



Construction and Use of a Recyclable Marker To Examine the Role of Major Facilitator Superfamily Protein Members in Candida glabrata Drug Resistance Phenotypes

Bao G. Vu,^a **W.** Scott Moye-Rowley^a

^aDepartment of Molecular Physiology and Biophysics, Carver College of Medicine, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA

ABSTRACT Candida glabrata is the second most common species causing candidiasis. C. glabrata can also readily acquire resistance to azole drugs, complicating its treatment. Here we add to the collection of disruption markers to aid in genetic analysis of this yeast. This new construct is marked with a nourseothricin resistance cassette that produces an estrogen-activated form of Cre recombinase in a methionineregulated manner. This allows eviction and reuse of this cassette in a facile manner. Using this new disruption marker, we have constructed a series of strains lacking different members of the major facilitator superfamily (MFS) of membrane transporter proteins. The presence of 15 MFS proteins that may contribute to drug resistance in C. glabrata placed a premium on development of a marker that could easily be reused to construct multiple gene-disrupted strains. Employing this recyclable marker, we found that loss of the MFS transporter-encoding gene FLR1 caused a dramatic increase in diamide resistance (as seen before), and deletion of two other MFSencoding genes did not influence this phenotype. Interestingly, loss of FLR1 led to an increase in levels of oxidized glutathione, suggesting a possible molecular explanation for this enhanced oxidant sensitivity. We also found that while overproduction of the transcription factor Yap1 could suppress the fluconazole sensitivity caused by loss of the important ATP-binding cassette transporter protein Cdr1, this required the presence of FLR1.

IMPORTANCE Export of drugs is a problem for chemotherapy of infectious organisms. A class of membrane proteins called the major facilitator superfamily contains a large number of proteins that often elevate drug resistance when overproduced but do not impact this phenotype when the gene is removed. We wondered if this absence of a phenotype for a disruption allele might be due to the redundancy of this group of membrane proteins. We describe the production of an easy-to-use recyclable marker cassette that will allow construction of strains lacking multiple members of the MFS family of transporter proteins.

KEYWORDS Candida glabrata, major facilitator superfamily, selectable marker, transcriptional regulation, transporters

nvasive candidiasis is the most common nosocomial fungal disease (1). The yeast Candida glabrata is the second most common species associated with this disease, likely influenced by its ability to acquire resistance against antifungal therapies (reviewed in reference 2). Infection associated with drug-resistant fungi often leads to poor outcomes and lower survival rates.

C. glabrata typically acquires azole resistance primary through gain-of-function (GOF) mutations in gene encoding a zinc cluster-containing transcription factor called Pdr1 (3-5). These GOF versions of Pdr1 mediate a large transcriptional induction of resistance genes, including the overproduction of an ABCG-class ATP-binding cassette

Received 22 February 2018 Accepted 27 February 2018 Published 28 March 2018 Citation Vu BG, Moye-Rowley WS. 2018.

Construction and use of a recyclable marker to examine the role of major facilitator superfamily protein members in Candida glabrata drug resistance phenotypes. mSphere 3:e00099-18. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere .00099-18.

Editor Aaron P. Mitchell, Carnegie Mellon University

Copyright © 2018 Vu and Moye-Rowley. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to W. Scott Move-Rowley, scott-moye-rowley@uiowa.edu.



(ABC) transporter-encoding locus, *CDR1*. Studies from many laboratories have demonstrated that the elevated transcription of ABC transporter-encoding genes like *CDR1* is the cause of the enhanced azole resistance of strains containing GOF variants of *PDR1* (4–7). Data also exist that argue these GOF forms of Pdr1 enhance virulence of strains containing these mutant transcription factors (8).

Another class of membrane transporter protein found to be involved in drug resistance includes members of the major facilitator superfamily (MFS) class of proteins (reviewed in reference 9). These proteins are routinely embedded in the plasma membrane and act as drug:H⁺ antiporters (discussed in reference 10). Analysis of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* genome indicated that approximately 22 different MFS proteins thought to be associated with drug resistance can be detected (11). These can further be classed into either drug:H⁺ antiporter (DHA) family 1 or 2, varying by the number of transmembrane domains (12 or 14, respectively) (11, 12). In *C. glabrata*, 15 members of the DHA1/2 class of MFS proteins can be recognized (13). While the roles of ABC transporters in drug resistance are well accepted, involvement of MFS proteins is less clear. It is relatively common to find that overproduction of MFS proteins elevates drug resistance, but the corresponding gene disruptant often has little effect on this phenotype (discussed in references 14 and 15). One possible explanation for this phenotypic difference is the presence of multiple MFS proteins with redundant physiological roles.

Recent studies in *C. glabrata* have begun to explore the direct involvement of MFS proteins in azole drug resistance in this pathogenic yeast (16–18). These studies have provided evidence that genes encoding some of these transporter proteins are regulated by Pdr1 (18). Although much of the focus on the membrane proteins impacting drug resistance has centered on ABC transporters, MFS proteins represent a less studied class of resistance determinants that are very likely to contribute to this phenotype in an important manner.

Specifically in the case of *C. glabrata*, the presence of 15 MFS proteins that may influence drug resistance provides a relatively complicated genetic background to assess individual contributions of each membrane transporter. Experience in *S. cerevisiae* has also demonstrated unexpected interactions of amino acid auxotrophies with membrane trafficking pathways and even ABC transporter proteins (19, 20). To facilitate analyses of MFS proteins in drug resistance, we wanted to develop a dominant disruption marker that could be used in clinical isolates as well as laboratory prototrophic strains. We also wanted to be able to repetitively use this marker cassette in order to generate strains lacking multiple members of the MFS protein family in case redundancy of this group of proteins might mask phenotypes caused by loss of a small number of these proteins.

In this study, we constructed a tightly regulated and inducible recyclable construct for gene disruption and insertion in *C. glabrata*. This construct takes advantages of the Cre-loxP-based recombination, which has been used extensively in a wide variety of genetic systems to remove chromosome segments of interest. To control the levels of expression and activity of Cre, the methionine-regulatable promoter (*MET3*) is used to drive transcription of the *cre* gene and Cre activity is posttranscriptionally regulated by fusion to the estradiol-binding domain (EBD) of the murine estrogen receptor. The EBD sequesters the fusion protein in the cytoplasm until estradiol is introduced, at which point the fusion protein is transported into the nucleus where Cre can act upon its loxP DNA substrates. Together, Cre activity is tightly controlled by methionine and can also be conditionally induced with extracellular estradiol. Further applying our new *loxPcre*-EBD recyclable system into *C. glabrata* genetics, we also found that *C. glabrata CAGL0H06017g*, a homolog of *S. cerevisiae FLR1*, has a significant role in oxidative stress and fluconazole resistance of the organism.

RESULTS

Assembling a recyclable construct. A diagram of the recyclable marker cassette is shown in Fig. 1. This construct was designated pBV65. The key features of this plasmid



FIG 1 Structure of the evictable marker cassette. A diagram of the recyclable cassette containing the nourseothricin resistance marker (*natMX6*) is shown. The two *loxP* recombination targeting sites are indicated by small black bars at the ends of the cassette. The location of the methionine-repressible MET3 promoter is shown with its direction of transcription indicated by the arrow. The position of the *cre*-EBD^{mut} fusion gene is shown by the solid black arrow with the location of the inserted *C. glabrata* actin intron denoted as *iACT1*. Transcription termination is provided by the relevant region of the *S. cerevisiae CYC1* gene (*ScCYC1* term).

center on its modified *cre* gene with flanking *loxP* repeats. We based our construct on work from Lindstrom and Gottschling, who utilized selection in *S. cerevisiae* to isolate a highly estrogen-responsive form of a Cre-EBD fusion protein (21). Our initial cloning efforts failed as even the low activity of this Cre-EBD fusion protein in bacterial cells prevented recovery of the desired full-length clone. To further lower any undesired bacterial activity of Cre-EBD, we inserted an intron from the *C. glabrata ACT1* gene into the amino-terminal-coding region of the *cre*-EBD gene. This new construct was stable in bacteria and allowed us to isolate and characterize the desired recyclable marker cassette. A similar strategy has been used before in *Candida albicans* (22).

We also placed the *cre*-EBD gene under control of the methionine-repressible *C. glabrata MET3* promoter (23). In this manner, we would limit transcription of this gene by including methionine in the medium and limit the activity of whatever Cre-EBD protein that might be produced with the exclusion of any added estrogen. This original marker cassette included a codon-optimized form of the nourseothricin resistance gene under control of the *TEF2* promoter/terminator from *Ashbya gossypii*. We also prepared two related plasmids varying only in the marker. A *Saccharomyces kluveryi HIS3* or *S. cerevisiae URA3* marker replaced the *natMX6* cassette in these two related constructs. Together, the dominant *natMX6* marker coupled with the *HIS3* and *URA3* markers should permit wide usage of these cassettes in different genetic backgrounds.

Testing the recyclable construct in CBS138. We initially used the pBV65 plasmid to produce a disruption allele that would target the *CDR1* ABC transporter-encoding gene. Mutants lacking Cdr1 are well known to exhibit a profound fluconazole-hypersensitive phenotype (24). PCR was used to prepare 800-bp fragments from the immediate upstream and downstream regions of the *CDR1* gene. These fragments were then assembled along with the marker cassette from pBV65 into a pUC19 vector plasmid. After confirmation of the structure of this plasmid (pBV65), we digested this construct with restriction enzymes to release the vector and transformed the resulting digest into competent CBS138 cells. Transformants were selected on rich yeast extract-peptone-dextrose (YPD) medium containing nourseothricin and methionine. We used CBS138 as the recipient strain since this is the standard sequenced *C. glabrata* strain and is prototrophic. (The strains used are listed in Table 1.)

Nourseothricin-resistant clones were recovered, and the structure of the integrated marker cassette was verified as described in the legend to Fig. 2A. To excise the marker cassette, two independent clones were grown in minimal medium lacking methionine and supplemented with 1 μ M estradiol overnight. Single colonies were collected and subsequently tested for nourseothricin sensitivity. The absence of the cassette on the chromosome was examined by PCR. Finally, primers located outside the recombined regions were used to amplify across the *CDR1* coding region to confirm the complete removal of the gene (Fig. 2A).

Along with characterization of the genotype of these $cdr1\Delta$ disruption mutants, we confirmed the expected resistance phenotypes of each derivative. We grew CBS138 cells along with the primary nourseothricin-resistant and evicted transformants in YPD medium and tested these clones for resistance to either nourseothricin or fluconazole. Mid-log-phase cells were serially diluted and placed on medium containing either 50 μ g/ml nourseothricin or 5 μ g/ml fluconazole. These plates were incubated at 37°C and then photographed (Fig. 2B).

TABLE 1 Strains used in this study

Strain	Relevant genotype
CBS138	Wild type
BVG27	cdr1∆::loxP
BVG65	pdr1∆::loxP
BVG41	flr1∆::loxP
BVG39	aqr1∆::loxP
BVG47	qdr2∆::IoxP
BVG45	aqr1∆::loxP flr1∆::loxP
BVG43	$aqr1\Delta::loxP qdr2\Delta::loxP$
BVG49	flr1∆::loxP qdr2∆::loxP
BVG51	$aqr1\Delta::loxP$ flr1 $\Delta::loxP$ qdr2 $\Delta::loxP$
BVG67	TDH3-YAP1
BVG69	TDH3-YAP1 cdr1∆::loxP
BVG71	TDH3-YAP1 pdr1∆::loxP
BVG77	TDH3-YAP1 flr1∆::loxP
BVG73	TDH3-YAP1 aqr1\Delta::loxP flr1Δ::loxP qdr2Δ::loxP
BVG100	TDH3-YAP1 cdr1∆::loxP flr1∆::loxP

Only the primary transformants grow on nourseothricin-containing medium, while only the wild-type CBS138 cells can grow on fluconazole-containing medium. We also prepared a low-copy-number plasmid marked with a nourseothricin resistance gene that carried a wild-type version of the *CDR1* gene. Introduction of this plasmid into independent *cdr1* Δ ::*loxP* cells restored fluconazole resistance to normal levels (Fig. 2C).



FIG 2 Construction of a *cdr1*Δ::*loxP* allele using the new recyclable marker cassette. (A) Diagram showing the plasmid construct containing the *cdr1*Δ::*loxP* allele that was introduced into CBS138 cells with selection for nourseothricin resistance (*nat*^R). This allele removes the entire *CDR1* open reading frame (ORF). The result of recombination between the two *loxP* repeats is indicated by the arrow resolving to a single repeat denoted by the small black box. (B) Phenotypes of primary disruption mutants and evicted counterparts. Isogenic wild-type (CBS138), primary disruptants (*cdr1*Δ::*nat*^R), and evicted disruptants (*cdr1*Δ::*nat*^R), and evicted disruptants (*cdr1*Δ::*loxP*) were grown to mid-log phase, and then serial dilutions were spotted across the plates. Two independent isolates of each disruptant were tested. (C) Complementation of the fluconazole-hypersensitive phenotype of the *cdr1*Δ::*loxP* allele by a plasmid-borne copy of *CDR1*. Wild-type and *cdr1*Δ::*loxP* cells were transformed with an empty vector containing the nourseothricin resistance gene and served as controls for this experiment. The *cdr1*Δ::*loxP* elles were also transformed with the same vector plasmid containing a wild-type version of the *CDR1* gene. The indicated transformants were serially diluted on rich YPD medium lacking or containing 5 µg/ml fluconazole (as well as 50 µg/ml nourseothricin to select for plasmid maintenance).



TABLE 2 Dependence of recycling probability on medium

Medium	Recycling probability (%) ^a	SD (%)
YPD	0	5
YPD + Met	0	10
YPD + Met + E2	15	4
YPD + E2	46	9
CSM + Met	5	4
CSM + Met + E2	11	12
CSM	18	8
CSM + E2	98	1

^aPercentage of nourseothricin-sensitive colonies from total colonies. This was determined by comparing the plating efficiency of cells grown overnight on the indicated medium, followed by plating on YPD or YPD plus nourseothricin.

We also assessed the stability of the *cre*-EBD cassette under various medium conditions. A nourseothricin-resistant transformant was grown overnight using different medium formulations. The next morning, cells were plated on rich YPD medium or YPD containing nourseothricin. The plating efficiency of these cells was determined by dividing the number of colonies formed on nourseothricin-containing medium by the number grown on YPD medium alone (Table 2).

Overnight growth on minimal medium lacking methionine and containing estrogen led to a nearly 100% loss of the *natMX6* marker, consistent with the high efficiency of Cre-EBD-driven recombination under this medium condition. The presence of estrogen was the most efficient inducer of recombination as addition of this steroid to YPD alone led to nearly 50% loss of the *natMX6* marker. We interpret these data to indicate that in the absence of methionine and estrogen, the *natMX6* marker in this cassette is highly stable.

Multiple MFS genes knocked out mutants in CBS138. Construction of the *cdr1*Δ:: loxP mutant served as a positive control for the utility of this recyclable marker cassette. We next constructed three different disruption alleles using this recyclable cassette in different MFS protein-encoding genes. We selected the AQR1 (16, 25), FLR1 (26), and QDR2 (18) loci to disrupt based on the work of others that has linked these MFS proteins to resistance phenotypes in C. glabrata. One of the potential complications in the analysis of the physiological roles of MFS proteins in general, and in C. glabrata in particular, is the possible redundancy in function provided by the typically large number of these related membrane transporters. To begin to examine functional overlap between MFS proteins involved in drug resistance, we utilized the recyclable marker system described above to generate single, double, and triple mutant strains lacking these selected genes. We also compared the phenotypes of these MFS deletion strains with the $cdr1\Delta::loxP$ strain described above as well as a similarly constructed $pdr1\Delta$::loxP strain lacking the Pdr1 transcription factor that is well established to exhibit drug-sensitive phenotypes (3). These strains were all grown to mid-log phase and then serially diluted on plates containing various concentrations of the azole drugs, terbinafine or diamide (Fig. 3A).



FIG 3 Phenotype profiling of isogenic CBS138 *aqr1*, *flr1*, and *qdr2* deletion mutants. Serial dilutions of the indicated isogenic derivatives of CBS138 (wild type [wt]) were placed on these various media. All drugs were added to YPD at the following concentrations: 5 μ g/ml fluconazole, 0.2 μ g/ml itraconazole, 0.2 μ g/ml ketoconazole, 2 μ g/ml miconazole, 20 μ g/ml terbinafine, and 3 mM diamide.







FIG 4 *FLR1* expression and glutathione homeostasis. (A) Induction of *FLR1* and *YAP1* mRNA upon diamide challenge. Wild-type (wt) cells were grown to mid-log phase and then treated with 4 mM diamide or left untreated for 20 additional min. Levels of mRNA were assayed using RT-qPCR. (B) Glutathione levels in wild-type and *flr1*Δ mutant cells in response to diamide. A commercial kit was used to measure total glutathione (reduced plus oxidized) as well as the oxidized form (GSSG) in wild-type and isogenic *flr1*Δ cells in the presence or absence of diamide.

While loss of either *PDR1* or *CDR1* led to strong azole- and terbinafine-sensitive phenotypes, we were unable to detect significant defects in resistance to either of these drugs upon loss of any combination of the selected MFS proteins. We did find pronounced diamide hypersensitivity in the presence of the *flr1* Δ ::*loxP* allele, as described before. Removal of the other MFS proteins from the *flr1* Δ ::*loxP* background did not lead to further exacerbation of diamide sensitivity, indicating this phenotype was unique to Flr1 in our strains.

FLR1 is essential for *C. glabrata* resistance to diamide-induced oxidative stress. While previous work had implicated *FLR1* in diamide tolerance (26), the molecular details underlying this phenotype remain unknown. Work from *S. cerevisiae* has established that *S. cerevisiae FLR1* (*ScFLR1*) is transcriptionally induced by *Sc*Yap1 upon exposure to diamide (27). We tested if Yap1 had a similar relationship with *FLR1* transcription in *C. glabrata* by measuring *FLR1* and *YAP1* mRNA levels before and after diamide stress using a reverse transcriptase quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) assay (Fig. 4A). These data confirm that *FLR1* was induced in response to diamide but also that *YAP1* transcription was similarly elevated. The induction of *YAP1* mRNA by diamide was not expected as extensive studies in *S. cerevisiae* indicate that this factor responds post-translationally to diamide challenge by regulation of its nuclear export (28, 29).

Diamide causes an increase in the levels of oxidized glutathione (GSSG) in cells (30). Since glutathione (GSH) is the primary reducing agent in the cell, it is this depletion of reduced glutathione that is thought to underly the toxicity of diamide (30). We measured total and oxidized glutathione (Fig. 4B) levels in isogenic wild-type and *flr1*Δ::*loxP* strains before and after diamide challenge. Total glutathione levels in these strains were the same prior to diamide challenge. The *flr1*Δ::*loxP* strain displayed a significant increase in glutathione levels following diamide stress compared to isogenic wild-type cells. Strikingly, the levels of oxidized glutathione were the same in diamide-stressed wild-type cells and the unchallenged *flr1*Δ::*loxP* strain. This argues that loss of Flr1 caused a defect in glutathione homeostasis even in cells grown in rich YPD medium. Treatment of *flr1*Δ::*loxP* cells caused an additional elevation in oxidized





FIG 5 Overexpression of *YAP1* induces the expression of *FLR1*. (A) Use of the recyclable cassette to replace the *YAP1* promoter with the strong glycolytic *TDH3* promoter in the chromosome. (B) RT-qPCR measurement of the induction of *YAP1* mRNA when driven by the *TDH3* promoter versus wild-type *YAP1* regulatory sequences. Wild-type, *pdr1*Δ::*loxP*, and *cdr1*Δ::*loxP* strains with native or *TDH3-YAP1* alleles were used for these mRNA determinations. (C) Assay for *FLR1* mRNA levels by RT-qPCR in the indicated strains.

glutathione. These data are consistent with Flr1 being required for maintenance of normal cellular glutathione levels.

Overexpression of YAP1 induced the expression of FLR1 and diamide resistance. The findings above indicating that transcription of both YAP1 and FLR1 was induced upon diamide exposure led us to test the effect of Yap1 overproduction on phenotypes involving FLR1. We adapted the recyclable marker for use as an overexpression cassette by placing this maker upstream of the *C. glabrata TDH3* promoter. *TDH3* encodes the highly expressed enzyme glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH). A cassette was generated with this recyclable marker-*TDH3* promoter cassette placed between the YAP1 promoter and the ATG for the YAP1 open reading frame (Fig. 5A). This promoter insertion fragment was transformed into isogenic wild-type, $cdr1\Delta::loxP$, $pdr1\Delta::loxP$, $flr1\Delta::loxP$, $cdr1\Delta::loxP$ flr1 $\Delta::loxP$ double mutant, and $aqr1\Delta::loxP$ flr1 $\Delta::loxP$ qdr2 $\Delta::loxP$ triple mutant strains with selection for the nourseothricin cassette. We selected transformants that showed the correct genotype, evicted the recyclable marker, and then used these *TDH3* promoter-driven YAP1 strains to test diamide and fluconazole resistance in appropriate transformants.

We first validated that the replacement of the standard YAP1 promoter with the TDH3 promoter fragment would lead to increased YAP1 mRNA levels by using RT-qPCR (Fig. 5B). The TDH3 promoter did lead to markedly elevated YAP1 mRNA production (~60× higher than wild type) in all strains tested. We also examined the response of FLR1 to overproduced Yap1 and found roughly a 6-fold increase in FLR1 mRNA when Yap1 was expressed from the TDH3 promoter.

Having determined that the *TDH3-YAP1* fusion gene was having the expected effect on both *YAP1* and *FLR1* mRNA levels, we then compared the resistance phenotypes of strains varying in their expression of Yap1. Overproduction of Yap1 clearly elevated diamide resistance in all backgrounds tested, except in the absence of *FLR1*, which blocked this effect (Fig. 6A). The Yap1-dependent elevation of diamide required the presence of *FLR1*, suggesting that induction of *FLR1* transcription led to this oxidant resistance phenotype.

Earlier work in both *S. cerevisiae* and *C. glabrata* found that loss of the multidrug resistance pathway defined by *Sc*Pdr5 or *C. glabrata* Cdr1 (*Cg*Cdr1) or the transcription factor Pdr1 (in either yeast) caused a profound drug-sensitive phenotype (recently





FIG 6 Interaction of Yap1- and Pdr1/Cdr1-regulated resistance pathways. (A) *TDH3-YAP1* drives elevated diamide resistance independent of *PDR1* or *CDR1*. Isogenic wild-type, *pdr1*Δ::*loxP*, and *cdr1*Δ::*loxP* strains containing or lacking the *TDH3-YAP1* allele were grown to mid-log phase and then serially diluted on plates containing the indicated concentrations of diamide. (B) The indicated strains were grown to mid-log phase and then serially diluted on plates containing of fluconazole sensitivity in a *cdr1*Δ flr1Δ double mutant strain. Isogenic wild-type or *cdr1*Δ flr1Δ mutant strains were transformed with the plasmids indicated at left, which are all low-copy-number CEN-containing vectors with nourseothricin resistance. Both plates contain low-level nourseothricin (50 μ g/ml) to ensure retention of the plasmids. (D) Diagram of interactions relating Pdr1/Cdr1 to Yap1/Flr1 and azole resistance. Arrows indicate positive interactions.

reviewed in reference 31). Epistasis experiments with overproduction of *YAP1* in *S. cerevisiae* and later *C. glabrata* also indicated that high levels of Yap1 could influence drug resistance and even bypass the drug sensitivity of a *pdr5* Δ allele in *S. cerevisiae* (32). To evaluate the epistasis of Yap1 and *PDR1/CDR1* in *C. glabrata*, we compared the fluconazole phenotypes of isogenic wild-type and *pdr1* Δ ::*loxP*, *cdr1* Δ ::*loxP*, and *flr1* Δ ::*loxP* single mutant strains or a *cdr1* Δ ::*loxP* fl*r1* Δ ::*loxP* double mutant containing wild-type *YAP1* or the *TDH3-YAP1* fusion gene. These strains were all tested by serial dilution for their ability to grow on fluconazole-containing medium.

Loss of either *PDR1* or *CDR1* led to the expected decrease in fluconazole resistance (Fig. 6B). Similarly to *S. cerevisiae* (32), overproduction of Yap1 in these backgrounds returned resistance to near wild-type levels at this drug concentration. However, removal of the *FLR1* gene from the *cdr1* Δ ::*loxP TDH3-YAP1* strain abolished this suppression of the fluconazole sensitivity caused by loss of *CDR1*. These data are most consistent with Yap1 driving expression of *FLR1* with a corresponding increase in azole resistance acting in a parallel fashion to the Pdr1-*CDR1* circuit (Fig. 6D).

We used plasmid complementation to ensure that *FLR1* gene activation by Yap1 was responsible for the bypass of fluconazole sensitivity of the *cdr1* Δ ::*loxP* strain. The

 $cdr1\Delta::loxP$ flr1 $\Delta::loxP$ double mutant carrying the *TDH3-YAP1* gene was transformed with low-copy-number plasmids containing wild-type copies of either *CDR1* or *FLR1*. Appropriate transformants were then tested for fluconazole resistance (Fig. 6C).

Reintroduction of either *CDR1* or *FLR1* on a low-copy-number plasmid elevated the fluconazole of the resulting transformants back to levels similar to those in the wild-type strain containing the *TDH3-YAP1* fusion gene. Complementation by the CEN-*CDR1* clone was slightly lower than expected, possibly due to differential stability or copy number of the plasmid vector. The exquisite sensitivity of the *cdr1* Δ *flr1* Δ double mutant strain is due to loss of both of these two key target genes that would otherwise elevate fluconazole resistance.

DISCUSSION

A central goal of this work was to develop a system in which repetitive gene disruptions could easily be performed as our aim is ultimately to construct strains lacking multiple members of the MFS protein-encoding genes. A second criterion was that a dominant marker needed to be used so that we could carry out experiments in clinical isolates that are routinely prototrophic. We believe that this new nourseothricin resistance-marked recyclable cassette provides such a facile system. Our incorporation of the estrogen-regulated Cre protein along with the transcriptional control of the methionine-repressible *MET3* promoter keeps the nourseothricin resistance marker in a stable form until eviction is desired. Addition of estrogen and growth in medium lacking methionine led to nearly 100% loss of the nourseothricin resistance marker, allowing the cassette to be used again. The availability of *HIS3*- and *URA3*-marked derivatives will facilitate use of this cassette in laboratory strains containing appropriate auxotrophies.

We also developed a promoter fusion cassette in which the *C. glabrata TDH3* promoter is placed immediately adjacent to this recyclable marker. This allowed us here to place the *YAP1* gene under control of the *TDH3* promoter, one of the strongest in *C. glabrata* (33). The *TDH3-YAP1* fusion gene overproduced *YAP1* mRNA by approximately 60-fold compared to the wild-type *YAP1* promoter. Future derivatives will be constructed to include an amino-terminal epitope tag to allow direct visualization of the levels of protein production. We relied only on mRNA measurements to argue for overproduction of Yap1, but the large extent of mRNA induction and increased resistance phenotypes suggest that the protein is overproduced in strains carrying this gene fusion.

We found that overproduction of Yap1 was able to strongly suppress the fluconazole sensitivity of either a $pdr1\Delta$ or $cdr1\Delta$ strain. This suppression was completely dependent on the presence of *FLR1*. These data resemble findings in *S. cerevisiae* in which overproduction of Yap1 fully suppressed the drug sensitivity of a $pdr5\Delta$ strain (32). Overproduction of Yap1 in *S. cerevisiae* strongly elevated drug resistance in wild-type cells, but we found only minor effects on the drugs tested here in *C. glabrata* transformants containing *TDH3-YAP1* (data not shown). However, the profound drug sensitivity caused by loss of either *CDR1* or *PDR1* was strongly suppressed by Yap1 overproduction in both yeasts. These data indicate that the parallel pathway to drug resistance defined by Yap1 and *FLR1* still plays an important role in *C. glabrata* but appears to be a more minor contributor than the Pdr1-*CDR1* circuit.

A remarkable effect occurring upon loss of FIr1 was seen in our glutathione measurements. Deletion of *FLR1* triggered an increase in levels of oxidized glutathione even in the absence of any oxidative stress (Fig. 4C). This represents a selective alteration in the glutathione pool as the total level of glutathione (reduced plus oxidized) is unchanged in isogenic wild-type and $flr1\Delta$ strains (Fig. 4B). These data suggest that FIr1 may act to either maintain the normal oxidative environment in the cell or to support export of oxidized glutathione from the cell. Changes in glutathione homeostasis have been linked to antifungal activity by others (34). Previous studies in *S. cerevisiae* have identified ABC transporters like Yor1 as well as MFS family members like Gex1/2 as being involved in export of reduced glutathione to detoxify arsenic-

related compounds (35, 36). The role of Flr1 in glutathione homeostasis could also be involved in the influence of this protein on drug resistance as glutathione conjugation can be used as a means of detoxification of harmful chemicals (reviewed in reference 37). Loss of the proper forms of glutathione could interfere with appropriate drug detoxification. This was seen previously in *S. cerevisiae*, in which loss of glutathione transferase genes caused sensitivity to diamide-induced oxidative stress (38). Similarly, experiments in the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* identified glutathione transferase enzymes as being required for normal resistance to fluconazole (39), again directly linking glutathione metabolism to drug resistance.

As has been seen before in *S. cerevisiae* (32) and *C. albicans* (40), our data argue that Yap1 in *C. glabrata* plays a role in drug resistance that involves control of MFS protein-encoding gene expression acting in parallel to regulation of genes encoding ABC transporter gene expression. In all three of these yeasts, zinc cluster transcription factors (Pdr1/3 in *S. cerevisiae*, Tac1 in *C. albicans*, and Pdr1 in *C. glabrata*) primarily provide transcriptional regulation of the expression of ABC transporters. Disruption of the primary ABC transporter target gene in any of these yeasts (*PDR5* in *S. cerevisiae* or *CDR1* in the *Candida* species) led to profound drug sensitivity. While Yap1 (Cap1 in *C. albicans*) is routinely associated with MFS transporter-encoding gene expression, loss of these genes does not typically elicit drug sensitivity. The striking conservation of these parallel avenues impacting drug resistance suggests an equally important underlying cell physiology maintained in these yeasts. Understanding these conserved regulatory networks for ABC and MFS transporter-encoding gene expression is an important goal in extending our understanding of fungal drug resistance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Media, plasmids, and strains. Unless otherwise specified, cells were grown in rich YPD medium (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, 2% glucose) or under amino acid selective conditions in complete supplemental medium, (CSM: Difco yeast nitrogen extract without amino acids, amino acid powder from Sunrise Science Products, 2% glucose). All strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. Three new plasmids were constructed for this work. The first is pCN, a nourseothricin-resistant vector plasmid produced from a previously constructed low-copy-number plasmid (pSKB60) by Gibson assembly of a *natMX6* cassette in place of the original *ScLEU2* gene. This plasmid is referred to as pBV133. Two derivatives of pBV133 containing either the wild-type *CDR1* gene (pBV201) or the wild-type *FLR1* gene (pBV193) were then produced by Gibson assembly of appropriate fragments into this nourseothricin-resistant vector backbone. The *CDR1* gene fragment contained 1.5 kb of 5' and 1 kb of 3' noncoding DNA, while the *FLR1* gene fragment contained 1 kb of 3' noncoding DNA. The plasmid used to replace the YAP1 promoter with the *TDH3* promoter was designated pBV163. These plasmids were confirmed by restriction mapping and DNA sequencing.

Drug sensitivity assay. Cells were grown in YPD to mid-log-phase cultures. Then, 10³ cells were spotted onto YPD plates containing 5 μ g/ml fluconazole (LKT Labs, St. Paul, MN), 0.2 μ g/ml itraconazole (LKT labs, St. Paul, MN), 0.2 μ g/ml ketoconazole (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), 2 μ g/ml miconazole (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), 20 μ g/ml terbinafine (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), or 3 or 4 mM diamide (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). Plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h before imaging.

C. glabrata transformation and recycling marker. Cell transformations were performed using a lithium acetate method (41). After being heat shocked, cells were grown in YPD at 30°C at 200 rpm overnight and plated on YPD agar plates supplemented with 2 mM methionine (Fisher Scientific, Chicago, IL) and 50 μ g/ml of nourseothricin (Jena Bioscience, Jena, Germany). Plates were incubated at 30°C for 24 to 48 h before individual colonies were isolated and screened by PCR for correct insertion of the targeted construct. To induce Cre-dependent recombination, selected clones were grown in methionine-deficient synthetic complete (SC) medium supplemented with 1 μ M estradiol (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) at 30°C at 200 rpm overnight. Single colonies were streaked onto YPD plates and incubated at 30°C overnight. Colonies were screened for the loss of nourseothricin resistance, and sensitive colonies were selected for further experiments.

Measurement of *in vivo* glutathione level. Cells were grown to an optical density (OD) of 0.4 to 0.5 and treated with either 4 mM diamide or H_2O for 20 min. Cells were then collected, washed, and lysed with glass beads in 5% *meta*-phosphoric acid. The culture supernatants were used for glutathione quantification by a glutathione (GSSG/GSH) detection kit (Enzo Life Science, Farmingdale, NY).

Quantification of transcript levels by RT-qPCR. Total RNA was extracted from cells using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY) and chloroform extraction, followed by purification with RNeasy minicolumns (Qiagen, Redwood City, CA). RNA was reverse transcribed using an iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad, Des Plaines, IL). qPCR was performed with iTaq universal SYBR green supermix (Bio-Rad, Des Plaines, IL). Target gene transcript levels were normalized to transcript levels of elongation factor 1-alpha (*TEF1*).

mSphere

Data availability. Plasmids pBV65 and pBV163 have been deposited in Addgene under no. 108363 and 108364, respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Brendan Cormack for providing plasmids.

REFERENCES

- 1. Kullberg BJ, Arendrup MC. 2015. Invasive candidiasis. N Engl J Med 373:1445–1456. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1315399.
- Vale-Silva LA, Sanglard D. 2015. Tipping the balance both ways: drug resistance and virulence in Candida glabrata. FEMS Yeast Res 15:fov025. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsyr/fov025.
- Vermitsky JP, Edlind TD. 2004. Azole resistance in Candida glabrata: coordinate upregulation of multidrug transporters and evidence for a Pdr1-like transcription factor. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 48: 3773–3781. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.48.10.3773-3781.2004.
- Vermitsky JP, Earhart KD, Smith WL, Homayouni R, Edlind TD, Rogers PD. 2006. Pdr1 regulates multidrug resistance in Candida glabrata: gene disruption and genome-wide expression studies. Mol Microbiol 61:704–722. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05235.x.
- Tsai HF, Krol AA, Sarti KE, Bennett JE. 2006. Candida glabrata PDR1, a transcriptional regulator of a pleiotropic drug resistance network, mediates azole resistance in clinical isolates and petite mutants. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 50:1384–1392. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.50.4.1384-1392 .2006.
- Tsai HF, Sammons LR, Zhang X, Suffis SD, Su Q, Myers TG, Marr KA, Bennett JE. 2010. Microarray and molecular analyses of the azole resistance mechanism in Candida glabrata oropharyngeal isolates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54:3308–3317. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC .00535-10.
- Paul S, Schmidt JA, Moye-Rowley WS. 2011. Regulation of the CgPdr1 transcription factor from the pathogen Candida glabrata. Eukaryot Cell 10:187–197. https://doi.org/10.1128/EC.00277-10.
- Ferrari S, Ischer F, Calabrese D, Posteraro B, Sanguinetti M, Fadda G, Rohde B, Bauser C, Bader O, Sanglard D. 2009. Gain of function mutations in CgPDR1 of Candida glabrata not only mediate antifungal resistance but also enhance virulence. PLoS Pathog 5:e1000268. https://doi .org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1000268.
- Reddy VS, Shlykov MA, Castillo R, Sun El, Saier MH, Jr. 2012. The major facilitator superfamily (MFS) revisited. FEBS J 279:2022–2035. https://doi .org/10.1111/j.1742-4658.2012.08588.x.
- Cannon RD, Lamping E, Holmes AR, Niimi K, Baret PV, Keniya MV, Tanabe K, Niimi M, Goffeau A, Monk BC. 2009. Efflux-mediated antifungal drug resistance. Clin Microbiol Rev 22:291–321. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR .00051-08.
- Nelissen B, De Wachter R, Goffeau A. 1997. Classification of all putative permeases and other membrane plurispanners of the major facilitator superfamily encoded by the complete genome of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. FEMS Microbiol Rev 21:113–134. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976 .1997.tb00347.x.
- Dos Santos SC, Teixeira MC, Dias PJ, Sá-Correia I. 2014. MFS transporters required for multidrug/multixenobiotic (MD/MX) resistance in the model yeast: understanding their physiological function through post-genomic approaches. Front Physiol 5:180. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2014 .00180.
- Gbelska Y, Krijger JJ, Breunig KD. 2006. Evolution of gene families: the multidrug resistance transporter genes in five related yeast species. FEMS Yeast Res 6:345–355. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1567-1364.2006 .00058.x.
- Alarco AM, Balan I, Talibi D, Mainville N, Raymond M. 1997. AP1-mediated multidrug resistance in Saccharomyces cerevisiae requires *FLR1* encoding a transporter of the major facilitator superfamily. J Biol Chem 272: 19304–19313. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.272.31.19304.
- Costa C, Dias PJ, Sá-Correia I, Teixeira MC. 2014. MFS multidrug transporters in pathogenic fungi: do they have real clinical impact? Front Physiol 5:197. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2014.00197.
- Costa C, Henriques A, Pires C, Nunes J, Ohno M, Chibana H, Sá-Correia I, Teixeira MC. 2013. The dual role of Candida glabrata drug:H+ antiporter CgAqr1 (ORF CAGL0J09944g) in antifungal drug and acetic acid resistance. Front Microbiol 4:170. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb .2013.00170.

- Costa C, Nunes J, Henriques A, Mira NP, Nakayama H, Chibana H, Teixeira MC. 2014. Candida glabrata drug:H+ antiporter CgTpo3 (ORF CAGL0I10384g): role in azole drug resistance and polyamine homeostasis. J Antimicrob Chemother 69:1767–1776. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/ dku044.
- Costa C, Pires C, Cabrito TR, Renaudin A, Ohno M, Chibana H, Sá-Correia I, Teixeira MC. 2013. Candida glabrata drug:H+ antiporter CgQdr2 confers imidazole drug resistance, being activated by transcription factor CgPdr1. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57:3159–3167. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/AAC.00811-12.
- Umebayashi K, Nakano A. 2003. Ergosterol is required for targeting of tryptophan permease to the yeast plasma membrane. J Cell Biol 161: 1117–1131. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200303088.
- Johnson SS, Hanson PK, Manoharlal R, Brice SE, Cowart LA, Moye-Rowley WS. 2010. Regulation of yeast nutrient permease endocytosis by ATPbinding cassette transporters and a seven-transmembrane protein, RSB1. J Biol Chem 285:35792–35802. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.162883.
- 21. Lindstrom DL, Gottschling DE. 2009. The mother enrichment program: a genetic system for facile replicative life span analysis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 183:413–422. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.109 .106229.
- Shahana S, Childers DS, Ballou ER, Bohovych I, Odds FC, Gow NA, Brown AJ. 2014. New Clox systems for rapid and efficient gene disruption in Candida albicans. PLoS One 9:e100390. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal .pone.0100390.
- Zordan RE, Ren Y, Pan SJ, Rotondo G, De Las Peñas A, Iluore J, Cormack BP. 2013. Expression plasmids for use in Candida glabrata. G3 (Bethesda) 3:1675–1686. https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.113.006908.
- 24. Sanglard D, Ischer F, Calabrese D, Majcherczyk PA, Bille J. 1999. The ATP binding cassette transporter gene CgCDR1 from Candida glabrata is involved in the resistance of clinical isolates to azole antifungal agents. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 43:2753–2765.
- Costa C, Ribeiro J, Miranda IM, Silva-Dias A, Cavalheiro M, Costa-de-Oliveira S, Rodrigues AG, Teixeira MC. 2016. Clotrimazole drug resistance in Candida glabrata clinical isolates correlates with increased expression of the drug:H(+) antiporters CgAqr1, CgTpo1_1, CgTpo3, and CgQdr2. Front Microbiol 7:526. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb .2016.00526.
- Chen KH, Miyazaki T, Tsai HF, Bennett JE. 2007. The bZip transcription factor Cgap1p is involved in multidrug resistance and required for activation of multidrug transporter gene CgFLR1 in Candida glabrata. Gene 386:63–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2006.08.010.
- Nguyên DT, Alarco AM, Raymond M. 2001. Multiple Yap1p-binding sites mediate induction of the yeast major facilitator FLR1 gene in response to drugs, oxidants, and alkylating agents. J Biol Chem 276:1138–1145. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M008377200.
- Kuge S, Jones N, Nomoto A. 1997. Regulation of yAP-1 nuclear localization in response to oxidative stress. EMBO J 16:1710–1720. https://doi .org/10.1093/emboj/16.7.1710.
- Yan C, Lee LH, Davis LI. 1998. Crm1p mediates regulated nuclear export of a yeast AP-1-like transcription factor. EMBO J 17:7416–7429. https:// doi.org/10.1093/emboj/17.24.7416.
- Kosower NS, Kosower EM, Wertheim B, Correa WS. 1969. Diamide, a new reagent for the intracellular oxidation of glutathione to the disulfide. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 37:593–596. https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0006-291X(69)90850-X.
- Paul S, Moye-Rowley WS. 2014. Multidrug resistance in fungi: regulation of transporter-encoding gene expression. Front Physiol 5:143. https:// doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2014.00143.
- Dexter D, Moye-Rowley WS, Wu AL, Golin J. 1994. Mutations in the yeast PDR3, PDR4, PDR7 and PDR9 pleiotropic drug resistance loci affect the transcript level of an ATP binding cassette transporter encoding gene, PDR5. Genetics 136:505–515.
- 33. Linde J, Duggan S, Weber M, Horn F, Sieber P, Hellwig D, Riege K, Marz



M, Martin R, Guthke R, Kurzai O. 2015. Defining the transcriptomic landscape of Candida glabrata by RNA-Seq. Nucleic Acids Res 43: 1392–1406. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku1357.

- Thangamani S, Eldesouky HE, Mohammad H, Pascuzzi PE, Avramova L, Hazbun TR, Seleem MN. 2017. Ebselen exerts antifungal activity by regulating glutathione (GSH) and reactive oxygen species (ROS) production in fungal cells. Biochim Biophys Acta 1861:3002–3010. https://doi .org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2016.09.029.
- Thorsen M, Jacobson T, Vooijs R, Navarrete C, Bliek T, Schat H, Tamás MJ. 2012. Glutathione serves an extracellular defence function to decrease arsenite accumulation and toxicity in yeast. Mol Microbiol 84:1177–1188. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2012.08085.x.
- Dhaoui M, Auchère F, Blaiseau PL, Lesuisse E, Landoulsi A, Camadro JM, Haguenauer-Tsapis R, Belgareh-Touzé N. 2011. Gex1 is a yeast glutathione exchanger that interferes with pH and redox homeostasis. Mol Biol Cell 22:2054–2067. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E10-11-0906.
- 37. Ketterer B, Coles B, Meyer DJ. 1983. The role of glutathione in detoxi-

cation. Environ Health Perspect 49:59-69. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp .834959.

- Barreto L, Garcerá A, Jansson K, Sunnerhagen P, Herrero E. 2006. A peroxisomal glutathione transferase of Saccharomyces cerevisiae is functionally related to sulfur amino acid metabolism. Eukaryot Cell 5:1748–1759. https:// doi.org/10.1128/EC.00216-06.
- Veal EA, Toone WM, Jones N, Morgan BA. 2002. Distinct roles for glutathione S-transferases in the oxidative stress response in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. J Biol Chem 277:35523–35531. https://doi.org/10 .1074/jbc.M111548200.
- Schubert S, Barker KS, Znaidi S, Schneider S, Dierolf F, Dunkel N, Aïd M, Boucher G, Rogers PD, Raymond M, Morschhäuser J. 2011. Regulation of efflux pump expression and drug resistance by the transcription factors Mrr1, Upc2, and Cap1 in Candida albicans. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 55:2212–2223. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01343-10.
- Ito H, Fukuda Y, Murata K, Kimura A. 1983. Transformation of intact yeast cells treated with alkali cations. J Bacteriol 153:163–168.