

Power-Tool Use in Orthopaedic Surgery

Iatrogenic Injury, Its Detection, and Technological Advances: A Systematic Review

Matthew C.A. Arnold, MBChB, BSc(Hons), Sarah Zhao, MBBS, BSc(Hons), Ruben J. Doyle, MEng, PhD, Jonathan R.T. Jeffers, MEng, PhD, and Oliver R. Boughton, MBBS, BSc(Hons), MRCS, FHEA, PhD

Investigation performed at Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom

Background: Power tools are an integral part of orthopaedic surgery but have the capacity to cause iatrogenic injury. With this systematic review, we aimed to investigate the prevalence of iatrogenic injury due to the use of power tools in orthopaedic surgery and to discuss the current methods that can be used to reduce injury.

Methods: We performed a systematic review of English-language studies related to power tools and iatrogenic injuries using a keyword search in MEDLINE, Embase, PubMed, and Scopus databases. Exclusion criteria included injuries related to cast-saw use, temperature-induced damage, and complications not clearly related to power-tool use.

Results: A total of 3,694 abstracts were retrieved, and 88 studies were included in the final analysis. Few studies and individual case reports looked directly at the prevalence of injury due to power tools. These included 2 studies looking at the frequency of vascular injury during femoral fracture fixation (0.49% and 0.2%), 2 studies investigating the frequency of vertebral artery injury during spinal surgery (0.5% and 0.08%), and 4 studies investigating vascular injury during total joint arthroplasty (1 study involving 138 vascular injuries in 124 patients, 2 studies noting 0.13% and 0.1% incidence, and 1 questionnaire sent electronically to surgeons). There are multiple methods for preventing damage during power-tool use. These include the use of robotics and simulation, specific drill settings, and real-time feedback techniques such as spectroscopy and electromyography.

Conclusions: Power tools have the potential to cause iatrogenic injury to surrounding structures during orthopaedic surgery. Fortunately, the published literature suggests that the frequency of iatrogenic injury using orthopaedic power tools is low. There are multiple technologies available to reduce damage using power tools. In high-risk operations, the use of advanced technologies to reduce the chance of iatrogenic injury should be considered.

Level of Evidence: Therapeutic Level IV. See Instructions for Authors for a complete description of levels of evidence.

P ower-tool use has become integral to orthopaedic surgery by increasing precision and efficiency of bone drilling/ sawing in comparison to the use of manual hand tools¹. These instruments typically consist of a power source within a motorized handpiece, which can be operated at varying speeds and used with a range of burr, saw, blade, drill, and screwdriver tip attachments². Their clinical applications within orthopaedic surgery include enabling deeper access through bone material, debriding tissue, and preparing bone material for orthopaedic implants (such as during fracture fixation, which commonly requires the careful placement of drill holes)¹. However, despite the wide applications, power-tool use has its disadvantages. These include thermal necrosis³, breakage of drill bits⁴, damage to surrounding tissue structures, and overdrilling/oversawing^{5,6}.

Knowledge of these events would be useful not only to the surgeon operating the device but also to engineers aiming to develop improved and safer medical technology. In other specialties, we have seen demand for, and the development of, increasingly safety-focused tools, for example, tissue-selective piezoelectric drills in head and neck surgery and self-stopping drills in neurosurgery^{7,8}. Iatrogenic injuries in orthopaedics include vascular⁹, neurological¹⁰, and tendon¹¹ injuries, and they can have severe consequences; a previous study suggested that 7.3% of vascular injuries in total hip arthroplasty result in death¹². Several methods, such as robotics¹³, novel drill technology¹⁴, and real-time feedback mechanisms¹⁵, are being developed to reduce these injuries.

The aim of this systematic review was to estimate the prevalence of iatrogenic injuries due to power-tool use in

Disclosure: The Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest forms are provided with the online version of the article (http://links.lww.com/JBJSOA/A336).

Copyright © 2021 The Authors. Published by The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated. All rights reserved. This is an open access article distributed under the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0</u> (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

patients undergoing orthopaedic surgery and to discuss the current methods to reduce injury and thus improve patient safety.

Materials and Methods

Search and Information Sources

The methodology of this review is reported according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines¹⁶. A systematic review of published literature relating to power tools and iatrogenic injury was undertaken via MEDLINE, Embase, PubMed, and Scopus databases up to April 1, 2020. We used a combination of the search terms "overdrill," "oversaw," "tool," "injury," "danger," "safe," "damage," "overshot," "risk," "drill," "saw," "iatrogenic," "hospital acquired condition," "medical errors," "medical mistake," and "orthopaedic". The electronic database search was further supplemented by manual review of the references within key relevant studies.

Eligibility Criteria

Studies were included if they reported on an orthopaedic procedure that featured the use of a power tool. All study types were eligible, including case reports, animal research and simulation studies, cohort studies, and literature reviews. Included studies were either written in, or translated to, the English language.

Studies were excluded if they involved injuries related to cast-saw, arthroscopic trocar, diathermy, needle, scissors, and blade use. Studies relating to complications outside the scope of orthopaedic surgery, injuries not clearly related to intraoperative power-tool use, or injuries related to temperature-induced damage or infection were also excluded.

The 2 outcomes measured were the prevalence of iatrogenic injury related to power-tool use and the methods or safety mechanisms present to reduce iatrogenic injury.

The first 2 authors (M.C.A.A. and S.Z.) independently conducted the search, screened abstracts, and selected studies for review. Any discrepancies regarding article inclusion were resolved via discussion as recommended by the Cochrane Collaboration guidelines¹⁷.

Data Collection Process

Relevant data items were extracted from each included article and are presented in Table I. Items included were type of bone, type of iatrogenic injury, power tool used, type of operative procedure, and outcome/recommendation by the authors. The papers were then categorized according to prevalence of iatrogenic injuries, methods to reduce damage using power tools, methods to detect damage when using power tools, and recommendations for power-tool settings.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was not possible because of the heterogeneity of the study types and clinical data mostly coming from case series. Therefore, descriptive statistics were used where possible.

Source of Funding

This study was supported by a grant from the Wellcome Trust (208858/Z/17/Z). General laboratory funding was provided by the U.K. National Institute for Health Research, The Royal College of Surgeons of England, the Dunhill Medical Trust, and the Michael Uren Foundation.

Results

A total of 3,689 abstracts were retrieved via the electronic databases using the search criteria. Five abstracts were included from the manual reference search of relevant papers. Following duplicate removal and abstract screening, a total of 460 eligible full-text studies remained. After review of these full manuscripts, 88 papers were deemed to fit the inclusion criteria and were subsequently included in the systematic review. Figure 1 shows the study selection flow, according to the PRISMA guidelines¹⁶. Table I lists data collected from all selected studies, with relevant findings and recommendations.

Prevalence of Iatrogenic Injury Using Power Tools

There were few studies exploring the prevalence of iatrogenic injuries due to power tools. The majority looked at vascular injury, including 2 studies involving femoral fracture fixation^{6,18}, 2 involving spinal surgery^{19,20}, and 4 involving total joint arthroplasty^{12,21-23}. Two of these were systematic reviews that investigated proximal femoral fractures⁶ and total hip arthroplasty¹², with the majority being retrospective studies and 1 questionnaire sent to vascular surgeons²². In addition, there were multiple case reports of arterial, nervous, and ureteric injury due to screw placement and drill bit use²⁴⁻²⁹.

Novel Methods to Reduce Damage When Using Orthopaedic Power Tools

Nine papers involved robotic systems for use during knee arthroplasty¹³, femoral neck fractures^{30,31}, and spinal surgery^{32,33} or in conjunction with sawing³⁴ and in laboratory studies^{35,36,67}.

Six papers studied simulation³⁷⁻⁴², with the different types summarized effectively by Vanikipuram et al.⁴². These studies showed that simulation can successfully be used to reduce plunging depth in trainee surgeons^{38,39} and that computer-based simulation was found to provide effective and transferable skills for inexperienced surgeons^{40,41}.

Six papers investigated piezoelectric/vibrational drilling⁴³⁻⁴⁸, 2 studies looked at dual motor drilling^{14,49}, and 4 papers were on recommendations for drill bits^{5,50-52}. Two studies by the same authors investigated the Taguchi method and suggested a lower rotational speed of 1,000 rpm and feed rate of 50 mm/min with a twist drill to reduce surface roughness and improve drill-hole quality^{53,54}.

Six papers looked at imaging techniques in orthopaedic surgery. These include fluoroscopy⁵⁵, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)^{56,57}, fluoro-free navigation techniques⁵⁸, computer-assisted navigation, and 3D image-guided placement^{59,60}.

openaccess.jbjs.org

TABL	TABLE I Papers Included in Qualitative Synthesis*										
Year	Study	Type of Bone	Type of Study	Type of Injury	Tool Use	Operative Procedure	Recommendation or Outcome				
2012	Alajmo et al. ⁵	Artificial bone	Laboratory	NA	Synthes	Drilling	Blunt drill bits significantly worsen plunging compared with sharp drill bits				
2019	Alam et al. ⁷⁵	Bovine femur	Laboratory	Bone stress/ necrosis/cracks, drill breakage	Vibrational drilling	Drilling	The drilling force, torque, temperature, and cell loss could be minimized when the drill speed was maintained at 1,000 rpm, the feed rate at 30 mm/min, and the frequency at 20 kHz				
2015	Alshameeri et al. ¹²	Hip joint	Systematic review	Vascular	NA	Total hip arthroplasty	Femoral and external iliac arteries have the highest incidence of vascular injury				
2012	Aziz et al. ⁶⁷	Bovine femur	Laboratory	NA	CRS Catalyst-5 robot (Thermo CRS)	Drilling	The algorithm can be used to prevent any drill- bit breakage, unneces- sary drill-bit insertion, and any mechanical damage to the bone				
2010	Bail et al. ⁵⁶	Talus	Cadaveric	NA	3.4-mm titanium spiral drill bit	Drilling of osteochondral lesions	MRI appears to be a viable imaging technique				
2015	Barquet et al. ⁶	Femur	Systematic review	Vascular	NA	Internal fixation (varying types)	Incidence of morbidity and mortality of vascular injury from femoral fracture fixation was 11.44% and 6.62%, respectively				
2013	Boiadjiev et al. ³⁵	Bovine femur	Laboratory	NA	Passive navigation principle for orthopaedic interventions with MR fluoroscopy	Nonspecified drilling	Robotic use in surgery is still under development but could be a useful tool in the future				
2006	Bolger et al. ⁶¹	Porcine spine	Laboratory	Pedicle breach	Custom-made device	Pedicle drilling	Use of impedance measurement with drill tool allows real-time detection of pedicle perforation				
2007	Bolger et al. ⁶²	Spine	Clinical trial	Pedicle breach	PediGuard (SpineVision)	Pedicle-screw fixation	Electrical conductivity measurement may provide a simple, safe, and sensitive method of detecting pedicle breaches				
2019	Butler and Halter ⁶⁵	Swine femur	Laboratory	Not specified	OsseoSet 200 system (Nobel Biocare)	Drilling	The system can prevent iatrogenic injury associated with breaching the inferior alveolar nerve or maxillary sinus				

openaccess.jbjs.org

TABL	E I (continued)						
Year	Study	Type of Bone	Type of Study	Type of Injury	Tool Use	Operative Procedure	Recommendation or Outcome
2010	Butt et al. ⁷⁷	Knee joint	Review	Vascular	NA	Total knee arthroplasty	There are 4 mechanisms for arterial injury during total knee arthroplasty, 1 of which includes direct injury to the vessel with power tools
2013	Bydon et al. ⁴³	Spine	Retrospective case series	Durotomy	Ultrasonic bone curette and high- speed drill	Spinal decompression	The ultrasonic bone curette has a safety profile similar to that of the high-speed drill
2003	Calligaro et al. ²¹	Hip and knee joint	Retrospective case series	Vascular	NA	Hip and knee arthroplasty	There were acute arterial complications in 32 patients (0.13%)
2010	Cartiaux et al. ³⁴	Artificial bone	Laboratory	NA	Compact Air Drive II (Synthes)	NA	There was a significant increase in accuracy when a robotic device was used in conjunction with an oscillating saw
2012	Clement et al. ³⁷	Artificial bone	Laboratory	NA	Small fragment drill and air drill (Synthes)	NA	Experienced surgeons penetrated the far cortex by a mean of 6.33 mm
2003	Da Silva et al. ²²	Knee joint	Survey	Popliteal artery	NA	Total knee arthroplasty	Popliteal artery injury during total knee arthroplasty is primarily the result of direct trauma to the vessel
2014	Dai et al. ⁷⁶	Porcine spine	Laboratory	NA	Laser displacement sensor measuring vibration	Drilling	Minimizes radiation exposure and allows real-time feedback
2016	den Dunnen et al. ⁷⁸	Porcine talus and femur	Laboratory	NA	Custom-made water jet	Drilling	The most accurate results in drilling depth can be achieved by applying a nozzle of 0.4 mm, a pressure of 50 MPa, and jet times between 1 and 5 s.
2019	Di Martino et al. ⁶⁴	Cervical spine	Systematic review	Neurovascular injury	NA	Cervical spine decompression	Evoked-potential monitoring has a high sensitivity and specificity for detecting neural damage, but it is unclear which patients it is indicated for
2019	Duan et al. ³⁰	Femur	Prospective case series	NA	TiRobot system (TINAVI Medical Technologies)	Percutaneous cannulated screw fixation	Robot-assisted screw fixation allows accurate screw insertion, less invasion, and less radiation exposure
2019	Duperron et al. ¹⁵	Bovine femur	Laboratory	NA	Modified version of surgical hand-drill (CD4; Stryker U.S.A.)	Intramedullary nailing	Diffuse reflectance spectroscopy can be successfully integrated into a handheld drill

openaccess.jbjs.org

TABL	EI(continued)						
Year	Study	Type of Bone	Type of Study	Type of Injury	Tool Use	Operative Procedure	Recommendation or Outcome
1992	Elliott ¹	Tibia	Laboratory	NA	AO Small Air Drill (Straumann U.K.)	Dynamic hip screw	Drills do not increase risk of damage and increase operative time by 30 s per screw
2011	Flannery et al. ⁵⁰	Tibia	Cadaveric	Plunging and nerve overwrapping	Handheld cordless drill (Standard Stryker drill)	Screw placement	Structures are at higher risk when using a threaded pin versus a smooth pin
2018	Franzini et al. ⁴⁴	Spine	Case series	Spinal root, dura mater, venous plexus spinal cord injury	Mectron piezosurgery device (Mectron Medical Technology)	Laminoplasty	Piezoelectric device has good safety and precision profile
2018	Gilmer and Lang ¹⁴	Artificial bone	Laboratory	Fracture	Custom made dual motor drill	Screw placement	Measurement of drilling energy allowed for calculation of bone density, which correlated very strongly with the known density
2010	Gras et al. ⁵⁵	Pelvis	Radiographic	Prevesical hematoma	2D fluoroscopic navigation	Screw placement	Provides high accuracy of screw placement, but for bilateral iliosacral screw fixation, 3D fluoroscopy is preferred
2011	Gras et al. ⁵⁸	Knee and talus	Case series	NA	Optoelectronic system for navigation of the drill and target reference pointer	Drilling	Increase drilling precision and reduce radiation exposure by reducing use of fluoroscopy
2004	Grauer et al. ⁷⁹	Spine	Cadaveric	Neurological injury	SafePath cannulation device	Pedicle-screw insertion	May be better for pedicle-screw inser- tion cannulation in lumbar spine com- pared with standard techniques
2019	Hampp et al. ¹³	Knee	Cadaveric	Soft-tissue injury	The RATKA (robotic arm-assisted total knee arthroplasty) system (Mako Sur- gical Corp. [Stryker])	Total knee arthroplasty	Robotic-assisted total knee arthroplasty may reduce soft-tissue injury, particularly the posterior cruciate ligament
2020	Herregodts et al. ⁷²	Knee	Cadaveric	Soft-tissue injury	Dyonics power oscillating saw (Smith & Nephew)	Total knee arthroplasty	The oscillating saw significantly passes the edge of the bone during tibial resection in total knee arthroplasty
2019	Itoh et al. ²⁴	Tibia	Case report/ cadaveric study	Deep peroneal nerve injury	Not specified	Medial open-wedge high tibial osteotomy	Deep peroneal nerve has a risk of injury during distal locking- screw placement in this procedure
							continued

openaccess.jbjs.org

TABL	E I (continued)						
Year	Study	Type of Bone	Type of Study	Type of Injury	Tool Use	Operative Procedure	Recommendation or Outcome
1993	Jackson et al. ²⁵	Femur	Case report	Popliteal artery, tibial/common peroneal nerves	Not specified	Posterior cruciate ligament reconstruction	Square-shouldered drill bit causes higher risk of neurovascular injury as guide pins are used in more distal anatomical insertion
2013	James et al. ⁸⁰	Bovine femur	Laboratory	NA	Saw blades (KM- 458, Brasseler U.S.A.)	Sawing	Thrust force will always be greater than cutting force for the range of velocities and depths of cut investigated
2016	Jiang et al. ⁸¹	Spine	Case series	Spinal canal entry	Not specified	Atlantoaxial pedicle- screw fixation	Use of novel drill guide template for atlantoaxial pedicle-screw placement is feasible and has high accuracy
2000	Jingushi et al. ⁸²	Femur	Case series	Perforation and femoral fracture	High-powered drill with variable-sized metal donut attached 3 cm proximal to drill tip end	Removal of femoral cement	Use of high-powered drill equipped with central- izer to remove the distal cement during hip revi- sion arthroplasty can lessen the incidence of femoral perforation
2017	Kamara et al. ⁸³	llium, femur, tibia	Retrospective cohort study	Infection, neurapraxia, suture abscess	Not specified	Hip and knee arthroplasty	Pins required for navigation-assisted arthroplasty have a low complication rate; how- ever, transcortical/juxta- cortical drilling is a possible risk factor for pin-site infection
2019	Kazum et al. ³⁸	Synthetic femur model	Prospective observational study	NA	Power drill, 2.7-mm drill bit (Synthes)	Drilling	Training surgeons on a reproducible and reliable drilling simulator can reduce plunging distance
2009	Khokhotva et al. ⁸⁴	Lamb femur	Laboratory	Plunging	Nitrogen-powered surgical drill AO Drill Reamer; Hall Series 4, Model 5067 (Zimmer)	Drilling	Feedback related to plunging does not improve results
2016	Kim et al. ²⁶	Tibia	Case report	Anterior tibial artery	Not specified	Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction	Drilling for tibial bicortical fixation during ACL reconstruction can directly injure the anterior tibial artery
2016	Kim et al. ⁸⁵	Femur	Laboratory	Screw malposition	Antegrade Femoral Nail (Synthes)	Intramedullary nailing	Targeting-device malalignment can occur when placing the proximal reconstruction screws in a reconstruction nailing system
							continued

openaccess.jbjs.org

TABL	E I (continued)						
Year	Study	Type of Bone	Type of Study	Type of Injury	Tool Use	Operative Procedure	Recommendation or Outcome
2003	König et al. ⁴⁵	llium, femur, tibia	Case series	NA	Piezoelectric MRI drilling machine (MRI Devices Daum)	Transcortical bone biopsy	Piezoelectric drill is a safe method for transcortical bone biopsy
2003	Kotani et al. ⁵⁹	Spine	Case series	Pedicle wall/anterior vertebral-body-wall perforation	Not specified	Screw insertion	Computer-navigation system can reduce complications related to pedicle-screw insertion
2012	Larson et al. ⁶⁰	Spine	Retrospective study	NA	Not specified	Screw insertion	Navigation increases accuracy for spinal instrumentation in congenital spine deformity
2019	Lee et al. ¹⁹	Cervical spine	Retrospective case series	Vertebral artery injury	Not specified	Cervical spine surgery	Overall incidence of vertebral artery injury was 0.08%. C1-2 poste- rior fixation had the highest incidence (1.35%)
2019	Liebmann et al. ⁸⁶	Artificial bone	Laboratory	Neurovascular injury	NA	Pedicle-screw placement	Precise pedicle-screw insertion can be achieved using this method on synthetic bone
2017	Mahylis et al. ¹¹	Upper limb	Cadaveric	Extensor tendon injury	Continuous or oscillating drill modes	Drilling	Complete extensor tendon failure due to drill-penetration injury is rare
2019	Massimi et al. ⁴⁶	Cranium	Retrospective case series	Dural tears	Piezosurgery (Mectron)	Craniotomy/ laminotomy	Piezosurgery is a safe and effective alternative to traditional drilling systems
1998	Moed et al. ⁶³	Pelvis	Case series	Neural injury	2.8-mm drill bit (Synthes) and a 3.2-mm drill bit (Howmedica)	lliosacral screw fixation of pelvic ring fractures	Electromyography has the potential to reduce neural injury during placement of iliosacral screws
2019	Naik et al. ⁴⁷	Orbit	Case series	Infraorbital nerves and vessels	Synthes Piezoelectric System	Orbital floor decompression	Significantly lower chances of infraorbital nerve hypoesthesia when piezoelectric surgery was used
2019	Nam et al. ⁸⁷	Spine	Case report	Sacroiliac joint syndrome	Sextant system (Medtronic)	Pedicle-screw insertion	When using the Sextant system, surgeons must be aware of iatrogenic sacroiliac joint syndrome
2019	Neubauer et al. ⁸⁸	Femur	Cadaveric	Deep/superficial femoral artery	4-hole DHS system (DePuy Synthes)	Dynamic hip-screw insertion	Deep femoral artery is more at risk than superficial femoral artery with insertion of dynamic hip screw
							continued

openaccess.jbjs.org

T/	ABL	E I (continued)						
Ye	ear	Study	Type of Bone	Type of Study	Type of Injury	Tool Use	Operative Procedure	Recommendation or Outcome
20	013	Pandey and Panda ⁸⁹	Multiple	Systematic review	Many	Many	Review	Guidelines for bone drilling include high- speed drill with larger force, supply of coolant, high drill rake angle, use of split point, quick helix, 2-phase drill bit, and large point angle
20	014	Pandey and Panda ⁶⁸	Bovine femur	Laboratory	NA	MTAB Flexmill	Drilling	The best combination of bone drilling parameters for minimum thrust force is 30 mm/min of feed rate and 1,805 rpm of spindle speed
20	008	Parvizi et al. ²³	Hip and knee joints	Retrospective case series	Vascular	NA	Total knee and hip arthroplasty	0.1% (n = 16) of patients were found to have a vascular injury after total hip/knee arthroplasty, with 6 cases attributed to direct arterial injury
20	010	Podnar ⁹⁰	Spine	Retrospective case series	Cauda equina damage	NA	Lumbar spinal surgery	Lumbar spinal surgery causes a low number of lesions to the cauda equina
20	001	Prabhu et al. ²⁷	Spine	Case report	Vertebral artery pseudoaneurysm	Not specified	Screw fixation	Techniques include immediate removal of the drill, packing with hemostatic agents, angiography, early anticoagulation, and coil embolization with parent vessel occlusion 4 weeks after injury
20	020	Puangmali et al. ⁹¹	Nonspecific porcine bone	Laboratory	Not specified	Novel drill device	Drilling	This technique can prevent overdrilling and reduce tissue damage
20	010	Qin et al. ⁹²	Clavicle	Radiographic	Neurovascular bundle damage	NA	Drilling	This study suggests safe zone and optimal drilling depths/angles during internal fixation of clavicular fractures
20	017	Ruder et al. ³⁹	Generic synthetic bone model	Laboratory	Plunging	Not specified	Drilling	There is a reduction in plunging depth with use of a low-cost training model
20	004	Safar et al. ²⁸	Lower limb	Case series	Pseudoaneurysm of axillary artery, popliteal and anterior tibial artery injury, posterior tibial nerve injury, popliteal artery, popliteal vein, tibial nerve	Not specified	Orthopaedic screw placement	This study suggests that the patient should immediately be referred to a vascular surgeon if a high index of suspicion for arterial injury
								continued

openaccess.jbjs.org

IADL							
Year	Study	Type of Bone	Type of Study	Type of Injury	Tool Use	Operative Procedure	Recommendation or Outcome
2014	Schatlo et al. ³²	Spine	Retrospective case series	Neurological injury	SpineAssist (Mazor)	Pedicle screw insertion	Robotic pedicle-screw placement is safe, but there are technical diffi- culties and, hence, fluo- roscopy should also be used
2010	Seebauer et al. ⁵⁷	Femur	Cadaveric	NA	MRI-compatible drill and a 3.4-mm titanium drill bit (Invivo)	Osteochondral defect repairs	MRI-assisted navigation method with a passive- navigation device is potentially applicable in the treatment of os- teochondral lesions of the knee
2017	Segal et al. ¹⁸	Femur	Retrospective case series	Vascular	Multiple	Internal fixation of intertrochanteric fracture (intermedullary nail or dynamic hip screw)	The rate of iatrogenic vascular injury occurring in internal fixation of intertrochanteric femoral fractures was 0.2% in this study
2019	Shepard et al. ⁹³	Spine	Cadaveric	Pedicle injury	IntelliSense drill (McGinley Orthopedics)	Pedicle screw insertion	This computerized drill is comparable with a freehand technique at a junior and senior level
2018	Shim et al. ³⁶	Swine femur	Laboratory	NA	Custom-made robotic system	Nonspecific drilling	The rolling friction mechanism allows immediate drill-tip detachment and enables the robot to have a compact structure
2013	Shin et al. ²⁹	Pelvis	Case report	Ureter injury	Not specified	Internal fixation of multiple pelvic fractures	When operating on the pelvis, it is important to understand anatomy of the ureter and surrounding structures
2018	Shu et al. ⁴⁸	Bovine femur	Laboratory	Bone necrosis	Novel elliptical vibration-assisted orthopaedic oscil- lating saw	Osteotomy	Elliptical vibration saw may reduce cutting forces during sawing
2017	Singh et al. ⁵³	Bovine femur	Laboratory	NA	A twist drill	Nonspecific drilling	Lower rotational speed and low feed rate with twist drill provides optimum force and surface roughness
2016	Singh et al. ⁵⁴	Bovine femur	Laboratory	NA	CNC vertical milling machine	Nonspecific drilling	Optimal result can be achieved with lower rotational speed (1,000 rpm) and low feed rate (50 mm/ min) with twist drill
1993	Smith et al. ²⁰	Spine	Retrospective case series	Vertebral artery injury	Air drill responsible for most injuries	Vertebral body resection	Provided recommended operative procedure technique
							continued

openaccess.jbjs.org

TABL	E I (continued)						
Year	Study	Type of Bone	Type of Study	Type of Injury	Tool Use	Operative Procedure	Recommendation or Outcome
2014	Soriano et al. ⁵¹	Bovine femur	Laboratory	Thermal damage	Multiple	Drilling	A drill bit with 18° rake angle and 0.1-mm margin width reduced temperatures by 50% as well as feed forces and cutting torque by 60% and 50%, respectively
2017	Staats et al. ⁶⁹	Multiple sites	Retrospective case series	Nil	Not specified	Tumor resection	Computer-navigated surgery offers a safe tool for resection of musculoskeletal tumors
2017	Stillwell et al. ⁹⁴	Clavicle	Cadaveric	Injury to brachial plexus, pseudoaneurysm, arteriovenous fistula, subclavian vein injury	Standard Stryker drill	Plunge depths	Plunging depths were greater for inexperienced surgeons. Medial clavicle most at risk for damage to neurovascular structures
2017	Stranix et al. ⁴⁰	Pelvis	Radiographic	lliac crest bone graft harvest	Simulated drilling	Pelvic visceral injury	Acumed drill-assisted iliac crest bone-graft harvest is a safe tech- nique for obtaining can- cellous bone
2019	Sui and Sugita ⁹⁵	Bovine femur	Laboratory	Not specified	OKK VM4-2 Machining Center	Drilling	Drilling forces are affected by bone type
2018	Sui and Sugita ⁵²	Bovine femur	Laboratory	Not specified	OKK VM4-2 Machining Center	Drilling	Optimized drill bits can reduce drilling forces and temperature rise
2016	Synek et al. ⁹⁶	Frozen cadaveric radius	Laboratory	Extensor tendon irritation	Not specified	Drilling/screw placement	Self-drilling locking screws can help eliminate overdrilling and distal screw protrusion during fixation of distal radial fractures
2009	Tonetti et al. ⁴¹	Model spine	Laboratory	Not specified	Simulator	Simulation: percutaneous sacroiliac joint screw fixation	Useful simulation for familiarizing surgeons with 2D fluoroscopic guidance in a 3D operating environment
2020	Torun and Pazarci ⁶⁶	Artificial bone	Laboratory	Not specified	TRMAX-RTM134 with a MAIER HSS 3.5-mm-diameter and 70-mm-length drill bit	Drilling	This technique could be integrated with the use of conventional drills with minimum configuration changes and allow increased safety when drilling
2017	Tsai et al. ⁹⁷	Femur	Cadaveric	Subtrochanteric femoral fracture	Not specified	Drilling	Drilling inferior to the lesser trochanter does not cause an increased chance of fracture compared with drilling at the level of lesser trochanter
1							continued

TABLE I (continued)

openaccess.jbjs.org

11

Year	Study	Type of Bone	Type of Study	Type of Injury	Tool Use	Operative Procedure	Recommendation or Outcome
2017	Tsai et al. ³³	Spine	Retrospective case series	Not specified	Renaissance Robot-Guided Sys- tem (Mazor Robotics)	Transpedicle screw placement	Preoperative planning, mounting, registration, execution, and robot assembly may affect the accuracy of pedicle screw placement
2010	Vankipuram et al. ⁴²	Virtual bone	Laboratory	Not specified	Synthes surgical drill	Drilling	Realistic basic training simulator – visohaptic interaction provides feedback on surgical proficiency
2012	Voormolen et al. ⁹⁸	Synthetic material	Laboratory	Temporal bone critical structures	Stealth Treon navigation machine (Medtronic)	Temporal bone drilling	Intraoperative feedback reduces risks of damage to important structures compared with using a standard neuronavigation interface
2019	Wallace et al. ⁴⁹	Artificial bone	Laboratory	NA	DeWALT DW1908B	Drilling	Dual motor drill significantly decreases plunge depth regardless of the user's level of experience
2013	Wetzel et al. ⁷⁴	Knee	Cadaveric	Not specified	Oscillating hip vs. tip saw blade	Total knee arthroplasty	No significant difference between oscillating hip and tip saw blades
2019	Wu et al. ³¹	NA	Guidance article	NA	TiRobot system for orthopaedic surgery (TINAVI Medical Technologies)	Femoral neck fracture	Robot-assisted orthopaedic surgery provides a less invasive treatment method and reduces radiation exposure
2008	Yau and Chiu ⁹⁹	Tibia and femur	Retrospective case series	NA	Passive optical imageless computer navigation system (Brainlab)	Total knee arthroplasty	The average error in the sagittal plane was higher than that in the coronal plane
2013	Yang et al. ¹⁰⁰	Femur	Case report	Femoral fracture	Not specified	Intramedullary nailing	Fluoroscopic imaging should be used to check the fracture line before converting to reconstructive nailing
*NA =	not applicable.						

Methods for Detecting Damage When Using Orthopaedic Power Tools

Numerous papers investigated novel technology to detect damage during orthopaedic surgery. This includes spectroscopy¹⁵, electrical conductivity devices^{61,62}, electromyog-raphy⁶³, stimulus-evoked potential⁶⁴, bioimpedance drills⁶⁵, and acoustic emission-signal analysis⁶⁶.

Recommended Settings for Power-Tool Use

Aziz et al. developed an algorithm that detects excessive force and breakthrough of the drill bit during bone drilling, whereby the drill will halt and return to a safe position once the algorithm is triggered⁶⁷. Pandey and Panda calculated the point at which a drill had broken through bone. They found that the best combination of bone-drilling parameters for minimum

12



Fig. 1

A flow diagram showing the article selection process.

thrust force is 30 mm/min of feed rate and 1,805 rpm of spindle speed⁶⁸.

Discussion

This systematic review aimed to determine the prevalence of iatrogenic orthopaedic injuries related to intraoperative power-tool use in the literature and current methods available for reducing the occurrence of these injuries. A total of 88 studies were retrieved and analyzed to help answer these questions, although a wide range of orthopaedic procedures were included. Where possible, we have given recommen-

dations to reduce injury on the basis of the studies. However, our intention was to gain appreciation of the breadth of reported iatrogenic injuries in the literature; providing specific recommendations for each procedure is outside the scope of this review.

Prevalence of Iatrogenic Injury

Overall, there were few papers that specifically explored the prevalence of iatrogenic power-tool injuries in orthopaedic surgery. Where reported, types of iatrogenic injuries included vascular, nervous, and ureteral injury.

The benefits of robotic systems include increased safety and a reduced rate of iatrogenic injuries^{13,70,71}.

Oscillating saws have the potential to cause soft-tissue damage during total knee arthroplasty⁷², and Cartiaux et al. showed that using robotic navigation in conjunction with these tools has the potential to significantly decrease iatrogenic injury compared with freehand techniques³⁴.

Another study looked at robotic-assisted cervical transpedicular screw placement, finding that it achieved 98.8% accuracy in Kirschner wire placement and improved functional outcomes compared with non-robotic-guided placement³³. Another study of robotic-assisted pedicle screw placement also found increased accuracy in spinal surgery when compared with fluoroscopy-guided techniques³².

Shim et al. tested a compact robotic drill prototype using an automated "rolling friction mechanism," which allowed safe removal of the drill tip in an emergency while not compromising the speed and accuracy of the drill³⁶.

Piezoelectric surgery uses high-frequency ultrasonic vibrations to cut bone tissue73. When compared with conventional drilling, vibrational drilling aims to reduce force, torque, and thermal damage to bone. This is thought to be possible because of the increased precision and reduced bleeding due to a "microcoagulation" effect⁴⁴. For instance, it has been demonstrated that an elliptical vibration-assisted oscillating saw can minimize required cutting force⁴⁸ and also reduce risk of soft-tissue injury⁷⁴. This technique can be applied safely in a low-field MRI environment and is a valuable method to facilitate transcortical bone biopsy45, but there is minimal comparison of this and traditional methods in the literature. In contrast, another study evaluated the use of ultrasonic bone curette compared with a high-speed drill in spinal surgery. Both groups experienced dural tears, and this study concluded that one method was not significantly better than the other43. The suggested optimal settings for vibrational drilling were noted in 1 study to be a drill speed of 1,000 rpm with a frequency of 20 kHz⁷⁵.

Methods of Detecting Damage When Using Orthopaedic Power Tools

To minimize iatrogenic injury, it is important to easily and rapidly identify when injury occurs intraoperatively. One novel example includes the use of a spectroscopy device integrated into a power drill to detect the bone-tissue boundary when drilling holes for intramedullary nailing¹⁵. This helps to reduce breaching of the periosteum and unintentional soft-tissue injury.

The use of methods providing real-time feedback is increasing. Bolger et al. used an electrical conductivity device to detect iatrogenic spinal pedicle perforation⁶¹. In 1 multicenter study, it demonstrated a sensitivity of >98% in the detection of breaches, 52% more when compared with the surgeon alone⁶². Similarly, a systematic review of intraoperative somatosensoryevoked potential and transcranial motor-evoked potential methods in cervical spine surgery showed a high sensitivity/ specificity for both (22% to 100%/100%, and 78% to 100%/ 100%, respectively)⁶⁴. Another study used stimulus-evoked

One systematic review from 2015 looked at vascular injuries that occurred during internal fixation of proximal femoral fractures⁶. The authors estimated the incidence of these injuries to be 0.49%. They showed that, of 182 cases of injury identified, 175 were reported as iatrogenic injuries, mostly in the extra-pelvic vessels and specifically the profunda femoris artery. Interestingly, from their analysis, at least 28 of these cases had a confirmed mechanism of injury involving a drill bit. The authors make several recommendations related to powertool use during internal fixation of proximal femoral fractures. These include encouraging the use of powered instruments under image-intensifier guidance, maintaining the leg in neutral with reduced traction, and keeping the drill bit sharp⁶.

Another systematic review from 2015 investigated the incidence of vascular injury during total hip arthroplasty¹². The authors described 138 vascular injuries in 124 patients, mostly affecting the common femoral artery (23%) and with the most prevalent mechanism being laceration. However, there was no association between the type of blood vessel injured and surgical approach. The main contributing factors appeared to be aggressive medial retractor placement and injury from screw fixation of the acetabular component. Although not explored in depth during this review, it is important to recognize that the surgeon's (and assistant's) knowledge of anatomy and correct retractor placement is vital to reducing the chance of iatrogenic injury. Other retrospective studies looking at arterial injury in total hip/knee arthroplasty found an incidence of 0.13%²¹ and $0.1\%^{23}$, both noting direct laceration as a cause. In addition, a survey sent to vascular surgeons in the U.S. demonstrated 19 instances of popliteal artery injury during total knee arthroplasty (12 cases of which were due to direct injury). However, the response rate was low, with only 13 replies from 190 survey recipients, so underreporting is extremely likely²².

Smith et al. conducted a retrospective review of 10 cervical decompression procedures performed by 9 spinal surgeons²⁰. They found that the incidence of iatrogenic injury to the vertebral artery was 0.5%, with all cases related to intraoperative motorized power-tool instrumentation. Four of these patients also suffered postoperative neurological deficit, which occurred as a direct result of the arterial injury. The authors give recommendations for avoiding injury, such as dissecting the bone/disc material as close to the midline as possible or using imaging to determine vertebral artery position and artery proximity to the lesion²⁰.

A retrospective multicenter study looking at iatrogenic injury to the vertebral artery demonstrated an overall incidence of 0.08%, with C1-2 posterior fixation having the highest incidence (1.35%). This study involved 15,582 surgeries in 21 centers, and 77% of the cases showed no permanent neurological deficit¹⁹.

Novel Methods for Reducing Damage When Using Orthopaedic Power Tools

The range of robotic systems in surgery is increasing, with numerous systems developed in the last decade to overcome the inaccuracy of manually navigating orthopaedic tools^{35,69}.

electromyography to detect proximity to neural structures during iliosacral screw placement. Four of 51 screws were redirected as a consequence of the technique, and all patients had normal neurological status postoperatively⁶³. Other novel methods include the use of a bioimpedance-sensing drill to successfully differentiate between cortical and cancellous bone⁶⁵ and acoustic-emission signal analysis, which is based on the principle that different bone types will produce varying sound signals when being drilled⁶⁶.

Recommendations for Power-Tool Settings

With such a wide range of equipment, imaging modalities, and device settings available, there can be much heterogeneity in tools and settings used during orthopaedic procedures. Several papers have specific recommendations for power-tool equipment settings to help reduce the risk of iatrogenic injury.

With regard to drill bits, several papers agree that blunt drill bits cause higher damage to bone than sharp bits^{51,52}, with 1 study demonstrating significant differences in plunging depth when sharp or blunt drill bits are used⁵. Smooth pins have also been shown to reduce the risk of overdrilling compared with threaded pins and can reduce iatrogenic injury in the form of tissue entanglement⁵⁰.

Two studies looked at dual motor drilling. Unlike use of a conventional drill, this involves a second motor that retracts an attached sleeve at a set rate, accurately advancing the drill bit, and measures the drill bit's energy expenditure and the distance drilled, which is continuously communicated to the surgeon. Gilmer and Lang¹⁴ looked at a dual motor drill for real-time measurement of torque, depth, and bone density. They found that this tool could accurately determine these parameters and, thus, give indications of screw pull-out force and cortex boundaries to prevent screw stripping and overpenetration. The second study showed a mean plunging distance of <1 mm using a dual

motor drill and found that there was no difference between novice and experienced surgeons using this technique⁴⁹. It should be noted, however, that both were preliminary studies involving artificial bone specimens and the clinical applicability of the tool would need to be validated in vivo. Other methods in the literature on detecting real-time feedback of bone conditions included the use of laser displacement sensors in a laboratory study⁷⁶.

Conclusions

Although iatrogenic injury in orthopaedic surgery has been described in the literature, it likely is vastly underreported. Despite this, it is important not to overlook the role of power tools in contributing to patient harm and techniques for reducing injury. Such methods should be considered in terms of equipment factors (e.g., drill speed, intraoperative imaging, use of robotic guidance), patient factors (e.g., anatomical variance, safe zones), and surgical factors (e.g., tools to increase haptic feedback, simulation training, and knowledge of critical anatomy).

Note: The authors thank Rebecca Jones (Charing Cross Hospital Library, Imperial College London) and Thunayan Aldalmani for their help with the literature search.

Matthew C.A. Arnold, MBChB, BSc(Hons)¹ Sarah Zhao, MBBS, BSc(Hons)¹ Ruben J. Doyle, MEng, PhD² Jonathan R.T. Jeffers, MEng, PhD² Oliver R. Boughton, MBBS, BSc(Hons), MRCS, FHEA, PhD¹

¹The MSk Lab, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom

²Department of Mechanical Engineering, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom

Email for corresponding author: matthew.arnold@doctors.org.uk

References

1. Elliott D. The use of power tools in the insertion of cortical bone screws. Injury. 1992;23(7):451-2.

- 2. Deshpande A, Smith GWG, Smith AJ. Biofouling of surgical power tools during routine use. J Hosp Infect. 2015 Jul;90(3):179-85. Epub 2015 Apr 9.
- 3. Timon C, Keady C. Thermal Osteonecrosis Caused by Bone Drilling in Orthopedic Surgery: A Literature Review. Cureus. 2019 Jul 24;11(7):e5226.
- 4. Pichler W, Mazzurana P, Clement H, Grechenig S, Mauschitz R, Grechenig W. Frequency of instrument breakage during orthopaedic procedures and its effects on patients. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2008 Dec;90(12):2652-4.
- 5. Alajmo G, Schlegel U, Gueorguiev B, Matthys R, Gautier E. Plunging when drilling: effect of using blunt drill bits. J Orthop Trauma. 2012 Aug;26(8):482-7.

6. Barquet A, Gelink A, Giannoudis PV. Proximal femoral fractures and vascular injuries in adults: Incidence, aetiology and outcomes. Injury. 2015 Dec;46(12): 2297-313. Epub 2015 Oct 19.

7. Loschak PM, Xiao K, Pei H, Kesner SB, Thomas AJ, Walsh CJ. Assured safety drill with bi-stable bit retraction mechanism. In: Proceedings of the ASME 2013 International Design Engineering Technical Conferences and Computers and Information in Engineering Conference; 2013 Aug 4-7. Vol 6A, 37th Mechanisms and Robotics Conference. American Society of Mechanical Engineers; 2013.

8. Aly LAA. Piezoelectric surgery: Applications in oral & maxillofacial surgery. Futur Dent J. 2018 Dec;4(2):105-11.

9. Hernigou J, Chahidi E, Kashi M, Moest E, Dakhil B, Hayek G, Callewier A, Schuind F, Bath O. Risk of vascular injury when screw drilling for tibial tuberosity transfer. Int Orthop. 2018 May;42(5):1165-74. Epub 2017 Jul 9.

10. Leschinger T, Hackl M, Buess E, Lappen S, Scaal M, Müller LP, Wegmann K. The risk of suprascapular and axillary nerve injury in reverse total shoulder arthroplasty: An anatomic study. Injury. 2017 Oct;48(10):2042-9. Epub 2017 Jun 28.

11. Mahylis JM, Burwell AK, Bonneau L, Marshall LM, Mirarchi AJ. Drill Penetration Injury to Extensor Tendons: A Biomechanical Analysis. Hand (N Y). 2017 May;12(3): 301-6. Epub 2016 Sep 20.

12. Alshameeri Z, Bajekal R, Varty K, Khanduja V. latrogenic vascular injuries during arthroplasty of the hip. Bone Joint J. 2015 Nov;97-B(11):1447-55.

13. Hampp EL, Sodhi N, Scholl L, Deren ME, Yenna Z, Westrich G, Mont MA. Less iatrogenic soft-tissue damage utilizing robotic-assisted total knee arthroplasty when compared with a manual approach: A blinded assessment. Bone Joint Res. 2019 Nov 2;8(10):495-501.

14. Gilmer BB, Lang SD. Dual Motor Drill Continuously Measures Drilling Energy to Calculate Bone Density and Screw Pull-out Force in Real Time. J Am Acad Orthop Surg Glob Res Rev. 2018 Sep 25;2(9):e053.

15. Duperron M, Grygoryev K, Nunan G, Eason C, Gunther J, Burke R, Manley K, O'brien P. Diffuse reflectance spectroscopy-enhanced drill for bone boundary detection. Biomed Opt Express. 2019 Jan 30;10(2):961-77.

16. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG; PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. BMJ. 2009 Jul 21;339(7716):b2535.

17. Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, Welch VA. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 6.0 (updated July 2019). Cochrane; 2019. [Ebook]. www.training.cochrane.org/handbook

openaccess.jbjs.org

18. Segal D, Yaacobi E, Marom N, Feldman V, Aliev E, Palmanovich E, Bartal G, Brin YS. The incidence of life threatening iatrogenic vessel injury following closed or open reduction and internal fixation of intertrochanteric femoral factures. Int Orthop. 2017 Sep;41(9):1845-50. Epub 2017 Jul 1.

19. Lee CH, Hong JT, Kang DH, Kim KJ, Kim SW, Kim SW, Kim YJ, Chung CK, Shin JJ, Oh JK, Yi S, Lee JK, Lee JH, Lee HJ, Chun HJ, Cho DC, Jin YJ, Choi KC, Han IH, Hyun SJ, Hur JW, Song GS. Epidemiology of latrogenic Vertebral Artery Injury in Cervical Spine Surgery: 21 Multicenter Studies. World Neurosurg. 2019 Jun;126:e1050-4.

Spine Surgery, 21 Multicenter Studies, world Neurosurg, 2019 Jul, 120.2010-04.
 Epub 2019 Mar 13.
 Smith MD, Emery SE, Dudley A, Murray KJ, Leventhal M. Vertebral artery injury

during anterior decompression of the cervical spine. A retrospective review of ten patients. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1993 May;75(3):410-5.

21. Calligaro KD, Dougherty MJ, Ryan S, Booth RE. Acute arterial complications associated with total hip and knee arthroplasty. J Vasc Surg. 2003 Dec;38(6):1170-7.

22. Da Silva MS, Sobel M; Surgeons of the Southern Association of Vascular Surgery. Popliteal vascular injury during total knee arthroplasty. J Surg Res. 2003 Feb; 109(2):170-4.

23. Parvizi J, Pulido L, Slenker N, Macgibeny M, Purtill JJ, Rothman RH. Vascular injuries after total joint arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty. 2008 Dec;23(8):1115-21. Epub 2008 Aug 3.

24. Itoh M, Itou J, Kuwashima U, Fujieda H, Okazaki K. Deep peroneal nerve injury during plate fixation for medial open-wedge high tibial osteotomy: A case report and cadaveric study. Clin Case Rep. 2019 Oct 9;7(11):2225-30.

25. Jackson DW, Proctor CS, Simon TM. Arthroscopic assisted PCL reconstruction: a technical note on potential neurovascular injury related to drill bit configuration. Arthroscopy. 1993;9(2):224-7.

26. Kim SB, Lim JW, Seo JG, Ha JK. Injury to the Anterior Tibial Artery during Bicortical Tibial Drilling in Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction. Clin Orthop Surg. 2016 Mar;8(1):110-4. Epub 2016 Feb 13.

27. Prabhu VC, France JC, Voelker JL, Zoarski GH. Vertebral artery pseudoaneurysm complicating posterior C1-2 transarticular screw fixation: case report. Surg Neurol. 2001 Jan;55(1):29-33, discussion :33-4.

28. Safar HA, Farid E, Nakhi H, Asfar S. Vascular injuries caused by orthopaedic screws. A case report. Med Princ Pract. 2004 Jul-Aug;13(4):230-3.

29. Shin YS, Park JH, Raheem OA, Jeong YB, Kim HJ, Kim YG. Ureteral penetration caused by drilling during internal pelvic bone fixation: delayed recognition. Int Neurourol J. 2013 Jun;17(2):93-5. Epub 2013 Jun 30.

30. Duan SJ, Liu HS, Wu WC, Yang K, Zhang Z, Liu SD. Robot-assisted Percutaneous Cannulated Screw Fixation of Femoral Neck Fractures: Preliminary Clinical Results. Orthop Surg. 2019 Feb;11(1):34-41.

31. Wu XB, Wang JQ, Sun X, Han W. Guidance for the Treatment of Femoral Neck Fracture with Precise Minimally Invasive Internal Fixation Based on the Orthopaedic Surgery Robot Positioning System. Orthop Surg. 2019 Jun;11(3):335-40. Epub 2019 May 7.

32. Schatlo B, Molliqaj G, Cuvinciuc V, Kotowski M, Schaller K, Tessitore E. Safety and accuracy of robot-assisted versus fluoroscopy-guided pedicle screw insertion for degenerative diseases of the lumbar spine: a matched cohort comparison. J Neurosurg Spine. 2014 Jun;20(6):636-43. Epub 2014 Apr 11.

 Tsai TH, Tzou RD, Su YF, Wu CH, Tsai CY, Lin CL. Pedicle screw placement accuracy of bone-mounted miniature robot system. Medicine (Baltimore). 2017 Jan; 96(3):e5835.

34. Cartiaux O, Paul L, Docquier PL, Raucent B, Dombre E, Banse X. Computerassisted and robot-assisted technologies to improve bone-cutting accuracy when integrated with a freehand process using an oscillating saw. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2010 Sep 1;92(11):2076-82.

35. Boiadjiev G, Kastelov R, Boiadjiev T, Kotev V, Delchev K, Zagurski K, Vitkov V. Design and performance study of an orthopaedic surgery robotized module for automatic bone drilling. Int J Med Robot. 2013 Dec;9(4):455-63. Epub 2013 Feb 26.

36. Shim S, Choi H, Ji D, Kang W, Hong J. Robotic System for Bone Drilling Using a Rolling Friction Mechanism. IEEE/ASME Trans Mechanism. 2018 0ct;23(5):2295-305.
37. Clement H, Heidari N, Grechenig W, Weinberg AM, Pichler W. Drilling, not a benign procedure: laboratory simulation of true drilling depth. Injury. 2012 Jun; 43(6):950-2. Epub 2011 Dec 15.

38. Kazum E, Dolkart O, Rosenthal Y, Sherman H, Amar E, Salai M, Maman E, Chechik O. A Simple and Low-cost Drilling Simulator for Training Plunging Distance Among Orthopedic Surgery Residents. J Surg Educ. 2019 Jan - Feb;76(1):281-5. Epub 2018 Aug 2.

39. Ruder JA, Turvey B, Hsu JR, Scannell BP. Effectiveness of a Low-Cost Drilling Module in Orthopaedic Surgical Simulation. J Surg Educ. 2017 May - Jun;74(3):471-6. Epub 2016 Nov 7.

40. Stranix JT, Cuzzone D, Ly C, Topilow N, Runyan CM, Ream J, Flores RL. Optimizing Safety of Iliac Bone Harvest Using an Acumed Drill: A Simulated Radiographic Study of 100 Patients. Cleft Palate Craniofac J. 2017 Nov;54(6):674-9. Epub 2016 Sep 15.

41. Tonetti J, Vadcard L, Girard P, Dubois M, Merloz P, Troccaz J. Assessment of a percutaneous iliosacral screw insertion simulator. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res. 2009 Nov;95(7):471-7. Epub 2009 Oct 3.

42. Vankipuram M, Kahol K, McLaren A, Panchanathan S. A virtual reality simulator for orthopedic basic skills: a design and validation study. J Biomed Inform. 2010 Oct; 43(5):661-8. Epub 2010 May 31.

43. Bydon M, Xu R, Papademetriou K, Sciubba DM, Wolinsky JP, Witham TF, Gokaslan ZL, Jallo G, Bydon A. Safety of spinal decompression using an ultrasonic bone curette compared with a high-speed drill: outcomes in 337 patients. J Neurosurg Spine. 2013 Jun;18(6):627-33. Epub 2013 Apr 5.

44. Franzini A, Legnani F, Beretta E, Prada F, DiMeco F, Visintini S, Franzini A. Piezoelectric Surgery for Dorsal Spine. World Neurosurg. 2018 Jun;114:58-62. Epub 2018 Mar 10.

45. König CW, Trübenbach J, Böhm P, Fritz J, Duda SH, Pereira PL. Magnetic resonance-guided transcortical biopsy of bone marrow lesions using a magnetic resonance imaging-compatible piezoelectric power drill: preliminary experience. Invest Radiol. 2003 Mar;38(3):159-63.

46. Massimi L, Rapisarda A, Bianchi F, Frassanito P, Tamburrini G, Pelo S, Caldarelli M. Piezosurgery in Pediatric Neurosurgery. World Neurosurg. 2019 Jun;126:e625-33. Epub 2019 Mar 2.

47. Naik MN, Nema A, Ali MH, Ali MJ. Piezoelectric surgery versus mechanical drilling for orbital floor decompression: effect on infraorbital hypoaesthesia. Orbit. 2019 Jun;38(3):184-6. Epub 2018 Oct 18.

48. Shu L, Sugita N, Oshima M, Mitsuishi M. Design and experimental force analysis of a novel elliptical vibration assisted orthopedic oscillating saw. Med Eng Phys. 2018 Apr;54:22-31. Epub 2018 Feb 20.

49. Wallace SB, Cherkashin A, Samchukov M, Wimberly RL, Riccio AI. Real-Time Monitoring with a Controlled Advancement Drill May Decrease Plunge Depth. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2019 Jul 3;101(13):1213-8.

50. Flannery W, Balts J, McCarthy JJ, Swick J, Noonan KJ, Olson J. Are terminally threaded guide pins from cannulated screw systems dangerous? Orthopedics. 2011 Aug 8;34(8):e374-7.

51. Soriano J, Garay A, Aristimuño P, Arrazola PJ. Study and improvement of surgical drill bit geometry for implant site preparation. Int J Adv Manuf Technol. 2014;74:615-27.

52. Sui J, Sugita N. Optimization of Drill Bits for Bone Drilling Procedure. In: Proceedings of the ASME 2018 13th International Manufacturing Science and Engineering Conference; 2018 Jun 18-22. Vol 1, Additive Manufacturing; Bio and Sustainable Manufacturing. American Society of Mechanical Engineers; 2018.

53. Singh G, Jain V, Gupta D. Multi-objective performance investigation of orthopaedic bone drilling using Taguchi membership function. Proc Inst Mech Eng H. 2017 Dec;231(12):1133-9. Epub 2017 Oct 8.

54. Singh G, Jain V, Gupta D, Ghai A. Optimization of process parameters for drilled hole quality characteristics during cortical bone drilling using Taguchi method. J Mech Behav Biomed Mater. 2016 Sep;62:355-65. Epub 2016 May 16.

55. Gras F, Marintschev I, Wilharm A, Klos K, Mückley T, Hofmann GO. 2D-fluoroscopic navigated percutaneous screw fixation of pelvic ring injuries—a case series. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2010 Jul 7;11:153.

56. Bail HJ, Teichgräber UKM, Wichlas F, Rump JC, Walter T, Seebauer CJ. Passive navigation principle for orthopedic interventions with MR fluoroscopy. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2010 Jun;130(6):803-9. Epub 2009 Nov 18.

57. Seebauer CJ, Bail HJ, Rump JC, Walter T, Teichgräber UKM. Advancements in orthopedic intervention: retrograde drilling and bone grafting of osteochondral lesions of the knee using magnetic resonance imaging guidance. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. 2010 Dec;33(6):1230-4. Epub 2010 May 26.

58. Gras F, Marintschev I, Kahler DM, Klos K, Mückley T, Hofmann GO. Fluoro-Free navigated retrograde drilling of osteochondral lesions. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2011 Jan;19(1):55-9. Epub 2010 Oct 7.

59. Kotani Y, Abumi K, Ito M, Minami A. Improved accuracy of computer-assisted cervical pedicle screw insertion. J Neurosurg. 2003 Oct;99(3)(Suppl):257-63.

60. Larson AN, Polly DW Jr, Guidera KJ, Mielke CH, Santos ERG, Ledonio CG, Sembrano JN. The accuracy of navigation and 3D image-guided placement for the placement of pedicle screws in congenital spine deformity. J Pediatr Orthop. 2012 Seo:32(6):e23-9.

61. Bolger C, Carozzo C, Roger T, McEvoy L, Nagaria J, Vanacker G, Bourlion M. A preliminary study of reliability of impedance measurement to detect iatrogenic initial pedicle perforation (in the porcine model). Eur Spine J. 2006 Mar;15(3):316-20. Epub 2005 Oct 13.

62. Bolger C, Kelleher MO, McEvoy L, Brayda-Bruno M, Kaelin A, Lazennec JY, Le Huec JC, Logroscino C, Mata P, Moreta P, Saillant G, Zeller R. Electrical conductivity measurement: a new technique to detect iatrogenic initial pedicle perforation. Eur Spine J. 2007 Nov;16(11):1919-24. Epub 2007 Jun 30.

63. Moed BR, Ahmad BK, Craig JG, Jacobson GP, Anders MJ. Intraoperative monitoring with stimulus-evoked electromyography during placement of iliosacral screws. An initial clinical study. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1998 Apr;80(4):537-46.

64. Di Martino A, Papalia R, Caldaria A, Torre G, Denaro L, Denaro V. Should evoked potential monitoring be used in degenerative cervical spine surgery? A systematic review. J Orthop Traumatol. 2019 Apr 2;20(1):19.

65. Butler RD, Halter RJ. Gauging electrical properties of bone with a bioimpedancesensing drill. Physiol Meas. 2019 Jan 18; 40(1):01NT01.

.015

66. Torun Y, Ö Pazarci. Parametric Power Spectral Density Estimation-Based Breakthrough Detection for Orthopedic Bone Drilling with Acoustic Emission Signal Analysis. Acoust Aust. 2020;48:221-31.

67. Aziz MH, Ayub MA, Jaafar R. Real-time Algorithm for Detection of Breakthrough Bone Drilling. Procedia Eng. 2012;41:352-9.

68. Pandey RK, Panda SS. Genetic Algorithm Based Prediction of an Optimum Parametric Combination for Minimum Thrust Force in Bone Drilling. In: Recent Advances in Information and Communication Technology: Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Computing and Information Technology; 2014 May 8-9. Springer; 2014. p 103-12.

69. Staats K, Panotopoulos J, Tiefenboeck TM, Windhager R, Funovics PT. Computer navigation-assisted surgery for musculoskeletal tumors: a closer look into the learning curve. Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol. 2017 Aug;27(6):851-8. Epub 2017 Jun 24.

70. Hockstein NG, Gourin CG, Faust RA, Terris DJ. A history of robots: from science fiction to surgical robotics. J Robot Surg. 2007;1(2):113-8. Epub 2007 Mar 17.
 71. Sugano N. Computer-assisted orthopedic surgery. J Orthop Sci. 2003;8(3):442-

Sugario N. Computer-assisted orthopedic surgery. J Orthop Sci. 2005,8(5):442 8.

72. Herregodts S, Verhaeghe M, Paridaens R, Herregodts J, Vermue H, Arnout N, De Baets P, Victor J. Soft-tissue penetration of the oscillating saw during tibial resection in total knee arthroplasty. Bone Joint J. 2020 Oct;102-B(10):1324-30.

73. Hennet P. Piezoelectric Bone Surgery: A Review of the Literature and Potential Applications in Veterinary Oromaxillofacial Surgery. Front Vet Sci. 2015 May 5;2:8.

74. Wetzel RJ, Shah RR, Puri L. Demonstration of saw blade accuracy and excursion: a cadaveric comparison study of blade types used in total knee arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty. 2013 Jun;28(6):985-7. Epub 2013 Mar 20.

75. Alam K, Al-Ghaithi A, Piya S, Saleem A. In-vitro experimental study of histopathology of bone in vibrational drilling. Med Eng Phys. 2019 May;67:78-87. Epub 2019 Apr 10.

76. Dai Y, Xue Y, Zhang J. Noncontact vibration measurement based thoracic spine condition monitoring during pedicle drilling. IEEE/ASME Trans Mechatron. 2014 Oct; 19(5):1532-40.

77. Butt U, Samuel R, Sahu A, Butt IS, Johnson DS, Turner PG. Arterial injury in total knee arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty. 2010 Dec;25(8):1311-8. Epub 2010 Jul 1.

78. den Dunnen S, Dankelman J, Kerkhoffs GMMJ, Tuijthof GJM. How do jet time, pressure and bone volume fraction influence the drilling depth when waterjet drilling in porcine bone? J Mech Behav Biomed Mater. 2016 Sep;62:495-503. Epub 2016 May 31.

79. Grauer JN, Vaccaro AR, Brusovanik G, Girardi FP, Silveri CP, Cammisa FP, Kwon BK, Beiner JM, Albert TJ, Hilibrand AS, Scuderi GJ. Evaluation of a novel pedicle probe for the placement of thoracic and lumbosacral pedicle screws. J Spinal Disord Tech. 2004 Dec;17(6):492-7.

80. James TP, Pearlman JJ, Saigal A. Predictive force model for haptic feedback in bone sawing. Med Eng Phys. 2013 Nov;35(11):1638-44. Epub 2013 Jun 24.

81. Jiang L, Dong L, Tan M, Yang F, Yi P, Tang X. Accuracy assessment of atlantoaxial pedicle screws assisted by a novel drill guide template. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2016 Nov;136(11):1483-90. Epub 2016 Aug 16.

82. Jingushi S, Noguchi Y, Shuto T, Nakashima T, Iwamoto Y. A device for removal of femoral distal cement plug during hip revision arthroplasty: a high-powered drill equipped with a centralizer. J Arthroplasty. 2000 Feb;15(2):231-3.

83. Kamara E, Berliner ZP, Hepinstall MS, Cooper HJ. Pin Site Complications Associated With Computer-Assisted Navigation in Hip and Knee Arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty. 2017 Sep;32(9):2842-6. Epub 2017 Apr 20.

84. Khokhotva M, Backstein D, Dubrowski A. Outcome errors are not necessary for learning orthopedic bone drilling. Can J Surg. 2009 Apr;52(2):98-102.

85. Kim JW, Cuellar DO, Hao J, Herbert B, Mauffrey C. Prevention of inaccurate targeting of proximal screws during reconstruction femoral nailing. Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol. 2016 May;26(4):391-6. Epub 2016 Apr 5.

86. Liebmann F, Roner S, von Atzigen M, Scaramuzza D, Sutter R, Snedeker J, Farshad M, Fürnstahl P. Pedicle screw navigation using surface digitization on the Microsoft HoloLens. Int J Comput Assist Radiol Surg. 2019 Jul;14(7):1157-65. Epub 2019 Apr 15.

 Nam HGW, Lim KT, Park JS, Park CK, Kim HS. Endoscopic Rescue Technique for latrogenic Sacroiliac Joint Syndrome Caused by Sextant Percutaneous Pedicle Screw Fixation System: A Case Report. World Neurosurg. 2019;124:345-9. Epub 2019 Jan 25.
 Neubauer T, Grechenig S, Clement H, Leitner L, Plecko M, Auffarth A. Risk of

extrapelvine vascular injuries in osteosynthesis with gliding hip screws. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2019 Mar;139(3):339-45. Epub 2018 Dec 18.

89. Pandey RK, Panda SS. Drilling of bone: A comprehensive review. J Clin Orthop Trauma. 2013 Mar;4(1):15-30. Epub 2013 Jan 18.

90. Podnar S. Cauda equina lesions as a complication of spinal surgery. Eur Spine J. 2010 Mar;19(3):451-7. Epub 2009 Sep 21.

91. Puangmali P, Jetdumronglerd S, Wongratanaphisan T, Cole MOT. Sensorless stepwise breakthrough detection technique for safe surgical drilling of bone. Mechatronics. 2020 Feb;65:102306.

92. Qin D, Zhang Q, Zhang YZ, Pan JS, Chen W. Safe drilling angles and depths for plate-screw fixation of the clavicle: avoidance of inadvertent iatrogenic subclavian neurovascular bundle injury. J Trauma. 2010 Jul;69(1):162-8.

93. Shepard N, Pham H, Natarajan V, Errico T, Rieger M. Use of a Novel Computerized Drill for Pedicle Screw Insertion in the Thoracic and Lumbar Spine: A Cadaveric Study. Int J Spine Surg. 2019 Aug 31;13(4):329-35.

94. Stillwell A, Ioannou C, Daniele L, Tan SLE. Osteosynthesis for clavicle fractures: How close are we to penetration of neurovascular structures? Injury. 2017 Feb; 48(2):460-3. Epub 2016 Nov 2.

95. Sui J, Sugita N. Experimental Study of Thrust Force and Torque for Drilling Cortical Bone. Ann Biomed Eng. 2019 Mar;47(3):802-12. Epub 2019 Jan 9.

96. Synek A, Borgmann L, Traxler H, Huf W, Euler E, Chevalier Y, Baumbach SF. Using self-drilling screws in volar plate osteosynthesis for distal radius fractures: a feasibility study. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2016 Mar 10;17(1):120.

97. Tsai AG, Ashworth TJ, Marcus R, Akkus O. Femoral latrogenic Subtrochanteric Fatigue Fracture Risk is not Increased by Placing Drill Holes Below the Level of the Lesser Trochanter. Iowa Orthop J. 2017;37:23-8.

98. Voormolen EHJ, Woerdeman PA, van Stralen M, Noordmans HJ, Viergever MA, Regli L, van der Sprenkel JWB. Validation of exposure visualization and audible distance emission for navigated temporal bone drilling in phantoms. PLoS One. 2012;7(7):e41262. Epub 2012 Jul 25.

99. Yau WP, Chiu KY. Cutting errors in total knee replacement: assessment by computer assisted surgery. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2008 Jul;16(7): 670-3. Epub 2008 May 14.

100. Yang KH, Kim S, Jeong JD. False femoral neck fracture detected during shaft nailing: a Mach band effect. Yonsei Med J. 2013 May 1;54(3):803-5.