



# Armadillidin H, a Glycine-Rich Peptide from the Terrestrial Crustacean Armadillidium vulgare, Displays an Unexpected Wide Antimicrobial Spectrum with Membranolytic Activity

Julien Verdon<sup>1</sup>\*, Pierre Coutos-Thevenot<sup>1</sup>, Marie-Helene Rodier<sup>1</sup>, Celine Landon<sup>2</sup>, Segolene Depayras<sup>1</sup>, Cyril Noel<sup>1</sup>, Sylvain La Camera<sup>1</sup>, Bouziane Moumen<sup>1</sup>, Pierre Greve<sup>1</sup>, Didier Bouchon<sup>1</sup>, Jean-Marc Berjeaud<sup>1</sup> and Christine Braquart-Varnier<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Laboratoire Ecologie et Biologie des Interactions, UMR CNRS 7267, Université de Poitiers, Poitiers, France, <sup>2</sup> Centre de Biophysique Moléculaire, CNRS UPR4301, Orléans, France

Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) are key components of innate immunity and are widespread in nature, from bacteria to vertebrate animals. In crustaceans, there are currently 15 distinct AMP families published so far in the literature, mainly isolated from members of the Decapoda order. Up to now, armadillidin is the sole non-decapod AMP isolated from the haemocytes of Armadillidium vulgare, a crustacean isopod. Its first description demonstrated that armadillidin is a linear glycine-rich (47%) cationic peptide with an antimicrobial activity directed toward Bacillus megaterium. In the present work, we report identification of armadillidin Q, a variant of armadillidin H (earlier known as armadillidin), from crude haemocyte extracts of A. vulgare using LC-MS approach. We demonstrated that both armadillidins displayed broad spectrum antimicrobial activity against several Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, fungi, but were totally inactive against yeasts. Membrane permeabilization assays, only performed with armadillidin H, showed that the peptide is membrane active against bacterial and fungal strains leading to deep changes in cell morphology. This damaging activity visualized by electronic microscopy correlates with a rapid decrease of cell viability leading to highly blebbed cells. In contrast, armadillidin H does not reveal cytotoxicity toward human erythrocytes. Furthermore, no secondary structure could be defined in this study [by circular dichroism (CD) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR)] even in a membrane mimicking environment. Therefore, armadillidins represent interesting candidates to gain insight into the biology of glycine-rich AMPs.

Keywords: antimicrobial peptide, crustacean, glycine-rich peptide, membrane permeabilization, mode of action, scanning electron microscopy, transmission electron microscopy

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Octavio Luiz Franco, Universidade Católica de Brasília, Brazil

#### Reviewed by:

Nádia Skorupa Parachin, University of Brasília, Brazil Suresh Korpole, Institute of Microbial Technology (CSIR). India

#### \*Correspondence:

Julien Verdon julien.verdon@univ-poitiers.fr Christine Braquart-Varnier christine.braquart@univ-poitiers.fr

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Antimicrobials, Resistance and Chemotherapy, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 09 June 2016 Accepted: 06 September 2016 Published: 22 September 2016

#### Citation:

Verdon J, Coutos-Thevenot P, Rodier M-H, Landon C, Depayras S, Noel C, La Camera S, Moumen B, Greve P, Bouchon D, Berjeaud J-M and Braquart-Varnier C (2016) Armadillidin H, a Glycine-Rich Peptide from the Terrestrial Crustacean Armadillidium vulgare, Displays an Unexpected Wide Antimicrobial Spectrum with Membranolytic Activity. Front. Microbiol. 7:1484. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.01484

# INTRODUCTION

By their number and their diversity, arthropods play an essential role in all ecosystems. They are widely distributed and can exploit a very diverse range of niches in both terrestrial and aquatic environments. Thus, their dispersion and survival depend on their defense system implemented to fight against any kind of disease-causing agents and parasites present in their environment. To overcome the impacts of pathogens, arthropods have evolved efficient mechanisms based on two specific and complementary immune responses (Lemaitre and Hoffmann, 2007; Cerenius et al., 2010; Buchon et al., 2014): (i) the cellular response, resulting in phagocytosis of small particles and encapsulation of larger ones and (ii) the humoral response involving the synthesis and the release of several immune proteins into the haemolymph, such as clotting enzymes, phenoloxidase cascade effectors and antimicrobial peptides (AMPs).

After insects, crustaceans are by far the most numerous, diverse and widespread animals in the biosphere. Among them, terrestrial crustacean species are perfectly adapted to the life out of water, notably the terrestrial isopods which constitute an important component of the soil fauna (Jeffery et al., 2010; Hornung, 2011). As they break down more than 10% of the decaying leaf litter of many plant species (Curry, 1987), terrestrial isopods are classified as detritivorous. Thus, they are in permanent contact with abundant and diverse communities of microorganisms (Jeffery et al., 2010).

In all described crustacean species, immune reactions take place in blood (haemolymph) which usually contains three haemocyte types: hyaline, semi-granular and granular (Vazquez et al., 2009; Chevalier et al., 2011). Each haemocyte type is thought to have a primary function, for example, haemocytes containing granules are involved in the production, storage and release of AMPs (Vazquez et al., 2009). In crustaceans, there are 15 distinct AMP families published in the literature which are further clustered into four main groups based on amino-acid composition and structure (Rosa and Barracco, 2010; Smith and Dyrynda, 2015). The groups include (i) Single-domain peptides containing cysteine residues engaged in disulfide bonds such as the anti-LPS factor common to various decapod species (ii) Multi-domain or chimeric AMPs like crustins which are shared by several crustacean species (iii) Unconventional AMPs and (iv) Single-domain linear α-helical AMPs and peptides enriched in specific amino acids.

The great majority of these AMPs were isolated from diverse species of the Decapoda order (shrimp, crab, craysfish, lobster) and only one has been characterized in a terrestrial isopod species. This sole non-decapod AMP has been isolated from the haemocytes of *Armadillidium vulgare* and was named as armadillidin (Herbiniere et al., 2005). Armadillidin is a linear cationic peptide characterized by a high glycine content (47%) and a sixfold repeated motif GGGF(H/N)(R/S). However, the amount of purified armadillidin was insufficient to establish an exhaustive antimicrobial spectrum and to determine its secondary

structure (Herbiniere et al., 2005). As we were unable to test many microbial strains, the reported spectrum with only one strain found as sensitive (*B. megaterium*) has to be taken with caution.

In the present work, we report armadillidin H (earlier known as armadillidin) variant peptide named as armadillidin Q identified by LC-MS and isolated from crude haemocyte extracts of A. vulgare. In order to provide a deeper characterization of both armadillidins, synthetic peptides were purchased and used to by-passed previous technical barriers. The antimicrobial activity of armadillidin H and armadillidin Q was determined against B. megaterium to confirm our previous data, but also against selected Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, yeasts and fungi indicator strains. As both peptides exhibit similar activities, subsequent experiments were only performed with the armadillidin H peptide. Its structure was studied by circular dichroism (CD) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR). Morphological changes of bacterial and fungal treated cells were visualized by electronic microscopy. Finally, cell permeabilization assays were performed to determine whether armadillidin H exhibits a membranolytic activity.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

## **Strains and Culture Conditions**

Bacterial and yeast strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. Bacteria were grown for 24 h either on nutrient agar plates or broth under shaking (200 rpm), at 28 or 37°C depending on the tested strain. Yeasts were grown for 48 h on Sabouraud agar medium at 37°C. Fungal strains are listed in Table 2. Aspergillus fumigatus ATCC 16424, A. terreus MHR1, and Scedosporium apiospermum EC13 were also grown on Sabouraud agar medium, but at 28°C during 5 days. Conidia were then harvested in sterile water, filtered to remove hyphae and adjusted to a working concentration of 10<sup>5</sup> conidia/ml. Botrytis cinerea B05.10 and Alternaria brassicicola Abra43 were cultured in 9.5 cm Petri dishes containing Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA, Becton Dickinson) under a 16 h photoperiod at 22°C for 2 weeks to induce sporulation. Then, conidia were harvested in 3 ml of sterile water, filtered through miracloth (EMD Chemicals) and adjusted to a working concentration of 10<sup>5</sup> conidia/ml.

# Synthetic Peptides and Reagents

Synthetic native peptides, armadillidin H (molecular weight of 5259.69 g/mol) and armadillidin Q (molecular weight of 5250.68 g/mol), with the respective amino acid sequences (without C-terminal amidation) GHLGRPYIGGGGGFNRG GGFHRGGGFHRGGGFHSGGGFHRGGGFHSGGSFGYR and GHLGRPYIGGGGGFNRGGGFHRGGGFHRGGGFHRGGGFHSGGSFGYR RGGGFHSGGSFGYR were purchased from ProteoGenix Corporation (Schiltigheim, France). Stock solutions were prepared in 8% acetonitrile at a final concentration of 2.7 mM and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C. Working solutions (380  $\mu$ M) were prepared by dilution in sterile water. All other reagents were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (Saint-Louis, MO, USA) unless stated otherwise.

#### TABLE 1 | Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of both armadillidins against selected microbial strains.

Microbial strains		MIC of armadillidin H ( $\mu$ M)	MIC of armadillidin Q ( $\mu$ M)
Gram-positive bacteria	Bacillus megaterium F04	2.37	4.75
	Bacillus pumilus NG1	4.75	4.75
	Bacillus subtilis LMG 28342	9.5	9.5
	Micrococcus lysodeikticus ATCC 4698	2.37	2.37
	Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 29213	>19	>19
	Staphylococcus lentus 982	4.75	9.5
	Staphylococcus warneri RK	>19	>19
Gram-negative bacteria	Aeromonas hydrophila LMG 2844	9.5	9.5
	Enterobacter cloacae DO3	9.5	9.5
	Escherichia coli LMG 2092	9.5	9.5
	Flavobacterium breve LMG 4011	9.5	9.5
	Klebiella pneumoniae 0502083	19	>19
	Pseudomonas aeruginosa PA14	19	>19
	Pseudomonas fluorescens MFE01	19	>19
	Pseudomonas syringae pv tomato DC3000	4.75	9.5
	Salmonella enterica J18	19	19
Yeasts	Candida albicans ATCC 2091	>19	>19
	Candida glabrata ATCC 2001	>19	>19
	Candida inconspicua MHR 29	>19	>19
	Candida parapsilosis ATCC 22019	>19	>19
	Candida zeylanoides CLIB 361	>19	>19

Bacteria (10<sup>6</sup> CFU/ml) or blastospores (0.5 × 10<sup>3</sup> to 2.5 × 10<sup>3</sup>/ml) were incubated with twofold dilutions of a 380 μM peptide stock solution. Results correspond to the MIC after incubation for 24 h (bacteria) or 48 h (yeasts) at 28 or 37°C depending on the tested strain. Results are the mean of three independent experiments. Microbial strains were obtained from various culture collections: ATCC (American Type Culture Collection), CLIB (Centre International de Ressources Microbiennes, CIRM-levures, INRA Micalis, AgroParis tech, France) and LMG (Library of Microbiology Universiteit Gent, Belgium). Other strains were from the laboratory culture collection.

### **LC-MS** Analysis

The molecular masses of haemocyte crude extracts [prepared as described in (Herbiniere et al., 2005)] and synthetic peptides were determined by electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) with a Xevo Q-TOF (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) mass spectrometer. Samples were suspended in 50% acetonitrile/0.2% formic acid (v/v). LC-MS mass spectra were performed in positive mode with a cone voltage ramping from 20 to 40 V. The spray voltage was set to 3.0 kV, the source temperature to 120°C and

TABLE 2 | Minimum inhibiting concentrations for fungal conidia germination induced by armadillidins.

Fungal strains	MIC <sup>a</sup> of armadillidin Η (HL <sub>50</sub> ) <sup>b</sup> (μM)	MIC <sup>a</sup> of armadillidin Q (HL <sub>50</sub> ) <sup>b</sup> (μM)
A. brassicicola Abra43	7.6 (<1.9)	15.2 (3.8)
A. fumigatus ATCC 16424	>38 (>38)	>38 (>38)
A. terreus MHR 1	>38 (>38)	>38 (>38)
B. cinerea B05.10	>38 (15.2)	>38 (19)
S. apiospermum EC13	>38 (<4.7)	>38 (9.5)

<sup>a</sup>MIC was defined as the lowest concentration of peptide that totally inhibits conidia germination after 36 h. <sup>b</sup>HL<sub>50</sub> was defined as the concentration of peptide needed to induce a 50% reduction of hyphae length. Blastospores (0.5 × 103 to 2.5 × 103/ml) were incubated with twofold dilutions of a 380 µM peptide stock solution at 22°C. Results are the mean of three independent experiments. Fungal strains were obtained either from ATCC (American Type Culture Collection) or from the laboratory culture collection. B. cinerea B05.10 (Staats and van Kan, 2012) and A. brassicicola Abra43 (Joubert et al., 2010) are known reference strains.

the desolvation temperature to 450°C. The LC separation was conducted on a ProSwift<sup>®</sup> RP-1S (Dionex) analytical reversephase HPLC column (4.6 mm × 50 mm). Separation was carried out using a water/acetonitrile/formic acid 0.2% (v/v) solvent system. After an initial 5 min wash without acetonitrile, elution was achieved at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min with a 10 min linear gradient from 0 to 40% acetonitrile, followed by a 5 min wash with 80% acetonitrile.

# Bacterial and Yeast Growth Inhibition Assays

Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) of both armadillidins toward various bacterial and yeast strains were measured according to the method detailed elsewhere (Verdon et al., 2008). Yeast suspensions  $[0.5 \times 10^3$  to  $2.5 \times 10^3$  blastospores/ml in RPMI 1640 medium buffered to pH 7.0 with 0.165 M MOPS (morpholinepropanesulfonic acid)] were incubated with twofold dilutions of a 380  $\mu$ M armadillidin stock solution. Suspensions were incubated for 48 h at 28 or 37°C depending on the tested strain.

# Filamentous Fungal Growth Inhibition Assays

Hyphal growth inhibition tests were performed in 96-wells plate (Nunc) containing Malt extract (15 g.l<sup>-1</sup>; Duchefa) medium for *B. cinerea* B05.10 and *A. brassicicola Abra*43 or RPMI MOPS medium for *A. fumigatus* ATCC 16424, *A. terreus* MHR1

and *S. apiospermum* EC13 strains. Different concentrations of the working peptide solution were prepared in sterile water. A volume of 5  $\mu$ l of conidia suspension (10<sup>2</sup> conidia/ $\mu$ l) was added to the incubation medium containing 50  $\mu$ l of the peptide solution and 50  $\mu$ l of culture medium. In parallel, 50  $\mu$ l of sterile water was added instead of the peptide solution. The microtiter plates were placed on an orbital shaker (30 rpm) and incubated for 36 h at 22°C. Each well was observed under an inverted microscope (LEICA, DMI 6000B) and the length of three hyphae (hl) was measured on three independent replicates. Length averages were calculated and the percentage of inhibition was determined according to the following formula: % inhibition = (100–(100/hl<sub>control</sub>) × hl<sub>peptide</sub>).

#### **Bactericidal Activity Assays**

*Pseudomonas syringae* DC3000 or *B. megaterium* F04 cells were grown to an OD<sub>600</sub> between 0.15 and 0.35. Bacteria were then appropriately diluted in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) to  $10^6$  CFU/ml. Serial twofold dilutions of armadillidin H were achieved in sterile 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) and added (15 µl) to bacterial suspension (285 µl) at a starting concentration of 4.75 µM. Suspensions were incubated for 1 h at 28 or 37°C depending on the tested strain. Controls were run without peptide (only with the peptide solvent containing acetonitrile). The number of colony-forming units (CFU) was determined by plating 10-fold serial dilutions of bacterial suspensions on NB agar plates after 24 h of incubation at 37°C for *B. megaterium* or 36 h at 28 °C for *P. syringae*.

### **Time-Killing Assays**

Exponentially growing bacteria in NB (*B. megaterium* F04 and *P. syringae* DC3000) were appropriately diluted in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) to obtain a concentration of  $10^6$  CFU/ml. Aliquots of 950 µl were incubated (37°C for *B. megaterium* and 28°C for *P. syringae*) with 50 µl of 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) containing armadillidin H at a final concentration of 1.2 µM for *P. syringae* and 4.75 µM for *B. megaterium*. Controls were run without peptide. At different times, suspensions were diluted in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) and spread onto NB agar plates. After an overnight incubation at 37°C for *B. megaterium* or 36 h at 28°C for *P. syringae*, CFU were counted.

### Scanning (SEM) and Transmission (TEM) Electron Microscopy

Exponentially growing bacteria in NB (*B. megaterium* F04 and *P. syringae* DC3000) were appropriately diluted in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) to obtain a concentration of  $5.10^7$  CFU/ml. Bacteria were then treated for 15 min at  $28^{\circ}$ C (*P. syringae* DC3000) or  $37^{\circ}$ C (*B. megaterium* F04) with armadillidin H concentrations that induce the highest loss of cultivability (determined by counting CFU as described above for bactericidal activity assays) and that allow the presence of a pellet to work on: 9.5  $\mu$ M for *P. syringae* and 2.37  $\mu$ M for *B. megaterium*. *A. brassicicola Abra*43 mycelium was collected

after 24 h of culture at 22°C in absence or in presence of 7.6  $\mu$ M armadillidin H, centrifuged (5000  $\times$  g, 10 min) and fixed according to the following procedure. Cells were fixed for 1 h with 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.1. After PBS washes, bacterial cells were post-fixed for 45 min in 1% osmium tetraoxyde in phosphate buffer. Dehydration was carried out using successive incubations of increasing ethanol concentrations (from 70 to 100%). Cells were then resuspended in 100% ethanol and separated for SEM or TEM. Each part was centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 min. For TEM, the P. syringae DC3000 or B. megaterium F04 pellet was included in epon resin and after 24 h of polymerisation,70 nm sections were cut using an ultramicrotome UC6 (Leica). Uranyl acetate (2% in 70% ethanol) and lead citrate were used as contrasting agents for electron microscopy (JEOL 1010 à 80 KV). TEM on cells was recorded using Olympus digital camera Quemesa with Item software. For SEM, bacterial cells were deposited on a 12 mm cover glass and dried by HMDS treatment (hexamethyldisilazane). The surface of the coverglass was sputter-coated in a vacuum with an electrically conductive 25 nm thick layer of gold-palladium alloy coating system (BALTEC Scd 005 sputter coater). SEM images were then recorded with a scanning electron microscope (JEOL 840) at 15 kV.

### Membrane Permeabilization Assays

Pseudomonas syringae DC3000 or B. megaterium F04 cells were grown to an OD<sub>600</sub> between 0.15 and 0.35. Bacteria were centrifuged (10000  $\times$  g, 30 s) and pellets were suspended in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) to approximately 5.107 CFU/ml. Bacterial suspensions were treated with armadillidin H at a final concentration of 9.5 µM for P. syringae and 2.37 µM for B. megaterium. Suspensions were incubated for 15 min at 28 or 37°C depending on the tested strain. Controls were run without peptide and with 0.1% Triton X-100 for maximal lysis activity. One half of the cell suspensions was analyzed using epifluorescence microscopy (Olympus BX41) after 15 min of bacterial staining with 5 µM SYTO9 (S9) and 7 µM Propidium Iodide (PI) from the Live/Dead BacLight kit L-7005 (Invitrogen, Cergy Pontoise, France), as recommended by the supplier. Damaged bacteria (PI positive) and total bacteria (S9 positive) were counted in each observed field for a total of 10 fields/slide and three slides/condition. Results were expressed as permeabilization: % permeabilization = (number of PI<sup>+</sup> bacteria/total number of bacteria)  $\times$  100. The other half of the bacterial suspensions was appropriately diluted in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) and spread on NB agar plates. After an overnight incubation at 37°C for B. megaterium or 36 h at 28°C for P. syringae, CFU were counted.

For A. brassicicola Abra43, the membrane permeabilization assay was adapted from Joubert et al. (2010). Briefly, 24 hold germinating conidia grown on malt extract were exposed to 7.6  $\mu$ M armadillidin H or 0.1% Triton X-100 as positive control for 4 h. After treatment, SYTOX Green was added to the incubation mixture (final concentration of 2  $\mu$ M) as indicated by the supplier and samples were observed using epifluorescence microscopy as described above.

#### **Hemolytic Activity Assay**

Hemolytic activity of armadillidin H was measured by detection of released hemoglobin from fresh human erythrocytes. Prior to the assay, the blood was centrifuged (2000  $\times$  g, 3 min, 4°C) to collect erythrocytes. Erythrocytes were washed three times with PBS and adjusted to a final concentration of 10%  $(\sim 1.10^8 \text{ cells/ml} \text{ as determined by blood cells counting with a})$ hemocytometer). Reactions were performed in 1 ml mixtures containing 1% erythrocytes (v/v) and variable amount of peptides or an equivalent volume of PBS buffer. Serial twofold dilutions of peptide were performed in PBS buffer and added to 1% human erythrocytes at a starting concentration of 19 µM. Samples were incubated at 37°C for 30 min. Erythrocytes were removed by centrifugation (2000  $\times$  g, 3 min, 4°C) and the absorbance of the supernatant was measured at 576 nm. The level of hemolysis when suspending erythrocytes in 0.1% Triton X-100 was considered 100%. Three experiments were carried out in duplicates.

Human blood was obtained from the French national blood transfusion organization, "Etablissement Français du Sang -Centre Atlantique," under the agreement number CA-PLER-2014 089. Donors gave their written and informed consent for the use of blood samples in research.

## **Circular Dichroism (CD) Analysis**

Circular dichroism spectra were recorded on a JASCO V-670 spectrometer in the range 190–260 nm, every 0.5 nm (cell length 1 cm), with an armadillidin H sample of 19  $\mu$ M in 100 mM phosphate buffer, pH 6.0. Then, 50% TFE were added. For spectral deconvolution, the three computer programs Selcon3, CDsstr, and CONTIN/LL have been used, available in the CDPro

software package (Sreerama and Woody, 2000) or used at the internet-based CD analysis site DICHROWEB (Whitmore and Wallace, 2008), with selected bases corresponding to soluble proteins (either structured or denaturated).

## Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) Spectroscopy Analysis

Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were recorded on an advance III HD BRUKER 700 MHz spectrometer equipped with a TCI cryoprobe, and were processed with the NMRPipe/NMRDraw software package (Delaglio et al., 1995). A set of 2D <sup>1</sup>H NOESY (160 ms), 2D <sup>1</sup>H TOCSY (80 ms), natural abundancy <sup>13</sup>C HSQC were performed at 298 K on a 0.1 mM (300  $\mu$ l in a 3 mm tube) solution of armadillidin H in 100 mM phosphate buffer, pH 5.2, and 10% of D<sub>2</sub>O <sup>1</sup>H chemical shifts were referenced to the DSS signal at 0 ppm. After lyophilisation, the same sample was solubilized in H<sub>2</sub>O/TFE (50/50, v/v) to acquire 160 ms NOESY, 80 ms TOCSY experiments, and <sup>13</sup>C HSQC with the previously defined parameters.

# RESULTS

# Discovery of an Armadillidin H Variant in Haemocytes of *Armadillidium vulgare*

To find out if armadillidin is the only AMP produced and stored in *A. vulgare* haemocytes (Herbiniere et al., 2005), a crude extract prepared from haemocytes of 100 animals was analyzed by LC-MS. A weak peak was observed at 9.3 min corresponding to the retention time found for synthetic armadillidin (not shown). The corresponding spectrum (**Figure 1A**) showed the



same multicharged ions as those observed for the analysis of synthetic armadillidin (Figure 1B). As expected, the calculated monoisotopic molecular mass was 5256.15 Da. Surprisingly, a second set of multicharged ions was observed, close to the armadillidin peaks. The monoisotopic molecular mass calculated for this second peptide was 5247.13 Da. We hypothesized that the difference of 9 Da between these two peptides could correspond to the replacement of a His (H) residue by a Gln (Q) or a Lys (K) residue. To verify this hypothesis, an A. vulgare transcriptome generated by another research program (ANR-10-BLAN-1701 ImmunSymbArt; Sequence Read Archive SRA database accession numbers: SRX564995-SRX565004) and, available in the laboratory, was screened using the blastx alignment tool<sup>1</sup>. Results showed the presence of two variants of armadillidin encoded in the genome of A. vulgare, the second one differing from the previously described one (Herbiniere et al., 2005) by only one amino acid substitution: a H is replaced by a Q at position 33. Therefore, we named this variant armadillidin Q and the first discovered armadillidin was renamed armadillidin H.

## Antimicrobial Activity of Both Armadillidins

In order to determine the putative sensitivity of various microbial strains to both armadillidins, an antibacterial activity assay was performed and the MIC was determined for each tested strain.

<sup>1</sup>http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/



MIC was defined as the lowest concentration of peptide that totally inhibits the visible growth of a selected bacterial or yeast strain after a chosen incubation period (24 h for bacteria and 48 h for yeasts). Results are given in **Table 1**. Armadillidin H was shown to be active against all the tested bacteria, excepted for two staphylococcal strains (**Table 1**). Among sensitive strains, four appeared to be highly susceptible as they were inhibited at low peptide concentrations ( $\sim 2-5 \mu$ M). Similar results were obtained for armadillidin Q as determined MICs were in the same range as for the parent peptide (**Table 1**). However, concerning yeasts, no growth inhibition has been measured for both peptides (**Table 1**).



**FIGURE 3 | Time-killing curves of selected bacteria by armadillidin H.** (A) *B. megaterium* **F04 and (B)** *P. syringae* **DC3000.** Bacteria were diluted to 10<sup>6</sup> CFU/ml in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) and incubated 5 min with armadillidin H. Controls correspond to bacteria incubated in buffer without peptide. Two experiments were carried out in triplicates and the results are expressed as means. Bar scales indicate standard deviation.



All together, these results indicated that both armadillidins displays a similar broad antibacterial spectrum.

When tested against A. brassicicola Abra43, both peptides displayed a high inhibitory effect on mycelium growth. Indeed, the growth was completely stopped at 7.6  $\mu$ M for armadillidin H and at 15.2  $\mu$ M for armadillidin Q (Table 2) and conidial germination never occurred even after 2 weeks of culture (data not shown). The difference in the MIC of the two peptides against A. brassicicola Abra43 corresponds to only one dilution. Because we did not test intermediary dilutions, MIC for armadillidin Q could be close to 8 µM thus not significantly different. For B. cinerea B05.10, conidial germination still occurred when treated at the highest tested peptides concentration (38  $\mu$ M; Table 2), indicating that B. cinerea B05.10 is less sensitive to armadillidins than A. brassicicola Abra43. However, a 50% hyphae length reduction was observed at 15.2  $\mu$ M for armadillidin H and 19  $\mu$ M for armadillidin Q (Table 2). Similar results were obtained for S. apiospermum EC13 with a 50% hyphae length reduction observed at 4.7  $\mu$ M for armadillidin H and 9.5  $\mu$ M for armadillidin Q (Table 2). No effect was observed on the mycelium length for the two others fungi, A. fumigatus ATCC 16424 and A. terreus MHR1, over the tested concentration range of both armadillidins.

As both peptides displayed the same inhibition spectrum with close MICs values, we decided to use only armadillidin H for the subsequent biological tests and the structure determination. Moreover, *B. megaterium* F04, *P. syringae* DC3000, and *A. brassisicola Abra*43 were chosen as models to study the mode of action of armadillidin H as they were representative of sensitive Gram-positive, Gram-negative and fungi, respectively.

In addition, these microorganisms are known to be relatively abundant and ubiquitous in soil where *A. vulgare* is living (Fierer et al., 2012).

# Bactericidal Activity of Armadillidin H and Killing Kinetics

To verify whether armadillidin H has the capacity to kill bacteria or to only inhibit their growth, B. megaterium F04 and P. syringae DC3000 were incubated for a duration of 1 h in the presence or absence of the peptide. After treatments, serial dilutions were spread on NB agar plates so as to quantify viable bacteria. As shown in Figure 2, a decrease of CFU was obtained while increasing armadillidin H concentration, in a dose-dependent manner. No bacterial growth was observed at 4.75 µM for B. megaterium F04 and 1.18 µM for P. syringae DC3000 indicating that armadillidin H acts as a bactericidal peptide. Moreover, the time-killing curves revealed that armadillidin H induced the killing of all the B. megaterium population within 5 min (Figure 3A). By contrast, the killing of all the *P. syringae* population was achieved faster as no CFU was detected after a 30 s incubation period with armadillidin H (Figure 3B). These results indicated that the bactericidal effect of armadillidin H occurs very quickly on both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria.

# Morphological Changes Induced by Armadillidin H on Bacterial and Fungal Cells

To gain insight into the direct effect of armadillidin H on the morphology of treated cells, we used SEM and TEM approaches.





Representative electron micrographs of selected experiments are shown in Figures 4-6 for P. syringae, B. megaterium, and A. brassicicola, respectively. Control P. syringae cells had a smooth and normal surface morphology (Figures 4A,C). However, incubation with 9.5  $\mu$ M armadillidin H showed severe surface damages like membrane wrinkling and bubbling (Figures 4B,D). Moreover, many cells had lost their intracellular organization and membrane integrity. Regarding B. megaterium, untreated samples consisted mainly of single cells or diplobacilli displaying an intact smooth surface (Figure 5A). TEM analysis also revealed intact cell walls and cytoplasmic membranes (Figure 5C). The armadillidin H treatment greatly affected the cell morphology of *B. megaterium* with a deep roughening of the cell surface (Figure 5B) and a considerable loss of the typical subcellular organization with different steps in peptide-mediated killing (Figure 5D). Indeed, it began with the condensation of cytoplasmic material and detachment of the cell membrane (Figure 5D). In the next step, this condensation evolved to a

highly electron-dense amorphous region (Figures 5D,E). Some alterations of the cell wall were also noticed. Finally, cells adopted a ghost-like appearance highlighted by the presence of a large electron-lucent area (Figure 5F). The cells seemed to have lost a part of their cytoplasmic contents, although the overall cell shape was still recognizable. Concerning mycelium of A. brassicicola, in the control condition, it displayed a smooth surface and numerous ramifications (Figure 6A). In contrast, mycelium of A. brassicicola treated with 7.6 µM armadillidin H showed a complete disruption of branching and an uncontrolled cell proliferation (Figure 6B). At higher magnification, vesicle-like structures protruding from the mycelium cell wall were observed, leading to a granular and irregular surface (Figure 6C). TEM observations confirm these findings (Figures 6D-F). Indeed, untreated mycelium (Figure 6D) showed cells with smooth surface and cell-wall, the plasma membrane is also clearly defined. The cytoplasm is well-structured and dense with visible organelles and endoplasmic reticulum. By contrast, fungal cells



treated with armadillidin H (**Figures 6E,F**) have lost their regular shape. We observed extracellular blebs, already visible in SEM. The cell-wall is rough and the cytoplasm structure is clearly altered. Plasmalemma and organelles appear to be degraded showing that cells are probably collapsed.

# Armadillidin H Induced Membrane Permeabilization

As the observed ultrastructure of armadillidin H-treated cells strongly suggested a membranolytic step in the mode of action of this peptide, we investigated the membrane permeabilization potency of this peptide using propidium iodide that easily penetrates cells with compromised membranes. In parallel, the viability of bacteria was assayed by CFU counts so as to potentially correlate cell permeabilization and bacterial death (**Figure 7**). In the case of *B. megaterium*, 2.37  $\mu$ M armadillidin H induced 95.3% (±5.1%) permeabilization of the cell population and a 5 log-reduction of the CFU number (from 6.9  $\pm$  0.3

to 1.8  $\pm$  0.2; **Figure 7A**). Concerning *P. syringae*, 9.5  $\mu$ M armadillidin H induced 95.4% ( $\pm$ 1.1%) permeabilization of the cell population while no CFU growth was observed (from 7.7  $\pm$  0.5 to 0; **Figure 7B**). Thus, armadillidin H induced permeabilization of the bacterial membrane, thereby provoking, directly or not, cell death. Concerning the membrane integrity of *A. brassicicola*, as seen in **Figure 8**, very little intracellular green fluorescence (SYTOX Green) was detected in untreated hyphae (**Figure 8A**). By contrast, many intracellular green areas were observed after 4 h of exposure with 7.6  $\mu$ M armadillidin H resulting from dye uptake (**Figure 8B**). Similar results were observed when hyphae were incubated with 0.1% Triton X-100 as a control (**Figure 8C**). Taken together, these results indicate that armadillidin H permeabilizes *A. brassicicola* hyphae.

# Hemolytic Activity of Armadillidin H

To investigate whether armadillidin H has any effect on mammalian membranes, its hemolytic potency was assayed. After incubating fresh human erythrocytes with the peptide up to



19  $\mu$ M concentrations, no hemoglobin release was observed, indicating that armadillidin H does not cause lysis of erythrocyte membrane (**Figure 9**).

### Structure of Armadillidin H

Preliminary to the experimental structural evaluation, the sequence of armadillidin H was submitted to MedoR (MEtaserver of DisORder), a metaserver for predicting protein disorder

(Lieutaud et al., 2008). Whatever the predictor questioned by the MedoR metaserver, no secondary structure could be predicted. The FoldIndex software (Prilusky et al., 2005) augurs armadillidin H to be unstructured in the range 1–53, i.e., from the first to the last residue. The two CD spectra of armadillidin H recorded in 100 mM phosphate buffer pH 6.0, with or without the addition of 50% TFE display the same shape. When a deconvolution program, whatever the bases of soluble proteins chosen structured or not - is applied, a large majority of residues are predicted to be unstructured or in turn, with only 25-30% of residues which could be in an extended conformation. However, the prediction of  $\beta$ -sheet by CD is generally trickier than the prediction of helices. Due to the dilution by a factor of two, the CD spectrum with TFE is less intense, but totally superimposable with the spectra recorded without TFE (Supplementary Figure S1), which means that the peptide does not show any propensity to form secondary structures when the media mimics membrane environment.

The NMR spectra showed a weak dispersion of 1H chemical shifts in the amide region (between 7.7 and 8.5 ppm), indicative of an unstructured peptide. Moreover, Ha and Ca chemical shifts, known to be particularly sensitive to secondary structures, are restricted. Finally, the superimposition of TOCSY and NOESY spectra (data not shown) does not highlight any additional peaks in the NOESY spectra that could be indicative of medium or long range NOE connectivities typical of 3D structuration. Then armadillidin H is not structured in our experimental conditions (0.1 mM in phosphate buffer pH 5.2). The addition of 50% of TFE (Figure 10) does not lead in significant shifts (except for the NH protons sensitive to "pH" variations induced by the addition of TFE). Ha and Ca chemical shifts, sensors of secondary structure variations, are not influenced by the addition of TFE. This means that the peptide remains unstructured, even in presence of TFE 50%, then it does not show any propensity to form secondary structures when the media mimics membrane environment.

# DISCUSSION

Armadillidin H is a crustacean linear cationic glycine-rich peptide with a remarkable amino acid composition as seen in other glycine-rich AMPs (Baumann et al., 2010). Indeed, only ten amino acids make up the peptide which is mainly composed of G (47.2%), F (13.2%), H (11.3%), and N (11.3%) (Herbiniere et al., 2005). Another striking feature of this peptide is the presence of a sixfold repeated motif GGGF(H/N)(R/S) representing 68% of the sequence. Nowadays, there are 15 distinct AMP families described so far in crustaceans (Rosa and Barracco, 2010). Most of them are characterized by a high diversity of isoforms and it is proposed that this multiplicity could confer a broad spectrum of activity to a single peptide family (Rosa and Barracco, 2010; Smith and Dyrynda, 2015). Firstly, to determine whether armadillidin H is the only isoform produced and stored within A. vulgare haemocytes, a LC-MS analysis was conducted using a crude extract of haemocytes. Results showed the presence of an



extra peptide with a calculated average molecular weight of 5250.68 Da, 9 Da lower than the molecular weight of armadillidin H (Herbiniere et al., 2005). Results were confirmed by the presence of the corresponding cDNAs in *A. vulgare* RNAseq libraries. Hence, *A. vulgare* expresses two armadillidin peptides, the previously identified armadillidin H and a second isoform, named armadillidin Q. Secondly, we showed that both chemically synthesized armadillidins have equivalent antimicrobial activity against Gram-positive bacteria, Gram-negative bacteria and filamentous fungi since MICs values for both peptides are all within a twofold difference. Moreover, the lowest MICs values were mainly measured for Gram-positive bacteria and *P. syringae* 



DC3000. These values are in the same micromolar range than MICs values obtained for efficient antibacterial compounds already described in literature (Verdon et al., 2008; Loiseau et al., 2015). Surprisingly, no activity was detected against yeasts of the Candida genus. Indeed, glycine-rich peptides are generally reported to be active against Gram-negative bacteria and/or fungi (including yeasts) as it was described for arthropod AMPs like acanthoscurrins (Lorenzini et al., 2003), coleoptericin (Bulet et al., 1991), ctenidins (Baumann et al., 2010), diptericin A (Keppi et al., 1989), holotricin 3 (Lee et al., 1995), or tenecin 3 (Lee et al., 1996), frog AMPs like leptoglycin (Sousa et al., 2009) and plant AMPs like shepherins (Park et al., 2000) (Table 3). However, some exceptions exist like hyastatin from the spider crab Hyas araneus that exhibits a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activity (Sperstad et al., 2009), or SK84 from Drosophila virilis that appears to be only active against Gram-positive bacteria (Lu and Chen, 2010). Armadillidins constitute now a new exception within the glycine-rich AMPs family in terms of antimicrobial activity. We must notice that a few microbial strains were tested to establish antimicrobial spectra except for shepherins, coleoptericin, and armadillidins so published results should be interpreted with caution. Moreover, no hemolytic activity was detected against human red blood cells for armadillidin H, as also reported for diptericin A, leptoglycin and SK84 (Table 3). The fact that this peptide displayed strong antimicrobial activity but no hemolysis underlines its therapeutic potential even if further toxicity experiments are needed.

The wide antimicrobial spectrum of armadillidins raises the question of how these peptides act on various cells types. Usually, cationic AMPs are able to interact with membranes, leading to a perturbation of the lipid bilayer physical integrity, like membrane thinning and formation of transient pores that could induce cell permeabilization (Li et al., 2012). Peptides could also be translocated across membranes, bringing them in contact with internal targets (Brogden, 2005). Few peptides,



like for example the Apis mellifera apidaecin, was reported to require a bacterial receptor as the activity of all-D and all-L enantiomers are different (Casteels and Tempst, 1994). First of all, armadillidin H displays a bactericidal effect on both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria and we highlighted that this killing activity occurred very quickly, certainly by a mechanism that does not use signaling pathways but involves more probably membrane permeabilization. With a calculated pI of 12.01, armadillidin H has a positive net charge of +7 at physiological pH, which is consistent with a membranolytic activity (Hancock and Sahl, 2006; Li et al., 2012). Moreover, all of the P. syringae cells lost their viability within 30 s while it takes 5 min to achieve the same result for B. megaterium. We could hypothesize that specific steps possibly occur to induce bacterial killing and in the case of P. syringae, armadillidin H kills bacteria so quickly that it becomes technically challenging to characterize the steps preceding cell death, if there are any. Additionally, we showed that the peptide induced cell membrane damages by a microscopic approach using both scanning and transmission electron microscopy. Indeed, ultrastructure of microbial cells was deeply impacted as many observed cells had lost their shape and membrane integrity, i.e., membrane wrinkling and bubbling.

Microscopic analyses of treated *B. megaterium* cells seemed to be in agreement with apparent steps in the action of armadillidin H as different subcellular organization were observed. Also, we showed that permeabilization perfectly correlates with a decrease of cells viability for each tested microorganism. Taken together, our findings indicate that armadillidin H is a membrane active peptide that rapidly induces perturbation of the lipid bilayer and membrane permeabilization thereby provoking, directly or not, cell death. Such biological effects were already described for many AMPs like for example the ovine cathelicidin SMAP29 on P. aeruginosa PAO1 cells (Kalfa et al., 2001) or the Galleria mellonella defensin on Legionella dumoffii cells (Palusinska-Szysz et al., 2012) but never, to our knowledge, for glycine-rich AMPs. Only SK84 was reported to destroy cells membranes but of human leukemia THP-1 cells and no data were given for bacteria excepted MICs (Lu and Chen, 2010).

As underlined by many authors, almost nothing is known about the secondary structure of glycine-rich peptides. To date, only experiments were carried out on shepherin Ia, the carboxyamidated analog of shepherin I, produced by chemical synthesis (Remuzgo et al., 2014). Authors failed to determine

				0000000				
Name	Organism	Length	Glycine content (%)	Ы	Sensitive strains	⁺MIC (μM)	Hemolytic activity	Reference
Acanthoscurrin 1 and 2	Acanthoscurria gomesiana	130 and 132 aa	72.3 and 72.7	10	C. albicans MDM8 E. coli SBS363	2.3 5.6	UN #	Lorenzini et al., 2003
Amadillidin H and Q	Armadillidium vulgare	53 aa	47.2	12.01	Gram+, Gram- and filamentous fungi	See Tables 1 and 2	No	This work
Coleoptericin	Zophobas atratus	74 aa	18	10.9	E. coli D22, D31	QN#	ON#	Bulet et al., 1991
Ctenidin 1, 2, and 3	Cupiennius salei	119, 109 and 120 aa	71.4, 70.6, and 71.6	9.6	E. coli ATCC 25922	Q	ON#	Baumann et al., 2010
Diptericin A	Phormia terranovae	82 aa	17.9	8.26	E coli D31 E herbicola T E carotovora 113 S. dispar P15 K. pneuroniae UNF 5023 X. nematophilus Xn21	CN *	° Z	Dimarcq et al., 1988; Keppi et al., 1989
Holotricin 3	Holotrichia diomphalia	84 aa	64.1	7.41	C. albicans 36232	QN#	ON#	Lee et al., 1995
Hyastatin	Hyas araneus	115 aa	27.2	9.84	C. glutamicum ATCC 13032 C. albicans ATCC 10231 E. coli ATCC 25922 S. cerevisiae	*0.4 *6.3-12.5 *12.5 *12.5	<u></u> и "	Sperstad et al., 2009
Leptoglycin	Leptodactylus pentadactylus	22 aa	59.1	5.52	C. freundii ATCC 8090 E. coli ATCC 28922 P. aeruginosa ATCC 9027	75 50 8	°Z	Sousa et al., 2009
SK84	Drosophila virilis	84 aa	15.5	6.57	B. subtilis ATCC 9372 B. thuringiensis ATCC 1041 S. aureus ATCC 6538	4 00 00	°Z	Lu and Chen, 2010
Shepherin I and II	Capsella bursa-pastoris	28 and 38 aa	67.9 and 65.8	7.28	<sup>A</sup> C. albicans ATCC 90028 C. albicans HU 168 C. albicans MDM8 C. parapsilosis ATCC 22019 C. krusei ATCC 6258 C. tropicalis Squibb 1600 S. cerevisiae ATCC 2601	25 25 12.5 6.25 50 12.5	Q *	Park et al., 2000; Remuzgo et al., 2014
<sup>†</sup> MIC is defined á *In this paper, MI #Not determined. ^∆More microbial	is the lowest concent C was defined as the strains were tested a	e lowest concentrat e lowest concentrat and found sensitive	t which the growth is 10 tion of peptide causing : to shepherins. However,	0% inhibited. >50% growth i ; they are not l	inhibition. isted in the table because authors only ,	provided IC <sub>50</sub> values.		

Frontiers in Microbiology | www.frontiersin.org

13

the shepherin Ia structure by NMR spectroscopy. However, they observed CD spectra similar to those of cyclic AMPs containing disulfide bridges such as tachyplesin (Rao, 1999), as well as the CD spectra of muscarinic toxin 7, which has a three-finger fold structure comprising five  $\beta$ -strands forming a twisted  $\beta$ -sheet (Fruchart-Gaillard et al., 2012). Concerning armadillidin H, CD spectra recorded with or without TFE are totally superimposable and no additional information was obtained by NMR. Those results emphasize that the peptide do not show any propensity to form secondary structures, in our conditions, even if the media mimics membrane environment.

In summary, the two armadillidin isoforms, armadillidin H and armadillidin Q, are glycine-rich peptides with a similar broad spectrum antimicrobial activity. They act in the micromolar range against Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria, filamentous fungi but were totally inactive against yeasts. Armadillidin H was shown to be membrane active against P. syringae, B. megaterium, and A. brassissicola, leading to deep changes in cell morphology. This damaging activity correlates to a rapid decrease of cell viability, leading to highly blebbed cells with subsequent leakage of their cytoplasmic contents. No secondary structure could be defined in this study even in a membrane mimicking environment. However, the GGGF(H/N)(R/S) repeated motif encountered in armadillidins could be critical for structure and activities, similarly to the GGH motif of shepherins (Park et al., 2000). Further studies will thus be necessary to better understand structure-function relationship and other properties like the apparent lack of cytotoxicity (no hemolytic activity). Therefore, armadillidins represent interesting candidates to gain insight into the biology of glycine-rich AMPs.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

JV, PC-T, SC, PG, DB, J-MB, and CB-V conceived and designed the study. JV, PC-T, M-HR, CL, SD, CN, SC, BM, and J-MB

#### REFERENCES

- Baumann, T., Kaempfer, U., Schuerch, S., Schaller, J., Largiader, C., Nentwig, W., et al. (2010). Ctenidins: antimicrobial glycine-rich peptides from the hemocytes of the spider *Cupiennius salei*. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 67, 2787–2798. doi: 10.1007/s00018-010-0364-0
- Brogden, K. A. (2005). Antimicrobial peptides: pore formers or metabolic inhibitors in bacteria? *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 3, 238–250. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro1098
- Buchon, N., Silverman, N., and Cherry, S. (2014). Immunity in Drosophila melanogaster – from microbial recognition to whole-organism physiology. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 14, 796–810. doi: 10.1038/nri3763
- Bulet, P., Cociancich, S., Dimarcq, J. L., Lambert, J., Reichhart, J. M., Hoffmann, D., et al. (1991). Insect immunity – isolation from a coleopteran insect of a novel inducible antibacterial peptide and of new members of the insect defensin family. J. Biol. Chem. 266, 24520–24525.
- Casteels, P., and Tempst, P. (1994). Apidaecin-type peptide antibiotics function through a non-poreforming mechanism involving stereospecificity. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 199, 339–345. doi: 10.1006/bbrc.1994.1234
- Cerenius, L., Kawabata, S., Lee, B. L., Nonaka, M., and Soderhall, K. (2010). Proteolytic cascades and their involvement in invertebrate immunity. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 35, 575–583. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2010.04.006

carried out the experiments. JV, PC-T, CL, J-MB, and CB-V wrote the paper.

## FUNDING

This work was supported by an internal funding of the CNRS UMR 7267 laboratory. The funding is partly granted by the following 2015–2020 programs: the State-Region Planning Contracts (CPER) and the European Regional Development Fund (FEDER).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the consortium *ImmunSymbArt* (ANR-10-BLAN-1701, coord. DB) for providing us *A. vulgare* transciptomic data. We thank E. Bere (ImageUP, University of Poitiers) and G. Mappa for expert technical advice with electronic microscopy and fungal assays, respectively. We gratefully acknowledge C. Delaunay, V. Barbot, C. Loiseau, F. Ecale, C. Desvignes, and S. Simon for technical assistance in antimicrobial assays. We express thanks to S. Vilette and H. Meudal for technical assistance in CD and NMR, respectively. We also acknowledge P. Simonneau for the generous gift of the *A. brassicicola Abra*43 strain.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmicb. 2016.01484

- Chevalier, F., Herbiniere-Gaboreau, J., Bertaux, J., Raimond, M., Morel, F., Bouchon, D., et al. (2011). The immune cellular effectors of terrestrial isopod *Armadillidium vulgare*: meeting with their invaders, Wolbachia. *PLoS ONE* 6:e18531. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0018531
- Curry, J. P. (1987). The invertebrate fauna of grassland and its influence on productivity.1. the composition of the fauna. *Grass Forage Sci.* 42, 103–120. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2494.1987.tb02097.x
- Delaglio, F., Grzesiek, S., Vuister, G. W., Zhu, G., Pfeifer, J., and Bax, A. (1995). NMRPIPE – a multidimensional spectral processing system based on unix pipes. J. Biomol. NMR 6, 277–293. doi: 10.1007/BF00197809
- Dimarcq, J. L., Keppi, E., Dunbar, B., Lambert, J., Reichhart, J. M., Hoffmann, D., et al. (1988). Insect immunity - purification and characterization of a family of novel inducible antibacterial proteins from immunized larvae of the dipteran phormia-terranovae and complete amino-acid-sequence of the predominant member, diptericin-a. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 171, 17–22. doi: 10.1111/j.1432-1033.1988.tb13752.x
- Fierer, N., Leff, J. W., Adams, B. J., Nielsen, U. N., Bates, S. T., Lauber, C. L., et al. (2012). Cross-biome metagenomic analyses of soil microbial communities and their functional attributes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 109, 21390–21395. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1215210110
- Fruchart-Gaillard, C., Mourier, G., Blanchet, G., Vera, L., Gilles, N., Menez, R., et al. (2012). Engineering of three-finger fold toxins creates ligands with original

pharmacological profiles for muscarinic and adrenergic receptors. *PLoS ONE* 7:e39166. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0039166

- Hancock, R. E. W., and Sahl, H.-G. (2006). Antimicrobial and host-defense peptides as new anti-infective therapeutic strategies. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 24, 1551– 1557. doi: 10.1038/nbt1267
- Herbiniere, J., Braquart-Varnier, C., Greve, P., Strub, J. M., Frere, J., Van Dorsselaer, A., et al. (2005). Armadillidin: a novel glycine-rich antibacterial peptide directed against gram-positive bacteria in the woodlouse Armadillidium vulgare (Terrestrial Isopod, Crustacean). Dev. Comp. Immunol. 29, 489–499. doi: 10.1016/j.dci.2004.11.001
- Hornung, E. (2011). Evolutionary adaptation of oniscidean isopods to terrestrial life: structure, physiology and behavior. *Terr. Arthropod Rev.* 4, 95–130. doi: 10.1163/187498311X576262
- Jeffery, S. G. C., Jones, A., Montanarella, L., Marmo, L., Miko, L., Ritz, K., et al. (2010). *European Atlas of Soil Biodiversity*. Luxembourg: European Commission, Publications Office of the European Union.
- Joubert, A., Calmes, B., Berruyer, R., Pihet, M., Bouchara, J.-P., Simoneau, P., et al. (2010). Laser nephelometry applied in an automated microplate system to study filamentous fungus growth. *Biotechniques* 48, 399–404. doi: 10.2144/0001 13399
- Kalfa, V. C., Jia, H. P., Kunkle, R. A., Mccray, P. B., Tack, B. F., and Brogden, K. A. (2001). Congeners of SMAP29 kill ovine pathogens and induce ultrastructural damage in bacterial cells. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 45, 3256–3261. doi: 10.1128/AAC.45.11.3256-3261.2001
- Keppi, E., Pugsley, A. P., Lambert, J., Wicker, C., Dimarcq, J. L., Hoffmann, J. A., et al. (1989). Mode of action of diptericin-a, a bactericidal peptide induced in the hemolymph of phormia-terranovae larvae. *Arch. Insect Biochem. Physiol.* 10, 229–239. doi: 10.1002/arch.940100306
- Lee, S. Y., Moon, H. J., Kurata, S., Natori, S., and Lee, B. L. (1995). Purification and cdna cloning of an antifungal protein from the hemolymph of holotrichiadiomphalia larvae. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* 18, 1049–1052. doi: 10.1248/bpb.18.1049
- Lee, Y. J., Chung, T. J., Park, C. W., Hahn, Y., Chung, J. H., Lee, B. L., et al. (1996). Structure and expression of the tenecin 3 gene in *Tenebrio molitor. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 218, 6–11. doi: 10.1006/bbrc.1996.0002
- Lemaitre, B., and Hoffmann, J. (2007). The host defense of Drosophila melanogaster. Annu. Rev. Immunol. 25, 697–743. doi: 10.1146/annurev.immunol.25.022106.141615
- Li, Y., Xiang, Q., Zhang, Q., Huang, Y., and Su, Z. (2012). Overview on the recent study of antimicrobial peptides: origins, functions, relative mechanisms and application. *Peptides* 37, 207–215. doi: 10.1016/j.peptides.2012.07.001
- Lieutaud, P., Canard, B., and Longhi, S. (2008). MeDor: a metaserver for predicting protein disorder. BMC Genomics 9(Suppl. 2):S25. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-9-S2-S25
- Loiseau, C., Schlusselhuber, M., Bigot, R., Bertaux, J., Berjeaud, J. M., and Verdon, J. (2015). Surfactin from Bacillus subtilis displays an unexpected anti-*Legionella* activity. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 99, 5083–5093. doi: 10.1007/s00253-014-6317-z
- Lorenzini, D. M., Da Silva, P. I., Fogaca, A. C., Bulet, P., and Daffre, S. (2003). Acanthoscurrin: a novel glycine-rich antimicrobial peptide constitutively expressed in the hemocytes of the spider Acanthoscurria gomesiana. Dev. Comp. Immunol. 27, 781–791. doi: 10.1016/S0145-305X(03)00058-2
- Lu, J., and Chen, Z.-W. (2010). Isolation, characterization and anti-cancer activity of SK84, a novel glycine-rich antimicrobial peptide from *Drosophila virilis*. *Peptides* 31, 44–50. doi: 10.1016/j.peptides.2009.09.028
- Palusinska-Szysz, M., Zdybicka-Barabas, A., Pawlikowska-Pawlega, B., Mak, P., and Cytrynska, M. (2012). Anti-Legionella dumoffii activity of Galleria mellonella defensin and apolipophorin III. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 13, 17048–17064. doi: 10.3390/ijms131217048

- Park, C. J., Park, C. B., Hong, S. S., Lee, H. S., Lee, S. Y., and Kim, S. C. (2000). Characterization and cDNA cloning of two glycine- and histidine-rich antimicrobial peptides from the roots of shepherd's purse, *Capsella bursa*pastoris. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 44, 187–197. doi: 10.1023/A:1006431320677
- Prilusky, J., Felder, C. E., Zeev-Ben-Mordehai, T., Rydberg, E. H., Man, O., Beckmann, J. S., et al. (2005). FoldIndex((c)): a simple tool to predict whether a given protein sequence is intrinsically unfolded. *Bioinformatics* 21, 3435–3438. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bti537
- Rao, A. G. (1999). Conformation and antimicrobial activity of linear derivatives of tachyplesin lacking disulfide bonds. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 361, 127–134. doi: 10.1006/abbi.1998.0962
- Remuzgo, C., Oewel, T. S., Daffre, S., Lopes, T. R. S., Dyszy, F. H., Schreier, S., et al. (2014). Chemical synthesis, structure-activity relationship, and properties of shepherin I: a fungicidal peptide enriched in glycine-glycine-histidine motifs. *Amino Acids* 46, 2573–2586. doi: 10.1007/s00726-014-1811-2
- Rosa, R. D., and Barracco, M. A. (2010). Antimicrobial peptides in crustaceans. Invertebrate Surviv. J. 7, 262–284.
- Smith, V. J., and Dyrynda, E. A. (2015). Antimicrobial proteins: from old proteins, new tricks. Mol. Immunol. 68, 383–398. doi: 10.1016/j.molimm.2015.08.009
- Sousa, J. C., Berto, R. F., Gois, E. A., Fontenele-Cardi, N. C., Honorio-Junior, J. E. R., Konno, K., et al. (2009). Leptoglycin: a new Glycine/Leucine-rich antimicrobial peptide isolated from the skin secretion of the South American frog *Leptodactylus pentadactylus* (Leptodactylidae). *Toxicon* 54, 23–32. doi: 10.1016/j.toxicon.2009.03.011
- Sperstad, S. V., Haug, T., Vasskog, T., and Stensvag, K. (2009). Hyastatin, a glycine-rich multi-domain antimicrobial peptide isolated from the spider crab (*Hyas araneus*) hemocytes. *Mol. Immunol.* 46, 2604–2612. doi: 10.1016/j.molimm.2009.05.002
- Sreerama, N., and Woody, R. W. (2000). Estimation of protein secondary structure from circular dichroism spectra: comparison of CONTIN, SELCON, and CDSSTR methods with an expanded reference set. *Anal. Biochem.* 287, 252–260. doi: 10.1006/abio.2000.4879
- Staats, M., and van Kan, J. (2012). Genome update of *Botrytis cinerea* strains B05.10 and T4. *Eukaryot. Cell* 11, 1413–1414. doi: 10.1128/EC. 00164-12
- Vazquez, L., Alpuche, J., Maldonado, G., Agundis, C., Pereyra-Morales, A., and Zenteno, E. (2009). Immunity mechanisms in crustaceans. *Innate Immu*. 15, 179–188. doi: 10.1177/1753425909102876
- Verdon, J., Berjeaud, J. M., Lacombe, C., and Hechard, Y. (2008). Characterization of anti-Legionella activity of warnericin RK and delta-lysin I from *Staphylococcus warneri*. *Peptides* 29, 978–984. doi: 10.1016/j.peptides.2008.01.017
- Whitmore, L., and Wallace, B. A. (2008). Protein secondary structure analyses from circular dichroism spectroscopy: methods and reference databases. *Biopolymers* 89, 392–400. doi: 10.1002/bip.20853

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Verdon, Coutos-Thevenot, Rodier, Landon, Depayras, Noel, La Camera, Moumen, Greve, Bouchon, Berjeaud and Braquart-Varnier. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.