

A systematic review and meta-analysis of integrated traditional Chinese medicine and Western medicine in treating glomerulosclerosis

Yue-tong Wang, MD^a, Rong-qiang Zhang, PhD^b, Shu-fei Wang, MD^c, Xian-cheng Li, MD^c, Nan Zhang, PhD^c, Ya-feng Zhao, MD^c, Yu Wang, PhD^c, Xiao-yong Yu, PhD^c, Kai Qu, PhD^{c,*}

Abstract

Background: The combination of Traditional Chinese medicine and Western medicine (TCM+WM) has been widely used in the treatment of glomerulosclerosis, but the results are still controversial. This study will assess the clinical efficacy of TCM+WM for glomerulosclerosis and provide evidence-based medical data via meta-analysis.

Method: The MEDLINE, EMBASE, PubMed, Cochrane Central Registry of Controlled Trials, and multiple Chinese databases (Wan Fang, CNKI, and VIP) were searched for randomized controlled trials (RCT) that compared the effects of WM and TCM+WM. Review Manager 5.3 software was used for the meta-analysis of selected studies, and appropriate tests were performed to determine the quality, heterogeneity and sensitivity of these studies.

Results: Sixteen RCTs met the inclusion criteria and were selected for the analysis. Compared with the placebo or WM-treated glomerulosclerosis patients, TCM+WM intervention significantly improved renal function indices including 24-hour urine protein quantity (24 h U-Pro), serum creatinine (Scr), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), creatinine clearance (Ccr). In addition, the serum albumin (ALB), triglyceride (TG), and cholesterol (CHOL) levels were also significantly improved (P < .05) in patients receiving the combination therapy. Finally, the combination of TCM+WM reduced the indices of glomerulosclerosis more effectively compared with WM alone.

Conclusion: The combination of TCM+WM can significantly improve the renal function and prognosis of patients with glomerulosclerosis.

Abbreviations: 24 h U-Pro = 24-hour urine protein quantity, ACEI = angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor, ALB = serum albumin, BUN = blood urea nitrogen, Ccr = creatinine clearance, CHOL = cholesterol, CI = confidence interval, CKD = chronic kidney disease, CTGF = connective tissue growth factor, ESRD = end-stage renal disease, GS= glomerulosclerosis, Hb = hemoglobin, NS = nephrotic syndrome, RCTs = randomized controlled trials, Scr = serum creatinine, SMD = standard mean difference, TCM = traditional Chinese medicine, TG = triglyceride, WM = Western medicine.

Keywords: glomerulosclerosis, meta-analysis, traditional Chinese medicine and Western medicine (TCM+WM)

1. Introduction

Glomerulosclerosis is the primary pathological basis for the progression of chronic kidney disease (CKD) to end-stage renal

Editor: Giovanni Tarantino.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81603454), and the Key Science and Technology Program of Shaanxi Province, China (2017SF-295).

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are publicly available.

^a School of Basic Medicine, ^b School of Public Health, Shaanxi University of Chinese Medicine, Xianyang, ^c Nephrology Department, Shaanxi Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China.

* Correspondence: Kai Qu, Nephrology Department, Shaanxi Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital, Xi'an 710003, Shaanxi, China (e-mail: 18792508567@163.com).

Copyright © 2021 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Wang Yt, Zhang Rq, Wang Sf, Li Xc, Zhang N, Zhao Yf, Wang Y, Yu Xy, Qu K. A systematic review and meta-analysis of integrated traditional Chinese medicine and Western medicine in treating glomerulosclerosis. Medicine 2021;100:7(e24799).

Received: 10 February 2020 / Received in final form: 26 October 2020 / Accepted: 28 January 2021

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000024799

disease (ESRD),^[1] and the direct cause of 25.8% of the ESRD cases.^[2] Although the incidence rate varies depending on the race, sex, age, primary disease etc, it places a considerable socioeconomic burden on the patients. The most common symptom of glomerulosclerosis is proteinuria, along with hematuria, hyper-tension, renal insufficiency, etc.^[3] It is currently treated with hormones, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEI), and immunosuppressants,^[4] which can be supplemented with lipidlowering, anticoagulation, and hypotensive drugs. Nevertheless, the high recurrence rate and adverse reactions have greatly limited the outcomes of these strategies.^[5,6] Traditional Chinese medicine classifies glomerulosclerosis as "consumptive disease," "urine turbid" etc, and the herbal preparations have been very effective in mitigating the symptoms.^[7,8] We conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that compared the therapeutic effects of Western medicine (WM) and TCM+WM on patients with glomerulosclerosis.

2. Methods

The Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Review of Interventions and Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA)^[9] were followed for all steps.

2.1. Search strategy

The Cochrane library, EMBASE, PubMed and MEDLINE databases, and Chinese language databases including VIP,

Wan Fang, and CNKI were searched for relevant RCTs published from June 2001 to November 2019. The following keywords were used to search the English-language databases: "traditional Chinese medicine," "TCM," "glomerulosclerosis," "Western medicine," "combination," "RCT," "Formulas of Chinese medicine," and "clinical trials." The Chinese databases were searched using the following keywords:

"Shen Xiao Qiu Ying Hua," "Zhong Xi Yi Jie He," "Fang," "Lian He," "Sui Ji Dui Zhao Shi Yan," and "Lin Chuang Yan Jiu." The retrieved papers were screened by 2 authors based on the title and abstract, and the bibliography of the selected papers was further screened manually to identify additional RCTs. In case of any issues with the trial design or results or other ambiguities, the corresponding authors were contacted for clarification. Ethical approval was not necessary since animal models or human subjects were not involved.

2.2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The studies were selected based on the following inclusion criteria: confirmed diagnosis of glomerulosclerosis, RCT design, comparison of WM-treated (control) and TCM+WM-treated (treatment group) patients, minimum treatment duration of 4 weeks, evaluation of renal function (24-hour urine protein quantity [24 h U-Pro], serum creatinine [Scr], blood urea nitrogen [BUN], creatinine clearance [Ccr]), serological (serum albumin [ALB]), and metabolic (triglyceride [TG], cholesterol [CHOL]) indices. Studies with unclear diagnostic criteria of glomerulosclerosis, non-RCT design, inclusion of other treatment strategies, retrospective design, literature reviews, and inaccurate/ incomplete data were excluded.

2.3. Study selection and quality assessment

After excluding the irrelevant papers, 2 reviewers independently screened the RCTs according to the established inclusion criteria. The results were compared and any differences are resolved through discussion or a third reviewer. The Jadad scale was used for quality assessment based on randomization, blinding, controlled, withdrawals, and dropouts.^[10] Studies with a score of 1 to 3 were of low-quality and a score of 4 to 7 indicated high-quality.

2.4. Data extraction

The following data were extracted: authors, year of publication, mean age of treatment group and control group, the number of patients (treatment group/control group), diagnostic criteria, interventions, and duration of treatment.

The main evaluation indices were as follows:

- (1) renal function indicators: 24-h U-Pro, Scr, BUN;
- (2) serological indicators: ALB;
- (3) Drug safety evaluation: number of patients with adverse event relative to the total number of patients.

The secondary evaluation indices were:

- (1) Renal function indicator: Ccr (creatinine clearance);
- (2) Blood lipid indicators: TG and CHOL.

2.5. Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis was performed for each variable by eliminating one study and recalculating the data of the remaining

studies to determine the effect of the variable on the results. The absence of any major changes indicates stable results.^[11]

2.6. Heterogeneity analysis

 I^2 was used to determine the heterogeneity of the included studies, with P < .05 indicating statistical significance.^[12] Fixed effects model was used for $I^2 < 50\%$ and $P \ge .05$, otherwise a random-effects model was used.

2.7. Subgroup analysis

The heterogeneity between studies was evaluated by the I^2 index. The following subgroups were analyzed to identify the potential sources of heterogeneity: Nephrotic syndrome (NS is defined as proteinuria >3.5 g/d and serum albumin <30 g/L), glomerulone-phritis (proteinuria <3.5 g/d and serum albumin >30 g/L), and other (no clear description of proteinuria or serum albumin) stages based on the clinical manifestation,^[13] and based on the TCM treatment focus and the severity of Qi deficiency and blood stasis syndrome,^[14] invigorate Qi (Qi deficiency > blood stasis), dispel blood stasis (blood stasis >Qi deficiency), or both (blood stasis = Qi deficiency).

2.8. Publishing bias

Begg test and funnel plot were used to determine publication bias with the State software. A roughly symmetrical funnel plot, or a Begg test with P > .05 indicated lack of publication bias.^[15]

2.9. Statistical analysis

RevMan software v5.3 was used for meta-analysis and statistical analysis (The Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK). Standard mean difference (SMD) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated, and P < .05 was considered statistically significant.^[16]

3. Results

3.1. Study selection and literature search

A total of 1710 articles were retrieved, of which 1565 were excluded based on their titles and abstracts. After excluding 129 articles based on the criteria mentioned in the methods, 16 articles that met the inclusion criteria were finally selected for meta-analysis (Fig. 1). The RCTs are summarized in Table 1. As shown in Table 2, the highest Jadad score was 5, and the average score was 3.63.

3.2. Meta-analysis results

3.2.1. 24-h U-Pro level. Eleven RCTs^[19,20,22–26,28–30,32] compared the 24-h U-Pro levels in the treatment (374 patients) and control groups (368 patients). As shown in the forest plot in Fig. 2, there was considerable heterogeneity across the studies (P=.0002, $I^2=70\%$). Nevertheless, the 24-h U-Pro was significantly lower in the treatment versus the control group (SMD: 0.91g/24h, 95% CI: 0.63–1.19, P < .00001), indicating that the combination of TCM+WM can effectively reduce proteinuria. We conducted a subgroup analysis based on the treatment focus and the clinical manifestation of GS. Treatments that replenish Qi, dispel blood stasis, and have other effects reduced the 24-h U-Pro (SMD: 1.16g/24h, 95% CI: 0.30–1.20, P=.001; SMD: 0.75g/24h, 95% CI: 0.30–1.20, P=.001;



Figure 1. Flow diagram of the literature search and study selection.

SMD: 0.84 g/24 h, 95% CI: 0.03-1.65, P=.04, respectively). Further subgroup analysis based on the clinical manifestation of glomerulosclerosis showed that TCM+WM significantly reduced 24-h U-Pro in patients with glomerulonephritis and nephrotic syndrome (NS) (SMD: 1.70 g/24 h, 95% CI: 1.20-2.20, P

<.00001; SMD: 1.14g/24h, 95% CI: 0.87–1.41, P<.00001). For other subtypes also, TCM+WM significantly reduced the 24h U-Pro (SMD: 0.64g/24h, 95% CI: 0.32–0.95, P<.0001). Furthermore, the differences were statistically significant across subtypes.

Characteristics	of the RCTs	included for th	e meta-analysi:	ŝ					
		Mean	age	No. of pa	tients		Intervention strategy		
First author	Year of publication	Treatment group	Control group	Treatment group	Control group	Diagnostic criteria [*]	Treatment group	Control group	Duration
Xiao-Xia Cheng ^[17]	2001	34.27 ± 11.64	33.33 ± 11.05	30	15	A, B, E	Control + yishen tongluo decoction (6 Chinese herbs)	Pred, T ₁ , CTX, ACEI	16 weeks
Xing-Cai He ^[18]	2007	42.73 ± 3.22	43.33 ± 3.45	50	50	A, B, C, E	Control + gingxue xiaobai decoction (12 Chinese herbs)	Pred, CTX	12 weeks
Cai-Feng Zhu ^[19]	2007	32.00	34.68	35	31	Α, Β	Control + (13 Chinese herbs)	ACEVARB + Fish oil + therapy	24 weeks
Mon Cond Cuo[20]	1011	1 70	0 90	00	L(T		Control - (0 Chinana barba)	TOT DISEASE	aloom 10
WEII-UAIIG UU	2014	1.10	2.00	ZU 2	0 1	יר הי לי		FIEU, UIA	24 WEEKS
Hai-Yan Lv ^{iz 1]}	2016	43.1 ± 3.0	42.1 ± 3.4	50	50	A, B, D, E	Control + self-designed peishen decoction (14 Chinese herbs)	GC+FK506	6 weeks
Zhi-Jie Dang ^[22]	2017	34.1 ± 1.2	35.3 ± 0.9	45	45	A, B, C, E	Control + (10 Chinese herbs)	Pred, CTX	24 weeks
Xu-dan Heng ^[23]	2017	42.1 ± 7.4	43.1 ± 6.7	42	42	A, B, D, E	Control + peishen decoction (9 Chinese herbs)	Hormones+FK506	48 weeks
Hui Feng ^[24]	2014	ı	·	30	30	A, B, E	Control + (10 Chinese herbs)	Hormones + stomach protection +	12 weeks
	0.000	L C L	L	0	0			calcium supplement	-
Xin-wei wang	0102	CZ.ZC	C.UC	22	20	А, В, С, Е	Control + snenzong nuoxue decoction (14 Chinese nerbs)	Anticoagulant + Ilpid-Iowering + immunosuppressant	12 weeks
Xiao-hua Yan ^[26]	2013	38.07 ± 11.03	34.17 ± 8.99	30	30	A, B, E	Control + pishen tongluo decoction (9 Chinese herbs)	ACEI	8 weeks
Jiang Hai ^{(27]}	2015	39.26 ± 3.14	40.28 ± 3.16	45	45	A, B, C, E	Control + shenzong huoxue decoction (12 Chinese herbs)	Anticoagulant + lipid-lowering +	48 weeks
								immunosuppressant + hormone	
Yan Liu ^[28]	2012	ı		30	30	A, B, C, E	Control + yiqi tongluo decoction (8 Chinese herbs)	ACEI/ARB +Anticoagulant +	8 weeks
. [20]				0	0			lipid-lowering	-
Qing-zhen Liu ^{tza} l	2016	56.4 ± 7.8	55.6 ± 8.3	30	30	А, В, Е	Control +yiqi tongluo decoction (11 Chinese herbs)	Hormones+FK506	12 weeks
Qiong-li Yin ^{t3U}	2017	49.61 ± 3.11	49.06 ± 3.09	50	50	A, B, E	Control +qingshen jiedu decoction (10 Chinese herbs)	ACEI	12 weeks
Qiu-xia Wu ^[31]	2009	Ţ	ı	15	15	A, B, E	Control +zhengqing fengtongning decoction	Hormones +ACEI +CTX	36 weeks
Jia-liang Guan ^[32]	2009	33.67 ± 9.94	32.72 ± 10.21	30	30	A, B, E	Control + jiedu fushen tongyu decoction (13 Chinese herbs)	Pred	8 weeks
Annotations: Prednisor *A. Patients diagnosed B. A. Pathological diagnose C. Clinical manifestatio D. Clinical manifestatio D. Clinical manifestatio	 (Pred); Glucosidon. Mith glomeruloscler sis of glomeruloscler ns of glomeruloscler ns of glomeruloscler ns of glomeruloscler ns of glomeruloscler 	um Tripterygll Totorum rosis by renal biopsy r osis is manifested as osis are manifested as glomerulosclerosis (s 1	(T ₁): Angiotensin conv nethods. nephrotic syndrome (pr 3 glomerulonephritis (pr :5GS).	erting enzyme inl oteinuria > 3.5 g/ oteinuria < 3.5 g/	d and serum a)yclophosphamide albumin < 30 g/L). albumin > 30 g/L).	(CTX); Angiotensin-II Receptor antagonists (angiotensin receptor blocker, AR	tB); Glucocorticoids (GC); Tacrolimus (FK506)	

4

able 1

Medicine

Table 2Quality scores of the included randomized clinical trials.

Author	Year of publication	Randomized	Randomization hide	Blinding	Withdrawal and exit	Jadad scores
Xiao-Xia Cheng ^[17]	2001	1	1	1	0	3
Xing-Cai He ^[18]	2007	2	1	1	0	4
Cai-Feng Zhu ^[19]	2007	1	1	1	0	3
Wen-Gang Guo ^[20]	2014	2	1	1	0	4
Hai-Yan Lv ^[21]	2016	1	1	2	0	4
Zhi-Jie Dang ^[22]	2017	1	1	1	0	3
Xu-dan Heng ^[23]	2017	2	1	1	0	4
Hui Feng ^[24]	2014	1	1	1	0	3
Xin-wei Wang ^[25]	2010	2	1	1	0	4
Xiao-hua Yan ^[26]	2013	2	1	1	0	4
Jiang Hai ^[27]	2015	2	1	1	0	4
Yan Liu ^[28]	2012	2	1	1	0	4
Qing-zhen Liu ^[29]	2016	1	1	1	0	3
Qiong-li Yin ^[30]	2017	1	1	1	0	3
Qiu-xia Wu ^[31]	2009	1	1	1	0	3
Jia-liang Guan ^[32]	2009	2	1	1	1	5

3.2.2. Scr level. Twelve RCTs^[17–24,26,27,30,32] compared changes in Scr between the control and experimental groups. As shown in Fig. 3, there was significant heterogeneity among the studies (P < .00001, $I^2 = 89\%$). TCM+WM reduced Scr levels to a greater extent compared with WM alone (SMD: 0.77 µmol/L, 95% CI: 0.35–1.18, P = .0003). The subgroup analysis showed that guiding ideology for TCM treatment of glomerulosclerosis based on replenishing Qi and both were beneficial to the TCM +WM group (SMD: 1.05 µmol/L, 95% CI: 0.43–1.68, P = .0010;

SMD: 0.75 μ mol/L, 95% CI: 0.38–1.12, *P* < .0001, respectively). Subgroup analysis further confirmed the superior effect of TCM +WM in patients with glomerulonephritis, NS, and other clinical diagnosis (SMD: 1.67 μ mol/L, 95% CI: 0.71–2.62, *P*=.0006; SMD: 0.93 μ mol/L, 95% CI: 0.11–1.76, *P*=.03; SMD: 0.34 μ mol/L, 95% CI: 0.10–0.58, *P*=.006, respectively), and the difference was statistically significant among these groups.

3.2.3. BUN *level.* The BUN levels were compared in 11 RCTs,^[17–23,26,27,30–32] which showed high heterogeneity (P < .00001, $I^2 = 88\%$; Fig. 4). The BUN levels were significantly lower in the TCM+WM group (SMD: 0.83 mmol/L, 95% CI: 0.40–1.25, P = .0001), indicating that the combination of TCM +WM was more effective in lowering BUN levels. The subgroup analysis showed that the guiding ideology for TCM treatment of glomerulosclerosis based on replenishing Qi and both were beneficial to the TCM+WM group (SMD: 1.01 mmol/L, 95% CI: 0.29–1.72, P = .006; SMD: 1.12 mmol/L, 95% CI: 0.67–1.56, P < .00001, respectively). In addition, TCM+WM resulted in lower BUN in the glomerulonephritis and NS subgroups (SMD: 1.81 mmol/L, 95% CI: 0.22–3.40, P = .03; SMD: 0.94 mmol/L, 95% CI: 0.41–1.48, P = .0006, respectively).

3.2.4. ALB level. Ten RCTs^[19–23,25,27,28,29,31,32] compared the levels of ALB between the control and treatment groups. As shown in Fig. 5, there was considerable heterogeneity among the studies (P < .00001, $I^2 = 94\%$). The meta-analysis shows that the

	TC	M+WN	1		WM		(3	Std. Mean Difference		Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Random, 95% CI
2.1.1 Qi deficiency > b	lood sta	asis								
Hui Feng 2014	1.51	0.77	30	0.64	0.73	30	8.8%	1.14 [0.60, 1.69]		
Wengang Guo 2014	9.44	2.48	30	7	2.62	30	8.9%	0.94 [0.41, 1.48]		
Xiaohua Yan 2013	1.25	0.56	30	0.78	0.57	30	9.0%	0.82 [0.29, 1.35]		
Xudan Heng 2017	1.96	0.5	42	1.04	0.57	42	9.3%	1.70 [1.20, 2.20]		
Subtotal (95% CI)			132			132	35.9%	1.16 [0.77, 1.55]		•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.09; Ch	li² = 6.€	65, df =	3 (P = (0.08); I	² = 55%	5			
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 5.78	(P < 0.	.00001))						
2.1.2 blood stasis > Q	i deficie	ncy								
Caifeng Zhu 2007	1.23	1.31	35	0.42	0.89	31	9.3%	0.71 [0.21, 1.21]		
Jialiang Guan 2009	1.37	1.3	30	1.42	1.3	30	9.2%	-0.04 [-0.54, 0.47]		+
Qingzhen Liu 2016	3.93	2.36	30	1.91	2.52	30	9.0%	0.82 [0.29, 1.34]		
Xinwei Wang 2010	2.56	0.64	22	1.9	0.65	20	7.8%	1.00 [0.36, 1.65]		100
Zhijie Dang 2017	9.63	2.1	45	7.1	1.87	45	9.8%	1.26 [0.81, 1.72]		-
Subtotal (95% CI)			162			156	45.0%	0.75 [0.30, 1.20]		•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.19; Ch	i ² = 14	.87, df	= 4 (P =	0.005); I ² = 7	3%			
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 3.26	(P = 0.	.001)							
2.1.3 blood stasis = (Qi defici	ency								
Qiongli Yin 2017	1.02	0.4	50	0.83	0.44	50	10.4%	0.45 [0.05, 0.85]		1
Yan Liu 2012	3.71	1.79	30	1.36	1.84	30	8.7%	1.28 [0.72, 1.84]		
Subtotal (95% CI)			80			80	19.1%	0.84 [0.03, 1.65]		•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.28; Ch	ni² = 5.6	63, df =	1 (P = 0)	0.02);1	² = 82%	5			
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 2.03	(P = 0.	.04)							
										2
Total (95% CI)			374			368	100.0%	0.91 [0.63, 1.19]	21	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.16; Ch	i ² = 33	1.73, df	= 10 (P	= 0.00	02); I² =	= 70%		-10	-5 0 5 10
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 6.29	(P < 0.	.00001))						Favours (VM) Favours (TCM+WM)
Test for subaroup diffe	erences:	Chi ² =	: 1.93. 0	df = 2 (P	= 0.38	3), I ^z = 0)%			areas front record from stud

Figure 2. 2.1 Subgroup analyses of 24-h U-Pro according to guiding ideology for TCM treatment of GS. (2.1.1) TCM treatment based on invigorating qi (Qi deficiency > blood stasis). (2.1.2) TCM treatment based on dispelling blood stasis (blood stasis > Qi deficiency). (2.1.3) Both (blood stasis = Qi deficiency). 2.2 Subgroup analyses of 24-h U-Pro according to clinical manifestation of GS. (2.2.1) Studies with glomerulonephritis participants. (2.2.2) Studies with nephrotic syndrome participants. (2.2.3) Studies with other participants. 24 h U-Pro=24-hour urine protein quantity; GS=glomerulosclerosis; TCM=traditional Chinese medicine.

	TC	M+WN	1		WM		an music	Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
2.2.1 Glomerulonephr	itis								
Kudan Heng 2017	1.96	0.5	42	1.04	0.57	42	9.3%	1.70 [1.20, 2.20]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)			42			42	9.3%	1.70 [1.20, 2.20]	•
leterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								
est for overall effect:	Z = 6.63	(P < 0.	00001))					
2.2.2 Nephrotic syndr	ome								
Vengang Guo 2014	9.44	2.48	30	7	2.62	30	8.9%	0.94 [0.41, 1.48]	
(inwei Wang 2010	2.56	0.64	22	1.9	0.65	20	7.8%	1.00 [0.36, 1.65]	
/an Liu 2012	3.71	1.79	30	1.36	1.84	30	8.7%	1.28 [0.72, 1.84]	-
Chijie Dang 2017	9.63	2.1	45	7.1	1.87	45	9.8%	1.26 [0.81, 1.72]	*
Subtotal (95% CI)			127			125	35.1%	1.14 [0.87, 1.41]	•
leterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Ch	i ² = 1.1	19, df=	3 (P = 1	0.75); F	² = 0%			
Fest for overall effect:	Z = 8.35	(P < 0.	00001))					
2.2.3 Others									
aifeng Zhu 2007	1.23	1.31	35	0.42	0.89	31	9.3%	0.71 [0.21, 1.21]	-
lui Feng 2014	1.51	0.77	30	0.64	0.73	30	8.8%	1.14 [0.60, 1.69]	-
ialiang Guan 2009	1.37	1.3	30	1.42	1.3	30	9.2%	-0.04 [-0.54, 0.47]	+
ingzhen Liu 2016	3.93	2.36	30	1.91	2.52	30	9.0%	0.82 [0.29, 1.34]	
iongli Yin 2017	1.02	0.4	50	0.83	0.44	50	10.4%	0.45 [0.05, 0.85]	
liaohua Yan 2013	1.25	0.56	30	0.78	0.57	30	9.0%	0.82 [0.29, 1.35]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			205			201	55.6%	0.64 [0.32, 0.95]	•
leterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.09; Ch	i ² = 11	.92, df	= 5 (P =	0.04);	² = 58	%		
fest for overall effect:	Z = 3.97	(P < 0.	0001)						
otal (95% CI)			374			368	100.0%	0.91 [0.63, 1.19]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.16; Ch	i ^z = 33	.73, df	= 10 (P	= 0.00	02); I ² =	70%		
est for overall effect:	Z = 6.29	(P < 0.	00001	1					-10 -5 0 5 10
est for subaroun diffe	prences.	Chi ² =	13 58	df - 2/	P-00	1011 12	- 05 206		Favours [VVM] Favours [TCM+VVM]

Figure 2. (Continued)

	TO	M+WM			WM			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
3.1.1 Qi deficiency > I	blood stas	sis							
Haiyan Lv 2016	93.9	15.4	50	58.4	17.19	50	8.3%	2.16 [1.66, 2.66]	
Hui Feng 2014	-2.6	37.94	30	-7.1	37.81	30	8.3%	0.12 [-0.39, 0.62]	+
Wengang Guo 2014	22.79	16.99	30	15.53	16.29	30	8.2%	0.43 [-0.08, 0.94]	+
Xiaohua Yan 2013	7.25	14.52	30	-0.41	16.43	30	8.2%	0.49 [-0.03, 1.00]	
Xiaoxia Cheng 2001	63.68	116.29	30	-27.7	93.68	15	7.7%	0.82 [0.18, 1.46]	
Xingcai He 2017	93.89	15.43	50	58.43	17.21	50	8.3%	2.15 [1.66, 2.65]	-
Xudan Heng 2017	100.14	23.74	42	70.62	25.57	42	8.4%	1.19 [0.72, 1.65]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)			262			247	57.5%	1.05 [0.43, 1.68]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.64; Chi ^a	= 62.03	df = 6	(P < 0.0	0001);1	= 909	6		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.30 (F	P = 0.001	0)		922011P				
3.1.2 blood stasis > 0	i deficien	су							
Caifeng Zhu 2007	17.49	27.08	35	10.13	69.74	31	8.4%	0.14 [-0.34, 0.62]	-
Jialiang Guan 2009	-1.6	18.45	30	-1.13	21.18	30	8.3%	-0.02 [-0.53, 0.48]	+
Zhijie Dang 2017	23.03	32.55	45	16.11	29.33	45	8.6%	0.22 [-0.19, 0.64]	+
Subtotal (95% CI)			110			106	25.3%	0.13 [-0.14, 0.40]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi ^a	= 0.54, 0	df = 2 (F	P = 0.76); 1= 09	Х6			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.94 (F	P = 0.35)							
3.1.3 blood stasis =	Qi deficie	ncy							
Jiang Hai 2015	40.18	18.69	45	22.08	19.13	45	8.5%	0.95 (0.51, 1.39)	-
Qionali Yin 2017	40.37	20.61	50	28.14	21.82	50	8.7%	0.57 (0.17, 0.97)	-
Subtotal (95% CI)			95			95	17.2%	0.75 [0.38, 1.12]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.03; Chi ²	= 1.56, 0	df = 1 (F	P = 0.21); 1= 36	5%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.98 (I	P < 0.000	01)						
Total (95% CI)			467			448	100.0%	0.77 [0.35, 1.18]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.47; Chi ²	= 96.33.	df = 11	(P < 0.	00001);	² = 89	1%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.65 (P = 0.000)3)	10.0		10000			-10 -5 0 5 10
Test for subgroup diffe	erences: C	chi ² = 11.	.58. df=	= 2 (P =	0.003).	² = 82.	7%		Favours [VVM] Favours [TCM+VVM]

Figure 3. 3.1 Subgroup analyses of Scr according to guiding ideology for TCM treatment of GS. (3.1.1) TCM treatment based on invigorating qi (Qi deficiency > blood stasis). (3.1.2) TCM treatment based on dispelling blood stasis (blood stasis > Qi deficiency). (3.1.3) Both (blood stasis = Qi deficiency). 3.2 Subgroup analyses of Scr according to clinical manifestation of GS. (3.2.1) Studies with glomerulonephritis participants. (3.2.2) Studies with nephrotic syndrome participants. (3.2.3) Studies with other participants. GS = glomerulosclerosis; Scr = serum creatinine; TCM = traditional Chinese medicine.

	TO	M+WM			MM			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
3.2.1 Glomeruloneph	ritis								
Haiyan Lv 2016	93.9	15.4	50	58.4	17.19	50	8.3%	2.16 [1.66, 2.66]	
Kudan Heng 2017	100.14	23.74	42	70.62	25.57	42	8.4%	1.19 [0.72, 1.65]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)			92			92	16.7%	1.67 [0.71, 2.62]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.41; Chi ²	= 7.85, 0	df = 1 (F	P = 0.00	5); I ² = 8	7%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.43 (P = 0.000)6)						
3.2.2 Nephrotic syndi	ome								
Jiang Hai 2015	40.18	18.69	45	22.08	19.13	45	8.5%	0.95 (0.51, 1.39)	-
Vengang Guo 2014	22.79	16.99	30	15.53	16.29	30	8.2%	0.43 [-0.08, 0.94]	
Kingcai He 2017	93.89	15.43	50	58.43	17.21	50	8.3%	2.15 [1.66, 2.65]	-
Zhiije Dang 2017	23.03	32.55	45	16.11	29.33	45	8.6%	0.22 [-0.19, 0.64]	+
Subtotal (95% CI)			170			170	33.7%	0.93 [0.11, 1.76]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.65; Chi ^a	² = 38.02.	df = 3	(P < 0.0	0001); P	= 92%	6		() (2E%)
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.23 (P = 0.03)		8 - C.S.					
3.2.3 Others									
Caifeng Zhu 2007	17.49	27.08	35	10.13	69.74	31	8.4%	0.14 [-0.34, 0.62]	+
Hui Feng 2014	-2.6	37.94	30	-7.1	37.81	30	8.3%	0.12 [-0.39, 0.62]	+
Jialiang Guan 2009	-1.6	18.45	30	-1.13	21.18	30	8.3%	-0.02 [-0.53, 0.48]	+
Qiongli Yin 2017	40.37	20.61	50	28.14	21.82	50	8.7%	0.57 [0.17, 0.97]	-
Kiaohua Yan 2013	7.25	14.52	30	-0.41	16.43	30	8.2%	0.49 [-0.03, 1.00]	-
Xiaoxia Cheng 2001	63.68	116.29	30	-27.7	93.68	15	7.7%	0.82 [0.18, 1.46]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			205			186	49.5%	0.34 [0.10, 0.58]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.03; Chi ^a	= 7.11, 0	df = 5 (F	P = 0.21); I ² = 30	1%			S
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.73 (P = 0.006	i)						
Fotal (95% CI)			467			448	100.0%	0.77 [0.35, 1.18]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.47; Chi ^a	= 96.33,	df = 11	(P < 0.	00001);	² = 89	%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.65 (P = 0.000	(3)	12					-10 -5 U 5
Fact for cubaroup diff	erences ($hi^2 = 8.3$	= th P	2(P = 0)	02) I ² =	76.2%			Favours [vvwi] Favours [ICM+VVW]

Figure 3	3. ((Continued)	١.
----------	-------	------------	----

	TC	M+WM			WM		1	Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
4.1.1 Qi deficiency > I	blood sta	sis							
Haiyan Lv 2016	4.5	1.04	50	1.5	1.22	50	8.9%	2.63 [2.09, 3.17]	-
Wengang Guo 2014	1.48	1.58	30	1.08	1.23	30	9.0%	0.28 [-0.23, 0.79]	-
Xiaohua Yan 2013	0.47	1.42	30	0.24	1.28	30	9.0%	0.17 [-0.34, 0.67]	
Xiaoxia Cheng 2001	1.78	3.94	30	-0.16	3.57	15	8.4%	0.50 [-0.13, 1.13]	
Xingcai He 2017	4.4	1.31	50	2.34	1.5	50	9.3%	1.45 [1.01, 1.89]	-
Xudan Heng 2017	6.23	1.17	42	5.02	1.22	42	9.2%	1.00 [0.55, 1.46]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)			232			217	53.9%	1.01 [0.29, 1.72]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.72; Ch	i ² = 59.2	9, df =	5 (P < (0.0000	1); I ² =	92%		
Test for overall effect:	Z= 2.77	(P = 0.0	06)						
4.1.2 blood stasis > 0	i deficie	ncy							
Caifeng Zhu 2007	0.92	3.3	35	0.47	3.64	31	9.1%	0.13 [-0.36, 0.61]	+
Jialiang Guan 2009	-0.01	1.09	30	-0.04	1.2	30	9.0%	0.03 [-0.48, 0.53]	+
Zhiiie Dang 2017	2.09	1.38	45	1.09	1.64	45	9.4%	0.65 (0.23, 1.08)	-
Subtotal (95% CI)			110			106	27.5%	0.29 [-0.11, 0.69]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.07; Ch	i ² = 4.28	8, df = 2	P = 0.	12); 12	= 53%			
Test for overall effect:	Z=1.42	(P = 0.1	5)						
4.1.3 blood stasis =	Oi defici	encv							
Jiang Hai 2015	4.77	1.19	45	3.05	1.32	45	9.2%	1.36 (0.90, 1.82)	-
Qionali Yin 2017	41.54	22.34	50	20.33	24.2	50	9.4%	0.90 [0.49, 1.32]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)			95			95	18.6%	1.12 [0.67, 1.56]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.05; Ch	i ² = 2.07	. df = 1	(P = 0.	15); l²	= 52%			
Test for overall effect:	Z= 4.94	(P < 0.0	0001)						
Total (95% CI)			437			418	100.0%	0.83 [0.40, 1.25]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.46: Ch	i ² = 86.3	15. df=	10 (P <	0.000	(01): IZ =	= 88%		
Test for overall effect	7=3.81	(P = 0.0)	001)		5.000				-10 -5 0 5 10
Test for subaroun diffe	erences	Chi ² = 8	25 df	= 2 (P =	0.02	12 = 74	5.8%		Favours [VVM] Favours [TCM+VVM]

Figure 4. 4.1 Subgroup analyses of BUN according to guiding ideology for TCM treatment of GS. (4.1.1) TCM treatment based on invigorating qi (Qi deficiency> blood stasis). (4.1.2) TCM treatment based on dispelling blood stasis (blood stasis > Qi deficiency). (4.1.3) Both (blood stasis = Qi deficiency). 4.2 Subgroup analyses of BUN according to clinical manifestation of GS. (4.2.1) Studies with glomerulonephritis participants. (4.2.2) Studies with nephrotic syndrome participants. (4.2.3) Studies with other participants. BUN = blood urea nitrogen; GS = glomerulosclerosis; TCM = traditional Chinese medicine.

	TO	M+WM			WM			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
4.2.1 Glomerulonephr	itis								
Haiyan Lv 2016	4.5	1.04	50	1.5	1.22	50	8.9%	2.63 [2.09, 3.17]	-
Xudan Heng 2017	6.23	1.17	42	5.02	1.22	42	9.2%	1.00 [0.55, 1.46]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)			92			92	18.1%	1.81 [0.22, 3.40]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	1.25; Ch	i ² = 20.3	30, df=	1 (P < (0.0000	1); =	95%		
Test for overall effect: .	Z= 2.23	(P = 0.0	3)						
4.2.2 Nephrotic syndr	ome								
Jiang Hai 2015	4 77	1 1 9	45	3.05	1.32	45	9.2%	1 36 10 90 1 821	-
Wengang Guo 2014	1 48	1.58	30	1.08	1 23	30	9.0%	0 28 -0 23 0 79	+-
Xingcai He 2017	44	1.31	50	2.34	1.5	50	9.3%	1 45 [1 01 1 89]	
Zhijie Dang 2017	2.09	1.38	45	1.09	1.64	45	9.4%	0.65 (0.23, 1.08)	÷
Subtotal (95% CI)	2.00	1.00	170	1.00		170	36.9%	0.94 [0.41, 1.48]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.24: Ch	$i^2 = 16.4$	18. df=	3(P = 0)	0.0009): $ ^2 = 8$	2%		
Test for overall effect: .	Z= 3.44	(P = 0.0	006)						
4.2.3 Others									
Caifeng Zhu 2007	0.92	3.3	35	0.47	3.64	31	9.1%	0.13 (-0.36, 0.61)	+
Jialiang Guan 2009	-0.01	1.09	30	-0.04	1.2	30	9.0%	0.03 [-0.48, 0.53]	-
Qiongli Yin 2017	41.54	22.34	50	20.33	24.2	50	9.4%	0.90 [0.49, 1.32]	-
Xiaohua Yan 2013	0.47	1.42	30	0.24	1.28	30	9.0%	0.17 [-0.34, 0.67]	+
Xiaoxia Cheng 2001	1.78	3.94	30	-0.16	3.57	15	8.4%	0.50 [-0.13, 1.13]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)			175			156	45.0%	0.36 [0.00, 0.71]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.10; Ch	i ² = 9.93	3, $df = 4$	(P = 0.)	04); I ²	= 60%			
Test for overall effect: .	Z=1.97	(P = 0.0	5)						
Total (95% CI)			437			418	100.0%	0.83 [0.40, 1.25]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.46; Ch	i ² = 86.3	35. df=	10 (P <	0.000	01); I ² =	= 88%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.81	(P = 0.0)	001)						-10 -5 0 5 10
Test for subaroup diffe	erences:	Chi ² = 5	5.59. df	= 2 (P =	= 0.06)	. ² = 64	.2%		Favours [VVM] Favours [TCM+VVM]
						Fic	ure 4. (C	Continued).	

	TCI	N+WN	1		MM		1	Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
5.1.1 Qi deficiency >	blood sta	sis							
Haiyan Ly 2016	-21.3	2.98	50	-10.2	3.24	50	9.9%	-3.54 [-4.17, -2.90]	
Xingcai He 2017	-20.74	3.38	50	-10.25	2.77	50	9.9%	-3.37 [-3.99, -2.75]	-
Xudan Heng 2017	-10.12	4.09	42	-4.8	4.48	42	10.2%	-1.23 [-1.70, -0.76]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			142			142	30.0%	-2.70 [-4.28, -1.12]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	1.85; Ch	i ² = 45	.84, df=	= 2 (P <	0.0000	01); I ² =	96%		
Test for overall effect:	Z= 3.36	(P = 0.	0008)						
5.1.2 blood stasis > (Qi deficie	ncy							
Xinwei Wang 2010	-15.29	9.46	22	-11	8.71	20	9.9%	-0.46 [-1.08, 0.15]	
Qingzhen Liu 2016	-9.39	3.56	30	-3.82	3	30	10.0%	-1.67 [-2.26, -1.08]	
Jialiang Guan 2009	-6.8	7.04	30	-9.84	4.13	30	10.1%	0.52 [0.00, 1.04]	-
Caifeng Zhu 2007	-5.27	6.49	35	-0.3	10.5	31	10.2%	-0.57 [-1.06, -0.08]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)			117			111	40.2%	-0.54 [-1.41, 0.33]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.71; Ch	i ² = 30	.08, df:	= 3 (P <	0.0000	01); I ² =	90%		
Test for overall effect:	Z=1.21	(P = 0.	23)						
5.1.3 blood stasis =	Qi defici	ency							
Qiuxia Wu 2009	-20.1	4.57	15	-14.2	4.38	15	9.5%	-1.28 [-2.08, -0.49]	
Yan Liu 2012	-9.26	3.66	30	-4.56	3.39	30	10.0%	-1.32 [-1.88, -0.75]	-
Jiang Hai 2015	-16.72	4.62	45	-7.46	4.5	45	10.1%	-2.01 [-2.52, -1.50]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)			90			90	29.7%	-1.58 [-2.08, -1.08]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.10; Ch	j ² = 4.1	10, df =	2(P = 0	13); P	= 51%		ent of the Sound A. P. P. Martin S.	
Test for overall effect:	Z= 6.15	(P < 0.	00001)	-11 23					
Total (95% CI)			349			343	100.0%	-1.49 [-2.25, -0.73]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	1.42; Ch	i ² = 16	2.70, d	f=9(P <	0.000	001); P	= 94%	21 2 12	
Test for overall effect:	Z= 3.83	(P = 0.	0001)						-10 -5 0 5 1
Test for subaroup diff	ferences:	Chi ² =	6.85. c	f= 2 (P	= 0.03), $ ^2 = 71$	0.8%		Favours [ICM+vvM] Favours [vVM]

Figure 5. 5.1 Subgroup analyses of ALB according to guiding ideology for TCM treatment of GS. (5.1.1) TCM treatment based on invigorating qi (Qi deficiency > blood stasis). (5.1.2) TCM treatment based on dispelling blood stasis (blood stasis > Qi deficiency). (5.1.3) Both (blood stasis = Qi deficiency). 5.2 Subgroup analyses of ALB according to clinical manifestation of GS. (5.2.1) Studies with glomerulonephritis participants. (5.2.2) Studies with nephrotic syndrome participants. (5.2.3) Studies with other participants. ALB = serum albumin; GS = glomerulosclerosis; TCM = traditional Chinese medicine.

	TC	M+WM			WM			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl	
5.2.1 Glomeruloneph	ritis									
Haiyan Lv 2016	-21.3	2.98	50	-10.2	3.24	50	9.9%	-3.54 [-4.17, -2.90]		
Xudan Heng 2017	-10.12	4.09	42	-4.8	4.48	42	10.2%	-1.23 [-1.70, -0.76]	-	
Subtotal (95% CI)			92			92	20.1%	-2.37 [-4.64, -0.11]	-	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	2.59; Ch	i ² = 32	.89, df:	= 1 (P <	0.0000)1); I ² =	97%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.06	(P = 0.	04)							
5.2.2 Nephrotic synd	rome									
Jiang Hai 2015	-16.72	4.62	45	-7.46	4.5	45	10.1%	-2.01 [-2.52, -1.50]	-	
Xingcai He 2017	-20.74	3.38	50	-10.25	2.77	50	9.9%	-3.37 [-3.99, -2.75]	-	
Xinwei Wang 2010	-15.29	9.46	22	-11	8.71	20	9.9%	-0.46 [-1.08, 0.15]		
Yan Liu 2012	-9.26	3.66	30	-4.56	3.39	30	10.0%	-1.32 [-1.88, -0.75]		
Subtotal (95% CI)			147			145	40.1%	-1.79 [-2.92, -0.66]	•	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	1.24; Ch	i ² = 46	.59, df :	= 3 (P <	0.0000)1); I ² =	94%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.10	(P = 0.	002)							
5.2.3 Others										
Caifeng Zhu 2007	-5.27	6.49	35	-0.3	10.5	31	10.2%	-0.57 [-1.06, -0.08]		
Jialiang Guan 2009	-6.8	7.04	30	-9.84	4.13	30	10.1%	0.52 [0.00, 1.04]	-	
Qingzhen Liu 2016	-9.39	3.56	30	-3.82	3	30	10.0%	-1.67 [-2.26, -1.08]		
Qiuxia Wu 2009	-20.1	4.57	15	-14.2	4.38	15	9.5%	-1.28 [-2.08, -0.49]		
Subtotal (95% CI)			110			106	39.8%	-0.73 [-1.71, 0.24]	•	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.89; Ch	i ² = 33	.49, df:	= 3 (P <	0.0000); ² =	91%		1 me	
Test for overall effect:	Z=1.48	(P = 0.	14)							
Total (95% CI)			349			343	100.0%	-1.49 [-2.25, -0.73]	•	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	1.42; Ch	i ² = 16	2.70, d	f=9(P <	0.000	001); F	= 94%			1
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.83	(P = 0.	0001)	•					-10 -5 0 5 1	U
Test for subaroup diff	erences:	Chi ² =	2.89. 0	f=2 (P	= 0.24). ² = 31	0.8%			
						Fi	gure 5. (0	Continued).		

difference in ALB in the control and treatment groups was statistically significant (SMD: -1.49 g/L, 95% CI: -2.25 to -0.73, P=.0001), indicating that TCM+WM can control ALB levels more effectively. Subgroup analysis showed that the guiding ideology for TCM treatment of glomerulosclerosis based on replenishing Qi and both significantly improved ALB levels (SMD: -2.70 g/L, 95% CI: -4.28 to -1.12, P=.0008; SMD: -1.58 g/L, 95% CI: -2.08 to -1.08, P < .00001), and there was significant differences between across the treatment groups. Subgroup analysis also confirmed the beneficial effects of TCM +WM on 2 disease types (SMD: -2.37 g/L, 95% CI: -4.64 to -0.11, P=.04; SMD: -1.79 g/L, 95% CI: -2.92 to -0.66, P=.002)

3.2.5. *CHOL level.* Four RCTs^[17,20,28,29] analyzed the level of CHOL before and after treatment, and were highly homogenous

 $(I^2=0\%, P=.84)$. CHOL levels were significantly lower in the TCM+WM versus the WM group (SMD: 0.60 mmol/L, 95% CI: 0.32–0.87, P < .0001; Fig. 6).

3.2.6. Ccr level. Three RCTs^[17,19,31] compared the level of Ccr, and the level of heterogeneity was low ($I^2 = 33\%$, P = .23). Meta-analysis showed that compared with the control group, TCM +WM significantly increased the level of Ccr in patients with glomerulosclerosis (SMD=-0.92 mL/min, 95% CI: -1.28 to - 0.56, P < .00001; Fig. 7).

3.2.7. *TG level.* Five RCTs^[17,20,25,28,29] compared the change in the level of TG, and showed remarkable heterogeneity (P=0.05, $I^2=58\%$). TCM+WM showed a superior therapeutic effect on TG levels. (SMD: 1.07 mmol/L, 95% CI: 0.66–1.48, P < .00001; Fig. 8).



Figure 6. Comprehensive evaluation of CHOL after TCM+WM treatment. CHOL=cholesterol; TCM=traditional Chinese medicine; WM=Western medicine.



Figure 7. Comparison of Ccr level in TCM+WM and WM groups. Ccr=creatinine clearance; TCM=traditional Chinese medicine; WM=Western medicine.

	TC	M+WN	1		WM		1	Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Qingzhen Liu 2016	0.49	0.51	30	0.03	0.55	30	22.0%	0.86 [0.33, 1.39]	+
Wengang Guo 2014	1.4	0.82	30	0.76	0.74	30	22.1%	0.81 [0.28, 1.34]	+
Xiaoxia Cheng 2001	0.98	1.5	30	-0.03	0.98	15	18.8%	0.73 [0.09, 1.37]	
Xinwei Wang 2010	2.5	1.9	22	-1.4	1.73	20	15.6%	2.10 [1.33, 2.87]	
Yan Liu 2012	1	0.51	30	0.4	0.57	30	21.5%	1.09 [0.55, 1.64]	-
Total (95% CI)			142			125	100.0%	1.07 [0.66, 1.48]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.12; Ch	i² = 9.4	44, df =	4 (P = (0.05); 1	² = 58%	6		-10 -5 0 5 10
Test for overall effect:	Z= 5.15	(P < 0.	.00001))					Favours (VM) Favours (TCM+WM)

Figure 8. Summarizes the estimation of TG elevation after treatment with TCM+WM. TG=triglyceride; TCM=traditional Chinese medicine; WM=Western medicine.

3.3. Adverse events

Thirteen RCTs evaluated the safety of TCM+WM^[17,18,20-22,24–26,28–32] in terms of gastrointestinal symptoms, infections, facial acne, liver damage, bone marrow suppression, thromboembolism, etc. Three studies reported absence of any adverse events during the treatment period, ^[17,26,29] while 3 reported elevated blood sugar in 1 case each.^[20–22] In the 3 studies, there were 2 cases of "moon face" and 11 cases of respiratory tract and skin infections.^[18,24,32] In 1 study, patients experienced transient nausea and dizziness, which were mitigated with suitable drugs.^[25] Three studies reported 14 cases of gastrointestinal symptoms, 7 of Cushing-like manifestations, 6 of neuropsychiatric symptoms, 6 of insomnia, 3 of waist and knee soreness, 2 of liver damage, and 2 cases of bone marrow suppression.^[28,31,32] In 2 of these studies, some patients experienced multiple types of adverse events.^[28,32] One study recorded 5 cases with dizziness and fatigue, and 1 with decreased white blood cell counts.^[30] As shown in Fig. 9, the meta-analysis showed that the adverse events were significantly lower in the treatment group compared with the control group (Odds ratio (OR)=0.55, 95% CI: 0.34–0.89, Z=2.43, P=.02 <.05; Fig. 9).



Figure 9. Forest plot of the safety of TCM+WM. TCM=traditional Chinese medicine; WM=Western medicine.



3.4. Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis of 7 indicators (24h U-Pro, Scr, BUN, ALB, TG, Ccr, and CHOL) did not show any significant change following elimination of single studies, indicating that the results were stable (Fig. 10).

3.5. The assessment of publication bias

The funnel plot of the 7 indicators (24h U-Pro, Scr, BUN, ALB, TG, Ccr, and CHOL) did not show any significant publication bias in the meta-analysis (Fig. 11).

4. Discussion

Glomerulosclerosis frequently progresses to end-stage renal disease, which is highly recalcitrant to treatment.^[33] Although hormone therapy can improve remission rate for 16 weeks, prolonged treatment may result in serious adverse reactions, such as blood pressure fluctuations, faster heart rate, decreased immune function, and secondary diabetes. In addition, immunosuppressants like FK506, cyclosporine A etc. are more expensive and cannot be prescribed often.^[31]

Traditional Chinese medicine based on natural herbs has gained considerable attention in recent years due to the lower toxicity and side effects. However, the TCM formulations are not

well defined and rarely validated by clinical studies. To this end, we performed a meta-analysis of 16 RCTs^[17-32] to compare the therapeutic effect of WM alone or in combination with TCM on 1082 patients with glomerulosclerosis. He et al^[18] applied selfmade Qingxue Xiaobai decoction to mitigate the side effects caused by long-term hormone therapy, improve immunity, and reduce the recurrence of glomerulosclerosis, thereby delaying renal deterioration. Yan et al^[26] found that the Pishen Tongyu decoction can reduce renal protein levels and block connective tissue growth factor (CTGF) expression or inhibit its activity, thereby inhibiting renal fibrosis and delaying the progression of glomerulosclerosis. Hai^[27] used the Shenzong Huoxue decoction to increase the appetite of patients by restoring renal function, which increased protein intake and restored ALB and Hb levels. Modern pharmacological studies have demonstrated the renoprotective effects of TCM formulations.^[24] For example, rhubarb, Chuanxiong, and Tripterygium can relieve renal tubular hypermetabolism by inhibiting cell proliferation, reduce extracellular matrix accumulation, and resist platelet aggregation. In addition, Astragalus has a diuretic effect and can significantly reduce proteinuria. This meta-analysis showed that integrating TCM with conventional WM drugs can significantly improve renal function indices, improve treatment outcomes, and reduce recurrence. TCM+WM effectively reduced U-Pro, Scr, BUN, CHOL, and TG levels, and increased that of ALB and Ccr compared with WM alone.









The ideal meta-analysis should be able to include all highquality, homogeneous studies. However, since it is practically difficult to include all studies, publication bias is unavoidable. In this study, funnel plots of the 24-h U-Pro, Scr, BUN, Ccr, TG, CHOL, and ALB showed incomplete symmetry, suggesting possible bias. The quality evaluation and risk bias analysis showed that the 16 included RCTs were very limited, and the amount of included studies was relatively less, which may lead to a result bias. Therefore, our conclusions need further validation through higher quality RCTs.

5. Conclusion

Integrated TCM+WM can significantly improve renal function, prognosis, and the quality of life of patients with glomerulosclerosis compared with WM alone, and should considered in clinical practice.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the researchers of the original studies included in this meta-analysis.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Yuetong Wang, Rong-qiang Zhang, Kai Qu.

Data curation: Yuetong Wang, Kai Qu.

- Formal analysis: Yuetong Wang, Rong-qiang Zhang, Xian-cheng Li.
- Funding acquisition: Kai Qu.

Project administration: Xiao-yong Yu, Kai Qu.

Resources: Yuetong Wang, Rong-qiang Zhang, Kai Qu.

Software: Yuetong Wang, Rong-qiang Zhang.

- Visualization: Shu-fei Wang, Nan Zhang, Ya-feng Zhao, Yu Wang.
- Writing original draft: Yuetong Wang, Rong-qiang Zhang.
- Writing review & editing: Yuetong Wang, Rong-qiang Zhang, Kai Qu.

References

- Zou WZ. Kidney Biopsy Pathology. Beijing: Peking University medical press; 2017. 55.
- [2] Chou YH, Lien YC, Hu FC, et al. Clinical outcomes and predictors for ESRD and mortality in primary GN. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2012;7: 1401–8.
- [3] Lin GW, Wang JY, Ge JB. Practice of Internal Medicine. Beijing: People's Medical Publishing House; 2016. 2005–2007.
- [4] Orr P, Shank BC, Hickson S, et al. Clinical management of glomerular diseases. Nurs Clin North Am 2018;53:551–67.
- [5] Harita Y. Application of next-generation sequencing technology to diagnosis and treatment of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. Clin Exp Nephrol 2018;22:491–500.

- [6] Pérez V, López D, Boixadera E, et al. Comparative differential proteomic analysis of minimal change disease and focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. BMC Nephrol 2017;18:49.
- [7] Qu K, Wang YT, Wang SF, et al. Based on literature research to explore the theoretical connotation of Kidney-reinforcing and Mass-disintegrating for prevention and treatment of glomerulosclerosis. Shaanxi J Trad Chin Med 2019;40:919–23.
- [8] Zhang XH, Cao SL. Research status on prevention and treatment of traditional Chinese medicine on focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. Chin Trad Herbal Drugs 2018;49:4688–93.
- [9] The flow diagram of preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA 2009 Edition). Chin J Clin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2014;21:16.
- [10] Ma J, Liu Y, Zhong LP, et al. Comparison between Jadad scale and Cochrane collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias on the quality and risk of bias evaluation in randomized controlled trials. China J Oral Maxillofacial Surg 2012;10:417–22.
- [11] Wu Y, Zhang C, Lu ZP, et al. Association between ERCC1 gene polymorphisms and risk of pancreatic cancer: a meta-analysis. J Nanjing Med Univ (Nat Sci) 2019;39:941–5.
- [12] Zhang XX, Zheng QY, Sun LY, et al. Meta-analysis of Yiqi Huoxue method in treating focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. Chinese J Integr Trad Western Nephrol 2019;20:387–91.
- [13] Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Glomerulosclerosis Work GroupKDIGO clinical practice guideline for glomerulosclerosis. Kidney Int Suppl 2020;2:190–4.
- [14] Chen JX, Zou XJ, Ding CH, et al. Diagnostics of Traditional Chinese Medicine. Beijing: People's Medical Publishing House; 2012. 132–133.
- [15] Zhang RQ, Tan J, Li FY, et al. Acupuncture for the treatment of obesity in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Postgrad Med J 2017;93:743–51.
- [16] Han SS, Lu Y, Wang Y. Curative effect and prescription principle of TCM treatment for focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. Lishizhen Med Materia Medica Res 2016;27:226–8.
- [17] Cheng XX, Chen HY, Lou JH, et al. Individualized treatment with western and traditional medicine in primary focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. Chin J Integr Trad Western Nephrol 2001;2:330–4.
- [18] He XC, Zhao FB, Li JW, et al. Efficacy evaluation of combined Chinese and western medicine in the treatment of focal glomerular sclerosis nephrotic syndrome. Hainan Med J 2017;28:128–30.
- [19] Zhu CF, Chen HY, Zhang MO, et al. Clinical study on IgA n-s FSGS treated with integrated therapy of Chinese and western medicine. Chin J Trad Med Sci Technol 2007;14:149–51.
- [20] Guo WG, Li QH, Zhang HX, et al. Effect of integrated traditional Chinese and western medicine in the treatment of idiopathic focal

segmental glomerular sclerosis. Guangming J Chin Med 2014;29:1909–11.

- [21] Lv HY, Zhang K, Tian HL, et al. Clinical analysis of combined Chinese and western medicine in the treatment of focal segmental sclerosing glomerulonephritis. Hainan Med J 2016;27:4078–9.
- [22] Dang ZJ. Observation on the curative effect of integrated traditional Chinese and Western Medicine on idiopathic focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. China Health Care Nutr 2017;27:145–6.
- [23] Heng XD. Observation of curative effect of integrated traditional Chinese and Western medicine on focal segment sclerosing glomerulonephritis. J Pract Trad Chin Med 2017;33:933–4.
- [24] Feng H, Yu J, Li WT, et al. Clinical analysis of 30 cases of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis treated with traditional Chinese medicine and glucocorticoid. Heilongjiang Med J 2014;38:1268–9.
- [25] Wang XW, Yin SH, et al. Observation on 22 cases of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis nephrotic syndrome treated by self-made shen comprehensive Huoxue decoction. J Aerospace Med 2010;21: 1532–3.
- [26] Yan XH, Jin YS, Lan JZ, et al. Effect of Pishen Tongyu Yin combined with ACEI on urinary CTGF level in patients with primary focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. Rehabil Med 2013;23:6–8.
- [27] Hai J. Focal segmental glomerular sclerosis type nephrotic syndrome to line the kidney in the therapeutic effect of huoxue soup. Chin J Trauma Disabil Med 2015;23:20–1.
- [28] Liu Y. Clinical Study on Primary Focal Segmental Glomerulosclerosis (FSGS) of blood stasis due to qi deficiency with methods of tonifying qi and invigorating the circulation of blood, dredging collaterals and dissipating agglomerate. Shandong Univ Trad Chin Med 2012.
- [29] Liu QZ. Clinical study on focal segmental glomerulosclerosis of blood stasis due to qi deficiency, by yi-qi-tong-luo-yin combined tacrolimus and corticosteroids. Shandong Univ Trad Chin Med 2016.
- [30] Yin QL, Wang YN, Li M, et al. Curative effect of Qingshen Jiedu decoction combined with western medicine therapy on diabetic glomerulus sclerosis and influence on biochemical index of blood and urine. Mod J Integr Trad Chin Western Med 2017;26:2882–4. +2951.
- [31] Wu QX, Liu YF, Peng XM, et al. Assisting role of Zhengqing Fengtongning tablet in the treatment of idiopathic focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. Mod J Integr Trad Chin Western Med 2009;18: 2496–8.
- [32] Guan JL. Treatment with Western and Traditional Chinese medicine in primary focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. Fujian Univ Trad Chinese Med 2009.
- [33] Liu Y, Wen HY, Wang LH, et al. Focal segmental glomerulosclerosis lagged behind the onset of rheumatoid arthritis by 7 years: a case report and literature review. Medicine (Baltimore) 2017;96:e5789.