Open

Safety, Tolerability, and Biologic Activity of AXA1125 and AXA1957 in Subjects With Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease

Stephen A. Harrison, MD¹, Seth J. Baum, MD², Nadege T. Gunn, MD³, Ziad H. Younes, MD⁴, Anita Kohli, MD⁵, Rashmee Patil, MD⁶, Margaret J. Koziel, MD⁷, Harinder Chera, BS⁷, Jeff Zhao, PhD⁷ and Manu V. Chakravarthy, MD, PhD⁷

- INTRODUCTION: AXA1125 and AXA1957 are novel, orally administered endogenous metabolic modulator compositions, specifically designed to simultaneously support multiple metabolic and fibroinflammatory pathways associated with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). This study assessed safety, tolerability, and biologic activity of AXA1125 and AXA1957 in NAFLD.
- METHODS: In this multicenter, 16-week, placebo-controlled, single-blind, randomized clinical study in subjects with NAFLD stratified by type 2 diabetes, AXA1125 24 g, AXA1957 13.5 g or 20.3 g, or placebo was administered twice daily. Key metabolism (MRI-proton density fat fraction [MRI-PDFF] and homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance [HOMA-IR]) and fibroinflammation markers (alanine aminotransferase [ALT], corrected T1 [cT1], keratin-18 [K-18] M65, and *N*-terminal type III collagen propeptide [Pro-C3]) were evaluated. Safety outcomes included adverse events and standard laboratory assessments.
- RESULTS: Baseline characteristics of the 102 enrolled subjects, including 40 with type 2 diabetes, were consistent with presumed nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. AXA1125 showed consistently greater biologic activity than AXA1957 or placebo. Week 16 changes from baseline with AXA1125 vs placebo: MRI-PDFF -22.9% vs -5.7%, HOMA-IR -4.4 vs +0.7, ALT -21.9% vs -7.2%, K-18 M65 -13.6% vs +20.1%, cT1 -69.6 vs +18.3 ms (P<0.05), and Pro-C3 -13.6% vs -3.6%. Week 16 changes from baseline with AXA1957 20.3 g: MRI-PDFF -8.1%, HOMA-IR +8.4, ALT -20.7%, K-18 M65 6.6%, cT1 -34.7 ms, and Pro-C3 -15.6%. A greater proportion of subjects treated with AXA1125 achieved clinically relevant thresholds: ≥30% MRI-PDFF, ≥17-IU/L ALT, and ≥80-ms cT1 reductions at week 16. Study products were safe and well tolerated with stable lipid and weight profiles.</p>
- DISCUSSION: Both compositions showed multitargeted activity on relevant NAFLD pathways. AXA1125 demonstrated the greatest activity over 16 weeks, warranting continued clinical investigation in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis subjects.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL accompanies this paper at http://links.lww.com/AJG/C99 and http://links.lww.com/AJG/C100.

Am J Gastroenterol 2021;116:2399-2409. https://doi.org/10.14309/ajg.000000000001375

INTRODUCTION

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is associated with a spectrum of hepatic histologic manifestations, including steatosis, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), fibrosis, and cirrhosis (1). Although global prevalence of NAFLD is greater than 25% (2), it is 55% in patients with type 2 diabetes (T2D) (3). Current standard of care for patients with NAFLD or NASH remains dietary changes and increased physical activity (4); there is no approved pharmacologic treatment in the United States for these patients.

Because multiple metabolic and fibroinflammatory pathways are dysregulated in NAFLD and NASH, often simultaneously, patients may benefit from approaches supporting restoration of these processes to normal health (5). A strategy aimed at complex mechanisms affecting multiple organ systems that would be inherently safe could possibly be achieved with the use of endogenous metabolic modulators (EMMs) (6). EMMs are a broad set of molecular families that include amino acids (AAs), fatty acids and other lipids, bile acids, ketone bodies, hormones, and other

¹Pinnacle Clinical Research, San Antonio, Texas, USA; ²Excel Medical Clinical Trials, Boca Raton, Florida, USA; ³Pinnacle Clinical Research, Austin, Texas, USA; ⁴Gastro One, Germantown, Tennessee, USA; ⁵Arizona Liver Health, Chandler, Arizona, USA; ⁶South Texas Research Institute, Edinburg, Texas, USA; ⁷Axcella Health, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA. **Correspondence:** Manu V. Chakravarthy, MD, PhD. E-mail: manu.v.chakravarthy@gmail.com. **Received January 30, 2021; accepted May 21, 2021; published online August 12, 2021** physiologically intrinsic molecules. EMMs can be combined to form novel compositions that simultaneously target multiple metabolic nodes and pathways key to liver health and complex multifactorial diseases. AA supplementation (e.g., branchedchain AA [BCAA], L-carnitine, arginine, and serine) has been shown to have potential benefits in a broad range of liver conditions, from NAFLD to cirrhosis (7–10).

AXA1125 and AXA1957 are novel, orally administered EMM compositions that were developed to simultaneously target pathways related to liver metabolism, inflammation, and fibrosis. AXA1125 includes specific ratios of 5 AAs (leucine, isoleucine, valine, arginine, and glutamine) and an AA precursor (*N*-acetylcysteine [NAC]). In an open-label, 12-week pilot study (AXA1125-002) in approximately 32 subjects with NAFLD and T2D, AXA1125 demonstrated positive trends in biomarkers related to liver structure (steatosis and fibrosis) and function (insulin sensitivity and inflammation) (11). In addition, data from primary human cell systems and NASH rodent models confirmed multifactorial activity with AXA1125 on core NASH pathophysiologic pathways, including regulation of key metabolic and fibroinflammatory nodes (11,12).

These findings prompted the present evaluation of AXA1125 in an isocaloric, placebo-controlled, randomized clinical study over a longer duration (16 weeks) in subjects with NAFLD with and without T2D. To elucidate potentially differential EMM-dependent biologic activity, another EMM composition, AXA1957, comprising 5 AAs (leucine, isoleucine, arginine, glutamine, and serine), an AA metabolite (carnitine), and NAC, was included as an isonitrogenous control to AXA1125. The clinical study described herein elucidates AXA1125 and AXA1957 safety, tolerability, and biologic activity on liver structure and function. The findings demonstrate differential activity on key biologic nodes relevant to NASH pathophysiology, indicating that specific compositions of EMMs are necessary to elicit consistent and clinically relevant effects.

METHODS

Study design

This 16-week, multicenter, randomized, single-blind, placebocontrolled study (AXA1125-003; NCT04073368) was performed under US Food and Drug Administration regulations and guidance in support of research with food, and in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki, and complied with International Council for Harmonisation Harmonised Tripartite Guideline for Good Clinical Practice and all applicable local regulations. The protocol was approved by a central institutional review board (IntegReview) before study initiation. All subjects provided written informed consent.

Study population

Male and female subjects aged 18 years and older with NAFLD were recruited from outpatient clinics in the Summit Clinical Research network if they had proton density fat fraction (PDFF) \geq 10%, corrected T1 [cT1] \geq 830 ms by multiparametric MRI, and fasting aspartate aminotransferase >20 IU/L. Body weight (BW) was required to be stable (\pm 5% within 3 months preceding the screening visit with the expectation that subjects would not engage in lifestyle interventions/changes during the study). Subjects with T2D were required to have stable glycemic control on their existing medications (thiazolidinediones, glucagon-like peptide-1 analogs/ glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists, and prandial/short-

acting insulins were exclusionary), with a screening hemoglobin A1c <9.5%. Key exclusion criteria were current or history of significant alcohol consumption, liver disease (other than NAFLD or NASH) and/or hepatic decompensation, current or planned use of dietary supplements containing proteins or AAs, ketones, or fish oils, and instability in chronic conditions. Detailed eligibility criteria are provided in the Supplementary Table (see Supplementary Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/AJG/C99).

Intervention

Subjects were randomized 2:2:2:1 to receive twice-daily AXA1125 24 g (22.6-g free AA); AXA1957 (13.5 g or 20.3 g, the latter isocaloric and isonitrogenous to AXA1125); or a calorie-, excipient-, and color-matched placebo 24 g orally (Figure 1a). Randomization occurred through an interactive Web response system using a stratified design in blocks of 7 to ensure even allocation of T2D subjects across all arms. Subjects were blinded to treatment assignment; personnel dispensing study product were unblinded to package AXA1957 into high or low doses and to instruct subjects on the number of packages to consume daily based on dose. Subjects maintained their usual dietary and physical activity patterns/regimens for the study duration.

The study products were packaged in dry powder stick packs. Each AXA1125 stick pack was composed of leucine 1.00 g, isoleucine 0.50 g, valine 0.50 g, arginine HCl 1.81 g, glutamine 2.00 g, and NAC 0.15 g (5.65-g free AA/stick pack), and each AXA1957 stick pack was composed of leucine 1.00 g, isoleucine 0.50 g, arginine HCl 1.61 g, glutamine 0.67 g, serine 2.50 g, carnitine 0.33 g, and NAC 0.43 g (6.76-g free AA/stick pack). Each dose (2–4 stick packs) was to be reconstituted as an orange-flavored suspension in 8 oz (\sim 240 mL) of water and administered 30 minutes before a meal. The initial dose was administered at the day 1 (baseline) visit.

Assessments

Demographics and clinical characteristics were collected on day 1. Subsequent study visits were scheduled at weeks 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, and 16 and a safety follow-up at week 18 (Figure 1a). Multiparametric MRI examinations and oral glucose tolerance tests were performed at baseline (day 1), week 8, and week 16 to characterize liver fat content (MRI-PDFF) and fibroinflammation (cT1) (13), and to assess glucose homeostasis, respectively. Overnight fasted blood samples for key markers of metabolism (homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance [HOMA-IR]), inflammation (alanine aminotransferase [ALT] and keratin-18 M65 [K-18 M65]), and fibrosis (*N*-terminal type III collagen propeptide [Pro-C3]) were obtained throughout the study and analyzed in a central laboratory. Adverse events (AEs), vital signs, electrocardiograms, safety laboratory tests (including fasting lipid profiles), and physical examinations (including BW) were collected.

Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed at the significance level of 2-sided 0.05 and considered exploratory. The safety population included all subjects receiving ≥ 1 dose of study product, and subjects were analyzed according to the product/dose received on day 1. The per-protocol population included all randomized subjects who had ≥ 1 postbaseline MRI, received $\geq 80\%$ and $\leq 120\%$ of study product, and had no major protocol deviations.

ANCOVA for continuous endpoints and the Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test for binary endpoints were applied (both adjusted for baseline T2D status); summary statistics were reported based on observed data collected at each visit. Absolute or relative change from baseline at weeks 8 and 16 of various biomarkers, lipid profiles, and other clinical parameters was summarized, and pairwise comparisons with placebo were performed. Least squares means were estimated through ANCOVA models adjusted by baseline value and T2D status. Responder analyses of clinically relevant thresholds of biologic activity correlated to histologic improvements in the NAFLD activity score and fibrosis were also performed (e.g., percentage of subjects at week 16 achieving reductions of \geq 30% in MRI-PDFF, \geq 17 IU/L in ALT, and \geq 80 ms in cT1) (14–16).

RESULTS

Subject disposition and baseline characteristics

Four hundred eighty-eight subjects signed consent and were screened between December 2018 and September 2019 at 17

study centers. Of these, 102 subjects were randomized and received ≥ 1 dose of study product (placebo, n = 15; AXA1125 24 g b.i.d., n = 29; AXA1957 13.5 g b.i.d., n = 26; and AXA1957 20.3 g b.i.d., n = 32) (Table 1; Figure 1b; see Supplementary Table, Supplementary Digital Content 2, http://links.lww.com/ AJG/C100 for exclusions). Mean cohort age was 50.2 years (Table 1). Mean (SE) BW in the AXA1125 and AXA1957 groups ranged between 102.3 (4.6) and 106.2 (4.6) kg, and the mean (SE) body mass index ranged between 36.8 (1.36) and 38.5 (1.50) kg/m². Baseline demographics were generally well balanced across groups with the exception of higher BW in the placebo group (Table 1). Baseline characteristics were phenotypically consistent with presumed NASH (mean ALT 54.4 IU/L, FibroScan 13.0 kPa, and Pro-C3 16.80 ng/mL) and insulin resistance (mean HOMA-IR 10.9) and were similar among groups except for lower HOMA-IR in the placebo group. Forty subjects (39.2%) had comorbid T2D. Mean baseline hemoglobin A1c was 7.43% in T2D subjects.



Figure 1. Study design (**a**) and subject disposition (**b**). ^aCalorie-matched placebo control; ^breasons for exclusion are presented in Supplementary Table (see Supplemental Digital Content 2, http://links.lww.com/AJG/C100); ^c10 subjects were randomized but not dosed and thus were not part of the safety population; and ^d3 subjects who received AXA1957 high dose in error on day 1 (randomized to AXA1957 low dose) were included in the AXA1957 high-dose safety analysis, considered part of the AXA1957 low-dose completer population, and excluded from both arms of the per-protocol analysis. b.i.d., 2 times a day; BMx, biomarkers; D, day; cT1, corrected T1; MRI-PDFF, MRI-proton density fat fraction; OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test; T2D, type 2 diabetes; W, week.

Biologic activity of AXA1125 and AXA1957

On a background of stable lifestyle regimen and despite the provision of \sim 210 kcal/d with the study products, BW remained stable over the 16-week duration across all treatment arms (mean % BW [SE] change: -0.6% [0.28]) at week 16 vs baseline (Table 2).

Compared with placebo, although both AXA1125 and AXA1957 reduced liver fat content at weeks 8 and 16, reductions with AXA1125 were more pronounced (Figure 2a). Relative reduction in MRI-PDFF with AXA1125 was significantly greater than the corresponding isonitrogenous composition (AXA1957 20.3 g); at week 8: -20.7% vs -8.8%, week 16: -22.9% vs -8.1%, P < 0.05 (Figure 2b). Compared with both calorie-matched (placebo) and isonitrogenous (AXA1957) compositions, AXA1125 demonstrated greater absolute mean reductions from baseline to weeks 8 and 16 in HOMA-IR (Figure 2c), reflecting improved insulin sensitivity. HOMA-IR at week 8 was significantly reduced in the AXA1125 vs AXA1957 20.3-g group (-3.8 vs +6.9; P < 0.05; Figure 2c).

Compared with placebo, larger reductions from baseline to weeks 8 and 16 in ALT were seen across all active arms (Figure 3a), with changes observed as early as week 2 and sustained to week 16, and a trend toward larger ALT reduction in AXA1125 vs AXA1957 groups (Figure 3b). Changes in ALT were significantly correlated to changes in MRI-PDFF for AXA1125 (r = 0.62; P < 0.001) and placebo (r = 0.69; P = 0.012). K-18 M65, a marker of apoptosis (17), was significantly reduced from

baseline with AXA1125 vs placebo at week 8 (-22.4% vs +29.6%; P < 0.05), and remained suppressed at week 16, whereas it tended to increase in the isonitrogenous AXA1957 20.3-g arm (Figure 3c).

Although cT1, an integrative imaging marker of inflammation and fibrosis (18), was reduced more in active arms vs placebo (Figure 4a), approximately, 2-fold greater reductions in cT1 were observed after AXA1125 administration vs the isonitrogenous AXA1957 dose (Figure 4b). At week 16, cT1 was significantly reduced by AXA1125 vs placebo (-69.6 ms vs +18.3 ms; P < 0.05; Figure 4b). These imaging findings were corroborated by changes in plasma Pro-C3, a soluble marker of hepatic fibrogenesis (19), also reduced by both AXA1125 and AXA1957 vs placebo (Figure 4c).

At week 16, responder analysis showed a greater proportion of subjects treated with AXA1125 vs placebo achieved clinically relevant thresholds of biologic activity across several markers, each of which has been correlated to histologic improvements in the NAFLD activity score and fibrosis (14–16): 38.5% vs 8.3% of subjects had \geq 30% relative reduction in MRI-PDFF (Figure 5a), 38.5% vs 25% had \geq 17-IU/L reduction in ALT (Figure 5b), and 34.6% vs 16.7% had \geq 80-ms reduction in cT1 (Figure 5c). Proportion of subjects who achieved these clinically relevant thresholds in 2 or more biomarkers (i.e., \geq 30% \downarrow PDFF + \geq 17-IU/L \downarrow ALT) was 23.1% with AXA1125 vs 0.0% with placebo; similarly, a higher

Table 1. Subject demographics and baseline characteristics in the overall safety population

	Placebo (n = 15)	AXA1125 24 g b.i.d. (n = 29)	AXA1957 13.5 g b.i.d. (n = 26)	AXA1957 20.3 g b.i.d. (n = 32)
Age, yr	53.2 (2.48)	49.2 (2.38)	49.6 (2.11)	50.1 (2.26)
Sex				
Female, n (%)	10 (66.7)	17 (58.6)	16 (61.5)	19 (59.4)
Male, n (%)	5 (33.3)	12 (41.4)	10 (38.5)	13 (40.6)
Weight, kg	118.25 (8.20)	102.86 (4.42)	106.24 (4.61)	102.31 (4.62)
BMI, kg/m ²	42.0 (2.42)	36.8 (1.36)	37.4 (1.20)	38.5 (1.50)
Type 2 diabetes, n (%)	6 (40.0)	12 (41.4)	10 (38.5)	12 (37.5)
HbA1c, %	6.9	7.8	7.3	7.5
Metabolism				
MRI-PDFF, %	21.19 (1.51)	22.35 (0.93)	21.01 (1.16)	22.27 (0.93)
HOMA-IR	8.59 (1.26)	13.51 (3.39)	9.62 (1.35)	10.82 (1.78)
Inflammation				
ALT, IU/L	50.5 (8.73)	55.2 (4.89)	60.6 (5.66)	50.5 (4.11)
AST, IU/L	41.3 (34.29)	37.3 (22.69)	34.9 (16.6)	40.6 (21.00)
cT1, ms	1022.3 (41.35)	960.6 (16.84)	959.5 (21.67)	1017.2 (24.30)
K-18 M65, U/L	900.5 (369.71)	450.8 (99.39)	594.8 (97.98)	491.0 (102.74)
Fibrosis				
FibroScan score, kPa	13.51 (1.47)	11.73 (1.24)	16.31 (3.09)	11.18 (0.68)
Pro-C3, ng/mL	15.85 (1.81)	17.07 (1.56)	17.02 (1.90)	16.76 (1.73)
ELF score	9.42 (0.32)	9.24 (0.17)	9.18 (0.17)	9.30 (0.16)
FIB-4	1.42 (0.25)	1.05 (0.12)	1.17 (0.13)	1.0 (0.10)

All values are mean (SE).

ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; b.i.d., twice daily; BMI, body mass index; cT1, corrected T1; ELF, enhanced liver fibrosis; FIB-4, fibrosis-4; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; K-18 M65, keratin-18 measured using M65 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; MRI-PDFF, MRI-proton density fat fraction; Pro-C3, *N*-terminal type III collagen propeptide.

	Placebo (n = 15)	AXA1125 24 g b.i.d. (n = 29)	AXA1957 13.5 g b.i.d. (n = 26)	AXA1957 20.3 g b.i.d. (n = 32)		
Body weight						
Absolute change, kg	-0.6 (0.54)	-0.5 (0.51)	-0.5 (0.42)	-1.1 (0.77)		
Percentage change	-0.66 (0.54)	-0.46 (0.50)	-0.38 (0.49)	-0.83 (0.62)		
Lipid profile						
Triglycerides						
Absolute change, mg/dL	-15.9 (9.21)	-22.1 (12.93)	-5.3 (9.30)	-4.4 (10.10)		
Percentage change	-6.47 (6.08)	-6.02 (5.58)	-1.79 (4.74)	-1.79 (5.73)		
Total cholesterol						
Absolute change, mg/dL	-18.79 (6.45)	-5.26 (5.79)	-3.79 (3.52)	-7.12 (4.29)		
Percentage change	-9.52 (2.89)	-1.02 (3.37)	-1.60 (1.85)	-2.87 (2.15)		
LDL						
Absolute change, mg/dL	-15.78 (6.48)	-2.71 (4.62)	-1.20 (2.65)	-4.91 (4.20)		
Percentage change	-13.24 (5.26)	0.73 (4.88)	0.63 (3.73)	-1.93 (2.79)		
HDL						
Absolute change, mg/dL	0.12 (1.89)	1.43 (1.45)	-1.43 (1.57)	-1.24 (1.18)		
Percentage change	2.06 (3.90)	6.50 (3.70)	-3.49 (3.35)	-2.64 (2.49)		
All values are mean (SE).						

Table 2. Summary of body weight and serum lipid profile changes in the overall safety population at week 16

HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.

proportion of subjects receiving AXA1125 had changes in 2 or more biomarkers when cT1 was also considered (data not shown).

Per-protocol population findings showed similar trends to the safety population, with more notable and consistent improvement in metabolic and fibroinflammatory biomarkers after AXA1125 treatment vs placebo or AXA1957 (Table 3). Biologic activity with AXA1125 tended to be more pronounced in those with comorbid T2D than in the overall population; AXA1125 showed greater reductions in MRI-PDFF (-31.2% vs -8.3%), ALT (-34.6% vs

-13.9%), and cT1 (-105.1% vs -42.7 ms) than placebo. Detailed analysis including the positive changes on glucose homeostasis induced by AXA1125 in the T2D subgroup will be reported in a subsequent publication.

Safety and tolerability

Product-emergent AEs (PEAEs) reported by subjects receiving AXA1125 and AXA1957 were mild or moderate (Table 4). The only PEAEs reported by $\geq 10\%$ of subjects in any arm were



Figure 2. Change from baseline in liver fat content (MRI-PDFF) (**a** and **b**) and insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) (**c**) in the overall safety population. **P* < 0.05 vs AXA1957 high dose. b.i.d., 2 times a day; D, day; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance; isocal, isocaloric; isoN, isonitrogenous; MRI-PDFF, MRI-proton density fat fraction; W, week.



Figure 3. Change from baseline in ALT (a and b) and K-18 M65 (c) in the overall safety population. **P* < 0.05 vs placebo. ALT, alanine aminotransferase; K-18 M65, keratin-18 measured using M65 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.

gastrointestinal (diarrhea, nausea, and reduced appetite), upper respiratory tract infection, and headache. Gastrointestinal AEs were generally mild and transient and resolved without intervention (e.g., no antidiarrheal, antiperistaltic, or antiemetic agents required) within 2–3 weeks. Two serious AEs were reported (1 with AXA1125 and 1 with AXA1957 20.3 g); both were determined unrelated to study product. No clinically significant changes in safety laboratory tests including serum lipid levels (Table 2), vital signs, physical examinations, or electrocardiograms were reported. Discontinuations because of AEs were noted in 1 subject each in placebo and AXA1125 arms and 2 in the AXA1957 20.3-g arm (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

AXA1125 and AXA1957 were safe and well tolerated over 16 weeks and demonstrated clinically relevant multitargeted activity on liver structure and function assessed by biomarkers related to metabolic and fibroinflammatory pathways in a population of presumed NASH subjects. Notably, these positive findings were observed without confounding from BW or serum lipid changes across treatment arms.

Reductions from baseline in measures of liver fat content (MRI-PDFF), biomarkers of metabolism (HOMA-IR), and fibroinflammation (ALT, CK18-M65, cT1, and Pro-C3) tended to be greater and were more consistently demonstrated with AXA1125 vs isocaloric placebo. By contrast, with isocaloric and isonitrogenous AXA1957, changes from baseline in several of these biomarkers were lower magnitude and inconsistently observed. At week 16, a greater proportion of subjects who received AXA1125 (~35%–40%) vs placebo (~8%–25%) achieved \geq 30% ↓MRI-PDFF, \geq 17-IU/L ↓ALT, and \geq 80-ms ↓*c*T1; each of these thresholds has been correlated to improved histopathologic outcomes (14–16) and some (e.g., *c*T1) with treatment response (20).



Figure 4. Change from baseline in biomarkers of fibroinflammation (**a**, **b**, and **c**) in the overall safety population. **P* < 0.05 vs placebo. b.i.d., 2 times a day; cT1, corrected T1; D, day; isocal, isocaloric; isoN, isonitrogenous; Pro-C3, *N*-terminal type III collagen propeptide; W, week.



Figure 5. Proportion of subjects with clinically relevant thresholds of biologic activity (**a**, **b**, and **c**) in the overall safety population. ALT, alanine aminotransferase; cT1, corrected T1; MRI-PDFF, MRI-proton density fat fraction.

Lipotoxicity and insulin resistance are considered hallmarks in the pathogenesis of NASH (21), with an imbalance in fatty acid biosynthesis and inability of mitochondria to adequately metabolize free fatty acids (22). Reduced liver fat content and improved insulin sensitivity after AXA1125 treatment were observed without BW changes despite ingestion of additional ~210 calories/day and maintenance of prestudy diet/exercise regimens. These findings imply that AXA1125 may promote fatty acid oxidation to induce these key physiological changes. Biochemical data from primary human hepatocytes treated with AXA1125 (23,24) support this hypothesis and are consistent with reported activities of BCAAs to promote fat oxidation and ketogenesis (25). Although BCAAs, when administered individually, have shown mixed effects on glucose homeostasis in previous studies (26,27) that may be related to specific doses (27,28), when provided in the specific stoichiometry and amounts within the context of the overall AXA1125 composition, insulin resistance was improved (Figure 2c).

Concordant and clinically relevant improvements were seen across several fibroinflammatory markers in this study (Figures 3 and 4) and in our 12-week study in NAFLD subjects with T2D (AXA1125-002) (11). Fibrosis is the feature most robustly associated with NASH progression and outcomes (29,30). An absolute reduction of ~ 2 ng/mL in plasma Pro-C3, a fibrogenesis marker, was associated with 1-stage histologic improvement in fibrosis after 18 months of pioglitazone treatment in NASH subjects (31). With just 4 months of AXA1125 treatment, we observed an absolute reduction of 3.4 ng/mL in Pro-C3 (Figure 4c) in this study, suggesting a potential to favorably impact fibrosis in a subsequent pairedbiopsy study.

Our phenotypic and mechanistic data from primary human macrophages and stellate cells suggest that clinical fibroinflammatory effects are likely mediated by direct impact of AXA1125 on these specific cell types: AXA1125 constituents suppressed lipopolysaccharide-induced tumor necrosis factor- α secretion in M1 macrophages, increased anti-inflammatory chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 18 secretion in M2 macrophages, and decreased secretion of Pro-C3 and suppressed expression of the profibrogenic gene, *HSP47* in stellate cells (23,24). We postulate that glutamine and arginine in AXA1125 contributed to its effects on inflammatory pathways by enhancing mucosal integrity and tight junctions of gut epithelial cells, thereby reducing bacterial endotoxin translocation (32–34), and NAC within AXA1125 likely decreased proinflammatory and profibrotic pathways through suppression of nuclear factor-kappa B, hypoxia-inducible factor 1-alpha, and transforming growth factor beta and stimulated anti-inflammatory pathways by increasing glutathione synthesis and decreasing reactive oxygen species (35–37).

Both EMM compositions were safe and generally well tolerated, consistent with published short- and long-term studies that have long established the safety of AA administration (38–42). However, consistently greater biologic activity was observed with AXA1125 vs AXA1957, although these EMMs were isocaloric and isonitrogenous. Thus, our findings of differential biologic activity in this rigorously controlled study highlight a critical insight: Although administration of AA mixtures may result in comparable safety profiles, biologic activity is highly dependent on the specific EMM composition.

A key strength of this study is the standardization of calorieand nitrogen-matched study products in the randomized groups including the control (placebo) arm. Other strengths include patient blinding to product allocation and the 16-week administration providing sufficient exposure to adequately assess safety, tolerability, and biologic activity. Although this was an early-stage study, baseline characteristics (Table 1) indicated that subjects enrolled had presumed NASH. Baseline body mass index and HOMA-IR were also indicative of a comorbidly obese, insulinresistant population, factors associated with NASH onset and progression (43). Body weight was required to be stable for at least 3 months before enrollment, and subjects were not allowed to engage in any new lifestyle interventions during the study. Other strengths included oral administration with high compliance and ease of administration. There are also important limitations to consider. Because this was an early-phase study, it was not powered for statistical significance, although clinically relevant conclusions can be drawn between study groups based on the strong consistency of changes seen across several metabolic and fibroinflammatory markers, many of which correlate with histologic outcomes. Given the relatively small sample size in this study, potential bias-factor(s) might not be balanced at baseline among study groups, which may require further investigation in future studies.

LIVER

	Week 8			Week 16				
		AXA1125	AXA1957	AXA1957		AXA1125	AXA1957	AXA1957
	Placebo	24 g b.i.d.	13.5 g b.i.d.	20.3 g b.i.d.	Placebo	24 g b.i.d.	13.5 g b.i.d.	20.3 g b.i.d.
Metabolism								
MRI-PDFF								
n	13	26	18	19	12	25	17	20
Relative change from baseline, %	-4.5 (4.8)	-19.8 (4.6)	-16.6 (4.4)	-8.0 (3.9)	-5.7 (5.9)	-24.6 (4.3) ^{a,b}	-20.3 (5.9)	-8.8 (6.3)
HOMA-IR								
n	13	25	18	18	12	25	17	19
Absolute change from baseline	-0.2 (0.9)	-4.2 (2.7) ^b	0.6 (0.8)	9.5 (6.5)	0.7 (1.4)	-4.5 (3.4)	1.2 (0.9)	1.6 (2.8)
Inflammation								
ALT								
n	13	25	18	20	12	25	17	20
Relative change from baseline, %	-13.8 (7.2)	-19.5 (4.2)	-17.0 (5.2)	-15.0 (10.6)	-7.2 (10.5)	-22.7 (5.2)	-16.6 (7.9)	-21.3 (6.8)
K-18 M65								
n	13	26	18	20	12	25	17	20
Relative change from baseline, %	37.8 (32.3)	-20.0 (8.7) ^{a,b}	8.7 (16.8)	50.7 (38.9)	20.1 (25.3)	-12.1 (13.5)	-21.7 (11.6)	-1.7 (16.3)
Fibroinflammation								
cT1								
n	12	26	18	19	12	25	17	20
Absolute change from baseline, ms	4.1 (20.0)	-74.5 (24.2)	-47.1 (11.6) ^a	-30.3 (18.2)	18.3 (27.4)	-74.6 (19.7) ^a	-29.8 (13.2)	-39.4 (25.4)
Fibrosis								
FIB-4								
n	13	24	18	19	11	25	17	20
Relative change from baseline, %	0.45 (8.1)	-8.6 (4.0)	-7.4 (4.6)	-5.2 (3.9)	-1.9 (10.3)	-7.5 (5.0)	-2.7 (6.3)	-6.6 (4.8)
Pro-C3								
n	11	24	18	18	10	23	17	18
Relative change from baseline, %	10.4 (9.0)	-2.9 (7.2)	7.2 (5.3) ^b	-21.5 (4.9) ^{a,c}	-3.6 (7.1)	-13.5 (6.6)	-1.6 (7.8) ^b	-27.8 (4.6) ^a
All values are mean (SE).	se: b.i.d., twice da	ilv: cT1_corrected	1 T1 · FIB-4 fibrosi	s-4 index· HOMA-I	R. homeostatic	model assessmen	t of insulin resistar	nce: K-18 M65

Table 3. Change from baseline in biomarkers of metabolism and fibroinflammation in the per-protocol population

ALT, alanine aminotransferase; b.i.d., twice daily; cT1, corrected T1; FIB-4, fibrosis-4 index; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance; K-18 M65, keratin-18 measured using M65 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; MRI-PDFF, MRI-proton density fat fraction; Pro-C3, *N*-terminal type III collagen propeptide. ^aP < 0.05 vs placebo.

 $^{\rm b}P$ < 0.05 vs AXA1957 high dose.

^cP < 0.05 vs AXA1125.

/ < 0.00 10/00/11/20.

In conclusion, our systematic characterization of specific EMM compositions in NAFLD adds to the depth of knowledge on the safety and physiologic activity of EMMs and highlights that specificity of EMM composition matters for optimal effects. Our findings support the potential of a novel EMM composition, AXA1125, to simultaneously address the multifactorial pathogenesis of NAFLD/ NASH, their key comorbidities, representing a unique modality with a coordinated multitargeted mechanism of action without major safety or tolerability issues. Future investigations are planned to

assess the histological effects and longer-term safety of AXA1125 in a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, paired-biopsy trial over 48 weeks in individuals with biopsy-confirmed NASH.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Guarantor of the article: Manu V. Chakravarthy, MD, PhD. **Specific author contributions:** S.A.H., M.J.K., H.C., J.Z., and M.V.C.: study design and conduct of the study. S.A.H., M.K., H.C.,

Table 4. Summary safety findings in the overall safety population at week 16

	Placebo (n = 15)	AXA1125 24 g b.i.d. (n = 29)	AXA1957 13.5 g b.i.d. (n = 26)	AXA1957 20.3 g b.i.d. (n = 32)
Total PEAEs	22	71	43	61
Subjects, n (%) ^{a,b}				
All PEAEs	10 (66.7)	24 (82.8)	19 (73.1)	19 (59.4)
All PEAEs reported in $>10\%$ for any arm				
Diarrhea	1 (6.7)	10 (34.5)	3 (11.5)	6 (18.8)
Nausea	1 (6.7)	4 (13.8)	3 (11.5)	3 (9.4)
Upper respiratory infection	1 (6.7)	4 (13.8)	0	2 (6.3)
Decreased appetite	0	3 (10.3)	2 (7.7)	1 (3.1)
Headache	1 (6.7)	1 (3.4)	4 (15.4)	2 (6.3)
AE leading to discontinuation	1	1	0	2
	Dry mouth ^c	Upper abdominal pain ^d		Laryngeal cancer ^{e, f} Nephrolithiasis ^f
Any serious AE	0	1 (3.4)	0	1 (3.1)
Death	0	0	0	0
Severity of AEs among subjects with product- emergent AE ^{a,b}				
Mild	6 (40.0)	16 (55.2)	8 (30.8)	6 (18.8)
Moderate	3 (20.0)	8 (27.6)	11 (42.3)	13 (40.6)
Severe	1 (6.7)	0	0	0

AE, adverse event; b.i.d., twice daily; PEAE, product-emergent adverse event.

^aSubjects were counted only once if they had more than 1 event within each category reported during the product administration period.

^bSafety data presented are based on what subject received on day 1 of dosing.

^cRelated.

^dPossibly related.

^eAlso, a serious AE.

^fUnlikely related.

and M.V.C.: collection, analysis, and interpretation of data. J.Z., M.K., and M.V.C.: statistical analysis of the data. M.V.C.: drafting of the manuscript. S.A.H., S.J.B., N.T.G., Z.H.Y., A.K., R.P., M.J.K., H.C., J.Z., and M.V.C.: critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content.

Financial support: This study was funded by Axcella Health. Medical writing support, funded by Axcella Health, was provided by Diann Glickman of Envision Pharma Group.

Potential competing interests: S.A.H.: stock shareholder: Akero, Cirius, Galectin, Genfit, HistoIndex, Madrigal, Metacrine, NGM Bio, and NorthSea; consultant: Akero, Alentis, Altimmune, Axcella, Cirius, Chronic Liver Disease Foundation, CiVi BioPharma, CymaBay, Echosens, Fibronostics, Forsite Labs, Fortress Biotech, Galectin, Genfit, Gilead, HighTide, HistoIndex, Hepion, Intercept, Madrigal, Medpace, Metacrine, NGM Bio, NorthSea, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Perspectum, Poxel, Prometic, Ridgeline Therapeutics, Sagimet, Terns, and Viking; grant/research support: Akero, Axcella, Bristol Myers Squibb, Cirius, CiVi Biopharma, Conatus, CymaBay, Enyo, Galectin, Galmed, Genentech, Genfit, Gilead, Hepion, High-Tide, Immuron, Intercept, Madrigal, Metacrine, NGM Bio, North-Sea, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, Sagimet, Second Genome, Tobira/Allergan, and Viking. S.J.B.: consultant: Akcea, Amgen,

Esperion, GLG Group, Guidepoint Global, Madrigal, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Regeneron, and Sanofi; speaking and teaching: Amgen, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, and Esperion; advisory committee or review panel: Akcea, Alexion, Altimmune, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Esperion, Madrigal, Novartis, Regeneron, and Sanofi. N.T.G.: grant/ research support: Axcella, Bristol Myers Squibb, CymaBay, Genentech, Genfit, Gilead, HighTide, Madrigal, NGM Bio, NorthSea, and Novo Nordisk; speaking and teaching: AbbVie, Dova, Gilead, Intercept, and Salix. Z.H.Y.: consultant: Gilead; grant/research support: Allergan, AXA, Bristol Myers Squibb, Conatus, Cymabay, Gilead, HighTide, Intercept, Madrigal, NGM Bio, Novartis, and Novo Nordisk; speaking and teaching: Intercept. A.K.: consultant: Gilead, Intercept, and Novartis; grant/research support: Gilead. R.P.: speaking and teaching: Intercept. M.K., H.C., J.Z., and M.V.C.: current employees of Axcella Health and own stock options in the company.

Clinical trial registry website and trial number: US National Library of Medicine (https://clinicaltrials.gov/); clinical trial number NCT04073368.

Ethics: The protocol was approved by a central institutional review board (IntegReview) before study initiation. All subjects provided written informed consent.

Study Highlights

WHAT IS KNOWN

- LIVER
- Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a heterogenous, systemic metabolic disease associated with a spectrum of hepatic histologic manifestations.
- The recommended standard of care for patients with NAFLD or nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) includes diet and exercise.
- Patients with NAFLD and NASH may benefit from multifactorial approaches that simultaneously address multiple dysregulated metabolic and fibroinflammatory pathways and promote health.
- AA supplementation has been shown to have potential benefits in liver conditions, although experience in NAFLD and NASH specifically is limited.

WHAT IS NEW HERE

- AXA1125 and AXA1957 are novel, orally administered endogenous metabolic modulator compositions designed to support pathways key to liver health.
- AXA1125 and AXA1957 administered orally for 16 weeks in subjects with NAFLD were safe and well tolerated.
- AXA1125 showed consistently greater activity vs AXA1957 and placebo on biomarkers related to liver structure and function.
- AXA1125 has the potential to simultaneously address the multifactorial pathogenesis of NASH and its key comorbidities.

REFERENCES

- Do A, Lim JK. Epidemiology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A primer. Clin Liver Dis (Hoboken) 2016;7:106–8.
- Younossi ZM, Koenig AB, Abdelatif D, et al. Global epidemiology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease-meta-analytic assessment of prevalence, incidence, and outcomes. Hepatology 2016;64:73–84.
- 3. Younossi ZM, Golabi P, de Avila L, et al. The global epidemiology of NAFLD and NASH in patients with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Hepatol 2019;71:793–801.
- Chalasani N, Younossi Z, Lavine JE, et al. The diagnosis and management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Practice guidance from the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. Hepatology 2018;67:328–57.
- Friedman SL, Neuschwander-Tetri BA, Rinella M, et al. Mechanisms of NAFLD development and therapeutic strategies. Nat Med 2018;24: 908–22.
- Hamill MJ, Afeyan R, Chakravarthy MV, et al. Endogenous metabolic modulators: Emerging therapeutic potential of amino acids. iScience 2020;23:101628.
- Muto Y, Sato S, Watanabe A, et al. Effects of oral branched-chain amino acid granules on event-free survival in patients with liver cirrhosis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2005;3:705–13.
- 8. Marchesini G, Bianchi G, Merli M, et al. Nutritional supplementation with branched-chain amino acids in advanced cirrhosis: A double-blind, randomized trial. Gastroenterology 2003;124:1792–801.
- Malaguarnera M, Gargante MP, Russo C, et al. L-carnitine supplementation to diet: A new tool in treatment of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis–a randomized and controlled clinical trial. Am J Gastroenterol 2010;105:1338–45.
- 10. Mardinoglu A, Bjornson E, Zhang C, et al. Personal model-assisted identification of NAD(+) and glutathione metabolism as intervention target in NAFLD. Mol Syst Biol 2017;13:916.
- Marukian S, Afeyan R, Tramontin T, et al. AXA1125, a novel composition of amino acids reprograms the multifactorial pathophysiology in NAFLD [abstract 106]. Hepatology 2018;68(Suppl 1):67A.

- 12. Lee CW, Afeyan R, Luithardt H, et al. P02-05: AXA1125, a novel designed amino acid composition (DAAC), improves NAFLD Activity Score (NAS) and reduces fibrosis in two rodent models of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH). In: First EASL NAFLD Summit 2017. EASL: Rome, Italy, 2017.
- 13. Pavlides M, Banerjee R, Tunnicliffe EM, et al. Multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging for the assessment of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease severity. Liver Int 2017;37:1065–73.
- Loomba R, Neuschwander-Tetri BA, Sanyal A, et al. Multicenter validation of association between decline in MRI-PDFF and histologic response in NASH. Hepatology 2020;72:1219–29.
- Loomba R, Sanyal AJ, Kowdley KV, et al. Factors associated with histologic response in adult patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. Gastroenterology 2019;156:88–95.e5.
- Dennis A, Kelly M, Fernandes C, et al. Correlations between MRI biomarkers PDFF and cT1 with histopathological features of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 2020;11:575843.
- Feldstein AE, Wieckowska A, Lopez AR, et al. Cytokeratin-18 fragment levels as noninvasive biomarkers for nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: A multicenter validation study. Hepatology 2009;50:1072–8.
- Banerjee R, Pavlides M, Tunnicliffe EM, et al. Multiparametric magnetic resonance for the non-invasive diagnosis of liver disease. J Hepatol 2014; 60:69–77.
- Luo Y, Oseini A, Gagnon R, et al. An evaluation of the collagen fragments related to fibrogenesis and fibrolysis in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. Sci Rep 2018;8:12414.
- Harrison SA, Rossi SJ, Paredes AH, et al. NGM282 improves liver fibrosis and histology in 12 weeks in patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. Hepatology 2020;71:1198–212.
- Chakravarthy M, Neuschwander-Tetri BA. The metabolic basis of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. Endocrinol Diabetes Metab 2020;3:e00112.
- Neuschwander-Tetri BA. Hepatic lipotoxicity and the pathogenesis of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: The central role of nontriglyceride fatty acid metabolites. Hepatology 2010;52:774–88.
- 23. Daou N, Viader A, Cokol M, et al. A novel, multitargeted endogenous metabolic modulator composition impacts metabolism, inflammation, and fibrosis in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis-relevant primary human cell models. Sci Rep 2021;11(1):11861.
- 24. Chakravarthy M, Harrison SA, Confer S, et al. Mechanistic insights into the multimodal effects of AXA1125 in T2D subjects with NAFLD (abstract 2134). Hepatology 2019;70:1264A.
- Honda T, Ishigami M, Luo F, et al. Branched-chain amino acids alleviate hepatic steatosis and liver injury in choline-deficient high-fat diet induced NASH mice. Metabolism 2017;69:177–87.
- Miyake T, Abe M, Furukawa S, et al. Long-term branched-chain amino acid supplementation improves glucose tolerance in patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis-related cirrhosis. Intern Med 2012;51:2151–5.
- Badoud F, Lam KP, DiBattista A, et al. Serum and adipose tissue amino acid homeostasis in the metabolically healthy obese. J Proteome Res 2014; 13:3455–66.
- Zhang F, Zhao S, Yan W, et al. Branched chain amino acids cause liver injury in obese/diabetic mice by promoting adipocyte lipolysis and inhibiting hepatic autophagy. EBioMedicine 2016;13:157–67.
- Angulo P, Kleiner DE, Dam-Larsen S, et al. Liver fibrosis, but no other histologic features, is associated with long-term outcomes of patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Gastroenterology 2015;149:389–97.
- Ekstedt M, Hagstrom H, Nasr P, et al. Fibrosis stage is the strongest predictor for disease-specific mortality in NAFLD after up to 33 years of follow-up. Hepatology 2015;61:1547–54.
- Bril F, Leeming DJ, Karsdal MA, et al. Use of plasma fragments of propeptides of type III, V, and VI procollagen for the detection of liver fibrosis in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2019;42:1348–51.
- Varasteh S, Braber S, Kraneveld AD, et al. l-Arginine supplementation prevents intestinal epithelial barrier breakdown under heat stress conditions by promoting nitric oxide synthesis. Nutr Res 2018;57:45–55.
- Rao R, Samak G. Role of glutamine in protection of intestinal epithelial tight junctions. J Epithel Biol Pharmacol 2012;5:47–54.
- Sellmann C, Baumann A, Brandt A, et al. Oral supplementation of glutamine attenuates the progression of nonalcoholic ateatohepatitis in C57BL/6J mice. J Nutr 2017;147:2041–9.
- Ezerina D, Takano Y, Hanaoka K, et al. N-acetyl cysteine functions as a fast-acting antioxidant by triggering intracellular H₂S and sulfane sulfur production. Cell Chem Biol 2018;25:447–59.

- de Andrade KQ, Moura FA, dos Santos JM, et al. Oxidative stress and inflammation in hepatic diseases: Therapeutic possibilities of Nacetylcysteine. Int J Mol Sci 2015;16:30269–308.
- Khoshbaten M, Aliasgarzadeh A, Masnadi K, et al. N-acetylcysteine improves liver function in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepat Mon 2010;10:12–6.
- Borsheim E, Bui QU, Tissier S, et al. Amino acid supplementation decreases plasma and liver triacylglycerols in elderly. Nutrition 2009;25:281–8.
- 39. Coker RH, Deutz NE, Schutzler S, et al. Nutritional supplementation with essential amino acids and phytosterols may reduce risk for metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular disease in overweight individuals with mild hyperlipidemia. J Endocrinol Diabetes Obes 2015;3:1069.
- Hurt RT, Ebbert JO, Schroeder DR, et al. L-arginine for the treatment of centrally obese subjects: A pilot study. J Diet Suppl 2014;11:40–52.

- McClure EA, Baker NL, Gipson CD, et al. An open-label pilot trial of Nacetylcysteine and varenicline in adult cigarette smokers. Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse 2015;41:52–6.
- 42. Scarna A, Gijsman HJ, McTavish SF, et al. Effects of a branched-chain amino acid drink in mania. Br J Psychiatry 2003;182:210–3.
- 43. Ballestri S, Nascimbeni F, Romagnoli D, et al. The independent predictors of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis and its individual histological features. Hep Res 2016;46:1074–87.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.