

Clinical Research Article

Contribution of Gestational Weight Gain on Maternal Glucose Metabolism in Women with GDM and Normal Glucose Tolerance

Fernanda L. Alvarado,¹ Perrie O'Tierney-Ginn,¹ and Patrick Catalano^{1,2}

¹Mother Infant Research Institute, Tufts Medical Center, Boston, MA 02111, USA; and ²Friedman School of Nutrition Science and Policy, Tufts University, Boston, MA 02111, USA

ORCID numbers: 0000-0002-2195-3228 (F. L. Alvarado); 0000-0001-5752-4874 (P. O'Tierney-Ginn); 0000-0003-1276-7017 (P. Catalano).

Abbreviations: %BF, percentage body fat; BMI, body mass index; FFM, fat-free mass; FM, fat mass; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; GWG, gestational weight gain; IVGTT, intravenous glucose tolerance test; NGT, normal glucose tolerance; OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test.

Received: 28 July 2020; Editorial Decision: 10 December 2020; First Published Online: 31 December 2020; Corrected and Typeset: 13 January 2021.

Abstract

Context: Efforts to decrease the risk of developing metabolic complications of pregnancy such as gestational diabetes (GDM) through lifestyle intervention (decreasing excessive gestational weight gain (GWG)) during pregnancy have met with limited success.

Objective: The purpose of this study was to determine the relationship between the longitudinal changes in weight/body composition and insulin sensitivity and response in women with normal glucose tolerance (NGT) and those who developed GDM.

Design: We conducted a secondary analysis of a prospective cohort developed before conception and again at 34 to 36 weeks gestation. A total of 29 NGT and 17 GDM women were evaluated for longitudinal changes in insulin sensitivity/response using the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp and an IV-glucose tolerance test. Body composition was estimated using hydrodensitometry. Both absolute change (Δ) and relative change ($\% \Delta$) between these 2 time points were calculated. We performed simple and multiple linear regression analysis to assess the relationship between GWG and measures of glucose metabolism, ie, insulin sensitivity and response.

Results: Based on the primary study design there was no significant difference in clinical characteristics between women with NGT and those developing GDM. Prior to pregnancy, women who developed GDM had lower insulin sensitivity levels ($P = 0.01$) compared with NGT women. Absolute change and $\% \Delta$ in insulin sensitivity/insulin response and body weight/body composition were not significantly different between NGT and GDM women. Changes in body weight contributed to only 9% of the Δ in insulin sensitivity both in women developing GDM and NGT women.

Conclusions: These data suggest that other factors—such as maternal pre-pregnancy insulin sensitivity and placental derived factors affecting insulin sensitivity—rather than maternal GWG account for the changes in glucose metabolism during human pregnancy.

Key Words: gestational diabetes, gestational weight gain, changes in insulin sensitivity, body composition, lifestyle intervention, pregnancy

Gestational diabetes (GDM) is a common metabolic disorder of pregnancy affecting from 5% to 20% of pregnant women, depending on factors such as (but not limited to) the criteria used for diagnosis, ethnicity, family history of type 2 diabetes or past history of GDM, and prevalence of obesity in the population [1]. In addition to adverse maternal and neonatal pregnancy outcomes, GDM increases the risk of maternal impaired fasting glucose, impaired glucose tolerance, and type 2 diabetes 5 to 10 years postpartum [2]. Further, GDM is a risk factor for childhood metabolic dysfunction and obesity [3].

Gestational weight gain (GWG) is a physiologic and fundamental adaptation for maternal fetal well-being [4]. GWG outside of the Institute of Medicine guidelines are associated with adverse outcomes, not only for the mother but for her offspring [5, 6]. Studies indicate that 45% to 65% of women who begin pregnancy overweight or obese exceed Institute of Medicine GWG recommendations [5, 6], increasing the risk of postpartum weight retention and beginning subsequent pregnancies with higher weight and adiposity. Increased GWG early during pregnancy has been associated with the development of GDM [7, 8], but the role of excess GWG in the development of GDM is still unclear.

In nonpregnant individuals, significant weight gain has been associated with increased insulin resistance, and conversely, lifestyle interventions with goals of 5% to 7% weight loss have significantly improved metabolic function [9]. However, efforts to decrease the risk of GDM and other metabolic dysfunction through lifestyle interventions—primarily healthy eating and increased physical activity during pregnancy with the goal of avoiding excessive GWG—have met with limited success [10-13].

In late gestation, women developing GDM have both increased insulin resistance and inadequate pancreatic beta-cell insulin response to maintain normoglycemia compared with a control group [14]. The underlying rationale for many of these clinical trials has been that by decreasing excessive GWG, lifestyle interventions decrease the progression of insulin resistance. The relationship between GWG and changes in maternal insulin resistance are not well described, and few studies have baseline measures before pregnancy [15, 16].

Hence, the purpose of this secondary analysis was to examine the relationship between the longitudinal changes in weight/body composition and insulin sensitivity/response and to estimate its impact in women with normal glucose tolerance (NGT) and those who developed GDM.

Methods

Study Design

This is a secondary analysis from a prospective observational cohorts of women recruited before a planned pregnancy and followed through delivery. All of the subjects in the primary analysis were included in the present work. The primary objectives of the original studies were to characterize the longitudinal changes in maternal carbohydrate metabolism, body composition, and energy expenditure [17-22] in women with NGT and those developing GDM.

The original study procedures were conducted at Medical Center Hospital of Vermont, and at MetroHealth Medical Center, Cleveland, Ohio. Study visits in the clinical research units were conducted before a planned pregnancy during the follicular phase of the menstrual cycle, in early (12 to 14 weeks), and in late (33 to 36 weeks) pregnancy. For the purpose of this analysis, we only used the pre-pregnancy and late pregnancy data. The research protocol was approved by the 2 institutional review boards and written informed consent was obtained from each participant.

The population consisted of healthy women who were planning a pregnancy, not breastfeeding, and not using any contraception. They were nonsmokers with no known preexisting cardiometabolic disorders (hypertension, diabetes, thyroid disorders). Before conception, all subjects were given a 75-g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) as defined by the National Diabetes Data Group to confirm absence of preexisting diabetes. In the preconception period, all women were screened for GDM risk factors. Twelve of the women had a history of GDM or an abnormal glucose screening test in a prior pregnancy; and 25 had a family history of type 2 diabetes [20]. Women who developed GDM were diagnosed at the time of routine third-trimester screening.

Study Procedures

Two weeks prior to the preconception visit, women were instructed to follow a dietary regimen designed to standardize nutritional intake for each subject, to maintain weight before conception, and to allow appropriate weight gain during pregnancy. This regimen was identical to the diet employed in the treatment of gestational diabetes at our institution; which consisted of approximately 50% complex carbohydrates, 30% fat with an emphasis to avoid saturated fats, and 20% protein [19].

The following tests were sequentially performed during a 3-day protocol: day 1, OGTT and islet cell antibodies; day 2, body composition and intravenous glucose tolerance test (IVGTT); and day 3, hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp [23]. Detailed descriptions of the study procedures have been published [17, 18]. Brief descriptions of the study procedures follow. At the time of the pre-pregnancy visit, subjects were matched for pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI). We elected to estimate body composition because BMI in nonpregnant individuals has a wide variation when correlated with fat mass or percent body fat, and the relationships are less robust with advancing gestation [24, 25].

BMI was calculated using the subject's weight in kilograms divided by the square of her height in meters. Body composition was estimated by underwater weighing with adjustment for residual lung volume by helium dilution. The percent body fat was calculated according to Keys and Brozek to estimate fat-free mass [18, 19, 26]. Data are described as total kilograms of fat mass (FM), kilograms of fat-free mass (FFM), and percentage body fat (%BF) (kg of fat mass/kg of total body weight \times 100).

Oral glucose tolerance test: pre-pregnancy subjects were given a 75-g OGTT using National Diabetes Data Group criteria for diabetes mellitus. During pregnancy women were given a 100-g OGTT. GDM was classified according to the Carpenter and Coustan criteria [27]. Venous plasma glucose concentrations were determined by the glucose oxidase method with a Yellow Springs glucose analyzer (Yellow Springs, OH).

Insulin sensitivity was assessed using the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp. Briefly, peripheral insulin sensitivity was assessed after an 11-hour fast [28]. Infusion of [6,6-²H₂] glucose for 2 hours was used to estimate basal endogenous glucose production. At the end of the 2-hour period, the clamp procedure was initiated with a constant infusion of 40 mU m⁻²min⁻¹ of insulin, maintaining plasma insulin concentration of approximately 600 pmol m⁻² mL⁻¹. Plasma glucose was maintained at 90 mg/dL (5.0 mmol/L). The glucose and insulin concentrations were collected every 10 minutes during the last 40

minutes of the clamp; they were averaged and used to estimate the glucose disposal rate. Residual endogenous glucose production during the clamp was estimated by adding a calculated amount of [6,6-²H₂]glucose to the 20% glucose infusion as described by Tserng and Kalhan [23]. The insulin (measured by radioimmunoassay) intra-assay coefficient of variation was 6% and the inter-assay coefficient of variation was 8% [17].

The estimated insulin sensitivity was defined as the glucose infusion rate required to maintain plasma glucose at 90 mg/dL (5.0 mmol/L) during the clamp. To account for the lack of complete suppression of endogenous glucose production during the clamp in women developing GDM and the variability of plasma insulin concentrations during the clamp across time and between groups, we defined insulin sensitivity as the glucose infusion rate plus any residual endogenous glucose produced per kg of FFM during the clamp divided by the mean insulin concentration achieved during the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp [23, 29].

The first-phase insulin response was measured using IVGTT. Briefly, insulin response was measured in participants with less than 120% ideal body weight (n = 35) by infusing 0.5 g/kg glucose over a 3-minute bolus. Samples of glucose and insulin were obtained at baseline and at 1, 3, 5, 10, 15, 30, 45, and 60 minutes. For participants whose weight was greater than 120% ideal body weight (n = 11), the glucose bolus was 19 g/m² body surface area. Using the trapezoidal rule, we estimated the first-phase response as the area under the curve (AUC) from 0 to 5 minutes [23].

Disposition index was calculated to describe the degree of beta-cell compensation for decrements in insulin sensitivity. The disposition index is the product of the first-phase insulin secretory response (IVGTT insulin response) and the insulin sensitivity index (hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp).

Statistical Analysis

Data describing maternal preconception demographics in GDM and NGT women are presented as mean \pm SD. Difference between groups in absolute (Δ), from preconception to late pregnancy, and relative change (% Δ), which express the absolute change as a percentage based of the preconception period, were analyzed using paired Student *t* test. Frequency data were analyzed by Chi-square test. Maternal insulin sensitivity, insulin response, disposition index, and body composition (body weight, FM, %BF, FFM) were reported as the Δ and % Δ across those 2 time points.

All the absolute change (Δ) estimates were standardized and normalized using the Yeo-Johnson power

transformation. The Yeo-Johnson power transformation was applied because it handles both positive and negative values.

All variables had complete data ($n = 46$), with the exception of insulin response ($n = 33$) and disposition index ($n = 33$). In order to treat missing data, linear regression was estimated using full information maximum likelihood (FIML). FIML is a validated statistical treatment for missing data and it accounts for the “missing at random” and “missing completely at random” assumptions by using all the available information and the same patterns as if there were no missing data. These results are similar to the multiple imputation procedure [30, 31]. The R package lavaan was used to perform this analysis [32].

Using simple linear regression, we analyzed whether Δ insulin sensitivity, insulin response, and disposition index were associated with maternal Δ in body weight, FM, %BF, and FFM, in both NGT and GDM women.

A multilinear regression model was assessed to explore whether there were other potential mediators of pregnancy that could be associated with the Δ of maternal insulin sensitivity, insulin response, and disposition index. We included maternal age, estimated gestational age at delivery, parity, maternal pre-pregnancy weight, and study group (NGT and GDM) as covariates. Residuals from models were checked for conformance to assumptions of normality and homeostasis. Models were assessed for multicollinearity by scoring the variance inflation factor.

For descriptive data, statistics were reported at the raw data level for ease of interpretation. Statistical analyses were performed with R studio, Boston, MA, version 3.6.2 [33]. P values ≤ 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Participant Characteristics

A total of 46 women met inclusion criteria for this analysis. No subject had diabetes prior to a planned pregnancy, based on the 75-g OGTT. All subjects had normal preconception thyroid, renal, and liver function and negative islet cell antibodies during each period of the study. Seventeen (37%) women developed GDM.

In the complete cohort, 98% of the subjects were Caucasian. Maternal characteristics of the NGT and GDM women pre-gravid are summarized in Table 1. Risk factors for GDM were significantly higher in the women who developed GDM. The second significant pre-pregnancy difference between the groups was decreased insulin sensitivity in women who developed GDM in late pregnancy ($P = 0.01$). There were no significant differences in insulin response and disposition index. Because of the original study design, there were no significant differences in maternal age, parity, weight, FM, %BF, and FFM.

Relative and Absolute Change During Pregnancy

As shown in Table 2, absolute and relative changes, defined as changes between values taken at 34 to 36 weeks and the pre-pregnancy period—in body composition, insulin sensitivity, insulin response, and disposition index—were similar between GDM and NGT women. Absolute change in FM was slightly, but not significantly ($P = 0.06$), higher in the NGT women.

Relationships between Δ in maternal glucose metabolism and Δ in body composition are shown in Table 3. Absolute change in insulin sensitivity was negatively

Table 1. Pre-Gravid Maternal Characteristics

	NGT (n = 29)	GDM (n = 17)	P value
Maternal age (years)	31.6 \pm 4	32 \pm 4	0.69
Parity	0.9 \pm 0.6	1.0 \pm 0.4	0.32
Weight (kg)	63 \pm 13	68 \pm 15	0.22
Fat mass (kg)	19 \pm 10	21 \pm 12	0.44
Body fat (%)	28 \pm 8	30 \pm 9	0.54
Fat-free mass (kg)	44 \pm 5	46 \pm 4	0.09
Risk factors for GDM (n)			
1.Family history DM	11	14	0.005
2.Previous GDM	0	12	<0.0001
Insulin sensitivity (mg/kg*FFM/min)*100	13 \pm 4	9 \pm 6	0.01
Insulin response (first-phase)	235 \pm 136	246 \pm 132	0.80
Disposition index (IS \times IR)	28 \pm 12	20 \pm 18	0.19

Values are mean \pm SD. P value was calculated using Student t test. Frequency data were analyzed by Chi-square test. Abbreviations: GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; IS, insulin sensitivity; IR, insulin response; NGT, normal glucose tolerance.

correlated with Δ in body weight in the entire cohort ($R^2 = 0.20$, $P = 0.001$); however, when analyzed by GDM status, a significant correlation was observed only in the NGT women ($R^2 = 0.24$, $P = 0.002$).

To better examine the potential associations of adiposity and glucose metabolism, we performed the analysis using Δ FM and Δ %BF. The Δ in insulin sensitivity was negatively associated with Δ in FM in the combined cohort ($R^2 = 0.13$, $P = 0.008$) but was not significant in either of the NGT or GDM groups. There was no correlation between maternal Δ in insulin sensitivity and Δ %BF.

We found no association between Δ in insulin response and Δ in body composition: Δ FM, Δ %BF and Δ FFM. The Δ disposition index was negatively correlated with Δ body weight in GDM ($R^2 = 0.26$, $P = 0.01$), as shown in Table 3.

We performed a power analysis for the simple linear regression for both NGT and GDM subjects. For the NGT subjects, an $n = 29$ achieves 83% power to detect 32% difference on the R^2 . However, for the GDM subjects, an $n = 17$ achieves 37% power to detect 18% difference on the R^2 .

Table 2. Study Variables; Absolute and Relative Change Difference Between NGT and GDM Women

Variables	Absolute (Δ)			Relative (% Δ)		
	NGT (n = 29)	GDM (n = 17)	P value	NGT (n = 29)	GDM (n = 17)	P value
Weight (kg)	13 \pm 5	12 \pm 4	0.27	22 \pm 7.7	18 \pm 7.4	0.14
Fat mass (kg)	5 \pm 3	3 \pm 4	0.06	32 \pm 26	20 \pm 21	0.06
Body fat (%)	2 \pm 4	-0.4 \pm 4	0.07	9 \pm 16	0.8 \pm 12	0.07
Fat-free mass (kg)	8 \pm 3	9 \pm 3	0.55	18 \pm 7	19 \pm 6	0.90
Insulin sensitivity	-5 \pm 2	-4 \pm 4	0.35	-29 \pm 17	-33 \pm 23	0.56
Insulin response	209 \pm 122	168 \pm 232	0.54	102 \pm 84	90 \pm 112	0.73
Disposition index	5 \pm 7	3 \pm 10	0.44	25 \pm 40	12 \pm 70	0.56

Values are mean \pm SD. Absolute and relative change between values at 34-36 weeks and the pre-pregnancy period. P value was calculated by Student *t* test and it shows the difference between NGT and GDM women.

Abbreviations: GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; NGT, normal glucose tolerance.

Table 3. Relationship Between Absolute Changes of Glucose Metabolism Parameters and Absolute Change in Body Composition

Δ Absolute ^a	Insulin sensitivity				Insulin response				Disposition index			
	β	SE	P value	R ²	β	SE	P value	R ²	β	SE	P value	R ²
Body weight												
ALL ^b	-0.44	0.13	0.001	0.20	-0.01	0.18	0.94	0.00	-0.32	0.17	0.06	0.10
NGT	-0.38	0.12	0.002	0.24	0.23	0.21	0.27	0.07	-0.07	0.20	0.70	0.01
GDM	-0.49	0.28	0.08	0.15	-0.30	0.27	0.26	0.07	-0.61	0.25	0.01	0.26
Fat mass												
ALL ^b	-0.36	0.13	0.008	0.13	0.03	0.18	0.86	0.00	-0.28	0.18	0.12	0.07
NGT	-0.25	0.14	0.07	0.10	0.18	0.26	0.48	0.04	-0.16	0.23	0.47	0.04
GDM	-0.44	0.28	0.11	0.13	-0.13	0.26	0.61	0.02	-0.43	0.26	0.09	0.14
Body fat (%)												
ALL ^b	-0.24	0.14	0.08	0.06	0.03	0.17	0.83	0.00	-0.12	0.17	0.48	0.01
NGT	-0.17	0.13	0.20	0.05	0.13	0.19	0.49	0.02	-0.10	0.17	0.55	0.02
GDM	-0.27	0.33	0.41	0.04	0.13	0.29	0.53	0.02	-0.24	0.31	0.44	0.02
Fat-free mass												
ALL ^b	-0.24	0.14	0.09	0.05	-0.05	0.18	0.76	0.00	-0.17	0.17	0.31	0.03
NGT	-0.26	0.12	0.02	0.14	0.13	0.18	0.47	0.02	0.01	0.16	0.93	0.00
GDM	-0.24	0.36	0.50	0.03	-0.41	0.33	0.22	0.09	-0.55	0.34	0.11	0.14

Abbreviations: β , beta coefficient; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; NGT, normal glucose tolerance.

^aAbsolute change, individual difference between values at 34-36 weeks and the pre-pregnancy period.

^bData were analyzed with both NGT and GDM women together. A positive coefficient implies an increase in absolute change. P value was calculated using simple linear regression.

Last, as shown in Table 4, we performed a multilinear regression analysis to assess the effects of each maternal characteristic and their relationship to the Δ in insulin sensitivity during pregnancy. In the analysis, we adjusted for maternal age, gestational age at delivery, maternal pre-pregnancy weight, parity, Δ body weight, and group (NGT and GDM). The dependent variable was Δ insulin sensitivity. This model explains 33% of the variation of Δ in insulin sensitivity. The 2 variables that were significantly associated with Δ insulin sensitivity were gestational age at delivery ($\Delta R^2 = 0.16$, $P = 0.03$), and Δ body weight ($\Delta R^2 = 0.09$, $P = 0.02$). We ran a model including baseline insulin sensitivity; however, adjusting for this variable in the model increased collinearity, and decreased the contribution of Δ body weight ($\Delta R^2 = 0.03$, $P = 0.68$).

We performed a power analysis for the multiple linear regression and determined that an $n = 46$ achieves 86% power to detect 38% difference on the R^2 between Δ in insulin sensitivity and Δ in body weight with an alpha level of 0.05.

Discussion

We report that women who developed GDM, despite having a similar pre-pregnancy percentage body fat, had decreased insulin sensitivity before pregnancy compared with NGT women. Furthermore, the absolute and relative changes in body composition and insulin sensitivity were similar between NGT and GDM women. Adjusting for relevant maternal characteristics, Δ body weight contributed to just 9% of the Δ insulin sensitivity. These results

may help explain why many of the clinical trials of dietary and lifestyle intervention during pregnancy for mitigation or prevention of GDM have not achieved the desired results [1, 12].

In nonpregnant individuals, increase in weight is associated with the development of obesity, insulin resistance, and type 2 diabetes. Therefore, similar increases in GWG have been assumed to underlie the changes in glucose metabolism during pregnancy. However, in a longitudinal study of nondiabetic Pima Indians, Swinburn et al showed that baseline insulin resistance was inversely correlated with weight gain over a 3.5-year follow-up period [34]. Our results suggest that the pre-pregnancy measure of insulin sensitivity is a stronger determinant of the changes in glucose metabolism during the 40 weeks of a full-term gestation. An approximately 30% decrease in insulin sensitivity by late gestation was seen in both GDM and NGT subjects.

During a normal pregnancy there is an increase in insulin response [29], however; excessive GWG, preexisting obesity, and certain genetic predisposition may trigger failure of beta-cell adaptation [35]. In our data, the higher the Δ body weight, the lower the Δ in insulin response in GDM women, although this was not statistically significant. This likely points out the development of a beta-cell defect in women with GDM vs NGT, which when combined with insulin sensitivity as the disposition index, becomes significant for women with GDM.

The use of body weight or BMI as a marker of body fat during pregnancy may result in misclassification of obesity in pregnancy because of the varying contribution of total body water to FFM on body weight [6, 36]. Berggren et al demonstrated that during pregnancy, fat mass constitutes

Table 4. Multilinear Regression Analysis for Factors That Affect Absolute Change in Insulin Sensitivity, Insulin Response, and Disposition Index

	Adjusted R square	ΔR square (ΔR^2)	P value
Insulin sensitivity (Δ)			
Maternal Age (years)	0.2		0.11
Gestational age (weeks)	0.18	0.16	0.03
Maternal PP weight	0.22	0.04	0.20
Parity	0.23	0.01	0.13
Body weight (Δ)	0.32	0.09	0.02
Group (NGT)	0.33	0.01	0.27
Insulin response (Δ)			
Maternal age (years)	0.8		0.05
Maternal PP weight	0.12	0.04	0.21
Group (NGT)	0.13	0.01	0.26
Disposition index (Δ)			
Maternal age (years)	0.03		0.15
Body weight (Δ)	0.09	0.06	0.05
Group (NGT)	0.13	0.04	0.12

Absolute (Δ) changes between values at 34–36 weeks and the pre-pregnancy period. P value was calculated using multiple linear regression model. Abbreviations: NGT, normal glucose tolerance; PP, pre-pregnancy.

the greatest variance in GWG [37]. Hence in our analysis, in addition to the change in weight, we assessed the changes in body composition to examine if accumulation of adipose tissue was related to alterations in maternal glucose metabolism. We did not find a correlation of measures of adiposity with either Δ in insulin sensitivity, insulin response, or disposition index.

Our group has reported a 120% increase in insulin sensitivity in the immediate postpartum period in women with GDM compared with late gestation measures [38]. These data support our findings. The placenta, and not maternal GWG, underlies the metabolic changes in pregnancy. The placenta serves as the main interface between the mother and the fetus, and it is a rich source of hormones, cytokines, and adipokines, which are released into the maternal circulation and modulate maternal glucose metabolism during pregnancy [39, 40].

Placental hormones such as progesterone, estradiol, human placental lactogen, prolactin, and cortisol have all been described as possible mediators for pregnancy-induced insulin resistance; however, there is yet no consensus as to the exact mechanism [41]. Cytokines and adipokines such as tumor necrosis factor- α and leptin have been negatively correlated with insulin sensitivity, although the authors of these studies concluded that further studies were needed in order to confirm their findings [41, 42]. Recent data suggests that placental exosomes and miRNAs may be involved in changes in maternal metabolic status [43, 44]. Despite the obvious association between whole-body insulin resistance in pregnancy and the placenta, the precise mechanism remains poorly understood, and further studies need to be developed.

A major strength of this study is its longitudinal data with measurements from preconception through late pregnancy. The estimates of insulin sensitivity were measured with the gold-standard hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp. Maternal body composition measurements in pregnancy are specific to gestational age. Our study is limited by a small number of subjects and a lack of ethnic diversity. This is particularly true for the GDM group, as 24 samples were needed to achieve 80% power; however, as this was a secondary analysis, we are constrained by the original trial's criteria.

In conclusion, we did not find a clinically significant correlation between changes in components of glucose metabolism and weight or body composition from preconception to late pregnancy. Given that insulin sensitivity improves 120% in the immediate postpartum period [38], further studies are needed to more easily estimate insulin sensitivity prior to conception or in early pregnancy as well as to understand the potential mediators released by the placenta. Since weight gain explains a relatively small component of the variation in insulin sensitivity, we continue to recommend avoidance of excessive GWG to prevent postpartum

weight retention which increases the risk of long-term maternal metabolic dysfunction and increased pre-pregnancy adiposity in subsequent pregnancies [45]. If the effects of these risk factors are chronic rather than acute, then prevention of GDM would ideally require initiating lifestyle interventions before a planned pregnancy [1].

In summary, we showed that gestational weight gain contributes only 9% of the absolute changes in insulin sensitivity during pregnancy. We hypothesize that this low contribution is the main reason why lifestyle interventions during pregnancy targeting maternal weight have not impacted the progression of insulin resistance during pregnancy.

Acknowledgments

We thank the nursing staff and the study coordinators of the Clinical Research Center for their assistance. We thank all of the subjects who participated.

Financial Support: This study was funded by National Institute of Health grant RO1HD-22965, and supported by grant RO1HD091735. We thank The Susan Saltonstall Endowed Fund.

Author Contributions: All authors contributed to the conception and design of the study and interpretation of the data. F.L.A. and P.C. drafted the manuscript, and P.O.G. critically reviewed the manuscript. F.L.A. and P.C. are the guarantors of this work and, as such, had full access to all the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Additional Information

Correspondence: Fernanda L. Alvarado, MD, 800 Washington Street, Box 394, Boston MA, 02111, USA. Email: falvaradoflores@tuftsmedicalcenter.org.

Disclosures: The authors have no potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

Data Availability: The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Prior Presentations

These data were presented in abstract form at the 49th Annual Meeting of the Diabetes Pregnancy Study Group of the European Association for the Study of Diabetes, Nyborg, Denmark, September 7-10, 2017, and the SMFM's 38th Annual Pregnancy Meeting, Dallas, Texas, January 29 to February 3, 2018.

References

1. McIntyre HD, Catalano P, Zhang C, Desoye G, Mathiesen ER, Damm P. Gestational diabetes mellitus. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. 2019;5(1):1-19.
2. Durnwald C. Gestational diabetes: Linking epidemiology, excessive gestational weight gain, adverse pregnancy outcomes, and future metabolic syndrome. *Semin Perinatol*. 2015;39(4):254-258.

3. Lowe WL Jr, Scholtens DM, Kuang A, et al.; HAPO Follow-up Study Cooperative Research Group. Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome Follow-up Study (HAPO FUS): maternal gestational diabetes mellitus and childhood glucose metabolism. *Diabetes Care*. 2019;42(3):372-380.
4. Stuebe AM, McElrath TF, Thadhani R, Ecker JL. Second trimester insulin resistance, early pregnancy body mass index and gestational weight gain. *Matern Child Health J*. 2010;14(2):254-260.
5. Deputy NP, Sharma AJ, Kim SY, Hinkle SN. Prevalence and characteristics associated with gestational weight gain adequacy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2015;125(4):773-781.
6. Institute of Medicine (US) and National Research Council (US) Committee to Reexamine IOM Pregnancy Weight Guidelines; Rasmussen KM, Yaktine AL, eds. *Weight Gain During Pregnancy: Reexamining the Guidelines*. National Academies Press (US); 2009. Accessed May 24, 2019. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK32813/>
7. Gibson KS, Waters TP, Catalano PM. Maternal weight gain in women who develop gestational diabetes mellitus. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2012;119(3):560-565.
8. Siega-Riz AM, Gray GL. Gestational weight gain recommendations in the context of the obesity epidemic. *Nutr Rev*. 2013;71(Suppl 1):S26-S30.
9. Magkos F, Fraterrigo G, Yoshino J, et al. Effects of moderate and subsequent progressive weight loss on metabolic function and adipose tissue biology in humans with obesity. *Cell Metab*. 2016;23(4):591-601.
10. Poston L, Bell R, Croker H, et al.; UPBEAT Trial Consortium. Effect of a behavioural intervention in obese pregnant women (the UPBEAT study): a multicentre, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2015;3(10):767-777.
11. Peaceman AM, Clifton RG, Phelan S, et al.; LIFE-Moms Research Group. Lifestyle interventions limit gestational weight gain in women with overweight or obesity: LIFE-moms prospective meta-analysis. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2018;26(9):1396-1404.
12. Dodd JM, Deussen AR, Mohamad I, et al. The effect of antenatal lifestyle advice for women who are overweight or obese on secondary measures of neonatal body composition: the LIMIT randomised trial. *BJOG*. 2016;123(2):244-253.
13. International Weight Management in Pregnancy (i-WIP) Collaborative Group. Effect of diet and physical activity based interventions in pregnancy on gestational weight gain and pregnancy outcomes: meta-analysis of individual participant data from randomised trials. *BMJ*. 2017;358:j3119.
14. Metzger BE, Buchanan TA, Coustan DR, et al. Summary and recommendations of the Fifth International Workshop-Conference on Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. *Diabetes Care*. 2007;30(Supplement 2):S251-S260.
15. Voerman E, Santos S, Inskip H, et al. Association of gestational weight gain with adverse maternal and infant outcomes. *JAMA*. 2019;321(17):1702-1715.
16. Simmons D, Devlieger R, Van Assche A, et al. Association between gestational weight gain, gestational diabetes risk, and obstetric outcomes: a randomized controlled trial post Hoc analysis. *Nutrients*. 2018;10(11):1568.
17. Catalano PM, Huston L, Amini SB, Kalhan SC. Longitudinal changes in glucose metabolism during pregnancy in obese women with normal glucose tolerance and gestational diabetes mellitus. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1999;180(4):903-916.
18. Catalano PM, Roman-Drago NM, Amini SB, Sims EA. Longitudinal changes in body composition and energy balance in lean women with normal and abnormal glucose tolerance during pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1998;179(1):156-165.
19. Berggren EK, O'Tierney-Ginn P, Lewis S, Presley L, De-Mouzon SH, Catalano PM. Variations in resting energy expenditure: impact on gestational weight gain. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2017;217(4):445.e1-445.e6.
20. Okereke NC, Huston-Presley L, Amini SB, Kalhan S, Catalano PM. Longitudinal changes in energy expenditure and body composition in obese women with normal and impaired glucose tolerance. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*. 2004;287(3):E472-E479.
21. Highman TJ, Friedman JE, Huston LP, Wong WW, Catalano PM. Longitudinal changes in maternal serum leptin concentrations, body composition, and resting metabolic rate in pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1998;178(5):1010-1015.
22. Catalano PM, Tyzbir ED, Roman NM, Amini SB, Sims EA. Longitudinal changes in insulin release and insulin resistance in nonobese pregnant women. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1991;165(6 Pt 1):1667-1672.
23. Catalano PM, Tyzbir ED, Wolfe RR, et al. Carbohydrate metabolism during pregnancy in control subjects and women with gestational diabetes. *Am J Physiol*. 1993;264(1 Pt 1):E60-E67.
24. Lindsay CA, Huston L, Amini SB, Catalano PM. Longitudinal changes in the relationship between body mass index and percent body fat in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1997;89(3):377-382.
25. McCarthy EA, Strauss BJ, Walker SP, Permezel M. Determination of maternal body composition in pregnancy and its relevance to perinatal outcomes. *Obstet Gynecol Surv*. 2004;59(10):731-742; quiz 745.
26. Catalano PM, Drago NM, Am DB. Longitudinal changes in pancreatic β -cell function and metabolic clearance rate of insulin in pregnant women with normal and abnormal glucose tolerance. *Diabetes Care*. 1998;21(3):6.
27. Carpenter MW, Coustan DR. Criteria for screening tests for gestational diabetes. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1982;144(7):768-773.
28. DeFronzo RA, Tobin JD, Andres R. Glucose clamp technique: a method for quantifying insulin secretion and resistance. *Am J Physiol*. 1979;237(3):E214-E223.
29. Powe CE, Huston Presley LP, Locascio JJ, Catalano PM. Augmented insulin secretory response in early pregnancy. *Diabetologia*. 2019;62(8):1445-1452.
30. Lang KM, Little TD. Principled missing data treatments. *Prev Sci*. 2016. Doi: [10.1007/s11121-016-0644-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11121-016-0644-5)
31. Collins LM, Schafer JL, Kam CM. A comparison of inclusive and restrictive strategies in modern missing data procedures. *Psychol Methods*. 2001;6(4):330-351.
32. Rosseel Y. lavaan: an R package for structural equation modeling. *J Stat Softw*. 2012;48(2):1-36.
33. RStudio Team. *RStudio: Integrated Development Environment for R*. Boston, MA: RStudio, Inc; 2019. <http://www.rstudio.com/>.
34. Swinburn BA, Nyomba BL, Saad MF, et al. Insulin resistance associated with lower rates of weight gain in Pima Indians. *J Clin Invest*. 1991;88(1):168-173.
35. Moyce BL, Dolinsky VW. Maternal β -cell adaptations in pregnancy and placental signalling: implications for gestational diabetes. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2018;19(11). doi:[10.3390/ijms19113467](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19113467)

36. Schulze MB. Metabolic health in normal-weight and obese individuals. *Diabetologia*. 2019;62(4):558-566.
37. Berggren EK, Groh-Wargo S, Presley L, Hauguel-De Mouzon S, Catalano PM. Maternal fat, but not lean, mass is increased among overweight/obese women with excess gestational weight gain. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2016;214(6):745.e1-745.e5.
38. Waters TP, Kim SY, Sharma AJ, et al. Longitudinal changes in glucose metabolism in women with gestational diabetes, from late pregnancy to the postpartum period. *Diabetologia*. 2020;63(2):385-394.
39. Paquette AG, Chu T, Wu X, Wang K, Price ND, Sadovsky Y. Distinct communication patterns of trophoblastic miRNA among the maternal-placental-fetal compartments. *Placenta*. 2018;72-73:28-35.
40. Burton GJ, Jauniaux E. What is the placenta? *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2015;213(4, Supplement):S6.e1-S6.e4.
41. Kirwan JP, Hauguel-De Mouzon S, Lepercq J, et al. TNF-alpha is a predictor of insulin resistance in human pregnancy. *Diabetes*. 2002;51(7):2207-2213.
42. McIntyre HD, Chang AM, Callaway LK, et al.; Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome (HAPO) Study Cooperative Research Group. Hormonal and metabolic factors associated with variations in insulin sensitivity in human pregnancy. *Diabetes Care*. 2010;33(2):356-360.
43. Salomon C, Scholz-Romero K, Sarker S, et al. Gestational diabetes mellitus is associated with changes in the concentration and bioactivity of placenta-derived exosomes in maternal circulation across gestation. *Diabetes*. 2016;65(3):598-609.
44. Kampmann U, Knorr S, Fuglsang J, Ovesen P. Determinants of maternal insulin resistance during pregnancy: an updated overview. *J Diabetes Res*. 2019;2019. doi:10.1155/2019/5320156
45. Catalano PM. Obesity, insulin resistance, and pregnancy outcome. *Reproduction*. 2010;140(3):365-371.