Heterocycles

A Cationic NHC-Supported Borole

Tobias Heitkemper and Christian P. Sindlinger^{*[a]}

Dedicated to the memory of John J. Eisch and his legacy in borole chemistry

Abstract: This work describes the synthesis and characterization of a highly reactive cationic borole. Halide abstraction with Li{Al[OC(CF₃)₃]₄} from the NHC-chloroborole adduct yields the first stable NHC-supported 1-(MeNHC)-2,5-(SiMe₃)₂-3,4-(Ph*)₂-borole cation. Electronically, it features both a five-membered cyclic conjugated 4π -electron system and a cationic charge and thus resembles the yet elusive cyclopentadienyl cation. The borole cation was characterized crystallographically, spectroscopically (NMR, UV/Vis), by cyclovoltammetry, microanalysis and massspectrometry and its electronic structure was probed computationally. The cation reacts with tolane and reversibly binds carbon monoxide. Direct comparison with the structurally related, yet neutral, 1-mesityl borole reveals strong Lewis acidity, reduced HOMO-LUMO gaps, and increased anti-aromatic character.

The cyclopentadienyl cation $[C_5H_5]^+$ often serves as a textbook case for anti-aromaticity according to Breslow's 4π -electron extension of Hückel's theory on aromaticity.^[1] While some homoleptic derivatives of cyclopentadienyl cation $[C_5R_5]^+$ (R=H or Cl) have triplet ground states and thus are aromatic according to Baird's rule,^[2] particularly heteroleptic derivatives of reduced symmetry [(CIC)₄CR'] (R' = [H⁺], BF₃) with singlet ground state are considered *anti-*aromatic.^[3] However, all these derivatives are elusive and have only been generated, "isolated" and characterized in frozen solvent, solid SbF5 or inert noble-gas matrices and the synthesis of (singlet) cyclopentadienyl cations remains challenging.^[3b] Free, neutral boroles ((RC)₄BR') are isoelectronic to cyclopentadienyl cations and thus they reveal high reactivity associated with their (weak) anti-aromatic nature.^[4] Yet, some free boroles are synthetically accessible and isolable granting a broader set of analytical techniques to probe these species (Scheme 1).^[4b,5] It has been postulated that by tuning

[a]	T. Heitkemper, Dr. C. P. Sindlinger
	Institut für Anorganische Chemie
	Georg-August-Universität Göttingen
	Tammannstr. 4, 37077 Göttingen (Germany)
	E-mail: christian.sindlinger@chemie.uni-goettingen.de
	Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for the au-
D	thor(s) of this article can be found under:
-	https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202001916.
ſ	© 2020 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open acces
	article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which

© 2020 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. the frontier orbital situation of cyclopentadienyl cations to the one in boroles through variation of inductively active substituents in $[(RC)_4CR']^+$, stable derivatives may be accessible.^[6] The opposite approach to stable electronic mimics of cyclopentadienyl cations would be to electronically disguise a boron atom in boroles as a carbon atom. In our attempts to do so, we were able to isolate a borole-derived borenium cation with a three-coordinate boron atom that still features cyclic conjugation of the four-electron π -system. It thus represents both a cationic, borole-derived electronic mimic to cyclopentadienyl cation as well as an extension of a series of formal single electron additions to $(PhC)_4BR'$ (R' = Aryl or NHC) (Scheme 1).^[7]

Chemistry Europe

European Chemical Socletles Publishing

A borole-derived boronium cation with a tetra-coordinated boron atom and thus without any cyclic delocalization of the π -system was observed by Braunschweig, when two molecules of 4-methylpyridine replace a chloride in (PhC)₄BCl.^[8] Donor-supported 9-borafluorene cations have been described by Nöth and most recently by Gilliard.^[9] However the central (C₄B) 4π -system in borafluorenes is conjugated with benzene moieties and delocalized, significantly reducing the *anti*-aromatic character and reactivity.^[10] Therefore borafluorenes are not suitably comparable to actual free boroles.

We have recently found access to a thermally stable chloroborole **A-CI**.^[11] Addition of 1 equiv of 1,3-(R)₂-4,5-dimethylimidazol-2-ylidenes (R = Me, ^{Me}NHC; R = *i*Pr, ^{*i*PT}NHC; NHC = *N*-heterocyclic carbene) to solutions of **A-CI**, gives crystalline colourless NHC-adducts **1a** and **1b** in ca. 70% yield (Scheme 2). The ¹H NMR spectrum of **1a,b** reveals four signals sets for the four alkyl groups of the NHC in line with no rotation of the NHC around the B–C bond within the NMR timescale. The ¹¹B NMR



Scheme 1. a) Electronic analogy of singlet cyclopentadienyl cations and boroles. b) One-electron-addition series of known neutral and charged borole derivatives and the borole cation. Only one mesomeric structure is shown for each.

Chem. Eur. J. 2020, 26, 11684 – 11689

Wiley Online Library

Communication doi.org/10.1002/chem.202001916



Scheme 2. Synthesis of precursors 1 a,b and borole cation [2 a]⁺.

resonance shifts from δ_{11B} =70.8 ppm (**A-CI**) to δ_{11B} =0.5 (**1a**) and 1.3 (**1b**) ppm fully in line with a tetracoordinate boron atom. The crystal structure of **1a** is documented in the Supporting Information.

A few examples of NHC-adducts to haloboroles have previously been reported,^[7a,12] however usually applying bulky *N*-aryl imidazole-2-ylidenes. Braunschweig and co-workers obtained a borole monoanion with B-centred nucleophilicity by reducing such an adduct of $(PhC)_4BCI.^{[7a]}$

When solutions of 1a in dichloromethane are treated with $Na[B(XyI^{F})_{4}]$ (XyI^{F} = 3,5-(CF_{3})_{2}(C_{6}H_{3})), immediate quantitative consumption of 1a yields dark yellow-green solutions and sodium chloride precipitates. NMR-spectroscopic examination of these samples kept below -15° C reveal clean formation of a single new product with one signal set for the N-Me groups of the NHC, but the new compound decomposes swiftly at room temperature. Dehalogenation with Krossing's superbly inert and weakly coordinating anion salt Li[Al{OC(CF₃)₃}₄]^[13] in CH₂Cl₂ leads to the same immediate formation of a single new compound with no sign of decomposition. Similar dehalogenation approaches previously allowed for the synthesis of, for example, highly reactive borinium cations.[14] Single-crystal diffraction confirmed the formation of the cationic borole [2a]+ (Figure 1) being an unprecedented type of NHC-supported borenium cations.^[15] Compound $[2a][AI{OC(CF_3)_3}_4]$ can be isolated as a dark yellow-green crystalline material quantitatively (98%) and is stable in solution for several days at room temperature but is extremely sensitive to oxygen and water and immediately decomposes in ambient atmosphere.

Within the C_4B cycle, the structure features two localized double bonds as to be expected for boroles and Jahn–Teller



Figure 1. ORTEP of the solid state structure of borole cation $[2a]^+$ and depictions of the frontier orbitals (isovalue 0.05 a.u.). Hydrogen atoms, the $[Al\{OC(CF_3)_3\}_4]$ anion, lattice CH_2Cl_2 and disorder in tBu-groups are omitted for the sake of clarity. Anisotropic displacement parameters are drawn at 50% probability. Selected bond lengths [Å]: B1–C5 1.588(8), C5–N1 1.332(7), C5–N2 1.347(5), see also Table 1.

distorted singlet cyclopentadienyl cations. A fairly large torsion angle of 70(2)° between the NHC-plane and the C₄B-plane is observed. Likely due to steric reasons, the two silyl groups both are mildly tilted out above and below the C₄B plane. The structural features of [2a]⁺ are virtually identical to its most related neutral derivative featuring a boron-bound mesityl (Mes; 2,4,6-Me₃(C₆H₂)) group (A-Mes) (Table 1).^{[11] Me}NHC and Mes feature a similar steric profile. NMR-spectroscopy reveals for [2 a]+ a ^{11}B NMR resonance at $\delta_{11\text{B}}\!=\!73.9$ ppm pronouncedly less lowfield shifted than comparable neutral aryl boroles^[11, 16] including **A-Mes** or $[R_2B(NHC)]^+$ borenium cations (Table 1).^[15d,e] The $^{13}\mathsf{C}\,\mathsf{NMR}$ resonances within the C4B-cycle are found at $\delta_{13\mathsf{C}}{=}$ 135.5 ppm (C_{α}) and 190.3 ppm (C_{β}) with C_{β} being significantly low-field shifted compared to A-Mes. Particularly the combination of relatively highfield-shifted ^{11}B and low-field shifted $C_{\scriptscriptstyle B}$ ^{13}C resonances are in line with an increased donation of $\pi\text{-}\text{elec-}$ tron density from the butadiene-system into the energetically very low-lying empty *p*-orbital at boron in this cationic borole. This can be rationalized by the contribution of resonance

Table 1. Structural, spectroscopic and computational characteristics of borole cation [2 a] ⁺ and their comparison to mesityl-borole A-Mes.									
Entry	$\begin{array}{l} B - C_{\alpha 1}, \ C_{\alpha 1} - C_{\beta 1}, \ C_{\beta 1} - C_{\beta 2} \\ B - C_{\alpha 2}, \ C_{\alpha 2} - C_{\beta 2}^{\ [a]} \end{array}$	C₄B-Ar torsion ^[b]	$\delta(^{13}C)$, ($C_{\alpha\prime}C_{\beta}$) ^[c]	$\delta(^{11}\text{B})^{[c]}$	$\lambda_{exp}^{[d]}$, $(\lambda_{calc})^{[e]}$, $\varepsilon_{\lambda}^{[f]}$	HOMO/LUMO/ gap ^[g]	$NICS_{zz}(0)$ $NICS_{zz}(1)^{[h]}$	E ^{o[i]}	δ ³¹ Ρ ΑΝ ^[j]
[2 a] ⁺ A-Mes ^[11]	1.570(8), 1.351(7), 1.545(7) 1.550(8), 1.359(7) 1.590(3), 1.360(4), 1.538(4) 1.594(4), 1.359(3)	70(2) 85(1)	135.5, 190.3 137.7, 181.0	73.9 79.9	564, (579), 180 ≈480, (462), ≈400	-7.63/-6.38/ 1.25 -5.17/-3.45/ 1.72	55.6 30.8 48.5 25.5	-1.03 -1.91	83.4 93.7 46.1 11.3

[a] In [Å]; [b] Torsion angle in [°]; [c] in CD₂Cl₂ in parts per million, ppm; [d] Absorption bands of lowest energy in nm in CH₂Cl₂; [e] TD-DFT: RIJCOSX-CAM-B3LYP\def2-SVP\\RI-BP86-D3BJ\def2-TZVP (for [**2**a]⁺: CPMC: CH₂Cl₂); [f] in Lmol⁻¹ cm⁻¹ (CH₂Cl₂); [g] RI-BP86\def2-TZVP, gas phase energies in eV; [h] NICS_{zz}(0) and NICS_{zz}(1) GIAO PBE0\def2-TZVP; [i] Potential of the first reduction wave in V given versus Fc/Fc⁺ (0.04 M [*n*Bu₄N][Al{OC(CF₃)₃]₄] in 1,2-di-fluorobenzene); [j] Gutmann–Beckett Lewis acidity scale parameters derived from mixtures with Et₃PO in C₆D₆ (**A-Mes**) or CD₂Cl₂ ([**2**a]⁺). Acceptor Numbers AN = 2.21 × (δ^{31} P -41). Calculations were performed using ORCA4.1.^[20]

Chem.	Eur. J.	2020,	26,	11684-	11689
-------	---------	-------	-----	--------	-------

www.chemeurj.org





Scheme 3. Mesomeric contributions to the electronic structure of a model cationic NHC-supported borole $(HC)_4B(^{Me}NHC)$ including the dominant contributions according to NRT calculations.^[19] NBO charges: +0.34 [(HC)₄B]-fragment, +0.66 [^{Me}NHC]-fragment.

structures of type III (Scheme 3), essentially meaning slightly improved conjugation in cationic boroles compared to the free neutral boroles. This spectroscopic insight is corroborated by NBO^[17] and second order perturbation theory that predicts a slightly stronger donation in [2 a]⁺ (35.2 kJmol⁻¹) than in A-Mes (25.6 kJmol⁻¹). The out-of-(C₄B)-plane component of the nuclear independent chemical shift (NICS_{zz}),^[18] as a measure for *anti-*aromatic character, is increased compared to A-Mes (Table 1) and nearly identical to those of inductively withdrawing substituted systems as in A-C₆F₅ (NICS_{zz}(1)=30.3).^[11]

Most insightful for the evaluation of the frontier orbital situation in boroles is the optically detectable C₄B-centered π/π^* transition (Figure 2).

While pentaaryl boroles reveal absorptions around 570 nm, respective transitions in neutral 2,5-disilyl boroles are blueshifted to 470 nm due to reduced π -interaction of the C₄B nucleus with its arene substituents.^[5h, 11] Yellow-greenish solutions of borole cation [**2**a]⁺ reveal a weak absorption band corresponding to this π/π^* -transition at $\lambda_{max} = 564$ nm (Figure 2). The yellow colour stems from a much more intense absorption around 390 nm. Compared to **A-Mes** (λ_{max} 482 nm) the cationic borole [**2**a]⁺ thus reveals a considerably redshifted π/π^* -absorption band. This is in excellent agreement with the computationally predicted absorptions (Table 1). The redshift also indicates that upon cationization of the borole, the boron-centered LUMO is relatively more stabilized than the HOMO leading to a significantly reduced frontier orbital gap, which is also corroborated by accompanying calculations (**A-Mes**: 1.72 eV, [**2**a]⁺: 1.25 eV). According to UV/Vis data, the electronic impact of a cationic imidazolium substituent ($\Delta\lambda_{max}$ ca. +82 nm vs. **A-Mes**) also greatly exceeds the impact of the strongly inductively active -C₆F₅ substituent in **A-C₆F**₅ ($\Delta\lambda_{max}$ ca. +25 nm vs. **A-Mes**).^[4m, 11, 21]

A low-lying LUMO is also reflected by cyclovoltammetry. While the neutral borole **A-Mes** reveals a reversible 1-electron reduction at -1.91 V (vs. Fc/Fc⁺, 0.04 \mbox{m} [*n*Bu₄N][Al{OC(CF₃)₃}₄] in 1,2-difluorobenzene) in a similar range as other neutral boroles (PhC)₄BMes (-1.69 V) or (PhC)₄BFc (-1.96 V),^[7b,22] the borole cation [**2**a]⁺ reveals a remarkably early reversible reduction at $E^0 = -1.03$ V. This reduction even occurs at significantly less negative potentials than those reported for the reduction of [(NHC)BAr₂]⁺ borenium cations as for example reported by Gabbaï or Tamm ($E^0 = -1.86$ V, Ar = Mes; $E^0 = -1.56$ V, Ar = p-CF₃(C₆H₄)).^[15h,23] These experimental observations further stress, that the cationic charge, though dominantly localized within the imidazolium moiety by resonance structures, significantly affects the borole π -system.

A further feature is the remarkably enhanced Lewis acidity of the cationic borole [2a]⁺ as assessed by the Gutmann-Becket (GB) method. Addition of OPEt₃ to solutions of [2a]⁺ leads to immediate decolorization and formation of the adduct [2a(OPEt₃)]⁺ with a strongly low-field shifted ³¹P-NMR resonance of $\delta_{31P} = 83.4$ ppm (CD₂Cl₂) and a Gutmann–Beckett acceptor number (AN) of 93.7. Similar free boroles A-Ar including A-C₆F₅ revealed only AN of $73(\pm 1)$.^[11] These observed AN values for [2a]⁺ are significantly higher than, with respect to the electronegativity of three boron-bound carbon atoms, comparable planar pentacyclic [NHC-B(Ar)₂]⁺ (AN 84) or (m)NHC-(9-BBN) borenium cations (AN 78-79) reported by Crudden and Stephan.^[15d,e,g] Other borenium cations were found more Lewis-acidic according to GB and it was shown that direct comparisons with landmark neutral Lewis acids such as $B(C_6F_5)_3$ are to be questioned.^[24]



Figure 2. UV/Vis spectra of cationic borole $[2a]^+$ and neutral borole **A-Mes** and difference density plot depictions (green: positive $\Delta \rho$, magenta: negative $\Delta \rho$, isolevel at 0.001 a.u.) of the computationally reproduced corresponding excitations. (TDDFT: RIJCOSX-CAM-B3LYP/def2-SVP/CH₂Cl₂).^[20]



Scheme 4. Reactivity of borole cation [2 a]⁺ towards diphenylacetylene and reversible formation of a CO-adduct. Only one mesomeric structure is shown.

Chem. Eur. J. 2020, 26, 11684 - 11689

www.chemeuri.org



The adduct formation is particularly remarkable when compared to mesityl borole **A-Mes** (AN 11.3) where almost any interaction of OPEt₃ is ruled out due to the steric shielding of the two methyl groups in *ortho*-position. This steric protection reduces the reactivity of mesityl boroles. Simply changing the B-bound residue from a six- (**A-Mes**) to a five-membered ring ([**2a**]⁺) drastically alters the accessibility of the reactive C₄B cycle for other reagents such as diphenylacetylene (Scheme 4). While **A-Mes** reveals no reaction under the same conditions, [**2a**]⁺ cleanly forms the colourless Diels–Alder product [**3**]⁺ within a few hours at room temperature.^[25] [**3**]⁺ is characterized spectroscopically and its structural assignment is corroborated computationally (see Supporting Information).

A high-field shifted ¹¹B NMR resonance at -11.4 ppm is in line with interactions of the Lewis-acidic boron atom with an adjacent CC π -bond as previously observed.^[26] Reactions of alkynes with boroles are known to form a series of products including Diels–Alder adducts, ring expanded borepins, or more complicated rearrangements, depending on the electronics and sterics of substituents.^[4c, 25a, 26, 27]

When [2a]⁺ is exposed to an atmosphere of CO, immediate decolourization and quantitative conversion to cationic COadduct [4]⁺ is observed by NMR-spectroscopy. Under an atmosphere of CO, $[4]^+$ can be kept at room temperature in solution for a day allowing characterization of this kinetic product [4]⁺ before very slow follow-up reactions are observed. In an (inert) open atmosphere, CO is readily liberated at room temperature and the free cation is rapidly recovered. [4]⁺ features a strongly high-field shifted ¹¹B resonance at -18.8 ppm, indicative for tetra-coordinate boron. Other than previously reported borane carbonyls,^[4e,28] the CO stretching frequency in $[4]^+$ at 2128 cm⁻¹ is even a bit lower than in free CO at 2143 cm⁻¹. Crystals of [4][Al{OC(CF₃)₃}]₄] are obtained from solutions in dichloromethane layered with pentane at -40 °C, but they eventually liberate CO and despite great care, only a mediocre dataset was obtained by X-ray diffraction confirming and corroborating the computational structure (see Supporting Information).

The observed IR stretching frequency in [4]⁺ hints at a considerable degree of π -back donation from the borole π -system. Such interactions were proposed to cause the lability of the pentaphenyl borole CO adduct.^[4e] An ETS-NOCV^[29] analysis indeed revealed a strong (443 kJ mol⁻¹) σ -donation from the CO fragment into the boron centered LUMO and a significant π -backdonation component (109 kJ mol⁻¹) (Figure 3).

The observation of the labile, yet isolable rare organoborane CO complex [4]⁺ is noteworthy with regards to CO adducts of neutral boroles.^[28b,c,31] Piers and co-workers described the only example of a stable CO-complex of perfluorinated pentaphenyl borole with a CO stretching frequency at 2199 cm⁻¹ indicative of little to no π -backbonding.^[4e] The non-fluorinated pentaphenyl borole likely only forms the adduct as an intermediate at very low temperatures and undergoes insertions/rearrangements below $-10 \,^{\circ}C.^{[4e,5b]} A C_4B$ based HOMO accessible for π -interaction was considered to promote further reactivity and electron withdrawing groups favour isolation of the CO-complex.^[4e,32] Erker's related 2,5-disilylborole even adds the CO to a





2a fragment orbitals (SFO) with dominant contribution (isovalue 0.05 a.u.)

Figure 3. ETS-NOCV analysis of CO and $[2a]^+$ fragments. Occupied SFO (blue/red), unoccupied SFO (orange/turquoise), deformation densities (green: positive, magenta: negative).^[30]

 C_{α} atom and gives, after rearrangements, a ketene derivative.^[26] Despite the low CO-stretching frequency, the CO-borole complex [**4**]⁺ more resembles Piers' (Ph^FC)₄BPh^F-CO complex. Since the kinetic product [**4**]⁺ can be isolated, the Lewis-acidic boron atom of the cation seems to bind CO strong enough while the C₄B π -system does not provide pathways of sufficiently low-barriers that would prevent from its preliminary isolation.

Lastly, the application of the small ^{Me}NHC for successful isolation of this stable borole cation system seems mandatory since analogous treatment of the sterically more demanding ^{Pr}NHC derivative **1b** with Li[Al{OC(CF₃)₃}] putatively leads to initial formation of [**2b**]⁺ as indicated by intense brown-yellow colourization and suitable NMR signatures, but even at low temperatures (-40 °C) progressive decomposition of putative [**2b**]⁺ to several yet unidentified pale yellow to colourlesss follow-up products is observed (see Supporting Information).

In summary we presented a stable cationic borole extending the series of true free boroles to cationic systems. The central C₄B moiety featuring an NHC-stabilized borenium cation within a cyclic 4π -electron system is both isoelectronic to and bears the same overall charge as the elusive cyclopentadienyl cation. However, the antiaromatic character is not drastically increased to levels expected for cyclopentadienyl cations. This work sheds light on the implications of imidazolium substituents on the (opto)-electronic properties of boroles and highlights the impact of formally cationic boron-bound substituents, particularly compared to traditional strong electron withdrawing groups such as $-(C_6F_5)$. The accessibility of the reactive $[C_4B]$ moiety in the presented examples for small molecules such as CO and acetylenes highlight its exceptional reactivity stemming from a combination of the frontier π -orbitals and a cationic charge.



Experimental Section

Crystallographic data: Deposition numbers 1982736, 1982737, and 1986857 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Prof. Dietmar Stalke for continuing generous support and mentorship. The Fonds der chemischen Industrie is acknowledged for funding (Liebig-Fellowship). The Institut für Anorganische Chemie is acknowledged for excellent conditions and colleagueship provided. We particularly thank B. Schluschaß (AK Schneider, CO), M. Dzemski (AK Meyer, IR) and H. Keil (AK Stalke, X-ray) for assistance. Parts of this research have been funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) -389479699/GRK2455 and project number 405832858 (computational resources). Open access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: borenium cation • boroles • CO-complex • maingroup heterocycles

- [1] a) R. Breslow, Acc. Chem. Res. 1973, 6, 393–398; b) R. Breslow, Chem. Rec. 2014, 14, 1174–1182.
- [2] a) R. Breslow, R. Hill, E. Wasserman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1964, 86, 5349–5350; b) R. Breslow, H. W. Chang, R. Hill, E. Wasserman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 1112–1119; c) M. Saunders, R. Berger, A. Jaffe, J. M. McBride, J. O'Neill, R. Breslow, J. M. Hoffmann, C. Perchonock, E. Wasserman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 3017–3018; d) H. J. Wörner, F. Merkt, J. Chem. Phys. 2007, 127, 034303.
- [3] a) H. Vančik, I. Novak, D. Kidemet, J. Phys. Chem. A 1997, 101, 1523 1525; b) P. Costa, I. Trosien, J. Mieres-Perez, W. Sander, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 13024 – 13030.
- [4] a) G. E. Herberich, B. Buller, B. Hessner, W. Oschmann, J. Organomet. Chem. 1980, 195, 253-259; b) J. J. Eisch, J. E. Galle, S. Kozima, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 379-385; c) C. Fan, W. E. Piers, M. Parvez, R. McDonald, Organometallics 2010, 29, 5132-5139; d) C. Fan, L. G. Mercier, W. E. Piers, H. M. Tuononen, M. Parvez, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 9604-9606; e) A. Fukazawa, J. L. Dutton, C. Fan, L. G. Mercier, A. Y. Houghton, Q. Wu, W. E. Piers, M. Parvez, Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 1814-1818; f) A. Y. Houghton, V. A. Karttunen, C. Fan, W. E. Piers, H. M. Tuononen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 941-947; g) H. Braunschweig, A. Damme, C. Hörl, T. Kupfer, J. Wahler, Organometallics 2013, 32, 6800-6803; h) S. A. Couchman, T. K. Thompson, D. J. D. Wilson, J. L. Dutton, C. D. Martin, Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 11724-11726; i) H. Braunschweig, F. Hupp, I. Krummenacher, L. Mailänder, F. Rauch, Chem. Eur. J. 2015, 21, 17844-17849; j) H. Braunschweig, M. A. Celik, F. Hupp, I. Krummenacher, L. Mailänder, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 6347-6351; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 6445 - 6449; k) K. Huang, C. D. Martin, Inorg. Chem. 2015, 54, 1869 -1875; I) J. H. Barnard, S. Yruegas, S. A. Couchman, D. J. D. Wilson, J. L. Dutton, C.D. Martin, Organometallics 2016, 35, 929-931; m) H. Braunschweig, M. A. Celik, T. Dellermann, G. Frenking, K. Hammond, F. Hupp, H. Kelch, I. Krummenacher, F. Lindl, L. Mailänder, J. H. Müssig, A. Ruppert, Chem. Eur. J. 2017, 23, 8006-8013; n) F. Lindl, S. Lin, I. Krummenacher, C. Lenczyk, A. Stoy, M. Müller, Z. Lin, H. Braunschweig, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 338-342; Angew. Chem. 2019, 131,

344-348; o) J. J. Baker, K. H. M. Al Furaiji, O. T. Liyanage, D. J. D. Wilson, J. L. Dutton, C. D. Martin, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2019**, *25*, 1581-1587.

- [5] a) J. J. Eisch, N. K. Hota, S. Kozima, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 4575–4577; b) H. Braunschweig, I. Fernández, G. Frenking, T. Kupfer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 1951–1954; Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 1977–1980; c) C.-W. So, D. Watanabe, A. Wakamiya, S. Yamaguchi, Organometallics 2008, 27, 3496–3501; d) C. Fan, W. E. Piers, M. Parvez, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 2955–2958; Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 2999–3002; e) H. Braunschweig, C.-W. Chiu, J. Wahler, K. Radacki, T. Kupfer, Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 16, 12229–12233; f) H. Braunschweig, F. Breher, C.-W. Chiu, D. Gamon, D. Nied, K. Radacki, Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 9159–9162; g) H. Braunschweig, C.-W. Chiu, K. Radacki, P. Brenner, Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 916–918; h) Z. Zhang, R. M. Edkins, M. Haehnel, M. Wehner, A. Eichhorn, L. Mailänder, M. Meier, J. Brand, F. Brede, K. Müller-Buschbaum, H. Braunschweig, T. B. Marder, Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 5922–5927; i) T. Heitkemper, C. P. Sindlinger, Chem. Eur. J. 2019, 25, 6628–6637.
- [6] K. J. Iversen, D. J. D. Wilson, J. L. Dutton, Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 14132– 14138.
- [7] a) H. Braunschweig, C.-W. Chiu, K. Radacki, T. Kupfer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 2041–2044; Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 2085–2088; b) H. Braunschweig, V. Dyakonov, J. O. C. Jimenez-Halla, K. Kraft, I. Krummenacher, K. Radacki, A. Sperlich, J. Wahler, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 2977–2980; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 3031–3034; c) R. Bertermann, H. Braunschweig, R. D. Dewhurst, C. Hörl, T. Kramer, I. Krummenacher, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 5453–5457; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 5557–5561.
- [8] H. Braunschweig, T. Kupfer, Chem. Commun. 2008, 4487-4489.
- [9] a) C. K. Narula, H. Noeth, *Inorg. Chem.* **1985**, *24*, 2532–2539; b) W. Yang,
 K. E. Krantz, L. A. Freeman, D. A. Dickie, A. Molino, A. Kaur, D. J. D.
 Wilson, R. J. Gilliard, Jr., *Chem. Eur. J.* **2019**, *25*, 12512–12516.
- [10] a) R. Köster, G. Benedikt, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1963, 2, 323-324; Angew. Chem. 1963, 75, 419-419; b) P. E. Romero, W. E. Piers, S. A. Decker, D. Chau, T. K. Woo, M. Parvez, Organometallics 2003, 22, 1266-1274; c) C. J. Berger, G. He, C. Merten, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson, E. Rivard, Inorg. Chem. 2014, 53, 1475-1486; d) M. F. Smith, S. J. Cassidy, I. A. Adams, M. Vasiliu, D. L. Gerlach, D. A. Dixon, P. A. Rupar, Organometallics 2016, 35, 3182-3191; e) C. P. Sindlinger, P. N. Ruth, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 15051-15056; Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 15193-15198.
- [11] T. Heitkemper, L. Naß, C. P. Sindlinger, *Dalton Trans.* 2020, 49, 2706–2714.
- [12] H. Braunschweig, C.-W. Chiu, D. Gamon, K. Gruß, C. Hörl, T. Kupfer, K. Radacki, J. Wahler, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2013, 1525 – 1530.
- [13] a) I. Krossing, Chem. Eur. J. 2001, 7, 490–502; b) T. A. Engesser, M. R. Lichtenthaler, M. Schleep, I. Krossing, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2016, 45, 789–899.
- [14] Y. Shoji, N. Tanaka, K. Mikami, M. Uchiyama, T. Fukushima, *Nat. Chem.* **2014**, *6*, 498–503.
- [15] a) W. E. Piers, S. C. Bourke, K. D. Conroy, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 5016–5036; Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 5142–5163; b) T. S. De Vries, A. Prokofjevs, E. Vedejs, Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 4246–4282; c) P. Eisenberger, C. M. Crudden, Dalton Trans. 2017, 46, 4874–4887; d) P. Eisenberger, B. P. Bestvater, E. C. Keske, C. M. Crudden, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 2467–2471; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 2497–2501; e) J. M. Farrell, J. A. Hatnean, D. W. Stephan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 15728–15731; f) J. M. Farrell, R. T. Posaratnanathan, D. W. Stephan, Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 2010–2015; g) J. M. Farrell, D. W. Stephan, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 5214–5217; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 5303–5306; h) M. F. Silva Valverde, P. Schweyen, D. Gisinger, T. Bannenberg, M. Freytag, C. Kleeberg, M. Tamm, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 1135–1140; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 1155–1160; i) D. Franz, S. Inoue, Chem. Eur. J. 2019, 25, 2898–2926; j) J. Lam, L. L. Cao, J. M. Farrell, D. W. Stephan, Dalton Trans. 2020, 49, 1839–1846.
- [16] F. Ge, G. Kehr, C. G. Daniliuc, G. Erker, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 68-71.
- [17] a) E. D. Glendening, C. R. Landis, F. Weinhold, Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci. 2012, 2, 1–42; b) E. D. Glendening, J. K. Badenhoop, A. E. Reed, J. E. Carpenter, J. A. Bohmann, C. M. Morales, P. Karafiloglou, C. R. Landis, F. Weinhold, Theoretical Chemical Institute, University of Wisconsin Madison, 2018.

Chem. Eur. J. 2020, 26, 11684 - 11689

www.chemeurj.org



- [18] a) Z. Chen, C. S. Wannere, C. Corminboeuf, R. Puchta, P. v. R. Schleyer, *Chem. Rev.* 2005, 105, 3842–3888; b) A. Stanger, J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 883–893.
- [19] NRT calculations (see Ref. [17]) were performed on a gasphase-optimized structure with the borole-NHC dihedral fixed to the dihedral experimentally observed in the X-ray structure of [2 a]⁺.
- [20] a) F. Neese, Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci. 2012, 2, 73-78; b) F. Neese, Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci. 2018, 8, e1327.
- [21] H. Braunschweig, A. Damme, J. O. C. Jimenez-Halla, C. Hörl, I. Krummenacher, T. Kupfer, L. Mailänder, K. Radacki, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 20169–20177.
- [22] H. Braunschweig, I. Krummenacher in Organic Redox Systems (Ed.: T. Nishinaga), John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, 2015, pp. 503–522.
- [23] T. Matsumoto, F. P. Gabbaï, Organometallics 2009, 28, 4252-4253.
- [24] J. Chen, R. A. Lalancette, F. Jäkle, Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 4893-4895.
- [25] a) J. J. Eisch, J. E. Galle, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 4436–4437; b) J. J. Eisch, J. E. Galle, B. Shafii, A. L. Rheingold, Organometallics 1990, 9, 2342–2349.
- [26] F. Ge, G. Kehr, C. G. Daniliuc, G. Erker, Organometallics 2015, 34, 229– 235.
- [27] H. Kelch, S. Kachel, J. Wahler, M. A. Celik, A. Stoy, I. Krummenacher, T. Kramer, K. Radacki, H. Braunschweig, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 15387–15391.

- [28] a) H. Jacobsen, H. Berke, S. Döring, G. Kehr, G. Erker, R. Fröhlich, O. Meyer, *Organometallics* **1999**, *18*, 1724–1735; b) M. Finze, E. Bernhardt, A. Terheiden, M. Berkei, H. Willner, D. Christen, H. Oberhammer, F. Aubke, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 15385–15398; c) M. Gerken, G. Pawelke, E. Bernhardt, H. Willner, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 7527–7536.
- [29] M. P. Mitoraj, A. Michalak, T. Ziegler, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2009, 5, 962–975.
- [30] a) G. te Velde, F. M. Bickelhaupt, E. J. Baerends, C. Fonseca Guerra, S. J. A. van Gisbergen, J. G. Snijders, T. Ziegler, J. Comput. Chem. 2001, 22, 931–967; b) ADF2019, SCM, Theoretical Chemistry, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2019.
- [31] a) H. Braunschweig, R. D. Dewhurst, F. Hupp, M. Nutz, K. Radacki, C. W. Tate, A. Vargas, Q. Ye, *Nature* 2015, *522*, 327–330; b) H. Braunschweig, I. Krummenacher, M.-A. Légaré, A. Matler, K. Radacki, Q. Ye, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2017, *139*, 1802–1805.
- [32] Z. Wang, Y. Zhou, T. B. Marder, Z. Lin, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2017, 15, 7019-7027.

Manuscript received: April 20, 2020 Accepted manuscript online: April 28, 2020 Version of record online: August 13, 2020