermidis, it has been shown that co-expression of icaA and *icaD*, in addition to leading to an increase in the activity of PIA [17], is related to biofilm phenotypic expression [1]. Moreover, *ica*- independent biofilm formation has been reported in staphylococci [15]. Although the phenotypic and genotypic bases for biofilm production have been well characterized in S. epidermidis and in S. aureus [1, 10, 33], few studies investigating biofilm production in canine clinical S. pseudintermedius isolates and the association with ica genes have been published. A recent study has shown [13] that clarithromycin was ineffective against 20 methicillinresistant S. pseudintermedius (MRSP) biofilm-producing

©2015 The Japanese Society of Veterinary Science

isolates at therapeutic doses. Another report involving 23 MRSP biofilm producers from dogs in Norway showed that the isolates belonging to sequence type (ST) 71 produced significantly more biofilm compared with other STs [25]. It should be noted that in these reports, the biofilm production in S. pseudintermedius isolates was evaluated exclusively by microtiter plate method. In a single report [30], the presence of ica genes was found in 77.9% (109/140) of S. pseudintermedius isolates, and the icaD gene was found in 75.7% (106/140) of isolates. The present study was carried out to determine the biofilm-producing ability of isolates using three phenotypic methods, microtiter plate test (MtP), Congo red agar (CRA) method and the tube adherence test, and to compare the results of these methods with the presence of icaA and icaD genes in canine clinical S. pseudintermedius isolates.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial isolation and identification: A collection of 60 *S. pseudintermedius* isolates from canine pyoderma were included in this study. Bacteria were isolated from clinical specimens submitted to the bacteriology laboratory at the Department of Veterinary Medicine (University of Perugia). Upon arrival at the laboratory, specimens were cultured on 5% defibrinated sheep blood agar and mannitol salt agar and incubated aerobically at 37°C for 24 hr. Suspect colonies were identified using standard techniques: colony morphology, Gram stain, coagulase and catalase test and API Staph System (bioMerieux, Marcy-l'Étoile, France). *S. pseudin*-

^{*}CORRESPONDENCE TO: CASAGRANDE PROIETTI, P., Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Perugia, Via S. Costanzo 4, 06126, Perugia, Italy. e-mail: patrizia.casagrandeproietti@unipg.it

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives (by-nc-nd) License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/.

termedius isolates were identified using a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) assay based on the *MboI* digestion pattern of a PCR amplified internal fragment of the *pta* gene as previously described [4]. The isolates were stored at -70° C in glycerol storage broth pending further analyses. The isolates were aerobically subcultured on blood agar plates overnight at 37° C before analysis.

Phenotypic characterization of biofilm-producing ability on Congo red agar (CRA): To examine bacterial slime production, which is a step for biofilm formation, all the strains were cultured on Congo red agar. CRA plates were prepared by adding 0.8 g of Congo red and 36 g of saccharose (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, U.S.A.) to 1 l of brain heart infusion agar (Oxoid, Strada Rivoltana, Italy) [2]. After inoculation, the plates were incubated for 24 hr at 37°C and subsequently overnight at room temperature. On CRA, black colonies were considered slime-producing strains, almost black colonies were classified as strains unable to produce biofilm, slightly modifying the procedure of Arciola *et al.* [1].

Phenotypic characterization of biofilm formation by tube adherence test: The qualitative assay for biofilm formation was performed according to previous authors [9] with minor modifications. Glass tubes filled with 2.6 ml of tryptic sov broth (TSB) (Oxoid) containing 1% glucose were inoculated with a loopful of a pure culture of a strain from tryptic soy agar (TSA) plates containing 1% glucose. Tubes containing only TSB were included in the test as a negative control. After overnight incubation at 37°C in air, the contents of each tube was carefully removed with a pipette, and 2 ml of a 0.25% safranin solution was added. After 1 min, the tubes were emptied with a pipette and placed upside down without a wash step. The results of the test were read after drying overnight at room temperature. The test was considered positive when there was a layer of stained material on the inner surface of the tube. Adherence was estimated as absent, weak, moderate or strong. The presence of stained material at the liquid-air interface was not considered to be indicative of biofilm formation [9].

Phenotypic characterization of biofilm formation by Microtiter plate test (MtP): The ability of the isolates to form biofilms was determined by the ability to adhere to 96-well polystyrene microtiter plates (Nunc, Rochester, NY, U.S.A.) using the method described by previous authors [31] with minor modifications. Briefly, isolates were subcultured onto blood agar, and pure 24 hr growth was used for testing. Each isolate was suspended in 5.0 ml of tryptic soy broth (TSB) supplemented with 1% glucose to achieve a turbidity equivalent to a 0.5 McFarland standard (~10⁸CFU/ml). A 200 μl bacterial suspension was transferred in triplicate into microtiter plate wells, with the negative control containing growth medium only. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hr, washed with water to remove non-adhered cells and then dried at room temperature before the addition of Hucker crystal violet solution (Sigma). The plates were subsequently incubated at room temperature for 30 min before excess dye was removed by rinsing with tap water. After the plates were air-dried, the dye bound to the adherent cells was resolubilized with 160 ml of 33% (V/V) glacial acetic acid per well. The OD of each well was measured at 570 nm. For each isolate, the result was calculated by subtracting the median OD570 of triplicate determinations for the negative control (test broth only) from the median OD570 of triplicate determination for the samples. Strains with OD values <=0.065 were regarded as non–adherent, strains with OD values between 0.065 and 0.130 were classified as weakly adherent, strains with OD values between and 0.130 and 0.260 were classified as strongly adherent [31].

DNA extraction and PCR for detection of icaA and icaD genes: For PCR analyses, DNA was extracted from bacterial colonies using a QIAamp DNA Mini Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Primers for icaA and icaD (Table 1) genes were designed from the Staphylococcus pseudintermedius ED99 genome (GenBank accession: CP002478) using the Primer-Blast suite of the NCBI [35] in order to limit the amplification of unintended targets. Briefly, the 25 µl reaction volume consisted of 10 × PCR buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 200 μ M of each deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate, 10 μ M of each primer (Table 1), 1 U of Tag polymerase and 100 ng of template DNA. The DNA of each sample was tested in duplicate. The presence and size of the amplified products were confirmed by electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gel. PCR products were purified using a Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean-up System (Promega, Madison, WI, U.S.A.) in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended protocol and subjected to direct sequencing (PRIMM Srl, Milan, Italy). All gel-purified PCR products were sequenced using gene-specific primers. The final sequences were subjected to a BLAST analysis against prokaryotic databases (NCBI) to confirm specific amplification.

Statistical analysis: Data derived from laboratory examinations were stored in Microsoft Excel. Agreement was calculated using Cohen's Kappa values (kappa) for dichotomous data and squared weighted Kappa values for categorical data. The strength of the agreement was interpreted according to Landis and Koch [20], who classified agreement with a kappa value of 0–0.20 as poor, 0.21–0.40 as fair, 0.41–0.60 as moderate, 0.61–0.80 as good and 0.81–1 as very good. The analysis was performed using the StatsDirect software (version 2.7, StatsDirec Ltd., Cheshire, U.K.).

RESULTS

The results for all phenotypic and genotypic tests are shown in Table 2. The tube adherence test results showed that 45 of the 60 isolates (75%) were weak biofilm producers, 11 of the 60 isolates (18.3%) were moderate biofilm producers, and 4 of the 60 isolates (6.6%) were biofilm non-producers (Fig. 1), for a total of 56 (93.3%) positive isolates and 4 (6.6%) negative isolates.

By MtP, 25 out of 60 (41.6%) were classified as weekly adherent, 21 (35%) were classified as moderately adherent, 10 (16.6%) were classified as strongly adherent, and

Gene	Primer Name	Sequence (5'–3')	Amplicon Length	PCR Conditions
icaA	<i>ica</i> A-Fw <i>ica</i> A-Rev	ACTGTTTCGGGGGACAAGCAT ATTGAGGCTGTAGGGCGTTG	134 bp	94°C×3 min, $35 \times (94^{\circ}C \times 15 \text{ sec}, 60^{\circ}C \times 20 \text{ sec}, 72^{\circ}C \times 20 \text{ sec}) 4^{\circ}C \infty$
icaD	<i>Ica</i> D- Fw <i>Ica</i> D-Rev	CGTTAATGCCTTCTTTCTTATTGCG ATTAGCGCACATTCGGTGTT	166 bp	94°C×3 min, 35×(94°C×15 sec, 56°C×20 sec, 72°C×20 sec) 4°C ∞

Table 1. Primers used in this study and PCR conditions

Table 2. Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of canine S. pseudintermedius isolates

Isolate	PCR icaA/icaD	CRA	MtP	Tube test	Isolate	PCR icaA/icaD	CRA	MtP	Tube test
1	+/+	Red	Absent	Absent	31	+/+	Almost black	Weak	Weak
2	+/+	Black	Moderate	Weak	32	+/+	Black	Moderate	Weak
3	+/+	Black	Moderate	Weak	33	—/—	Red	Moderate	Weak
4	+/+	Black	Moderate	Weak	34	+/+	Almost black	Moderate	Weak
5	+/+	Black	Moderate	Moderate	35	+/+	Black	Moderate	Weak
6	+/+	Black	Moderate	Moderate	36	—/—	Red	Weak	Weak
7	+/+	Black	Moderate	Weak	37	+/+	Black	Weak	Weak
8	+/+	Almost black	Weak	Weak	38	+/+	Black	Weak	Weak
9	+/+	Black	Strong	Weak	39	+/+	Black	Moderate	Weak
10	+/+	Black	Moderate	Weak	40	—/—	Red	Absent	Absent
11	+/+	Black	Strong	Moderate	41	+/+	Black	Weak	Weak
12	+/+	Almost black	Weak	Weak	42	+/+	Red	Absent	Absent
13	+/+	Red	Absent	Absent	43	+/+	Black	Weak	Weak
14	+/+	Black	Moderate	Weak	44	+/+	Almost black	Weak	Weak
15	+/+	Black	Weak	Weak	45	+/+	Almost black	Weak	Weak
16	+/+	Almost black	Weak	Weak	46	+/+	Black	Moderate	Weak
17	+/+	Black	Moderate	Moderate	47	+/+	Almost black	Weak	Weak
18	+/+	Almost black	Weak	Weak	48	+/+	Almost black	Weak	Weak
19	+/+	Black	Moderate	Moderate	49	+/+	Black	Moderate	Moderate
20	—/—	Red	Weak	Moderate	50	+/+	Black	Strong	Moderate
21	+/+	Almost black	Weak	Week	51	+/+	Black	Strong	Moderate
22	+/+	Black	Moderate	Weak	52	+/+	Black	Moderate	Moderate
23	+/+	Black	Weak	Weak	53	+/+	Black	Moderate	Moderate
24	+/+	Black	Moderate	Weak	54	+/+	Black	Strong	Weak
25	+/+	Almost black	Week	Weak	55	+/+	Black	Weak	Weak
26	+/+	Black	Weak	Weak	56	+/+	Black	Strong	Weak
27	+/+	Black	Moderate	Weak	57	+/+	Black	Strong	Weak
28	—/—	Red	Moderate	Weak	58	+/+	Black	Strong	Weak
29	+/+	Almost black	Weak	Weak	59	+/+	Black	Strong	Weak
30	+/+	Almost black	Weak	Weak	60	+/+	Black	Strong	Weak

4 (6.6%) were classified as non-adherent, for a total of 56 (93.3%) positive isolates and 4 (6.6%) negative isolates. Investigation of biofilm production by CRA showed that 38 of the 60 (63.3%) *S. pseudintermedius* isolates were found to produce typical black colonies, 14 (23.3%) of the 60 strains produced almost black colonies, and the remaining 8 (13.3%) strains produced red colonies even after 48 hr of incubation (Fig. 2a–2c), for a total of 52 (86.6%) positive isolates and 8 (13.3%) negative isolates.

The presence of the *icaA* (134 bp) and *icaD* (166 bp) genes was demonstrated by amplification of the corresponding fragments (Fig. 3). *IcaA* and *icaD* genes were detected concomitantly in 55 (91.6%) of 60 isolates. Neither one of the genes studied were detected in 5 (8.3%) isolates.

Statistical analysis: The agreement between the tube adherence test and MtP (Table 3a) and between the CRA and tube adherence test (Table 3b) using categorical data was fair (kappa=0.3), while it was moderate (kappa=0.5) between the CRA and MpT (Table 3c). However, weak slime-producing strains by CRA (almost black) (n=14) were regularly classified in the same manner by tube adherence (n=14) and MpT (n=13). The agreement obtained when grouping the results as dichotomous between CRA *vs* tube adherence and CRA *vs* MpT was good (kappa=0.6) and very good (kappa=1) between tube adherence test *vs* MpT. The percentage of matching results (considering 2 classes: producers and non-producers (NP)) between the CRA and tube adherence test and between CRA and MpT was 93.3% (Table 3b and 3c).



Fig. 1. Investigation of biofilm production of *S. pseudintermedius* by tube adherence test. Non-biofilm-producing isolate (A), weak biofilm-producing isolate (B) and moderate biofilm-producing isolate (C).

The percentage of matching results (considering 2 classes: producers and NP) between MpT and the tube adherence test was 100% (Table 3a).

All positive samples were classified in the same way by the three phenotypic tests, while four out of the eight negative results by the CRA were classified as positive with the other two tests. These same isolates were also negative with the *icaA* and *icaD* gene PCR. The results of the *icaA* and *icaD* gene PCRs showed good agreement (kappa=0.7) with the CRA results. The percentage of matching results (considering 2 classes: producers and NP) between these two tests was 95% (Table 3d). The percentage of matching results (considering 2 classes: producers and NP) between PCR and MtP and between PCR and tube adherence was 88.3% (Table 3e and 3f).

DISCUSSION

Biofilm production by *S. pseudintermedius* may play an important role in the pathophysiology of disease and potentially colonization and could be a contributing factor in the rapid worldwide emergence of MRSP [25, 26]. The phenotypic data reported in our study demonstrate that the



Fig. 3. Electrophoresis of PCR products. Lane 1, 134 bp (*ica*-A) band from *S. pseudintermedius*; lane M, 100 bp molecular weight marker; lane 2, 166 bp (*ica*-D) band from *S. pseudintermedius*.

majority of S. pseudintermedius isolates (96%) were able to produce biofilms. Moreover, PCR revealed the concomitant presence of icaA and icaD genes in 91% of the 60 isolates studied. All isolates had either both icaA and icaD genes or had neither gene; no strain showed the presence of one gene. These data confirm the fact that both genes are part of one operon, so the entire operon was either present or absent. These results agree with those of other studies of S. epidermidis and S. aureus that demonstrated that biofilm production occurs only when both icaA and icaD genes are expressed [1, 8, 17]. Our results differ from those reported in a study [30] in which the biofilm forming ability of Staphylococcus pseudintermedius from dogs was characterized. In that study, icaA was detected in 109/140 (77.9%) S. pseudintermedius isolates, and icaD was detected in 106/140 (75.7%) isolates, demonstrating that some isolates



Fig. 2. CRA plate test. (A) Black colonies of biofilm-producing *S. pseudintermedius* isolates. (B) Almost black colonies of biofilm-producing *S. pseudintermedius* isolates, (C) Red colonies of non-biofilm-producing *S. pseudintermedius* isolates.

Table 3.				
a. Comparison	of MtP test	and Tube	adherence	test

		Tube adherence test					
	_	Biofilm-producers			NP	T-4-1	
MtP		S	М	W	absent	Total	
Biofilm-producers	S	0	3	7	0	10	
	М	0	7	16	0	23	
	W	0	1	22	0	23	
NP	Absent	0	0	0	4	4	
Total		0	11	45	4	60	

S=strong, M=moderate, W=weak biofilm-producer and NP=non biofilmproducer are classified as Absent.

b. Comparison of CRA and Tube adherence test

	Biofi	Biofilm-producers			T-4-1	
CRA		S	М	W	absent	Total
Biofilm-producers	В	0	10	28	0	38
	AB	0	0	14	0	14
NP	R	0	1	3	4	8
Total		0	11	45	4	60

S=strong, M=moderate, W=weak biofilm-producer and NP=non biofilmproducer are classified as Absent.

c. Comparison of CRA test and MtP test

		MtP					
		Biofi	lm-prod	NP	T-t-1		
CRA		S	М	W	absent	Total	
Biofilm-producers	В	10	20	8	0	38	
	AB	0	1	13	0	14	
NP	R	0	2	2	4	8	
Total		10	23	23	4	60	

S=strong, M=moderate, W=weak biofilm-producer and NP=non biofilmproducer are classified as Absent.

had a single *icaA* or *icaD* gene.

However, the authors found that 96% (136/140) of *S. pseudintermedius* isolates were classified as strong or moderate biofilm producers by MpT and concluded that there was no association between the presence of ica genes and biofilm formation. Whether the difference in results obtained can be explained by the different primers and PCR conditions used is unclear.

In the present study, 88.3% of all the strains screened had matching results by the tube adherence test, MtP and PCR analysis. Good concordance (95%) was found between the PCR-based analysis and the CRA. This association was further confirmed by the good agreement (kappa=0.7) between the CRA and *ica*A and *ica*D gene PCR results. This finding is in agreement with what was previously described by other authors [3, 34]. Comparing the phenotypic methods and considering the two classes (biofilm producer and biofilm non-producer), the percent of matching results between the CRA method and the tube adherence test and between the CRA method and MtP was 93.3%. A concordance of 100% was found between the MtP and the tube adherence test. Several

d. Comparison of PCR and CRA

	CRA						
	Biofilm-	producers	NP	Total			
PCR (icaA/icaD)	В	AB	R	Total			
+ / +	38	14	3	55			
_/ _	0	0	5	5			
Total	38	14	8	60			

B (black) colonies are classified as biofilm-producer; AB (almost black) colonies are classified as weakly biofilmproducer; R (red) as non biofilm-producer (NP).

e. Comparison of PCR and MtP

	MtP							
	Biof	ilm-prod	NP	T (1				
PCR (icaA/icaD)	S	М	W	absent	Total			
+ / +	10	21	21	3	55			
_/ _	0	2	2	1	5			
Total	10	23	23	4	60			

S=strong, M=moderate, W=weak biofilm-producer and NP=non biofilm-producers are classified as Absent.

f. Comparison of PCR and Tube adherence test

		Tube	ice test		
	Biof	ìlm-prod	NP	T-4-1	
PCR (icaA/icaD)	S	М	W	absent	Totai
+ / +	0	10	42	3	55
_/ _	0	1	3	1	5
Total	0	11	45	4	60

S=strong, M=moderate, W=weak biofilm-producer and NP=non biofilm-producer are classified as Absent.

authors consider the tube adherence test and MtP reliable and sensitive quantitative techniques for biofilm screening [12, 22, 24, 29].

Interestingly, three of 55 icaA/icaD positive strains were biofilm non-producers according to all three phenotypic methods. Similarly, a previous study [33] observed that only 24 of 35 S. aureus mastitis bovine isolates positive for icaA/ icaD produced biofilms in vitro. Other authors [10] observed that S. aureus strains, despite having the ica locus, may fail to form biofilms in vitro, as biofilm formation on inert surfaces is highly sensitive to growth conditions. Another study [1] reported that CRA red variants of slime-positive S. aureus and S. epidermidis were found to lack the icaA and icaD genes as well as the whole *ica* locus. The authors suggested that the altered phenotype might be associated with deletion of the entire ica locus. However, using the CRA method, we observed that the red colonies of the three isolates that failed to turn black even after 48 hr of incubation carried the icaA and *icaD* genes. One of the common mechanisms that gives rise to slime-negative variants in S. epidermidis is insertional inactivation of the ica locus by the insertion sequence IS256,

which has been detected in *S. pseudintermedius* as well [7]. It has also been reported that failure of staphylococcal strains that possess the *ica* locus to form a biofilm *in vitro* could be due to point mutations in the locus and/or other yet unidentified factors that negatively regulate PIA production or influence biofilm formation [10].

Nevertheless, our results showed that four isolates determined to be biofilm producers by tube adherence test and microtiter plate test did not possess the *ica*A and *ica*D genes. These four strains are very interesting, since they imply the possibility that these isolates could form a biofilm *in vitro* not containing PIA. Recent studies have shown that additional components, such as accumulation-associated protein (Aap), independent of DNA and RNA or in cooperation with the *ica* operon may be important in biofilm production by staphylococci [8, 16]. Bap (biofilm-associated protein) and *ica*–independent mechanisms have been shown to be involved in the biofilm formation of *S.aureus* [11, 15]. Interestingly, Bap homologue protein (Bhp) is found in human *S. epidermidis* strains and induces an alternative mechanism of biofilm formation that does not depend on PIA [32].

The four *ica*-negative isolates could be false positives by the tube adherence test and microtiter plate test. Even though the microtiter plate method and tube adherence assay are considered reliable and sensitive quantitative methods for biofilm screening, there are certain limitations to both methods. Reading the results of the tube adherence method may be difficult, especially if the observer is not familiar with this technique. Moreover, observers frequently disagree with regard to interpretation of the results of the tube adherence test, particularly when interpreting weak reactions. Consequently, high variability has been observed, and classification as biofilm positive and negative has been difficult by this method [9, 14, 18, 21, 31]. The microtiter plate method has day-to-day and to some extent well-to-well technical variations with occasional outliers [25]. It has been demonstrated that phenotypic expression of biofilm formation is highly susceptible to in vitro conditions [6], and hence, variability can be detected by different methods. Therefore, a combination of various methods (phenotypic and genotypic) is useful for identifying biofilm-producing S. pseudintermedius. This is especially important considering the role of biofilms in evasion of the immunological defenses and resistance to antibiotic therapy and the difficulty of pathogen eradication. However, S. pseudintemedius biofilm production needs to be further investigated, since several authors have obtained conflicting results.

REFERENCES

- Arciola, C. R., Baldassarri, L. and Montanaro, L. 2001. Presence of *icaA* and *icaD* genes and slime production in a collection of staphylococcal strains from catheter-associated infections. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **39**: 2151–2156. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Arciola, C. R., Campoccia, D., Gamberini, S., Cervellati, M., Donati, E. and Montanaro, L. 2002. Detection of slime production by means of an optimised Congo red agar plate test based on a colourimetric scale in *Staphylococcus epidermidis* clinical isolates genotyped for ica locus. *Biomaterials* 23: 4233–4239.

[Medline] [CrossRef]

- Arciola, C. R., Campoccia, D., Baldassarri, L., Donati, M. E., Pirini, V., Gamberini, S. and Montanaro, L. 2006. Detection of biofilm formation in *Staphylococcus epidermidis* from implant infections. Comparison of a PCR-method that recognizes the presence of *ica* genes with two classic phenotypic methods. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. A* 76: 425–430. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Bannoehr, J., Franco, A., Iurescia, M., Battisti, A. and Fitzgerald, J. R. 2009. Molecular diagnostic identification of *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius*. J. Clin. Microbiol. 47: 469–471. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Bartlett, S. J., Rosenkrantz, W. S. and Sanchez, S. 2011. Bacterial contamination of commercial ear cleaners following routine home use. *Vet. Dermatol.* 22: 546–553. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Baselga, R., Albizu, I., De La Cruz, M., Del Cacho, E., Barberan, M. and Amorena, B. 1993. Phase variation of slime production in *Staphylococcus aureus*: implications in colonization and virulence. *Infect. Immun.* 61: 4857–4862. [Medline]
- Casagrande Proietti, P., Bietta, A., Coletti, M., Marenzoni, M. L., Scorza, A. V. and Passamonti, F. 2012. Insertion sequence IS256 in canine pyoderma isolates of *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* associated with antibiotic resistance. *Vet. Microbiol.* 157: 376–382. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Chokr, A., Watier, D., Eleaume, H., Pangon, B., Ghnassia, J. C., Mack, D. and Jabbouri, S. 2006. Correlation between biofilm formation and production of polysaccharide intercellular adhesin in clinical isolates of coagulase-negative staphylococci. *Int. J. Med. Microbiol.* **296**: 381–388. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Christensen, G. D., Simpson, W. A., Bisno, A. L. and Beachey, E. H. 1982. Adherence of slime-producing strains of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* to smooth surfaces. *Infect. Immun.* 37: 318–326. [Medline]
- Cramton, S. E., Gerke, C., Schnell, N. F., Nichols, W. W. and Götz, F. 1999. The intercellular adhesion (ica) locus is present in *Staphylococcus aureus* and is required for biofilm formation. *Infect. Immun.* 67: 5427–5433. [Medline]
- Cucarella, C., Solano, C., Valle, J., Amorena, B., Lasa, I. and Penadés, J. R. 2001. Bap, a *Staphylococcus aureus* surface protein involved in biofilm formation. *J. Bacteriol.* 183: 2888–2896. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Cunha, M. L., Rugolo, L. M. S. S. and Lopes, C. A. M. 2006. Study of virulence factors in coagulase-negative staphylococci isolated from newborns. *Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz* 101: 661– 668. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Dicicco, M., Neethirajan, S., Singh, A. and Weese, J. S. 2012. Efficacy of clarithromycin on biofilm formation of methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius*. *BMC Vet. Res.* 8: 225. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Fernandes, A. and Dias, M. 2013. The Microbiological Profiles of Infected Prosthetic Implants with an Emphasis on the Organisms which Form Biofilms. *J Clin Diagn Res* 7: 219–223. [Medline]
- Fitzpatrick, F., Humphreys, H. and O'Gara, J. P. 2005. Evidence for icaADBC-independent biofilm development mechanism in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* clinical isolates. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 43: 1973–1976. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Fredheim, E. G. A., Klingenberg, C., Rohde, H., Frankenberger, S., Gaustad, P., Flaegstad, T. and Sollid, J. E. 2009. Biofilm formation by *Staphylococcus haemolyticus*. J. Clin. Microbiol. 47: 1172–1180. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Gerke, C., Kraft, A., Sussmuth, R., Schweitzer, O. and Götz, F. 1998. Characterization of the Nacetylglucosaminyltransferase activity involved in the biosynthesis of the *Staphylococcus epi-*

dermidis polysaccharide intercellular adhesin. J. Biochem. 273: 8586–8593.

- Hassan, A., Usman, J., Kaleem, F., Omair, M., Khalid, A. and Iqbal, M. 2011. Evaluation of different detection methods of biofilm formation in the clinical isolates. *Braz. J. Infec. Dis.* 15: 305–311.
- Jain, A. and Agarwal, A. 2009. Biofilm production, a marker of pathogenic potential of colonizing and commensal staphylococci. J. Microbiol. Methods 76: 88–92. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Landis, J. R. and Koch, G. G. 1977. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. *Biometrics* 33: 159–174. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Mathur, T., Singhal, S., Khan, S., Upadhyay, D. J., Fatma, T. and Rattan, A. 2006. Detection of biofilm formation among the clinical isolates of Staphylococci: an evaluation of three different screening methods. *Indian J. Med. Microbiol.* 24: 25–29. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Morales, M., Méndez-Alvarez, S., Martín-López, J. V., Marrero, C. and Freytes, C. O. 2004. Biofilm: the microbial "bunker" for intravascular catheter-related infection. *Support. Care Cancer* 12: 701–707. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Nayak, N., Satpathy, G., Nag, H. L., Venkatesh, P., Ramakrishnan, S., Nag, T. C. and Prasad, S. 2011. Slime production is essential for the adherence of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* in implant-related infections. *J. Hosp. Infect.* 77: 153–156. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Oliveira, A. and Cunha, M. L. 2010. Comparison of methods for the detection of biofilm production in coagulase-negative staphylococci. *BMC Res. Notes* 3: 260. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Osland, A. M., Vestby, L. K., Fanuelsen, H., Slettemeås, J. S. and Sunde, M. 2012. Clonal diversity and biofilm-forming ability of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius*. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 67: 841–848. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Perreten, V., Kadlec, K., Schwarz, S., Grönlund Andersson, U., Finn, M., Greko, C., Moodley, A., Kania, S. A., Frank, L. A., Bemis, D. A., Franco, A., Iurescia, M., Battisti, A., Duim, B., Wagenaar, J. A., van Duijkeren, E., Weese, J. S., Fitzgerald, J. R., Rossano, A. and Guardabassi, L. 2010. Clonal spread of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus pseudintermedius in Eu-

rope and North America: an international multicentre study. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 65: 1145–1154. [Medline] [CrossRef]

- Rohde, H., Frankenberger, S., Zähringer, U. and Mack, D. 2010. Structure, function and contribution of polysaccharide intercellular adhesin (PIA) to Staphylococcus epidermidis biofilm formation and pathogenesis of biomaterial-associated infections. *Eur. J. Cell Biol.* 89: 103–111. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Rubin, J. E. and Gaunt, M. C. 2011. Urinary tract infection caused by methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* in a dog. *Can. Vet. J.* 52: 162–164. [Medline]
- Růzicka, F., Holá, V., Votava, M., Tejkalová, R., Horvát, R., Heroldová, M. and Woznicová, V. 2004. Biofilm detection and the clinical significance of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* isolates. *Folia Microbiol. (Praha)* 49: 596–600. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Singh, A., Walker, M., Rousseau, J. and Weese, J. S. 2013. Characterization of the biofilm forming ability of *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* from dogs. *BMC Vet. Res.* 9: 93. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Stepanovic, S., Vukovic, D., Dakic, I., Savic, B. and Svabic-Vlahovic, M. 2000. A modified microtiter-plate test for quantification of staphylococcal biofilm formation. *J. Microbiol. Methods* 40: 175–179. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Tormo, M. A., Knecht, E., Götz, F., Lasa, I. and Penadés, J. R. 2005. Bap-dependent biofilm formation by pathogenic species of Staphylococcus: evidence of horizontal gene transfer? *Microbiology* 151: 2465–2475. [Medline] [CrossRe]
- Vasudevan, P., Nair, M. K., Annamalai, T. and Venkitanarayanan, K. S. 2003. Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of bovine mastitis isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* for biofilm formation. *Vet. Microbiol.* 92: 179–185. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Ziebuhr, W., Heilmann, C., Götz, F., Meyer, P., Wilms, K., Straube, E. and Hacker, J. 1997. Detection of the intercellular adhesion gene cluster (*ica*) and phase variation in *Staphylococcus epidermidis* blood culture strains and mucosal isolates. *Infect. Immun.* 65: 890–896. [Medline]
- Ye, J., Coulouris, G., Zaretskaya, I., Cutcutache, I., Rozen, S. and Madden, T. L. 2012. Primer-BLAST: a tool to design targetspecific primers for polymerase chain reaction. *BMC Bioinformatics* 13: 134. [Medline] [CrossRef]