MAJOR ARTICLE



Clinical Features and Outcomes of Coronavirus Disease 2019 Among People With Human Immunodeficiency Virus in the United States: A Multicenter Study From a Large Global Health Research Network (TriNetX)

George A. Yendewa,^{1,2,9} Jaime Abraham Perez,³ Kayla Schlick,³ Heather Tribout,³ and Grace A. McComsey^{3,4,5}

¹Department of Medicine, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, USA, ²Division of Infectious Diseases and HIV Medicine, University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center, Cleveland, Ohio, USA, ³Center for Clinical Research, University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center, Cleveland, Ohio, USA, ⁴Departments of Medicine and Pediatrics, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, USA, and ⁵Rainbow Babies and Children's Hospital, Cleveland, Ohio, USA

Background. Human immunodeficiency virus infection (HIV) is a presumed risk factor for severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), yet little is known about COVID-19 outcomes in people with HIV (PWH).

Methods. We used the TriNetX database to compare COVID-19 outcomes of PWH and HIV-negative controls aged \geq 18 years who sought care in 44 healthcare centers in the United States from January 1 to December 1, 2020. Outcomes of interest were rates of hospitalization (composite of inpatient non-intensive care [ICU] and ICU admissions), mechanical ventilation, severe disease (ICU admission or death), and 30-day mortality.

Results. Of 297 194 confirmed COVID-19 cases, 1638 (0.6%) were HIV-infected, with >83% on antiretroviral therapy (ART) and 48% virally suppressed. Overall, PWH were more commonly younger, male, African American or Hispanic, had more comorbidities, were more symptomatic, and had elevated procalcitonin and interleukin 6. Mortality at 30 days was comparable between the 2 groups (2.9% vs 2.3%, P = .123); however, PWH had higher rates hospitalization (16.5% vs 7.6%, P < .001), ICU admissions (4.2% vs 2.3%, P < .001), and mechanical ventilation (2.4% vs 1.6%, P < .005). Among PWH, hospitalization was independently associated with male gender, being African American, integrase inhibitor use, and low CD4 count; whereas severe disease was predicted by older age (adjusted odds ratio [aOR], 8.33; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.06–50.00; P = .044) and CD4 <200 cells/mm³ (aOR, 8.33; 95% CI, 1.06–50.00; P = .044).

Conclusions. People with HIV had higher rates of poor COVID-19 outcomes but were not more at risk of death than their non-HIV-infected counterparts. Older age and low CD4 count predicted adverse outcomes.

Keywords. clinical outcomes; COVID-19; HIV.

As of February 1, 2021, the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic caused by the novel severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has resulted in an estimated 100 million confirmed infections and more than 2 million deaths globally [1]. Black and other racial minority heritage, male gender, age >65 years, obesity (body mass index [BMI] \geq 30 kg/m²), diabetes mellitus, hypertension, severe cardiopulmonary disease, and other chronic conditions have been

Open Forum Infectious Diseases[®]2021

reported as contributing to poor COVID-19 outcomes, including increased risk of mortality [2–5].

Compared with the general population, people with human immunodeficiency virus (PWH) are presumed to be at greater risk of severe COVID-19 and adverse clinical outcomes [6, 7]. This has been attributed to the observation that PWH tend to have a higher burden of lifestyle-associated risk factors and underlying comorbidities, in addition to having an already heightened systemic inflammatory state at baseline that could potentially enhance or amplify the viral cytokine release syndrome—also referred to as the "cytokine storm"—that has been described in the setting of COVID-19 [6–11].

Notwithstanding, emerging evidence from case report series, early observational studies, and systematic reviews describing the clinical features of COVID-19 among PWH have yielded mixed findings thus far. Several studies from Europe and North America have observed no substantial differences in morbidity and mortality rates among human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and non-HIV cohorts of patients hospitalized with COVID-19, with the majority of HIV-infected patients in these studies reported

Received 16 April 2021; editorial decision 18 May 2021; accepted 21 May 2021.

Presented in part: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI), March 2021.

Correspondence: George A. Yendewa, MD, MPH&TM, Assistant Professor of Medicine, Case Reserve Western University, Division of Infectious Diseases and HIV Medicine, University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center, 11100 Euclid Ave., Cleveland, OH 44106, USA (gay7@ case.edu).

[©] The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Infectious Diseases Society of America. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial reproduction and distribution of the work, in any medium, provided the original work is not altered or transformed in any way, and that the work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com DOI: 10.1093/ofid/ofab272

as stable on antiretroviral therapy (ART) and virally suppressed [12–15]. On the other hand, recent data from 2 large populationbased studies from South Africa and the United Kingdom found a 2- and 2.6-fold increase in the risk of COVID-19 death, respectively, among PWH compared with their non-HIV-infected counterparts [16, 17]. In the United Kingdom study by Bhaskaran et al [17], black ethnic minority status was associated with a 4.3-fold higher hazard of COVID-19 death among PWH compared with non-HIV-infected controls. However, crucial questions around the associations (if any) between the degree of immunosuppression, level of virologic control, or class of ART and COVID-19 outcomes in PWH have remained largely unanswered and are the focus of ongoing inquiry into the nature of the interactions between HIV and SARS-CoV-2.

In a multicenter study in the United States using TriNetX (a large global federated health research network), Hadi et al [15] had previously observed a higher crude COVID-19 mortality in 404 PWH, compared with their non-HIV-infected counterparts; however, the study used an earlier time cutoff (July 2020) and, importantly, did not examine the relationship between outcomes of interest and CD4 count, virologic control, and class of ART. In this study, we used a higher time cutoff in TriNetX (to include a larger number of patients) to characterize the clinical features and predictors of adverse COVID-19 among PWH in the United States.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population and Design

We used the TriNetX database to conduct a retrospective study of adult patients aged ≥ 18 years with SARS-CoV-2 infection (confirmed by polymerase chain reaction [PCR] or serology) who sought care across 44 healthcare organizations in the United States from January 1, 2020 to December 1, 2020. To safeguard protected health information, TriNetX does not include data on participating healthcare organizations (HCOs). Therefore, we are unable to provide geographic or institutional information. However, a participating HCO typically represents a large health center with main and satellite hospitals, specialty care services, and outpatient clinics.

Data Collection and Definitions

We collected clinical data including patient demographics, comorbidities, lifestyle-associated risk factors (smoking, alcohol use, and illicit drug use), vital signs and symptoms at presentation, laboratory findings, medication use, and healthcare services used ie, outpatient clinic, emergency room, inpatient non-intensive care (ICU) setting, or ICU admission. We additionally collected the last CD4 count and viral load data (measured by HIV-1 ribonucleic acid [RNA] PCR) within the preceding 12 months. A full description of study definitions and variables used to query the TriNetX database and their corresponding *International Classification* *of Diseases, Tenth Revision* (ICD-10) codes are provided in the Supplementary Materials.

Our clinical outcomes of interest were the odds of outpatient visit (defined as utilizing ambulatory clinic or emergency department services only), hospitalization (defined as the composite outcome of inpatient non-ICU and ICU service utilization), mechanical ventilation use, severe COVID-19 (ICU admission or death), and mortality rate at 30 days after COVID-19 diagnosis.

We further analyzed COVID-19 severity in the PWH cohort based on hospital services used. Mild disease was defined as having required outpatient services only (ie, ambulatory clinic or emergency department visit). Moderate disease was defined as having required inpatient non-ICU services, whereas severe disease was defined as having required ICU services or death within 30 days of COVID-19 diagnosis.

Statistical Analyses

Summary statistics were generated for all variables at baseline. Continuous data were presented as means and standard deviations and were compared using independent t-tests. Categorical data were presented as frequency and proportions and compared using χ^2 or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. To address possible confounders, we balanced cohorts using 1:1 greedy nearest-neighbor propensity score matching by demographics and key comorbidities associated with poor COVID-19 outcomes as outlined in Table 1. Within the PWH cohort, we explored predictors of outcomes (moderate and severe COVID-19) using multinomial logistic regression models with the main effects of age, race, comorbidities, class of ART, CD4 count, and viral load. For outcomes of interest, we calculated odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs), with P < .05 considered statistically significant. All analyses were conducted in the statistical software R version 3.63 (R Core Team, 2020).

Patient Consent Statement

The study was approved by the Institution Board Review committee at Case Western Reserve University/University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center. Written informed consent from patients was not required because data from the TriNetX system safeguards patient's privacy by reporting deidentified data.

RESULTS

Baseline Characteristics of People With Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) and Non-HIV-Infected Patients

Of 297 194 confirmed COVID-19 cases, 1638 (0.6%) were HIVinfected, with >83% on ART. Among PWH, 229 (14.0%) contributed CD4 count data, with 187 (81.6%) reporting CD4 \geq 200 cells/mm³. Seven hundred fifty-five (46.1%) contributed viral load data, with 617 (81.7%) being virally suppressed (HIV-1 RNA <20 copies/mL) (Table 1).

Table 1. Comparison of Patient Demographics, Comorbidities, Social History, and Treatment Between PWH and Non-HIV-Infected COVID-19 Patients Before and After Propensity Score Matching

		Before Matching	After Matching			
Variables	HIV (N = 1638)	Non-HIV (N = 295 556)	PValue	HIV (N = 1635)	Non-HIV (N = 1609)	<i>P</i> Value
Age, years (mean ± SD)	43.34 ± 13.59	46.48 ± 18.7	<.001	48.34 ± 13.59	49.12 ± 14.89	.116
Gender						
Female	501 (30.6%)	163 318 (55.3%)	<.001	500 (30.6%)	1135 (69.4%)	1.000
Male	1137 (69.4%)	130 866 (44.3%)	<.001	1135 (69.4%)	1116 (69.4%)	1.000
Race or Ethnicity						
Black or African American	805 (49.1%)	55 264 (18.7%)	<.001	804 (49.2%)	791 (49.2%)	.420
White	573 (35.0%)	169 681 (57.4%)	<.001	572 (35.0%)	581 (36.1%)	1.000
Hispanic or Latino	297 (18.1%)	44 869 (15.2%)	.001028	297 (18.2%)	304 (18.9%)	.851
Asian	25 (1.5%)	7643 (2.6%)	.0088	25 (1.5%)	28 (1.7%)	.303
American Indian or Alaska Native	6 (0.4%)	1359 (0.5%)	.7077	6 (0.4%)	5 (0.3%)	.851
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	2 (0.1%)	801 (0.3%)	.3397	2 (0.1%)	1 (0.1%)	.106
Comorbidities						
Cardiovascular diseases	977 (59.6%)	98 078 (33.2%)	<.001	974 (59.6%)	995 (61.8%)	.198
Diabetes mellitus	358 (21.9%)	37 921 (12.8%)	<.001	357 (21.8%)	391 (24.3%)	.104
Obesity (body mass index >30 kg/m ²)	404 (24.7%)	43 883 (14.8%)	<.001	404 (24.7%)	440 (27.3%)	.095
Liver disease	179 (10.9%)	11 714 (4.0%)	<.001	179 (10.9%)	169 (10.5%)	.725
Chronic lower respiratory diseases	392 (23.9%)	39 622 (13.4%)	<.001	391 (23.9%)	387 (24.1%)	.960
Neoplasms	450 (27.5%)	39 622 (13.4%)	<.001	450 (27.5%)	467 (29.0%)	.323
Chronic kidney disease	264 (16.1%)	15 680 (5.3%)	<.001	262 (16.0%)	239 (14.9%)	.382
Asthma	237 (14.5%)	24 299 (8.2%)	<.001	237 (14.5%)	228 (14.2%)	.831
Dementia	22 (1.3%)	2299 (0.8%)	.014	22 (1.3%	14 (0.9%)	.261
Lifestyle-Associated Risk Factors						
Nicotine-related disorders	301 (18.4%)	16 292 (5.5%)	<.001	300 (18.3%)	278 (17.3%)	.453
Alcohol-related disorders	138 (8.4%)	6174 (2.1%)	<.001	138 (8.4%)	113 (7.0%)	.149
Cocaine-related disorders	81 (4.9%)	1272 (0.4%)	<.001	81 (5.0%)	58 (3.6%)	.070
Opioid-related disorders	77 (4.7%)	2696 (0.9%)	<.001	77 (4.7%)	58 (3.6%)	.137
ART Regimens						
NRTIs	896 (54.7%)			895 (54.7%)		
Tenofovir-based	653 (39.9%)			653 (39.9%)		
NNRTIS	148 (9.0%)			148 (9.0%)		
INSTIs	781 (47.7%)			781 (47.7%)		
Healthcare Service Used						
Emergency Department Services	400 (24.4%)	46 866 (15.9%)	<.001	399 (24.4%)	372 (23.1%)	.414
Outpatient Office Services	323 (19.7%)	50 299 (17.0%)	.004	323 (19.8%)	316 (19.6%)	.782
Inpatient Services	157 (9.6%)	12 174 (4.1%)	<.001	156 (9.5%)	116 (7.2%)	.015
Critical Care Services	57 (3.5%)	4297 (1.5%)	<.001	57 (3.5%)	50 (3.1%)	.499

Bold indicates statistically significant values.

Abbreviations: ART, antiretroviral therapy; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; INSTI, integrase strand transfer inhibitor; NNRTI, nucleos(t)ide reverse-transcriptase inhibitor; PWH, people with HIV; SD, standard deviation.

At entry into the healthcare system, approximately one fifth of PWH versus non-HIV-infected patients presented in the ambulatory clinic setting (19.5% vs 17.0%; P = .004), whereas one quarter presented to the emergency department (24.4% vs 15.9%, P < .001). Approximately 9.6% and 3.5% of PWH required inpatient services directly upon presentation in the non-ICU and ICU settings, respectively, versus 4.1% and 1.5% of non-HIV-infected patients (P < .001).

Compared with their non-HIV-infected counterparts, PWH patients were more commonly younger (43.34 ± 13.59 vs 46.48 ± 18.7 years, *P* < .001), male (69.4% vs 44.3%, *P* < .001),

black or African American (49.1% vs 19.7%, P < .001), Hispanic (18.1% vs 15.2%, P < .001), and more likely to have underlying cardiovascular disease (46.8% vs 26.1%, P < .001), obesity (24.7% vs 14.8%, P < .001), diabetes mellitus (21.9% vs 12.8%, P < .001), and other comorbidities. People with HIV were also significantly more likely to have a history of smoking, alcohol, and drug use (all P < .001).

$\label{eq:clinical Presentation and Laboratory Parameters of People With Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) and Non-HIV-Infected Patients$

Overall, PWH were more symptomatic at presentation compared with non-HIV-infected patients (Table 2). The most

H_{0} <			Before Matching			After Matching	
mily (mon + SD) 1247 ± 10.3 1237 ± 10.3 1237 ± 10.3 1237 ± 10.3 1235 ± 0.3 mily (mon + SD) $7 = 666$ $7 = 666$ $7 = 666$ $7 = 676$ $1 = 67.3$ $1 = 77.3$ $1 = 77.3$ $1 = 77.3$ $1 = 77.3$ $1 = 77.3$ $1 = 110.3$ $1 = 110.3$ $1 = 110.3$ $1 = 110.3$ $1 = 110.3$ $1 = 110.3$ $1 = 110.3$ $1 = 110.3$ $1 = 110.3$ $1 = 110.3$ $1 = 110.3$ $1 = 110.3$ $1 = 110.3$ $1 = 110.3$ $1 = 110.3$ $1 = 110.3$ $1 = 10$	Variables		Non-HIV (N = 295 556)	PValue	HIV (N = 1635)	Non-HIV (N = 1609)	PValue
model (mean \pm S1) (2.12 \pm 0.0) (2.2 \pm 0.0)<	Presenting Vital Signs						
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Systolic blood pressure, mmHg (mean ± SD)	124.7 ± 19.3 n = 556	127.3 ± 19.8 n = 80 404	.002	124.7 ± 19.3 n = 555	128.5 ± 19 n = 547	.001
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg (mean ± SD)	75.7 ± 12.8 n = 587	75.1 ± 12.8 n = 86 147	.222	75.8 ± 12.8 n = 586	77.1 ± 13.5 n = 577	.075
	Heart rate, beats/min (mean ± SD)	85.5 ± 16.1 n = 192	82.2 ± 15.6 n = 23 460	.004	85.5 ± 16.1 n = 192	82.4 ± 15.3 n = 181	.058
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Respiratory rate, breaths/min (mean ± SD)	17.3 ± 3.1 n = 237	17.0 ± 9.9 n = 23 778	.662	17.3 ± 3.1 n = 237	16.7 ± 3.2 n = 198	.038
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Oxygen saturation, % (mean ± SD)	80.7 ± 24.2 n = 120	83.0 ± 22.1 n = 12 784	.274	80.6 ± 24.2 n = 119	79.4 ± 25.7 n = 115	.726
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Symptoms at Presentation						
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Cough	277 (16.9%)	47 080 (15.9%)	.294	277 (16.9%)	273 (17.0%)	1.000
(11 dA) (15 dA) (16 dA) <	Difficulty breathing	224 (13.7%)	25 438 (8.6%)	<.001	224 (13.7%)	211 (13.1%)	.533
it 119 (7.3%) 0 997 (6.7%) < con 118 (7.2%) 90 666%) teat $66 (4.0\%)$ $737 (2.7\%)$ $60 (4.0\%)$ $93 (5.7\%)$ $90 (5.6\%)$ pin $66 (4.0\%)$ $57 (2.1\%)$ $c00$ $66 (4.0\%)$ $63 (2.5\%)$ $62 (3.5\%)$ $63 (2.5\%)$ $63 (2.5\%)$ $63 (4.5\%)$ $52 (3.2\%)$ $66 (4.0\%)$ $68 (4.2\%)$ $52 (3.2\%)$ $63 (4.2\%)$ $52 (3.2\%)$ $63 (4.0\%)$ $63 (4.2\%)$ $52 (3.2\%)$ $90 (5.6\%)$ $52 (3.2\%)$ $90 (5.6\%)$ $52 (3.2\%)$ $90 (5.6\%)$	Fever (≥100.4°F)	186 (11.4%)	25 553 (8.6%)	<.001	186 (11.4%)	166 (10.3%)	.286
theat 93 (5.7%) 735 (2.6%) 601 93 (5.7%) 82 (5.1%) pin 68 (4.0%) 575 (2.6%) 66 (4.0%) 68 (4.2%) 52 (3.5%) 66 (4.0%) 68 (4.2%) 52 (3.5%) 66 (4.0%) 52 (3.2%)	Pain in throat and chest	119 (7.3%)	10 957 (3.7%)	<.001	118 (7.2%)	90 (5.6%)	.069
pin 66 (40%) 773 (26%) $<$ con 66 (40%) 68 (42%) <	Abnormalities of heart beat	93 (5.7%)	7876 (2.7%)	<.001	93 (5.7%)	82 (5.1%)	.504
pin64(12%)542(18%) c01 66(40%)72(2%) $2(2%)$ $11(2.5\%)$ 66(40%)7387(4.7\%)25741(2.5\%)91(2.5\%)91(2.5\%) $11(2.5\%)$ 66(40%)745(2.5\%)91541(2.5\%)92(2.5\%) $11(2.5\%)$ 69±4171±26%69±4183±206 $11(2.5\%)$ 69±4171±26%69±4183±206 $11(2.5\%)$ 69±4171±26%69±4183±206 $11(2.5\%)$ 69±4171±26%14(2.5%)52(2.5\%) $11(2.5\%)$ 69 ± 41 71±26% 12526 12223 $11(2.5\%)$ 10^{2} 10^{2} 12^{2} 14^{2} 12^{2} $11(2.5\%)$ 10^{2} 10^{2} 12^{2} 11^{2} 12^{2} $11(2.5\%)$ 12^{2} 10^{2} 12^{2} 12^{2} 12^{2} $11(2.5\%)$ 12^{2} 12^{2} 12^{2} 12^{2} 12^{2} $11(2.5\%)$ 12^{2} 12^{2} 12^{2} 12^{2} 12^{2} $11(2.5\%)$ 12^{2} 12^{2} 12^{2} 12^{2} 12^{2} $11(2.5\%)$ 12^{2} 12^{2} 12^{2} 12^{2} 12^{2} $11(2.5\%)$ 12^{2} 12^{2} 12^{2} 12^{2} 12^{2} $11(2.5\%)$ 12^{2} 12^{2} 12^{2} 12^{2} 12^{2} $11(2.5\%)$ 12^{2} 12^{2} 12^{2} 12^{2} 12^{2} $11(2.5\%)$ 12^{2} 12^{2} 12^{2} 12^{2} 12^{2} <	Nausea and vomiting	66 (4.0%)	7753 (2.6%)	<.001	66 (4.0%)	68 (4.2%)	.855
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Abdominal and pelvic pain	68 (4.2%)	5452 (1.8%)	<.001	68 (4.2%)	52 (3.2%)	.192
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Malaise and fatigue	66 (4.0%)	13 887 (4.7%)	.223	65 (4.0%)	94 (5.8%)	.017
	Headache	41 (2.5%)	6669 (2.3%)	.557	41 (2.5%)	36 (2.2%)	969.
	Disturbances of smell and taste	43 (2.6%)	7545 (2.6%)	.915	43 (2.6%)	52 (3.2%)	.417
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Laboratory Parameters						
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Leukocytes, ×10 ⁹ /L (mean ± SD)	6.9 ± 4.1 n = 554	7.7 ± 26.3 n = 59 895	.465	6.9 ± 4.1 n = 553	8.3 ± 20.6 n = 472	.118
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Neutrophils, ×10 ⁹ /L (mean ± SD)	283.5 ± 1977.5 n = 427	206.2 ± 1205 n = 37 953	.197	283.2 ± 1979.8 n = 426	279.7 ± 1282.8 n = 305	.002
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Lymphocytes, $\times 10^{9}$ /L (mean \pm SD)	24.4 ± 14.2 n = 530	20.3 ± 11.7 n = 54 094	<.001	24.4 ± 14.2 n = 529	20.6 ± 12.4 n = 422	<.001
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Hemoglobin, g/dL (mean ± SD)	12.6 ± 2.6 n = 582	12.9 ± 2.2 n = 62 141	<.001	12.6 ± 2.6 n = 581	12.8 ± 2.5 n = 489	.086
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Platelets, ×10 ⁹ /L (mean ± SD)	216.8 ± 91.9 n = 573	229.5 ± 93.1 n = 59 360	.001	216.5 ± 91.7 n = 572	231.5 ± 130.9 n = 477	.030
SD) 719 ± 35.5 780 ± 34.8 <.001 71.8 ± 35.5 76.1 ± 38.2 $n = 593$ $n = 60.686$ $n = 592$ $n = 486$ 14.9 ± 5.7 14.5 ± 6.2 311 14.9 ± 5.7 15.2 ± 6.2 $n = 177$ $n = 19708$ $n = 177$ $n = 150$ 13 ± 0.5 1.3 ± 0.5 1.3 ± 0.5 1.3 ± 0.6 $n = 176$ $n = 19598$ $n = 176$ $n = 176$ $n = 176$ $n = 19588$ $n = 176$ $n = 176$	Creatinine, mg/dL (mean ± SD)	1.9 ± 2.9 n = 594	1.3 ± 1.7 n = 60 346	<.001	1.91 ± 2.91 n = 593	1.68 ± 2.2 n = 482	.155
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Glomerular filtration rate, mL/min (mean ± SD)	71.9 ± 35.5 n = 593	78.0 ± 34.8 n = 60 686	<.001	71.8 ± 35.5 n = 592	76.1 ± 38.2 n = 486	.058
1.3 \pm 0.5 1.3 \pm 0.7 1.3 \pm 0.5 1.3 \pm 0.6 n = 176 n = 19 598 n = 176 n = 151	Prothrombin time, seconds (mean ± SD)	14.9 ± 5.7 n = 177	14.5 ± 6.2 n = 19 708	.311	14.9 ± 5.7 n = 177	15.2 ± 6.2 n = 150	.655
	International normalized ratio (mean \pm SD)	1.3 ± 0.5 n = 176	1.3 ± 0.7 n = 19.598	.751	1.3 ± 0.5 n = 176	1.3 ± 0.6 n = 151	.710

		Before Matching		Af	After Matching	
Variables	HIV (N = 1638)	Non-HIV (N = 295 556)	PValue	HIV (N = 1635)	Non-HIV (N = 1609)	PValue
Activated partial thromboplastin time, seconds (mean \pm SD)	35.1 ± 11.3 n = 126	32.8 ± 11.9 n = 14 010	.038	35.1 ± 11.3 n = 126	33.2 ± 7.0 n = 107	.146
C-reactive protein, mg/dL (mean ± SD)	65.7 ± 73.8 n = 207	80.3 ± 86.0 n = 19 572	.015	65.7 ± 73.8 n = 207	85.8 ± 84.8 n = 161	.253
D-dimer, ng/mL (mean ± SD)	276.6 ± 729.4 n = 93	308.6 ± 1142.3 n = 9982	.788	276.6 ± 729.4 n = 93	370.5 ± 824.4 n = 78	.432
Erythrocytes 10^6 /µL (mean \pm SD)	4.2 ± 0.9 (n = 576)	4.4 ± 0.8 (n = 59 058)	<.001	4.2 ± 0.9 (n = 575)	4.5 ± 0.9 (n = 473)	<.001
Erythrocyte sedimentation rate, mm/hour (mean ± SD)	56.6 ± 35.5 n = 78	48.3 ± 32.4 n = 6446	.025	56.6 ± 35.5 n = 78	49.9 ± 30.1 n = 42	.300
Lactate, mg/dL (mean ± SD)	1.7 ± 1.5 n = 198	1.6 ± 1.2 n = 16 909	.257	1.7 ± 1.5 n = 197	1.5 ± 0.8 n = 150	.100
Lactate dehydrogenase, U/L (mean ± SD)	393.4 ± 367.8 n = 169	410.4 ± 362.9 n = 14 822	.546	393.5 ± 367.8 n = 169	434.3 ± 386.5 n = 142	.341
Ferritin, ng/dL (mean ± SD)	866.7 ± 1000 n = 176	884 ± 1890 n = 16 263	.901	866.7 ± 1000 n = 176	1214 ± 1404.2 n = 140	.011
Troponin I (cardiac), mg/dL (mean ± SD)	0.1 ± 0.2 n = 111	0.27 ± 3.1 n = 12 029	.517	0.1 ± 0.2 n = 111	0.1 ± 0.1 n = 105	.091
Procalcitonin, ng/mL (mean ± SD)	2.5 ± 10.1 n = 95	1.3 ± 5.9 n = 8529	.042	2.5 ± 10.1 n = 95	3.8 ± 14.0 n = 67	.492
Interleukin-6, pg/mL (mean ± SD)	258.5 ± 642.1 n = 17	98.2 ± 248.7 n = 2160	.010	258.5 ± 642.1 n = 17	197.4 ± 475.3 n = 16	.759
CD4 count <200 cells/mm ³	187 (11.4%)			187 (11.4%)		
CD4 count ≥200 cells/mm ³	42 (2.6%)			42 (2.6%)		
HIV-1 RNA >20 copies/mL	617 (37.7%)			616 (37.7%)		
HIV-1 RNA <20 copies/mL	138 (8.4%)			138 (8.4%)		
Bold indicates statistically significant values.						

Table 2. Continued

Abbreviations: COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; PWH, people with HIV; RNA, ribonucleic acid; SD, standard deviation.

common symptoms were cough (16.9% vs 15.9%, P = .294), difficulty breathing (13.7% vs 8.6%, P < .001), and fever (11.4% vs 8.6%, P < .001), with a smaller proportion of patients exhibiting gastrointestinal and neurological symptoms.

On laboratory parameters, PWH were more commonly anemic (P < .001), thrombocytopenic (P < .001), and had elevated serum creatinine (P < .001), procalcitonin (P = .042), and interleukin (IL)-6 (P = .010) levels. Although C-reactive protein (P < .0148) and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (P < .001) were lower in PWH, serum levels of other markers of acute systemic inflammation, myocardial injury, and coagulopathy were comparable between the 2 cohorts (Table 2).

Disease Severity and Clinical Outcomes at 30 Days in People With Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) and Non-HIV-Infected Patients

Table 3 displays the differences in 30-day outcomes between PWH and non-HIV patients. People with HIV had higher rates of hospitalization (16.5% vs 7.6%, P < .001) and higher rates of severe illness requiring ICU admission (4.2% vs 2.3%, P < .001) and mechanical ventilation (2.4% vs 1.6%, P < .005). Mortality at 30 days was higher among PWH but did not attain statistical significance (2.9% vs 2.3%, P = .123). In propensity score-matched analysis based on demographics and key comorbidities, PWH remained at significantly higher odds of hospitalization (OR, 1.26; 95% CI, 1.04–1.53; P = .023).

Predictors of Poor Coronavirus Disease 2019 Outcomes Among People With Human Immunodeficiency Virus

Overall, 511 (31.2%) of PWH had visit data available, 237 (46.4%) of which were classified as having mild COVID-19, 196 (38.4%) had moderate COVID-19, and 78 (15.3%) had severe COVID-19 (Table 4).

In multinomial logistic regression models, moderate COVID-19 in PWH requiring hospitalization was independently associated with being male (adjusted OR [aOR] = 5.40; 95% CI, 1.10–28.18; P = .048), black or African American (aOR = 5.28; 95% CI, 1.20–23.18; P = .028), integrase strand transfer inhibitor (INSTI) use (aOR = 20.77; 95% CI, 1.54–280.96; P = .026), and CD4 < 200 cells/mm³ (aOR = 12.50; 95% CI, 2.33–100.00; P = .003). Severe COVID-19 resulting in ICU

admission or death within 30 days of COVID-19 diagnosis was independently associated with older age (aOR = 8.33; 95% CI, 1.06–50.00; P = .044) and CD4 <200 cells/mm³ (aOR = 8.33; 95% CI, 1.06–50.00; P = .044). We found no significant effects of tenofovir use, protease inhibitor (PI) use, or viral load on COVID-19 outcomes among PWH (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

In this analysis of approximately 300 000 confirmed COVID-19 cases (0.6% PWH) from the United States, we found that almost half (47.6%) of PWH presented with mild disease (ie, required outpatient care only), 38.3% presented with moderate disease (ie, required inpatient non-ICU services), and 14.1% had severe disease (ie, required ICU admission or died within 30 days of COVID-19 diagnosis). We found no significant difference in COVID-19 mortality rates between PWH and non-HIV-infected patients. The crude death rates were low in both groups (2.9% vs 2.3%, respectively). Our findings are in broad agreement with population-based studies from other highresource settings with good healthcare infrastructure, where COVID-19 mortality rates have generally been reported at <5% [6–9, 12–20].

Furthermore, we observed that compared with non-HIVinfected patients, PWH were more symptomatic at presentation, more likely to have severe disease, and used more healthcare resources with higher rates of hospitalizations, mechanical ventilation use, and ICU admissions. The high risk for hospitalization of PWH persisted after propensity score matching by key variables. We hypothesize that the reasons for these findings may be related to the combined effects of the high prevalence of underlying comorbidities coupled with the possibility of a more vigorous manifestation of the cytokine release syndrome in PWH [6-11]. It is notable that PWH in this study had a 2- to 2.5-fold higher serum levels of IL-6 and procalcitonin, respectively, compared with non-HIV-infected patients. The role of IL-6 and other cytokines in COVID-19 pathogenesis has been well described. During the early phases of SARS-CoV-2 infection, activated T lymphocytes and macrophages release IL-1, IL-6, tumor necrosis factor-alpha, monocyte chemoattractant

	Before Matching				After Matching			
Outcomes	HIV	Non-HIV	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> Value	HIV	Non-HIV	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> Value
Hospitalization	270 (16.5%)	22 398 (7.6%)	2.41 (2.11–2.74)	<.001	269 (16.5%)	218 (13.5%)	1.26 (1.04–1.53)	.023
Intensive care services	68 (4.2%)	6731 (2.3%)	1.86 (1.45–2.36)	<.001	68 (4.2%)	71 (4.4%)	0.94 (0.67–1.32)	.790
Ventilation management	40 (2.4%)	4624 (1.6%)	1.58 (1.13–2.14)	.005	40 (2.4%)	46 (2.9%)	0.85 (0.55–1.31)	.536
Mortality at 30 days	47 (2.9%)	6708 (2.3%)	1.28 (0.94–1.69)	.1233	46 (2.8%)	61 (3.8%)	0.74 (0.50–1.08)	.145

Bold indicates statistically significant values.

Abbreviations: COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; CI, confidence interval; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; OR, odds ratio; PWH, people with HIV

^aResults shown both before and after propensity score matching.

Table 4. Multinomial Logistic Regression Model Results for Predictors of Moderate and Severe COVID-19 Among PWH

		(H	Moderate ospitalized Non-ICU) N = 196			(ICU Ac	Severe dmission or Death) N = 78	
Predictor	Estimates	SE	aOR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> Value	Estimates	SE	aOR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> Value
Age	0.018	0.03	1.02 (0.96–1.09)	.571	0.121	0.05	1.13 (1.02–1.25)	.017
Male	1.69	0.85	5.40 (1.01–28.76)	.048	1.66	1.15	5.25 (0.55–49.9)	.149
Black or African American	1.66	0.76	5.28 (1.20-23.18)	.028	1.58	0.95	4.85 (0.75–31.29)	.097
Tenofovir use	0.863	0.86	1.55 (0.29–8.43)	.609	-0.541	1.03	0.58 (0.08–4.36)	.598
Protease inhibitor use	1.039	1.05	2.83 (0.36-22.00)	.321	3.25	1.17	3.42 (0.34-34.04)	.295
Integrase inhibitor use	3.03	1.33	20.77 (1.54–280.96)	.026	1.59	1.34	4.93 (0.36–67.57)	.223
CD4 <200 cells/mm ³	-2.56	0.88	12.50 (2.33-100.00)	.003	-2.14	1.06	8.33 (1.06–50.00)	.044
Viral load >20 copies/mL	-0.039	0.96	0.962 (0.15-6.26)	.968	0.217	1.22	1.24 (0.11–13.69)	.859

Bold indicates statistically significant values.

Abbreviations: aOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; ICU, intensive care unit; PWH, people with human immunodeficiency virus; SE, standard error.

protein-1, and other proinflammatory mediators of the so-called cytokine storm that is characterized by tissue damage, endothelial leakage, and the activation of the complement and coagulation pathways [21, 22]. The centrality of IL-1 β and IL-6 in particular in immune dysregulation has warranted the exploration of anticytokine antagonists as novel therapies for severe COVID-19 [22–25].

Our study analyzed risk factors associated with poor COVID-19 outcomes in PWH. Male gender and being black or African American were associated with >5-fold increase in the risk of hospitalization, whereas older age was associated with higher odds of ICU admission or death within 30 days of COVID-19 diagnosis. Importantly, even after adjusting for age and comorbidities, Black ethnic minority status remained independently associated with poor COVID-19 outcomes. These findings are consistent with multiple previous reports from the United States and elsewhere that have highlighted the gender, racial, and ethnic disparities associated with the HIV and COVID-19 pandemics [26, 27]. African American, Hispanic, and other minority populations in the United States continue to be disproportionately impacted by a synergy of persistent social and economic barriers that have limited their access to health services and placed them at heightened risk of poor disease outcomes [28, 29]. Public health efforts aiming to address inequities in HIV and COVID-19 outcomes would benefit from implementing policies and actions prioritizing minority and other vulnerable populations.

Similar to other studies, we did not detect any significant effects of HIV viremia on COVID-19 severity [12–15]; however, advanced HIV disease (CD4 count <200 cells/mm³) and INSTI use were associated with an 8-fold and 20-fold higher risk of poor outcomes, respectively. The association between low CD4 count and poor outcomes was anticipated, but the large effect demonstrated by INSTI use was unexpected. Although it has been widely speculated that some antiretrovirals may have a protective effect against SARS-CoV-2, their role in COVID-19

prevention and treatment remains in dispute. Several HIV-1 PIs (eg, lopinavir, ritonavir, and saquinavir) had demonstrated potent activity against 2 earlier coronaviruses (SARS-CoV and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus) and inhibited their replication in in vitro and animal studies [30-32]; however, a randomized controlled trial of 199 critically ill hospitalized patients failed to show benefit with lopinavir-ritonavir beyond standard of care [33]. Tenofovir and other nucleos(t) ide reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) have been shown to inhibit SARS-CoV-2 in in vitro studies by attenuating the effects of IL-8 and IL-10, while promoting the production of interferon-gamma [34-36]. Two recent studies from Spain and South Africa found that compared with other therapies, tenofovir-based ART had a protective effect against COVID-19 death among PWH [16, 37]. In our study, however, NRTI- or PI-based ART did not show mortality benefit among PWH.

Integrase strand transfer inhibitors are preferred first-line ART used in combination with 2 NRTIs and are particularly attractive due to their efficacy, good tolerability profile, and high genetic barrier to resistance [38–40]. However, INSTIs have been associated with significant weight gain in PWH initiating ART [41–43]. There are currently no studies (in vitro or in vivo) describing the direct effects of INSTIs on SARS-CoV-2. We postulate that the adverse effects of INSTIs on COVID-19 in this study likely result indirectly from the metabolic complications of weight gain and increased BMI—a well recognized risk factor for poor COVID-19 outcomes [2, 5].

Our study had several limitations. These included incomplete data on CD4 count, viral load, and other laboratory findings. In addition, we are unable to capture the start date of the symptoms, and as such we cannot exclude the possibility that PWH presented later in the course of COVID-19, which would explain the more frequent symptoms, hospitalizations, and ICU use among PWH with COVID-19. Nonetheless, to the best of our knowledge, this is one of the largest multicenter studies to date from the United States that was sufficiently powered to detect meaningful differences and statistically significant associations between patient characteristics and outcomes of interest.

CONCLUSIONS

In one of the largest multicenter studies to date from the United States, PWH with COVID-19 had a higher burden of comorbidities and life-style associated risk factors and higher rates of hospitalization, mechanical ventilation, and ICU admissions. Despite this, PWH did not appear to be significantly more at risk of death at 30 days after COVID-19 diagnosis compared with their non-HIV-infected counterparts. Male gender, being black or African American, INSTI use, and low CD4 count were independently associated with adverse COVID-19 outcomes, regardless of HIV virologic control. Similar to previous studies, our findings highlight the need for public health efforts and policy to prioritize PWH, minority, and other vulnerable populations in addressing longstanding social and economic inequities that are being further exacerbated by the HIV and COVID-19 pandemics.

Supplementary Data

Supplementary materials are available at Open Forum Infectious Diseases online. Consisting of data provided by the authors to benefit the reader, the posted materials are not copyedited and are the sole responsibility of the authors, so questions or comments should be addressed to the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments

Author contributions. G. A. Y., J. A. P., and G. A. M. contributed to study concept and design. All authors contributed to acquisition of data. G. A. Y., J. A. P., and G. A. M. contributed to analysis and interpretation of data. G. A. Y., J. A. P., and G. A. M. drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed to critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. J. A. P. contributed to statistical analysis. G. A. M. obtained funding. K. S. and H. T. contributed to administrative, technical, or material support. G. A. M. supervised the study.

Disclaimer. The contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center (UHCMC) or the National Institutes of Health (NIH).

Financial support. This publication was made possible through funding support from the UHCMC and the Clinical and Translational Science Collaborative of Cleveland (UL1TR002548) from the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (NCATS) component of the NIH and NIH Roadmap for Medical Research.

Potential conflicts of interest. G. A. M. has received grant support from ViiV, Tetraphase, Roche, Vanda, Astellas, and Genentech. She served as a scientific advisor for Gilead, Merck, ViiV/GSK, Theratechnologies, and Jannsen. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

References

- 1. World Health Organization. Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard. Available at: https://covid19.who.int/. Accessed 16 May 2021.
- Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study

[published correction appears in Lancet. 2020 Mar 28;395(10229):1038]. Lancet **2020**; 395:1054–62.

- Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and important lessons from the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in China: summary of a report of 72314 cases from the Chinese Center for Disease Control And Prevention. JAMA 2020; 323:1239–42.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. CDC COVID-19 Response Team. Preliminary estimates of the prevalence of selected underlying health conditions among patients with coronavirus disease 2019 - United States, February 12-March 28, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020; 69:382–6.
- Williamson EJ, Walker AJ, Bhaskaran K, et al. Factors associated with COVID-19related death using OpenSAFELY. Nature 2020; 584:430–6.
- Department of Health and Human Services. Interim Guidance for COVID-19 and Persons with HIV. Available at: https://clinicalinfo.hiv.gov/en/guidelines/ covid-19-and-persons-hiv-interim-guidance/interim-guidance-covid-19-andpersons-hiv?view=full. Accessed 16 May 2021.
- Shiau S, Krause KD, Valera P, et al. The burden of COVID-19 in people living with HIV: a syndemic perspective. AIDS Behav 2020; 24:2244–9.
- Hariyanto TI, Putri C, Frinka P, et al. Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and outcomes from coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pneumonia: a metaanalysis and meta-regression. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 2021; doi: 10.1089/ AID.2020.0307.
- Deeks SG, Tracy R, Douek DC. Systemic effects of inflammation on health during chronic HIV infection. Immunity 2013; 39:633–45.
- Yongzhi X. COVID-19-associated cytokine storm syndrome and diagnostic principles: an old and new Issue. Emerg Microbes Infect 2021; 10:266–76.
- Pum A, Ennemoser M, Adage T, Kungl AJ. Cytokines and chemokines in SARS-CoV-2 infections-therapeutic strategies targeting cytokine storm. Biomolecules 2021; 11:91.
- Cooper TJ, Woodward BL, Alom S, Harky A. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outcomes in HIV/AIDS patients: a systematic review. HIV Med 2020; 21:567–77.
- Dandachi D, Geiger G, Montgomery MW, et al. Characteristics, comorbidities, and outcomes in a multicenter registry of patients with HIV and coronavirus disease-19. Clin Infect Dis 2020; doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa1339.
- Sigel K, Swartz T, Golden E, et al. Coronavirus 2019 and people living with human immunodeficiency virus: outcomes for hospitalized patients in New York City. Clin Infect Dis 2020; 71:2933–8.
- Hadi YB, Naqvi SFZ, Kupec JT, Sarwari AR. Characteristics and outcomes of COVID-19 in patients with HIV: a multicentre research network study. AIDS 2020; 34:F3-8.
- Boulle A, Davies MA, Hussey H, et al. Risk factors for COVID-19 death in a population cohort study from the Western Cape Province, South Africa [published online ahead of print August 29, 2020]. Clin Infect Dis 2020. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa1198.
- Bhaskaran K, Rentsch CT, MacKenna B, et al. HIV infection and COVID-19 death: a population-based cohort analysis of UK primary care data and linked national death registrations within the OpenSAFELY platform. Lancet HIV 2021; 8:e24–32.
- Geretti AM, Stockdale AJ, Kelly SH, et al. Outcomes of COVID-19 related hospitalization among people with HIV in the ISARIC WHO Clinical Characterization Protocol (UK): a prospective observational study [published online ahead of prin October 23, 2020]. Clin Infect Dis 2020. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa1605.
- Pastor-Barriuso R, Pérez-Gómez B, Hernán MA, et al; ENE-COVID Study Group. Infection fatality risk for SARS-CoV-2 in community dwelling population of Spain: nationwide seroepidemiological study. BMJ 2020; 371:m4509.
- 20. COVID-19 National Emergency Response Center, Epidemiology and Case Management Team, Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Coronavirus disease-19: the first 7,755 cases in the Republic of Korea [published correction appears in Osong Public Health Res Perspect. 2020 Jun;11(3):146]. Osong Public Health Res Perspect 2020; 11:85–90.
- Wiersinga WJ, Rhodes A, Cheng AC, et al. Pathophysiology, transmission, diagnosis, and treatment of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a review. JAMA 2020; 324:782–93.
- 22. Fajgenbaum DC, June CH. Cytokine storm. N Engl J Med 2020; 383:2255-73.
- Huang C, Soleimani J, Herasevich S, et al. Clinical characteristics, treatment, and outcomes of critically ill patients with COVID-19: a scoping review. Mayo Clin Proc 2021; 96:183–202.
- Ignatius EH, Wang K, Karaba A, et al. Tocilizumab for the treatment of COVID-19 among hospitalized patients: a matched retrospective cohort analysis. Open Forum Infect Dis 2021; 8:ofaa598.
- Stone JH, Frigault MJ, Serling-Boyd NJ, et al; BACC Bay Tocilizumab Trial Investigators. Efficacy of tocilizumab in patients hospitalized with Covid-19. N Engl J Med 2020; 383:2333–44.

- Nosyk B, Krebs E, Zang X, et al. "Ending the epidemic" will not happen without addressing racial/ethnic disparities in the United States human immunodeficiency virus epidemic. Clin Infect Dis 2020; 71:2968–71.
- Sullivan PS, Satcher Johnson A, Pembleton ES, et al. Epidemiology of HIV in the USA: epidemic burden, inequities, contexts, and responses. Lancet 2021; 397:1095–106.
- Fields EL, Copeland R, Hopkins E. Same script, different viruses: HIV and COVID-19 in US black communities. Lancet 2021; 397:1040–2.
- Singer MC, Erickson PI, Badiane L, et al. Syndemics, sex and the city: understanding sexually transmitted diseases in social and cultural context. Soc Sci Med 2006; 63:2010–21.
- Savarino A. Expanding the frontiers of existing antiviral drugs: possible effects of HIV-1 protease inhibitors against SARS and avian influenza. J Clin Virol 2005; 34:170-8.
- Sheahan TP, Sims AC, Leist SR, et al. Comparative therapeutic efficacy of remdesivir and combination lopinavir, ritonavir, and interferon beta against MERS-CoV. Nat Commun 2020; 11:222.
- Martinez MA. Compounds with therapeutic potential against novel respiratory 2019 coronavirus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2020; 64: e00399-20.
- Cao B, Wang Y, Wen D, et al. A trial of lopinavir-ritonavir in adults hospitalized with severe Covid-19. N Engl J Med 2020; 382:1787–99.
- 34. Zídek Z, Franková D, Holý A. Activation by 9-(R)-[2-(phosphonomethoxy) propyl]adenine of chemokine (RANTES, macrophage inflammatory protein 1alpha) and cytokine (tumor necrosis factor alpha, interleukin-10 [IL-10], IL-1beta) production. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2001; 45:3381–6.

- Chien M, Anderson TK, Jockusch S, et al. Nucleotide analogues as inhibitors of SARS-CoV-2 polymerase, a key drug target for COVID-19. J Proteome Res 2020; 19:4690–7.
- Melchjorsen J, Risør MW, Søgaard OS, et al. Tenofovir selectively regulates production of inflammatory cytokines and shifts the IL-12/IL-10 balance in human primary cells. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2011; 57:265–75.
- Del Amo J, Polo R, Moreno S, et al; The Spanish HIV/COVID-19 Collaboration. Incidence and severity of COVID-19 in HIV-positive persons receiving antiretroviral therapy: a cohort study. Ann Intern Med 2020; 173:536–41.
- Scarsi KK, Havens JP, Podany AT, et al. HIV-1 integrase inhibitors: a comparative review of efficacy and safety. Drugs 2020; 80:1649–76.
- Brenner BG, Wainberg MA. Clinical benefit of dolutegravir in HIV-1 management related to the high genetic barrier to drug resistance. Virus Res 2017; 239:1–9.
- Shimura K, Kodama E, Sakagami Y, et al. Broad antiretroviral activity and resistance profile of the novel human immunodeficiency virus integrase inhibitor elvitegravir (JTK-303/GS-9137). J Virol 2008; 82:764–74.
- Sax PE, Erlandson KM, Lake JE, et al. Weight gain following initiation of antiretroviral therapy: risk factors in randomized comparative clinical trials. Clin Infect Dis 2020; 71:1379–89.
- Venter WDF, Moorhouse M, Sokhela S, et al. Dolutegravir plus two different prodrugs of tenofovir to treat HIV. N Engl J Med 2019; 381:803–15.
- Bourgi K, Rebeiro PF, Turner M, et al. Greater weight gain in treatment-naive persons starting dolutegravir-based antiretroviral therapy. Clin Infect Dis 2020; 70:1267–74.