SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 06 January 2016 Accepted: 31 May 2016 Published: 20 June 2016

OPEN Anti-HIV microRNA expression in a novel Indian cohort

Rakesh Dey^{1,2}, Kartik Soni¹, Shanmugam Saravanan³, Pachamuthu Balakrishnan³, Vikram Kumar¹, Jayaseelan Boobalan³, Sunil Suhas Solomon^{3,4}, Vinod Scaria^{1,2}, Suniti Solomon³, Samir K. Brahmachari^{1,2} & Beena Pillai^{1,2}

HIV-1 replication inside host cells is known to be regulated by various host factors. Host miRNAs, by virtue of its normal functioning, also regulate HIV-1 RNA expression by either directly targeting virus mRNAs or indirectly by regulating host proteins that HIV-1 uses for own replication. Therefore, it is highly possible that with differential miRNA expression, rate of disease progression will vary in HIV-1 infected individuals. In this study we have compared expression of a panel of 13 reported anti-HIV miRNAs in human PBMCs from long term non progressors (LTNPs), regular progressors and rapid progressors. We found that LTNPs have substantial lower expression of miR-382-5p that positively correlates with viral loads. Combinatorial regulation is highly probable in dictating differential disease progression as average expression of miR-382-5p and miR-155-5p can substantially distinguish LTNP individuals from regular progressors.

Human Immunodeficiency Virus-1 infects human cells and systematically evades the immune system while incapacitating it by causing the death of T-lymphocytes. The virus, after gaining entry through the intravenous route or lesions in mucosal surfaces binds to the CD4 receptor and the CCR5 or CXCR4 co-receptors on immune cells, penetrates the cell and releases its RNA genome which is reverse transcribed into DNA and integrated into the host genome. Viral particles then get disseminated from the infected founder population and spread out to new reservoirs of various tissue sites to establish systemic infection inside the host¹. During the late stages of the infection, characterized by high viral load and low CD4+ T-cell counts in the blood, the patient becomes susceptible to infections by secondary pathogens like Pneumocystis carinii, Candida albicans and Mycobacterium tuberculosis and eventually succumbs to Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS)^{2,3}.

Host factors that reduce the cytotoxicity caused by the virus and viral factors required for effective infection are therefore prime targets for drug development. Genetic variations that confer resistance to the virus also hold the promise of cure through genome editing⁴⁻⁶. A small percentage (\sim 3–4%) of HIV-1 infected individuals progress to AIDS relatively slowly⁷. Mutations in the viral genome that inactivate key genes required for replication result in slow progression as demonstrated in the case of the Sydney Blood Bank Cohort⁸. The hematopoietic stem cells from donors carrying a mutant CCR5 co-receptor in fact confer resistance in bone marrow transplant recipients⁶. These instances of HIV-1 suppression demonstrate that host factors can alter the course of HIV-1 infection and AIDS. An even rarer event could be the presence of yet unknown genetic variation that may confers resistance to HIV-1 in certain individuals leading to an "elite suppressor" status.

The first reports of anti-HIV-1 microRNAs, small regulatory RNAs that bind to the HIV-1 genome and prevent its expression and propagation, were made almost a decade back^{9,10}. Several studies have since then shown the ability of these microRNAs to reduce virus replication in *in vitro* models of HIV-1 infection¹¹⁻¹⁴. Although each reported anti-HIV miRNA has been validated by one or more research groups, there are no miRNAs universally acknowledged as anti-HIV miRNAs. These studies cannot be compared to each other easily because of difference in patient detection methods and candidate miRNAs studied. Thus there is a need for a comprehensive evaluation of anti-HIV miRNAs in a large patient cohort.

It is well known that eukaryotic mRNAs are often targeted by several miRNAs. Furthermore, miRNAs may show protective effects by both targeting HIV-1 genome and augmenting the host immune response or production of immune cells to replace cells lost due to viral cytotoxicity. Thus, it is important to study the expression of

¹CSIR-Institute of Genomics and Integrative Biology (CSIR-IGIB), Mathura Road, Delhi 110 020, India. ²Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR), New Delhi, India. ³Y R Gaitonde Centre for AIDS Research and Education (YRG CARE), VHS Campus, Rajiv Gandhi Road, Taramani, Chennai 600113, India. ⁴Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, USA. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to B.P. (email: beena@ igib.in)

	Long Term Non Progressors (LTNPs)	Long Term Non Progressors_ non controllers (LTNP.NC)	Regular Progressors	Rapid Progressors	Ambiguous	Un-infected controls
Number of Individuals	10	7	28	11	16	19
CD4 Counts	≥500	≥500	$\begin{array}{c} 500 > \text{CD4} \\ \text{Counts} \geq 300 \end{array}$	<300	wide range, do not fall into rest 4 classes	-
Progression (in years)	\geq 7 years	\geq 7 years	≤5-7≤	<5 years	wide range, do not fall into rest 4 classes	Not Applicable
Viral Load (median values)	1164	22520	124627, 40302	229543	12349, 40640	Not Applicable
Treatment status	All Naive	All Naive	Naïve = 09 On ART = 19	All on ART	Naïve=09 On ART=07	Not Applicable
Median Age(Range)	36 (21-47)#	24 (20-45)#	30 (22-49)#	31 (20-47)#	29 (17-45)#	27 (20-47)
Sex##	Female = 07 Male = 03	Female = 04 Male = 03	Female = 15 Male = 13	Female=07 Male=04	Female = 11 Male = 05	Female = 09 Male = 10
CCR5 status	CCR5 WT (n=10)	CCR5 WT (n=7)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCR5 WT} \\ (n = 26) \text{ CCR5}\Delta \\ 32 \text{ heterozygous} \\ (n = 1) \end{array}$	CCR5 WT (n=12)	CCR5 WT (n=17)	Not tested
HLA-B5701/B2705 status	HLA-B5701 (n=1) HLA-B2705 (n=1)	None	HLA-B5701 (n=1)	None	HLA-B5701 (n=1)	Not tested

Table 1. Classification criteria and details of the cohort. *p values (derived from t-test with uninfectedcontrols) > 0.05. **p values (derived from Chi-squared test with uninfected controls) > 0.05.

.....

predicted and previously reported anti-HIV-1 miRNAs in parallel, to explore their combinatorial effects on viral load and T-cell numbers. However, it is technically not feasible to study the effect of miRNA combinations *in vitro* due to the large number of possible permutations and combinations. Patients with varied progression rates, with and without retroviral therapy provide a powerful alternative wherein the levels of several anti-HIV-1 miRNAs, T-cells and viral load monitored simultaneously can provide information about protective miRNA combinations. Further, such studies in large cohorts may reveal new genetic factors that confer protection from HIV-1, through the enhanced expression of anti-HIV-1 miRNAs. Comprehensive expression profiling of anti-HIV-1 miRNAs in several cohorts is required to identify such rare variants that could account for the variability in disease progression rates.

Here, we report the expression levels of 13 anti-HIV-1 miRNAs, in human PBMCs from a large Indian cohort of HIV-1 patients with a significant number of long-term non-progressors and couple of elite suppressors. Most of the Long Term Non-progressors do not carry known, protective, genetic factors implying that detailed studies in this cohort may reveal novel protective mutations. We find distinctive, large changes and increased variability in the expression of these miRNAs in infected individuals compared to uninfected individuals. A combination of expression profiles of two microRNAs showed a substantial difference between long term non-progressors and regular progressors. ART therapy showed strong changes in microRNA expression although not always restoring the expression pattern to that of uninfected controls.

Materials and Methods

Enrollment of patients and healthy volunteers. This is a cross sectional study including HIV-infected individuals (n = 72) and HIV negative controls (n = 19) were enrolled based on their eligibility at "YRG CARE". Written informed consents were obtained from all the participants in the cohort. Absolute CD4 counts and viral load data were provided to the HIV positive participants. These participants (details in Table 1) were classified as (1) Long Term Non Progressors (LTNPs, n = 10), (2) Long Term Non Progressors-Non Controllers (LTNP. NC, n = 7), (3) Regular Progressors (n = 28) and (4) Rapid Progressors (n = 11) based on the CD4 counts and the number of years of progression after they were diagnosed as seropositive. Apart from these 4 types of conventional progressors, there are 16 patients in our cohort, whom we could not assign into any of the previously mentioned group, hence kept as "Ambiguous" class (n = 16), they need more duration of follow up to be assigned to any particular class). Experimental methods related to human subjects were approved by "Institutional review board" of "YRG CARE". All molecular biology experiments were carried out in accordance with approved guide-lines of "CSIR-IGIB".

Isolation of PBMC. We have collected 20 ml of peripheral venous blood in BD vacutainers (Heparin based) from HIV positive participants and 10 ml of peripheral blood from HIV negative controls. Following blood collection, peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated through conventional density gradient centrifugation and stored for subsequent RNA and DNA isolation.

DNA Isolation. Genomic DNA was isolated from PBMC samples of HIV-1 infected/uninfected healthy controls by QIAGEN[®] DNeasy[®] Blood & Tissue Kits, according to the manufacturer's protocol. DNA concentration was determined by measuring absorbance at 260 and 280 nm using a spectrophotometer (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). Quality of genomic DNA was also determined by agarose-gel electrophoresis.

PCR Amplification and Sequencing. Selected regulatory genes or regions (e.g. CCR5 Δ 32 polymorphisms, HLA-B5701/2705, HIV-1 Nef) were PCR amplified for further analysis. For CCR5 Δ 32 polymorphisms PCR amplification was carried out by following primers 5'-TTTACCAGATCTCAAAAAGAAG-3' (forward) and 5'-GGAGAAGGACAATGTTGTAGG-3' (reverse) which gave a 274 bp product for wild type allele, and 242 bp product for CCR5 Δ 32 allele¹⁵. To amplify Nef from proviral genomic DNA, a nested PCR approach was considered⁸. Oligonucleotides for double nested PCR amplification were as following; for outer PCR, SK-68 (5'-AGCAGGAAGGACACITATGG-3') and CI-6, (5'-TGCTAGAGATTTTCCACAC-3') were used. A fraction of amplified PCR product was used as template for inner PCR; Nef (5'-GTAGCTGAGGGGGACAGATAG-3') and LTR-3 (5'-AGGCTCAGATCTGGTCTAAC-3') which gave 862 bp amplified product. For HLA PCR, an established sequence specific primer typing (PCR-SSP) method was followed¹⁶. For HLA-Control, 5'-ATGATGTTGACCTTTCCAGGG-3' (forward) and 5'-TTCTGTAACTTTTCATCAGTTGC-3' (reverse) were used, to obtain 256 bp amplified product. To amplify HLA-B5701, oligonucleotides 5'-AACATGAAGGCCTCCGGCG-3' (forward) and 5' CGTCGCAGCCATACATCAC 3' (reverse) gave 351 bp amplified product. For HLA-B2705 PCR amplification, oligonucleotides 5'-GCTACGTGGACGACAGCT-3' (forward) and 5'-TCTCGGAAGACACGCT-3' (forward) and 5'-TCTCGGAAGACACGCT-3' (forward) and 5'-TCTCGGAAGACACGCT-3' (forward) and 5'-TCTCGGAAGACACGCT-3' (forward) and 5'-CGTACGTGGACGACACGCT-3' (forward) and 5'-TCTCGGAAGACACGCT-3' (forward) and 5'-CTCCGGAAGACACGCT-3' (forward) and 5'-CTCCGGAAGACACGCT-3' (forward) and 5'-CCTCGGAAGACACGCT-3' (forward) and 5' CGTCGCAGCCATACATCAC 3' (reverse) gave 351 bp amplified product. For HLA-B2705 PCR amplification, oligonucleotides 5'-GCTACGTGGACGACACGCT-3' (forward) and 5'-TCTCGGAAGACACGCT-3' (forward) and 5'-TCTCGGAAGCACACGCT-3' (forward) and 5'-TCTCGGAAGCACACGCT-3' (forward) and 5'-TCTCGGAAGCACACGCT-3' (forward) and 5'-TCTCGGAAGCACACGCT-3' (forward) and 5'-TCTCGGAAGCACACGCT-

Isolation of Total RNA. Total RNA was isolated from PBMCs using TRIzol (Invitrogen, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The RNA pellets were washed with 70% ethanol and air dried. Pellets were re-suspended in Nuclease Free water (Ambion). RNA concentration and purity were determined by measuring absorbance at 260 and 280 nm using a spectrophotometer (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) and through RNA specific dye in Qubit 2.0 based measurements.

Profiling of miRNAs by Real Time PCR. 25 ng of total RNA from each of the patient samples and uninfected volunteers (control samples) were used for cDNA preparation by QuantiMir based oligodT adapter. Real time PCR quantification of all 13 selected miRNAs was done by SYBR Green (Roche) chemistry and QuantiMiR Kit (System Biosciences) as per manufacturer's protocol. We used three endogenous controls- U1, U6 and 5.8S RNA and normalized our Real Time PCR data with Geomean of all three endogenous controls.

Analysis of miRNA profiling data. All the miRNA Ct values were normalized to geomean of endogenous controls' (U1, U6 and 5.8S RNA) Ct values. Δ Ct values of each of the miRNA for controls as well as for all the progressors were plotted as box plots (along with data points) using "Origin" (OriginLab, "http://www.originlab. com/") software. Student's T tests (unpaired, two tailed) were performed between uninfected controls and patient classes or in between different patient classes to check statistical significance of the miRNA expression values (Δ Ct).

Principal Component Analysis (PCA). Δ Ct values of controls and patient classes were analyzed for identifying the presence of principal components that may segregate different classes of progressors as well as uninfected controls. There are 13 variables (Δ Ct values of 13 miRNAs) in our data. PCA analysis were performed in Microsoft excel by add-in "Multibase" package (Numerical Dynamics, Japan).

Results

Genetic Characterization of the patients. Differential rate of HIV disease progression in different classes of progressors, are known to be associated with various host and viral factors¹⁷. Among those, the most common three factors that have been repeatedly associated with rate of disease progression are (i) genetic (CCR5 Δ 32 mutation), (ii) immunologic (HLA-B5701/B2705) and (iii) viral (nef deletion/mutation). We sought to study the contribution of any of these factors to the progression of disease in the patients of the current cohort (Table 1). We have isolated genomic DNA from PBMCs of all the seropositive as well as seronegative individuals. We performed PCR amplification of specific HLA alleles (HLA Control, HLA-B5701 and HLA-B2705), CCR5 and Nef regions to find out presence of any such regulatory factors for slower disease progression. From PCR amplification and agarose gel electrophoresis, we did not find any patient positive for CCR5 Δ 32 homozygous allele (Fig. 1). One patient (1/67, 1.49%) with regular progression of disease possesses CCR5 Δ 32 heterozygous allele, which matches with the expected population frequency of CCR5/CCR5 Δ 32 individuals in India (1.47–4.69%)¹⁸. Three patients are positive for HLA-B5701 alleles (3/68, 4.41%) and one patient positive for HLA-B2705 allele (1/68, 1.47%), in synchrony with expected allele frequencies in Indian population¹⁹ (Fig. 2). PCR amplification and gel electrophores is of the HIV-1 Nef region show that all 43 individuals (among the 43 patient screened) contain wild type Nef gene copies (Supplementary Figure 1).

Anti-HIV miRNAs. Jeang *et al.* compiled a list of reported anti-HIV miRNAs with direct and indirect roles²⁰. We used this as a starting point to shortlist 13 such anti-HIV miRNAs that have direct target site/s on HIV-1 genome (Fig. 3). Other groups have previously used microarrays or qRT-PCR based accurate but expensive assays like Taqman for detection of single miRNAs across many samples or miRnome arrays for profiling of limited samples. Given the size of our cohort and our objective of monitoring all the reported anti-HIV miRNAs in parallel, we preferred to use a highly specific but multiplex assay based on tailing the endogenous miRNAs and detecting the resulting long RNA products using a universal reverse primer and miRNA specific forward primers (see methods for details). We also found that miRNAs like miR-92-3p that are considered house-keeping miRNAs and have been used previously for normalization are not suitable for this study because of their reported involvement in AIDS. We used geometric mean of the expression level of U1, U6 and 5.8 s, after confirming that these RNAs showed minimal variation between samples (Table 2). We found that starting with 25 ng of total RNA isolated from PBMCs, we consistently detected all the miRNAs in a Ct value range of 17–33, in uninfected controls. The



Figure 1. Characterization of CCR5 Δ 32 mutation status in the patient cohort; patient numbers are indicated above the respective lanes; NC = no-template PCR control; M = marker. Genomic DNA was isolated from the PBMCs of 67 patients and subjected to PCR using primers flanking the CCR5 Δ 32 mutation such that the normal allele would produce a 274 bp product while the mutant would give rise to a 242 bp product (A). The only heterozygote patient shows both bands; see technical replicate (B) for clear bands. The PCR products from patient P67 were separated, purified and sequenced to confirm the presence of the CCR5 Δ 32 mutation (C).

miRNAs were also consistently detectable in patient samples, but at higher Ct values. We subsequently used Δ Ct values for all further analysis.

HIV infection causes differential expression of host miRNAs. We found that anti-HIV miRNAs are differentially expressed in HIV-1 infected cohort compared to non- infected healthy individuals (Fig. 4; Table 3). Amongst the 13 miRNAs we selected, 6 miRNAs (miR-28-5p, miR-125b-5p, miR-150-5p, miR-155-5p, miR-223-3p, and miR-92a-3p) show up-regulated expression and 3 miRNAs (miR-29a-3p, miR-29b-3p, and miR-133b) show down-regulation of expression in patient samples, compared to uninfected counterparts. Four miRNAs (miR-138-5p, miR-149-5p, miR-326, and miR-382-5p) show non-significant changes. These miRNAs were not merely an indirect indicator of T-cell status, because they showed no significant difference in patients with higher T-cell counts compared to patients with low T-cell counts (data not shown).

miRNA expression changes amongst different progressors. Our cohort includes patients with distinct differences in rate of progression of disease. Of the patients, 17 HIV-1 positive individuals (6 males, 11



Figure 2. Characterization of HLA types in the patient cohort; patient numbers are indicated above the respective lanes; NC = no-template PCR control; M = marker. Genomic DNA was isolated from the PBMCs of 67 patients and subjected to PCR using primers flanking the respective regions, such that the common allele, HLA Control would produce a distinct band at 256 bp while HLA-B5701 would produce a 351 bp band and HLA-B2705 would produce a 150 bp band. Patient P75 showed the presence of a HLA-B2705 allele and patient P3, P38 and P73 showed HLA-B5701 alleles, see technical replicate (**B**) for clear bands, which were further confirmed by sequencing (**C**).



Figure 3. Shortlisted 13 anti-HIV miRNAs and their reported target regions on HIV-1 genome.

	Uninfected Control (n=19)*	HIV positive patient (n=67)*			
U1	20.50–11.70 (16.88±2.85)	24.56–16.74 (20.12 \pm 1.99)			
U6	23.89–16.75 (21.66 \pm 1.93)	$29.7421.21~(24.02\pm1.87)$			
5.85	17.93–10.30 (15.02 \pm 2.40)	23.23-15.51 (18.35±1.61)			
*Range (mean \pm SD)					

Table 2. Normalization controls and respective Ct values used in the miRNA expression profiling.

.....

females, all drug naïve) have CD4+T cell counts >=500 for >=7 years duration at the time of sampling. The cohort also consisted of 28 patients (13 males, 15 females, drug naïve and ART positive together) who showed regular rate of progression and 11 patients (4 males, 7 females) who showed rapid progression, with CD4+T-cells plummeting below 300 within first 3–5 years. When we compared the expression of the anti-HIV miRNAs among



Figure 4. (A) Three miRNAs (miR-138-5p, miR-29a-3p, and miR-29b-3p) were down regulated, (B) four miRNAs (miR-133b, miR-149-5p, miR-326, and miR-382-5p) remain mostly unchanged, and six miRNAs (miR-125b-5p, miR-150-5p, miR-155-5p, miR-28-5p, miR-223-3p, and miR-92a-3p) were up regulated in patients, compared to uninfected controls. P values were calculated through unpaired, two tailed T tests.

four patients classes (segregated into LTNPs, Regular, Rapid and Ambiguous), we found (Fig. 5A,B) miR-155-5p and miR-382-5p showed a significant (p < 0.05) down regulation in LTNPs when compared to Rapid/regular progressors. The fold repression, (at 2.5 fold) was highest when miR-382-5p expression is compared between LTNPs and Regular Progressors while miR-155-5p showed a 1.8 fold down regulation in LTNPs when separately compared to both regular and rapid progressors. We also looked for the status of the elite suppressors to check if they were outliers in expression of any of the anti-HIV miRNAs. One of the elite suppressors showed very low expression of miR-382-5p, but barring this case, no general conclusion could be drawn for the elite suppressors. Lastly, we looked for an overall correlation between the expression level of each miRNA and the viral load in patients. We found that expression of miR-382-5p showed positive correlation with viral load amongst LTNPs (Fig. 5C). Three LTNP samples (P06, P12 and P30, encircled in black) with very low viral loads (<150, 232 and 413 copies/ml) showed a strong repression of miR-382-5p.

Apart from having a steady CD4+T cell counts (>=500) for >=7 years duration, strong LTNPs also represses the viral replication below 10,000 copies/ml of blood. Among the 17 LTNP individuals, 10 patients successfully kept the viremia <10,000 copies/ml blood. The remaining 7 LTNP patients have >10,000 viremia counts (sub-grouped as LTNP_NC). When we segregated our patients into drug naïve and treated subgroups and compared the miRNA expression patterns, we found, the expression profile of any single miRNA is not statistically significant to distinguish different progressor classes (Fig. 6). However, four miRNAs (miR-382-5p, miR-155-5p, miR-150-5p and miR-92a-3p) showed differences in a few patient subgroups. LTNP individuals have down-regulated expression (Fig. 6A) of miR-382-5p compared to regular progressors (ART positive). LTNP_NC patients vary significantly (Fig. 6B) in miR-155-5p expression compared to Rapid progressors. Rapid progressors (ART positive) have higher expression of miR-150-5p (Fig. 6C) compared to both regular progressors (ART

	Uninfected Control (n = 19)*	HIV positive (n=67)*	miRNA sequence	
miR-28-5p ¹¹	$\begin{array}{r} 32.88{-}24.36 \\ (28.52{\pm}2.68) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 33.87 {-} 27.59 \\ (30.51 {\pm} 1.60) \end{array}$	AAGGAGCUCACAGUCUAUUGAG	
miR-29a-3p ¹²	27.13-19.22 (23.77±3.00)	$\begin{array}{c} 31.82{-}24.55 \\ (27.61{\pm}1.75) \end{array}$	UAGCACCAUCUGAAAUCGGUUA	
miR-29b-3p ¹²	31.42-21.92 (27.78±3.20)	37.74–28.37 (32.84±2.19)	UAGCACCAUUUGAAAUCAGUGUU	
miR-92a-3p ¹⁴	$26.41-20.29 \\ (23.63 \pm 1.58)$	$\begin{array}{c} 29.70{-}22.58 \\ (25.59 {\pm} 1.85) \end{array}$	UAUUGCACUUGUCCCGGCCUGU	
miR-125b-5p ¹¹	$\begin{array}{c} 31.6126.06 \\ (29.68\pm1.51) \end{array}$	35.25-27.04 (30.81 ± 1.89)	UCCCUGAGACCCUAACUUGUGA	
miR-133b14	32.39-23.20 (28.44±3.18)	$\begin{array}{c} 39.29{-}28.87 \\ (33.03 {\pm} 1.77) \end{array}$	UUUGGUCCCCUUCAACCAGCUA	
miR-138-5p ¹⁴	$28.42-24.08 \\ (26.39 \pm 1.52)$	34.15-26.02 (30.97±2.01)	AGCUGGUGUUGUGAAUCAGGCCG	
miR-149-5p ¹⁴	29.77-24.57 (26.80 + 1.09)	$\begin{array}{c} 33.93 {-} 26.46 \\ (29.64 {\pm} 1.34) \end{array}$	UCUGGCUCCGUGUCUUCACUCCC	
miR-150-5p ¹¹	25.28-17.84 (21.55+2.77)	$26.78{-}18.65 \\ (22.32 \pm 2.01)$	UCUCCCAACCCUUGUACCAGUG	
miR-155-5p ³⁶	$29.22-24.37 \\ (26.53+1.56)$	$\begin{array}{c} 33.39{-}25.90 \\ (28.86 {\pm} 1.68) \end{array}$	UUAAUGCUAAUCGUGAUAGGGGU	
miR-223-3p ¹¹	24.92-17.45 (21.37+2.51)	26.71–16.92 (20.92±2.17)	UGUCAGUUUGUCAAAUACCCCA	
miR-326 ¹⁴	28.06-23.75 (25.75+1.13)	$\begin{array}{c} 32.39 – 25.17 \\ (29.19 \pm 1.47) \end{array}$	CCUCUGGGCCCUUCCUCCAG	
miR-382-5p ¹¹	$29.68-25.90 \\ (27.94+1.19)$	34.99-27.12 (31.42±1.90)	GAAGUUGUUCGUGGUGGAUUCG	
*Range (mean + SI))			

Table 3. Anti-HIV-1 miRNAs and respective Ct values in controls and patients.

.....

positive) and LTNP_NC (drug naive). Regular progressors (ART positive) have lower expression (Fig. 6C) of miR-92a-3p compared to rapid progressors (ART positive).

Combinatorial expression of selected miRNAs correlates with rates of disease progression.

Next, we investigated whether we can identify any signature expression pattern with any combination (from the panel of 13 miRNA) of miRNAs that show correlation with disease progression. Principal component analysis with 13 variables (Δ Ct values of 13 miRNA, Fig. 7A) among uninfected controls and three drug naïve patient classes (LTNPs, LTNP-NCs and Regular_Naive) revealed the contribution and relation among these 10 miRNAs (Fig. 7B). A 2D plot (PC2 vs PC3) showed that uninfected individuals (red dots) are separated well from the LTNPs and LTNP_NCs. Regular_Naive individuals falls between LTNPs and uninfected individuals, and there is overlap between LTNP_NC and LTNP patients. PCA among three patient classes (LTNPs, LTNP_NC and Regular_Naive) do not show a good separation among patient classes (Fig. 7C,D) in a 2D plot (PC1 vs PC2), however these PCAs gave us clues about contributing miRNAs, responsible for maximum variances in different components. Next, we investigated, whether we can segregate the patient classes based on average expression pattern of miRNAs. Empirically, we found that average expression of two miRNAs, miR-382-5p and miR-155-5p can substantially distinguish LTNP individuals from regular progressors (Fig. 7E).

Discussion

Since its discovery, Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) manages to snatch away one of the highest number (https://www.aids.gov/hiv-aids-basics/hiv-aids-101/global-statistics/) of human lives, caused by infectious diseases. After three decades of research, although we are now capable of controlling the severity of disease progression and improving the lifespan of HIV infected individuals, there is no practical cure. The strategy HIV adopted is to infect and kill the host's CD4+ T cells and antigen presenting cells, so as to dismantle the innate-adaptive immune balance and make the host susceptible to secondary opportunistic co-infections. To control this threat, host also has its own arsenal of restrictive factors that creates a tug-of-war to gain control on each other²¹. These initial events of host-virus interactions establish the balance and thus regulate the rate of disease progression later in the course of infection. Current approach to deal with HIV infection is the use of combinatorial anti retroviral drug therapy (ART) that targets more than one stages of HIV life cycle. Besides being a successful approach in terms of reducing the viral load and improving the life span of infected individuals, ART poses a few important concerns that call for development of alternative approaches to treat HIV infection²². In quest of search of important host/viral factors, a significant number of reports have pointed out various proteins, enzymes and non-coding RNAs that play important roles in different stages of HIV life cycle^{12,21,23}.

MicroRNA, a subset of 19–24 nucleotides of non coding RNA has been a major player in regulation of gene expression during development and disease²⁴. By virtue of miRNAs working mechanisms, our group previously hypothesized that host miRNA might be able to target the HIV RNA genome. Subsequent analyses through bio-informatics prediction pointed out the presence of host miRNA target sites on HIV-1 genome. This initial finding



Figure 5. (A) Expression values of miR-155-5p and (B) miR-382-5p were plotted among four classes of progressors. MicroRNA-155-5p showed lower expression in LTNPs compared to Regular/Rapid progressors (A). For miR-382-5p, LTNPs showed lower expression values compared to Regular progressors (B). Expression of miR-382-5p among LTNPs, LTNP_NC and regular progressors (both Naïve and ART positive) showed a positive correlation with viral load values (C). Together, n = 43 and three LTNP individuals (P6, P12 and P30, encircled in black) having among the lower most miR-382-5p values also have close to undetectable viral loads.

led us to explore the effect of real interactions among the host miRNA-HIV-1 targets that might alter the normal progression of the disease. Later, a study by our group also validated the interactions of miR-29a/b and HIV-1 *Nef*, an accessory protein of the virus, required for viral replication inside host cells^{25,26}. An interesting finding by Huang *et al.* suggested that a cluster of miRNAs (miR-28-5p, miR-125b-5p, miR-150-5p, miR-223-3p and miR-382-5p) have enriched expression in resting primary CD4+ T lymphocytes and have direct target sites on HIV-1 mRNA, thus leading to differential HIV-1 infectivity/resistance to different cells lying at varying developmental stages¹¹. Since then, a few more host miRNAs with direct target sites on HIV-1 genome have been reported²⁰. Different groups have looked at the miRNA expression profile and tried to predict if any correlation to disease progression could be drawn. Throughout these studies, cellular miRNAs were found to be differentially expressed among different classes of seropositive individuals who show differential disease progression following HIV-1 infection²⁷⁻³². However, our understanding of miRNA expression pattern and any possible correlation with disease progression is still rudimentary.

In this study, we have measured a pool of host miRNA expression levels in PBMCs of a cohort of HIV-1 infected South Indian individuals and drawn a possible correlation with differential disease progression patterns in different categories of patients. Based on the previous cumulative evidence and a recent useful review by Klase *et al.*²⁰, we choose a panel of 13 miRNAs, which have direct target sites on HIV-1 genome and are shown to be important regulators of HIV replication in *in vitro* studies. Since our study is restricted to PBMCs, we could not monitor the levels of circulating miRNAs in the plasma which has been recently reported to be important³³. Similarly, the possibility that altered differentiation patterns or factors like immune activation levels, cellular exhaustion, and senescence affect the total PBMC expression levels cannot be ruled out. In spite of this caveat, total PBMCs are useful in identifying outliers within patient groups with excessively altered expression level of specific miRNAs. Since HIV infected PBMCs are a small population of the total PBMCs, the baseline expression of anti-HIV miRNAs in outliers may reflect genetic factors that confer resistance. In a retrospective study we cannot rule out the possibility that time dependent changes in the cell counts maybe correlated to the detected miRNA levels. In future, longitudinal studies may be useful in tracking time-dependent changes in immune cell sub-populations.

For in vivo replication, HIV is solely dependent on the host cellular machinery. To create a favorable environment to survive and replicate, HIV modulates the expression of many host proteins. On the other hand, host also regulates HIV by modulating several of these factors. Such regulation can be either by down regulating factors that HIV uses in its favor or by up regulating restriction factors in order to create a hostile environment for HIV. MicroRNAs, the modulators of gene expression are part of this intricate host-virus relationship and can be utilized in order to shift the balance. The readout of miRNA expression among different types of disease progressors has an advantage as it might show correlation with a particular situation and can be interpreted as either favorable to virus or host. This has potential therapeutic values, as by tuning the expression of miRNAs, a favorable situation for the host can be gained. For understanding the anti-HIV role of miRNAs, it is important to classify the infected individuals as homogenously as possible. In our study, while comparing miRNA expression, we have clustered the patients on the basis of viral loads (LTNPs and LTNP_NC) and also by ART status (among regular progressors). This is important to negate out factors that might result in high variability in miRNA expression. We have also characterized our patient cohort in order to find any known factors (CCR5 Δ 32, HLA-B5701/2705 and HIV-1 Nef) that associate with slower disease progression. There are only 4 patients (three are HLA-B5701 positive and one is HLA-B2705 positive) who have protective HLA alleles. Therefore, our study cohort is mostly independent of host/viral factors that affect disease progression.

HIV infection has shown to alter expression of many host miRNAs²⁷, which is a complex outcome of many direct or indirect interactions taking place inside the infected cell. In our study, there are 6 miRNAs (miR-28-5p, miR-125b-5p, miR-150-5p, miR-223-3p and miR-92a-3p) that are up regulated in patients (compared to uninfected controls). MicroRNA-223-3p was also shown to be up regulated in HIV infection in an *in*



Figure 6. Expression values of all 13 miRNAs are plotted among all classes (segregated and clustered into sub-classes based on viral loads and ART status). (A) Down regulated miRNAs (as Fig. 4A), (B) unchanged miRNAs (as Fig. 4B), and (C) Up regulated miRNAs (as Fig. 4C). Only significant expression differences among various classes are marked with p values on the graphs. P values were calculated as unpaired two tailed T tests and p values < 0.05 were considered significance.



Figure 7. (A) Principle component analysis was done in Microsoft Excel by add-in "Multibase" package, using all 13 miRNA expression values (Δ Ct) as variables. LTNPs and LTNP_NCs are clearly segregated from uninfected controls (A), while Regular_Naive individuals overlap partially on the 2D PCA plot (PC2 vs PC3). (B) The overall variance and 10 mostly contributing miRNAs in these two components. (C) PCA was done among 3 disease progressors classes. (D) The overall variance and 10 mostly contributing miRNAs in these two components. (E) LTNPs show substantially lower expression from Regular progressors, when average " $-\Delta$ Ct" values of two miRNAs (miR-382-5p and miR-155-5p) were plotted.

vitro study, previously³⁴. Up regulation of these miRNAs were shown to be anti-correlated with susceptibility of HIV infection in monocytes/macrophages³⁵. The results from our study (in the cohort) are in synchrony with previously reported *in vitro* findings. We speculate that this up regulation of anti-HIV miRNAs is a manifestation of host control mechanisms in order to repress the viral replication. Also, 3 miRNAs (miR-138-5p, miR-29a-3p, and miR-29b-3p) are down regulated in patient samples. Down regulation of anti-HIV miRNAs can be in favor of the host, if those miRNAs regulate HIV in an indirect fashion. MicroRNA-382-5p is shown to be enriched in primary CD4+ T lymphocytes, where it contributes to HIV-1 latency¹¹. We propose that individuals with lower miR-382-5p are better at clearing the virus infected reservoir, thus in turn repressing the viral replication. As this was not a longitudinal study, we cannot comment on the temporal changes in expression of miRNA during infection or their role in latency.

In summary, we report here an evaluation of previously reported anti-HIV miRNAs in a novel Indian cohort comprising HIV infected individuals with different rates of disease progression. Our results indicate that reduced expression of miR-382-5p and miR-155-5p may be critical in establishing slow progression.

References

- Haase, A. T. Early events in sexual transmission of HIV and SIV and opportunities for interventions. Annual review of medicine 62, 127–139, doi: 10.1146/annurev-med-080709-124959 (2011).
- 2. Lloyd, A. HIV infection and AIDS. Papua and New Guinea medical journal 39, 174-180 (1996).
- Aaron, L. et al. Tuberculosis in HIV-infected patients: a comprehensive review. Clinical microbiology and infection: the official publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases 10, 388–398, doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2004.00758.x (2004).
- 4. Liu, R. et al. Homozygous defect in HIV-1 coreceptor accounts for resistance of some multiply-exposed individuals to HIV-1 infection. Cell 86, 367–377 (1996).
- Holt, N. et al. Human hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells modified by zinc-finger nucleases targeted to CCR5 control HIV-1 in vivo. Nature biotechnology 28, 839–847, doi: 10.1038/nbt.1663 (2010).
- Allers, K. et al. Evidence for the cure of HIV infection by CCR5Delta32/Delta32 stem cell transplantation. Blood 117, 2791–2799, doi: 10.1182/blood-2010-09-309591 (2011).
- Okulicz, J. F. et al. Clinical outcomes of elite controllers, viremic controllers, and long-term nonprogressors in the US Department of Defense HIV natural history study. The Journal of infectious diseases 200, 1714–1723, doi: 10.1086/646609 (2009).
- 8. Deacon, N. J. *et al.* Genomic structure of an attenuated quasi species of HIV-1 from a blood transfusion donor and recipients. *Science* **270**, 988–991 (1995).
- Boden, D. et al. Enhanced gene silencing of HIV-1 specific siRNA using microRNA designed hairpins. Nucleic acids research 32, 1154–1158, doi: 10.1093/nar/gkh278 (2004).
- Hariharan, M., Scaria, V., Pillai, B. & Brahmachari, S. K. Targets for human encoded microRNAs in HIV genes. Biochemical and biophysical research communications 337, 1214–1218, doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2005.09.183 (2005).
- 11. Huang, J. et al. Cellular microRNAs contribute to HIV-1 latency in resting primary CD4+ T lymphocytes. Nature medicine 13, 1241–1247, doi: 10.1038/nm1639 (2007).
- Ahluwalia, J. K. et al. Human cellular microRNA hsa-miR-29a interferes with viral nef protein expression and HIV-1 replication. Retrovirology 5, 117, doi: 10.1186/1742-4690-5-117 (2008).
- Nathans, R. et al. Cellular microRNA and P bodies modulate host-HIV-1 interactions. Molecular cell 34, 696–709, doi: 10.1016/j. molcel.2009.06.003 (2009).
- 14. Houzet, L. *et al.* The extent of sequence complementarity correlates with the potency of cellular miRNA-mediated restriction of HIV-1. *Nucleic acids research* **40**, 11684–11696, doi: 10.1093/nar/gks912 (2012).
- Rossol, M. et al. Negative association of the chemokine receptor CCR5 d32 polymorphism with systemic inflammatory response, extra-articular symptoms and joint erosion in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis research & therapy 11, R91, doi: 10.1186/ar2733 (2009).
- 16. Bunce, M. PCR-sequence-specific primer typing of HLA class I and class II alleles. *Methods in molecular biology* **210**, 143–171 (2003).
- Poropatich, K. & Sullivan, D. J. Jr. Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 long-term non-progressors: the viral, genetic and immunological basis for disease non-progression. *The Journal of general virology* 92, 247–268, doi: 10.1099/vir.0.027102-0 (2011).
- Majumder, P. P. & Dey, B. Absence of the HIV-1 protective Delta ccr5 allele in most ethnic populations of India. *European journal of human genetics: EJHG* 9, 794–796, doi: 10.1038/sj.ejhg.5200705 (2001).
- 19. Pitchappan, R. M., Kavitha, V. J. & Jayalakshmi, M. HLA genomic diversity of India and its implications in HIV pandemic. Int J Hum Genet 8, 143–153 (2008).
- 20. Klase, Z., Houzet, L. & Jeang, K. T. MicroRNAs and HIV-1: complex interactions. *The Journal of biological chemistry* 287, 40884–40890, doi: 10.1074/jbc.R112.415448 (2012).
- Harris, R. S., Hultquist, J. F. & Evans, D. T. The restriction factors of human immunodeficiency virus. *The Journal of biological chemistry* 287, 40875–40883, doi: 10.1074/jbc.R112.416925 (2012).
- Deeks, S. G. HIV infection, inflammation, immunosenescence, and aging. Annual review of medicine 62, 141–155, doi: 10.1146/ annurev-med-042909-093756 (2011).
- Baldauf, H. M. et al. SAMHD1 restricts HIV-1 infection in resting CD4(+) T cells. Nature medicine 18, 1682–1687, doi: 10.1038/ nm.2964 (2012).
- Kloosterman, W. P. & Plasterk, R. H. The diverse functions of microRNAs in animal development and disease. *Developmental cell* 11, 441–450, doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2006.09.009 (2006).
- Piguet, V. et al. Mechanism of Nef-induced CD4 endocytosis: Nef connects CD4 with the mu chain of adaptor complexes. The EMBO journal 17, 2472–2481, doi: 10.1093/emboj/17.9.2472 (1998).
- Schwartz, O., Marechal, V., Le Gall, S., Lemonnier, F. & Heard, J. M. Endocytosis of major histocompatibility complex class I molecules is induced by the HIV-1 Nef protein. *Nature medicine* 2, 338–342 (1996).
- Houzet, L. *et al.* MicroRNA profile changes in human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) seropositive individuals. *Retrovirology* 5, 118, doi: 10.1186/1742-4690-5-118 (2008).
- Bignami, F. et al. Stable changes in CD4+ T lymphocyte miRNA expression after exposure to HIV-1. Blood 119, 6259–6267, doi: 10.1182/blood-2011-09-379503 (2012).
- Munshi, S. U., Panda, H., Holla, P., Rewari, B. B. & Jameel, S. MicroRNA-150 Is a Potential Biomarker of HIV/AIDS Disease Progression and Therapy. *Plos One* 9, doi: ARTN e9592010.1371/journal.pone.0095920 (2014).
- Egana-Gorrono, L. et al. Differential MicroRNA Expression Profile between Stimulated PBMCs from HIV-1 Infected Elite Controllers and Viremic Progressors. Plos One 9, doi: ARTN e10636010.1371/journal.pone.0106360 (2014).
- Duskova, K. et al. MicroRNA regulation and its effects on cellular transcriptome in Human Immunodeficiency Virus-1 (HIV-1) infected individuals with distinct viral load and CD4 cell counts. Bmc Infect Dis 13, doi: Artn 25010.1186/1471-2334-13-250 (2013).
- Witwer, K. W., Watson, A. K., Blankson, J. N. & Clements, J. E. Relationships of PBMC microRNA expression, plasma viral load, and CD4+ T-cell count in HIV-1-infected elite suppressors and viremic patients. *Retrovirology* 9, 5, doi: 10.1186/1742-4690-9-5 (2012).
- Reynoso, R. et al. MicroRNAs differentially present in the plasma of HIV elite controllers reduce HIV infection in vitro. Scientific reports 4, 5915, doi: 10.1038/srep05915 (2014).
- Sun, G. H. et al. Interplay between HIV-1 infection and host microRNAs. Nucleic acids research 40, 2181–2196, doi: 10.1093/nar/ gkr961 (2012).
- Wang, X. et al. Cellular microRNA expression correlates with susceptibility of monocytes/macrophages to HIV-1 infection. Blood 113, 671–674, doi: 10.1182/blood-2008-09-175000 (2009).
- 36. Whisnant, A. W. *et al.* In-depth analysis of the interaction of HIV-1 with cellular microRNA biogenesis and effector mechanisms. *mBio* **4**, e000193, doi: 10.1128/mBio.00193-13 (2013).

Acknowledgements

RD acknowledges the fellowship provided by CSIR-SRF. BP acknowledges the funding provided by CSIR project "Gencode-BSC0123".

Author Contributions

R.D., K.S. and V.K. performed the experiments. S.S., P.B., J.B., S.S.S. and S.S. enrolled the participants, collected and provided the PBMC samples. V.S., S.K.B. and B.P. conceptualized the project. R.D. and B.P. analyzed data and wrote the manuscript. All authors have reviewed and agreed to the final version of the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Dey, R. *et al.* Anti-HIV microRNA expression in a novel Indian cohort. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 28279; doi: 10.1038/srep28279 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/