ORIGINAL ARTICLE



## A novel multiplex PCR method for the detection of virulenceassociated genes of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in food

Vo Van Giau<sup>1,2</sup> · Thuy Trang Nguyen<sup>2,3</sup> · Thi Kim Oanh Nguyen<sup>1</sup> · Thi Thuy Hang Le<sup>1</sup> · Tien Dung Nguyen<sup>4</sup>

Received: 16 September 2015/Accepted: 14 December 2015/Published online: 31 December 2015 © The Author(s) 2015. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli O157:H7 (E. coli O157:H7) strains are foodborne infectious agents that cause a number of life-threatening diseases, including hemorrhagic colitis (HC) and hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS). Shiga toxin 1 (stx1), shiga toxin 2 (stx2), or a combination of both are responsible for most clinical symptoms of these diseases. Hence, various diagnostic methods have been developed so far to detect shiga toxins such as cell culture, ELISA, Rapid Latex Agglutination (RPLA) and hybridization, but due to high costs and labor time in addition to low sensitivity, they have not received much attention. The aim of this study was to develop a complete, rapid and reliable multiplex PCR (mPCR) method by using two pairs of specific primers to detect either the *stx1* or the *stx2* gene confirms the presence of E.coli O157:H7. The study results show that stx1F/stx1R primers are specific for stx1 and primers stx2F/stx2R are specific for stx2 genes in E. coli O157:H7. The mPCR method with two pairs of primers for amplifying the stx1, stx2 target genes to detect E. coli O157:H7 in food has been set up successfully. Complete method performed well

☑ Vo Van Giau vovangiau911@gmail.com

- <sup>2</sup> Gachon Medical Research Institute, Gachon University, Seongnam, South Korea
- <sup>3</sup> Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam
- <sup>4</sup> Laboratory of Microbiology, National Agro- Forestry-Fisheries Quality Assurance Department Branch 4 (NAFIQAD 4), 30 Ham Nghi, District 1, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

in both types of food matrices with a detection limit of 3 CFU/25 g or mL of food samples. Tests on 180 food samples have shown a specificity value of 93.75 % (95 % confidence interval [CI], 82.83–100), a sensitivity of 100 % (95 % CI, 83.79–99.85 %), and an accuracy of 96.66 % (CI 95 %, 83.41–99.91 %). Interestingly, results indicate that the mPCR performed as well as the traditional culture methods and can reduce the diagnosis time to 2 days. Finally, complete mPCR method was applied to natural samples covering a wide variety of food types proving that the mPCR method was a rapid and reliable screening method for detection of *E. coli* O157:H7 in food and environmental samples.

**Keywords** Multiplex PCR · Validation · *E. coli* O157:H7 · stx1 and stx2

### Introduction

The best-known and also most notorious *E. coli* bacteria that produce Shiga toxin (STEC) is *E. coli* O157:H7 that caused foodborne diseases, usually hemorrhagic colitis (HC) and hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS) (Karmali 1989, 2004). According to recent reports by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC 2014) there were 11 multistate outbreaks of STEC in the United States of America during 2011–2014 with six of them attributed to *E. coli* O157:H7. Furthermore, *E. coli* O157:H7 has been recognized as a major food safety concern due to its low infectious dose. It is highly virulent, an inoculation of fewer than 10–100 CFU of *E. coli* O157:H7 is sufficient to cause infection (Coffey et al. 2011). *E. coli* O157:H7 pathogens were usually linked with a wide variety of foods such as milk, meats, meat products, dairy products and



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Faculty of Food Technology, Ho Chi Minh City University of Food Industry (HUFI), 140 Le Trong Tan, Tan Phu District, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

fresh products, contaminated from the field with wildlife droppings (Bell 2002; Fedio et al. 2011; Mora et al. 2007; Pennington 2010). It is also reported that *E. coli* O157:H7 be cross-contaminated in the preparation of meat products from intestines (Wachtel et al. 2003).

Shiga toxin (Stx) is one of the major virulence factors involved in E. coli O157:H7 pathogenesis (Melton-Celsa et al. 2012); based on immunoreactivity, toxins are classified as either Stx1 or Stx2 (Strockbine et al. 1986), which damage intestinal epithelial cells and kidneys, causing HC and HUS, respectively (Johannes and Römer 2010). The stx gene in E. coli O157:H7 is associated with a prophage (Huang et al. 1987), and different subtypes of shiga toxin are identified as stx1, stx1c, stxfc, stx2, stx2e, stx2d and stx2g (Gobius et al. 2003). Stx2 producing strains appear to be more commonly responsible for serious complications such as HUS than those only Stx1 producing (Kleanthous et al. 1990; Read et al. 1992). Infections by STEC is a major health concern even developed countries as well as developing countries all over the world. Since shiga toxins cause many diseases, especially in children and immunocompromised elderly people, a rapid and sensitive diagnostic method with prognostic information would be rather useful. Isolation of E.coli O157:H7 from foods and fecal samples usually took few days with conventional diagnostic methods [ISO 16654 (2001)]. Conventional methods are laborious as they require the preparation of culture media, inoculation of plates and colony counting (Mandal et al. 2011). Furthermore, conventional methods may be limited by their low sensitivity (Lee et al. 2014). Falsenegative results may occur due to viable, but non-culturable pathogens. The failure to detect foodborne pathogens would increase the transmission risk of pathogens. So far, a variety of methodologies were developed to detect the presence of E. coli O157:H7; cell culture, serological, and Rapid Latex Agglutination (RPLA) have been utilized to detect shiga toxins or their respective genes. However, all these methods have their own shortcomings as they are time-consuming, quite costly and have limitations in handling many samples simultaneously. For cultivating samples in selective mediums, followed by latex agglutination or enzymelinked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for confirming their subtype (E. coli Pro O157). Unfortunately, the detection limit from indirect assay was at 10<sup>5</sup> cells/mL using antibodies, which was not enough to detect E. coli O157:H7 directly from food (Chart 1999). Rapid detection methods are important, particularly in food industry, as they are able to detect the presence of pathogens in raw and processed foods immediately. Rapid methods are also sensitive enough to detect pathogens that present in low numbers in the food. At present, molecular methods such as PCR are showing much promising results. In this situation, to overcome shortcomings of the aforementioned



methods, mPCR is an appropriate alternative approach for detecting *stx* genes, improving both the sensitivity and specificity of the pathogen through amplification of its unique DNA. The mPCR is more convenient for rapid and reliable detection and quantification of pathogen-specific gene sequences.

The aim of the present work was the development of a complete multiplex PCR method for the detection of *E.coli* O157:H7 by using two pairs of specific primers to detect either the stx1 or the stx2 gene. Furthermore, the mPCR method is an appropriate alternative approach for detecting stx genes, able of achieving a low limit of detection, even in the presence of high numbers of competitors.

#### Materials and methods

#### **Bacterial strains**

*E. coli* O157:H7 strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. Three strains, one produces both Stx1 and Stx2, and one produces Stx1 only, one produces Stx2 only, were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). In addition, these bacterial other pathogenic strains were approved by the National Agro-Forestry and Fisheries Quality Assurance Department Branch 4 (NAFIQAD 4); and eight *E. coli* strains (E1–E8) were isolated from retail beef, fork, vegetables and their geographical location was originated from Ho Chi Minh city area. The strains were verified by biochemical and immunologic methods. All isolates were stored at -80 °C in 10 % glycerol and grown in Luria–Bertani (LB) at 37 °C (Table 1).

#### Primer design

To design primers, central parts of shiga toxin genes were used as template. Features in the designed primers such as

 Table 1
 Source and virulence gene profiles of *E. coli* O157:H7

 strains and other strains used in this study

No.	Strains	Presence of	Source	Identified
1	E. coli O157:H7	Stx1	ATCC	NIHE
2	E. coli O157:H7	Stx2	ATCC	HCMUS
3	E. coli O157:H7	Stx1, Stx2	ATCC	NLU
4	Shigella sonnei	-	ATCC	ATCC 9290
5	Salmonella enterica	-	ATCC	ATCC 14028
6	Vibrio cholerae	-	ATCC	ATCC 17802
7	E. coli	-	ATCC	ATCC 11775
8	E. coli	-	ATCC	ATCC 25922
9	<i>E.</i> $coli (n = 8)$	-	Clinical isolate	E1-E8

ATCC American type culture collection

Target gene	GenBank accession <i>n</i>	Primer	Primer sequence $(5' \rightarrow 3')$	PCR amplicon (bp)
Stx1	AB083043.1	stx1F	gag cga aat aat tta tat gtg	510
		stx1R	ttg atg atg gca att cag tat	
Stx2	JX161808.1	stx2F	cca tga caa cgg aca gca gtt	780
		stx2R	cct gtc aac tga gca ctt tgc	

Table 2 Target genes and primer sequences used in this study

GC content, Tm,  $\Delta$ G, etc. were checked by Oligo Explorer 1.1.0 and OligoAnalyzer 3.1 softwares. Two sets of primers are illustrated in Table 2. All primers were synthesized by Sigma company.

### Sample collection

A survey was carried out with samples from local suppliers. Samples were transported to the laboratory, either frozen or refrigerated, and were kept under the same conditions until analysis. A total of 500 samples were analyzed which included seafood, vegetables, and meats, of about 100 g each, were collected from the refrigerators of the butcher department that served the hospital and samples of cooked meat were collected from the hospital's kitchen just after cooking. Besides, some of them were also collected from different at markets of Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. All samples were transported to the laboratory at 4 °C and tested for *E coli* O157:H7 by both the traditional bacteriological method [ISO 16654 (2001)] and the multiplex PCR.

#### **Template DNA preparation after enrichment**

One mL of overnight culture was transferred to a microcentrifuge tube and centrifuged  $12,000 \times g$  for 3 min. The supernatant was removed and the pellet was resuspended in 1 mL of 0.85 % NaCl. After another centrifugation at  $12,000 \times g$  for 3 min, the supernatant was removed and the pellet was resuspended in 1 mL of sterile water. After boiling at 100 °C for 10 min and being centrifuged at  $12,000 \times g$  for 1 min, the supernatant was saved as a template DNA for PCR analysis.

#### **Direct culture method**

*Escherichia coli* O157 detection and identification was determined by the reference method described by International Organization for Standardization ISO 16654 (2001). Briefly, 25 g of each sample was diluted in 225 mL of Modified Tryptone Soya broth (mTSB—Oxoid, UK) added with Novobiocin, homogenized for 2 min at 260 rpm using a Stomacher (Model 400 circulator, Seward, Norfolk,

England) and incubated for 18-24 h at 41.5 °C according to ISO 16654 (2001) method, as well as the remaining steps. After enrichment and immunomagnetic concentration steps, the selective and differential isolation of enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* O157:H7 was carried out on MacConkey Agar with Sorbitol, Cefixime, and Tellurite (CT-SMAC—Oxoid, UK) and incubated overnight at 42 °C. From each sample one well isolated suspected colony was transferred to tryptone soy agar (Oxoid) and incubated for 24 h at 37 °C. Subsequently, one isolate from the subculture was further tested for agglutination with an *E. coli* O157:H7 latex test kit (Becton–Dickinson, USA) for serogroup O157:H7 confirmation.

# Isolation and amplification of *stx1* and *stx2* genes by PCR

PCR reagents in a final volume of 25 µL included: 1 µL template DNA, 0.5 µL Taq DNA polymerase (5 U/µL), 1 µL of each primer (10 pmol/µL), 1 µL dNTP mixture, 2.5  $\mu$ L 10× PCR buffer, 1.5  $\mu$ L MgCl<sub>2</sub> (50 mM) and 16.5 µL sterile DDW. Thermal cycling of amplification mixture was performed in 30 cycles. The PCR program was carried out at 94 °C for 3 min followed denaturing for 45 s at 94 °C, annealing for 45 s at 54 °C and an extension for 1 min at 72 °C. The final extension was at 72 °C for 5 min. PCR products were electrophoresed in 1 % agarose followed by staining with ethidium bromide (0.5 g/mL) then visualized under ultraviolet light, and the results were recorded by photography. For labeling of PCR products, the reaction of PCR was performed by dNTP mixture containing digoxigenin labeling mix (Digoxigenin dNTPs, Roche, Germany) with the same condition.

### Validation of multiplex PCR methods for the detection of *E. coli* O157:H7 in food

ISO 16140 (2003) establishes the general principle and technical protocol for the validation of alternative methods in the microbiological analysis of food, animal feeding stuff, and environmental and veterinary samples. The validation protocol comprises two phases: the first is a method comparison study of the alternative method against the



reference method [ISO 16654 (2001)], and the second is an interlaboratory study of each of the two methods. In the first step, ISO 16140 (2003) validation examines the limit of detection (LOD<sub>50</sub>); The second step entails the organization of an interlaboratory study, as described in Annex H of ISO 16140 (2003), to determine the following parameters: such as sensitivity, accuracy, specificity, the false positive rate and false-negative rate, its compared with culture method, respectively. The data reported here describe the results of validation, according to ISO 16140 (2003), of the reference method [ISO 16654 (2001)].

### Determination of limit of detection $(LOD_{50})$ following Spearman-Kaber method (Hitchins 2002; Kotz et al. 2006)

To calculate the  $LOD_{50}$  value, the process is performed as followed: (1) prepare 50 blank samples with the same matrix. To take 25 g for each sample (according to the validation method) into sterile plastic bag, then adds 225 mL modified Tryptone Soy Broth (mTSB); (2) a spiking inoculum was prepared starting from *E. coli* O157:H7 at a concentration of 10 CFU/mL: five binary series of dilutions (2<sup>0</sup>,  $2^{-1}$ ,  $2^{-2}$ ,  $2^{-3}$ ,  $2^{-4}$  CFU/mL) were prepared and five different food samples were spiked with 1 mL of each dilution; (3) after incubation, the pre-enriched samples were tested with the multiplex PCR detection as described above;  $LOD_{50}$  is determined as below:

$$log(LOD_{50}) = L - d\left(\sum P_i - 0, 5\right) = m; \text{ or } LOD_{50} = 10^m$$

with confidence limit 95 %,  $\text{LOD}_{50}$  is in range  $\{10^{m-2\text{SQRT}(Um)}; 10^{m+2\text{SQRT}(Um)}\}\$  where  $\text{LOD}_{50}$  detection limit of the method, *L* log of lowest bacteria number that is spiked at this level where 100 % are positive results, *d* log of dilution concentration,  $P_i$  rate of positive results (50 %  $\leq Pi \leq 100$  %) for the dilution concentration of *i*, *Um* estimation of measurement uncertainty for *m*, *n* number of repeatability test at the dilution concentration of *i* (*n* = 10).

#### Parameters evaluation of multiplex PCR method

According to ISO 16140 (2003), the parameters of the multiplex PCR method needed to evaluate such as relative accuracy (AC), relative sensitivity (SE) and relative specificity (SP), its compared with the reference method, respectively. A total of 60 test portions of third food categories were analyzed: 50 % of the samples were contaminated by *E. coli* O157:H7 at three levels (LOD, LOD  $\times$  10, LOD  $\times$  20); the other 50 % were negative samples. For the "vegetable-based products" category we evaluated 20 samples of lettuces, 18 of beans, 20 of spring onions, and 2 of watercress. For the "seafood product"



category we analyzed 60 samples of seafood mix (previously tested negative for E. coli O157:H7) contaminated at the same concentrations as the "vegetable-based products" category. The relative detection level was determined after contamination of 60 meat products (chicken, pork and beef) with E. coli O157:H7 at three concentrations (20 negative controls, 20 samples spiked at LOD level, and 20 samples spiked at LOD  $\times$  3 level). Each combination (food product-level of contamination) was replicated six times with the alternative and reference methods for both food categories. Each combination (food product-level of contamination) was replicated six times with the alternative and reference methods for both food categories. For the "vegetable-based products" category we tested 60 samples while for "seafood products" category we analyzed 60 sample of seafood mix.

The inclusivity of the alternative method was evaluated by testing 50 pure cultures of target microorganism (E. coli O157:H7). The inoculums were 10–100 times greater than the minimum  $LOD_{50}$  of the alternative method. Exclusivity was verified by testing 30 pure cultures of non-target strains (50 % of E. coli, 50 % of other pathogenic strains consist of Shigella sonnei ATCC 9290, Salmonella enterica ATCC 14028 and Vibrio cholerae ATCC 17802). All samples of inclusivity and exclusivity were tested using only the alternative method. In adherence to the Annex H of ISO 16140 (2003) guidelines and requirements for the organization, dispatching, and conducting the interlaboratory study, we selected the third most relevant food matrices [according to Annex B of ISO 16140 (2003)] as the food matrix for the study: vegetable for "vegetablesbased products", seafood mix for "seafood products" and chicken, pork, beef for "meat products". The samples were contaminated with E. coli O157:H7 and analyzed the blind samples with both the alternative and the reference method.

### Results

# Specificity of the primers to detect stx1 and stx2 genes by PCR

To assess the specificity of the primers *stx1F/stx1R* and *stx2F/stx2R* for the detection of genes coding for toxins *stx1* or *stx2*, the strains in Table 1 were used, which included 3 strains of *E. coli* O157:H7, 10 strains of non-*E. coli* O157:H7 and 3 other pathogenic bacteria (*Salmonella enterica* ATTC 14028, *Shigella sonnei* ATCC 9290 and *Vibrio cholerae* ATCC 17802).

In the primers stx1, the presence of amplified products size 520 bp after agarose gel electrophoresis. Reliable amplification of band of stx1 was obtained in standard *E. coli* 0157:H7 strains. As a negative control PCR was tested with other strains and water no amplicons were observed (Fig. 1).

On the other hand, in the primers stx2, the presence of amplified products size 780 bp after agarose gel electrophoresis. Reliable amplification of band of stx2 was obtained in standard *E. coli* 0157:H7 strains. As a negative control PCR was tested with other strains and water no amplicons were observed (Fig. 2).

# Establishment of the mutiplex PCR methods to detect *E. coli* O157:H7 based on *stx*1 and *stx*2 gene

Shiga toxins are produced by E. coli O157:H7 strains. Shiga toxin might be produced from strains carrying stx1 or stx2gene only or both. Therefore, we have combined two primers for simultaneous detection of stx1 and stx2 in the same PCR amplification reaction. The reaction conditions for the multiplex PCR assay were optimized to ensure that all of the target gene sequences were satisfactorily amplified. The primers were designed with care to avoid areas of homology with other organisms. The primers had almost equal annealing temperature, which reduced the possibility of nonspecific amplification. The annealing temperature of 54 °C was finally selected based on nearly equal intensity of PCR products. Figure 3 shows the presence of amplified products size 520 and 780 bp after agarose gel electrophoresis. Reliable amplification of two bands of stx1 and stx2 was obtained in standard E. coli 0157:H7 strain (NLU). As a negative control multiplex PCR as water, other microbiologisms were tested no amplicons were observed.

### The results of limit of detection (LOD<sub>50</sub>)

The LOD<sub>50</sub> was performed with 50 samples, including vegetable, seafood mix and meat products. Blank samples used for validation are without *E. coli* O157:H7 contamination. These samples are considered negative control samples and used for spiking target bacteria for validation purposes. In this case, the strain of *E. coli* O157: H7

carrying stx1 and stx2 from Nong Lam University (NLU) was selected for contaminated to sample. The analysis as the multiplex PCR has been established, results show in Table 3. Appling to the formula above, the LOD of the multiplex PCR method was set at 3 CFU/25 g or mL for third food categories.

# The results of validation of the technical parameters of newly mPCR methods

These parameters were determined for third groups of samples consist of vegetables-based products, seafood products and meat products. Carry out 60 samples for each same group samples 30 samples are contaminated by *E. coli* O157:H7 and 30 samples are contaminated by other pathogenic bacteria. The relative accuracy, specificity and sensitivity of each food matrix are reported in Table 4.

These values were set considering a 95 % confidence interval (CI) with different lower confidence limits (LCL): calculation of the confidence intervals is associated with the number of samples tested, as specified in Annex E of ISO 16140 (2003). In particular, only two product samples of each food category have resulted positive with the alternative method and negative with the reference method. For each level and each food/strain combination, the two methods were compared using Fisher's exact test: a *p* value = 1 was obtained for third food categories.

#### Discussion

*E. coli* O157:H7 is a worldwide threat to public health and the outbreaks historically have been associated with undercooked ground beef, meat and meat products, raw vegetables. *E. coli* O157:H7 strains possessing important virulence traits are required to be surveyed particularly when the strain is involved in disease outbreaks to assess the response strategies for containment. *E. coli* O157:H7 detection and identification was determined by the



**Fig. 1** Specificity of primer pair *stx*1F/stx1R in the optimized PCR for amplification of *E. coli* O157:H7. *Lanes* M, 100-bp DNA ladder; 1, *E. coli* O157:H7 (NIHE); 2, *E. coli* ATCC 25922; 3, *E. coli* 11775;

4, Salmonella enterica 14028; 5, Shigella sonnei 9290; 6, Vibrio cholera 17802; 7, Water; 8, *E. coli* O157:H7 (NLU); 9–15, the strains of E1–E7, respectively





Fig. 2 Specificity of primer pair stx2F/stx2R in the optimized PCR for amplification of *E. coli* O157:H7. *Lanes* M, 100-bp DNA ladder; 1, *E. coli* O157:H7 (HCMUS); 2, *E. coli* ATCC 25922; 3, *E. coli* 

ATCC 11775; 4, Salmonella enterica ATCC 14028; 5, Shigella sonnei ATCC 9290; 6, Vibrio cholerae ATCC 17802; 7, *E. coli* O157:H7 (NLU); 8, Water; 9–15, the strains of E1–E7, respectively

Fig. 3 Specificity of primer pair stx1, stx2 in the optimized PCR for amplification of *E. coli* O157:H7. *Lanes* MR, 100-bp DNA ladder; 1, *E. coli* ATCC 25922; 2, *E. coli* ATCC 11775; 3, *Salmonella enterica* ATCC 14028; 4, *Shigella sonnei* ATCC 9290; 5, *Vibrio cholerae* ATCC 17802; 6, *E. coli* O157:H7 (NLU); 7, Water; 8–15, the strains of E1 to E8, respectively



Table 3	The	results	of LOD	50 are	summarized
---------	-----	---------	--------	--------	------------

Concentration of <i>E. coli</i> O157 in 25 g (CFU)	Dilution factor	No. of test	No. of test with positive results	Rate of positive
10		10	10	1
6		10	9	0.9
4	2	10	7	0.7
3		10	5	0.5
2		10	3	0.3

CFU colony-forming unit

reference method described by International Organization for Standardization ISO 16654 (2001), which involves several steps of enrichment, plate isolation and biochemical identification, taking up to 4-6 days in the case of E. coli O157:H7. However, PCR-based techniques have the potential to allow for rapid and sensitive detection of foodborne pathogens. Since PCR can target unique genetic sequences such as virulence genes of microorganisms, it also has the advantage of potentially being an extremely specific assay (Fratamico and Strobaugh 1998). In addition, many authors have demonstrated the advantages of using multiplex PCR methods (Elizaquivel and Aznar 2008; Ruiz-Rueda et al. 2011; Singh et al. 2011). In this study, we developed a rapid, reliable and specific mPCR method to successfully detect E. coli O157:H7 in food by analyzing the two major virulence genes. The primers used in the



present study were designed to specifically amplify Stx1, Stx2 gene of E. coli O157:H7, respectively. Additional, specificity of the primers to detect stx1 and stx2 genes as well examined on strains non-E. coli O157:H7, to achieving as expected. Meanwhile, the process of multiplex PCR involves designing each primer set in a single PCR mixture to amplify amplicons that are specific to the target DNA sequences. Furthermore, the annealing temperature of the multiplex PCR reaction is optimized to achieve distinct bands for each primer sets. For the mPCR reported here, all the primers were designed with the view to have a common annealing temperature to get preferable amplification at a single temperature and care was also taken to maintain at least 100 bps differences between product sizes for good resolution during agarose gel electrophoresis. Our study portrayed the best amplicons at high annealing temperature of 54 °C (Fig. 3).

Bacterial pathogens may coexist, at different concentrations, in the same food sample, but they usually occur at low levels. Their detection is usually preceded by an enrichment step to increase cell numbers to the detection level. Hence, enrichment is necessary to obtain a higher number of positive results by mPCR with *E. coli* O157:H7. The adequacy of mPCR in identifying *E. coli* O157:H7 strains in general and the toxin containing strains in particular following overnight enrichment of food and environmental samples in mTSB broth was well established.

Table 4 Calculation of the technical parameters of newly multiplex PCR method for detection of E. coli O157:H7

Matrices	PA	NA	ND	PD	Sum N	Relative accuracy AC (%) $100 \times (PA + NA)/N$	N+ PA + ND	Relative sensitivity SE (%) 100 × PA/N+	N- NA + PD	Relative specificity SP (%) 100 × NA/N-
Vegetables-based products	28	30	0	2	60	100 × (28 + 30)/60 96.66 %	28	100 × 28/28 100 %	32	100 × 30/32 93.75 %
Seafood products	28	30	0	2	60	100 × (28 + 30)/60 96.66 %	28	100 × 28/28 100 %	32	100 × 30/32 93.75 %
Meat products	28	30	0	2	60	100 × (28 + 30)/60 96.66 %	28	100 × 28/28 100 %	32	100 × 30/32 93.75 %

Relative accuracy, sensitivity and specificity were calculated as follows: accuracy  $AC = ((PA + NA)/N) \times 100 \%$ ; specificity  $SP = (NA/N) \times 100 \%$ ; sensitivity:  $SE = (PA/N) \times 100 \%$ , where PA is the agreement for positive results; NA is the agreement for negative results; N is the total number of samples;  $N_{-}$  is the total number of negative results with the reference method ( $N_{-} = NA + PD$ );  $N_{+}$  is the total number of positive results with the reference method ( $N_{+} = PA + ND$ ); PD is positive deviation (i.e., false positive result); ND is negative deviation (i.e., false negative result)

The stx1 and stx2 genes being responsible for the expression of the potential toxin molecules can be easily be identified by the reported mPCR and this protocol would help in detecting them during biological emergencies. Considering the low cost and the associated rapidity to detect the four genes simultaneously, it is believed that this may serve as a powerful tool for not only to obtain a reliable identification of E. coli 0157:H7, but also in assessing the toxin potential of the strain as well. The procedure is especially suited to fit into the daily work requirement of routine food quality control laboratories since detection and identification of the toxin genes of the pathogen from diverse food sources is becoming an important component of the diagnostic inventory of such laboratories. Given the long turnaround time associated with traditional culture methods, PCR in any case is a rapid and reliable screen for the detection of E. coli 0157:H7 harboring stx1 and stx2 genes. These species are long-term and highly sensitive at different culture conditions: using DNA as the target for sample screening overcomes problems with culture conditions, allowing the rapid identification of positive samples, with the identification of a possible E. coli O157:H7 source within 48 h. By contrast, the reference method [ISO 16654 (2001)] requires at least 4 days for determining negative samples and more than 7 days for species identification. Also, the laboratory in Vietnam analysis of E. coli O157:H7 in foods mainly based on the conventional culture method. Therefore, the analysis time is 4-7 days, and not conformity with the requirements for fast analysis of food samples suspected E. coli O157:H7 serve the purpose of monitoring emergency.

The results of this study show that mPCR is useful to detect and identify *E. coli* O157:H7 in food, even if the concentration of bacteria is low (2–3 cells of bacteria/25 g of food). Incubation of the homogenized food in mTSB at 41.5 or 37 °C for at least 10 h is necessary to archive the levels of enrichment for reliable detection of this pathogen in food.

The study also shows that the mPCR system has comparable sensitivity to the direct culture method and would be amenable for on-site analysis of food by marginally skilled personnel, since it does not require the same level of training to perform as does the more rigorous multiplexed PCR technique described described here although there is evident that both used methods characterize distinct specificity and that they are not completely replaceable.

In conclusion, the multiplex PCR method with two pairs of primers for amplifying the stx1, stx2 target genes to detect E. coli O157:H7 in food has been set up successfully. The novel method is developed with the following basic parameters: enrichment of 25 g samples into sterile bags already containing 225 mL mTSB, incubate at 41.5 °C for 10-24 h. One milliliter enrichment solution was taken at the end of incubation period and processed for DNA extraction by boiling method. The DNA was used as template in multiplex PCR assay with primers stx1F/stx1R and stx2F/stx2R, at concentration 0.5 µM of each primer. The final concentration of MgCl<sub>2</sub> is 2.5  $\mu$ M. The results of evaluating of method show that the multiplex PCR method has LOD is 3 CFU/25 g or mL sample, sensitivity is 100 %, accuracy is 96.66 %, specificity is 93.75 %. A newly developed multiplex PCR assay for the detection of E. coli O157:H7 based on shiga-like toxin genes stx1 and stx2, have indicated all the criteria and parameters tested were met according to laboratory requirements. Our data suggest that this commercial kit is suitable for use in official controls on vegetable, seafood and dairy product food samples. Moreover, because multiplex PCR-based methods are fast and easier for laboratory testing, they can identify the likely microbiological source in 48 h. The rapid response time could help public health authorities in diagnosing and treating foodborne illnesses early caused by E. coli O157:H7. Finally, this approach could provide timely data for updating report database systems with information about risk factors.



Acknowledgments This work was supported by the Vietnam Education Foundation for funding (VEF Fellowship to V. V. G.). The authors would like to thank the infection control team and microbiology laboratory staff of National Agro-Forestry-Fisheries Quality Assurance Department Branch 4 (NAFIQAD 4). We also gratefully acknowledge the scientific and technical staff from the Ho Chi Minh City University of Food Industry (HUFI).

Authors' contributions Vo Van Giau collected the samples, performed the experiments, analyzed and interpreted the data and wrote the manuscript. The other authors read, reviewed and provided feedback on the final manuscript.

#### Compliance with ethical standards

**Conflict of interest** All authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

#### References

- Bell C (2002) Approach to the control of entero-haemorrhagic Escherichia coli (EHEC). Int J Food Microbiol 78:197–216. doi:10.1016/S0168-1605(02)00188-5
- CDC (2014) List of selected outbreaks by Year. Accessed 28 Dec 2014. http://www.cdc.gov/foodsafety/outbreaks/multistateoutbreaks/outbreakslist.html#ecoli
- Chart H (1999) Evaluation of a latex agglutination kit for the detection of human antibodies to the lipopolysaccharide of *Escherichia coli* O157, following infection with verocytotoxinproducing *E. coli* O157. Lett Appl Microbiol 29:434–436. doi:10.1046/j.1472-765X.1999.00688.x
- Coffey B, Rivas L, Duffy G, Coffey A, Ross RP, Mcauliffe O (2011) Assessment of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7-specific bacteriophages e11/2 and e4/1c in model broth and hide environments. Int J Food Microbiol 147:188–194. doi:10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro. 2011.04.001
- Elizaquivel P, Aznar R (2008) A multiplex RTi-PCR reaction for simultaneous detection of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Salmonella* spp. and *Staphylococcus aureus* on fresh, minimally processed vegetables. Food Microbiol 25(5):705–713. doi:10.1016/j.fm. 2008.03.002
- Fedio W, Jinneman K, Yoshitomi K, Zapata R, Wendakoon C, Browning P (2011) Detection of *E. coli* O157:H7 in raw ground beef by Pathatrix<sup>TM</sup> immunomagnetic-separation, real-time PCR and cultural methods. Int J Food Microbiol 148:87–92. doi:10. 1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2011.05.005
- Fratamico PM, Strobaugh TP (1998) Simultaneous detection of Salmonella spp and Escherichia coli O157:H7 by multiplex PCR. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 21(3):92–98
- Gobius KS, Higgs GM, Desmarchelier PM (2003) Presence of activatable Shiga toxin genotype (stx<sub>2d</sub>) in Shiga toxigenic *Escherichia coli* from livestock sources. J Clin Microbiol 41:3777–3783. doi:10.1128/JCM.41.8.3777-3783.2003
- Hitchins A (2002) AOAC excel worksheet: Limit of Detection program for qualitative microbiology methods (LOD50% Spearman-Kärber). www.aoac.org/accreditation/DEMO.xls



- Huang A, Friesen J, Brunton J (1987) Characterization of a bacteriophage that carries the genes for production of Shigalike toxin 1 in *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol 169:4308–4312
- ISO 16140 (2003) Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs protocol for the validation of alternative methods
- ISO 16654 (2001) Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs horizontal method for the detection of *Escherichia coli* O157
- Johannes L, Römer W (2010) Shiga toxins from cell biology to biomedical applications. Nat Rev Microbiol 8(2):105–116. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2279
- Karmali MA (1989) Infection by verocytotoxin-producing Escherichia coli. Clin Microbiol Rev 2:15–38
- Karmali MA (2004) Infection by Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli: an overview. Mol Biotechnol 26:117–122. doi:10.1385/MB:26:2:117
- Kleanthous H, Smith HR, Scotland SM, Gross RJ, Rowe B, Taylor CM, Milford DV (1990) Haemolytic uraemic syndromes in the British Isles 1985-8 association with verocytotoxin producing *Escherichia coli*. Part 2: microbiological aspects. Arch Dis Child 65:722–777. doi:10.1136/adc.65.7.722
- Kotz S, Balakrishnan N, Read C, Vidakovic B, Johnson NL (2006) In Encyclopedia of Statistical Sciences, 2nd ed., John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey, 6, 3820. ISBN: 978-0-471-15044-2. doi:10.1002/0471667196
- Lee N, Kwon KY, Oh SK, Chang HJ, Chun HS, Choi SW (2014) A multiplex PCR assay for simultaneous detection of *Escherichia* coli O157:H7, Bacillus cereus, Vibrio parahaemolyticus, Salmonella spp., Listeria monocytogenes, and Staphylococcus aureus in Korean ready-to-eat food. Foodborne Pathog Dis 11(7):574–580. doi:10.1089/fpd.2013.1638
- Mandal PK, Biswas AK, Choi K, Pal UK (2011) Methods for rapid detection of foodborne pathogens: an overview. Am J Food Technol 6:87–102. doi:10.3923/ajft.2011.87.102
- Melton-Celsa A, Mohawk K, Teel L, O'Brien A (2012) Pathogenesis of Shiga-toxin producing *Escherichia coli*. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 357:67–103. doi:10.1007/82\_2011\_176
- Mora A, León SL, Blanco M, Blanco JE, López C, Dahbi G, Echeita A, González EA, Blanco J (2007) Phage types, virulence genes and PFGE profiles of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 isolated from raw beef, soft cheese and vegetables in Lima (Peru). Int J Food Microbiol 114:204–210. doi:10.1016/j. ijfoodmicro.2006.09.009
- Pennington H (2010) Escherichia coli O157. Lancet 376:1428–1435. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60963-4
- Read SC, Clarke RC, Martin A, De-Grandis SA, Hii J, Mcewen S, Gyles CL (1992) Polymerase chain reaction for detection of verocytotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* isolated from animal and food sources. Mol Cell Probes 6:153–161. doi:10.1016/0890-8508(92)90060-B
- Ruiz-Rueda O, Soler M, Calvo L, García-Gil JL (2011) Multiplex real-time PCR for the simultaneous detection of *Salmonella* spp. and *Listeria monocytogenes* in food samples. Food Anal Methods 4:131–138. doi:10.1007/s12161-010-9163-3
- Singh J, Batish VK, Grover S (2011) Simultaneous detection of *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Salmonella* spp. in dairy products using real time PCR-melt curve analysis. J Food Sci Technol 49(2):234–239. doi:10.1007/s13197-011-0278-3
- Strockbine NA, Marques LR, Newland JW, Smith HW, Holmes RK, O'Brien AD (1986) Two toxin-converting phages from *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 strain 933 encode antigenically distinct toxins with similar biologic activities. Infect Immun 53:135–140
- Wachtel MR, McEvoy JL, Luo Y, WilliamsCampbell AM, Solomon MB (2003) Cross-contamination of lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) with *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 via contaminated ground beef. J Food Prot 66:1176–1183