

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Larger cohorts and, importantly, experiments to elucidate the mechanisms driving any associations, are indeed required to conclude the precise role of these HLA associations in anti-TNF immunogenicity. Until such time as those analyses and experiments are completed, we strongly recommend against the use of DQA1*05 2D genotyping for data interrogation and/or for any clinical decisions.⁸

REBECCA D. POWELL DOHERTY

Centre for Cellular and Molecular Physiology Wellcome Centre for Human Genetics, and Translational Gastroenterology Unit Experimental Medicine Nuffield Department of Medicine University of Oxford Oxford, UK

HANQING LIAO

Centre for Cellular and Molecular Physiology Wellcome Centre for Human Genetics, and Jenner Institute Nuffield Department of Medicine University of Oxford Oxford, UK

JACK J. SATSANGI

Translational Gastroenterology Unit Experimental Medicine Nuffield Department of Medicine University of Oxford Oxford, UK

NICOLA TERNETTE

Centre for Cellular and Molecular Physiology Wellcome Centre for Human Genetics, and Jenner Institute Nuffield Department of Medicine University of Oxford Oxford, UK

References:

- Sazonovs A, Ahmad T, Anderson CA. Gastroenterology 2021;160:470–471.
- Powell Doherty R, Liao H, et al. Gastroenterology 2020; 159:784–787.
- 3. Sazonovs A, et al. Gastroenterology 2020;158:189-199.
- 4. Reich DE, et al. Nature 2001;411:199-204.
- 5. Stephens JC, et al. Science 2001;293:489-493.
- 6. Begovich AB, et al. J Immunol 1992;148:249–258.
- 7. Cullen M, et al. Am J Hum Genet 1995;56:1350-1358.
- 8. Tokić S, et al. Sci Rep 2020;10:5513.

Conflicts of interest

The authors disclose no conflicts.



Balancing Risks and Benefits in Inflammatory Bowel Disease Patients during the COVID-19 Pandemic



Dear Editors:

We read with great interest the article by Norsa et al¹ about the outcome of patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) during the novel coronavirus isease-2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in northern Italy.¹ From a total of 522 patients with IBD followed in the unit in Bergamo (22% under use of immunomodulators or steroids and 16% with biologics), there were no reported cases of COVID-19 infection after a follow-up period of approximately 1 month, with no treatment modification or interruption.

Patients with IBD are often being treated with immunomodulators and/or biological agents, which decrease systemic immunity and can possibly increase exposure for viral infections. Therefore, the daily management of patients with IBD can also be affected by the COVID-19 pandemic, as measures towards improved safety and reduced risk of contamination can be undertaken² Any diagnostic or therapeutic intervention in the COVID-19 era can have consequences for patients with IBD.

Social isolation, especially for patients with IBD, which was undertaken in several countries across the globe, aimed to decrease personal travelling and dislocation. Patients in rural areas have to travel to infusion clinics to maintain their therapy. Outpatient visits are usually limited to emergency situations, and the shift to the use of telemedicine in stable patients was a natural consequence of this whole process. Additionally, the interruption of biological therapy, or the switching of intravenous to subcutaneous agents, which are not suggested by IBD experts, aims to keep patients at home and avoid visits to the infusion clinic. These measures can decrease the risk of contamination of COVID-19, but can also increase the risk of an IBD flare with all related consequences. Reorganization of IBD units around the globe needs to be undertaken to face this new reality.2,3

Other measures such as delaying the initiation of biological therapy were also speculated in social media and expert discussions. This could theoretically decrease the risk of viral infections, but could also increase the risk of disease progression. Delaying disease monitoring, with colonoscopies or fecal calprotectin could also increase the possibility of relapse, as a disconnection of IBD-related symptoms and objective parameters is notable in both Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis. Delaying elective surgical procedures, aiming for a decrease in the exposure of patients to a possibly contaminated environment, can also lead to disease complications such as the development of stenosis or perforation.

Figure 1 illustrates several interventions in IBD management during the COVID-19 era that may have positive and negative consequences for patients with IBD. Even

January 2021 Correspondence 473

Difficult decisions in IBD management during COVID-19 era: possible consequences

Possibility of IBD flare and disease complications		Negative	Actions for IBD patients	Positive		
		Lower adherence to medication	Telemedicine consultations	No need to go/travel to outpatient clinics		Reduced risk of COVID-19 contamination
		Increase in immune resistance	Stopping biologics and immunomodulators	Reduction in systemic immune suppression		
		Loss of efficacy of medical therapy and response	Avoiding IV biologics and switching to SC	No need to go/travel to infusion clinics		
		Possibility of disease progression and complications	Delaying initiation of biological therapy	Reduction in systemic immune suppression		
		Inadequate monitoring	Delaying elective colonoscopy and laboratory tests	No invasive procedures in possibly contaminated environment		
		Possibility of complications and extension	Delaying elective surgical procedures	No invasive procedures in possibly contaminated environment		

Figure 1. Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) therapeutic interventions and possible negative and positive consequences during the novel coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) era. IV, intravenously; SC, subcutaneously.

though decisions in terms of medical therapy could decrease the risk of COVID-19 infection, these measures could also increase the risk of an IBD flare and disease progression. The same is true for surgical procedures, because patients who undergo elective procedures can have an increased risk of severe COVID-19-related complications.⁶

In the COVID-19 era, the prognosis of patients with IBD can be significantly influenced by therapeutic interventions. The findings from the article by Norsa et al show that keeping medical therapy in patients with IBD can be a safe strategy, despite the short follow-up period of the study. There is a clear need for an individualized approach of IBD interventions in this difficult moment of COVID-19 global pandemic.² Our generation of IBD physicians is learning how to balance difficult decisions at the epicenter of the problem. Involving patients in shared decision making can improve the possibilities of having no negative consequences during this difficult moment for mankind.

PAULO GUSTAVO KOTZE
IBD Outpatient Clinics
Colorectal Surgery Unit
Catholic University of Paraná (PUCPR)
Curitiba, Brazil

LAURENT PEYRIN-BIROULET Inserm U1256 NGERE Université de Lorraine Nancy, France

SILVIO DANESE
Humanitas Clinical and Research Center - IRCCS
Humanitas University
Department of Biomedical Sciences
Milan, Italy

References

1. Norsa L, et al. Gastroenterology 2020;159:371-372.

- Danese S, et al. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 17:253–255.
- 3. Fiorino G, et al. J Crohns Colitis 2020;14:1330-1333.
- 4. Mao R, et al. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020;5:425-427.
- 5. Spinelli A, Pellino G. Br J Surg 2020;107:785-787.
- Lei S, et al. EClinicalMedicine 2020;21:100331.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have made the following disclosures: P.G.K has been a lecturer for AbbVie, Janssen, Pfizer, and Takeda, and is a member of the advisory board of AbbVie, Pfizer, and Takeda. L.P.-B. has received consulting fees from AbbVie, Amgen, Biogaran, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Celltrion, Ferring, Genentech, HAC Pharma, Hospira, Index Pharmaceuticals, Janssen, Lilly, Merck, Mitsubishi, Norgine, Pfizer, Pharmacosmos, Pilege, Sandoz, Takeda, Therakos, Tillotts, UCB Pharma, and Vifor, and lecture fees from AbbVie, Ferring, HAC Pharma, Janssen, Merck, Mitsubishi, Norgine, Takeda, Therakos, Tillotts, and Vifor. S.D. has served as a speaker, consultant, and advisory board member for Schering- Plough, AbbVie, Merck Sharp & Dohme, UCB Pharma, Ferring, Cellerix, Takeda Pharmaceutical Company, Nycomed, Pharmacosmos, Actelion, Alpha Wasserman, Genentech, Grünenthal, Pfizer, AstraZeneca, Novo Nordisk, Cosmo Pharmaceuticals, Vifor, Johnson & Johnson, and Nikkiso Europe GmbH.



Most current article

https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2020.04.075

Inflammatory Bowel Disease and the SARS-CoV-2 Pandemic: More Speed, Less Haste



Dear Editors:

The ongoing severe acute respiratory syndrome novel coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) pandemic is one of the greatest medical challenges of the modern era. This emergency raises several questions for patients with chronic diseases, including inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). Do patients with IBD present