# Influence of parental education levels on eating habits of pupils in Nigerian primary schools

MaryJoy Umoke, PhD<sup>a</sup>, Prince C. I. Umoke, PhD<sup>b,\*</sup>, Nkechi G. Onyeke, PhD<sup>c</sup>, Vera Victor-Aigbodion, PhD<sup>d,e</sup>, Chiedu Eseadi, PhD<sup>e</sup>, Elizabeth N. Ebizie, PhD<sup>e</sup>, Patience Enuma Obiweluozo, PhD<sup>e</sup>, Uchenna Eugenia Uzodinma, PhD<sup>e</sup>, Chiamaka Adaobi Chukwuone, PhD<sup>f</sup>, Ifeoma Ngozi Dimelu, PhD<sup>f</sup>, Rowland C. Uwakwe, PhD<sup>g</sup>, Mercy Benedette Ifeoma Uba, PhD<sup>g</sup>, Chinyere Ori Elom, PhD<sup>g</sup>, Raphael Oluwasina Babalola Folorunsho, MPH<sup>h</sup>

#### Abstract

This study investigated the eating habits of pupils in Nigerian primary schools based on the respective education levels of their parents. Data were obtained using the Child Eating Behavior Questionnaire (CEBQ). Participants included a total of 144 pupils who were purposively selected from 6 primary schools. Based on responses, a cross-sectional analytic study design was implemented to investigate how parental education levels (PELs) influenced the eating habits of their children. Data assessment was performed using a one-way between-group analysis of variance at the .05 probability level.

PELs significantly affected the eating habits of participants, respectively. Specifically, low PEL was associated with more satiety responsiveness to food (F [2, 141]=14.251, P < .001), higher responsiveness to food (F [2, 141]=36.943, P = <.001) greater food enjoyment (F [2, 141]=93.322, P < .001), greater drinking desires (F [2, 141]=23.677, P < .001), and the tendency for emotional over-eating (F [2, 141]=13.428, P < .001), while high PEL was associated with slower eating (F [2, 141]=11.665, P < .001), fussier responses to food (F [2, 141]=14.865, P < .001), and a higher tendency for emotional under-eating (F [2, 141]=5.137, P < .01).

This study examined PELs in relation to the respective eating habits of their children, who were attending Nigerian primary schools. Data showed that children with parents who had high, middle, and low education levels tended to exhibit progressively worse eating habits, in descending order.

**Abbreviations:** CEBQ = Child Eating Behavior Questionnaire, DD = desire to drink, EOE = emotional over-eating, EUE = emotional under-eating, EF = enjoyment of food, FF = food fussiness, FR = food responsiveness, SE = slowness in eating, SR = satiety responsiveness, PELs = parental education levels.

Keywords: eating Habits, parents' education level, pupils

#### Editor: Marcello Iriti.

The authors have no conflicts of interests to disclose.

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

<sup>a</sup> Department of Human Kinetics and Health Education, Ebonyi State University, Abakaliki, Ebonyi State, <sup>b</sup> Department of Human Kinetics and Health Education, University of Nigeria, <sup>c</sup> Department of Home Science and Management, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Enugu State, Nigeria, <sup>d</sup> Department of Educational Psychology, University of Johannesburg, South Africa, <sup>e</sup> Department of Educational Foundations, University of Nigeria, <sup>f</sup> Department of Home Economics and Hospitality Management, University of Nigeria Nsukka, Enugu State, <sup>g</sup> Department of Educational Foundations, Alex-Ekwueme Federal University Ndufu Alike Ikwo, Ebonyi State, Nigeria, <sup>h</sup> Family Medicine Department, Tawam Hospital, United Arab Emirates.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Correspondence: Prince C. I. Umoke, Department of Human Kinetics and Health Education, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Enugu State, Nigeria (e-mail: prince.umoke@unn.edu.ng)

Copyright © 2020 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial License 4.0 (CCBY-NC), where it is permissible to download, share, remix, transform, and buildup the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be used commercially without permission from the journal.

How to cite this article: Umoke M, Umoke PC, Onyeke NG, Victor-Aigbodion V, Eseadi C, Ebizie EN, Obiweluozo PE, Uzodinma UE, Chukwuone CA, Dimelu IN, Uwakwe RC, Uba MB, Elom CO, Folorunsho RO. Influence of parental education levels on eating habits of pupils in Nigerian primary schools. Medicine 2020;99:43(e22953).

Received: 20 May 2020 / Received in final form: 21 September 2020 / Accepted: 25 September 2020

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000022953

# 1. Introduction

Many educators are concerned about healthy child behavior.<sup>[1–5]</sup> Research has shown that a healthy lifestyle consisting of adequate eating habits promotes child health,<sup>[6]</sup> and should thus be monitored to ensure appropriate development both physically and psychologically. Although adequate eating habits are a vital aspect of a healthy lifestyle, studies have shown that many children have irregular eating habits,<sup>[7–9]</sup> which may result in susceptibility to cardiovascular disease, cancer, stroke, diabetes, hypertension, diet-related early mortality, and economic consequences such as high medical costs, low productivity due to disability, and low output in later life.<sup>[10–14]</sup>

Medicine

While these concerns have been reported in relation to gender, age, and household socioeconomic status, <sup>[2,6,7,15]</sup> there is a lack of information on how PEL affects the eating habits of children, especially in developing nations such as Nigeria. This study investigated the eating habits of 144 Nigerian primary school students, respectively based on PEL. Mean differences were thus analyzed for the following items: satiety responsiveness (SR) and PEL, slowness in eating (SE) and PEL, food fussiness (FF) and PEL, food responsiveness (FR) and PEL, emotional under-eating (EUE) and PEL, and emotional over-eating (EOE) and PEL.

In view of the above, this study tested the following hypotheses:

1. There are no significant mean differences in SR between students based on respective PELs.

# Demographic chart



- 2. There are no significant mean differences in SE between students based on respective PELs.
- 3. There are no significant mean differences in FF between students based on respective PELs.
- 4. There are no significant mean differences in FR between students based on respective PELs.
- 5. There are no significant mean differences in the EF between students based on respective PELs.
- 6. There are no significant mean differences in the desire to drink (DD) between students based on respective PELs.
- 7. There are no significant mean differences in EUE between students based on respective PELs.
- 8. There are no significant mean differences in EOE between students based on respective PELs.

# 2. Methods

This cross-sectional analytical study received ethical approval from the Faculty of Education Research Ethics Committee, University of Nigeria, Nsukka. Parents of the participants also provided informed consent. Further, the investigation was conducted following the ethical guidelines for researching human participants required by the World Medical Association's Declaration of Helsinki. A power analysis was first carried out to establish the proper sample size, which was calculated based on a target power of 0.90 at an alpha-level of 0.05 for a one-way analysis of variance test, as established using the G-Power 3.1.9.4 software.<sup>[16,17]</sup> A minimum sample size of 84 participants was thus suggested.

More specifically, this study was conducted among 144 students who were purposively recruited from a total of 6 primary schools in Abakaliki, Ebonyi State, South-East, Nigeria. Inclusion conditions were set as follows: a school must consent to data collection among participants, parents must give informed consent for each participant, parents must be able to complete questionnaires and return them to the researchers within the stipulated timeframe, and students must attend primary school. On the other hand, exclusion conditions were set as follows: students, their parents, and/or schools did not meet the inclusion conditions. Figure 1 shows information on the sample recruited from each primary school.

The Child Eating Behavior Questionnaire (CEBQ)<sup>[18]</sup> was used to evaluate participant eating habits. It is comprised of 35 items across eight subscales, including SR (5 items), SE (4 items), FF (6 items), FR (5 items), EF (4 items), desire to drink (DD) (3 items), EUE (4 items), and EOE (4 items). CEBQ items are rated on a 5point rating scale ranging from never (0) to always (4). Previous studies have already ensured the validity of the CEBQ for measuring eating styles among children (Cronbach's alpha: 0.72– 0.91; test-retest reliability, *r*: 0.52–0.87).<sup>[18,19]</sup> Internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha) was established for each CEBQ subscale, as follows: SR=0.58, SE=0.75, FF=0.70, FR=0.57, EF=0.56, DD=0.52,EUE=0.59,and EOE=0.59.

PEL refers to the highest education level a student's parents have achieved. This was assessed using the PEL categorization.<sup>[20]</sup> PELs were thus divided into 7 categories, including 1= none, 2=elementary school, 3=some high school, 4=completed high school/attended trade/business school, 5=some college, 6= completed college, and 7=graduate work. In this study, the above categories were further condensed into 3 categories, including low=less than high school graduation, middle=high school graduation, and high=some college and above. For the analysis, low, middle, and high were scored as 1, 2, and 3, respectively.

The CEBQ questionnaires were distributed and reclaimed from respondents by 2 research assistants. More specifically, the respondents, researchers, and assistants convened in school halls to complete the questionnaires during long break periods. Respondents were given directions and provided with enough time (about 60 minutes) to answer all items. They were advised to alert the researchers or assistants if they required further clarification on any item or needed help understanding how to complete the questionnaire. These measures resulted in response and return rates of 100%.

A one-way between-group analysis of variance statistic was used to analyze the collected data. We also tested for data normality and violation of assumptions. Data were normally Table 1

CEBQ scores across parental education levels among pupils.

Hypotheses	CEBQ Sub-scales	PELs	Ν	Mean	SD	F	Р	95%CI
Ho <sub>1</sub>	Satiety responsiveness (SR)	High	30	17.73	1.84	14.251	<.001	17.05–18.42
		Middle	60	18.92	2.68			18.22-19.61
		Low	54	20.43	2.04			19.87–20.98
Ho <sub>2</sub>	Slowness in eating (SE)	High	30	17.53	1.38	11.665	<.001	17.02–18.05
		Middle	60	16.17	1.91			15.67-16.66
		Low	54	15.76	1.41			15.37–16.15
Ho <sub>3</sub>	Food fussiness (FF)	High	30	24.53	2.33	14.865	<.001	23.66-25.40
		Middle	60	21.43	2.99			20.66-22.21
		Low	54	20.91	3.39			19.98–21.84
Ho <sub>4</sub>	Food responsiveness (FR)	High	30	18.36	1.50	36.943	<.001	17.81–18.93
		Middle	60	20.47	2.13			19.92-21.02
		Low	54	21.83	1.45			21.44-22.23
Ho <sub>5</sub>	Enjoyment of food (EF)	High	30	15.10	0.96	93.322	<.001	14.74–15.46
		Middle	60	17.90	1.07			17.62–18.18
		Low	54	18.37	1.19			18.05–18.69
Ho <sub>6</sub>	Desire to drink (DD)	High	30	11.70	1.12	23.677	<.001	11.28-12.12
		Middle	60	13.17	1.14			12.87-13.46
		Low	54	13.46	1.21			13.13–13.79
Ho <sub>7</sub>	Emotional under-eating (EUE)	High	30	18.06	1.34	5.137	<.01	17.57–18.57
		Middle	60	16.90	1.65			16.47-17.33
		Low	54	16.78	2.30			16.15-17.41
Ho <sub>8</sub>	Emotional over-eating (EOE)	High	30	16.23	2.12	13.428	<.001	15.44-17.03
		Middle	60	17.65	1.31			17.31–17.99
		Low	54	18.02	1.39			17.64–18.40

distributed, and statistical assumptions were obtained. We also screened for missing data, but found no such problems. Statistical analyses were performed using the IBM SPSS version 22 software. Results were considered significant at  $P \le .05$ .

#### 3. Results

Table 1 shows the results of the one-way between-group analysis of variance, which was conducted to explore mean differences between the eating habits of participants based on PEL, with eating habits measured by the CEBQ. Participants were divided into 3 groups according to PEL (ie, high, middle, and low). There were statistically significant intergroup differences in regard to SR (F [2, 141] = 14.251, P = <.001). That is, participants in the low PEL group tended to be more satiety responsive to food (M= 20.43, SD=2.04; 95%CI=19.87. 20.98) when compared to those from the middle and high PEL groups. Further, participants in the middle PEL group (M = 18.92, SD = 2.69; 95% CI = 18.22. 19.61) tended to be more satiety responsive to food when compared to those in the high PEL group (M = 17.73, SD = 1.84;95%CI=17.05. 18.42). There were also statistically significant intergroup differences in regard to SE (F [2,141]=11.665, P = <.001). More specifically, participants in the high PEL group tended to eat slower (M=17.53, SD=1.38; 95%CI=17.02. 18.05) when compared to those in the middle PEL group (M =16.17, SD=1.91;95%CI=15.67. 16.66) and low PEL group (M = 15.76, SD = 1.41; 95% CI = 15.37 - 16.15).

Next, we found statistically significant intergroup differences in regard to FF (F [2,141]=14.865, P=<.001). That is, participants in the high PEL group tended to be fussier about their food (M=24.53, SD=2.33; 95%CI=23.66–25.40) when compared to those in the middle PEL group (M=21.43, SD= 2.99; 95%CI=20.66. 22.21) and low PEL group (M=20.91, SD=3.39; 95%CI=19.98–21.84). There were also statistically significant intergroup differences in FR (F [2, 141]=36.943, P < .001), in which participants in the low PEL group exhibited higher overall FR (M=21.83, SD= 1.45; 95%CI=21.44–22.23) when compared to those in the middle PEL group (M=20.47, SD=2.13; 95%CI=19.92– 21.02) and high PEL group (M=18.36, SD=1.50;95%CI= 17.81. 18.93). We found statistically significant intergroup differences in EF (F [2, 141]=93.322, P < .001). Specifically, those in the low PEL group tended to enjoy food more (M= 18.37, SD=1.19; 95%CI=18.05–18.69) than those in the middle PEL group (M=17.90, SD=1.07; 95%CI=17.62– 18.18) and high PEL group (M=15.10, SD=0.96; 95%CI= 14.74–15.46).

We found statistically significant intergroup differences in DD (*F* [2, 141]=23.677, *P* < .001), as participants in the low PEL group were more desirous of drinking (M=13.46, SD=1.21; 95%CI=13.13–13.79) when compared to those in the middle PEL group (M=13.17, SD=1.14; 95%CI=12.87. 13.46) and high PEL group (M=11.70, SD=1.12; 95%CI=11.28. 12.12).

There were also statistically significant intergroup differences in EUE (*F* [2, 141]=5.137, *P* < .01). That is, participants in the high PEL group tended to emotionally undereat more often (M= 18.06, SD=1.34; 95%CI=17.57. 18.57) than those in the middle PEL group (M=16.90, SD=1.65; 95%CI=16.47– 17.33) and low PEL group (M=16.78, SD=2.30; 95% CI= 16.15–17.41). On the other hand, there were statistically significant intergroup differences in EOE (*F* [2, 141]=13.428, *P* < .001), with participants in the low PEL group emotionally overeating more often (M=18.02, SD=1.39; 95%CI=17.64. 18.40) than those in the middle PEL group (M=17.65, SD= 1.31; 95%CI=17.31. 17.99) and high PEL group (M=16.23, SD=2.12; 95%CI=15.44–17.03). Our results thus indicate that PEL significantly affects the eating habits of school children.

## 4. Discussion

This study investigated how PEL affected the eating habits of primary school students in Nigeria. Results showed that those that those in the low PEL group tended to be more satiety responsive to food than those in the middle and high PEL groups, while those in the middle PEL group tended to be more satiety responsive to food than those in the high PEL group, as reported in previous studies.<sup>[21,22]</sup> This study also found that participants in the high PEL group tended to eat slower than those in the middle and low PEL groups, while those in the middle PEL group tended to eat slower than those in the low PEL group. Next, participants in the high PEL group tended to be fussier about their food than those in the middle and low PEL groups, while those in the middle PEL group were fussier about their food than those in the low PEL group. This study also found that participants in the low PEL group tended to be more responsive to food when compared to those in the middle and high PEL groups, as reported by other research.<sup>[2,23]</sup> On this note, participants in the middle PEL group were more food-responsive than those in the high PEL group. Results also showed that participants in the low PEL group tended to enjoy food more than those in the middle and high PEL groups. Further, participants in the high PEL group tended to enjoy food less than those in the middle PEL group, as supported by previous research.<sup>[24]</sup> This study also discovered a trend in which participants in the low PEL group were more desirous of drinking than those in the middle and high PEL groups, while those in the middle PEL group more desirous of drinking than those in the high PEL group. Given our in-depth analysis of the chosen variables, we also noticed that those in the high PEL group tended to emotionally undereat more often than those in the middle and low PEL groups, while those in the middle PEL group tended to emotionally undereat more often than those in the low PEL group. Finally, we found that participants in the low PEL group tended to emotionally overeat more often than those in the middle and high PEL groups, while those in the middle PEL group tended to emotionally overeat more often than those in the high PEL group.

Our findings showed that most problematic eating behaviors occurred among students whose parents had low and mid-level educational attainment. Related studies have also established that parental educational attainment is among the most important determinants of healthy eating habits for children.<sup>[2,21-25]</sup> Specifically, one study<sup>[25]</sup> found that the eating habit scores of children progressively increased with higher parental education qualifications. Children whose parents had low education levels were found to have poor eating habits for most tested indicators, such as SR, SE, the desire to drink, FR, and emotional overeating. This study's findings are also in accordance with other previous studies, including those showing that low parental education was related to poor eating habits among school children<sup>[26,27]</sup> and those demonstrating a relationship between PELs and healthy eating habits.<sup>[21,22]</sup>

Despite this study's important contributions to the literature, certain limitations may have influenced its results. The use of the CEBQ dataset as derived from questionnaires completed by the parents of participants may have introduced some bias due to the possibility of under- or overestimating the eating habits of the children. Because a cross-sectional research design was used, a causal relationship could not be established between PELs and participant eating habits. Next, the sample size was small. Prospective investigations using larger samples are required to

overcome these limitations. Again, caution should be taken when interpreting our findings in that we did not consider other factors (confounding factors) that may have influenced the results, such as economic status and disability. Future studies should explore the extent to which these confounding factors may affect how PEL impacts the eating habits of children.

In conclusion, children whose parents have low education levels tend to have less healthy eating habits than children whose parents have high education levels. Our findings contribute to the body of knowledge showing how PEL differentially affects healthy eating behaviors among children. Interventions designed to improve these eating habits should focus on the roles of parents in the development of eating behaviors through nutritional education and counseling, which have been suggested as viable strategies in this context.<sup>[28,29]</sup> We also suggest that school administrators make additional efforts to ensure that students benefit from nutritional education and counseling while at school.

### Author contributions

**Conceptualization:** MaryJoy Umoke, Prince C. I. Umoke, Vera Victor-Aigbodion, Chiedu Eseadi, Patience Enuma Obiweluozo, Chiamaka Adaobi Chukwuone, Ifeoma Ngozi Dimelu, Rowland C. Uwakwe, Chinyere Ori Elom, Raphael Oluwasina Babalola Folorunsho.

- Data curation: MaryJoy Umoke, Prince C. I. Umoke, Nkechi G. Onyeke, Vera Victor-Aigbodion, Chiedu Eseadi, Patience Enuma Obiweluozo, Uchenna E. Uzodinma, Chiamaka Adaobi Chukwuone, Ifeoma Ngozi Dimelu, Rowland C. Uwakwe, Mercy Benedette Ifeoma Uba.
- Formal analysis: MaryJoy Umoke, Prince C. I. Umoke, Nkechi G. Onyeke, Vera Victor-Aigbodion, Chiedu Eseadi, Elizabeth N Ebizie, Patience Enuma Obiweluozo, Uchenna E. Uzodinma, Chiamaka Adaobi Chukwuone, Ifeoma Ngozi Dimelu, Rowland C. Uwakwe, Mercy Benedette Ifeoma Uba, Chinyere Ori Elom, Raphael Oluwasina Babalola Folorunsho.
- Funding acquisition: MaryJoy Umoke, Prince C. I. Umoke, Nkechi G. Onyeke, Vera Victor-Aigbodion, Chiedu Eseadi, Elizabeth N. Ebizie, Patience Enuma Obiweluozo, Uchenna E. Uzodinma, Chiamaka Adaobi Chukwuone, Ifeoma Ngozi Dimelu, Rowland C. Uwakwe, Mercy Benedette Ifeoma Uba, Chinyere Ori Elom, Raphael Oluwasina Babalola Folorunsho.
- Investigation: MaryJoy Umoke, Prince C. I. Umoke, Nkechi G. Onyeke, Vera Victor-Aigbodion, Chiedu Eseadi, Elizabeth N. Ebizie, Patience Enuma Obiweluozo, Uchenna E. Uzodinma, Chiamaka Adaobi Chukwuone, Ifeoma Ngozi Dimelu, Rowland C. Uwakwe, Mercy Benedette Ifeoma Uba, Chinyere Ori Elom, Raphael Oluwasina Babalola Folorunsho.
- Methodology: MaryJoy Umoke, Prince C. I. Umoke, Vera Victor-Aigbodion, Chiedu Eseadi, Patience Enuma Obiweluozo, Uchenna E. Uzodinma, Chiamaka Adaobi Chukwuone, Ifeoma Ngozi Dimelu, Rowland C. Uwakwe, Mercy Benedette Ifeoma Uba, Chinyere Ori Elom, Raphael Oluwasina Babalola Folorunsho.
- Project administration: MaryJoy Umoke, Nkechi G. Onyeke, Vera Victor-Aigbodion, Elizabeth N. Ebizie, Uchenna E. Uzodinma, Chiamaka Adaobi Chukwuone, Ifeoma Ngozi Dimelu, Rowland C. Uwakwe, Mercy Benedette Ifeoma Uba.
- **Resources:** Nkechi G. Onyeke, Vera Victor-Aigbodion, Chinyere Ori Elom, Raphael Oluwasina Babalola Folorunsho, Elizabeth N Ebizie, Rowland C. Uwakwe.

- Software: MaryJoy Umoke, Prince C. I. Umoke, Nkechi G. Onyeke, Vera Victor-Aigbodion, Chiedu Eseadi, Elizabeth N. Ebizie, Patience Enuma Obiweluozo, Chiamaka Adaobi Chukwuone, Ifeoma Ngozi Dimelu, Rowland C. Uwakwe.
- Supervision: Patience Enuma Obiweluozo, Uchenna E. Uzodinma, Chiamaka Adaobi Chukwuone, Ifeoma Ngozi Dimelu, Rowland C. Uwakwe, Mercy Benedette Ifeoma Uba.
- Validation: MaryJoy Umoke, Vera Victor-Aigbodion, Uchenna E. Uzodinma, Rowland C. Uwakwe, Mercy Benedette Ifeoma Uba, Chinyere Ori Elom, Raphael Oluwasina Babalola Folorunsho.
- Writing original draft: MaryJoy Umoke, Prince C. I. Umoke, Vera Victor-Aigbodion, Chiedu Eseadi, Elizabeth N. Ebizie, Patience Enuma Obiweluozo, Uchenna E. Uzodinma, Chiamaka Adaobi Chukwuone, Ifeoma Ngozi Dimelu, Rowland C. Uwakwe, Chinyere Ori Elom, Raphael Oluwasina Babalola Folorunsho.
- Writing review & editing: MaryJoy Umoke, Prince C. I. Umoke, Nkechi G. Onyeke, Vera Victor-Aigbodion, Chiedu Eseadi, Elizabeth N. Ebizie, Uchenna E. Uzodinma, Ifeoma Ngozi Dimelu, Rowland C Uwakwe, Mercy Benedette Ifeoma Uba, Chinyere Ori Elom, Raphael Oluwasina Babalola Folorunsho.

#### References

- Brousseau ME, Schaefer EJ. Diet and coronary heart disease: clinical trials. Curr Atheroscler Rep 2000;2:487–93.
- [2] Kollataj W, Sygit K, Sygit M, et al. Eating habits of children and adolescents from rural regions depending on gender, education, and economic status of parents. Ann Agric Environ Med 2011;18:393–7.
- [3] Mazur A, Klimek K, Telega G, et al. Risk factors for obesity development in school children from south-eastern Poland. Ann Agric Environ Med 2008;15:281–5.
- [4] Association AD. Standards of medical care for patients with diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care 2002;25:213–29.
- [5] Rasmussen VB, Rivett D. The European Network of Health Promoting Schools–an alliance of health, education and democracy. Health Educ 2000.
- [6] Scaglioni S, De Cosmi V, Ciappolino V, et al. Factors influencing children's eating behaviours. Nutrients 2018;10:706.
- [7] Lien N, Jacobs DR, Klepp KI. Exploring predictors of eating behaviour among adolescents by gender and socio-economic status. Public Health Nutr 2002;5:671–81.
- [8] Sleddens EF, Kremers SP, Thijs C. The Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire: factorial validity and association with Body Mass Index in Dutch children aged 6-7. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2008;5:49.
- [9] Sirirassamee T, Hunchangsith P. Children's eating behavior questionnaire: factorial validation and differences in sex and educational level in Thai school-age children. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 2016;47:1325–34.
- [10] Leren P. Prevention of coronary heart disease by diet. Postgrad Med J 1975;51(suppl-44):6.

- [11] WHO. Promoting Fruit and Vegetable Consumption around the World. In: Global Strategy on Diet, Physical Activity and Health. Vol. B; 2006. Available at: www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/fruit/en/index.html.
- [12] Frazao E. High costs of poor eating patterns in the United States. Heart Dis 1999;732:
- [13] Chan M, Kelly J, Batterham M, et al. A high prevalence of abnormal nutrition parameters found in predialysis end-stage kidney disease: is it a result of uremia or poor eating habits? J Ren Nutr 2014;24:292–302.
- [14] Umeokonkwo AA, Ibekwe MU, Umeokonkwo CD, et al. Nutritional status of school-age children in Abakaliki metropolis, Ebonyi State, Nigeria. BMC Pediatr 2020;20:1–9.
- [15] Jodkowska M, Oblacińska A, Tabak I, et al. Wystepowanie zaburzeń wzrastania i stanu odzywienia u polskich 13-latków w pierwszej dekadzie XXI wieku [Prevalence of growth and nutritional status disorders among Polish 13-year-olds in the first decade of 21st century]. Przegl Epidemiol 2010;64:565–70.
- [16] Faul F, Erdfelder E, Lang A-G, et al. G\* Power 3: a flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. Behav Res Methods 2007;39:175–91.
- [17] Faul F, Erdfelder E, Buchner A, et al. Statistical power analyses using G\* Power 3.1: Tests for correlation and regression analyses. Behav Res Methods 2009;41:1149–60.
- [18] Wardle J, Guthrie CA, Sanderson S, et al. Development of the children's eating behaviour questionnaire. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 2001;42: 963–70.
- [19] Viana V, Sinde S, Saxton JC. Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire: associations with BMI in Portuguese children. Br J Nutr 2008;100: 445–50.
- [20] Sewell WH, Shah VP. Parents' education and children's educational aspirations and achievements. Am Sociol Rev 1968;33:191–209.
- [21] Groth MV, Fagt S, Brøndsted L. Social determinants of dietary habits in Denmark. Eur J Clin Nutr 2001;55:959–66.
- [22] Osler M, Andreasen AH, Heitmann B, et al. Food intake patterns and risk of coronary heart disease: a prospective cohort study examining the use of traditional scoring techniques. Eur J Clin Nutr 2002;56:568–74.
- [23] Xie B, Gilliland FD, Li Y-F, et al. Effects of ethnicity, family income, and education on dietary intake among adolescents. Prev Med 2003;36: 30–40.
- [24] van Ansem WJ, Schrijvers CT, Rodenburg G, et al. Maternal educational level and children's healthy eating behaviour: role of the home food environment (cross-sectional results from the INPACT study). Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2014;11:113.
- [25] Robinson SM, Crozier SR, Borland SE, et al. Impact of educational attainment on the quality of young women's diets. Eur J Clin Nutr 2004;58:1174–80.
- [26] Lasserre AM, Chiolero A, Cachat F, et al. Overweight in Swiss children and associations with children's and parents' characteristics. Obesity 2007;15:2912–9.
- [27] Sausenthaler S, Kompauer I, Mielck A, et al. Impact of parental education and income inequality on children's food intake. Public Health Nutr 2007;10:24–33.
- [28] Eze NM, Maduabum FO, Onyeke NG, et al. Awareness of food nutritive value and eating practices among Nigerian bank workers: implications for nutritional counseling and education. Medicine (Baltimore) 2017;96: e6283.
- [29] Eze NM, Okwume UG, Eseadi C, et al. Acceptability and consumption of tofu as a meat alternative among secondary school boarders in Enugu State, Nigeria: implications for nutritional counseling and education. Medicine (Baltimore) 2018;97:e13155.