

Sequelae of Foodborne Illness Caused by 5 Pathogens, Australia, Circa 2010

Laura Ford, Martyn Kirk, Kathryn Glass, and Gillian Hall

In Australia circa 2010, 4.1 million (90% credible interval [CrI] 2.3–6.4 million) episodes of foodborne gastroenteritis occurred, many of which might have resulted in sequelae. We estimated the number of illnesses, hospitalizations, and deaths from Guillain-Barré syndrome, hemolytic uremic syndrome, irritable bowel syndrome, and reactive arthritis that were associated with contaminated food in Australia. Data from published studies, hospital records, and mortality reports were combined with multipliers to adjust for different transmission routes. We used Monte Carlo simulation to estimate median estimates and 90% CrIs. In Australia, circa 2010, we estimated that 35,840 (90% CrI 25,000–54,000) illnesses, 1,080 (90% CrI 700–1,600) hospitalizations, and 10 (90% CrI 5–14) deaths occurred from foodborne gastroenteritis-associated sequelae. *Campylobacter* spp. infection was responsible for 80% of incident cases. Reducing the incidence of campylobacteriosis and other foodborne diseases would minimize the health effects of sequelae.

Foodborne gastroenteritis is a major source of illness in Australia, causing an estimated 4.1 million (90% credible interval [CrI] 2.3–6.4 million) illnesses, 30,600 (90% CrI 28,000–34,000) hospitalizations, and 60 (90% CrI 53–63) deaths each year (1). In addition to the direct effects of these illnesses, infection with some pathogens can result in sequelae, which can be severe, require multiple hospitalizations, and be costly to society (2). We report on the effects of sequelae associated with Guillain-Barré syndrome (GBS), hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS), irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), and reactive arthritis (ReA) from 5 pathogens acquired from contaminated food in Australia.

Each of these 4 sequel illnesses are preceded by different gastrointestinal infections and have unique characteristics. GBS, a rare but serious autoimmune illness, affects the nervous system and causes acute flaccid paralysis. GBS can occur as a sequel to *Campylobacter* spp. infection 10 days–3 weeks after gastrointestinal illness (3,4). HUS is characterized by acute renal failure, hemolytic anemia, and thrombocytopenia and can result from infection with Shiga

toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) ≈4–10 days after onset of gastroenteritis (5,6). IBS is a gastrointestinal disorder that causes abdominal pain and bowel dysfunction. It is not life threatening, but it can cause substantial health effects after illness with *Campylobacter* spp., nontyphoidal *Salmonella enterica* serotypes (hereafter referred to as nontyphoidal *Salmonella* spp.), or *Shigella* spp. (7,8). ReA is a type of spondyloarthritis that can develop up to 4 weeks after an enteric infection from *Campylobacter* spp., nontyphoidal *Salmonella* spp., *Shigella* spp., or *Yersinia enterocolitica* (9). We estimated the number of illnesses, hospitalizations, and deaths resulting from GBS, HUS, IBS, and ReA from selected foodborne pathogens in Australia in a typical year circa 2010.

Methods

We estimated the effects of foodborne sequelae acquired in Australia circa 2010 using data from multiple sources in Australia and from international peer-reviewed literature. We defined foodborne sequelae as illnesses occurring after bacterial gastroenteritis caused by eating contaminated food. Sequelae were defined as the secondary adverse health outcomes resulting from a previous infection by a microbial pathogen and clearly distinguishable from the initial health event (10). Illness can be acute, such as with HUS, or chronic (lasting for many years), as with IBS. We estimated incidence, hospitalizations, and deaths with uncertainty bounds using Monte Carlo simulation in @Risk version 6 (<http://www.palisade.com/>), which incorporates uncertainty in both data and inputs. Each stage of our calculation was represented by a probability distribution, and our final estimates of incidence, hospitalizations, and deaths were summarized by the median and 90% CrI. Similar to a recent study in the United States (11), we used empirical distributions for source distributions, such as the number of hospitalizations or deaths, to avoid assumptions about the expected shape of these distributions. All other inputs were modeled by using the PERT (project evaluation and review technique) distribution, which enables the input of a minimum, maximum, and modal value, or 3 percentile points, such as a median value and 95% bounds. We used this distribution widely in our analyses because

Author affiliation: Australian National University, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, Australia

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2011.131316>

it enables asymmetric distributions and can be produced from many data sources, including expert elicitation data. The Australian National University Human Research Ethics Committee approved the study.

Incidence of Sequelae

Several pathogens are associated with the development of sequelae. Community estimates of foodborne illness from Kirk et al. (1) for *Campylobacter* spp., nontyphoidal *Salmonella* spp., *Shigella* spp., STEC, and *Y. enterocolitica* were used for estimating the incidence of foodborne sequelae (Table 1). Although *Shigella* spp. and nontyphoidal *Salmonella* spp. have been associated with HUS and STEC has been associated with IBS and ReA, data on which to base estimates are limited. In addition, although other pathogens, such as *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *Clostridium difficile*, *Giardia lamblia*, and norovirus, have been associated with these sequelae (12–15), we assessed only pathogens commonly associated with sequelae, domestically acquired, and with a foodborne transmission pathway. A “sequelae multiplier,” which is the proportion of sequelae cases that develop after enteric infection with a specific bacterial pathogen, was applied to our estimates of domestically acquired foodborne gastroenteritis cases caused by that pathogen (1). For each sequel illness, we reviewed relevant studies published during 1995–2012 using systematic reviews and studies using Australian data where possible to estimate the relevant sequelae multipliers. We reviewed articles about sequelae after infection with *Campylobacter* spp., *E. coli*, nontyphoidal *Salmonella* spp., *Shigella* spp., and *Y. enterocolitica*, and we estimated sequelae multipliers for GBS, HUS, IBS, and ReA after bacterial gastrointestinal infection on the basis of these reviews (Table 2). Relevant articles and additional information are documented in online Technical Appendix 1 (<http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/20/11/13-1316-Techapp1.pdf>).

Our sequelae multiplier for GBS was based on 30.4 (range 19.2–94.5) cases of GBS per 100,000 cases of campylobacteriosis using data from studies from the United Kingdom, Sweden, and the United States (16–18). For HUS, the sequelae multiplier used was 3% (95% CI 1.7%–5.4%) from a South Australian study on STEC and

HUS notifications during 1997–2009 (19). On the basis of data from Haagsma et al. (20), we assumed that 8.8% (95% CI 7.2%–10.4%) of foodborne disease caused by *Campylobacter* spp., nontyphoidal *Salmonella* spp., and *Shigella* spp. result in IBS. We used a separate sequelae multiplier for each pathogen that resulted in ReA. We assumed that 7% (range 2.8%–16%) of foodborne cases of *Campylobacter* spp., 8.5% (range 0%–26%) of foodborne cases of nontyphoidal *Salmonella* spp., 9.7% (range 1.2%–9.8%) of foodborne cases of *Shigella* spp., and 12% (range 0%–23.1%) of foodborne cases of *Y. enterocolitica* result in ReA (see full reference list in online Technical Appendix 1). Total foodborne IBS and ReA cases reflect the sum of modeled IBS and ReA cases from these 3 and 4 pathogens, respectively. Details on the sequelae multipliers and incidence estimation methods are in online Technical Appendix 1 and online Technical Appendix 2 (<http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/20/11/13-1316-Techapp2.pdf>).

We compared the incidence of sequelae circa 2010 to that of sequelae circa 2000 by applying the same sequelae multipliers to estimates of the incidence of acute gastroenteritis to specific pathogens in 2006–2010 and 1996–2000, respectively. The estimates of incidence of acute gastroenteritis were based on notification data for *Campylobacter* spp., nontyphoidal *Salmonella* spp., *Shigella* spp., STEC, and *Y. enterocolitica* (19,21,22), (online Technical Appendix 3, <http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/20/11/13-1316-Techapp3.pdf>).

Hospitalizations and Deaths

To estimate hospitalizations associated with IBS from foodborne *Campylobacter* spp., nontyphoidal *Salmonella* spp., and *Shigella* spp. and hospitalizations associated with ReA from foodborne *Campylobacter* spp., nontyphoidal *Salmonella* spp., *Shigella* spp., and *Y. enterocolitica*, we used hospitalization data for 2006–2010 from all Australian states and territories, according to the International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision, Australian Modification (ICD-10-AM) codes. To estimate deaths for all 4

Table 1. Pathogens associated with GBS, HUS, IBS, and ReA included in this study, Australia, circa 2010*

Pathogen	GBS	HUS	IBS	ReA
<i>Campylobacter</i> spp.	X		X	X
Nontyphoidal <i>Salmonella</i> spp.†			X	X
<i>Shigella</i> spp.			X	X
Shiga toxin–producing <i>Escherichia coli</i>		X		
<i>Yersinia enterocolitica</i>				X

*GBS, Guillain-Barré syndrome; HUS, hemolytic uremic syndrome; IBS, irritable bowel syndrome; ReA, reactive arthritis.
†Nontyphoidal *S. enterica* serotypes.

Table 2. Sequelae multipliers extracted from the literature about domestically acquired foodborne bacterial gastroenteritis*

Sequelae	ICD-10-AM code	Incidence after bacterial infection, %
GBS, median (range)	G61.0	0.0304 (0.0192–0.0945)
HUS, median (95% CI)	D59.3	3 (1.7–5.1)
IBS, median (90% CrI)	K58.0 K58.9	8.8 (7.2–10.4)
ReA, median (range)	M02.1 M02.3 M02.8 M03.2	7–12 (0–26)

*CrI, credible interval; GBS, Guillain-Barré syndrome; HUS, hemolytic uremic syndrome; IBS, irritable bowel syndrome; ICD-10-AM, International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision, Australian Modification; ReA, reactive arthritis.

sequelae illnesses resulting from the respective foodborne pathogens, we used national death data for 2001–2010 from the Australian Bureau of Statistics, using ICD-10-AM codes (online Technical Appendix 4, <http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/20/11/13-1316-Techapp4.pdf>). Principal diagnosis and additional diagnoses were included for hospitalizations, and underlying and contributing causes were included for deaths. Because we had only 1 year of hospitalization data for Victoria and 2 years for New South Wales, we extrapolated from these data to derive a distribution of the number of hospitalizations across all states, which was modeled as an empirical distribution. For these states, we assumed the same number of hospitalizations each year to adjust for missing data. Because of the severity of GBS and HUS, hospitalization estimates for these illnesses were not modeled, and all persons with estimated incident cases from contaminated food were considered to have been hospitalized.

We estimated incidences of hospitalization and death using a statistical model that incorporates uncertainty in case numbers and in multipliers using probability distributions (Figure), which is adjusted from the hospitalization estimation flow chart in Kirk et al. (1). We assumed that all estimated incident foodborne *Campylobacter*-associated GBS and STEC-associated HUS case-patients were hospitalized, so those cases were not modeled; however, multipliers were still needed for GBS and HUS to estimate deaths. Sequelae-associated deaths were estimated by using the same methods as for hospitalizations (Figure). Input data arose from the data sources discussed above or from multipliers that are discussed below.

Domestically Acquired Multiplier

The “domestically acquired multiplier” adjusted for the proportion of case-patients who acquired their infection in Australia. We estimated domestically applied multipliers for the antecedent bacterial gastrointestinal pathogens using notifiable surveillance data from each state, extrapolated to give national estimates (1). We adopted the domestically acquired multiplier for *Campylobacter* spp. of 0.97 (90% CrI 0.91–0.99) for GBS and the domestically acquired multiplier for STEC 0.79 (90% CrI 0.73–0.83) for HUS (1). For IBS and ReA, a combined domestically acquired multiplier for *Campylobacter* spp., nontyphoidal *Salmonella* spp., and *Shigella* spp. for IBS and *Campylobacter* spp., nontyphoidal *Salmonella* spp., *Shigella* spp. and *Y. enterocolitica* for ReA was calculated as a weighted average of the domestically acquired multipliers for each pathogen, weighted by the total number of IBS and ReA cases for each pathogen, respectively (online Technical Appendix 4; online Technical Appendix 5, <http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/20/11/13-1316-Techapp5.pdf>).

Proportion Foodborne Multiplier

For each of the 4 sequelae, we calculated the proportion of hospitalizations and deaths from foodborne pathogens using 2 multipliers: a “bacterial multiplier” to attribute the proportion of overall cases of each of the sequelae illnesses to specific pathogens and a “foodborne multiplier” to attribute illnesses to foodborne exposure. The bacterial multiplier, which was the proportion of sequel cases attributable to their antecedent bacterial pathogen, was extracted from systematic reviews for GBS and HUS (4,23) and multiplied by the foodborne proportion for *Campylobacter* spp. and STEC, respectively. For IBS and ReA, from the literature we extracted a midpoint and range of the proportion of cases that resulted from infectious gastroenteritis (12,20,24). The IBS bacterial multiplier was then further multiplied by a foodborne multiplier for *Campylobacter* spp., nontyphoidal *Salmonella* spp., and *Shigella* spp., which was calculated as a weighted average of the foodborne multipliers for each pathogen, weighted by the total number of IBS cases for each pathogen. The ReA bacterial multiplier was then also multiplied by the foodborne multiplier for *Campylobacter* spp., nontyphoidal *Salmonella* spp., *Shigella* spp., and *Y. enterocolitica* by using a weighted average of the foodborne multipliers for each pathogen as was done for IBS (online Technical Appendices 4 and 5).




Figure. Flow chart for the approach used to calculate the estimated annual number of hospitalizations for sequelae associated with foodborne illness caused by 5 pathogens, Australia, circa 2010.

Results

Incidence

We estimated that, circa 2010 in Australia, 70 (90% CrI 30–150) new cases of *Campylobacter*-associated GBS, 70 (90% CrI 25–200) new cases of STEC-associated HUS, 19,500 (90% CrI 12,500–30,700) new cases of *Campylobacter*-, nontyphoidal *Salmonella*- and *Shigella*-associated IBS, and 16,200 (90% CrI 8,750–30,450) new cases of *Campylobacter*-, nontyphoidal *Salmonella*-, *Shigella*-, and *Y. enterocolitica*-associated ReA were domestically acquired and caused by contaminated food (Table 3). We estimated that 35,840 (90% CrI 25,000–54,000) domestically acquired sequel illnesses resulted from foodborne gastroenteritis—an incidence rate of 1,620 (90% CrI 1,150–2,450) sequelae cases per million population. *Campylobacter* spp. infection resulted in the largest number of sequelae cases annually; ≈80% of the 36,000 sequel illnesses were attributable to *Campylobacter* spp. alone.

Comparison with Estimates Circa 2000

Using data circa 2000, we estimated that 50 GBS cases, 55 HUS cases, 14,800 IBS cases, and 12,500 ReA cases occurred each year. Elsewhere, we estimated that the rate of foodborne campylobacteriosis was approximately 13% higher in 2010 than 2000 (1); this increase led to a 13% increase in *Campylobacter*-associated GBS in 2010 over 2000. Similarly, we estimated that the rate of foodborne salmonellosis was 24% higher in 2010 than in 2000 (1). These factors combine to explain much of the increase in IBS and ReA. The rate of STEC-associated HUS remained about the same in 2000 and 2010 (online Technical Appendix 3).

Hospitalizations and Deaths

We estimated that, circa 2010 in Australia, 1,080 (90% CrI 700–1,600) hospitalizations for sequelae illnesses occurred from domestically acquired foodborne gastroenteritis, equating to 50 (90% CrI 30–70) hospitalizations per million population per year (Table 4). We estimated a total of 10 (90% CrI 5–14) deaths from sequelae to domestically acquired foodborne gastroenteritis—a rate of 0.5 (90% CrI 0.2–0.6) deaths per million population per year (Table 4).

Discussion

Our study demonstrates that foodborne gastroenteritis in Australia results in substantial severe and disabling sequelae. We estimated a yearly rate of 1,620 incident cases of sequelae illnesses, 50 hospitalizations, and 0.5 deaths per million population circa 2010. In addition, a comparison with estimates recalculated for 2000 indicates an increase in the rates of GBS, IBS, and ReA since 2000, which is consistent with and directly related to rising levels of

antecedent foodborne illnesses caused by *Campylobacter* spp. and nontyphoidal *Salmonella* spp. during this period (1). This increase highlights the importance of quantifying sequelae when estimating the effects of foodborne disease and provides further impetus for reducing illness from foodborne bacterial pathogens.

The impact of *Campylobacter* spp. infection in the community is high. Approximately 179,000 cases of foodborne campylobacteriosis occur in Australia each year (1), and *Campylobacter* spp. was responsible for 80% of the foodborne sequelae illness estimated in this study. The reported rate of infection from *Campylobacter* spp. in Australia has increased since 2010 (1) and is higher than in many other industrialized countries. For example, the rate of *Campylobacter* spp. for Australia was ≈10 times higher than that for the United States (25), double that for the Netherlands (26), and slightly higher than that for the United Kingdom (27). In the Netherlands, a lower rate of acute *Campylobacter* spp. gastroenteritis has contributed to lower estimates of rates of sequel illnesses than our estimates for GBS, IBS, and ReA (26).

In New Zealand, food safety interventions have been effective in lowering campylobacteriosis rates and sequelae. In 2006, high campylobacteriosis notification rates (>3,800 cases per million population) prompted increased research on *Campylobacter* spp., which resulted in the introduction of food safety and poultry industry interventions, including *Campylobacter* spp. performance targets at primary processing plants and promotion of freezing all fresh poultry meat (28). By 2008, the rate of campylobacteriosis notifications decreased by 54% to 1,615 cases per million population (28). In addition, after these interventions in New Zealand, the rate of GBS hospitalizations decreased by 13% (29). The less dramatic decrease in GBS than in campylobacteriosis might be explained by the fact that *Campylobacter* spp. is not the only cause of GBS. If Australia were to experience decreases similar to those in New Zealand, we would expect the rate of foodborne campylobacteriosis in the community to drop from approximately 8,400 to 3,864 cases per million population. Sequelae would decrease from 1,620 to 870 cases per million population per year. Furthermore, total GBS-associated hospitalizations, including GBS from all causes and readmissions, would decrease from ≈73 to 63 hospitalizations per million population annually.

A comparison of our foodborne *Campylobacter*-associated GBS incidence estimates with raw hospitalization data showed many more hospitalizations than incident cases. This finding probably is attributable to repeat hospitalizations. We took a conservative approach by basing incidence estimates on community estimates of campylobacteriosis and assuming that all persons with incident cases were hospitalized. A yearly median of 1,536 (range

Table 3. Estimated number of sequelae illnesses resulting from domestically acquired foodborne bacterial gastroenteritis, Australia, circa 2010*

Sequelae, pathogen	Median no. Illnesses (90% CrI)	Median rate (90% CrI)†
GBS, <i>Campylobacter</i> spp.	70 (30–150)	3.1 (2–6)
HUS, STEC	70 (25–200)	3.3 (1–9)
IBS		
<i>Campylobacter</i> spp	15,600 (9,000–26,500)	915 (570–1,440)
Nontyphoidal <i>Salmonella</i> spp.‡	3,500 (1,900–6,500)	
<i>Shigella</i> spp.	30 (10–80)	
Total§	19,500 (12,500–30,700)	
ReA		
<i>Campylobacter</i> spp.	12,500 (5,500–25,500)	765 (415–1,375)
Nontyphoidal <i>Salmonella</i> spp.‡	3,250 (700–9,000)	
<i>Shigella</i> spp.	29 (10–75)	
<i>Yersinia enterocolitica</i>	150 (50–300)	
Total§	16,200 (8,500–30,000)	
Total	35,840 (25,000–54,000)	1,620 (1,150–2,450)

*CrI, credible interval; GBS, Guillain-Barré syndrome; HUS, hemolytic uremic syndrome; IBS, irritable bowel syndrome; ReA, reactive arthritis; STEC, Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*.

†No. cases per million population.

‡i.e., nontyphoidal *S. enterica* serotypes.

§Simulated values, which might not add to total because of rounding and variation over simulations.

1,428–1,632) primary and additional GBS diagnoses occurred in Australian hospitals during 2006–2010 (including GBS from all causes and readmissions) and equates to a median rate of 73.1 (range 64.7–77.4) GBS-associated hospitalizations per million population each year. This rate is within the range from a New Zealand study, which found a median rate of 56.3 (range 42.1–75.9) GBS-associated hospitalizations during a 13-year period, with ≈41% of case-patients being readmitted, resulting in 23.2 (range 15.3–29.3) incident GBS hospitalizations per million population each year (29). If we assume that 41% of Australia's 1,536 GBS hospitalizations are readmissions and apply the domestically acquired multiplier and foodborne proportion multiplier used to estimate GBS-associated deaths (online Technical Appendix 4), we would estimate 170 (90% CrI 60–265) incident foodborne *Campylobacter*-associated GBS hospitalizations. This point estimate is higher than our current estimate of 70, although the credible interval includes our estimate. A validation study of medical records of persons with GBS would enable us to better characterize readmissions for GBS.

Our approach has several limitations. First, our comparison of sequelae estimates for 2000–2010 assumes a constant rate of sequelae illness after gastrointestinal infection over time. Although our methods provide an indirect method of assessing changes in sequelae incidence over time, the approach is useful because it enables comparison

of the population-level effect of sequelae at these 2 time points. Second, our study measured incidence and not prevalence of sequelae. We estimated the number of new cases every year and did not quantify the long-term effects of these sequelae. Third, our study does not estimate all sequelae illness from foodborne disease pathogens. We did not include sequelae, such as end-stage renal disease, inflammatory bowel disease, and encephalitis, in our estimates. We chose GBS, HUS, IBS, and ReA for this study because they were known, well studied, and well characterized in available data sources. These provide a good basis to begin to understand the effects of foodborne sequelae and the policy implications of reducing illness from preceding bacterial pathogens.

Our estimates for GBS, HUS, IBS, and ReA incidence relied heavily on the quality of the literature we reviewed. We used Australian data and systematic reviews wherever possible. The Australian hospitalization and deaths data we used were of high quality and included both principal and additional diagnoses from all states. However, because data were missing from some states in some years, we extrapolated from these data to the remaining years. Finally, ICD-10 and ICD-10-AM coding can be problematic when co-morbid conditions are present, when hospital transfers occur, or when diagnostic criteria are inconsistent. Therefore, our estimates for sequelae hospitalizations and deaths may be conservative because they do not account for these coding errors.

Table 4. Estimated number of sequelae-associated hospitalizations and deaths caused by domestically acquired foodborne bacterial gastroenteritis, Australia, circa 2010*

Sequelae	Hospitalizations		Deaths	
	Median no. (90% CrI)	Rate (90% CrI)†	Median no. (90% CrI)	Rate (90% CrI)†
GBS	70 (30–150)	3.1 (2–6)	6 (2–10)	0.3 (0.1–0.5)
HUS	70 (25–200)	3.3 (1–9)	2 (1–3)	0.1 (0.03–0.12)
IBS	915 (550–1,400)	43 (25–70)	2 (1–2)	0.1 (0.05–0.11)
ReA	25 (20–40)	1 (1–2)	0	0
Total	1,080 (700–1,600)	50 (30–70)	10 (5–14)	0.5 (0.2–0.6)

*CrI, credible interval. GBS, Guillain-Barré syndrome; HUS, hemolytic uremic syndrome; IBS, irritable bowel syndrome; ReA, reactive arthritis; STEC, Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*.

†Cases per million population.

The sequelae estimates from this study showed that the impact of foodborne *Campylobacter* spp., nontyphoidal *Salmonella* spp., *Shigella* spp., STEC, and *Y. enterocolitica* was much greater than when consideration is given simply to the initial acute illness. *Campylobacter* spp. infection, in particular, was highlighted as an increasing problem in Australia. Our estimates provide a basis for costing studies, which can be useful for developing food safety policies and interventions. Finally, our study highlights the need for better data from large population-based studies in Australia to further characterize sequelae, as well as foodborne pathogens.

Acknowledgments

We thank John Bates, Kathryn Brown, Duncan Craig, Margaret Curran, Patricia Desmarchelier, Gerard Fitzsimmons, Katie Fullerton, Joy Gregory, David Jordan, Tony Merritt, Jennie Musto, Nevada Pingault, Jane Raupach, Craig Shadbolt, Martha Sinclair, Lisa Szabo, Hassan Vally, and Mark Veitch for their assistance with this study. We also thank the OzFoodNet network, public health laboratories, and health department staff in Australia for the robust collection of data on foodborne diseases.

This project was funded by the Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing, Food Standards Australia New Zealand and New South Wales Food Authority.

Ms Ford is a research assistant in the infectious disease and modelling group at the National Centre for Epidemiology and Population Health at the Australian National University. Her research interests include infectious diseases.

References

- Kirk M, Ford L, Glass K, Hall G. Foodborne illness, Australia, circa 2000 and circa 2010. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2014;20:1852–9.
- Abelson P, Potter Forbes M, Hall G. The annual cost of foodborne illness in Australia. Canberra (Australia): Commonwealth Department of Health and Ageing; 2006.
- Hughes RA, Rees JH. Clinical and epidemiological features of Guillain-Barré syndrome. *J Infect Dis.* 1997;176(Suppl2):S92–8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/513793>
- Poropatch KO, Walker CL, Black RE. Quantifying the association between *Campylobacter* infection and Guillain-Barré syndrome: a systematic review. *J Health Popul Nutr.* 2010;28:545–52.
- Elliott EJ, Robins-Browne RM. Hemolytic uremic syndrome. *Curr Probl Pediatr Adolesc Health Care.* 2005;35:310–30. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cppeds.2005.06.002>
- López EL, Contrini MM, Glastein E, Ayala SG, Santoro R, Ezcurra G, et al. An epidemiologic surveillance of Shiga-like toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* infection in Argentinean children: risk factors and serum Shiga-like toxin 2 values. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2012;31:20–4. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/INF.0b013e31822ea6cf>
- Thabane M, Kottachchi DT, Marshall JK. Systematic review and meta-analysis: the incidence and prognosis of post-infectious irritable bowel syndrome. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2007;26:535–44. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2036.2007.03399.x>
- Dai N, Cong Y, Yuan H. Prevalence of irritable bowel syndrome among undergraduates in southeast China. *Dig Liver Dis.* 2008;40:418–24. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dld.2008.01.019>
- Kim PS, Klausmeier TL, Orr DP. Reactive arthritis: a review. *J Adolesc Health.* 2009;44:309–15. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2008.12.007>
- Parkin R, Davies-Cole J, Balbus J. A definition for chronic sequelae applied to campylobacter and Guillain-Barré syndrome (GBS). *Ann Epidemiol.* 2000;10:473. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1047-2797\(00\)00108-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1047-2797(00)00108-3)
- Scallan E, Hoekstra RM, Angulo FJ, Tauxe RV, Widdowson MA, Roy SL, et al. Foodborne illness acquired in the United States—major pathogens. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2011;17:7–15. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1701.P11101>
- Hannu T. Reactive arthritis. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol.* 2011;25:347–57. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.berh.2011.01.018>
- Alvarado AS, Brodsky SV, Nadasdy T, Singh N. Hemolytic uremic syndrome associated with *Clostridium difficile* infection. *Clin Nephrol.* 2014;81:302–6. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5414/CN107691>
- D'Anchino M, Orlando D, De Feudis L. *Giardia lamblia* infections become clinically evident by eliciting symptoms of irritable bowel syndrome. *J Infect.* 2002;45:169–72. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0163-4453\(02\)91038-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0163-4453(02)91038-8)
- Marshall JK, Thabane M, Borgaonkar MR, James C. Postinfectious irritable bowel syndrome after a food-borne outbreak of acute gastroenteritis attributed to a viral pathogen. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2007;5:457–60. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2006.11.025>
- Tam CC, Rodrigues LC, Petersen I, Islam A, Hayward A, O'Brien SJ. Incidence of Guillain-Barré syndrome among patients with *Campylobacter* infection: a general practice research database study. *J Infect Dis.* 2006;194:95–7. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/504294>
- McCarthy N, Giesecke J. Incidence of Guillain-Barré syndrome following infection with *Campylobacter jejuni*. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2001;153:610–4. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/aje/153.6.610>
- Allos BM. Association between *Campylobacter* infection and Guillain-Barré syndrome. *J Infect Dis.* 1997;176(Suppl 2):S125–8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/513783>
- Vally H, Hall G, Dyda A, Raupach J, Knope K, Combs B, et al. Epidemiology of Shiga toxin producing *Escherichia coli* in Australia, 2000–2010. *BMC Public Health.* 2012;12:63–71. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-12-63>
- Haagsma JA, Siersema PD, De Wit NJ, Havelaar AH. Disease burden of post-infectious irritable bowel syndrome in the Netherlands. *Epidemiol Infect.* 2010;138:1650–6. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0950268810000531>
- Government of Australia. National Notifiable Disease Surveillance System (NNDSS). [cited 2013 Apr 5]. <http://www9.health.gov.au/cda/source/cda-index.cfm>
- Hall G, Kirk M. Foodborne illnesses in Australia: annual incidence circa 2000. Canberra (Australia): Commonwealth Department of Health and Ageing; 2005 April. report no. 0642825769.
- Walker CL, Applegate JA, Black RE. Haemolytic-uraemic syndrome as a sequela of diarrhoeal disease. *J Health Popul Nutr.* 2012;30:257–61. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3329/jhpn.v30i3.12288>
- Schwille-Kiuntke J, Frick JS, Zanger P, Enck P. Post-infectious irritable bowel syndrome—a review of the literature. *Z Gastroenterol.* 2011;49:997–1003. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-0031-1281581>
- Vally H, Hall G, Scallan E, Kirk MD, Angulo FJ. Higher rate of culture-confirmed *Campylobacter* infections in Australia than in the USA: is this due to differences in healthcare-seeking behaviour or stool culture frequency? *Epidemiol Infect.* 2009;137:1751–8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0950268809990161>
- Havelaar AH, Haagsma JA, Mangen MJ, Kemmeren JM, Verhoef LP, Vijgen SM, et al. Disease burden of foodborne pathogens in the Netherlands, 2009. *Int J Food Microbiol.* 2012;156:231–8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2012.03.029>
- Tam CC, Rodrigues LC, Viviani L, Dodds JP, Evans MR, Hunter PR, et al. Longitudinal study of infectious intestinal disease in the UK (IID2

- study): incidence in the community and presenting to general practice. *Gut*. 2012;61:69–77. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/gut.2011.238386>
28. Sears A, Baker MG, Wilson N, Marshall J, Muellner P, Campbell DM, et al. Marked campylobacteriosis decline after interventions aimed at poultry, New Zealand. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2011;17:1007–15. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1706.101272>
29. Baker MG, Kvalsvig A, Zhang J, Lake R, Sears A, Wilson N. Declining Guillain-Barré syndrome after campylobacteriosis control,

New Zealand, 1988–2010. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2012;18:226–33. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1802.111126>

Address for correspondence: Martyn Kirk, National Centre for Epidemiology and Population Health, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia; email: martyn.kirk@anu.edu.au

The image is a screenshot of the CDC's Facebook page. At the top, there is a navigation bar with the Facebook logo and a login section for 'Email or Phone' and 'Password'. Below this is a large banner for 'Solve the Outbreak' featuring a tablet displaying a network diagram and the text 'New outbreaks! CDC is on Facebook. To connect with CDC, sign up for Facebook today.' with 'Sign Up' and 'Log In' buttons. The banner also includes icons for various social media and utility functions and the text 'Download the iPad app today.' and 'SOLVE THE OUTBREAK' with a magnifying glass icon. Below the banner is the CDC profile header, including the CDC logo, the tagline 'SAFER. HEALTHIER. PEOPLE.', and the text 'CDC 24/7 Saving Lives Protecting People™'. It also shows '263,397 likes · 3,144 taking about this'. Below the header are sections for 'About', 'Photos', 'Likes' (showing 263k likes), 'Vital Signs', and 'Welcome'. A 'Highlights' dropdown menu is visible. The main content area shows a post from CDC shared a link 45 minutes ago with the text '#Heatwave safety tip: Muscle cramping might be the first sign of heat-related illness, and may lead to heat exhaustion or stroke. Learn how to recognize heat exhaustion and heat stroke and know what to do:'. Below the text is a thumbnail for 'Extreme Heat and Your Health: Warning Signs and Symptoms of Heat Illness'. To the right, there is a section for 'Recent Posts by Others on CDC' with three posts from Carol Ferguson, Thomas Roles, and Najim Samoural.

Find emerging infectious disease information on **facebook**
<http://www.facebook.com>