ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Determinants of Frequency and Content of Antenatal Care in Postnatal Mothers in Arba Minch Zuria District, SNNPR, Ethiopia, 2019

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal: International Journal of Women's Health

Dereje Haile¹ Aklilu Habte² Biruk Bogale³

¹Reproductive Health Department, School of Public Health, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Wolaita Sodo University, Sodo, Southern Ethiopia, Ethiopia; ²Reproductive Health Department, School of Public Health, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Wachamo University, Hosana, Southern Ethiopia, Ethiopia; ³Department of Public Health, College of Medicine and Health Science, Mizan Tepi Univeristy, Tepi, Southern Ethiopia, Ethiopia **Background:** Antenatal care is one of the strategies for reducing maternal morbidity and mortality directly by affording increased chances of early detection of high-risk pregnancies. WHO recommends a minimum of four ANC visits. In order to make it effective, monitoring of the content and quality of the ANC is needed. However, a number of studies focus on the frequency of ANC, and evidence on core contents of the ANC was limited in this study area. The aim of this study was to determine factors associated with content of the ANC.

Methods and Materials: A cross-sectional study design was employed to collect data from a total of 432 respondents by using a semi-structured questionnaire. Data were entered in EpiData version 3.1 and exported to SPSS version 20 for analysis. Both binary logistic regression and generalized linear regression with Poisson type were applied to determine factors associated with frequency of the ANC and core contents of ANC, respectively.

Results: The majority of the mothers (78.7%) visited first ANC lately, and 25.2% of mothers attended \geq 4 ANC visits. Only 17.1% of mothers received all the eight selected elements of ANC services. Predictors of the core contents of the ANC were the frequencies of ANC (AOR: 0.84 (95%CI: 0.76–0.93), pre-pregnancy utilization of contraception (0.63 (95%CI: 0.55–0.72)), pregnancy desire (AOR: 0.82 (95%CI: 0.71–0.94), and birth preparedness and complication readiness (AOR: 0.90 (95%CI: 0.82–0.98).

Conclusion: This study found that low level of WHO minimum recommended ANC and core contents of the ANC. This study suggests that identifying pregnant mothers early increases frequency of the ANC, which improves core content of care.

Keywords: antenatal care, content of care, Ethiopia

Background

Despite progress and efforts made to tackle maternal and neonatal mortality and morbidity they remain an urgent concern and become a major public health problem in low income countries.^{1,2} Globally, near to 100% of maternal deaths occurred in developing countries and out of this, around two third deaths occurred in sub-Saharan Africa.^{1,3} However, the majority of these deaths can be prevented with cost effective interventions.⁴

Evidence has shown that antenatal care (ANC) can improve pregnancy outcomes through implementation of the WHO recommended strategies like early recognition and detection of pregnancy danger signs, birth preparedness and complication readiness, offering of preventive measures like TT vaccination, iron provision, and so on.^{5,6} Although ANC in developing countries has been applied

Correspondence: Dereje Haile Email derehaile2010@gmail.com



International Journal of Women's Health 2020:12 953-964

953

© 2020 Haile et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php and incorporate the Creative Commons Attribution – Non Commercial (unported, v3.0). License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-md/3.0). By accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

Dovepress

for a long time and has made observable achievements, most ANC programs established in low and middle income countries are largely underachieved. According to the WHO 2015 report, in high-income countries, almost all women have at least four ANC visits, while only 40% of all pregnant women in low-income countries had the recommended ANC visits.⁷ As per a 2016 EDHS report, only 32% of pregnant women utilized the recommended ANC visits in Ethiopia.³ Recently, a number of studies reported that attending ANC before 16 weeks as a predictor for adequate ANC utilization even if it is a challenging issue.^{8,9}

The WHO recommends a minimum of four visits of ANC to improve maternal and newborn health.¹⁰ Despite that previous studies have revealed that, in addition to the number of ANC visits, the essential services covered by ANC visits greatly affect the effectiveness of the ANC.^{11,12} In order to make it effective, monitoring of the content and quality of ANC is needed, which include blood pressure measurement, tetanus toxoid vaccination, urine testing, iron tablet supplementation, body weight measurement, HIV testing and counseling about danger signs.^{13,14} However, a number of studies from around the globe reported very low level of content of ANC^{15–18} even if it increases utilization of subsequent services such as maternal, newborn, and the child health continuum of care like skilled birth attendants and postnatal care.^{19–21}

Ethiopian guidelines on prenatal care recommends a minimum of four ANC visit and quality service for women who experience positive pregnancy. It also recommends weight measurement, blood pressure measurement, blood, and urine sample examination, minimum of two doses of tetanus toxoid vaccination, HIV test, counseling on danger signs and nutrition, iron (90+) provision to all pregnant women through respectful maternity care. However, according to the Ethiopia Demographic Health Survey (2016) report, it is underutilized. For instance, 49% of women's last births were protected against neonatal tetanus, 75% blood pressure measured, 73% blood sample taken, 66% Urine sample taken, and 66% were counseled about nutrition.³ However, there were no data on percentages of mothers who received all the essential elements of ANC care. Furthermore, the majority of studies in subnational level, mainly focused on timing and frequency of ANC visits rather than the content of ANC care.^{8,9,22–24} In addition, in this study area, there was limited evidence regarding the level and factors of content of ANC. Thus, the aim of this study was to assess the magnitude and factors associated with frequency of the ANC, and to identify factors that facilitate or hinder the provision of the full contents of ANC.

Methods and Materials Study Area

The study was conducted at Arba Minch Zuria Woreda, Gamo Zone, Southern Ethiopia, 454 km south of Addis Ababa, the capital city of Ethiopia. The study area has a total of 29 kebeles, of which, two are semi-urban and the remaining 27 are rural.

Study Design and Period

A community based cross-sectional study was conducted from 15 February to 15 March 2019.

Population

The source populations for this study were all postnatal (1–42 days postpartum) women in Arba Minch Zuria Woreda. The study populations were all postnatal (1–42 days postpartum) women who had at least one ANC visit in nine randomly selected kebeles of the Arba Minch Zuria district. Those women reside for <6 months and are critically ill will be excluded from this study.

Sample Size Determination

The single population proportion formula was used to determine sample size for this study. Twenty-two percent proportion of the overall contents of the ANC were obtained from a study in Bangladesh, and assuming 95% confidence level, 5% margin of error, design effect of 1.5, and 10% of nonresponse rate. The final sample size for this study was 436.¹⁵

Sampling Technique

To obtain the sampling frame, we used secondary data from health facilities. A total of 1316 postnatal women registered at different health facilities were used as sampling frame. A simple random sampling technique using a computer generated number was used to select a total of 436 eligible women in this study.

Data Collection Techniques, Tools and Personnel's

Data were collected by nine diploma nurses and supervised by three public health officers. Both data collectors and supervisors were given a one-day intensive training on the data collection methods and instruments. All data were collected from women using an interviewer-administered questionnaire. The questionnaire was adapted from an EDHS tool, other related published literature and after considering constructing from Anderson's model for health care seeking.^{3,25} The household wealth index tool was adapted from EDHS.³ The houses of women were assessed by a local guide. Before data collection, pretesting was conducted on 5% of the sample (41 women) outside the study area. After conducting pretest, some modifications were made to alter unclear and confusing questions.

Data Quality Management

Training was given to the data collection team by the team of investigators with particular focus on the content of the questionnaire. A practical role-play on interviewing skills was exchanged between the data collection team. The questionnaire was initially prepared in English and translated to the local language by an expert in the language and finally back-translated by another expert to English to check its consistency with the original meanings. The final questionnaire in the local language was used for data collection. To minimize social desirability bias, women were interviewed in a separate private place in their own household compound.

Data Analysis

The data were analyzed using univariate (frequency distribution), bivariate and multivariate statistical methods. In univariate analysis, percentages were calculated for categorical variables. Chi-squared test was used for checking statistical significance for categorical variables in bivariate analyses.

For the content of ANC received which was the primary outcome variable in this study, ANOVA was used to check statistical significance. Multivariate statistical analyses using generalized linear models (GLM) approach were carried out to identify the determinants of content of ANC.²⁶ Since the contents of the ANC were count variable, Poisson regression was used. When checking assumption for Poisson regression model, it fulfills assumption of equal dispersion. Because of that Poisson regression was used in this study. Finally, the odd ratios and its 95%CI were used to report the statistical significance of the independent variables. The statistical software packages SPSS 20 is used for all statistical analysis.

For frequency of ANC, bivariate binary logistic regression analysis was done, and variables with p-value <0.25

was considered as a candidate for a multivariate logistic regression model. In multivariate logistic regression, variables with *p*-value <0.05 were reported as statistically significant. The association between frequency of ANC and explanatory variables was reported with AOR and its 95%CI.

Measurements of Variables Dependent Variable

Primary response variable: content of care received during ANC visit content of care received during ANC: eight essential elements of ANC services were included in this study; blood pressure measurement, blood sample collection, urine sample collection, weight measurement, tetanus toxoid (TT2+) vaccination, iron folate (90+) supplementation, HIV testing, health education on danger signs and nutrition. The variable was measured as composite variable and had 0 and eight minimum and maximum scores, respectively. If the mother scored zero, she did not use any services and if she scored eight, she had used all services during ANC.^{15,16,27,28}

Contents of antenatal care received during pregnancy were assessed as questions like "Was your weight measured? Was your blood pressure measured?". The answers were recorded as Yes or No.

Secondary response variable: frequency of antenatal care is a dichotomous variable which was measured as whether mothers had four or more ANC visit or not.^{28,29}

Independent Variables

Household wealth index: a composite indicator of socioeconomic status of women derived using principal component analysis based on information from housing characteristics and ownership of household durable goods. In this study, the factor scores of the first component were divided into quintiles.

Autonomy in household decision making: a woman was said to have autonomous decision-making power on seeking MNH service if she alone or jointly (with her husband) decides on seeking MNH services; otherwise (husband alone or a third person decides on seeking MNH services) she was categorized as nonautonomous.³⁰

Knowledge on key pregnancy danger signs: women were classified as knowledgeable if they spontaneously mentioned at least two of the four key danger signs of pregnancy (vaginal bleeding, severe headache, blurring of vision and feet or face swelling) if not they were classified as not knowledgeable.³¹

955

Women were classified under "well prepared" for birth and its complications when they reported that they have implemented five or more components of birth preparedness and complication readiness (BPCR) otherwise she was classified under "not well prepared". The components of BPCR considered in this study were identified place for birth, identified birth attendants, saved money, identified emergency transportation, identified labor and birth companion, identified blood donors if needed, and identified caregiver to children at home when the mother was away.^{32,33}

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

Before the study was conducted ethical clearance was obtained from Arba Minch University, College of Medicine and Health Sciences institutional review board (IRB). Written informed consent was obtained from study participants for those aged 18 and above. For those participants, less than 18 years of age written informed consent was obtained from a parent or guardian using standard disclosure procedures. The confidentiality and privacy of participants were actively protected. All participants were assigned a unique identification number. Every effort was made to emphasize the voluntariness of this study and decisions to stop or discontinue in the study was respected. We confirmed that the study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Results

Characteristics of Mothers

Table 1. Shows the sociodemographic characteristics of the respondents, the majority of mothers aged under 35 (87.2%), married (96.3%), protestants (68.6%), more than 33% of mothers were unable to read and write, and only 16.2% were educated secondary grade. Regarding the accessibility of health services, most of the mothers walked as a means of transport (83.1%), around half (51.4%) were insured under community based health insurance system, 79.6% were made decision by both themselves and their husbands.

Level of Antenatal Care Utilization

Table 2 shows obstetric characteristics of the study participants. The majority of the mothers (78.7%) visited the first ANC late, more than three quarters attended ANC 1–3 times (75.8%), and had attended ANC at the health center (58.5%). Most of the mothers had parity 1 or 2 (70%), had

Table I Sociodemographic Characteristics of Postnatal Mothers

Age (n=432) 18–24 25–29 30–34 235 93 21.5 25–29 235 21.5 25 Marital status (n=432) Single/divorced/ widowed Married 16 9.3 20.3 Religion (n=432) Orthodox Protestant Others 255 30.8 60.6 Maternal educational status (n=432) Unable to read or write Able to read or write Primary level of education Secondary and above 51 11.8 7.1 Husband's educational status (n=432) Unemployed Employed 267 61.8 30.2 Husband's educational status (n=432) Unemployed Employed 267 61.8 30.2 Husband's educational status (n=432) Unemployed Employed 267 61.8 30.2 Husband's educational status (n=432) Unemployed Employed 57 13.2 Husband's educational status (n=432) Lowest Note 57 13.2 Husband's educational status (n=432) Lowest No 57 13.2 Means of transportation to health facilities (n=432) By motorcycle/car On foot 73 16.9 Perceived required time to reach health facilities (n=432) S0 min 252 58.3 Perceived required time to reach health facilities (n=432) Yes No	Respondents Characteristics	n	%	
$25-29$ $30-34$ ≥ 35 197 88 20.4 235 45.6 88 20.4 235 Marital status (n=432)Single/divorced/ widowed Married16 3.7 widowed MarriedReligion (n=432)Orthodox Protestant Others255 30.8 568 Maternal educational status (n=432)Unable to read or write Able to read or write Primary level of education Secondary and above173 40 write Able to read or write 155 35.9 35.9 education 155 35.9 35.9 Husband's educational status (n=432)Unemployed write Able to read or write Able to read or wri				
30–34 ≥3588 5420.4 12.5Marital status (n=432)Single/divorce/ widowed Married163.7Religion (n=432)Orthodox Protestant Others255 66. 68.6 68.6 0thers30Maternal educational status (n=432)Unable to read or write Primary level of education Secondary and above11.8 11.8 15.5Employment status (n=432)Unemployed write Able to read or write (n=432)267 16.8 16.8 16.9Husband's educational status (n=432)Unemployed write Able to read or write Primary level of education Secondary and above106 17.9Husband's educational status (n=432)Unemployed write Able to read or write Able to read or write <b< td=""><td>Age (n=432)</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td></b<>	Age (n=432)	-		
12355412.5Marital status (n=432)Single/divorced/ widowed Married163.7Religion (n=432)Orthodox Protestant Others25530.8 (8.6) Others3.6Maternal educational status (n=432)Unable to read or write Primary level of education Secondary and above17340.Musennal educational status (n=432)Unemployed Education Secondary and above26761.8 (3.2)Employment status (n=432)Unemployed write Secondary and above26761.8 (3.2)Husband's educational status (n=432)Unemployed write Able to read or write Primary level of education Secondary education and above10614.8 (14.8) <br< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></br<>				
Marital status (n=432)IntermedIntermedIntermedMarital status (n=432)Orthodox Protestant Others25530.8Religion (n=432)Orthodox Protestant Able to read or write Able to read or write Able to read or write Primary level of education Secondary and above17340.Image: Mathematic Status (n=432)Unable to read or write Able to read or write Primary level of education Secondary and above17340.Image: Mathematic Status (n=432)Unemployed Primary level of education Secondary and above6414.8Husband's educational status (n=432)Unable to read or write Able to read or write Able to read or write Highest10624.5Husband's educational status (n=432)Unsewst Secondary education and above5713.2Household wealth status (432)Lowest Primary level of education Secondary education and above5713.2Means of transportation to health facilities (n=432)Sy motorcycle/car No On foot7316.9Perceived required time to reach health facilities (n=432)Sy motorcycle/car No No24.055.6Membership of community (n=432)Yes No No No24.055.147.4Membership of community (n=432)Yes No No No24.051.448.6Momen's autonomy toAutonomous34479.6 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
Number of a point	Manital status (n=422)		_	
Religion (n=432)Orthodox Protestant Others255 S6830.8 S68Maternal educational status (n=432)Unable to read or write Able to read or write Primary level of education Secondary and above173 S140Employment status (n=432)Unemployed Employed267 S161.8 S1Husband's educational status (n=432)Unable to read or write Primary level of education Secondary and above106 S124.5 S1Husband's educational status (n=432)Unable to read or write Primary level of education Secondary education and above106 S124.5 S1Household wealth status (432)Lowest Scondary education and above57 S113.2 S2Means of transportation to health facilities (n=432)By motorcycle/car S10 no foot73 S1 S116.9 S1.3Perceived required time to reach health facilities (n=432)S10 min S10 foot252 S1.3 S1.355.6 S1.3 S1.3Perceived required time to reach health facilities (n=432)Yes No 	Fiantal status (II-432)	•	10	5.7
Protestant Others568 568.6 0.6Maternal educational status (n=432)Unable to read or write Able to read or write Primary level of education Secondary and above173 51 515 515 512 512 513 512 513 514 514 514 515 515 515 514 515 515 514 514 515 514 515 514 515 514 <td></td> <td>Married</td> <td>416</td> <td>96.3</td>		Married	416	96.3
Others50.6Maternal educational status (n=432)Unable to read or write Able to read or write 	Religion (n=432)	Orthodox	255	30.8
Maternal educational status (n=432)Unable to read or write Able to read or write Primary level of education Secondary and above17340Employment status (n=432)Unemployed Employed26761.8Husband's educational status (n=432)Unable to read or write Able to read or write Primary level of education Secondary and above26761.8Husband's educational status (n=432)Unable to read or write Able to read or write Able to read o		Protestant	568	68.6
(n=432)write Able to read or write Primary level of education Secondary and above5111.8Employment status (n=432)Unemployed Employed26761.8Husband's educational status (n=432)Unable to read or write Able to read or write Able to read or write Able to read or write adbe to read or write education Secondary education and above10624.5Husband's educational status (n=432)Unable to read or write Able to read or write adbe to read or write Able to read or write adbe to read or secondary education Secondary education Secondar		Others	5	0.6
Primary level of education Secondary and above15535.9Employment status (n=432)Unemployed Employed26761.8Husband's educational status (n=432)Unable to read or write Able to read or write Able to read or write Able to read or write adbe to read or write Able to read or write adbe to read or write Primary level of education Secondary education and above10624.5Husband's educational status (n=432)Unable to read or write Able to read or write Primary level of education Secondary education Able Secondary education Able13.2Household wealth status (432)Lowest Second Primary level of education Second Able5713.2Means of transportation to health facilities (n=432)By motorcycle/car On foot7316.9Perceived required time to reach health facilities (n=432)<30 min			173	40
education Secondary and above5312.3Employment status (n=432)Unemployed Employed267 16561.8 38.2Husband's educational status (n=432)Unable to read or write Able to read or write Able to read or write Primary level of education Secondary education and above106 44.424.5Household wealth status (432)Lowest Secondary education and above57 13.2 12013.2 27.8Household wealth status (432)Lowest Niddle Highest57 83.113.2 27.8Means of transportation to health facilities (n=432)By motorcycle/car No On foot73 35916.9 35.1Perceived required time to reach health facilities (n=432)<30 min 250 min252 25.6 180 21.155.6 21.20 21.1Membership of community based health insurance (CBHI) (n=432)Yes No No No21.4 21.451.4 21.4Women's autonomy toAutonomous34479.6		Able to read or write	51	11.8
Secondary and above5312.3Employment status (n=432)Unemployed Employed26761.8 (38.2)Husband's educational status (n=432)Unable to read or write Able to read or write Able to read or write Primary level of education Secondary education and above10624.5 (44.4 education Secondary education and above112Household wealth status (432)Lowest Secondary education and above5713.2 (12.0)Means of transportation to health facilities (n=432)By motorcycle/car On foot7316.9 (18.9)Perceived required time to media (radio/TV) (n=432)Yes No No No25258.3 (41.7)Membership of community based health insurance (CBHI) (n=432)Yes Autonomous214 (48.6)47.6Women's autonomy toAutonomous34479.6		Primary level of	155	35.9
Employment status (n=432)Unemployed Employed26761.8 38.2Husband's educational status (n=432)Unable to read or write Able to read or write Able to read or write Primary level of education Secondary education and above10624.5Husbehold wealth status (432)Lowest Secondary education and above5713.2Household wealth status (432)Lowest Secondary education and above5713.2Means of transportation to health facilities (n=432)By motorcycle/car On foot7316.9Perceived required time to reach health facilities (n=432)<30 min >30 min25258.3Kespoure to mass media (radio/TV) (n=432)Yes No No24.444.4Membership of community (n=432)Yes No22.251.4 48.6Women's autonomy toAutonomous34479.6		education		
Employed16538.2Husband's educational status (n=432)Unable to read or write Able to read or write education Secondary education and above10624.5Household wealth status (432)Lowest Second and above5713.2Household wealth status (432)Lowest Second Middle Highest5713.2Means of transportation to health facilities (n=432)By motorcycle/car On foot7316.9Perceived required time to reach health facilities (n=432)<30 min 25258.3 230 min180Exposure to mass media (radio/TV) (n=432)Yes No Once a week240 11555.6 47.9Membership of community (n=432)Yes No222 21.4 21.051.4 48.6Women's autonomy toAutonomous34479.6		Secondary and above	53	12.3
Husband's educational status (n=432)Unable to read or write Able to read or write education Secondary education and above10624.5Household wealth status (432)Lowest Second and above5713.2Household wealth status (432)Lowest Second Highest5713.2Means of transportation to health facilities (n=432)By motorcycle/car On foot7316.9Perceived required time to reach health facilities (n=432)<30 min 230 min25258.3Exposure to mass media (radio/TV) (n=432)Yes No No24055.6Membership of community (n=432)Yes No22251.4Membership of community (n=432)Yes No22251.4Women's autonomy toAutonomous34479.6	Employment status (n=432)	Unemployed	267	61.8
(n=432)write Able to read or write Able to read or write Primary level of education Secondary education and above64 14.8 192 14.4Household wealth status (432)Lowest Second and above57 13.2 27.8 13013.2 27.8 130Household wealth status (432)Lowest Second Middle57 81 18.8 18.8 19.913.2 27.8 14.8Means of transportation to health facilities (n=432)By motorcycle/car On foot73 35916.9 83.1Perceived required time to reach health facilities (n=432)<30 min 252 230 min252 180 18055.6 41.7Exposure to mass media (radio/TV) (n=432)Yes No Once a week240 115 47.955.6 44.4 48.6Membership of community (n=432)Yes No No No222 21.4 48.651.4 48.6Women's autonomy toAutonomous34479.6		Employed	165	38.2
Primary level of education Secondary education and above19244.4 education 300Household wealth status (432)Lowest5713.2 SecondHousehold wealth status (432)Lowest5713.2 SecondMiddle8118.8 Fourth8820.4 86Highest8619.9Means of transportation to health facilities (n=432)By motorcycle/car On foot73 35916.9 83.1Perceived required time to reach health facilities (n=432)<30 min ≥30 min252 18055.6 44.4 47.9Exposure to mass media (radio/TV) (n=432)Yes No No240 11555.6 44.4 47.9Membership of community (n=432)Yes No No210 21048.6 48.6Women's autonomy toAutonomous34479.6			106	24.5
education Secondary education and above7016.2Household wealth status (432)Lowest5713.2Household wealth status (432)Lowest5713.2Second12027.8Middle8118.8Fourth8820.4Highest8619.9Means of transportation to health facilities (n=432)By motorcycle/car On foot7316.9Perceived required time to reach health facilities (n=432)<30 min		Able to read or write	64	14.8
and aboveImage: second se		,	192	44.4
Second12027.8Middle8118.8Fourth8820.4Highest8619.9Means of transportation to health facilities (n=432)By motorcycle/car On foot7316.9Perceived required time to reach health facilities (n=432)<30 min			70	16.2
Middle Fourth Highest81 88 20.4 19.9Means of transportation to health facilities (n=432)By motorcycle/car On foot73 35916.9 83.1Perceived required time to reach health facilities (n=432)<30 min 230 min252 18058.3 41.7Exposure to mass media (radio/TV) (n=432)Yes No Once a week240 19255.6 47.9Membership of community (n=432)Yes No No No222 11551.4 48.6Momen's autonomy toAutonomous34479.6	Household wealth status (432)	Lowest	57	13.2
Fourth Highest88 8620.4 19.9Means of transportation to health facilities (n=432)By motorcycle/car On foot73 35916.9 83.1Perceived required time to reach health facilities (n=432)<30 min ≥ 30 min252 18058.3 41.7Exposure to mass media (radio/TV) (n=432)Yes No Once a week240 19255.6 44.4 Once a week55.6 192 44.4Membership of community (n=432)Yes No222 21.4 48.6Women's autonomy toAutonomous34479.6		Second	120	27.8
Highest8619.9Means of transportation to health facilities (n=432)By motorcycle/car On foot73 35916.9 83.1Perceived required time to reach health facilities (n=432)<30 min ≥ 30 min252 18058.3 41.7Exposure to mass media (radio/TV) (n=432)Yes No Once a week240 19255.6 44.4 Once a week55.6 192 44.4Membership of community (n=432)Yes No222 21.151.4 48.6Women's autonomy toAutonomous34479.6		Middle	81	18.8
Means of transportation to health facilities (n=432)By motorcycle/car On foot73 35916.9 83.1Perceived required time to reach health facilities (n=432)<30 min ≥ 30 min252 18058.3 41.7Exposure to mass media (radio/TV) (n=432)Yes No Once a week240 11555.6 44.4 Once a week55.6 192Membership of community (n=432)Yes No222 21.4 48.651.4 48.6Women's autonomy toAutonomous34479.6			88	
health facilities (n=432)On foot 359 83.1 Perceived required time to reach health facilities (n=432) $<30 \text{ min}$ 252 58.3 $\geq 30 \text{ min}$ $\geq 30 \text{ min}$ 180 41.7 Exposure to mass media (radio/TV) (n=432)Yes No Once a week 240 55.6 Membership of community based health insurance (CBHI) (n=432)Yes No 222 51.4 210 Women's autonomy toAutonomous 344 79.6		Highest	86	19.9
Perceived required time to reach health facilities (n=432)<30 min25258.3 \geq 30 min18041.7Exposure to mass media (radio/TV) (n=432)Yes No Once a week240 19255.6Membership of community based health insurance (CBHI) (n=432)Yes No222 21051.4 48.6Women's autonomy toAutonomous34479.6	Means of transportation to	By motorcycle/car		
reach health facilities (n=432) \geq 30 min18041.7Exposure to mass media (radio/TV) (n=432)Yes No Once a week240 192 44.4 11555.6 47.9Membership of community based health insurance (CBHI) (n=432)Yes No222 210 48.6Women's autonomy toAutonomous344	health facilities (n=432)	On foot	359	83.1
Exposure to mass media (radio/TV) (n=432)Yes No Once a week240 192 44.4 0nce a week55.6 192 44.4 115Membership of community based health insurance (CBHI) (n=432)Yes No222 210 48.6Women's autonomy toAutonomous344	Perceived required time to	<30 min	252	58.3
media (radio/TV) (n=432)No19244.4Once a week11547.9Membership of community based health insurance (CBHI) (n=432)Yes22251.4Women's autonomy toAutonomous34479.6	reach health facilities (n=432)	≥30 min	180	41.7
Membership of community based health insurance (CBHI) (n=432)Yes No222 21051.4 48.6Women's autonomy toAutonomous34479.6	Exposure to mass	Yes	240	55.6
Membership of community based health insurance (CBHI) (n=432)Yes No222 21051.4 48.6Women's autonomy toAutonomous34479.6	media (radio/TV) (n=432)	No	192	44.4
based health insurance (CBHI) No 210 48.6 (n=432) Vomen's autonomy to Autonomous 344 79.6		Once a week	115	47.9
(n=432) Women's autonomy to Autonomous 344 79.6	Membership of community	Yes	222	51.4
,	()	No	210	48.6
	Women's autonomy to	Autonomous	344	79.6
maternity care (n=432) Not autonomous 88 20.4	maternity care (n=432)	Not autonomous	88	20.4

planned the pregnancy (78%), had not well prepared the pregnancy and its complication (69.8%), currently using family planning method (68.1%).

Respondent's Characteristics	Category	Frequency	%
Prepregnancy utilization of contraception (any modern methods) (n=432)	Yes No	294 138	68.1 31.9
Women's knowledge about key pregnancy danger signs (n=432)	Not knowledgeable Knowledgeable	261 171	60.4 39.6
Birth order (n=432)	First	73	16.9
	Second	95	22
	Third	121	28
	Four and above	143	33.1
Women's desire on recent	Planned	337	78
pregnancy (n=432)	Not planned	95	22
Time for first ANC visit (n=432)	At or after 16 weeks. Before 16 weeks.	340 92	78.7 21.3
BPCR (n=432)	Not well prepared Well prepared	301 131	69.7 30.3
Place for ANC (432)	Hospital	47	5.7
	Health center	484	58.5
	Health post	297	35.9
ANC providers	Skilled	290	64.8
	Unskilled	152	35.2
Frequency of ANC	I–3 ANC visit	323	74.8
	=+4 ANC visit	109	25.2

Table 2 Obstetric History of the Respondents

Determinants of Frequency of Antenatal Care

In multivariable logistic regression analysis time of first antenatal visit, ANC provider, family planning utilization before recent birth, planned for pregnancy, and perceived required time to reach health facilities were identified as significant predictors of frequency of the ANC (Table 4).

Mothers who started first ANC visit early (before three months were 3.8 times more likely to attended \geq 4 ANC than their counterparts (AOR: 3.8, 95%CI: 2.2– 6.7). The odds of receiving adequate ANC (four or more) among mothers with planned for pregnancy were 2.6 times higher compared to those had an unplanned pregnancy (AOR: 2.6, 95%CI: 1.1– 6.9). Mothers who had obtained ANC services from a skilled provider had 1.8 times higher odds of receiving four or more ANC visits than the mothers who had obtained ANC services from unskilled health personnel (AOR: 1.8, 95%CI: 1.1– 3.2). The likelihood of attending four or more ANC visits were 3.3 times higher for mothers who reported the perceived required time to reach health facilities was less than 30 minutes compared to the mothers who reported the required time was greater than 30 minutes (AOR: 3.3, 95%CI: 1.8–6.1). Mothers who used prepregnancy contraceptive were four times more likely attended ANC visits \geq 4 compared to mothers who had not used contraception before recent birth (AOR: 4.0, 95%CI: 1.7–9.2) (Table 3).

Contents of Antenatal Care

In the current study, only 17.1% of mothers received all the eight selected elements of ANC services. Among the elements of ANC, blood pressure measurement was the most frequently used item by 78.5% mothers, closely followed by education on danger signs and nutrition by 78% mothers, weight measurement by 77.8% mothers. More than two thirds (67.4%) of mothers were reported to have blood tests. More than half (56.3%) of mothers were reported to have blood tests, More than half (56.3%) of mothers were reported to have blood tests, More than half (56.3%) of mothers were reported to have an HIV test, 53.2% had been vaccinated for tetanus, 54.4% had urine test, and 50% mothers reported that they were taking iron (90+) during the ANC visit.

Determinants of Contents of Antenatal Care

The analysis of this study found that four variables that determine the content of antenatal care. Frequency of antenatal care, birth preparedness and its complication readiness, prepregnancy contraceptive utilization, and pregnancy desire as significant predictors of receiving the items of ANC services.

Mothers who had less than four ANC visit were 16% less likely to receive items of ANC content (AOR: 0.84, 95%CI: 0.76–0.93). Pregnancy desire of mother's also revealed significant association with the use of ANC service. Mothers were found to have at least 18% lower odds of receiving items of ANC services if the pregnancy was unplanned at the time (AOR: 0.82, 95%CI: 0.71–0.94). Mothers with no history of utilization of contraception before recent birth had a lower chance of using the elements of ANC services than the mothers with a history of contraceptive utilization before recent birth (AOR: 0.63, 95%CI: 0.55–0.72). Mothers who were not well prepared for

Table 3 Results of Bivariable and Multivariable Logistic Regression Analysis to Identify Determinants of Frequency of Antenatal Care	
Visits	

Variables	ANC Frequency					
	I-3 ANC (%)	≥4 ANC (%)	p-value	COR (95%CI)	AOR (95%CI)	
Women's education status (n=432)						
Unable to read or write	149 (90.2)	24 (13.9)		1	1	
Able to read or write	32 (72.5)	19 (37.3)	<0.001	3.7 (1.8–7.5) ^a	1.8 (0.7-4.6)	
Primary level of education	110 (77.4)	45 (29)	0.001	2.5 (1.5–4.4) ^a	0,9 (0.4–2.1)	
Secondary and above	32 (73.6)	21 (39.6)	<0.001	4.1 (2.0– 8.2) ^a	1.5 (0.6–3.9)	
Respondents age (n=432)						
18–24	67 (78)	26 (22)	0.02	3.1 (1.2–8.1) ^a	1.0 (0.5-2.3)	
25–29	141 (71.6)	56 (28.4)	0.01	3.2 (1.3–7.8) ^a	1.1 (0.4–2.9)	
30–34	67 (76.1)	21 (23.9)	0.06	$2.5 (0.9-6.7)^{a}$	0.6 (0.2–2.3)	
≥35	48 (88.9)	6 (11.1)	0.00	1	1	
Husbands education						
Unable to read and write	92 (86.8)	14 (13.2)		1		
Able to read and write	56 (87.5)	8 (12.5)	0.894	0.9 (0.4–2.4)	0.6 (0.2–1.8)	
Primary level of education	127 (66.1)	65 (33.9)	<0.001	$3.4 (1.8-6.4)^{a}$	1.5 (0.7–3.3)	
Secondary and above	48 (68.6)	22 (31.4)	0.004	$3.0 (1.4-6.4)^{a}$	1.3 (0.5–3.2)	
	40 (00.0)	22 (31.4)	0.004	3.0 (1.4-8.4)	1.3 (0.3–3.2)	
Wealth index (n=432)						
Lowest	41 (71.9)	16 (28.1)		I		
Second	116 (96.7)	4 (3.3)	<0.001	0.08 (0.03–0.3)		
Moderate	53 (65.4)	28 (34.6)	0.42	1.3 (0.6–2.8)		
Fourth	56 (63.6)	32 (36.4)	0.31	1.5 (0.7–3.0)		
Highest	57 (66.3)	29 (33.7)	0.47	1.3 (0.6–2.7)		
Women's employment status (n=432)						
Nonemployed	191 (71.5)	76 (28.5)		1		
Employed	132 (80)	33 (20)	0.05	0.6 (0.4–1.0) ^a	0.9 (0.5–1.6)	
Means of transportation (n=432)						
By foot	268 (74.7)	91 (25.3)		1		
By motorcycle/car	55 (75.3)	18 (24.7)	0.901	0.9 (0.6–1.7)		
Perceived required time to reach health facilities (n=432)						
≥30 min	159 (91.1)	21 (11.7)		1	1	
<30 min	164 (74.6)	88 (34.9)	<0.001	4.0 (2.4–6.9) ^a	3.3 (1.8–6.1) ^b	
Exposure to mass media (n=432)						
No	148 (77.1)	44 (22.9)				
Yes	175 (72.9)	65 (27.1)	0.32	1.2 (0.8–1.9)		
Women decision making (n=432)						
Autonomous	256 (74.4)	88 (25.6)	0.74	1.1 (0.6,-1.9)		
Nonautonomous	67 (76.1)	21 (23.9)				
Membership of CBHI (n=432)						
Νο	168 (80)	42 (20)		1		
Yes	155 (69.7)	67 (30.2)	0.015	I.7 (I.I–2.7) ^a	1.1 (0.5–2.3)	
Prepregnancy utilization of contraception (n=432)						
No	120 (86.3)	19 (13.7)		1	1	
Yes	203 (69.3)	90 (30.7)	<0.001	8.5 (4.0–18.0) ^a	4.0 (1.7–9.2) ^b	

(Continued)

Variables	ANC Frequency				
	I-3 ANC (%)	≥4 ANC (%)	p-value	COR (95%CI)	AOR (95%CI)
Knowledge on key pregnancy danger signs (n=432)					
Not knowledgeable	203 (77.8)	58 (22.2)		1	1
Knowledgeable	120 (70.2)	51 (29.8)	0.07	1.5 (0.9–2.3) ^a	1.6 (0.9–2.6)
Birth order (n=432)					
First	52 (71.2)	21 (28.8)	0.16	1.6 (0.8–3.0) ^a	1.5 (0.7–3.4)
Second	72 (75.8)	23 (24.2)	0.47	1.3 (0.7–2.3)	0.9 (0.4–2.0)
Third	85 (70.2)	36 (29.8)	0.07	1.6 (0.9–3.0) ^a	1.2 (0.6–2.3)
Four and above	114 (79.7)	29 (20.3)		1	1
Desire on pregnancy (n=432)					
Not planned	81 (81.8)	18 (18.2)		1	1
Planned	242 (72.7)	91 (27.3)	<0.001	6.5 (2.7–15.4) ^a	2.6 (1.1–6.9) ^b
ANC provider (n=432)					
Skilled	196 (70)	84 (30)	0.002	2.2 (1.3–3.6) ^a	I.8 (I.I-3.2) ^b
Nonskilled	127 (85.6)	25 (16.4)			
Time for first ANC booking (n=432)					
At or after 16 weeks	277 (81.5)	63 (18.5)		1	
Before 16 weeks	46 (50)	46 (50)	<0.001	4.4 (2.7–7.2) ^a	3.8 (2.2–6.7) ^b
BPCR (n=432)					
Not-well prepared	239 (79.4)	62 (20.6)		1	1
Well Prepared	84 (64.1)	47 (35.9)	0.001	2.2 (1.4–3.4) ^a	1.3 (0.8–2.3)

Notes: *p*-value, ^aindicates variable candidates for multivariate logistic regression; *p*-value, ^bindicates variables significant in multivariate logistic regression; I reference category.

Abbreviations: AOR, adjusted odds ratio; COR, crude odds ratio.

pregnancy and its complications were 10% less likely to receive items of ANC (AOR: 0.90. 95%CI: 0.82–0.98) (Table 4).

Discussion

This study revealed low levels of WHO's recommended minimum ANC visits by mothers (25.2%). Receiving ANC from a skilled provider, perceived required time to reach health facilities, wanted pregnancy, modern contraceptive utilization, time for ANC visit were identified as predictors of frequency of ANC. However the recent report of the EDHS (2019) revealed four or more ANC of 43%.³⁴ This indicates unsatisfactory compliance with WHO's recommendations on optimal antenatal care visits in Ethiopia.

In this study, mothers who had received ANC care from health professionals were more likely to complete the recommended ANC visits compared to their counterparts. This is inconsistent with studies conducted elsewhere.^{15,35,36} The result suggested that provision of ANC services by skilled professionals increased the chance of adequate ANC visit.

The current study found a significant association between time spent to reach health facilities and frequency of ANC visits. Mothers spending more than 30 min to reach health facilities were less likely to attend adequate ANC compared to their counterparts. Although there is a strong recommendation of universal access to health services and reducing financial hardship, still there is regional variation in easy access to health services.^{37,38} This implies that outreach service should be strengthened to avail maternal services to mothers who reside some distance from the nearest health facilities.

According to this study, time for an ANC visit is identified as a factor of the frequency of the ANC. Those mothers who started ANC early or before 16 weeks were more likely to attend \geq 4 ANC compared to late visitors. This is in line with a study elsewhere.³⁸ This is may be due

Variables	Mean of Number of ANC Items	p-value	AOR (95%CI)	
Women's education status (n=432)		<0.001		
Unable to read or write	3.9		0.87 (0.73-1.04)	
Able to read or write	5.3		0.92 (0.77-1.09	
Primary level of education	6.0		0.95 (0.83-1.04	
Secondary and above	6.5		1	
Respondents age (n=432)		<0.001		
18–24	5.1		1.09 (0.88–1.34	
25–29	5.5		1.11 (0.93–1.32	
30–34	5.1		1.06 (0.89–1.27	
≥35	3.7		I	
Husbands education (n=432)		<0.001		
Unable to read and write	3.7		0.98 (0.82-1.17	
Able to read and write	4.3		0.95 (0.80–,1.13	
Primary level of education	5.9		1.02 (0.91–1.16	
Secondary and above	5.8		I	
Wealth index (n=432)		<0.001		
Lowest	5.1		0.97 (0.83–1.14	
Second	3.6		0.93 (0.79–1.10	
Moderate	5.4		1.02 (0.88–1.17	
Fourth	6.2		1.01 (0.88–1.14	
Highest	6.0		I	
Women's employment status (n=432)		<0.001		
Nonemployed	5.5		1.02 (0.92-1.13	
Employed	4.5		I	
Means of transportation (n=432)		0.096		
By foot	5.2			
By motorcycle/car	4.8			
Perceived required time to reach health facilities (n=432)		<0.001		
≥30 min	4.0		0.93 (0.83–1.04	
<30 min	6.0		1	
Exposure to mass media (n=432)		0.019		
No	4.88		1.00 (0.91–1.10	
Yes	5.37		1	
Women decision making (n=432)		0.027		
Autonomous	5.03		0.95 (0.86-1.06	
Nonautonomous	5.61		1	
Membership of CBHI (n=432)		<0.001		
No	4.3		0.96 (0.85–1.09	
Yes	5.9		1	
Prepregnancy utilization of contraception (n=432)		<0.001		
No	2.9		0.63 (0.55–0.72	
Yes	6.2		1	
Knowledge on key pregnancy danger signs (n=432)		0.71		
Not knowledgeable	5.18			
Knowledgeable	5.10			

Table 4 Results of the Multivariable Generalized Linear Regression Analysis with Poisson Log Link to Identify the Determinants of
Utilization of Contents of ANC Visits

(Continued)

Table 4 (Continued).

Variables	Mean of Number of ANC Items	p-value	AOR (95%CI)
Birth order (n=432)		0.014	
First	5.16		0.98 (0.82-1.18)
Second	5.09		0.97 (0.84–1.13)
Third	5.64		0.98 (0.87–1.11)
Four and above	4.77		1
Desire on pregnancy (n=432)		<0.001	
Not planned	3.1		0.82 (0.71-0.94)
Planned	5.7		1
ANC provider (n=432)		0.007	
Skilled	5.36		1.04 (0.94–1.15)
Nonskilled	4.76		1
Time for first ANC booking (n=432)		<0.001	
At or above three months	4.88		0.94 (0.84–1.04)
Before three months	6.14		1
Frequency of ANC		<0.001	
I–3 ANC visits	4.5		0.84 (0.76-0.93)
≥4 visits	7.1		1
BPCR (n=432)		<0.001	
Not well prepared	4.66		0.90 (0.82-0.98)
Well prepared	6.28		I Í

Note: | reference.

Abbreviations: AOR, adjusted odds ratio; COR, crude odds ratio.

to early visitors having more time to attend. This is explained by community extension workers should screen and identify pregnancy early. Existing evidence indicates community engagement can be effective in increasing access to early ANC.

The study finding indicates the overall utilization of the items of ANC was 17.1% (95%CI: 13.2–20.6) which is consistent with a study in Uganda, while lower when compared to studies in Nepal and Bangladesh.^{15,28,39} This might be due to national variation on the minimum recommendation of ANC visits.

The number of antenatal visits is identified as a factor that affects the utilization of ANC content. This is explained as the higher number of ANC visits might maximize the likelihood of items of the ANC. This is supported by studies conducted elsewhere.^{15,17,18,39} Moreover, the WHO came up with the new ANC model, which recommends eight contacts which might increase utilization of contents of antenatal care so that this finding implies the policy makers should strengthen the implementation of the new model of ANC.⁴⁰ In addition, awareness creation sessions should be there to help mothers understand the effect of frequent visits as recommended by a health professional.

This study shows that having of history of prepregnancy contraceptive utilization before recent birth positively predicts receiving of both adequate ANC and content of the ANC. This finding is supported by studies from Nepal, Bangladesh, and Uganda.^{15,28,39} This might be due to family planning helping mothers to have children in the right spacing and hence allow them the resources and time to attend the next antenatal visits with ease. Researchers also reflect on the provision of education on family planning during antenatal visits.

In this study pregnancy desire is significantly associated with both the frequencies of the ANC and utilization of items of the ANC. The probability of receiving a higher number of items and attending more ANC visits was lower for mothers who had an unplanned pregnancy compared to planned pregnancy. This finding is in line with studies elsewhere.^{15,22,41} The finding indicates that mothers are usually less careful about receiving ANC services in the case of an unwanted pregnancy. In addition, this might be due to women who had an unplanned pregnancy, attended ANC care late, and accessed fewer full items of ANC.^{17,22,42} Thus, the policy makers should strengthen the implementation of strategies that decrease unplanned pregnancies. Moreover, early identification of women with unplanned pregnancy by health workers should be strengthened.

This study indicate that mothers who were well prepared for birth and ready for its complications were more likely to receive items of ANC. This might be due to wellprepared mothers who were more aware about preventive measures of pregnancy-related complications, and who seek care for it from health professionals.^{43–45} Moreover, the WHO recommends birth preparedness and complication readiness in order to increase skilled birth and to reduce postpartum related complications.⁷ Researchers also believe that BPCR increases visits for subsequent maternal health care.³³

Limitation of the Study

This study had both strengths and limitations. There was limited evidence on the determinants of the contents of ANC in this study area. Thus, it adds inputs at local and policy level. It also improves ANC service implementation at health facilities. Since this study is cross sectional, no cause and effect relationship was reported. In addition, social desirability bias could be another limitation.

Conclusion

The findings of this study showed a low level of WHO minimum recommended ANC and core contents of the ANC. This finding implies that the government should focus on not only on the frequency of ANC visits, but also give attention to care contents. In this study preconception care like prepregnancy contraceptive utilization was identified as a determinant for both frequencies of the ANC and the contents of ANC care. This implies policy makers and concerned government bodies should give attention to strengthening the implementation of preconception care.

Since this study is cross sectional, it is difficult to conclude as frequency of ANC does indeed influence the content of the ANC. Therefore, further study with analytic and experimental design might support these findings.

In this study, time for the first ANC visit was significantly associated with ANC frequency while not with core contents of ANC even if a number of previous studies found its association. Consequently, further study focusing on time for ANC visits.

Abbreviations

AOR, adjusted odds ratio; ANC, antenatal care; BPCR, birth preparedness and complication readiness; HEWs, health extension workers; MMR, maternal mortality ratio; PCA, principal component analysis; WHO, World Health Organization.

Data Sharing Statement

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request via email address of derehaile2010@gmail.com

Acknowledgment

We are grateful to the Arba Minch University, College of Medicine and Health Sciences for providing ethical clearance for this study. Our thanks also go to our data collectors, supervisors, and the study participants.

Author Contributions

All authors made a significant contribution to the work reported, whether that is in the conception, study design, execution, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation, or in all these areas; took part in drafting, revising or critically reviewing the article; gave final approval of the version to be published; have agreed on the journal to which the article has been submitted; and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Funding

There is no funding to report.

Disclosure

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper. The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- 1. WHO UNICEF, UNFPA, the World Bank, the United Nations Population Division. Trends in maternal mortality: 1990 to 2015.
- K S, Axelson H, Sheehan P, et al. Advancing social and economic development by investing in women's and children's health: a new global investment framework. *Lancet*. 2014;383:1333–1354. doi:10.10 16/S0140-6736(13)62231-X
- 3. Central Statistical Agency (CSA) [Ethiopia] and ICF. *Ethiopia Demographic and Health Survey 2016*. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, and Rockville, Mary land, USA: CSA and ICF; 2016.
- 4. Say L, Gemmill A, Ö T, et al. Global causes of maternal death: a WHO systematic analysis. *Lancet Global Health*. 2014;2(6):e323– e333. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(14)70227-X

- Oyerinde K. Can antenatal care result in significant maternal mortality reduction in developing countries? *Community Med Health Educ*. 2013;3. doi:10.4172/2161-0711.1000e116
- Khatib N, Zahiruddin Q, Gaidhane A, et al. Predictors for antenatal services and pregnancy outcome in a rural area: a prospective study in wardha district, India. *Indian J Med Sci.* 2009;63(10):436. doi:10.4103/0019-5359.57643
- WHO. WHO recommendations on health promotion interventions for maternal and newborn health. 2015.
- Gebremeskel F, Dibaba Y, Admassu B. Timing of first antenatal care attendance and associated factors among pregnant women in Arba Minch Town and Arba Minch District, Gamo Gofa Zone, South Ethiopia. J Environ Public Health. 2015;2015:7.
- Ewunetie AA, Munea AM, Meselu BT. DELAY on first antenatal care visit and its associated factors among pregnant women in public health facilities of Debre Markos town, North West Ethiopia. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2018;18:173. doi:10.1186/ s12884-018-1748-7
- WHO. WHO recommendations on antenatal care for a positive pregnancy experience. Geneva, Switzerland; 2016.
- Nwaru BI, Wu Z, Hemminki E. Determinants of the use of prenatal care in rural China: the role of care content. *Matern Child Health J*. 2012;16(1):235–241. doi:10.1007/s10995-010-0734-0
- Kyei N, Chansa C, Gabrysch S. Quality of antenatal care in Zambia: a national assessment. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2012;12:151. doi:10.1186/1471-2393-12-151
- World Health Organization (WHO). WHO Recommended Interventions for Improving Maternal and New-Born Health. Geneva: WHO Department of Making Pregnancy Safer; 2009.
- USAID, CDC, Maternal and Child Survival. WHO antenatal care guidelines: malaria in pregnancy frequently asked questions (FAQ) Geneva. 2016.
- Islam MM, Masud MS. Determinants of frequency and contents of antenatal care visits in Bangladesh: assessing the extent of compliancewith the WHO recommendations. *PLoS One.* 2018;13(9): e0204752. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0204752
- Agha S, Tappis H. The timing of antenatal care initiation and the content of care in Sindh, Pakistan. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2016;16:190.
- Afulani PA, Buback L, Essandoh F, et al. Quality of antenatal care and associated factors in a rural county in Kenya: an assessment of service provision and experience dimensions. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2019;19:684. doi:10.1186/s12913-019-4476-4
- Kassaw A, Debie A, Geberu DM. Quality of prenatal care and associated factors among pregnant women at public health facilities of Wogera District, Northwest Ethiopia. J Pregnancy. 2020;2020:8.
- Wang W, Hong R. Levels and determinants of continuum of care for maternal and newborn health in Cambodia evidence from a population-based survey. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2015;15:62. doi:10.1186/s12884-015-0497-0
- 20. Iqbal S, Maqsood S, Zakar R, et al. Continuum of care in maternal, newborn and child health in Pakistan: analysis of trends and determinants from 2006 to 2012. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2017;17:189. doi:10.1186/s12913-017-2111-9)
- 21. Hamed A, Mohamed E, Sabry M, et al. Egyptian status of continuum of care for maternal, newborn, and child health: Sohag Governorate as an example. *Int J Med Sci Public Health*. 2018;7(6):1. doi:10. 5455/ijmsph.2018.0102607032018
- Basha GW. Factors affecting the utilization of a minimum of four antenatal care services in Ethiopia. *Obstet Gynecol Int.* 2019;2019. doi:10.1155/2019/5036783
- 23. Tiruaynet K, Muchie KF. Determinants of utilization of antenatal care services in Benishangul Gumuz Region, Western Ethiopia: a study based on demographic and health survey. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.* 2019;19:115. doi:10.1186/s12884-019-2259-x

- 24. Abuka T, Alemu A, Birhanu B. Assessment of timing of first antenatal care booking and associated factors among pregnant women who attend antenatal care at health facilities in Dilla town, Gedeo Zone, Southern Nations, Nationalities and Peoples Region, Ethiopia, 2014. J Pregnancy and Child Health. 2016;3:2. doi:10.4172/2376-127X.1000258
- Anderson RM. Revisiting the behavioral model and access to medical care: does it matter? *J Health Soc Behav.* 2007;36(1):1–10. doi:10. 2307/2137284
- McCullagh P, McCullagh P, Nelder JA. *Generalized Linear Models*. 2nd ed. London: Chapman and Hall; 1989.
- 27. Barber SL, Bertozzi SM, Gertler PJ. Variationsin prenatal care quality for the rural poor in Mexico: indigenous women received fewer procedures than other women in private health facilities; disparities were much less prominent in public facilities. *Health Aff.* 2007;26 (2):310–323. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.26.3.w310
- 28. Joshi C, Torvaldsen S, Hodgson R, et al. Factors associated with the use and quality of antenatal care in Nepal: a population-based study using the demographic and health survey data. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2014;14:94. doi:10.1186/1471-2393-14-94
- Chukwuma A, Wosu AC, Mbachu C, et al. Quality of antenatal care predicts retention in skilled birth attendance: a multilevel analysis of 28 African countries. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2017;17(1):152. doi:10.1186/s12884-017-1337-1
- 30. Yeji F, Shibanuma A, Oduro A, et al. Continuum of care in a maternal, newborn and child health program in Ghana: low completion rate and multiple obstacle factors. *PLoS One.* 2015;10: e0142849. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0142849
- Mohan D, LeFevre AE, George A, et al. Analysis of dropout across the continuum of maternal health care in Tanzania: findings from a cross-sectional household survey. *Health Policy Plan.* 2017;32: 791–799.
- 32. Belda SS, Gebremariam MB. Birth preparedness, complication readiness and other determinants of place of delivery among mothers in Goba District, Bale Zone, South East Ethiopia. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2016;16:73. doi:10.1186/s12884-016-0837-8
- Nawal D, Goli S. Birth preparedness and its effect on place of delivery and post-natal check-ups in Nepal. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(5): e60957. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060957
- 34. Central Statistical Agency (CSA) [Ethiopia] and ICF. min-Ethiopia demographic and health survey 2019. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, and Rockville, Maryland, USA: CSA and ICF; 2019.
- 35. Jacobs C, Moshabela M, Maswenyeho S, et al. Predictors of antenatal care, skilled birth attendance, and postnatal care utilization among the remote and poorest rural communities of Zambia: a multilevel analysis. *Front Public Health.* 2017;5:11. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2017.00011
- 36. Wilunda C, Quaglio G, Putoto G, et al. Determinants of utilisation of antenatal care and skilled birth attendant at delivery in South West Shoa Zone, Ethiopia: a cross sectional study. *Reprod Health*. 2015;12:1–12. doi:10.1186/s12978-015-0067-y
- 37. UN-DESA. Sustainable development goal 3: ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages, 2017. Sustainable Development Knowledge Platform; 2017.
- Straneo M, Fogliati P, Pellis I, et al. On the way to universal coverage of maternal services in Iringa rural District in Tanzania. Who is yet to be reached? *Afri Health Sci.* 2016;16(2):420–428. doi:10.4314/ahs.v16i2.10
- Edward B. Factors influencing the utilisation of antenatal care content in Uganda. AMJ. 2011;4(9):516–526. doi:10.4066/AMJ.2011.849
- 40. WHO. 2016 WHO antenatal care guidline. 2016.
- 41. Hijazi HH, Alyahya MS, Sindiani AM, et al. Determinants of antenatal care attendance among women residing in highly disadvantaged communities in northern Jordan: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Reprod Health.* 2018;15:106.
- 42. Adeniyi OV, Ajayi AI, Moyaki MG, et al. High rate of unplanned pregnancy in the context of integrated family planning and HIV care services in South Africa. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2018;18:140. doi:10.1186/s12913-018-2942-z

- Gebre M, Gebremariam A, Abebe TA. BirthPreparednessand complication readiness among pregnant women in Duguna FangoDistrict, WolaytaZone,Ethiopia. *PLoSONE*. 2015;10(9):e0137570. doi:10.137 1/journal.pone.0137570
- 44. Andarge E, Nigussie A, Wondafrash M, et al. Factors associated with birth preparedness and complication readiness in Southern Ethiopia: a community based cross-sectional study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2017;17:412. doi:10.1186/s12884-017-1582-3
- 45. Ijang YP, Cumber SN, Nkfusai CN, et al. Awareness and practice of birth preparedness and complication readiness among pregnant women in the Bamenda Health District, Cameroon. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2019;19:371. doi:10.1186/s12884-019-2511-4

International Journal of Women's Health

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of Women's Health is an international, peerreviewed open-access journal publishing original research, reports, editorials, reviews and commentaries on all aspects of women's healthcare including gynecology, obstetrics, and breast cancer. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-womens-health-journal