



# Effect of ω-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid-supplemented parenteral nutrition on inflammatory and immune function in postoperative patients with gastrointestinal malignancy

# A meta-analysis of randomized control trials in China

Yajie Zhao, MD, Chengfeng Wang, MD\*

# Abstract

**Background**: There are no consensus regarding the efficacy of omega-3polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) on inflammatory and immune function in postoperative patients with gastrointestinal malignancy.

**Methods:** The literatures published randomized control trials (RCT) were searched in PubMed, Embase, Scopus, Cochrane Library, CNKI, Weipu, and Wanfang Databases. The immune efficacy outcomes of  $\omega$ -3 polyunsaturated fatty acid-supplemented parenteral nutrition in patients with gastrointestinal malignancy were compared.

**Results:** Sixteen RCTs involving 1008 patients (506 in the omega-3 group, 502 in the control group) were enrolled into the analysis. The results of meta-analysis: the cell immunity: The proportions of CD3<sup>+</sup>, CD4<sup>+</sup>, CD4<sup>+</sup>, CD4<sup>+</sup>/CD8<sup>+</sup> in the omega-3 group were significantly higher than those in the control group (CD3<sup>+</sup>: WMD=4.48; 95% CI, 3.34–5.62; P < .00001;  $l^2 = 0\%$ ; CD4<sup>+</sup>: WMD=5.55; 95% CI, 4.75–6.34; P < .00001;  $l^2 = 0\%$ ; CD4<sup>+</sup>/CD8<sup>+</sup>: WMD=.28; 95% CI, 0.13–0.44; P = .0004;  $l^2 = 81\%$ ). In the humoral immunity: The levels of IgA, IgM and IgG in the omega-3 group were significantly higher than those in the control group (IgA: WMD=0.31; 95% CI, 0.25–0.37; P < .00001;  $l^2 = 0\%$ ; IgM: WMD=0.12; 95% CI, 0.06–1.81; P < .00001;  $l^2 = 0\%$ ; IgG: WMD=1.19; 95% CI, 0.80–1.58; P < .00001;  $l^2 = 0\%$ ). The count of lymphocyte in the omega-3 group was significantly higher than that in the control group (WMD=0.22; 95% CI, 0.12–0.33; P < .0001;  $l^2 = 40\%$ ). In the postoperative inflammatory cytokine: The levels of interleukin-6, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- $\alpha$  and C-reactive protein in the omega-3 group were significantly lower than those in the control group (IL-6: WMD=– 3.09; 95% CI, -3.91 to 2.27; P < .00001;  $l^2 = 45\%$ ; TNF- $\alpha$ : WMD=–1.65; 95% CI, -2.05 to 1.25; P < .00001;  $l^2 = 28\%$ ; CRP: WMD=–4.28; 95% CI, -5.26 to 3.30; P < .00001;  $l^2 = 37\%$ ). The rate of postoperative infective complications in the omega-3 group was significantly lower than that in the control group (OR=0.36; 95% CI, 0.20–0.66; P = .0008;  $l^2 = 0\%$ ).

**Conclusion:** This meta-kanalysis confirmed that early intervention with Omega -3 fatty acid emulsion in gastrointestinal cancer can not only improve the postoperative indicators of immune function, reduce inflammatory reaction, and improve the postoperative curative effect but also improve the immune suppression induced by conventional PN or tumor. Therefore, postoperative patients with gastrointestinal cancer should add omega-3 unsaturated fatty acids in their PN formula. Further high-quality RCTs are needed to verify its efficacy.

**Abbreviations:** AA = arachidonic acids, CI = confidence interval, CRP = C-reactive protein, DHA = docosahexaenoic acid, EPA = eicosapentaenoic acid, IL-6 = interleukin-6, LCT = long chain fatty emulsion, MODS = multiple organ dysfunction syndrome, ORs = odds ratios, PN = parenteral nutrition, PUFA = polyunsaturated fatty acids, RCTs = randomized control trials, SIRS = systemic inflammatory response syndrome, TNF = tumor necrosis factor, WMD = weighted mean difference.

Keywords: gastrointestinal malignancy, meta-analysis, omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid, parenteral nutrition

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Copyright © 2018 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.

Medicine (2018) 97:16(e0472)

Received: 7 November 2017 / Received in final form: 22 February 2018 / Accepted: 22 March 2018 http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000010472

Editor: Yong Wang.

CAMS Innovation Fund did not lead to any conflict of interests regarding the publication of this manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by CAMS Innovation Fund for Medical Sciences (CIFMS) grant number 2016-I2M-1-001.

Department of Pancreatic and Gastric surgery, National Cancer Center/Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: Chengfeng Wang, Department of Pancreatic and Gastric surgery, National Cancer Center/Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing 100021, China (e-mail: zhaoyajeilancet@163.com).

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# 1. Introduction

Fat emulsions are important dietary supplements in parenteral nutrition (PN) that can provide essential fatty acids and energy and maintain cell structure and human adipose tissue. Essential fatty acids for the human body are the omega-6 group linoleic acid and omega-3 group alpha linolenic acid, which are both polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA). PUFA can be divided into 4 families-omega-3, omega-6, omega-7, and omega-9. Among them, the omega-3 family mainly includes alpha linolenic acid, 20 carbon five acid (EPA), and 22 carbon six acid (DHA). Omega-3 fish oil fatty milk is extracted from deep sea fish oil, the main components of which are EPA and DHA. In recent years, studies have shown that omega-3 PUFA can increase the stability of the omega cell membrane, regulate immune function, block excessive inflammatory reaction,<sup>[1]</sup> reduce the occurrence of systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) and multiple organ dysfunction syndrome (MODS) and infectious complications, and inhibit tumor growth.

Traditional fat emulsion, such as long chain fatty emulsion (LCT), is primarily sourced from soybean oil, and its main component is omega-6 fatty acid. Arachidonic acid, an important derivative of linoleic acid, synthesizes PGI<sub>2</sub>, LTB<sub>4</sub>, and TXA<sub>2</sub> by a series of enzymatic reactions. These substances are important proinflammatory mediators in inflammatory response and inhibit immune function. Omega-3 fish oil fat emulsion is effective in improving cellular immune function and inhibiting inflammatory response. The possible mechanism is that the EPA and DHA in omega-3 fish oil fat emulsion are further metabolized to PGE<sub>3</sub>, PGI<sub>3</sub>, TXA<sub>2</sub>, and LTB<sub>5</sub>, which can competitively inhibit the release and metabolism of arachidonic acids (AA), thereby reducing the body's inflammatory response and protecting from immune-mediated damage. In addition, EPA and DHA can also change the lipid composition and cell function of T-lymphocyte membrane, increase cell membrane stability, and affect the cellular immune function.<sup>[2,3]</sup>

Some studies have investigated the efficacy of omega-3 PUFAenriched nutrition for patients with gastrointestinal malignancy undergoing surgery, and the primary results indicated that the immunological function of omega-3 PUFAs would be helpful in preventing postoperative infectious complications.<sup>[4]</sup> However, the results and conclusions of these studies were not entirely consistent owing to limited sample size, different study designs, and potential bias. Therefore, we performed a meta-analysis of all relevant randomized control trials (RCTs) with the main focus on the efficacy of omega-3 PUFAs on inflammatory and immune function in postoperative patients with gastrointestinal malignancy.

## 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Literature-search strategy

We searched for journal articles published from January 2000 to June 2017 both electronically and manually. We searched the databases of PubMed, the Cochrane Library, Web of Science, EMBASE, and the Chinese Biomedicine Database for the following search terms: ("omega-3" OR "n-3" OR "polyunsaturated" OR "FO" OR "omega-3 fatty acid" OR "n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid") AND ("fatty acid" OR "fish oil") AND ("cancer" OR "carcinoma" OR "tumor" OR "neoplasms") AND ("surgery" OR "operation") AND ("colorectal" OR "colon" OR "rectum" OR "gastrointestinal" OR "gastric"). Both MeSH words and free terms were included in the search. No language restriction was applied and the search was performed by 2 independent researchers. Final inclusion of articles was determined by consensus; when this failed, a third author adjudicated. The results of the search strategy are shown in Fig. 1. All analyses were based on previous published studies; thus, no ethical approval and patient consent are required.

# 2.2. Inclusion criteria

Studies that met the following criteria were included: studies that evaluated the inflammatory and immune function of omega-3 PUFA-enriched nutrition for patients undergoing surgery for gastrointestinal malignancy. The omega-3 PUFAs should have been administered as an adjuvant in the study group (omega-3 group), and the duration of administration had to be short-term postsurgery; the study was the most recent publication in the case of multiple publications; and RCTs that had access to the fulltext.

# 2.3. Exclusion criteria

The following studies were excluded: those wherein details of PN were not available; those with no comparison of omega-3 group and control group; those in which the study outcomes did not include complete or available postoperative data; those which reported data used in a later study; and case reports, abstracts, letters, comments, and reviews without original data, and studies that presented insufficient data.

## 2.4. Data extraction

The following detailed data were independently extracted by 2 investigators and checked by the other authors: title; authors; year of publication; country; study design; number of patients; interventions (daily dose, duration of omega-3 PUFA administration, and type of PN); postoperative serum inflammatory cytokines (C-reactive protein [CRP], tumor necrosis factor [TNF- $\alpha$ ], and interleukin-6 [IL-6]); postoperative humoral immune function markers such as immunoglobulin (IgA, IgM, and IgG) levels; cellular immune function CD3<sup>+</sup>, CD4<sup>+</sup>, CD8<sup>+</sup> cell counts and CD4<sup>+</sup>:CD8<sup>+</sup> ratio; lymphocyte count; and the incidence of postoperative infectious complications.

## 2.5. Statistical analysis

Meta-analysis was conducted with Review Manager (version 5.3.0) software. Odds ratios (ORs) used to analysis the categorical variables and 95% confidence interval (CI) values were reported. Weighted mean difference (WMD) used to analysis the continuous variables and 95% CI values were reported. The Mantel-Haenszel, Chi-square, and  $I^2$  tests were used to test the heterogeneity between studies.  $I^2 > 50\%$ , this suggested significant heterogeneity, a random effects model was applied. If  $I^2 < 50\%$ , this suggested not significant heterogeneity, a fixed effects model was applied. If P < .05, this considered statistically significant. Funnel plots were used to evaluate potential publication bias.

# 2.6. Characteristics of the included studies and quality assessment

In this meta-analysis, 16 randomized clinical trials RCTs were included. The total number of patients was 1008, of whom 506



was omega-3 group and 502 was control group. The characteristics of all the included studies are shown in Table 1. The RCTs were qualitatively analyzed using modified Jadad scale system, which was used to assess randomization, concealment of allocation, blinding, and withdrawals in the study. Each item was given a score of 0 to 2 and 7 score in total. If the total score was  $\geq$ 4, the RCT was of high quality (Table 2).

# 2.7. Assessment of the risk of bias of RCTs

For the included RCTs, assessment of the bias risk involved 6 parameters: sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting bias, and other potential sources of bias (Fig. 2).

# 3. Meta-analysis results

# 3.1. Summary statistics of meta-analyses

The statistical findings of meta-analyses comparing postoperative outcomes of ω-3 group and control group are summarized in Table 3.

3.2. Postoperative cellular immune function3.2.1. Postoperative CD3<sup>+</sup> cell counts. Nine included studies reported the effect of ω-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid-supplemented on postoperative CD3<sup>+</sup> cell counts (%). The results of meta-analysis show that the value of postoperative CD3<sup>+</sup> cell counts increased in response to  $\omega$ -3 PUFA supplementation (WMD=4.48; 95% CI, 3.34–5.62;  $P < .00001; I^2 = 0\%$ ). Therefore, using a fixed model (Fig. 3).

3.2.2. Postoperative CD4<sup>+</sup> cell counts. Twelve included studies reported the effect of  $\omega$ -3 polyunsaturated fatty acidsupplemented on postoperative CD4<sup>+</sup> cell counts (%), we pooled data from the 12 studies to comparing  $\omega$ -3 group with control group. The results of meta-analysis show that the value of postoperative CD4+ cell counts increased in response to  $\omega$ -3 PUFA supplementation (WMD=5.55; 95% CI, 4.75-6.34;  $P < .00001; I^2 = 0\%$ ). Therefore, using a fixed model (Fig. 4).

3.2.3. Postoperative CD8<sup>+</sup> cell counts. Eleven included studies reported the effect of  $\omega$ -3 polyunsaturated fatty acid-supplemented on postoperative CD8<sup>+</sup> cell counts (%), we pooled data from the 11 studies to comparing omega-3 group with control

Table 1 The characteristics of all the included studies.

Refs.	Year	Country	Туре	Group	Number	Age	BMI	Cancer type
He and Li <sup>[5]</sup>	2017	China	RCT	Omega-3	25	46.2±8.40	$24.09 \pm 2.64$	Gastric cancer
				Control	24	$47.9 \pm 6.91$	$23.96 \pm 1.93$	
Teng et al <sup>[6]</sup>	2016	China	RCT	Omega-3	40	$52.2 \pm 11.9$	$22.53 \pm 2.73$	Colorectal cancer
				Control	40	$53.9 \pm 7.92$	22.34 ± 2.46	
Hu and Chen <sup>[7]</sup>	2015	China	RCT	Omega-3	44	$56.3 \pm 10.1$	$23.05 \pm 2.33$	Gastric mcancer
				Control	44	54.8 ± 9.82	22.46 ± 1.82	
Wei et al <sup>[8]</sup>	2014	China	RCT	Omega-3	26	47.9 <u>±</u> 11.5	—	Gastric cancer
(0)				Control	20	46.8 <u>+</u> 9.7	-	
Huang et al <sup>191</sup>	2013	China	RCT	Omega-3	39	52.11 ± 9.81	21.09 ± 2.61	Gastric cancer
[10]				Control	40	$54.63 \pm 10.51$	$22.82 \pm 2.03$	
Guo et al	2012	China	RCT	Omega-3	22	—	$23.75 \pm 2.69$	Gastric cancer
[1 1]				Control	23	—	24.24 ± 1.86	
Zhu et al	2012	China	RCT	Omega-3	29	54.9±10.7	—	Colorectal cancer
[10]				Control	28	$56.8 \pm 11.1$	—	
Zheng and Wang <sup>[12]</sup>	2011	China	RCT	Omega-3	20	$56.1 \pm 11.8$	$23.3 \pm 2.1$	Colorectal cancer
				Control	20	54.63 <u>+</u> 10.51	$22.10 \pm 3.21$	
Xue et al <sup>(13)</sup>	2011	China	RCT	Omega-3	25	—	—	Colorectal cancer
				Control	25	—		
Xia et al <sup>(14)</sup>	2011	China	RCT	Omega-3	19	$55.17 \pm 13.25$	$23.29 \pm 2.78$	Gastric cancer
			<b>D</b> .0 <b>T</b>	Control	19	$57.35 \pm 10.75$	$23.82 \pm 2.83$	
Jiang et al <sup>rig</sup>	2010	China	RCT	Omega-3	100	$57.13 \pm 10.20$	$23.90 \pm 2.84$	Gastrointestinal cancer
[16]			<b></b>	Control	103	$56.90 \pm 10.05$	$22.74 \pm 2.86$	
Lu et al	2009	China	RCI	Omega-3	15	$58.13 \pm 11.32$	$23.05 \pm 2.83$	Gastric cancer
	0000	01.1	DOT	Control	15	$54.40 \pm 11.57$	$22.83 \pm 3.03$	
Liu et al	2009	China	RCI	Omega-3	22	—	—	Gastrointestinal
Wei et el[18]	0000	Ohina	DOT	Control	20			O tria
wei et al	2009	China	RCI	Omega-3	20	$62.4 \pm 6.88$	$23.2 \pm 1.63$	Gastric cancer
1 · · · · · · [19]	0000	Ohina	DOT	Control	20	$61.4 \pm 6.51$	$22.4 \pm 1.51$	O-laws stall a second
Liang et al	2008	China	RCI	Omega-3	20	_	_	Colorectal cancer
Zhang at al <sup>[20]</sup>	0007	Ohine	DOT	Control	21		00.01 . 1.45	Control to attack and a second
Znang et al <sup>20</sup>	2007	Unina	RCI	Umega-3	40	$55.5 \pm 8.1$	$22.21 \pm 1.45$	Gastrointestinal cancer
				Control	40	54.b±1.5	$23.5 \pm 2.11$	

Refs.	Group	Treatment protocol (dosage, frequency, duration)	EPA/DHA (dosage, frequency, duration)
He and Li <sup>[5]</sup>	Omega-3	ω-3 PUFA (0.2 g/kg/d, 1–5 d)+MCT/LCT (1.0 g/kg/d, 1–5 d)	EPA (40.7 mg/kg/d, 1–5 d) DHA (59.7 mg/kg/d, 1–5 d)
	Control	MCT/LCT (1.0 g/kg/d, 1-5 d)	_
Teng et al <sup>[6]</sup>	Omega-3	ω-3 PUFA (10%, 100 mL, 1–7 d) + MCT/LCT (1.0 g/kg/d, 1–7 d)	EPA (2000 mg, 1-7 d) DHA (2250 mg, 1-7 d)
	Control	MCT/LCT (1.0 g/kg/d, 1-7 d)	—
Hu and Chen <sup>[7]</sup>	Omega-3	ω-3 PUFA (10%, 100 mL, 1–6 d) + MCT/LCT (1.0 g/kg/d, 1–6 d)	EPA (2000 mg, 1-6 d) DHA (2250 mg, 1-6 d)
	Control	MCT/LCT (1.0 g/kg/d, 1-6 d)	—
Wei et al <sup>[8]</sup>	Omega-3	$\omega$ -3 PUFA (0.2 g/kg/d, 1–7 d) + MCT/LCT (1.0 g/kg/d, 1–7 d)	EPA (40.7 mg/kg/d, 1–7 d) DHA (59.7 mg/kg/d, 1–7 d)
	Control	MCT/LCT (1.0 g/kg/d, 1-7 d)	—
Huang et al <sup>[9]</sup>	Omega-3	$\omega$ -3 PUFA (0.2 g/kg/d, 1–7 d) + MCT/LCT (1.0 g/kg/d, 1–7 d)	EPA (40.7 mg/kg/d, 1-7 d) DHA (59.7 mg/kg/d, 1-7 d)
	Control	MCT/LCT (1.0 g/kg/d, 1-7 d)	—
Guo et al <sup>[10]</sup>	Omega-3	ω-3 PUFA (10%, 100 mL, 1–5 d) + MCT/LCT (1.0 g/kg/d, 1–5 d)	EPA (2000 mg, 1-5 d) DHA (2250 mg, 1-5 d)
	Control	MCT/LCT (1.0 g/kg/d, 1-5 d)	—
Zhu et al <sup>[11]</sup>	Omega-3	ω-3 PUFA (0.2 g/kg/d, 1–7 d) + MCT/LCT (1.0 g/kg/d, 1–7 d)	EPA (40.7 mg/kg/d, 1-7 d) DHA (59.7 mg/kg/d, 1-7 d)
	Control	MCT/LCT (1.0 g/kg/d, 1-7 d)	—
Zheng and Wang <sup>[12]</sup>	Omega-3	ω-3 PUFA (10%, 100 mL, 1–7 d) + MCT/LCT (1.0 g/kg/d, 1–7 d)	EPA (2000 mg, 1-7 d) DHA (2250 mg, 1-7 d)
	Control	MCT/LCT (1.0 g/kg/d, 1-7 d)	—
Xue et al <sup>[13]</sup>	Omega-3	$\omega$ -3 PUFA (0.2 g/kg/d, 1–6 d) + MCT/LCT (1.0 g/kg/d, 1–6 d)	EPA (40.7 mg/kg/d, 1-6 d) DHA (59.7 mg/kg/d, 1-6 d)
	Control	MCT/LCT (1.0 g/kg/d, 1-6 d)	—
Xia et al <sup>[14]</sup>	Omega-3	ω-3 PUFA (0.2 g/kg/d, 1–7 d) + MCT/LCT (1.0 g/kg/d, 1–7 d)	EPA (40.7 mg/kg/d, 1-7 d) DHA (59.7 mg/kg/d, 1-7 d)
	Control	MCT/LCT (1.0 g/kg/d, 1-7 d)	—
Jiang et al <sup>[15]</sup>	Omega-3	ω-3 PUFA (0.2 g/kg/d, 1–7 d) + MCT/LCT (1.0 g/kg/d, 1–7 d)	EPA (40.7 mg/kg/d, 1-7 d) DHA (59.7 mg/kg/d, 1-7 d)
	Control	MCT/LCT (1.0 g/kg/d, 1-7 d)	—
Lu et al <sup>[16]</sup>	Omega-3	ω-3 PUFA (0.2 g/kg/d, 1–5 d) + MCT/LCT (1.0 g/kg/d, 1–5 d)	EPA (40.7 mg/kg/d, 1-5 d) DHA (59.7 mg/kg/d, 1-5 d)
	Control	MCT/LCT (1.0 g/kg/d, 1-5 d)	—
Liu et al <sup>[17]</sup>	Omega-3	ω-3 PUFA (10%, 100 mL, 1–5 d) + LCT (10%, 100 mL, 1–5 d)	EPA (2000 mg, 1-5 d) DHA (2250 mg, 1-5 d)
	Control	LCT (10%, 100 mL, 1–5 d)	—
Wei et al <sup>[18]</sup>	Omega-3	$\omega$ -3 PUFA (0.2 g/kg/d, 1–5 d) + MCT/LCT (1.0 g/kg/d, 1–5 d)	EPA (40.7 mg/kg/d, 1-5 d) DHA (59.7 mg/kg/d, 1-5 d)
	Control	MCT/LCT (1.0 g/kg/d, 1-5 d)	—
Liang et al <sup>[19]</sup>	Omega-3	$\omega$ -3 PUFA (0.2 g/kg/d, 1–7 d) + MCT/LCT (1.0 g/kg/d, 1–7 d)	EPA (40.7 mg/kg/d, 1-7 d) DHA (59.7 mg/kg/d, 1-7 d)
	Control	MCT/LCT (1.0 g/kg/d, 1-7 d)	—
Zhang et al <sup>[20]</sup>	Omega-3	ω-3 PUFA (10%, 100 mL, 1–6 d) + MCT/LCT (1.0 g/kg/d, 1–6 d)	EPA (2000 mg, 1-6 d) DHA (2250 mg, 1-6 d)
	Control	MCT/LCT (1.0 g/kg/d, 1-6 d)	

BMI = body mass index, DHA = docosahexaenoic acid, EPA = eicosapentaenoic acid, LCT = long-chain triglycerides, MCT/LCT = medium- and long-chain triglycerides, PUFA = polyunsaturated fatty acid, RCT = randomized controlled trial.

 Table 2

 Jadad scale system for randomized controlled trials.

Refs.	Randomization	<b>Concealment of allocation</b>	Double blinding	Withdrawals and drop outs	Total score
He and Li <sup>[5]</sup>	2	1	2	0	5
Teng et al <sup>[6]</sup>	2	2	1	1	6
Hu and Chen <sup>[7]</sup>	2	2	1	0	5
Wei et al <sup>[8]</sup>	2	1	2	0	5
Huang et al <sup>[9]</sup>	2	1	2	1	6
Guo et al <sup>[10]</sup>	2	2	1	0	5
Zhu et al <sup>[11]</sup>	2	1	1	0	4
Zheng and Wang <sup>[12]</sup>	2	1	2	0	5
Xue et al <sup>[13]</sup>	2	1	1	0	4
Xia et al <sup>[14]</sup>	2	2	2	1	7
Jiang et al <sup>[15]</sup>	2	2	1	0	5
Lu et al <sup>[16]</sup>	2	2	2	0	6
Liu et al <sup>[17]</sup>	2	1	1	1	5
Wei et al <sup>[18]</sup>	2	2	1	1	6
Liang et al <sup>[19]</sup>	2	2	2	1	7
Zhang et al <sup>[20]</sup>	2	2	1	0	5

Jadad scale system: The Jadad scale, sometimes known as Jadad scoring or the Oxford quality scoring system, is a procedure to independently assess the methodological quality of a clinical trial. It is the most widely used such assessment in the world; the system was used to assess randomization, concealment of allocation, blinding, and withdrawals in the study. Each item was given a score of 0-2 and 7 score in total. If the total score was  $\geq 4$ , the RCT was of high quality.

group. The results of meta-analysis indicate that there is no significant difference between the 2 groups in terms of postoperative CD8<sup>+</sup> cell counts (WMD=0.02; 95% CI, -0.39-0.43; P=.94;  $I^2=40\%$ ). Therefore, using a fixed model (Fig. 5).

**3.2.4. Postoperative CD4<sup>+</sup>:CD8<sup>+</sup> cell ratio.** Thirteen included studies reported the effect of  $\omega$ -3 polyunsaturated fatty acid-supplemented on postoperative CD4<sup>+</sup>:CD8<sup>+</sup> cell ratio. The results of meta-analysis show that the value of postoperative CD4<sup>+</sup>: CD8<sup>+</sup> cell ratio increased in response to  $\omega$ -3 PUFA supplementation (WMD=0.28; 95% CI, 0.13–0.44; P=.0004;  $I^2$ =81%). Therefore, using a random model (Fig. 6).

## 3.3. Postoperative humoral immune function

**3.3.1.** Postoperative immunoglobulins A. Six included studies reported the effect of  $\omega$ -3 polyunsaturated fatty acid-supplemented on postoperative immunoglobulins A (g/L). We pooled data from the 6 studies to comparing  $\omega$ -3 group with control group. The results of meta-analysis show that the value of postoperative immunoglobulins A increased in response to  $\omega$ -3 PUFA supplementation (WMD=0.31; 95% CI, 0.25–0.37; P<.00001;  $I^2$ =0%). Therefore, using a fixed model (Fig. 7).

**3.3.2.** Postoperative immunoglobulins *M*. Six included studies reported the effect of  $\omega$ -3 polyunsaturated fatty acid-supplemented on postoperative immunoglobulins M (g/L). The results of meta-analysis show that the value of postoperative immunoglobulins M increased in response to  $\omega$ -3 PUFA supplementation (WMD=0.12; 95% CI, 0.06–0.18; P=.0002;  $I^2$ =50%). Therefore, using a fixed model (Fig. 8).

**3.3.3.** Postoperative immunoglobulins G. Five included studies reported the effect of  $\omega$ -3 polyunsaturated fatty acid-supplemented on postoperative immunoglobulins G (g/L). The results of meta-analysis show that the value of postoperative immunoglobulins G increased in response to  $\omega$ -3 PUFA supplementation (WMD=1.19; 95% CI, 0.80–1.58; P < .00001;  $I^2$ =0%). Therefore, using a fixed model (Fig. 9).

# 3.4. Postoperative lymphocyte count

Four included studies reported the effect of  $\omega$ -3 polyunsaturated fatty acid-supplemented on postoperative lymphocyte count (10<sup>9</sup>/L). The results of meta-analysis show that the value of postoperative lymphocyte count increased in response to  $\omega$ -3 PUFA supplementation (WMD=0.22; 95% CI, 0.12–0.33; P<.0001;  $I^2$ =40%). Therefore, using a fixed model (Fig. 10).

#### 3.5. Postoperative inflammatory cytokine

**3.5.1.** Postoperative values of *IL*-6. Nine included studies reported the effect of  $\omega$ -3 polyunsaturated fatty acid-supplemented on postoperative values of IL-6 (ng/L). The results of meta-analysis show that postoperative values of IL-6 decreased in response to  $\omega$ -3 PUFA supplementation (WMD=-3.09; 95% CI, -3.91-2.27; *P* < .00001; *I*<sup>2</sup>=45%). Therefore, using a fixed model (Fig. 11).

**3.5.2.** Postoperative values of TNF- $\alpha$ . Eight included studies reported the effect of  $\omega$ -3 polyunsaturated fatty acid-supplemented on postoperative values of TNF- $\alpha$  (ng/L). The results of meta-analysis show that postoperative values of TNF- $\alpha$  decreased in response to  $\omega$ -3 PUFA supplementation (WMD=-1.65; 95% CI, -2.05-1.25; P < .00001;  $I^2 = 28\%$ ). Therefore, using a fixed model (Fig. 12).

**3.5.3.** Postoperative values of CRP. Seven included studies reported the effect of  $\omega$ -3 polyunsaturated fatty acid-supplemented on postoperative values of CRP (mg/L). The results of meta-analysis show that postoperative values of CRP decreased in response to  $\omega$ -3 PUFA supplementation (WMD = -4.28; 95% CI, -5.26 to 3.30; P < .00001;  $I^2 = 37\%$ ). Therefore, using a fixed model (Fig. 13).

#### 3.6. Postoperative incidence of infectious

Eight included studies reported the effect of  $\omega$ -3 polyunsaturated fatty acid-supplemented on postoperative incidence of infectious. The results of meta-analysis showed that postoperative incidence of infectious decreased in response to  $\omega$ -3 PUFA supplementation



Figure 2. Risk of bias of RCTs: Assessment was based on a quality checklist recommended in the Cochrane Handbook. "+" indicated a "low" risk of bias; "?" an "uncertain" risk of bias.

 $(OR = 0.36; 95\% CI, 0.20-0.66; P = .0008; I^2 = 0\%)$ . Therefore, using a fixed model (Fig. 14).

**3.6.1.** Publication bias. Deviation from this shape can indicate publication bias. There was no evident asymmetry in the funnel plots (Fig. 15), suggesting a low probability of publication bias.

# 4. Discussion

Most patients with gastrointestinal cancer have varying degrees of malnutrition and low immune function because of poor appetite, digestion, and absorption dysfunction, and tumor consume and produce some immunosuppressive factors.<sup>[21-23]</sup> This not only affects the healing and prognosis of anastomotic stoma but also worsens the patients' prognoses; and the risk of postoperative secondary infection and various complications understandably increases. Studies have shown that changes in immune function play an important role in host tumor recurrence or metastasis, and low immune function can cause accelerated diffusion of tumor cells. Therefore, it is of great clinical significance to improve the nutritional status and the immune function of such patients.<sup>[1,24–27]</sup>

T lymphocyte-mediated cell-mediated immunity plays an important role in anti-tumor immune response.<sup>[28-31]</sup> The ratio of T lymphocyte subsets CD3<sup>+</sup>, CD4<sup>+</sup>, CD8<sup>+</sup>, and CD4<sup>+</sup>/CD8<sup>+</sup> is a sensitive index to reflect the cellular immune function of an organism. The level of CD3<sup>+</sup> T cells reflects the overall level of cellular immunity. CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells can promote B-cell differentiation (to induce antibody production), activate other cells so that they secrete lymphatic factors, and play a mediating role in inflammatory reactions. CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells are a type of immune suppression cell, as they inhibit antibody secretion and T-cell proliferation, CD8<sup>+</sup> cells may also represent cytotoxic cells. Some studies have shown that the ratio of CD3<sup>+</sup>, CD4<sup>+</sup>, and CD4<sup>+</sup>/ CD8<sup>+</sup> decreased and the ratio of CD8<sup>+</sup> increased in the peripheral blood of patients in the first postoperative week, which was suggestive of inhibited cellular immune function. The ratio change due to surgical trauma and the body in high metabolic stress postsurgery resulted in inhibited T lymphocyte function, thereby reducing the number of cells and strength of the immune response. The results of our meta-analysis showed that the value of CD3<sup>+</sup>, CD4<sup>+</sup>, and CD4<sup>+</sup>/CD8<sup>+</sup> ratio increased in ω-3 groups on the sixth postoperative day. The ratio of CD3<sup>+</sup>, CD4<sup>+</sup> T lymphocyte subsets in  $\omega$ -3 group was significantly higher than the control group, suggesting that the  $\omega$ -3 unsaturated fatty acid can enhance postoperative cellular immunity of patients with gastrointestinal malignancy. Meanwhile, an increased ratio of CD4<sup>+</sup>/CD8<sup>+</sup> can enhance cellular immunity, promote cell activation and differentiation, and enhance humoral immunity. This meta-analysis suggests that these indicators of cellular immune function of patients in the  $\omega$ -3 group was better than that of the control group. Immunoglobulins are a group of proteins that act as antibodies and are mainly present in human blood, tissue fluids, and exocrine fluids. Immunoglobulins are an important index to examine the humoral immune function of an organism. This meta-analysis showed that there was a clear difference between the  $\omega$ -3 and control groups in terms of IgA, IgG, and IgM levels on the sixth postoperative day. The results indicated that  $\omega$ -3 PUFAs can improve indicators of cellular and humoral immune function.

TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6, and CRP play an important role in response to tissue injury and inflammation in early trauma.<sup>[32,33]</sup> TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-6 are pre-inflammatory factors that have an important role in

Table 3

Summary statistics of meta-analyses comparing outcomes of omega-3 group and control group.

Parameters	No. of trials	No. of patients	OR/WMD (95% CI)	<i>ľ</i> <sup>2</sup> (%)	Р
CD3+ cell counts	9 RCT	534	4.48 (3.34 to 5.62)	0	<.00001
CD4+ cell counts	12 RCT	688	5.55 (4.75 to 6.34)	0	<.00001
CD8 <sup>+</sup> cell counts	11 RCT	644	0.02 (-0.39 to 0.43)	40	.94
CD4+:CD8+ cell ratio	13 RCT	756	0.28 (0.13 to 0.44)	81	.0004
Immunoglobulins A	6 RCT	285	0.31 (0.25 to 0.37)	0	<.00001
Immunoglobulins M	6 RCT	285	0.12 (0.06 to 1.81)	0	<.00001
Immunoglobulins G	5 RCT	245	1.19 (0.80 to 1.58)	0	<.00001
Lymphocyte count	4 RCT	209	0.22 (0.12 to 0.33)	40	<.0001
IL-6	9 RCT	484	-3.09 (-3.91 to 2.27)	45	<.00001
TNF-α	8 RCT	409	-1.65 (-2.05 to 1.25)	28	<.00001
CRP	7 RCT	407	-4.28 (-5.26 to 3.30)	37	<.00001
Postoperative infectious	8 RCT	594	0.36 (0.20 to 0.66)	0	.0008

CI = confidence interval, CRP = C-reactive protein, IL-6 = interleukin-6, OR = odds ratio, RCTs = randomized control trials, TNF = tumor necrosis factor, WMD = weighted mean difference.

induction and regulation of inflammatory response. TNF- $\alpha$  is a protein produced by LPS-stimulated monocyte macrophage, which is a promoter of multidirectional inflammation and inflammation.<sup>[34]</sup> TNF- $\alpha$  can activate neutrophils, macrophages, and other inflammatory cells, and can induce IL-6 secretion by vascular endothelial cells. IL-6 is an important index to reflect the severity of inflammation and tissue damage. The results of our

meta-analysis showed that there was a significant difference between the  $\omega$ -3 groups and control groups with regard to TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-6 levels on the sixth postoperative day. The values of IL-6 and TNF- $\alpha$  were significantly lower in the  $\omega$ -3 PUFAs group than the control group, thereby confirming that  $\omega$ -3 PUFAs can significantly reduce the release of IL-6 and TNF- $\alpha$  in serum and reduce damage to the immune system and enhance immune



Figure 3. Meta-analysis of the postoperative CD3<sup>+</sup> cell counts (%).

ean ).23 5.55	<u>SD</u> 4.32	Total	Mean	<u>SD</u>	Total	Weight	IV Eived 06% CI	Veer	
).23 5 55	4.32	40				Weight	IV, FIXEU, 55/6 CI	rear	IV, FIXEd, 95% CI
5 5 5		40	33.93	5.87	40	12.4%	6.30 [4.04, 8.56]	2016	
	7.74	44	40.86	7.92	44	5.9%	4.69 [1.42, 7.96]	2015	—
1.23	9.46	26	41.74	8.67	20	2.3%	2.49 [-2.77, 7.75]	2014	
3.46	5.11	40	32.46	4.28	40	14.8%	6.00 [3.93, 8.07]	2014	
.26 1	10.13	39	38.24	10.81	40	3.0%	3.02 [-1.60, 7.64]	2013	+
1.5 1	10.75	22	43.07	11.28	23	1.5%	-1.57 [-8.01, 4.87]	2012	
6.1	10.2	29	37.6	8.9	28	2.6%	8.50 [3.54, 13.46]	2012	
3.15	9.33	20	35.5	7.27	20	2.4%	7.65 [2.47, 12.83]	2011	
13.7	6.5	25	39.3	7.9	25	3.9%	4.40 [0.39, 8.41]	2011	
3.95	2.58	20	38.39	1.04	20	42.5%	5.56 [4.34, 6.78]	2009	*
3.39	5.11	22	32.46	4.82	20	7.0%	5.93 [2.93, 8.93]	2009	
.48	9.51	20	34.07	10.17	21	1.7%	7.41 [1.39, 13.43]	2008	
		347			341	100.0%	5.55 [4.75, 6.34]		•
'6, df =	= 11 (P	= 0.46	5); l <sup>2</sup> = 0	%					
3	.46 .26 1.5 6.1 .15 3.7 .95 .39 .48 6, df =	.46 5.11 .26 10.13 1.5 10.75 6.1 10.2 .15 9.33 3.7 6.5 .95 2.58 .39 5.11 .48 9.51 6, df = 11 (P	.46         5.11         40           .26         10.13         39           1.5         10.75         22           6.1         10.2         29           .15         9.33         20           3.7         6.5         25           .95         2.58         20           .39         5.11         22           .48         9.51         20           347           6, df = 11 (P = 0.46	.46         5.11         40         32.46           .26         10.13         39         38.24           1.5         10.75         22         43.07           6.1         10.2         29         37.6           .15         9.33         20         35.5           3.7         6.5         25         39.3           .95         2.58         20         38.39           .39         5.11         22         32.46           .48         9.51         20         34.07           347           6.df = 11 (P = 0.46); I = 0.46); I = 2	.46         5.11         40         32.46         4.28           .26         10.13         39         38.24         10.81           1.5         10.75         22         43.07         11.28           6.1         10.2         29         37.6         8.9           .15         9.33         20         35.5         7.27           3.7         6.5         25         39.3         7.9           .95         2.58         20         38.39         1.04           .39         5.11         22         32.46         4.82           .48         9.51         20         34.07         10.17           S447           Gdf = 11 (P = 0.46); I <sup>2</sup> = 0%	.46       5.11       40       32.46       4.28       40         .26       10.13       39       38.24       10.81       40         1.5       10.75       22       43.07       11.28       23         6.1       10.2       29       37.6       8.9       28         1.5       9.33       20       35.5       7.27       20         3.7       6.5       25       39.3       7.9       25         .95       2.58       20       38.39       1.04       20         .39       5.11       22       32.46       4.82       20         .48       9.51       20       34.07       10.17       21	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	.46       5.11       40       32.46       4.28       40       14.8%       6.00 [3.93, 8.07]         .26       10.13       39       38.24       10.81       40       3.0%       3.02 [-1.60, 7.64]         1.5       10.75       22       43.07       11.28       23       1.5%       -1.57 [-8.01, 4.87]         6.1       10.2       29       37.6       8.9       28       2.6%       8.50 [3.54, 13.46]         .15       9.33       20       35.5       7.27       20       2.4%       7.65 [2.47, 12.83]         3.7       6.5       25       39.3       7.9       25       3.9%       4.40 [0.39, 8.41]         .95       2.58       20       38.39       1.04       20       42.5%       5.56 [4.34, 6.78]         .39       5.11       22       32.46       4.82       20       7.0%       5.93 [2.93, 8.93]         .48       9.51       20       34.07       10.17       21       1.7%       7.41 [1.39, 13.43]         347       341       100.0%       5.55 [4.75, 6.34]         6. df = 11 (P = 0.46); I <sup>2</sup> = 0%       341       100.0%       5.55 [4.75, 6.34]	.46       5.11       40       32.46       4.28       40       14.8%       6.00 [3.93, 8.07]       2014         .26       10.13       39       38.24       10.81       40       3.0%       3.02 [-1.60, 7.64]       2013         1.5       10.75       22       43.07       11.28       23       1.5%       -1.57 [-8.01, 4.87]       2012         6.1       10.2       29       37.6       8.9       28       2.6%       8.50 [3.54, 13.46]       2012         1.5       9.33       20       35.5       7.27       20       2.4%       7.65 [2.47, 12.83]       2011         3.7       6.5       25       39.3       7.9       25       3.9%       4.40 [0.39, 8.41]       2011         .95       2.58       20       38.39       1.04       20       42.5%       5.56 [4.34, 6.78]       2009         .39       5.11       22       32.46       4.82       20       7.0%       5.93 [2.93, 8.93]       2009         .48       9.51       20       34.07       10.17       21       1.7%       7.41 [1.39, 13.43]       2008         347       341       100.0%       5.55 [4.75, 6.34]       6.6f = 11 (P = 0.46); P = 0%

Figure 4. Meta-analysis of the postoperative CD4<sup>+</sup> cell counts (%).

















Study or Subaroup	Moon	сD	Total	Moon	sп	Total	Woight	IV Eixed 95% CL Ver	IV Fixed 95% Cl
Study of Subgroup	Wearr	30	Total	Weall	30	TOLAI	weight	IV, FIXed, 95% CI Tea	
Hu GQ	1.12	0.37	26	1.08	0.36	20	24.2%	0.04 [-0.17, 0.25] 201	5 –
Wei Z	1.22	0.47	44	0.98	0.26	44	43.4%	0.24 [0.08, 0.40] 201	4 🔤
Guo J	1.46	0.54	22	1.21	0.41	23	13.9%	0.25 [-0.03, 0.53] 201	2
Lu C	1.52	0.33	15	1.12	0.35	15	18.5%	0.40 [0.16, 0.64] 200	9
Total (95% CI)			107			102	100.0%	0.22 [0.12, 0.33]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	4.96, df	= 3 (P	= 0.17)	; l² = 40	)%				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.17	′ (P < (	0.0001)						Favours [control] Favours [ω-3]

function. CRP is an acute phase protein synthesized by IL-6induction of hepatocytes. CRP levels show a rapid and sensitive change in acute trauma and infection and can reflect the change of inflammatory reaction in an organism. Continuous monitoring of CRP after operation is a sensitive index to determine the degree of postoperative stress response and infectious complications and is thus clinically significant. Studies have shown that the majority of patients showed an increase in CRP levels 4 to 12 hours after operation, which reached a peak at 24 to 72 hours, and returned to baseline 14 days after surgery. The results of our meta-analysis showed that the levels of CRP were significantly different between the 2 groups on the sixth postoperative day, with the control group showing significantly higher levels than the  $\omega$ -3 group. This indicated that  $\omega$ -3 PUFAs can reduce the postsurgical inflammatory reaction in patients with gastrointestinal tumors.

Peripheral blood neutrophils, eosinophils, basophils, lymphocytes, and monocytes are important components of the body's defense system. In this study, lymphocyte count was significantly higher in  $\omega$ -3 groups than the control groups. Omega-3 PUFAs improve the body's defense system by the proliferation of lymphocytes, and the meta-analysis result also confirmed that the incidence of infectious complications in ω-3 groups was significantly lower than the control group. Omega-3 PUFAs can regulate the release of inflammatory mediators and promote lymphocyte proliferation to improve the body's defense system, and improve postoperative outcomes in patients. Furthermore, Wei et al reported that  $\omega$ -3 PUFAs can reduce the expression and level of tumor-related factors such as vascular endothelial growth factor and insulin-like growth factor-1, suggesting that  $\omega$ -3 PUFAs had inhibitory effects on tumor growth. As all studies did not report the long-term survival of patients, it is necessary to



Figure 11. Meta-analysis of the postoperative values of IL-6 (ng/L).





Churcher and Cruch anno 110	Maan		Tatal	Maan	0000	Tetel	Mainha	N/ Fixed 05% Cl	Veen		n/	Eined OF			
Sund of Subgroup	wean	30	Total	wean	20	Total	weight	IV, FIXED, 95% C	rear		10,	Fixed, 95	% <b>U</b> I		
Hu GQ	31.91	24.91	44	32.62	31.36	44	0.7%	-0.71 [-12.54, 11.12]	2015						
Huang JQ	17.75	8.17	39	26.4	6.03	40	9.6%	-8.65 [-11.82, -5.48]	2013						
Xia J	10.8	6.95	19	13.7	9.57	19	3.4%	-2.90 [-8.22, 2.42]	2011						
Zheng W	9.13	5.19	20	12.01	4.51	20	10.6%	-2.88 [-5.89, 0.13]	2011						
Li X	13.14	4.95	22	16.08	8.35	20	5.5%	-2.94 [-7.14, 1.26]	2009						
Wei Y	16.7	3.2	20	20.1	5.5	20	12.4%	-3.40 [-6.19, -0.61]	2009						
Zhang WK	12.23	2.33	40	16.48	3.45	40	57.9%	-4.25 [-5.54, -2.96]	2007						
Total (95% CI)			204			203	100.0%	-4.28 [-5.26, -3.30]			•				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	9.50, df	= 6 (P =	0.15);	l² = 379	6						5	<u> </u>	5		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 8.54	(P < 0.	00001)							Favours [ w-3 PU	-5 FA decr	eas] Fav	ວ ours [ w-	-3 PUFA increa	ase

further investigate the long-term clinical prognosis of patients with gastrointestinal cancer after the use of  $\omega\text{--}3$  PUFAs.

A major limitation of our meta-analysis is that all the included RCTs were Chinese studies. Therefore, the conclusion may not be generalizable to other ethnic populations. Another potential limitation is that experience and methods of perioperative management used at different hospitals and specialist centers could have produced different outcomes and increased the heterogeneity among the included studies.

# 5. Conclusions

Omega-3 fatty acids play an important role in the regulation of inflammatory factors and immune function and improve the nutritional status through a variety of mechanisms. The result of this meta-analysis confirmed that early intervention with  $\omega$ -3 fatty acid emulsion in gastrointestinal cancer cannot only improve the postoperative indicators of immune function, reduce inflammatory reaction, and improve the postoperative curative effect but also improve the immune suppression induced by conventional PN or tumor. Therefore, postoperative patients with gastrointestinal cancer should add  $\omega$ -3 unsaturated fatty acids in their PN formula. Further high-quality RCTs are needed to verify its efficacy.

#### Author contributions

Writing – original draft: Yajie Zhao. Writing – review and editing: Chengfeng Wang.



Figure 14. Meta-analysis of the postoperative incidence of infectious.



**Figure 15.** Funnel plots: Funnel plots were created to assess the publication bias in our meta-analysis of included studies. In the absence of publication bias, it assumes that studies with high precision will be plotted near the average, and studies with low precision will be spread evenly on both sides of the average, creating a roughly funnel-shaped distribution. (A) Postoperative CD3<sup>+</sup> cell counts, (B) postoperative CD4<sup>+</sup> cell counts, (C) postoperative CD8<sup>+</sup> cell counts, (D) postoperative CD4<sup>+</sup>:CD8<sup>+</sup> cell ratio, (E) postoperative immunoglobulins A, (F) postoperative immunoglobulins M, (G) postoperative immunoglobulins G, (H) postoperative lymphocyte count, (I) postoperative values of IL-6, (J) postoperative values of TNF-α, (K) postoperative values of CRP, (L) postoperative incidence of infectious.

## References

- Mayer K, Seeger W. Fish oil in critical illness. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care 2008;11:121–7.
- [2] Fan YY, McMurray DN, Ly LH, et al. Dietary (n-3) polyunsaturated fatty acids remodel mouse T-cell lipid rafts. J Nutr 2003;133:1913–20.
- [3] Zeyda M, Szekeres AB, Saemann MD, et al. Suppression of T cell signaling by polyunsaturated fatty acids: selectivity in inhibition of mitogen-activated protein kinase and nuclear factor activation. J Immunol 2003;170:6033–9.
- [4] Tevar R, Jho DH, Babcock T, et al. Omega-3 fatty acid supplementation reduces tumor growth and vascular endothelial growth factor expression in a model of progressive non-metastasizing malignancy. JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr 2002;26:285–9.
- [5] He J, Li X. Effect of ω-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (ω-3 PUFA) on postoperative inflammatory response of gastric cancer patients with nutritional risk. Pract J Cancer 2017;32:405–7.
- [6] Teng X, Guo J, Zou W. Study on influencing mechanism of ω-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids on inflammation, nutrition, immune function and prognosis in colorectal cancer patients undergoing radical operation. China Modern Doctor 2016;54:13–6.
- [7] Hu G-Q, Chen W. Effect of  $\omega$ -3 fish oil fat emulsion contained parenteral nutrition on patients after surgical operation of gastrointestinal tumors. Parenter Enteral Nutr 2015;22:16–9.
- [8] Wei Z, Wang W, Chen J, et al. A prospective, randomized, controlled study of ω-3 fish oil fat emulsion-based parenteral nutrition for patients following surgical resection of gastric tumors. Nutr J 2014;13:25.1–6.
- [9] Huang J, Zheng Q, Zhao Q. A clinical study on effect of fish oil on cellular immune and inflammatory response of perioperative patients of gastrointestinal tumors. Chongqing Medic 2013;42:4289–91.
- [10] Guo J, Zhou F, Yu Z. The effect of omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids on inflammatory reaction and postoperative fatigue of patients with gastric carcinoma after operation. Chin J Clin Nutr 2012;20:382–3.
- [11] Zhu MW, Da-Nian T, Hou J, et al. Impact of fish oil enriched total parenteral nutrition on elderly patients after colorectal cancer surgery. Chin Med J 2012;125:178–81.
- [12] Zheng W, Wang Y. The role of omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid in parenteral nutrition treatment of postoperative patients with colorectal carcinoma. Parenter Enteral Nutr 2011;18:129–31. 135.
- [13] Xue P, Liu J, Lin S. Effect of  $\omega$ -3 fish-oil fat emulsion on postoperative immune function of patients with colorectal tumor. Mil Med J S Chin 2011;25:138–9.
- [14] Xia J, Xiong Q, Qian Y. The effect of omega-3 poly unsaturated fatty acids on patients after Gastric cancer operation. Acta Univ Med Anhui 2011;46:1105–6.
- [15] Jiang ZM, Wilmore DW, Wang XR, et al. Randomized clinical trial of intravenous soybean oil alone versus soybean oil plus fish oil emulsion after gastrointestinal cancer surgery. Br J Surg 2010;97:804–9.
- [16] Lu C, Wang W-Y, Peng W-Z, et al. The effects of fish oil fat emulsion on nutritional status and humoral immunity in postoperative patients suffering from gastrointestinal malignancy. Parenter Enteral Nutr 2009;16:324–7.

- [17] Liu X, Xin F, Zhang H. Effect of ω-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (ω-3 PUFA) on postoperative inflammatory function and response for gastric cancer patients. Parenter Enteral Nutr 2009;16:280–2. 285.
- [18] Wei Y, Song H, Wang J, et al. The effect of omega-3 poly unsaturated fatty acids on elder patients after gastric cancer operation. Parenter Enteral Nutr 2009;16:328–31.
- [19] Liang B, Wang S, Ye YL, et al. Impact of postoperative omega-3 fatty acid-supplemented parenteral nutrition on clinical outcomes and immune-modulations in colorectal cancer patients. World J Gastroenterol 2008;14:2434–9.
- [20] Zhang W-K, Long Y-P, Chen J-H. Therapeutic effect of ω-3 fatty acids on patients after surgical operation of gastrointestinal malignancy. J Clin Surg 2007;15:606–8.
- [21] Zaloga GP. Parenteral nutrition in adult inpatients with functioning gastrointestinal tracts: assessment of outcomes. Lancet 2006;367: 1101–11.
- [22] Gramlich L, Kichian K, Pinilla J, et al. Does enteral nutrition compared to parenteral nutrition result in better outcomes in critically ill adult patients? A systematic review of the literature. Nutrition 2004;20: 843–8.
- [23] Peter JV, Moran JL, Phillips-Hughes J. A meta-analysis of treatment outcomes of early enteral versus early parenteral nutrition in hospitalized patients. Crit Care Med 2005;33:213–61.
- [24] Lanza-Jacoby S, Flynn JT, Miller S. Parenteral supplementation with a fish-oil emulsion prolongs survival and improves rat lymphocyte function during sepsis. Nutrition 2001;17:112–6.
- [25] Wang X, Li W, Zhang F, et al. Fish oil-supplemented parenteral nutrition in severe acute pancreatitis patients and effects on immune function and infectious risk: a randomized controlled trial. Inflammation 2009; 32:304–9.
- [26] Heller AR, Rössler S, Litz RJ, et al. Omega-3 fatty acids improve the diagnosis-related clinical outcome. Crit Care Med 2006;34:972–9.
- [27] Stapleton RD, Martin JM, Mayer K. Fish oil in critical illness: mechanisms and clinical applications. Crit Care Clin 2010;26:501–14.
- [28] Sido B, Teklote J, Hartel M, et al. Inflammatory response after abdominal surgery. Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol 2004;18:439–54.
- [29] Köller M. Impact of omega-3 fatty acid enriched TPN on leukotriene synthesis by leukocytes after major surgery. Clin Nutr 2003;22:59–64.
- [30] Kojima M, Wakai K, Tokudome S, et al. Serum levels of polyunsaturated fatty acids and risk of colorectal cancer: a prospective study. Am J Epidemiol 2005;161:462–71.
- [31] Nohe B, Ruoff H, Johannes T, et al. A fish oil emulsion used for parenteral nutrition attenuates monocyte-endothelial interactions under flow. Shock 2002;18:217–22.
- [32] Vollmer C, Fazou U, Cameron CB, et al. Production of pro-inflammatory cytokines correlates with the symptoms of acute sickness behaviour in humans. Psychol Med 2004;34:1289–97.
- [33] Leung L, Catherine MC. TNF-α and neuropathic pain—a review. J Neuroinflammation 2010;7:27.1–11.
- [34] Johanna SP, Roger JB, Dietmar F, et al. Peritoneal inflammation and fatigue experiences following colorectal surgery: a pilot study. Psychoneuroendocrinology 2008;33:446–54.