

## Vital Surveillances

# Epidemiological Characteristics and Diagnostic Outcomes of Suspected Occupational Noise-Induced Deafness — Guangdong Province, China, 2014–2023

Shanyu Zhou<sup>1</sup>; Yongshun Huang<sup>1</sup>; Xianzhong Wen<sup>1</sup>; Shu Wang<sup>1</sup>; Bing Xia<sup>1</sup>; Lang Huang<sup>1</sup>; Xudong Li<sup>1,†</sup>

## ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** Suspected occupational noise-induced deafness (ONID) represents the most prevalent suspected occupational disease in Guangdong Province and is among the most frequently reported nationwide. Given its public health significance, we conducted a systematic investigation of suspected ONID cases in Guangdong from 2014 to 2023, analyzing their epidemiological characteristics and diagnostic outcomes to inform evidence-based policies for ONID prevention and management.

**Methods:** Data on suspected ONID cases reported in Guangdong Province from 2014 to 2023 were extracted from the “Occupational Diseases and Health Hazard Factors Monitoring Information System.” Cases were analyzed using descriptive epidemiological methods, with joinpoint regression analysis employed to assess long-term trends.

**Results:** From 2014 to 2023, 16,987 suspected ONID cases were reported in Guangdong Province, comprising 65.22% of all suspected occupational disease cases (26,044). Cases exhibited a significant increasing trend (Average annual percentage change: 11.8%, 95% CI: 2.9%–22.3%,  $P = 0.013$ ). The Pearl River Delta region accounted for 87.9% of all cases, with manufacturing being the predominant industry (90.1%). Within manufacturing, the metal products industry represented the highest proportion (15.2%). Males constituted 87.7% (14,905/16,987) of cases. Analysis of diagnostic outcomes from 2020 to 2023 revealed an overall diagnostic procedure initiation rate of 45.1%, with a subsequent confirmation rate of 48.9%.

**Conclusions:** Guangdong Province demonstrates high occurrence patterns of suspected ONID cases, particularly concentrated in the Pearl River Delta region and manufacturing sectors. The low rates of diagnostic procedure initiation and confirmation highlight the urgent need for enhanced regulatory

oversight of diagnostic procedures and the development of expert consensus on suspected ONID identification criteria to improve diagnostic confirmation rates.

Occupational noise-induced deafness (ONID) ranks among the most prevalent recognized occupational diseases in industrialized nations (1). In China, ONID has maintained its position as the second most common occupational disease since 2015 (2), with Guangdong Province reporting it as the leading occupational disease. Suspected ONID represents a preliminary diagnostic state where workers exposed to occupational noise demonstrate hearing loss meeting ONID diagnostic thresholds but require additional exposure documentation or medical evidence for definitive diagnosis (3–4). The National Health Commission of China emphasizes the importance of enhancing medical institutions’ capabilities in identifying suspected occupational diseases and increasing the initiation rate of subsequent diagnostic procedures (5). While Guangdong Province reports the highest proportion of suspected ONID cases among suspected occupational diseases provincially and ranks prominently nationwide, comprehensive research examining the epidemiological characteristics and diagnostic outcomes of these cases remains limited (6–7). To address this knowledge gap, we conducted a systematic investigation of suspected ONID cases in Guangdong Province from 2014 to 2023, analyzing their epidemiological patterns and diagnostic trajectories. This research aims to establish an evidence-based foundation for developing effective ONID prevention and management policies.

## METHODS

Surveillance data of suspected ONID cases in

Guangdong Province from January 1, 2014, to December 31, 2023, were extracted from the “Occupational Diseases and Health Hazard Factors Monitoring Information System,” a subsystem of the “China Information System for Disease Prevention and Control.” All data were de-identified through a unique code assignment. Certified physicians at medical institutions identified suspected ONID cases according to two national standards: the ‘*Diagnosis of occupational noise-induced deafness (GBZ 49-2014)*’ and the ‘*Identification standard for suspected occupational disease (GBZ 325-2022)*’ (3–4).

The analysis employed descriptive epidemiological methods to characterize suspected ONID cases. The “Occupational Diseases and Health Hazard Factors Monitoring Information System” began collecting data on occupational disease diagnostic procedures in 2020; therefore, epidemiological characteristics analysis encompassed cases from 2014 to 2023, while diagnostic outcome analysis included only cases reported between 2020 and 2023. To ensure a comprehensive assessment of diagnostic progression, cases were followed through June 30, 2024.

The epidemiological analysis examined case distribution across temporal, spatial, and demographic dimensions (sex, age, and occupational noise exposure duration), as well as enterprise attributes (industry sector, scale, and registration type) and identification institution characteristics (ownership type, classification, and certification). Statistical analyses

were conducted using R software (version 4.3.0, R Development Core Team). Long-term trends in suspected ONID cases were quantified using Joinpoint regression analysis (Joinpoint software version 5.2.0; National Cancer Institute, Rockville, MD, USA), calculating the annual percentage change (APC) for each segment and the average annual percentage change (AAPC) for the global trend, with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) (8). Trends were classified as increasing (APC and/or AAPC>0), decreasing (APC and/or AAPC<0) based on slope significance ( $P<0.05$ ), or stable (non-significant APC and/or AAPC,  $P\geq 0.05$ ) (9).

## RESULTS

From 2014 to 2023, 16,987 suspected ONID cases were reported, demonstrating a significant increasing trend (AAPC: 11.8, 95% CI: 2.9–22.3,  $P=0.013$ ). Figure 1 depicts the geographic distribution across 21 cities, with the highest case numbers reported in Foshan (3,590; 21.1%), Shenzhen (2,984; 17.6%), Zhongshan (2,400; 14.1%), Dongguan (1,979; 11.7%), and Guangzhou (1,640; 9.7%). The Pearl River Delta region accounted for 87.9% (14,932/16,987) of total cases. The proportion of suspected ONID among all suspected occupational diseases varied substantially by city, ranging from 12.5% in Shanwei to 91.0% in Zhanjiang.

The temporal trends of suspected ONID cases from




FIGURE 1. Geographic distribution and proportion of suspected occupational noise-induced deafness cases in Guangdong Province, China, 2014–2023.

Abbreviation: ONID=occupational noise-induced deafness.




FIGURE 2. Temporal trends and proportional distribution of suspected occupational noise-induced deafness cases in Guangdong Province, China, 2014–2023.

Abbreviation: ONID=occupational noise-induced deafness.

2014 to 2023 are illustrated in Figure 2. Despite fluctuations in absolute numbers, with a peak of 3,057 cases in 2018 followed by a decline to 1,215 cases in 2023, ONID maintained its position as the predominant suspected occupational disease. The proportion of ONID cases increased from 41.05% in 2014 to 70.62% in 2018, and stabilizing between 2019 and 2023. Throughout the decade, suspected ONID cases (16,987) represented 65.22% of all suspected occupational disease cases (26,044).

Table 1 presents the characteristics and AAPC of suspected ONID cases. The manufacturing sector dominated suspected ONID cases, accounting for 90.1% (15,298/16,987) of all reports. Within manufacturing, the metal products industry reported the highest proportion (2,327; 15.2%), followed by non-metallic mineral products (1,416; 9.3%) and electrical machinery and equipment manufacturing (1,314; 8.6%). Domestic-fund enterprises reported 62.1% (10,551/16,987) of cases, demonstrating a significant AAPC of 15.9% (95% CI: 4.5%–29.4%,  $P=0.014$ ). Demographic analysis revealed that males comprised 87.7% (14,905/16,987) of cases, with the 40–50 years age group representing 45.2% (7,679/16,987). Cases with <3 years of exposure initially increased from 197 in 2014 to 1,494 in 2018, followed by a marked decline to 33 in 2023 (APC:  $-52.96%$ ,  $P<0.001$  for 2017–2023).

Analysis of identification institution characteristics revealed a notable shift from public to private institutions. While public institutions diagnosed the

majority of cases (85.9%; 14,595/16,987), private institutions showed a marked increase in case identification, reaching 34.1% by 2023 (AAPC 107.5%, 95% CI: 85.4%–134.0%,  $P<0.001$ ). Hospitals identified 49.0% (8,318/16,987) of cases. The proportion of cases identified by the CDCs decreased from 47.5% to 11.0%, while outpatient health stations exhibited an increased contribution from 0.6% to 18.1% (AAPC=61.5%, 95% CI: 29.3%–94.6%,  $P<0.001$ ). Occupational health examination (OHE) institutions identified 72.1% (12,247/16,987) of all cases over the entire study period.

Table 2 demonstrates the diagnostic initiation and confirmation rates for suspected ONID. Among 5,263 suspected cases, the overall diagnostic procedure initiation rate was 45.1%, with a confirmation rate of 48.9%. The initiation rate increased from 36.9% in 2020 to 55.8% in 2022 before decreasing to 47.7% in 2023. Confirmation rates fluctuated between 42.1% and 54.4%, reaching their peak in 2021. Public institutions, which identified 73.1% (3,845/5,263) of cases, demonstrated higher initiation (46.0%) and confirmation (50.7%) rates compared to private institutions (42.8% and 43.8%, respectively). While OHE institutions identified the majority of suspected cases (74.8%, 3,939/5,263), they showed lower initiation (42.5%) and confirmation (46.9%) rates than occupational disease diagnostic institutions (53.0% and 53.8%, respectively). Occupational disease prevention and treatment institutions achieved the

TABLE 1. Characteristics and average annual percentage change of suspected occupational noise-induced deafness cases in Guangdong Province, 2014–2023 (*n*, %).

Characteristics	Total	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	AAPC (95% CI)
Industry												
Manufacturing	15,298 (90.1)	329 (91.4)	1,319 (89.2)	1,901 (88.8)	2,116 (90.0)	2,697 (88.2)	2,121 (90.7)	1,297 (91.6)	1,376 (90.3)	1,032 (93.1)	1,110 (91.4)	11.9* (3.9, 21.5)
Non-Manufacturing	1689 (9.9)	31 (8.6)	159 (10.8)	239 (11.2)	234 (10.0)	360 (11.8)	218 (9.3)	119 (8.4)	148 (9.7)	76 (6.9)	105 (8.6)	10.1 (-3.9, 26.9)
Registration type <sup>†</sup>												
Domestic-funded enterprises	10,551 (62.1)	176 (48.9)	829 (56.1)	1,205 (56.3)	1,411 (60.0)	2,004 (65.7)	1,444 (61.7)	877 (61.9)	1,041 (68.3)	718 (64.8)	846 (69.7)	15.9* (4.5, 29.4)
Hongkong, Macau and Taiwan-funded enterprises	3,617 (21.3)	96 (26.7)	339 (22.9)	503 (23.5)	489 (20.8)	568 (18.6)	564 (24.1)	333 (23.5)	283 (18.6)	216 (19.5)	226 (18.6)	8.1* (1.4, 15.7)
Foreign-funded enterprises	2,811 (16.5)	88 (24.4)	310 (21.0)	432 (20.2)	450 (19.1)	478 (15.7)	331 (14.2)	206 (14.5)	200 (13.1)	174 (15.7)	142 (11.7)	3.7 (-2.2, 10.4)
Scale <sup>†</sup>												
Large	2,891 (17.0)	82 (22.8)	326 (22.1)	459 (21.4)	440 (18.7)	460 (15.1)	341 (14.6)	308 (21.8)	207 (13.6)	156 (14.1)	112 (9.2)	3.5 (-3.5, 12.9)
Medium	5,066 (29.8)	134 (37.2)	508 (34.4)	721 (33.7)	688 (29.3)	828 (27.1)	748 (32.0)	348 (24.6)	432 (28.3)	313 (28.2)	346 (28.5)	7.8 (-2.7, 19.9)
Small	7,467 (44.0)	99 (27.5)	493 (33.4)	770 (36.0)	983 (41.8)	1,509 (49.5)	1,090 (46.6)	649 (45.8)	707 (46.4)	550 (49.6)	617 (50.8)	19.9* (10.1, 30.7)
Micro and unknown	1,555 (9.2)	45 (12.5)	151 (10.2)	190 (8.9)	239 (10.2)	253 (8.3)	160 (6.8)	111 (7.8)	178 (11.7)	89 (8.0)	139 (11.4)	8.7 (-12.5, 27.6)
Gender												
Male	14,905 (87.7)	297 (82.5)	1,283 (86.8)	1,843 (86.1)	2,038 (86.7)	2,701 (88.4)	2,029 (86.7)	1,265 (89.3)	1,349 (88.5)	1,002 (90.4)	1,098 (90.4)	12.8* (4.4, 22.6)
Female	2,082 (12.3)	63 (17.5)	195 (13.2)	297 (13.9)	312 (13.3)	356 (11.6)	310 (13.3)	151 (10.7)	175 (11.5)	106 (9.6)	117 (9.6)	5.4 (-6.2, 19.1)
Age (year)												
<30	1,375 (8.1)	67 (18.6)	172 (11.6)	236 (11.0)	243 (10.3)	261 (8.5)	176 (7.5)	69 (4.9)	80 (5.2)	33 (3.0)	38 (3.1)	-10.7* (-16.9, -4.3)
30–39	4,192 (24.7)	116 (32.2)	469 (31.7)	578 (27.0)	629 (26.8)	769 (25.2)	553 (23.6)	318 (22.5)	357 (23.4)	197 (17.8)	206 (17.0)	4.5 (-7.7, 18.3)
40–49	7,679 (45.2)	133 (36.9)	649 (43.9)	986 (46.1)	1,102 (46.9)	1,436 (47.0)	1,111 (47.5)	649 (45.8)	646 (42.4)	493 (44.5)	474 (39.0)	13.1* (5.2, 21.4)
≥50	3,741 (22.0)	44 (12.2)	188 (12.7)	340 (15.9)	376 (16.0)	591 (19.3)	499 (21.3)	380 (26.8)	441 (28.9)	385 (34.7)	497 (40.9)	27.7* (18.8, 39.0)
Duration of occupational noise exposure (year)												
<3	6,076 (35.8)	197 (54.7)	794 (53.7)	1,122 (52.4)	1,268 (54.0)	1,494 (48.9)	875 (37.4)	144 (10.2)	117 (7.7)	32 (2.9)	33 (2.7)	-23.3* (-37.4, -4.4)
3–5	3,682 (21.7)	49 (13.6)	275 (18.6)	340 (15.9)	378 (16.1)	560 (18.3)	491 (21.0)	422 (29.8)	471 (30.9)	332 (30.0)	364 (30.0)	22.4* (10.7, 36.3)
6–8	2,297 (13.5)	46 (12.8)	147 (9.9)	232 (10.8)	229 (9.7)	349 (11.4)	321 (13.7)	264 (18.6)	284 (18.6)	220 (19.9)	205 (16.9)	18.6* (6, 32.6)
≥9	4,932 (29.0)	68 (18.9)	262 (17.7)	446 (20.8)	475 (20.2)	654 (21.4)	652 (27.9)	586 (41.4)	652 (42.8)	524 (47.3)	613 (50.5)	26.1* (17.2, 37.8)
Ownership type of identification institutions												
Public Institution	14,595 (85.9)	360 (100.0)	1,473 (99.7)	2,095 (97.9)	2,215 (94.3)	2,702 (88.4)	1,905 (81.4)	1,154 (81.5)	1,123 (73.7)	767 (69.2)	801 (65.9)	6.7 (-0.9, 15.9)
Private Institution	2,392 (14.1)	0 (0.0)	5 (0.3)	45 (2.1)	135 (5.7)	355 (11.6)	434 (18.6)	262 (18.5)	401 (26.3)	341 (30.8)	414 (34.1)	107.5* (85.4, 134)
Classification of identification institutions												
Occupational Disease Prevention and Treatment Institution	3,187 (18.8)	60 (16.7)	314 (21.2)	585 (27.3)	560 (23.8)	438 (14.3)	328 (14.0)	243 (17.2)	263 (17.3)	215 (19.4)	181 (14.9)	9.9* (4.0, 15.6)
CDC	4,298 (25.3)	171 (47.5)	664 (44.9)	724 (33.8)	612 (26.0)	661 (21.6)	618 (26.4)	315 (22.2)	270 (17.7)	129 (11.6)	134 (11.0)	-4.8 (-16.2, 7.7)
Hospital	8,318 (49.0)	127 (35.3)	467 (31.6)	785 (36.7)	1,082 (46.0)	1,876 (61.4)	1,134 (48.5)	776 (54.8)	812 (53.3)	579 (52.3)	680 (56.0)	15.3* (2.8, 30.8)
Occupational Health Station	1,184 (7.0)	2 (0.6)	33 (2.2)	46 (2.1)	96 (4.1)	82 (2.7)	259 (11.1)	82 (5.8)	179 (11.7)	185 (16.7)	220 (18.1)	61.5* (29.3, 94.6)

Continued

Characteristics	Total	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	AAPC (95% CI)
Certification of identification institutions												
OHE Institution	12,241	250	886	1257	1,618	2,451	1,840	1,058	1,139	788	954	10.5
	(72.1)	(69.4)	(59.9)	(58.7)	(68.9)	(80.2)	(78.7)	(74.7)	(74.7)	(71.1)	(78.5)	(-4.8, 28.2)
Occupational Disease Diagnostic Institution	4,746	110	592	883	732	606	499	358	385	320	261	6.4
	(27.9)	(30.6)	(40.1)	(41.3)	(31.1)	(19.8)	(21.3)	(25.3)	(25.3)	(28.9)	(21.5)	(-2, 15.5)
Total	16,987	360	1,478	2,140	2,350	3,057	2,339	1,416	1,524	1,108	1,215	11.8*
	(100.0)	(100.0)	(100.0)	(100.0)	(100.0)	(100.0)	(100.0)	(100.0)	(100.0)	(100.0)	(100.0)	(2.9, 22.3)

Abbreviation: AAPC=Average annual percentage change

\*  $P < 0.05$ 

† 8 cases from the industry of public administration, social security, and social organizations are not classified by registration type and scale.

TABLE 2. Suspected ONID initiation of occupational disease diagnostic procedure and diagnostic confirmation rates of ONID in Guangdong Province, 2020–2023.

Characteristics	Total of suspected ONID	Initiation of occupational Disease diagnostic procedure			Diagnosis of ONID		
		No	Yes	Initiation rate, %	No	Yes	Diagnostic confirmation rate, %
Year							
2020	1,416	894	522	36.9	242	280	53.6
2021	1,524	868	656	43.0	299	357	54.4
2022	1,108	490	618	55.8	358	260	42.1
2023	1,215	636	579	47.7	314	265	45.8
Ownership type of identification institutions							
Public Institution	3,845	2,077	1,768	46.0	872	896	50.7
Private Institution	1,418	811	607	42.8	341	266	43.8
Certification of identification institutions							
OHE Institution	3,939	2,266	1,673	42.5	889	784	46.9
Occupational disease diagnostic institution	1,324	622	702	53.0	324	378	53.8
Classification of identification institutions							
Occupational disease prevention and treatment institution	902	393	509	56.4	246	263	51.7
CDC	848	446	402	47.4	184	218	54.2
Hospital	2,847	1,688	1,159	40.7	623	536	46.2
Outpatient health station	666	361	305	45.8	160	145	47.5
Total	5,263	2,888	2,375	45.1	1,213	1,162	48.9

Abbreviation: ONID=occupational noise-induced deafness.

highest initiation rate (56.4%), while CDC maintained the highest confirmation rate (54.2%). Although hospitals identified the largest proportion of cases (54.1%, 2,847/5,263), they recorded the lowest initiation (40.7%) and confirmation (46.2%) rates.

## DISCUSSION

Throughout the decade from 2014 to 2023, suspected ONID cases maintained a predominant position among occupational diseases in Guangdong Province despite experiencing a decline in absolute numbers after peaking in 2018. This pattern

underscores noise as the primary occupational hazard in the region. The geographical distribution revealed that 87.9% of cases were concentrated in the Pearl River Delta, with manufacturing industries accounting for 90.1% of all suspected cases. This concentration aligns with the Pearl River Delta's status as a globally significant manufacturing hub, which inherently concentrates on occupational noise exposure risks (10–11). The demographic analysis revealed a strong male predominance among cases, attributable to both physiological differences in auditory sensitivity and the higher proportion of males in occupational settings with elevated noise exposure (12–14). A notable

temporal trend emerged in cases with exposure durations of less than 3 years, showing a sharp decline after 2018. This reduction directly resulted from a clarification issued by the National Health and Family Planning Commission in late 2017, which specified that the 3-year continuous work tenure requirement for ONID diagnosis should be calculated based on calendar days, inclusive of overtime. The institutional landscape evolved significantly during the study period, with occupational health examination institutions increasing from 140 to over 290, marked by substantial growth in private sector participation. By 2023, private institutions conducted 42.5% of occupational health examinations but identified only 34.1% of suspected ONID cases. This discrepancy suggests potential systemic issues, including insufficient technical capacity, inadequate expertise, or suboptimal quality control processes in some private institutions. Furthermore, economic considerations might influence certain private institutions to apply less stringent diagnostic standards, potentially leading to the underdiagnosis of suspected ONID cases (15).

Despite an increasing trend in diagnostic procedure initiation rates that peaked in 2022, the overall initiation rate remained low at 45.1%. This improvement reflects enhanced emphasis from health administration authorities and the implementation of the national standard GBZ/T 325-2022, which mandates employers to arrange occupational disease diagnosis within 30 days for suspected cases. However, several factors contribute to the persistently low initiation rate. First, workers with minor hearing impairments often avoid formal diagnosis, fearing that an ONID diagnosis might limit future employment opportunities. Some workers deliberately maintain their “suspected ONID” status to preserve associated benefits. A nationwide study (16) revealed that 27.9% of undiagnosed cases were attributed to worker reluctance. Second, informal compensation agreements between employers and workers frequently circumvent the formal diagnostic process. Additionally, some occupational disease identification institutions fail to properly notify workers of their suspected ONID status. Finally, insufficient regulatory enforcement regarding timely diagnostic arrangements by employers remains a significant barrier.

The confirmation rate of 48.9% for suspected ONID cases aligns with the national average of 46.79% (16). Initial screening identifies suspected cases based on specific audiometric criteria: binaural high-frequency average hearing threshold (BHFTA)  $\geq 40$  dB

and a monaural threshold mean value (MTMV)  $\geq 26$  dB in the better-hearing ear. However, diagnostic confirmation follows a more stringent protocol requiring a mandatory week-long cessation of noise exposure, followed by three pure-tone audiometry tests conducted at minimum three-day intervals. The final diagnosis adheres to GBZ49-2014 standards, based on the lowest thresholds from these tests. Two primary factors contribute to the relatively low confirmation rate: hearing threshold recovery in borderline cases following noise exposure cessation, and the mandatory requirement of three years' continuous occupational noise exposure. This duration requirement can result in cases where workers exhibit diagnostic-level hearing loss but fail to receive ONID confirmation due to insufficient exposure duration.

A significant disparity in confirmation rates exists between occupational disease diagnostic institutions (53.8%) and OHE institutions (46.9%). This difference likely stems from varying diagnostic approaches: OHE physicians tend to classify cases as suspected ONID when any potential relationship between hearing loss and noise exposure exists, resulting in higher case identification but lower confirmation rates. In contrast, occupational disease diagnostic institutions adhere more rigorously to GBZ 49-2014 criteria, leading to fewer but more precisely identified suspected cases and, consequently higher confirmation rates.

This study had two primary limitations. First, the absence of comprehensive data on the total worker population exposed to occupational noise prevented the calculation of suspected ONID incidence rates. Second, the “Occupational Diseases and Health Hazard Factors Monitoring Information System” only began collecting data on occupational disease diagnostic procedures in 2020, creating a significant data gap that precluded analysis of diagnostic processes for suspected ONID cases from 2014 to 2019 and limited our understanding of long-term diagnostic trends.

In conclusion, our study revealed critical insights into the epidemiological characteristics of suspected ONID in Guangdong Province, demonstrating a persistently high occurrence pattern. Priority attention should be directed toward the Pearl River Delta region, manufacturing industries, and domestic-funded enterprises. These evidence-based findings are essential for guiding targeted and effective resource allocation in ONID prevention and control efforts. Our analysis also highlighted significant concerns, particularly the

low initiation rates of diagnostic procedures and suboptimal diagnostic confirmation rates for suspected ONID cases. To address these challenges, we recommend: 1) developing expert consensus guidelines for identifying suspected ONID; 2) implementing regular quality assessments by health administrative departments; 3) strengthening the capacity and quality control measures of private occupational health examination institutions; 4) conducting comprehensive occupational health promotion activities to enhance awareness of ONID risks and the importance of early diagnosis; and 5) establishing more robust regulatory oversight of diagnostic procedure initiation for suspected ONID cases. These integrated measures are expected to enhance the overall management and prevention of ONID in Guangdong Province and potentially inform occupational health policies throughout China.

**Conflicts of interest:** No conflicts of interest.

**Funding:** Supported by the National Key Clinical Specialty Discipline Construction Program of China (2011-09), Guangzhou Science and Technology Bureau Biomedical Industry Innovation Subsidy, Key scientific research projects of Guangdong Province Hospital for Occupational Disease Prevention and Treatment, 2023 Joint Research Center for Institute of Health and Medicine, Hefei Comprehensive National Science Center Research Project (OMH-2023-19), and the Guangdong Special Support Program for Leading Talents.

doi: 10.46234/ccdcw2024.275

# Corresponding author: Xudong Li, lixd116@126.com.

<sup>1</sup> Guangdong Province Hospital for Occupational Disease Prevention and Treatment, Guangzhou City, Guangdong Province, China.

Submitted: August 17, 2024

Accepted: December 16, 2024

Issued: December 27, 2024

## REFERENCES

- Lie A, Skogstad M, Johannessen HA, Tynes T, Mehlum IS, Nordby KC, et al. Occupational noise exposure and hearing: a systematic review. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 2016;89(3):351 – 72. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00420-015-1083-5>.
- Sun X. Occupational noise exposure and worker's health in China. *China CDC Wkly* 2021;3(18):375 – 7. <https://doi.org/10.46234/ccdcw2021.102>.
- National Health and Family Planning Commission. GBZ 49-2014 Diagnosis of occupational noise-induced deafness. Beijing: Standards Press of China, 2015. <http://www.csres.com/detail/251031.html>. (In Chinese).
- National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China. GBZ/T 325-2022 Identification standard for suspected occupational disease. Beijing: Standards Press of China, 2022. <http://www.csres.com/detail/377641.html>. (In Chinese).
- General Office of the National Health Commission, General Affairs Department of the National Administration of Disease Prevention and Control. Notice on further standardizing the management of occupational health examinations and occupational disease diagnosis. [https://www.gov.cn/zhengce/zhengceku/202307/content\\_6890675.htm](https://www.gov.cn/zhengce/zhengceku/202307/content_6890675.htm). [2024-8-16]. (In Chinese).
- Zhou SY, Wen XZ, Hu SJ, Huang YS, Chen JB. Suspected occupational diseases report and diagnostic situation follow-up in Guangdong Province, 2014-2017. *China Occup Med* 2018;45(6):708 – 12. <https://doi.org/10.11763/j.issn.2095-2619.2018.06.009>.
- Chen YJ, Zhang P, Tang YH, Liu J. Analysis on the incidence and diagnosis of suspected occupational noise-induced deafness in Nanjing from 2015 to 2022. *J Southeast Univ (Med Sci Ed)* 2023;42(6):865 – 71. <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1671-6264.2023.06.008>.
- Kim HJ, Fay MP, Feuer EJ, Midthune DN. Permutation tests for joinpoint regression with applications to cancer rates. *Statist Med* 2000;19(3):335 – 51. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0258\(20000215\)19:3<335::AID-SIM336>3.0.CO;2-Z](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0258(20000215)19:3<335::AID-SIM336>3.0.CO;2-Z).
- Chen L, Wang LP, Xing Y, Xie JQ, Su BB, Geng MJ, et al. Disparity in spectrum of infectious diseases between in-school and out-of-school children, adolescents, and youths in China: findings from a successive national surveillance from 2013 to 2021. *Lancet Reg Health West Pac* 2023;38:100811. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lanwpc.2023.100811>.
- Zhou JN, Shi ZN, Zhou L, Hu Y, Zhang MB. Occupational noise-induced hearing loss in China: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open* 2020;10(9):e039576. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-039576>.
- Zhao DY, Feng KS, Sun LX, Baiocchi G, Liu HT. Environmental implications of economic transformation in China's pearl river delta region: dynamics at four nested geographical scales over 1987-2017. *Sci Total Environ* 2022;816:151631. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.151631>.
- Seixas NS, Neitzel R, Stover B, Sheppard L, Feeney P, Mills D, et al. 10-Year prospective study of noise exposure and hearing damage among construction workers. *Occup Environ Med* 2012;69(9):643 – 50. <https://doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2011-100578>.
- International Organization for Standardization. ISO 1999:2013 Acoustics—Estimation of noise-induced hearing loss. Geneva: ISO. <https://www.iso.org/cms/%20render/live/en/sites/isoorg/contents/data/standard/04/51/45103.html?browse=tc>.
- Chen KH, Su SB, Chen KT. An overview of occupational noise-induced hearing loss among workers: epidemiology, pathogenesis, and preventive measures. *Environ Health Prev Med* 2020;25(1):65. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12199-020-00906-0>.
- Li XY, Huang RY, Xiao MH, Tan XW, Xu LY, Yang AC, et al. Analysis of the on-site quality assessment of occupational medical examination institutions in Guangdong Province in 2023. *Chin Occup Med* 2024;51(3):308 – 14. <https://doi.org/10.20001/j.issn.2095-2619.20240611>.
- Wang D, Li XX, Liu AQ, Wu JH, Wang HF, Hu WJ. Analysis on distribution characteristics and diagnosis of reported suspected occupational diseases in China from 2020 to 2021. *Ind Health Occup Dis* 2024;50(4):294 – 301. <https://doi.org/10.13692/j.cnki.gywszyby.2024.04.002>.