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#### Abstract

Objectives To assess the associations of sensitisation to common allergens with atopic dermatitis, allergic rhinitis and allergic asthma in adults. Design Case-control study. Setting Data were collected from the First Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University in Harbin, China. Participants Cases were 5111 patients with physiciandiagnosed atopic dermatitis ( $n=2631$ ), allergic asthma ( $n=1320$ ) and allergic rhinitis ( $n=1160$ ) recruited from the department of allergy from March 2009 to December 2017. Controls were 2576 healthy adults who underwent physical examination at the same hospital during the same period. Main outcome measures Specific IgE levels to 16 common food, indoor and outdoor allergens were assessed in all participants. Adjusted ORs and 95\% Cls for the association between allergen sensitisation and allergic diseases were estimated using multivariate logistic regression. Results The prevalence of allergen sensitisation was higher in patients with atopic dermatitis (indoor $=17.14 \%$, outdoor $=12.85 \%$, food=21.44\%), allergic rhinitis (indoor $=23.18 \%$, outdoor $=26.81 \%$, food $=8.94 \%$ ) and allergic asthma (indoor= $=24.65 \%$, outdoor $=16.46 \%$, food $=14.31 \%$ ) compared with controls (indoor=11.03\%, outdoor $=6.84 \%$, food=5.83\%). After adjustment for potential confounding variables, there was a doseresponse relevance between the levels of allergen-specific $\operatorname{lgE}$ and allergic diseases ( p trend $<0.0001$ ). The number of allergens to which a patient was sensitised increased the risk of allergic diseases (atopic dermatitis: highest adjusted $\mathrm{OR}=4.28,95 \% \mathrm{Cl} 2.57$ to 7.11 ; allergic rhinitis: highest adjusted $\mathrm{OR}=13.00,95 \% \mathrm{Cl} 3.76$ to 45.00 ; allergic asthma: $\mathrm{OR}=2.37,95 \% \mathrm{Cl} 1.67$ to 3.37 ). Conclusion There was a dose-response relevance between levels of allergen-specific lgE and allergic diseases' prevalence, and multiple sensitisations increased the risk of allergic diseases. This study provides evidence for the prophylaxis of allergic diseases.


## INTRODUCTION

Allergic diseases are global and can profoundly affect the quality of life of patients. ${ }^{1}$ The incidence of allergic diseases, including atopic dermatitis, allergic rhinitis

Strengths and limitations of this study

- We assessed the dose-response relationship between allergen-specific $\operatorname{lgE}$ levels to 16 different allergens and prevalence of allergic diseases using logistic regressions.
- Various types of allergens were considered and categorised into indoor, outdoor and food allergens.
- Adjusted ORs and $95 \%$ Cls for the association between allergen sensitisation and allergic diseases were estimated using multivariate logistic regression.
- The combined effects of multiple allergens on allergic diseases were inspected through multivariate logistic regression analyses, which were conducted with different number of sensitising allergens $(1,2$, $\geq 3$ allergens).
- Although this was not a multicentre study, it was a population-based study with a large sample size.
and allergic asthma, has been increasing rapidly around the world, especially in China and other low-income and middle-income countries. ${ }^{2-4}$ As triggering factors, allergens stimulate the body to produce abnormal immune responses, which eventually lead to allergic diseases. ${ }^{5}$ The type and dose of allergens determine the type of allergic disease and its severity. ${ }^{6}$ Therefore, it is crucial to clarify the distributions of positive allergens among patients with different allergic diseases.

Currently, many studies have been devoted to explore the association between sensitisation to allergens and allergic diseases. Gray et al randomly enrolled 100 children aged 6 months to 10 years with atopic dermatitis and found that a high prevalence of egg sensitisation of $54 \%$, and Skin Prick Test (SPT) to fresh egg white showed a high predictive value for egg allergy. ${ }^{7}$ A Japanese study explored the causal relationships among pollen counts, tweet numbers and patient numbers for seasonal allergic rhinitis and
reported a positive correlation between pollen counts and the patient numbers. ${ }^{8}$ Sensitisation and exposure to indoor allergens (such as dust mites, cockroaches, wild rodents, pets and fungi) have been reported to be associated with allergic diseases, especially the development of asthma. ${ }^{9}$ Patients with allergies usually have different levels of specific IgE to a certain allergen and allergens are often coexistent, so it is critical to understand the associations between multiple allergens sensitisation and allergic diseases. ${ }^{10}$ According to previous studies, the incidence of allergic diseases varies among different geographical locations and subpopulations. For Koreans, high sensitisations to various types of pollen were in the Gangwon region, whereas sensitisation to Japanese cedar pollen was unique in the Jeju region. ${ }^{11}$ Among the individuals from the Americas, grass pollen and animal dander allergies were relatively common, while weed and grass pollen allergies were common in people from Central Asia. ${ }^{11}$ Therefore, it is suggested that genetic and environmental features may play important roles in allergic diseases, and it is interesting to understand the underlying causes of those variations. At present, most relevant studies have a limited sample size, and few such studies have been conducted in northeastern China with its distinctive environmental and climate conditions. ${ }^{12-14}$

In the present study, we assessed the associations between sensitisation to 16 allergens and three common allergic diseases in northeastern China and explored if there is a dose-response connection between the specific IgE levels to an allergen and of allergic diseases' prevalence. We also examined the combined effects of multiple allergens on a specific allergic disease. Our study aimed to supply basis for the prevention and management of allergic diseases.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Participants and public involvement

Harbin is located in northeast of China with longitude spanning $125^{\circ} 42^{\prime}-130^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ and latitude $44^{\circ} 04^{\prime}-46^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ N , which is the provincial capital of Heilongjiang Province. Under the direct influence of the Siberian Anticyclone, the average daily temperature is $-19.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(-3.5)$ in winter. Annual low temperatures below $-35.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(-31.0)$ are not uncommon. Nicknamed 'Ice City' due to its freezingly cold winter. We recruited the participants into our research from Harbin. Only those who signed the informed consent form were recruited into our study. This project was authorised by the Institutional Review Board of Harbin Medical University. Adult patients aged 18 years or older with one of the three common allergic diseases (atopic dermatitis, allergic asthma or allergic rhinitis), who visited the Department of Allergy of the First Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University during March 2009 and December 2017, were collected as the candidate cases. Healthy adults without any clinical symptoms and diseases who underwent physical examination at the same hospital during the same period
were recruited as the candidate controls. All participants carried on allergen-specific IgE tests to 16 kinds of allergens and a questionnaire investigation.

## Allergen-specific IgE testing

Serum allergen-specific IgE concentrations of 16 common allergens were measured in all eligible participants using the AllergyScreen system (Mediwise Analytic GmbH, Germany). As shown in online supplemental information, the 16 allergens were defined as three types: indoor allergens, outdoor allergens and food allergens. ${ }^{15}$ Based on previous research, allergen-specific IgE $>0.35 \mathrm{kU} / \mathrm{L}$ was considered as allergen sensitisation in this study, and specific IgE concentration of $0.35-0.70 \mathrm{kU} / \mathrm{L}$ was defined as class $1,0.70-3.50 \mathrm{kU} / \mathrm{L}$ as class 2 and $>3.50 \mathrm{kU} / \mathrm{L}$ as class 3. ${ }^{16}$

## Allergic diseases definition

We focused on three common allergic diseases (atopic dermatitis, allergic asthma and allergic rhinitis) in Harbin. The three allergic diseases are diagnosed by experienced physicians using existing benchmarks for atopic dermatitis, ${ }^{17}$ allergic rhinitis ${ }^{18}$ and allergic asthma. ${ }^{19}$ The detailed diagnostic criteria are shown in online supplemental information. Besides, the following criteria should be obeyed:

1. There were at least have one positive outcome of the 16 allergen-specific IgE results.
2. The patients had been diagnosed with one of the allergic diseases using current guidelines mentioned above.

## Demographic, lifestyle characteristics and family history

All of the participants in this study answered the questionnaire face to face to collect data on demographic, lifestyle characteristics and family history. Gender, age, level of education, residential region and marital status were analysed as demographic characteristics. Smoking, drinking and physical exercise were included in lifestyle factors. The definition of those factors is shown in the online supplemental information.

## Statistical analysis

To assess the dose-response relationship between levels of allergen-specific IgE to 16 different allergens and prevalence of allergic diseases, we applied logistic regressions to evaluate the ORs and their CIs. Multivariate logistic regression models were adjusted for potential confounding variables significantly associated with allergic diseases identified from univariate analysis or as suggested in previous literature. They included gender, age, BMI (body mass index: weight (in kg)/ height ${ }^{2}$ (in $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) ), level of education, smoking, drinking, living region, marital status, family history of allergic diseases, physical exercise and other 15 allergen-specific $\operatorname{IgE}$ responses. To inspect the combined effects of multiple allergens on allergic diseases, multivariate logistic regression analyses were conducted with different number of sensitising allergens (1, 2, $\geq 3$ allergens). The statistical analysis software


Figure 1 The flow diagram of study participants.
of this study was SAS V.9.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina).

## RESULTS

## Participants

In the present study, 7000 candidate cases and 3500 candidate controls were initially recruited. Excluding those without written informed consents, 6647 candidate cases and 3303 candidate controls remained. After excluding those with missing values and logic errors, and some special populations (sportsmen, pregnant or lactating women), we had 5111 cases (2631 atopic dermatitis, 1320 allergic rhinitis and 1160 allergic asthma) and 2576 controls for our final analysis. The flow diagram of the screening process of study participants is summarised in figure 1.

## Characteristics in cases and controls

There are statistical differences between patients with atopic dermatitis and controls in terms of gender, age, height, weight, BMI, residential region, smoking, education and family history ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ). Patients with allergic rhinitis were significantly different from controls in gender, height, weight, BMI, education and family history ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ). Gender, age, weight, BMI, residential region, smoking, levels of education, marital status and physical exercise were different between those with allergic asthma and controls ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ). Detail demographic characteristics are summarised in table 1.

Prevalence of allergen sensitisation in patients with atopic dermatitis, allergic rhinitis and allergic asthma
Patients with different allergic diseases had different prevalence of allergens sensitisation. Among patients with atopic dermatitis, the prevalence of food allergens sensitisation was higher than other allergens. The prevalence of outdoor allergens sensitisation was higher in those with allergic rhinitis while that of indoor allergens was higher in patients with allergic asthma (figure 2).

Adjusted for gender, age, BMI, level of education, smoking, drinking, living region, family history, physical exercise and other 15 allergen-specific IgE responses, specific IgE to common ragweed and mugwort, Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus, dog fur and cat fur were significantly related to the risk of allergic asthma (tables 2 and 3). Allergic rhinitis was significantly associated with common ragweed and mugwort, mould mixture, tree pollen mixture and Hop (table 3), while atopic dermatitis was significantly associated with egg white/egg yolk, crab, blue mussel, milk, fish and shrimp (tables 4 and 5). There was a dose-response relationship between specific IgE levels, from class 1 to class 3 , and the prevalence of allergic diseases, the prevalence of allergic diseases increased along with the increasing levels of allergenspecific IgE to allergens ( p trend $<0.0001$ ).

## Combined effects of sensitization to multiple allergens on allergic diseases

ORs and their $95 \%$ CIs of allergic diseases with the different number of allergens are shown in table 6 . Although the three allergic diseases had different ORs and $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$, the patterns of the relationships between allergic diseases and the number of allergens were similar. ORs increased as the number of sensitive allergens increased ( p trend $<0.0001$ ). The associations between two or more allergens and atopic dermatitis were strong, adjusted $\mathrm{OR}=4.28$ ( $95 \%$ CI 2.57 o 7.11 ). The combination of specific IgE to three or more sensitive allergens possessed the highest OR for allergic rhinitis (adjusted OR=13.00, $95 \%$ CI 3.76 to 45.00 ), while the combination of specific IgE to two or more allergens showed the highest OR for allergic asthma (adjusted OR=2.37, 95\% CI 1.67 to 3.37).

## DISCUSSION

In our present hospital-based case-control research, we found that different allergens are significantly related to different allergic diseases. There is a dose-response relationship between allergen-specific IgE levels and allergic diseases, and multiple sensitisations increase the risk of allergic diseases. The incidence of allergic diseases has increased rapidly worldwide. ${ }^{2-4}$ The World Allergy Organization reported that, among 1.39 billion people in 33 countries, $22 \%$ of the population suffered from allergic diseases. ${ }^{20}$ The WHO has clearly stipulated that allergic disease is an extremely considerable disease that the whole world should attach importance to. ${ }^{21}$ Identifying specific allergens that trigger allergic diseases is

Table 1 Characteristics of patients with atopic dermatitis, allergic rhinitis, allergic asthma and controls.

|  | Control | Atopic dermatitis | P* | Allergic rhinitis | P* | Allergic asthma | P* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 2576 | 2631 |  | 1320 |  | 1160 |  |
| Men, n (\%) | 732 (28.42) | 684 (26.00) | 0.0499 | 532 (40.30) | <0.0001 | 398 (34.31) | 0.0003 |
| Age, years $\dagger$ | 34.96 (16.13) | 36.70 (15.33) | <0.0001 | 34.59 (13.76) | 0.4868 | 41.60 (17.25) | <0.0001 |
| Height, cm $\dagger$ | 160.40 (14.71) | 163.00 (11.14) | <0.0001 | 164.90 (11.07) | <0.0001 | 160.90 (14.59) | 0.3205 |
| Weight, kg $\dagger$ | 58.43 (15.95) | 60.34 (13.70) | <0.0001 | 62.49 (15.22) | <0.0001 | 60.02 (15.20) | 0.0041 |
| BMI, $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \dagger$ | 22.26 (4.17) | 22.51 (3.95) | 0.0268 | 22.71 (3.98) | 0.0009 | 22.79 (4.04) | 0.0003 |
| Rural, n (\%) | 750 (29.12) | 1020 (38.75) | <0.0001 | 374 (28.32) | 0.6533 | 416 (35.88) | 0.0003 |
| Married, n (\%) | 1661 (64.49) | 1708 (64.92) | 0.8370 | 875 (66.31) | 0.4722 | 891 (76.85) | <0.0001 |
| smoking, n (\%) | 338 (13.12) | 437 (16.61) | 0.0004 | 194 (14.70) | 0.1752 | 296 (25.52) | <0.0001 |
| Alcohol drinking, n (\%) | 317 (12.31) | 349 (13.26) | 0.3002 | 192 (14.55) | 0.0496 | 156 (13.45) | 0.3313 |
| Physical exercise, n (\%) | 893 (34.68) | 897 (34.08) | 0.7735 | 490 (37.15) | 0.3281 | 319 (27.52) | 0.0015 |
| Education, n (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junior high school or lower | 1253 (48.64) | 1084 (41.20) | <0.0001 | 459 (34.77) | <0.0001 | 610 (52.59) | 0.0220 |
| Senior high school | 618 (23.99) | 734 (27.90) |  | 315 (23.86) |  | 280 (24.14) |  |
| University or higher | 705 (27.37) | 813 (30.90) |  | 546 (41.36) |  | 270 (23.28) |  |
| Family history, n (\%) | 452 (17.55) | 1937 (26.38) | <0.0001 | 379 (28.71) | <0.0001 | 202 (17.41) | 0.9213 |

*P values comparing cases with controls.
$\dagger$ The quantitative variables were expressed as means and their SD. BMI, body mass index.
particularly significant for the prophylaxis and treatment of allergic diseases.

Our findings indicated that atopic dermatitis was significantly related to food allergen sensitisation, while allergic rhinitis and allergic asthma were significantly associated


Figure 2 Prevalence of allergens sensitisation among patients with atopic dermatitis, allergic rhinitis and allergic asthma.
with both indoor and outdoor allergen sensitisation. The recognition to food allergens through antigen-presenting cells in eczematous skin plays an important role in the link between food allergy and allergic dermatitis. In addition, the dysfunction of filaggrin or Th2-related cytokines or rare genetic syndromes may also involve in the mechanism. ${ }^{22}$ Different types of allergens induce different allergic diseases, which may due to the properties or size of allergens. Allergic rhinitis is associated with outdoor allergens, mainly pollen, probably because pollen particles are slightly larger than indoor dust and are more likely to stay in the nose. ${ }^{23}$ Inhalation of indoor dust, mites and fungi can increase the airway reactivity and causes allergic asthma. ${ }^{24}$ Our findings were consistent with previous studies that sensitisation to indoor allergens is important risk factors in patients with allergic rhinitis and allergic asthma. ${ }^{95}{ }^{26}$ Some studies also stated that there was a relevance between sensitisation to outdoor allergens and allergic rhinitis and allergic asthma. ${ }^{27-29}$ Food allergens were found to be crucial in the development of atopic dermatitis in some observations. ${ }^{29}{ }^{30}$ Allergens, commonly proteins, induce allergic diseases through complex interactions with the immune system. Due to the presence of
Table 2 ORs for allergic diseases with specific $\operatorname{lgE}$ class to indoor allergens

| Allergen category | Control$(n=2576)$ | Atopic dermatitis ( $\mathrm{n}=2631$ ) |  |  | Allergic rhinitis ( $\mathrm{n}=1320$ ) |  |  | Allergic asthma ( $\mathrm{n}=1160$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | n | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cOR* } \\ & (95 \% \mathrm{Cl}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { aOR* } \\ & (95 \% \mathrm{Cl}) \end{aligned}$ | n | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cOR }^{*} \\ & (95 \% \mathrm{Cl}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { aOR* } \\ & (95 \% \mathrm{Cl}) \end{aligned}$ | n | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cOR* } \\ & (95 \% \mathrm{CI}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { aOR* } \\ & (95 \% \mathrm{Cl}) \end{aligned}$ |
| Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 2098 | 2107 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) | 1038 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) | 852 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) |
| Class 1 | 177 | 203 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.14 \\ & (0.93 \text { to } 1.41) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.17 \\ & (0.93 \text { to } 1.47) \end{aligned}$ | 90 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.03 \\ & (0.79 \text { to } 1.34) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.89 \\ & (0.63 \text { to } 1.24) \end{aligned}$ | 70 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.97 \\ & (0.73 \text { to } 1.30) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.19 \\ & (0.85 \text { to } 1.66) \end{aligned}$ |
| Class 2 | 228 | 243 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.06 \\ & (0.88 \text { to } 1.28) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.11 \\ & (0.91 \text { to } 1.36) \end{aligned}$ | 155 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.37 \\ & \text { (1.11 to } 1.71 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.16 \\ & (0.90 \text { to } 1.51) \end{aligned}$ | 153 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.65 \\ & (1.33 \text { to } 2.06) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.40 \\ & (1.07 \text { to } 1.83) \end{aligned}$ |
| Class 3 | 73 | 78 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.06 \\ & (0.77 \text { to } 1.47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.19 \\ & (0.84 \text { to } 1.69) \end{aligned}$ | 37 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.02 \\ & (0.69 \text { to } 1.53 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.80 \\ & (0.51 \text { to } 1.26) \end{aligned}$ | 85 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.87 \\ & (2.08 \text { to } 3.96) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.68 \\ & (2.50 \text { to } 5.40) \end{aligned}$ |
| $P$ trend |  |  | 0.3415 |  |  | 0.0306 |  |  | <0.0001 |  |
| Cat and dog fur |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 2513 | 2539 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) | 1287 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) | 1089 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) |
| Class 1 | 35 | 54 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.95 \\ & (0.59 \text { to } 1.53) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.90 \\ & (0.54 \text { to 1.49) } \end{aligned}$ | 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \\ & (0.57 \text { to } 1.78) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.08 \\ & (0.58 \text { to 2.01) } \end{aligned}$ | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.32 \\ & (0.76 \text { to } 2.30) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.08 \\ & (0.58 \text { to } 1.99) \end{aligned}$ |
| Class 2 | 14 | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.40 \\ & (0.71 \text { to } 2.78) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.67 \\ & (0.78 \text { to } 3.59) \end{aligned}$ | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.12 \\ & (0.47 \text { to } 2.67) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.26 \\ & (0.46 \text { to } 3.44) \end{aligned}$ | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.64 \\ & (1.28 \text { to } 5.42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.10 \\ & (1.67 \text { to } 9.91) \end{aligned}$ |
| Class 3 | 14 | 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.26 \\ & (0.63 \text { to } 2.54) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.29 \\ & (0.62 \text { to } 2.69) \end{aligned}$ | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.98 \\ & (0.39 \text { to } 2.43) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.17 \\ & (0.46 \text { to } 3.22) \end{aligned}$ | 35 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.77 \\ & (3.09 \text { to 10.77) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.13 \\ & \text { (3.44 to 14.79) } \end{aligned}$ |
| P trend |  |  | 0.3498 |  |  | 0.9260 |  |  | <0.0001 |  |
| Mould mixture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 2205 | 2252 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) | 1059 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) | 990 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) |
| Class 1 | 234 | 245 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.03 \\ & (0.85 \text { to } 1.24) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.05 \\ & (0.86 \text { to } 1.29) \end{aligned}$ | 123 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.09 \\ & (0.87 \text { to } 1.38) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.81 \\ & (0.61 \text { to } 1.08) \end{aligned}$ | 106 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.01 \\ & (0.79 \text { to } 1.28) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.98 \\ & (0.74 \text { to } 1.29) \end{aligned}$ |
| Class 2 | 100 | 97 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.95 \\ & (0.71 \text { to } 1.26) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.07 \\ & (0.79 \text { to } 1.44) \end{aligned}$ | 80 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.67 \\ & (1.23 \text { to } 2.26) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.58 \\ & \text { (1.12 to 2.22) } \end{aligned}$ | 46 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.03 \\ & (0.72 \text { to } 1.46) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.67 \\ & (0.44 \text { to 1.01) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Class 3 | 37 | 37 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.98 \\ & (0.62 \text { to } 1.55) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.04 \\ & (0.65 \text { to } 1.67) \end{aligned}$ | 58 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.26 \\ & (2.15 \text { to } 4.96) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.32 \\ & (2.14 \text { to } 5.14) \end{aligned}$ | 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.09 \\ & (0.62 \text { to } 1.91) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.01 \\ & (0.55 \text { to } 1.86) \end{aligned}$ |
| $P$ trend |  |  | 0.8736 |  |  | <0.0001 |  |  | 0.7814 |  |

[^0]Table 3 ORs for allergic diseases with specific lgE class to outdoor allergens

| Allergen category | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Control } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=2576) \end{aligned}$ | Atopic dermatitis ( $\mathrm{n}=2631$ ) |  |  | Allergic rhinitis ( $\mathrm{n}=1320$ ) |  |  | Allergic asthma ( $\mathrm{n}=1160$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | n | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cOR }^{*} \\ & (95 \% \mathrm{Cl}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { aOR }^{*} \\ & (95 \% \mathrm{CI}) \end{aligned}$ | n | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COR }^{*} \\ & (95 \% \mathrm{Cl}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { aOR }^{*} \\ & \text { (95\% CI) } \end{aligned}$ | n | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { cOR }^{*} \\ & (95 \% \mathrm{Cl}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { aOR }^{*} \\ & (95 \% \mathrm{Cl}) \end{aligned}$ |
| Common ragweed and mugwort |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 2325 | 2378 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) | 1130 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) | 1020 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) |
| Class 1 | 113 | 114 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.99 \\ & (0.76 \text { to } 1.29) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.97 \\ & (0.72 \text { to } 1.30) \end{aligned}$ | 58 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.06 \\ & (0.76 \text { to } 1.46) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.95 \\ & (0.64 \text { to } 1.40) \end{aligned}$ | 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.77 \\ & (0.53 \text { to } 1.12) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.75 \\ & (0.49 \text { to } 1.15) \end{aligned}$ |
| Class 2 | 72 | 73 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.99 \\ & (0.71 \text { to } 1.38) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.09 \\ & (0.74 \text { to } 1.60) \end{aligned}$ | 52 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.49 \\ & (1.03 \text { to } 2.14) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.92 \\ & (1.23 \text { to } 2.99) \end{aligned}$ | 41 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.30 \\ & (0.88 \text { to } 1.92) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.95 \\ & (1.17 \text { to } 3.27) \end{aligned}$ |
| Class 3 | 66 | 66 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.98 \\ & (0.69 \text { to } 1.38) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.75 \\ & (0.50 \text { to 1.13) } \end{aligned}$ | 80 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.49 \\ & (1.79 \text { to } 3.48) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.87 \\ & (1.24 \text { to } 2.81) \end{aligned}$ | 61 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.11 \\ & (1.48 \text { to } 3.01 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.26 \\ & (1.43 \text { to } 3.56) \end{aligned}$ |
| $P$ trend |  |  | 0.8767 |  |  | <0.0001 |  |  | 0.0002 |  |
| German cockroach |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 2391 | 2438 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) | 1225 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) | 1073 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) |
| Class 1 | 113 | 114 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.99 \\ & (0.76 \text { to } 1.29) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.79 \\ & (0.58 \text { to } 1.09) \end{aligned}$ | 58 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \\ & (0.73 \text { to } 1.39) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.75 \\ & (0.47 \text { to } 1.19) \end{aligned}$ | 52 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.03 \\ & (0.73 \text { to } 1.44) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.35 \\ & (0.89 \text { to } 2.06) \end{aligned}$ |
| Class 2 | 61 | 59 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.95 \\ & (0.66 \text { to } 1.36) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.69 \\ & (0.44 \text { to } 1.09) \end{aligned}$ | 31 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.99 \\ & (0.64 \text { to } 1.54) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.59 \\ & (0.32 \text { to } 1.10) \end{aligned}$ | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.99 \\ & (0.62 \text { to } 1.56) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.70 \\ & (0.37 \text { to } 1.31) \end{aligned}$ |
| Class 3 | 11 | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.78 \\ & (0.85 \text { to } 3.73) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.32 \\ & (0.58 \text { to } 3.04) \end{aligned}$ | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.07 \\ & (0.39 \text { to } 2.89) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.57 \\ & (0.17 \text { to } 1.84) \end{aligned}$ | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.62 \\ & (0.65 \text { to } 4.04) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.84 \\ & \text { (0.67 to } 5.08 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |
| $P$ trend |  |  | 0.5522 |  |  | 0.9707 |  |  | 0.5848 |  |
| Tree pollen mixture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 2249 | 2297 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) | 1078 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) | 1003 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) |
| Class 1 | 169 | 174 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.01 \\ & (0.81 \text { to } 1.26) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.99 \\ & (0.77 \text { to 1.27) } \end{aligned}$ | 76 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.94 \\ & (0.71 \text { to } 1.24) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.86 \\ & (0.61 \text { to } 1.22) \end{aligned}$ | 74 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.98 \\ & (0.74 \text { to } 1.30) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.96 \\ & (0.67 \text { to } 1.38) \end{aligned}$ |
| Class 2 | 129 | 132 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \\ & (0.78 \text { to } 1.29) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.09 \\ & (0.81 \text { to } 1.46) \end{aligned}$ | 102 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.65 \\ & (1.26 \text { to 2.16) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.50 \\ & (1.06 \text { to } 2.14) \end{aligned}$ | 73 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.27 \\ & (0.94 \text { to } 1.71) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.78 \\ & (0.51 \text { to } 1.18) \end{aligned}$ |
| Class 3 | 29 | 28 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.95 \\ & (0.56 \text { to } 1.59) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.82 \\ & (0.45 \text { to } 1.51) \end{aligned}$ | 64 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.60 \\ & (2.95 \text { to } 7.18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.79 \\ & (2.28 \text { to } 6.29) \end{aligned}$ | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.77 \\ & (0.38 \text { to } 1.59) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.51 \\ & (0.21 \text { to } 1.23) \end{aligned}$ |
| $P$ trend |  |  | 0.9430 |  |  | <0.0001 |  |  | 0.4338 |  |
| Hop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 2412 | 2461 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) | 1150 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) | 1076 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) |
| Class 1 | 90 | 89 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.97 \\ & (0.72 \text { to } 1.31) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.89 \\ & (0.63 \text { to } 1.27) \end{aligned}$ | 46 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.07 \\ & (0.75 \text { to } 1.54) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.28 \\ & (0.81 \text { to } 2.03) \end{aligned}$ | 50 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.25 \\ & (0.88 \text { to } 1.77) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.15 \\ & (0.74 \text { to } 1.79) \end{aligned}$ |
| Class 2 | 45 | 52 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.13 \\ & (0.76 \text { to } 1.69) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.21 \\ & (0.77 \text { to 1.91) } \end{aligned}$ | 50 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.33 \\ & (1.55 \text { to } 3.51) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.71 \\ & (2.24 \text { to } 6.13) \end{aligned}$ | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \\ & (0.59 \text { to } 1.70) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.70 \\ & (0.36 \text { to } 1.35) \end{aligned}$ |
| Class 3 | 29 | 29 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.98 \\ & (0.58 \text { to } 1.65) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.85 \\ & (0.45 \text { to } 1.61) \end{aligned}$ | 74 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.35 \\ & (3.46 \text { to } 8.27) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.89 \\ & (4.05 \text { to } 11.73) \end{aligned}$ | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.08 \\ & (0.57 \text { to } 2.06) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.68 \\ & (0.31 \text { to } 1.53) \end{aligned}$ |
| P trend |  |  | 0.8221 |  |  | <0.0001 |  |  | 0.5204 |  |

1.00 (ref)
1.03
$(0.73$ to 1.44$)$
0.99
$(0.62$ to 1.56 )
1.62
$(0.65$ to 4.04$)$
0.588 0.5848

(0.21 to 1.23)


 0.70
(0.36 to 1.35)




[^1]| Allergen category | Control ( $\mathrm{n}=2576$ ) | Atopic dermatitis ( $\mathrm{n}=2631$ ) |  |  | Allergic rhinitis ( $\mathrm{n}=1320$ ) |  |  | Allergic asthma ( $\mathrm{n}=1160$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | n | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cOR }^{\star} \\ & (95 \% \mathrm{Cl}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { aOR* } \\ & \text { ( } 95 \% \mathrm{Cl} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | n | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cOR }^{\star} \\ & (95 \% \mathrm{Cl}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { aOR* } \\ & \text { (95\% CI) } \end{aligned}$ | n | cOR* <br> ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ ) | aOR* <br> ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ ) |
| Blue mussel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 2521 | 2503 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) | 1289 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) | 1126 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) |
| Class 1 | 40 | 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.96 \\ & (0.61 \text { to } 1.50) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.57 \\ & (0.33 \text { to } 0.98) \end{aligned}$ | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.98 \\ & (0.57 \text { to } 1.68) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.29 \\ & (0.64 \text { to } 2.60) \end{aligned}$ | 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.01 \\ & (0.58 \text { to 1.77) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.64 \\ & (0.30 \text { to } 1.36) \end{aligned}$ |
| Class 2 | 7 | 29 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.17 \\ & (1.82 \text { to } 9.54) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.27 \\ & (1.40 \text { to } 7.65) \end{aligned}$ | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.68 \\ & (0.56 \text { to } 5.00) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.94 \\ & (0.22 \text { to } 4.03) \end{aligned}$ | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.56 \\ & (0.93 \text { to } 7.07) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.80 \\ & (0.23 \text { to } 2.84) \end{aligned}$ |
| Class 3 | 8 | 61 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.66 \\ & (3.66 \text { to } 16.04) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.98 \\ & (2.79 \text { to } 12.80) \end{aligned}$ | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.22 \\ & (0.40 \text { to } 3.74) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.09 \\ & (0.31 \text { to } 3.80) \end{aligned}$ | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.24 \\ & (0.84 \text { to } 5.98) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.98 \\ & (0.28 \text { to } 3.35) \end{aligned}$ |
| P trend |  |  | <0.0001 |  |  | 0.5126 |  |  | 0.0335 |  |
| Fish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 2378 | 2354 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) | 1215 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) | 1068 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) |
| Class 1 | 155 | 163 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.06 \\ & (0.85 \text { to } 1.33) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.09 \\ & (0.83 \text { to 1.42) } \end{aligned}$ | 80 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.01 \\ & (0.77 \text { to } 1.34) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.94 \\ & \text { (0.64 to 1.39) } \end{aligned}$ | 74 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.06 \\ & (0.80 \text { to 1.42) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.28 \\ & (0.88 \text { to } 1.86) \end{aligned}$ |
| Class 2 | 27 | 53 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.98 \\ & (1.24 \text { to } 3.16) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.13 \\ & (1.28 \text { to } 3.55 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.16 \\ & (0.62 \text { to } 2.16) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.74 \\ & (0.35 \text { to } 1.59) \end{aligned}$ | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.66 \\ & (0.30 \text { to 1.46) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.53 \\ & (0.22 \text { to 1.28) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Class 3 | 16 | 61 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.85 \\ & (2.21 \text { to } 6.70) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.24 \\ & (1.18 \text { to } 4.25) \end{aligned}$ | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.10 \\ & (0.49 \text { to } 2.50) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.01 \\ & (0.38 \text { to } 2.71) \end{aligned}$ | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.39 \\ & (0.63 \text { to } 3.08 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | 1.14 <br> (0.43 to 3.03) |
| P trend |  |  | <0.0001 |  |  | 0.6747 |  |  | 0.7889 |  |
| Crab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 2423 | 2409 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) | 1235 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) | 1094 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) |
| Class 1 | 120 | 122 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.02 \\ & (0.79 \text { to } 1.32) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.95 \\ & (0.70 \text { to } 1.28) \end{aligned}$ | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.01 \\ & (0.74 \text { to } 1.39) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.92 \\ & (0.61 \text { to } 1.38) \end{aligned}$ | 44 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.81 \\ & (0.57 \text { to 1.16) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.79 \\ & (0.52 \text { to } 1.20) \end{aligned}$ |
| Class 2 | 22 | 46 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.10 \\ & (1.26 \text { to } 3.51) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.80 \\ & (1.04 \text { to } 3.12) \end{aligned}$ | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.16 \\ & (0.58 \text { to } 2.31) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.55 \\ & (0.25 \text { to } 1.20) \end{aligned}$ | 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.21 \\ & (0.60 \text { to } 2.45) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.72 \\ & (0.31 \text { to 1.66) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Class 3 | 11 | 54 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.94 \\ & (2.58 \text { to } 9.46) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.75 \\ & (2.27 \text { to } 9.91) \end{aligned}$ | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.78 \\ & (0.76 \text { to } 4.21) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.66 \\ & (0.23 \text { to } 1.87) \end{aligned}$ | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.01 \\ & (0.85 \text { to } 4.76) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.45 \\ & (0.55 \text { to } 3.82) \end{aligned}$ |
| P trend |  |  | <0.0001 |  |  | 0.2796 |  |  | 0.5212 |  |
| Shrimp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 2455 | 2434 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) | 1270 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) | 1098 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) |
| Class 1 | 102 | 102 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.01 \\ & (0.76 \text { to } 1.34) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.92 \\ & (0.66 \text { to } 1.28) \end{aligned}$ | 39 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.74 \\ & (0.51 \text { to } 1.08) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.66 \\ & (0.40 \text { to } 1.08) \end{aligned}$ | 46 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.01 \\ & (0.71 \text { to } 1.44) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.87 \\ & (0.56 \text { to } 1.37) \end{aligned}$ |
| Class 2 | 9 | 24 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.69 \\ & (1.25 \text { to } 5.80) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.07 \\ & \text { (1.62 to 10.22) } \end{aligned}$ | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.07 \\ & (0.36 \text { to } 3.21) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.58 \\ & (0.15 \text { to } 2.18) \end{aligned}$ | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.99 \\ & (0.77 \text { to } 5.17) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.03 \\ & (0.65 \text { to } 6.34) \end{aligned}$ |
| Class 3 | 10 | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.15 \\ & (3.68 \text { to } 13.89) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.18 \\ & (2.49 \text { to } 10.75) \end{aligned}$ | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.16 \\ & (0.42 \text { to } 3.20) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.85 \\ & (0.25 \text { to } 2.82) \end{aligned}$ | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.79 \\ & (0.70 \text { to } 4.55) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.92 \\ & (0.69 \text { to } 5.35) \end{aligned}$ |
| P trend |  |  | <0.0001 |  |  | 0.4474 |  |  | 0.1490 |  |

*OR values of three allergic disease compared with controls.
aOR, adjusted OR; cOR, crude OR.
Table 5 ORs for allergic diseases with specific lgE class to food allergens（non－fish and seafood）
aOR ${ }^{*}$
（ $95 \% \mathrm{Cl})$

8．
0
$\stackrel{0}{+}$
$\stackrel{1}{\circ}$
$\stackrel{i}{0}$
$\stackrel{0}{0}$
 $\stackrel{10}{N}$


正


（ $七 0^{\circ}$ เ Oł てでロ）


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.00 （ref） | 1090 | 1.00 （ref） | 1.00 （ref） |
| 0.93 | 50 | 0.88 | 0.95 |
| $(0.65$ to 1.33$)$ |  | $(0.63$ to 1.23$)$ | $(0.66$ to 1.37$)$ |
| 1.28 | 10 | 1.39 | 1.36 |
| $(0.42$ to 3.93$)$ |  | $(0.63$ to 3.07$)$ | $(0.55$ to 3.40$)$ |
| 1.02 | 0 | 2.22 | 1.40 |
| $(0.26$ to 4.07$)$ |  | $(0.92$ to 5.36$)$ | $(0.48$ to 4.09$)$ | $\square$




[^2]epitopes with allergenic potential, glycosylation status, resistance to proteolysis and enzymatic activity, some proteins are more allergenic than others and different allergens may cause different allergic diseases through various underlying mechanisms. ${ }^{31}$ However, some studies showed inconsistent findings. Several studies showed that indoor allergens have a bearing on the prevalence of atopic dermatitis in some individuals. ${ }^{32}{ }^{33}$ Other studies showed a relationship between sensitisation to food allergens and allergic rhinitis and allergic asthma. ${ }^{34}$ The inconsistency of those studies may be related to regional variation and different climate characteristics, which affect the morphology of the allergen-carrying agents and modify their allergenic potential. ${ }^{3536}$ In addition, genetic susceptibility and socioeconomic status of the populations may influence the occurrence of allergic diseases. ${ }^{337} 38$

This study revealed that there was a dose-response relationship between specific IgE levels of allergens and prevalence of allergic diseases, in which the odds of allergic diseases increase with increasing allergen-specific IgE levels. Our findings are in line with a study in America revealing a dose-response relationship between exposure to mouse allergen and the morbidity of allergic asthma in urban children and adolescents. ${ }^{39}$ Another research evaluated that whether TPI ASM8 (a drug product containing two antisense oligonucleotides: TOP004 directed against the human beta subunit of IL-3, IL-5, and GM-CSF receptors and TOP005 directed against the human chemokine receptor CCR3) induced a dose-dependent reduction in the inflammatory and physiological changes following inhaled allergen challenge. ${ }^{40}$ It is worth noting that there was a combined effect of sensitisation to different allergens on allergic diseases. Multiple sensitisations to several allergens had a relatively stronger association with allergic diseases than single sensitisation to one allergen. Some research results were similar to our findings. Kumar et al reported that simultaneous sensitisation to food and inhalant allergens (insect and pollen) may increase the risk of asthma and rhinitis or exacerbate symptoms. ${ }^{41}$ A cross-sectional population-based case-control study in Finland adults found that the pathomechanisms of sensitisation to one allergen are different from those of sensitisation to several allergen types, and the latter are more likely to induce asthma. ${ }^{42}$ In addition, most allergens were significantly associated with allergic diseases only when their IgE level classes $\geq 2$ according to our study. Such findings may be of more clinical value than a dichotomous designation of sensitised or not sensitised to allergens and can potentially be used for improving the diagnosis of allergic diseases.

According to the previous studies, genetic and environmental factors play important roles in sensitisation to allergens. ${ }^{18}{ }^{43-46}$ In our study, the family history of atopic dermatitis and allergic rhinitis were significantly different compared with the controls, while there was no difference in family history between allergic asthma and controls. The proportion living in rural was significantly higher in patients with atopic dermatitis and allergic asthma than

Table 6 ORs for allergic diseases in relation to number of allergens

|  | Control ( $\mathrm{n}=2576$ ) | n | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cOR* }^{*} \\ & \text { (95\% CI) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { aOR* } \\ & \text { (95\% CI) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atopic dermatitis ( $\mathrm{n}=2631$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Number of allergens $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |
| No | 2422 | 2142 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) |
| 1 | 135 | 375 | 3.14 (2.56 to 3.86) | 3.00 (2.44 to 3.69) |
| 2 | 19 | 79 | 4.70 (2.84 to 7.78) | 4.28 (2.57 to 7.11) |
| $\geq 3$ | 0 | 35 | - | - |
| P trend | <0.0001 |  |  |  |
|  | Allergic rhinitis ( $\mathrm{n}=1320$ ) |  |  |  |
| Number of allergens $\ddagger$ |  |  |  |  |
| No | 2181 | 893 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) |
| 1 | 286 | 312 | 2.66 (2.23 to 3.19) | 2.64 (2.20 to 3.18) |
| 2 | 106 | 97 | 2.24 (1.68 to 2.98) | 2.13 (1.58 to 2.87) |
| $\geq 3$ | 3 | 18 | 14.65 (4.31 to 49.87) | 13.00 (3.76 to 45.00) |
| P trend |  |  | <0.0001 |  |
|  | Allergic asthma ( $\mathrm{n}=1160$ ) |  |  |  |
| Number of allergens§ |  |  |  |  |
| No | 2183 | 845 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) |
| 1 | 319 | 243 | 1.97 (1.64 to 2.37) | 2.06 (1.70 to 2.49) |
| 2 | 74 | 68 | 2.37 (1.69 to 3.33) | 2.37 (1.67 to 3.37) |
| $\geq 3$ | 0 | 4 | - | - |
| P trend |  |  | <0.0001 |  |

*OR values of three allergic disease compared with controls.
$\dagger$ Allergens excluding those allergens which were not related with atopic dermatitis in tables 2-5. $\ddagger$ Allergens excluding those allergens which were not related with allergic rhinitis in tables 2-5 §Allergens excluding those allergens which were not related with allergic asthma in tables 2-5. aOR, adjusted OR; cOR, crude OR.
controls, while there was no difference in location of residence between allergic rhinitis and controls. We can investigate the family history and location of residence and combine clinical symptoms to speculate whether the patients are likely to be sensitive to allergens and recommend further appropriate testing.

It is obvious that allergens avoidance is the most effective means of prevention for allergy sufferers considering that allergic diseases are triggered by those allergens. However, this may not be true in some cases. Completely avoiding contact with some indoor and outdoor allergens is not always feasible. Moreover, early exposure to food allergens has been turned out to be a successful method for the prevention of peanut allergy. ${ }^{47}$ Many studies reported that allergen immunotherapy was an effective treatment strategy for allergic diseases. ${ }^{48}{ }^{49}$ However, for some patients with severe symptoms, allergen avoidance and treatment of symptoms may be necessary. Our findings could provide some evidence for clinicians about avoidance or specific immunotherapy treatment for patients with allergic diseases.

There are several strengths in this study. First, the sample size of this study was relatively large, which enabled us to classify specific IgE levels of 16 common allergens into three levels: class 1 , class 2 and class 3 . This enabled us to analyse the dose-response relationship between specific IgE levels and the prevalence of allergic diseases and the combined effect of sensitisation to multiple allergens with allergic diseases. Second, the 16 allergens were categorised into three categories: indoor allergens, outdoor allergens and food allergens, and the associations between each type of allergens and different allergic diseases were analysed. However, there are some limitations in this study. First, we cannot determine when the patients were first sensitised to allergens or when they first developed an allergic disease. We did not collect the specific date of the patient's visit to the hospital, so we could not analyse the impact of seasonal factors on allergic diseases. Second, this study was performed only in one hospital in Harbin, the generalisability of our results to the whole of China still needs to be further explored.

In conclusion, food allergen sensitisation is associated with atopic dermatitis, while indoor and outdoor allergen sensitisations are related to allergic rhinitis and asthma in northeastern China. There is a dose-response relationship between allergen-specific IgE levels and allergic diseases, and multiple sensitisations increase the risk of allergic diseases. Our findings could provide evidence for the control and management of allergic diseases, and may have important public health implications.
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[^0]:    *OR values of three allergic disease compared with controls.
    aOR, adjusted OR; cOR, crude OR.

[^1]:    *OR values of three allergic disease compared with controls.
    aOR, adiusted OR; cOR, crude OR.

[^2]:    *OR values of three allergic disease compared with controls.
    aOR, adjusted OR; cOR, crude OR.

