# BIC

**British Journal of Cancer** (2013) 108, 748-754 | doi: 10.1038/bjc.2013.21

Keywords: histone deacetylase inhibitors; DNA damage signalling; DNA repair; clinical trials

# Histone deacetylase inhibitors as radiosensitisers: effects on DNA damage signalling and repair

B Groselj $^{1,3}$ , N L Sharma $^{1,2,3}$ , F C Hamdy $^2$ , M Kerr $^1$  and A E Kiltie $^{\star,1}$ 

<sup>1</sup>Department of Oncology, Gray Institute for Radiation Oncology and Biology, University of Oxford, Old Road Campus Research Building, Off Roosevelt Drive, Oxford, OX3 7DQ, UK and <sup>2</sup>Nuffield Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Oxford, Level 6, John Radcliffe Hospital, Headington, Oxford OX3 9DU, UK

Many cancers display increased expression of histone deacetylases (HDACs) and therefore transcriptionally inactive chromatin, resulting in the downregulation of genes including tumour suppressor and DNA repair genes. Histone deacetylase inhibitors (HDACi) are a heterogeneous group of epigenetic therapeutics, showing promising anticancer effects in both pre-clinical and clinical settings, in particular the effect of radiosensitisation when administered in combination with radiotherapy. Radiotherapy remains one of the most common forms of cancer treatment, leading to cell death through the induction of DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs). Cells have developed mechanisms to repair such DSB through two major pathways: non-homologous end-joining and homologous recombination. Here, we explore the current evidence for the use of HDACi in combination with irradiation, focusing on the effects of HDACi on DNA damage signalling and repair in vitro. In addition, we summarise the clinical evidence for using HDACi with radiotherapy, a growing area of interest with great potential clinical utility.

Epigenetics is an exciting new field of research into heritable changes of gene expression, acting through histone modifications and deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) methylation, which occur without deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) sequence alteration. Epigenetic changes are involved in both cancer development and tumour progression. Histone deacetylase inhibitors (HDACi) are epigenetic drugs that can alter histone modifications, and could potentially be used as novel anticancer therapy, either as a single-agent or in combination with other therapy modalities [\(Wagner](#page-6-0) et al, 2010); they already show promising anticancer effects in both pre-clinical and clinical settings. In the first part of this minireview, we shall explore the current evidence for the use of HDACi in combination with irradiation, focusing on the effects of HDACi on DNA damage signalling and repair in vitro. In the second part, we summarise the clinical evidence for using HDACi with radiotherapy, a growing area of interest with great potential clinical utility.

# HDACs AND HDACi

The basic chromatin unit is the nucleosome, comprising DNA wrapped around an octamer of histones H2A, H2B, H3 and H4. One of the most studied post-translational modifications of nucleosomal histone lysine residues is acetylation, regulated by the opposing action of HDACs and histone acetyltransferases (HATs). Histone deacetylases remove the acetyl moiety from the positively charged histone lysine residues and subsequently the negatively charged DNA can bind to the nucleosome proteins. Histone acetyltransferases mediate the acetylation of lysine residues, the charge is masked and detached DNA is free to interact with transcription factors and enzymes. In cellular oncogenesis, aberrant expression of HDACs is observed (either down- or upregulation) and the activity of HATs is downregulated, which results in repression of antiproliferative genes ([Ropero and](#page-6-0) [Esteller, 2007](#page-6-0); [Sharma](#page-6-0) et al, 2010). There are 18 different HDACs,

Received 17 September 2012; revised 11 December 2012; accepted 3 January 2013; published online 29 January 2013

& 2013 Cancer Research UK. All rights reserved 0007 – 0920/13



<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: Dr AE Kiltie; E-mail: [anne.kiltie@oncology.ox.ac.uk](mailto:anne.kiltie@oncology.ox.ac.uk) <sup>3</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

belonging to four classes according to their similarity to yeast analogue proteins. Classes I, II and IV are  $Zn^{2+}$  dependent, whereas class III are structurally related to yeast  $NAD^+$ -dependent SIRT proteins and are not inhibited by HDAC pan-inhibitors. Histone deacetylases 1, 2, 3 and 8 belong to class I, HDACs 4, 5, 7, 9 to class IIa, 6 and 10 to IIb and HDAC 11 to class IV [\(Wagner](#page-6-0) et al[, 2010; Spiegel](#page-6-0) et al, 2012).

Histone deacetylase inhibitors (HDACi) can be also classified according to their structure and their HDAC class inhibitory specificity: hydroxamic acids, such as suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA or vorinostat), panobinostat (LBH589), are pan-HDACi, that is, active against class I and class II HDACs; shortchain fatty acids, including sodium butyrate (NaB) and valproic acid (VPA), inhibit class I and class IIa HDACs; cyclic peptides, such as romidepsin, mainly act against class I HDACs, but can also inhibit class II HDACs at higher concentrations; and benzamides, including entinostat and mocetinostat, are active against class I HDACs [\(Wagner](#page-6-0) et al, 2010; [Spiegel](#page-6-0) et al, 2012).

Histone deacetylase inhibitors have been shown to cause cancer cell death both in vitro and in a variety of pre-clinical models, and there is growing evidence for their anticancer effect in clinical studies, particularly in combination with other chemotherapeutics (Nolan et al[, 2008; Wagner](#page-6-0) et al, 2010; [Sharma](#page-6-0) et al, 2012). Ionising radiation (IR) also kills cancer cells; DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) are the lethal lesions. These are detected by the DNA damage signalling machinery and the majority are repaired by either non-homologous end-joining (NHEJ) or homologous recombination (HR). The modulation of DNA damage signalling and repair by HDACi may be one underlying mechanism for their radiosensitising effects in cancer cell lines (Nolan et al[, 2008;](#page-6-0) [Shabason](#page-6-0) et al, 2011; [Spiegel](#page-6-0) et al, 2012).

### EFFECTS OF HDACi ON DNA DAMAGE SIGNALLING AND DSB REPAIR

Cells can repair IR-induced DSBs through a mechanism, which is initiated by a complex of three proteins, MRE11/Rad50/NBS1 (MRN). The MRN complex can both phosphorylate kinase ataxiatelangiectasia-mutated (ATM), and recruit it to the sites of DSB. The ATM has a crucial role in the initiation of cell cycle arrest following DSB induction, through cell cycle checkpoints  $(G_1, intra)$ S and  $G_2/M$ ), leading to efficient repair of DSBs or cell death ([Williams](#page-6-0) et al, 2010; [Thompson, 2012\)](#page-6-0). At sites of DSBs, ATM or DNA-dependent protein phosphokinase (DNA-PK) can phosphorylate the histone H2AX (to form  $\gamma$ H2AX). This and the combined activity of MRN and DNA-PK attract other proteins involved in DSB repair, including BRCA1, BRCA2, CtIP and 53BP1 ([Goodarzi](#page-5-0) et al, 2010). The HDACi vorinostat has been found to downregulate RAD50 and MRE11 protein levels in prostate cancer and lung adenocarcinoma cells; furthermore, 72-h incubation causes decreased levels of 53BP1 in prostate cancer cells (Lee et al[, 2010\)](#page-5-0). Prostate cancer cells treated with a novel adamantyl-hydroxamate HDACi, H6CAHA, show impaired DNA damage signalling with downregulation of ATM gene expression and impaired phospho-ATM foci formation (summarised in [Table 1](#page-2-0) and [Figure 1;](#page-3-0) [Konsoula](#page-5-0) et al, 2011).

Once detected, attempts are made to repair DSBs through two major mechanisms, NHEJ and HR. NHEJ is used throughout the cell cycle, but predominantly in  $G_1$ /early S-phase, and it is often error-prone, as the break is not repaired utilising homologous DNA template and therefore small deletions or insertions can be introduced, particularly when DSB ends are modified as is often seen following IR. The Ku heterodimer (Ku70/Ku80) is recruited to the DSB, followed by the DNA-dependent protein kinase catalytic subunit, and the ends are modified by Artemis, before end-ligation by ligase IV/XRCC4/XLF [\(Goodarzi](#page-5-0) et al, 2010; [Rassool and](#page-6-0)

[Tomkinson, 2010](#page-6-0)). In melanoma cells, treatment with vorinostat or NaB downregulates the levels of core NHEJ proteins Ku70, Ku80 and NaB also downregulates DNA-PK (in NaB-treated melanoma cells mRNA levels of Ku70, Ku80, DNA-PK, ligase IV and XRCC4 gene expression were also decreased; Munshi et al[, 2005, 2006](#page-5-0)); Trichostatin A (TSA) causes downregulation of Ku70, Ku80 and DNA-PK in non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) cells (summarised in [Table 1](#page-2-0) and [Figure 1;](#page-3-0) [Zhang](#page-6-0) et al, 2009).

Homologous recombination acts in the second half of the cell cycle (late S- and  $G_2$  phase) and is an error-free pathway as it uses the undamaged sister chromatid as a template in contrast to the NHEJ pathway discussed before. The MRN complex and the CtIP protein facilitate  $5'-3'$  strand resection at the DSB end, creating a single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) onto which RPA proteins are loaded to protect the ssDNA. Next, RPA proteins are replaced by RAD51 proteins, forming the RAD51 nucleoprotein filament, which initiates the sister chromatid invasion ([Adimoolam](#page-5-0) et al, [2007](#page-5-0); [Rassool and Tomkinson, 2010\)](#page-6-0). Vorinostat downregulates RAD51 protein expression in osteosarcoma, rhabdomyosarcoma, prostate and PCI-24781 in colon cancer cells [\(Chinnaiyan](#page-5-0) et al, [2005](#page-5-0); [Adimoolam](#page-5-0) et al, 2007; [Blattmann](#page-5-0) et al, 2010). Furthermore, treating prostate and colon cancer cells with vorinostat or PCI-24781 causes decreased BRCA1, BRCA2 and RAD51 gene expression; in addition, extracts from xenografts of mice treated with PCI-24781 show decreased RAD51 protein expression ([Adimoolam](#page-5-0) et al, 2007; [Kachhap](#page-5-0) et al, 2010). H6CAHA causes downregulation of BRCA1 and BRCA2 gene expression in prostate cancer cells (summarised in [Table 1](#page-2-0) and [Figure 1;](#page-3-0) [Konsoula](#page-5-0) et al, [2011](#page-5-0)).

Interestingly, the effects of HDACi on the major DNA-repair signalling, NHEJ and HR proteins to date have not been observed in normal human cells. For example, 72 h treatment of foreskin fibroblasts with vorinostat had no effects on levels of RAD50, MRE11 or 53BP1 proteins (Lee et al[, 2010](#page-5-0)). Furthermore, mRNA and protein levels of Ku70, Ku80 or DNA-PK were unaltered in NaB-treated lung fibroblasts ([Munshi](#page-5-0) et al, 2005).

### HDACi EFFECTS ON DNA DAMAGE SIGNALLING AND REPAIR IN TERMS OF RADIOSENSITISATION

There is evidence that HDACi lower the cell's capacity to repair IRinduced DNA damage, both at the level of damage signalling and by affecting the major DNA repair pathways (NHEJ and HR), in many different cell types in vitro (summarised in [Table 1\)](#page-2-0).

Tumour cells treated with various HDACi display prolonged resolution of IR-induced  $\gamma$ H2AX foci, an indicator of impaired DSB repair, which is due to impaired recruitment of or lower quantities of repair proteins [\(Munshi](#page-5-0) et al, 2005; [Zhang](#page-6-0) et al, 2009; Lee et al[, 2010\)](#page-5-0).

Vorinostat in combination with IR attenuates both the upregulation of IR-induced RAD50 in melanoma cell lines ([Munshi](#page-5-0) et al, 2006) and the upregulation of DNA-PK protein levels in prostate cancer cells [\(Chinnaiyan](#page-5-0) et al, 2005; [Munshi](#page-5-0) et al, [2006](#page-5-0)). Similar effects are seen for IR plus vorinostat or scriptaid, in terms of attenuation of the upregulation of Ku80, in osteosarcoma, rhabdomyosarcoma and squamous carcinoma cells ([Blattmann](#page-5-0) et al[, 2010](#page-5-0); [Kuribayashi](#page-5-0) et al, 2010). Similarly, VPA and TSA attenuate upregulation of Ku70, Ku80 and DNA-PK in colon cancer cells after IR (Chen et al[, 2009](#page-5-0); [Zhang](#page-6-0) et al, 2009). In terms of HR, vorinostat attenuates RAD51 upregulation in melanoma and rhabdomyosarcoma cell after IR ([Blattmann](#page-5-0) et al, 2010).

Exposure to vorinostat radiosensitises many different cancer cell lines, for example, melanoma, NSCLC, prostate, glioma, osteosarcoma and rhabdomyosarcoma; VPA radiosensitises colorectal cancer cells; and similarly for TSA and melanoma and squamous carcinoma cells; NaB, phenylbutyrate and tributyrin and <span id="page-2-0"></span>Table 1. Summary of major preclinical studies of HDACi without or in combination with radiation showing effects on DNA damage signalling and response



Abbreviations: ATM = ataxia-telangiectasia-mutated; DNA = deoxyribonucleic acid; DNA-PK = DNA-dependent protein phosphokinase; HDAC = histone deacetylase; HDACi = HDAC inhibitors; IR = ionising radiation; NA = not available; NaB = sodium butyrate; NSCLC = non-small cell lung cancer; TSA = trichostatin A; VPA = valproic acid. **a** Also known as abexinostat.

melanoma cells; H6CAHA and prostate cancer cells; PCI-24781 and lung adenocarcinoma, large cell lung and colon cancer cells; and scriptaid and squamous carcinoma cells [\(Chinnaiyan](#page-5-0) et al, [2005](#page-5-0); Munshi et al[, 2005, 2006; Adimoolam](#page-5-0) et al, 2007; [Chen](#page-5-0) et al, [2009](#page-5-0); [Zhang](#page-6-0) et al, 2009; [Blattmann](#page-5-0) et al, 2010; [Kuribayashi](#page-5-0) et al, [2010](#page-5-0); [Konsoula](#page-5-0) et al, 2011).

Interestingly, in H6CAHA-treated prostate epithelial cells, the surviving fraction of treated normal prostate cells increased after irradiation ([Konsoula](#page-5-0) et al, 2011). Also, NaB did not radiosensitise normal human lung fibroblasts and neither did vorinostat radiosensitise normal human osteoblast nor fibroblast cell lines ([Munshi](#page-5-0) et al, 2005; [Blattmann](#page-5-0) et al, 2010). Further work is required to elucidate the precise pathways and targets through which the various HDACi exert these radiosensitising effects in cancer cells and potential radioprotecting effects in non-cancer cells. However, HDACs have recently been found to participate in the DNA damage response, and downregulation of HDACs has been shown to impair DNA repair pathways. For example, HDAC1

and HDAC2 have been found to be localised to sites of DNA damage and, furthermore, depletion of both HDAC1 and HDAC2 causes hypersensitivity to IR and leads to an impairment of the NHEJ pathway (Miller et al[, 2010\)](#page-5-0). In contrast, depletion of HDAC9 or HDAC10 leads to an impairment of the HR pathway, with HDAC9 having a stronger effect ([Kotian](#page-5-0) et al, 2010). We therefore speculate that many of the HDACi radiosensitisation effects could be mediated via DNA damage signalling and repair pathways, and this might be due to acetylation of non-histone proteins involved in these pathways.

As previously discussed and summarised in Table 1, HDACi have been shown to have direct effects (downregulation) on mRNA or protein levels of the key players of the two most important DNA repair pathways in many cancer cell lines (e.g., melanoma, colon, NSCLC and prostate; [Figure 1](#page-3-0)). This suggests that these chemotherapeutics would be well suited to combination treatment with radiotherapy, and indeed their radiosensitising effects have been confirmed in many cancer cell lines (e.g., prostate, glioma,

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Figure 1. Schematic overview of the impact of HDACi on DSB signalling, HR and NHEJ DNA repair pathways. HDACi downregulate the major HR (above) and NHEJ (below) pathway proteins. Art = Artemis; Ku = Ku70/Ku80; Lig. IV complex = ligase IV/XRCC1/XLF; NaB = sodium butyrate; TSA = trichostatin A; VPA = valproic acid. \*HDACi downregulate the levels of mRNA.

melanoma, squamous cell, osteosarcoma and rhabdomyosarcoma). Of particular importance is their apparent specificity towards cancer cells, with a lack of radiosensitisation observed in many normal cells (e.g., prostate epithelial cells, lung fibroblasts and osteoblasts), suggesting that they might have low toxicity in a clinical setting. It is important to establish the optimum timing of drug relative to radiation to maximise the potential therapeutic benefit. In studies described here, mainly hydroxamic and short-chain fatty acid pan-HDACi were used. In future research, it would be useful to see which are the main HDACs that influence radiosensitivity and if HDAC-specific HDACi are as effective. This would also lead to potential development of biomarkers to select patients for combination HDACi/radiation treatments.

The use of HDACi in pre-clinical cancer models has been reported with several studies demonstrating DNA damage,

manifest as DSBs, following HDACi monotherapy, consistent with in vitro data. For example, in a subcutaneous mouse model of acute lymphoblastic leukaemia, treatment with panobinostat resulted in an increase in  $\gamma$ -H2AX levels in the tumour cells ([Vilas-Zornoza](#page-6-0) et al[, 2012](#page-6-0)). In vivo models have also supported the finding of radiosensitisation by HDACi in lung and prostate cancers, with subsequent tumour growth delay (Geng et al[, 2006; Konsoula](#page-5-0) et al[, 2011\)](#page-5-0).

### HDACi AND RADIOTHERAPY IN CLINICAL TRIALS

As pre-clinical studies have demonstrated radiosensitising effects of HDACi in a variety of cancers, several clinical trials have been



carried out using such combination therapy in patients (summarised in Table 2). In this rapidly expanding field, 3 have been published to date, with three terminated early and 12 ongoing or in set-up.

The first published use of HDACi and radiation comes from a case report of anaplastic thyroid carcinoma, an agressive and frequently deadly carcinoma, as recently as 2009 [\(Noguchi](#page-6-0) et al, [2009](#page-6-0)). The patient successfully received VPA with cisplatin/ doxorubicin over three cycles, 40 Gy radiotherapy and surgical resection, followed by 6 months of VPA, with disease-free survival at 2 years. A retrospective study of paediatric patients with highgrade glioma found that those treated with VPA in addition to chemoradiotherapy did not show more frequent adverse effects, but this study lacked prospective follow-up [\(Masoudi](#page-5-0) et al, 2008). The pelvic radiation and vorinostat (PRAVO) study was the first published clinical trial combining HDACi and palliative radiotherapy (Ree et al[, 2010\)](#page-6-0). This phase I dose-escalation study examined the combination of vorinostat and pelvic palliative radiotherapy in 16 patients with gastrointestinal (rectum, colon or stomach) carcinoma. Following CT-based planning, 30 Gy of radiotherapy in 10 fractions was delivered over 2 weeks to target lesions, including primary or locally recurrent tumour and intrapelvic, pelvic bone or suprapubic abdominal wall metastases. Vorinostat was administered 3 h before each radiotherapy

treatment, with patients enrolled onto four sequential dose levels of vorinostat with dose escalation in increments of 100 mg (100–400 mg daily). Adverse events up to 6 weeks post-treatment were mostly grade 1 or 2. Seven patients reported grade 3 adverse events; four were considered unrelated to the study treatment, but the remaining three patients reported dose-limiting toxicity (DLT) of (1) anorexia and fatigue, (2) diarrhoea, anorexia and hyponatreamia, and (3) diarrhoea, fatigue and hypokalaemia. Hyperacetylation of histones H3 and H4 was identified two-and-ahalf hours after administration of vorinostat, although no data were shown for the duration of this effect. Although designed as a phase I trial, this study also showed considerable post-treatment variation in tumour volume, from 54% reduction to 28% increase, with a mean change of 26% reduction. A recent reanalysis of the PRAVO study toxicity data incorporated details of radiation doses (6–30 Gy) delivered to the small bowel, from treatment-planning CT scans ([Bratland](#page-5-0) et al, 2011), and the authors concluded that the previously reported DLT data might have reflected an adverse radiation dose-volume effect rather than a toxic effect of vorinostat itself. Dose-volume constraints are thus important considerations in planning future clinical trials, as the most common side effects of single-agent HDACi include gastrointestinal toxicities. Acute toxicity data from a phase I clinical trial has also been published for VPA in combination with temozolomide and radiotherapy in

<span id="page-5-0"></span>patients with glioblastoma (Kamrava et al, 2008). This study showed no significant increase in haematological or neurological toxicities compared with radiotherapy and temozolomide alone; all neurological toxicities were reversible within 72 h of VPA cessation. The role of epigenetic therapy combined with radiotherapy was further characterised in a study of VPA with hydralazine (an inhibitor of DNA methylation) and cisplatinbased chemoradiotherapy in cervical cancer (Candelaria et al, 2010). Patients were divided into slow and fast acetylators and received 182 or 83 mg of hydralazine daily, respectively, with VPA  $30 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  thrice daily until completion of either external beam radiotherapy or brachytherapy (to a total of 85 Gy). In all, 18 out of 22 patients completed therapy and although efficacy of epigenetic therapy could not be assessed, the combination of hydralazine, VPA and chemoradiation was found to be well tolerated and safe.

Although some in vitro studies have identified potential biomarkers (e.g., HR23B, (Khan et al, 2010); TYMS, ODC1, STAT1 and SKI (Dejligbjerg et al, 2008)), there are currently no cellular or molecular biomarkers in clinical use, which can accurately predict those patients who would benefit from either HDACi therapy alone or in combination with radiotherapy. Ultimately we need to develop biomarkers, which could identify those patients most likely to respond to treatment and those who will develop toxicities, so that the latter can avoid this treatment and be offered an effective alternative. It may be that the DNA damage signalling and repair pathways will yield such biomarkers. For example, high expression of MRE11, RAD50, Ku70 and/or Ku80 could be predictive of a better response to treatment with HDACi in combination with radiotherapy. Such biomarkers will need to be incorporated into future phase II/III clinical trials to optimise future use of HDACi as radiosensitisers.

### **CONCLUSIONS**

HDACi have shown to be efficient radiosensitisers in many in vitro studies, with potent effects on prostate, glioma, melanoma, NSCLC, colon, squamous, osteosarcoma and lung cancer cell lines among many others. Although only a limited amount of clinical data have been collected so far, HDACi have also shown favourable clinical effects in combination with radiotherapy. In this minireview, we have focussed on the effects of HDACi on DNA damage signalling and repair pathways after IR DSBs induction. HDACi have been found to downregulate many important DNA damage signalling, NHEJ and HR proteins, and evidence has recently emerged that some HDACs are directly involved in the cellular DNA damage response. Future implementation of combination therapy comprising HDACi and radiotherapy with require a better understanding of dosing schedules, and there is still insufficient consensus regarding therapy response evaluation. As HDACi have been shown to cause impairment of DNA DSB signalling and repair, there is also an urgent need to develop biomarkers based on these pathways, which could allow clinicians to select patients for this therapeutic combination.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### **REFERENCES**

Adimoolam S, Sirisawad M, Chen J, Thiemann P, Ford J, Buggy J (2007) HDAC inhibitor PCI-24781 decreases RAD51 expression and inhibits homologous recombination. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104(49): 19482–19487.

- Blattmann C, Oertel S, Ehemann V, Thiemann M, Huber P, Bischof M, Witt O, Deubzer H, Kulozik A, Debus J, Weber K (2010) Enhancement of radiation response in osteosarcoma and rhabdomyosarcoma cell lines by histone deacetylase inhibition. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 78(1): 237–245.
- Bratland A, Dueland S, Hollywood D, Flatmark K, Ree AH (2011) Gastrointestinal toxicity of vorinostat: reanalysis of phase 1 study results with emphasis on dose-volume effects of pelvic radiotherapy. Radiat Oncol 6: 33.
- Candelaria M, Cetina L, Pérez-Cárdenas E, de la Cruz-Hernández E, González-Fierro A, Trejo-Becerril C, Taja-Chayeb L, Chanona J, Arias D, Dueñas-González A (2010) Epigenetic therapy and cisplatin chemoradiation in FIGO stage IIIB cervical cancer. Eur J Gynaecol Oncol 31(4): 386–391.
- Chen X, Wong P, Radany E, Wong J (2009) HDAC inhibitor, valproic acid, induces p53-dependent radiosensitization of colon cancer cells. Cancer Biother Radiopharm 24(6): 689–699.
- Chinnaiyan P, Vallabhaneni G, Armstrong E, Huang S, Harari P (2005) Modulation of radiation response by histone deacetylase inhibition. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 62(1): 223–229.
- Dejligbjerg M, Grauslund M, Christensen I, Tjørnelund J, Buhl Jensen P, Sehested M (2008) Identification of predictive biomarkers for the histone deacetylase inhibitor belinostat in a panel of human cancer cell lines. Cancer Biomarkers: Section A Disease Markers 4(2): 101–109.
- Geng L, Cuneo K, Fu A, Tu T, Atadja P, Hallahan D (2006) Histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor LBH589 increases duration of gamma-H2AX foci and confines HDAC4 to the cytoplasm in irradiated non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer Res 66(23): 11298–11304.
- Goodarzi A, Jeggo P, Lobrich M (2010) The influence of heterochromatin on DNA double strand break repair: getting the strong, silent type to relax. DNA Repair (Amst) 9(12): 1273–1282.
- Kachhap S, Rosmus N, Collis S, Kortenhorst M, Wissing M, Hedayati M, Shabbeer S, Mendonca J, Deangelis J, Marchionni L, Lin J, Höti N, Nortier J, DeWeese T, Hammers H, Carducci M (2010) Downregulation of homologous recombination DNA repair genes by HDAC inhibition in prostate cancer is mediated through the E2F1 transcription factor. Plos One 5(6): e11208.
- Kamrava M, Citrin D, Sproull M, Lita E, Smith S, Crouse N, Cooley-Zgela T, Fine H, Camphausen K (2008) Acute toxicity in a phase II clinical trial of valproic acid in combination with temodar and radiation therapy in patients with glioblastoma multiforme. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 72(Suppl): S211.
- Khan O, Fotheringham S, Wood V, Stimson L, Zhang C, Pezzella F, Duvic M, Kerr D, La Thangue N (2010) HR23B is a biomarker for tumor sensitivity to HDAC inhibitor-based therapy. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107(14): 6532–6537.
- Konsoula Z, Cao H, Velena A, Jung M (2011) Adamantanyl-histone deacetylase inhibitor H6CAHA exhibits favorable pharmacokinetics and augments prostate cancer radiation sensitivity. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 79(5): 1541–1548.
- Kotian S, Liyanarachchi S, Zelent A, Parvin J (2010) Histone deacetylases 9 and 10 are required for homologous recombination. *J Biol Chem* 286(10): 7722–7726.
- Kuribayashi T, Ohara M, Sora S, Kubota N (2010) Scriptaid, a novel histone deacetylase inhibitor, enhances the response of human tumor cells to radiation. Int J Mol Med 25(1): 25–29.
- Lee J, Choy M, Ngo L, Foster S, Marks P (2010) Histone deacetylase inhibitor induces DNA damage, which normal but not transformed cells can repair. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107(33): 14639–14644.
- Masoudi A, Elopre M, Amini E, Nagel ME, Ater JL, Gopalakrishnan V, Wolff JE (2008) Influence of valproic acid on outcome of high-grade gliomas in children. Anticancer Res 28(4C): 2437–2442.
- Miller K, Tjeertes J, Coates J, Legube G, Polo S, Britton S, Jackson S (2010) Human HDAC1 and HDAC2 function in the DNA-damage response to promote DNA nonhomologous end-joining. Nat Struct Mol Biol 17(9): 1144–1151.
- Munshi A, Kurland J, Nishikawa T, Tanaka T, Hobbs M, Tucker S, Ismail S, Stevens C, Meyn R (2005) Histone deacetylase inhibitors radiosensitize human melanoma cells by suppressing DNA repair activity. Clin Cancer Res 11(13): 4912–4922.
- Munshi A, Tanaka T, Hobbs M, Tucker S, Richon V, Meyn R (2006) Vorinostat, a histone deacetylase inhibitor, enhances the response of

<span id="page-6-0"></span>human tumor cells to ionizing radiation through prolongation of gamma-H2AX foci. Mol Cancer Ther 5(8): 1967–1974.

- Noguchi H, Yamashita H, Murakami T, Hirai K, Noguchi Y, Maruta J, Yokoi T, Noguchi S (2009) Successful treatment of anaplastic thyroid carcinoma with a combination of oral valproic acid, chemotherapy, radiation and surgery. Endocr J 56(2): 245-249.
- Nolan L, Johnson PW, Ganesan A, Packham G, Crabb SJ (2008) Will histone deacetylase inhibitors require combination with other agents to fulfil their therapeutic potential? Br J Cancer 99(5): 689-694.
- Rassool FV, Tomkinson AE (2010) Targeting abnormal DNA double strand break repair in cancer. Cell Mol Life Sci 67(21): 3699–3710.
- Ree AH, Dueland S, Folkvord S, Hole KH, Seierstad T, Johansen M, Abrahamsen TW, Flatmark K (2010) Vorinostat, a histone deacetylase inhibitor, combined with pelvic palliative radiotherapy for gastrointestinal carcinoma: the pelvic radiation and vorinostat (PRAVO) phase 1 study. Lancet Oncol 11(5): 459–464.
- Ropero S, Esteller M (2007) The role of histone deacetylases (HDACs) in human cancer. Mol Oncol 1(1): 19-25.
- Shabason JE, Tofilon PJ, Camphausen K (2011) Grand rounds at the National Institutes of Health: HDAC inhibitors as radiation modifiers, from bench to clinic. J Cell Mol Med 15(12): 2735–2744.
- Sharma N, Groselj B, Hamdy F, Kiltie A (2012) The emerging role of histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors in urological cancers. Br J Urol Int; in press.
- Sharma S, Kelly TK, Jones PA (2010) Epigenetics in cancer. Carcinogenesis 31(1): 27–36.
- Spiegel S, Milstien S, Grant S (2012) Endogenous modulators and pharmacological inhibitors of histone deacetylases in cancer therapy. Oncogene 31(5): 537–551.
- Thompson L (2012) Recognition, signaling, and repair of DNA double-strand breaks produced by ionizing radiation in mammalian cells: the molecular choreography. Mutat Res 751(2): 158–246.
- Vilas-Zornoza A, Agirre X, Abizanda G, Moreno C, Segura V, De Martino Rodriguez A, José-Eneriz ES, Miranda E, Martín-Subero JI, Garate L, Blanco-Prieto MJ, García de Jalón JA, Rio P, Rifón J, Cigudosa JC, Martinez-Climent JA, Román-Gómez J, Calasanz MJ, Ribera JM, Prósper F (2012) Preclinical activity of LBH589 alone or in combination with chemotherapy in a xenogeneic mouse model of human acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Leukemia 26(7): 1517–1526.
- Wagner JM, Hackanson B, Lübbert M, Jung M (2010) Histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors in recent clinical trials for cancer therapy. Clin Epigenetics 1(3-4): 117–136.
- Williams G, Lees-Miller S, Tainer J (2010) Mre11-Rad50-Nbs1 conformations and the control of sensing, signaling, and effector responses at DNA double-strand breaks. DNA Repair (Amst) 9(12): 1299–1306.
- Zhang F, Zhang T, Teng Z, Zhang R, Wang J, Mei Q (2009) Sensitization to gamma-irradiation-induced cell cycle arrest and apoptosis by the histone deacetylase inhibitor trichostatin A in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cells. Cancer Biol Ther 8(9): 823–831.

GOSO This work is licensed under the Creative Commons **Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 3.0 Unported** License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/