Differential systemic gene expression profile in patients with diabetic macular edema: Responders versus nonresponders to standard treatment

Supriya S Dabir, Debashish Das¹, Jeyabalan Nallathambi², Shwetha Mangalesh, Naresh Kumar Yadav, Jan S A G Schouten³

Introduction: Diabetic macular edema (DME) is a vision-threatening complication of diabetic retinopathy. The current practice of management is a" trial and error "method of using intravitreal antivascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)" or steroids to treat the patient and watch the response. However, if the patient's genetic profile helps us choose appropriate medicine, it would help customize treatment option for each patient. This forms the basis of our study. Materials and Methods: A case-control, prospective, observational series, where DME patients were treated with bevacizumab and subclassified as treatment naïve, treatment responders, and treatment nonresponders. Blood samples of 20 subjects were studied, with five patients in each of the groups (nondiabetic- group 1, treatment naïve- group 2, treatment responder- group 3, and treatment nonresponder-group 4). Whole blood RNA extraction followed by labeling, amplification and hybridization was done, and microarray data analyzed. Genes were classified based on functional category and pathways. Results: The total number of genes upregulated among all three experimental groups was 5, whereas 105 genes were downregulated. There were no common genes upregulated between the responders and nonresponders. There was only one gene upregulated between the diabetic and diabetic responders posttreatment. There were 19 genes upregulated and 8 genes downregulated in the inflammatory pathway in group 2 versus group 1. There were no downregulated genes detected in vascular angiogenesis and transcription group. There were identical numbers of genes up- and downregulated in the inflammatory pathway. Seventeen genes were upreguated and 11 genes downregulated in receptor activity, which remained the predominant group in the group classification. Discussion: In summary, this study would provide an insight into the probable signaling mechanisms for disease pathogenesis as well as progression. This type of study eventually would aid in developing or improvising existing treatment modules with a rational approach towards personalized medicine, in future addressing the differential responses to treatment.



Key words: Bevacizumab, diabetic macular edema, gene expression profile, microarray analysis

Diabetes is pandemic and according to the international diabetes federation report, the global prevalence of diabetes is 366 million people with 4.6 million deaths in 2011 and by 2030, it is projected to nearly double.^[1]

Diabetic macular edema (DME) is a vision-threatening complication of diabetic retinopathy. The incidence of DME is estimated to be 2.3/100 person-years for the overall diabetic population and 4.5 for patients on insulin therapy.^[2]

DME is caused by disruption of the blood-retinal barrier. Elevated glucose levels induce increased permeability, cytokine activation; altered blood flow, hypoxia, and inflammation.^[3] Hypoxia caused by microvascular disease stimulates the release of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), leading to increased vascular permeability and resultant retinal edema. Higher vitreous VEGF levels were demonstrated in eyes with macular edema compared to eyes without macular edema in

Manuscript received: 22.10.13; Revision accepted: 03.12.13

diabetic patients, and these high levels correlates to severity of DME (The insufficient amount of anti-VEGF may contribute to the nonresponse of treatment. Therefore, correlates of nonresponse may reflect severity of DME).^[1] Multiple studies provide evidence that progression to DME is associated with duration of disease, poor glycemic control, and the need for insulin in type 2 diabetes.^[4,5]

Current protocol on management of DME depends on whether there is foveal involvement or whether vision is affected. If there is no foveal involvement, treatment is as per Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) guidelines, for example, focal laser. If fovea is involved, it depends if vision is affected or not. If vision is affected, an anti-VEGF injection is considered as monotherapy. If vision is not affected, treatment is as per ETDRS guidelines, for example, focal laser.^[6]

Anti-VEGFs form the mainstay of treatment of DME. Current drugs are ranibizumab (humanized antibody fragment directed at all isoforms of VEGF-A) and bevacizumab (full-size, humanized, recombinant monoclonal antibody that inactivates all VEGF isoform), Aflibercept is the latest entrant in this group but yet to be used in DME. The other category of drugs is intravitreal steroids/implants. The current practice is a" trial and error "method to treat the patient and watch the response. However, if the patient's genetic profile helps us choose the

Department of Retina, ¹Stem Cell Research Laboratory, ²Department of Genetics, Narayana Nethralaya, Bangalore, Karnataka, India, ³University Eye Clinic, Maastricht University Medical Centre, The Netherlands

Correspondence to: Dr. Supriya Dabir, Narayana Nethralaya, Plot No 121/C, 1st R Block, Rajajinagar, Bangalore - 560 010, Karnataka, India. E-mail: supriad@gmail.com

appropriate medicine, it would help us individualize our treatment for each and every patient. This will herald the era of pharmacogenomics for titrating individualized treatment.

Materials and Methods

Patient selection

The study was approved by Institutional Review Board/ Institutional Ethics Committee(IRB/IEC)) and was conducted in strict adherence to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Patients of DME (definition as per ETDRS) who presented to our tertiary eye care institute from June 2012 to January 2013 and followed the inclusion criteria were explained the nature of the study and the informed consent form was obtained. All patients who had best corrected visual acuity <6/9 and thickening on the spectral domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) (criteria above 300 microns; cysts involved or diffuse) with any stage of background diabetic retinopathy, good metabolic control (mean glycosylated hemoglobin HbA1c level <7%) and normal lipid profile were included.

Patients with other ocular pathologies like glaucoma, recent cataract surgery in the last 3 months, SD-OCT suggestive of epiretinal membrane/vitreomacular traction, nephropathy and use of glitazones for diabetic control were excluded. The study was conducted on 20 subjects, with 5 patients per classified group.

All patients underwent vision testing using ETDRS charts, intraocular pressure (Perkin's tonometer), fundus evaluation(indirectophthalmoscopeandslitlampbiomicroscopy), fundus photographs (TRC NW7SF, Topcon), SD-OCT, and a fluorescein angiography (SPECTRALIS[®] Heidelberg). The systemic parameters evaluated for all were a baseline hemoglobin, serum lipid profile, glycosylated hemoglobin, and serum creatinine.

They were classified into three groups of treatment naive patients, responders, and nonresponders. The definition of a nonresponder was a patient, who received two successive injections of 1.25 mg bevacizumab (Avastin, Genentech/Roche) with stable/worsening/improvement <10% microns thickness on SD-OCT. The responders were those who showed a reduction in thickness >10% central retinal thickness (CRT) on SD-OCT. The control group patients were age and sex-matched nondiabetics.

RNA extraction

Ribonucleic acid (RNA) extraction was done for the whole blood samples using QIAamp RNA Blood Mini kit and then quantified using nanodrop as well as bioanalyzer.

RNA labelling, amplification, and hybridization

The samples were labeled using Agilent Quick Amp labeling Kit (Part number: 5190-0442). 500 ng of total RNA was reverse transcribed using oligodT primer tagged to T7 promoter sequence. Complementary Deoxyribonucleic Acid (cDNA), thus, obtained was converted to double stranded cDNA in the same reaction. Further the cDNA was converted to Complementary Ribonucleic Acid (cRNA) in the *in-vitro* transcription step using T7 RNA polymerase enzyme and Cy3 dye was added into the reaction mix. During cRNA synthesis Cy3 dye was incorporated into the newly synthesized strands. cRNA obtained was cleaned up using RNeasy columns (Qiagen). Concentration and amount of dye incorporated were determined using nanodrop. Samples that pass the QC for specific activity were taken for hybridization. A total of 600 ng of labeled cRNA were hybridized on the array (AMADID: 27114) using the Gene Expression Hybridization kit in Sure hybridization Chambers at 65°C for 16 h. Hybridized slides were washed using gene expression wash buffers (Part No: 5188-5327). The hybridized, washed microarray slides were then scanned on a G2600D scanner (Agilent Technologies).

Microarray data analysis

Significant genes up and downregulated showing 2.5-fold and above within the group of samples were identified. Differentially, regulated genes were arranged using hierarchical clustering based on Pearson coefficient correlation algorithm to identify significant gene expression patterns. Genes were classified based on functional category and pathways using GeneSpring GX Software version 11.5 and Genotypic Biointerpreter-Biological Analysis Software.

Results

Pathway-specific gene regulation

Gene expression analysis was based on the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway. It was done in comparison to the nondiabetic control group [Table 1]. The analysis would provide the probable genes involved in the causation of diabetes mellitus. Broadly genes of cancer, metabolism, extracellular matrix (ECM)-receptor interaction, tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA cycle), retinol metabolism, transforming growth factor-beta (TGF- β) metabolism, VEGF pathway, cell adhesion molecules, p53 signaling, Jak-Stat signaling pathway and mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) pathway were analyzed. The genes represented in the metabolic group were predominantly similar to those in the cancer group. The number of ECM receptor genes and cell adhesion molecule genes showed differences between responders and nonresponders.

The total numbers of genes upregulated among all three experimental groups were five, whereas 105 genes were downregulated. There were no common genes upregulated between the responders and nonresponders. However, one gene was detected to be upregulated between the treatment naive and responders group [Fig. 1].

Gene regulation between nondiabetic and diabetic

Gene expression profile was compared between nondiabetic (Group 1) and diabetic (Group 2) patients with a cut-off fold difference of 2.5. We selected a 2.5-fold difference to eliminate detection of the noise created because of population-based variability. The inflammatory molecules, as already reported, were the defining molecules between diabetic and nondiabetic group. Vascular angiogenic genes, though a couple, were also picked in our filtered group. All the transcription factors in the filtered data set were upregulated [Table 2].

There were 19 genes upregulated and 8 downregulated genes in the inflammatory pathway in group 2 versus group 1. There were no downregulated genes detected in

Pathway name	Gro	up 2	Group 3		Group 4	
	Up	Down	Up	Down	Up	Down
Cancer	JUN, MMP14, NRAS, ATF4, PLD1, KRAS, CAMK2B, ADCY9, PLA2G1B, HBEGF, CACNA1S, CDC42	CDKN1B, MMP9, CCND1, KITLG, PDGFRA, FASLG, FGF8, AR, CASP8, TGFA, RXRG, ERB82, IGF1R, LAMC3, SUFU, RUNX1T1	JUN, NFKBIA, IL8, CDKN1A, ABL1, MAX, KLK3, VEGFA, KRAS, PPARG, PRKCA, PTGS2, HGF, RASSF5, CDC42, IGF1R, LAMB3, STAT3, FGF13, WNT5A, TPM3	IGF1, CDKN1B, E2F3, CDK2, KITLG, CDH1, PDGFRA, PIK3R3, ERBB2, LAMC3, WNT5B, RUNX1T1, WNT2B	JUP, JUN, NFKBIA, NRAS, CDKN1A, PAX8, VEGFA, KRAS, PTCH2, MMP1, FGFR1, FOS, PTGS2, MITF, AXIN2, BCL2L1, WNT9B, IL8, ABL1, MAX, KLK3, NTRK1, PPARG, GLI3, PIK3R1, CDC42, LAMC2, ITGA2B, LAMB3, LAMA3, FZD5, STAT3, TPM3	IGF1, PLD1, PIAS4, MMP9, APC, KITLG, PDGFRA, FASLG, TGFA, PIK3R3, RXRG, ERBB2, COL4A4, LAMC3, CBLB, STAT1, RUNX1T1
Metabolism	PDHA2, ALDH4A1, ALOX12, PLD1, NNMT, PTGDS, GFPT2, ETNK1, DLST, PTGS2, PTGS1, CYP2B6, SPHK1, ALPI, TAT, EXTL3, CTPS2, NDST1, RDH10, BHMT, CEL, CKB, NT5M, CKM, SDS, GALC, PIGA, CYP11A1, CTH, PTS, LIPC, SGMS2, ACSBG2, ARG2, ACSM4, CMBL, PLA2G1B, C5ORF4, TH, FUT4, ACSS2, DGKE, AKR1B10, SAT1, ALAS2, GCNT2, B3GNT5, MGLL	HSD11B1, ALOX15, GK2, PSAT1, CBS, GAL3ST1, AMT, PANK2, PANK1, ADH1B, EARS2, EXTL2, HKDC1, CEL, SLC27A5, PAICS, PIGU, PIGN, B3GALT2, MGAM, ARG1, UPB1, GK, ASAH1, GLDC, PLA2G2D, MTAP, FUT7, ACSS1, DGAT2, AOC3, P4HA2, DPM3, SPTLC2, FBP2, ASS1, ENPP7, ALOX12B, AASS, A4GALT, GALNTL5, ALDH5A1, CYP4F3, CYP4F2	LDHC, CYP1A1, HSD17B12, ALDH4A1, G6PC2, ADH4, FUT4, ETNK1, DLST, PTGS2, XYLT1, GANAB, NDST1, CKM, UGT8, PGK1, PRPS1, GALC, WBSCR17, CTH	GATM, HSD11B2, HSD17B12, GK2, APIP, CBS, PLB1, GAL3ST1, PANK2, PANK1, SC5DL, ETNK1, CYP51A1, MAOB, ADH1B, EXTL2, ALDH3B2, UGT2B17, MAN1C1, CEL, DHCR24, POLR1B, SDS, PAICS, PIGU, B3GALT2, ARG1, DEGS2, GLDC, HSD17B1, SPTLC2, FBP2, ASNS, TKTL1, GGT6, ALOX12B, A4GALT, PSPH, GALNTL5, ALDH5A1	ALDH4A1, ALOX12, DPYS, ST8SIA5, ALDOA, HAO1, PTGDS, FECH, ETNK1, DLST, PTGS2, PTGS1, CYP51A1, CYP2B6, MAOA, MAOB, SPHK1, ADH1C, TAT, NDST1, ALDH3B2, DCT, COX6B2, GAD1, CKB, NT5M, CKM, BCAT1, GALC, CYP11A1, CTH, SGMS2, ARG2, ASAH2, BCMO1, CYP2A13, ACSM4, CMBL, BPGM, CHKA, C5ORF4, PLA2G5, FUT6, FUT4, FUT2, PNLIPRP3, ACSS2, COX4I2, COX4I1, HADHA, DGKE, PCYT1B, SAT1, ALAS2, B4GALNT1, CYP4A11, ALOX15B, PON3, UGT8, NANP, PSPH, MGLL	HSD11B2, HSD17B12, ALOX15, GK2, PSAT1, PLD1, ADC, BCKDHB, KMO, GAL3ST1, PANK2, PANK1, HIBCH, RRM2, SHMT1, ADH1B, POLE2, ACOX1, UGT2B17, HKDC1, CEL, NT5E, PAICS, PIGU, PIGN, B3GALT2, MLYCD, GK, ST6GALNAC1, SUCLA2, DHRS9, TRDMT1, GLDC, MTAP, DGAT2, AOC2, AOC3, SEPHS1, P4HA2, SYNJ2, HSD17B1, GALNT14, SPTLC2, FBP2, MGAT2, AASS, A4GALT, NAT1, NAT2, QDPR, GALNTL5, ALDH5A1
ECM-receptor interaction	SDC4, GP1BA, TNXB, COL5A2, ITGB5, ITGB3, ITGA2, ITGA2B, ITGA10, LAMB3, THBS1	SPP1, SDC2, CD47, TNC, COMP, PELO, GP5, COL5A3, COL1A1, LAMC3, THBS2, THBS4	LAMB3, GP1BA	TNC, PELO, GP5, COL1A1, LAMC3, THBS4	SDC4, COL6A1, GP1BA, TNXB, ITGB5, ITGB3, ITGB8, ITGA7, VWF, CHAD, LAMC2, ITGA2B, LAMB3, LAMA3, THBS1, SV2C	SPP1, SDC2, CD47, TNC, PELO, HMMR, COL1A1, COL4A4, LAMC3

Table 1: Representative signaling genes based on KEGG pathway

Table 1: Contd							
Pathway name	Group 2		Group 3		Group 4		
	Up	Down	Up	Down	Up	Down	
TCA cycle	PDHA2, DLST	-	DLST	-	DLST	SUCLA2	
Retinol metabolism	CYP2B6, RDH10	ADH1B, CYP26B1	CYP1A1, ADH4, CYP26C1	ADH1B, UGT2B17, CYP26B1	CYP2B6, ADH1C, CYP26B1, CYP26C1, BCMO1, CYP2A13, CYP4A11	ADH1B, UGT2B17, DHRS9	
TGF- β signaling	TNF, SMAD6, ID1, GDF6, IFNG, RBL1, THBS1	COMP, ZFYVE16, NOG, THBS2, THBS4	NODAL, AMHR2, AMH, TNF, DCN	ZFYVE16, NOG, THBS4	AMH, TNF, SMAD7, INHBE, INHBC, LEFTY1, ID1, GDF6, GDF5, THBS1	-	
VEGF pathway	NRAS, VEGFA, KRAS, PTGS2, SPHK1, PLA2G1B, PIK3R3, PIK3R1, CDC42	KDR, PXN, PLA2G2D	VEGFA, KRAS, PRKCA, PTGS2, CDC42	PIK3R3	NRAS, VEGFA, KRAS, PTGS2, SPHK1, PLA2G5, PIK3R1, CDC42	KDR, PIK3R3	
Cell adhesion molecules	HLA-G, SDC4, SELP, ESAM, ICOSLG, HLA- DQA2, HLA- DQA1, CLDN5, MAG, ICAM1, JAM3, CLDN16	HLA-DOA, HLA- DOB, SDC2, NFASC, PVRL2, NRCAM, CDH2, CNTNAP2, HLA-DQA2, HLA- DQA1, CNTN2, NLGN3, L1CAM, CLDN16	HLA-G, ICAM1, CDH4, ICOSLG	CD34, NFASC, PVRL2, NRCAM, CDH1, CNTNAP2, CNTNAP1, L1CAM, CLDN16, CLDN14, CD274	HLA-G, SDC4, PTPRF, SELP, HLA-DQA2, HLA- DQA1, ICAM1, ITGB8, JAM3, CLDN10	HLA-DOB, MPZL1, SDC2, CD22, NFASC, PVRL2, NRCAM, CNTNAP2, HLA- DQA1, VCAM1, CLDN14, CD274	
p53 signaling	CDKN1A, STEAP3, PMAIP1, THBS1	GTSE1, CCND1, CASP8, IGFBP3, CHEK1	CDKN1A, PMAIP1, RPRM, GADD45G	IGF1, CDK2	CDKN1A, SESN3, GADD45G, GADD45B, PMAIP1, THBS1	IGF1, RRM2, CCNG2, CHEK1	
Jak-Stat signaling pathway	IL10, OSM, LIF, IL5RA, SOCS1, SOCS4, IL4, IL6, SPRY2, SPRY1, IFNG, PIK3R3, PIK3R1, MPL, STAT3, SPRED1, IL3RA	IL7R, IL11, IL29, IL21, CCND1, IL28RA	OSMR, CSF2, IL13, IL10, MPL, STAT3, SPRED1, SOCS7	GH2, IL11, IL29, LEP, PIK3R3	IL10, IL6ST, OSM, LIF, SOCS1, BCL2L1, SPRY2, SPRY1, CRLF2, EPOR, PIK3R1, MPL, STAT3, SPRED1, IL3RA	GH2, IL11, IL29, PIAS4, LEP, IL28RA, CISH, PIK3R3, CBLB, STAT1, IFNAR2	
MAPK kinase	JUN, CACNB1, DUSP10, CACNG6, DUSP5, NRAS, DUSP4, DUSP2, DUSP1, DUSP8, IL1B, IL1A, ATF4, KRAS, PDGFA, TNF, DDIT3, BDNF, MAPK6, EGF, NR4A1, MAX, PLA2G1B, NTRK1, CACNA1S, CDC42	CACNB4, RAPGEF2, PDGFRA, FASLG, TNFRSF1A, FGF8, PLA2G2D	JUN, CACNB1, TAOK2, CACNG8, CACNG6, CACNG2, DUSP4, NR4A1, MAX, KRAS, PRKCA, TNF, MAP2K6, MAPT, DDIT3, CDC42, HSPA2, GADD45G, FGF13, PAK2	PDGFRA, SRF, RASGRF2, MAP4K3	JUN, DUSP10, CACNG5, CACNG4, DUSP5, NRAS, DUSP4, DUSP2, DUSP8, IL1B, IL1A, ATF4, KRAS, FGFR1, FOS, TNF, DDIT3, JUND, GADD45G, GADD45B, SRF, NR4A1, MAX, NTRK1, NTRK2, PLA2G5, CACNA1F, CDC42	CACNB4, MAP2K4, RPS6KA5, RAPGEF2, PDGFRA, FASLG, TNFRSF1A, HSPA6, NF1	

vascular angiogenesis and transcription group. Receptor activity genes were also regulated in group 2 versus group 1 [Fig. 2].

Gene regulation between nonresponder versus responder

Gene expression of the nonresponder (Group 4) was compared with the responders (Group 3) with a cut-off fold difference of 2.5. The transcription factor and receptor activity genes were

Table 3: Microarray data analysis: Fold increase or decrease

of genes between Group 2 versus Group 1 (2.5- fold)				of genes between Group 4 versus Group 3 (2.5- fold)				
Signaling pathways	Gene name	Upregulation	Downregulation	Signaling pathways	Gene name	Upregulation	Downregulation	
Inflammatory molecules	DUOX1 CCL3L3 CCL23 CCL7 CX3CL1 CXCR6 IL10 ODZ1 HLA-DQA2 C4BPA	2.67 2.88 2.62 3.12 2.69 2.52 3.13 4.23	4.34 2.72 2.85 2.89 4.90 2.51 2.78	Inflammatory molecules	CCL15 CCL7 IL8 CCR3 CXLR6 IL1RL1 ARTS-1 IL1RM TNFSF15 CSF2	2.95 3.14 6.05 2.57 3.84	3.00 2.64 2.56 6.74 5.31	
	HLA-DQA1 IL1A IL29 FAM3B			Vascular angiogenesis	KRT1 STAB1 NRP1 FLT4	3.52 2.7	2.97 3.09	
Vascular angiogenesis Receptor activity	CLDN16 VEGFA EREG FCRL4 NR4A1 ITGA10 LRP11 NPSR1 CLEC4M TAS2R41 AHRR PPFIA4 GPR141 HNF4A DRD5	2.52 2.72 4.52 2.78 3.04 2.96 8.71 7.27	2.60 3.32 2.53 3.39 3.97 3.01	Receptor activity	DRD5 EPWA6 ITGB8 GPR37 GYPB GABRP OR7D2 EFNB3 DRD3 OR8H1 BTNIA1 OR2W3 ROR1 MRGPRD TASIR1 ACE2 STAB1	5.4 4.37 2.58 3.28 4.13 3.3 4.07 3.014 2.75 2.84 4.04 3.03 2.58	2.72 2.84 4.9 2.92 3.65 2.57 4.04 2.52	
Stress- related Metabolism Transcription	- AKR1B10 GSCI	- 4.19 3.11	-		OSMR OLFM4 HEPACAM CCKAR			
dominant base	NR4A1 SP5 FOSL1 EGR1 EGR3 LHX4 ATF3 TFAP2D TCF7L2 SSX9 HES1 PER3 ATF3 EBF2 NFIB POU2F1 ZNF717 LEUTX	2.78 3.54 2.58 2.60 2.58 4.41 3.03 4.21 4.88 3.35 2.51 3.16 2.88 3.04 7.39 3.08 3.00 4.41	ff of 2.5 fold. Since	Stress-related Metabolism Transcription	FOXF1 DUX2 HOXD3 NKK6-2 LMXIB ZNF431 ZBTB34 UHRF1 FOXQ1 DBX1 REX8 DOX4 DUX4 ZNF641 GSCL HOXL6 HOXL6 HOXL6 HOXB8 PTFIA FOXP2	2.93 - 11.1 5.11 4.1 2.56 4.83 3.43 2.76 2.76 2.76 3.09 2.93 5.7 4.57 4.57 4.18 3.78	3.52 4.45 3.98 2.88 3.27 4.61 3.25 3.89 4.54 3.69 2.5	
dominant base anti-VEGF trea group, we ass	ed on our anal atment was no sumed involv	ysis with a cut-of ot responsive in t ement of a norm	tt ot 2.5 told. Since the nonresponder vascular pathway		SOX3 ELAVL2 ETV5			

ZNF366 UNCX

FOX12

anti-VEGF treatment was not responsive in the nonresponder group, we assumed involvement of a nonvascular pathway in segregating the treatment responders and nonresponders. But surprisingly, we noted higher expression of the vascular angiogenesis pathway genes in anti-VEGF nonresponders

Table 2: Microarray data analysis: Fold increase or decrease



Figure 1: (a) Total number of genes commonly regulated across all the three experimental groups with respect to the control. Upregulated genes are depicted in Figure 3b. (b) Total number of genes commonly regulated across all the three experimental groups with respect to the control. Upregulated genes are depicted in Fig 3a and the downregulated genes are depicted in Fig 3b and the downregulated genes are depic



Figure 2: (a) Depicting number of genes regulated with a 2.5-fold difference across the signaling pathways between diabetic (Group 2) and control group (Group 1). Upregulated genes are shown in Figure 1a and downregulated genes are shown in Figure 1b. (b) Depicting number of genes regulated with a 2.5-fold difference across the signaling pathways between diabetic (Group 2) and control group (Group 1). Upregulated genes are shown in Figure 1a and downregulated genes are shown in Figure 1b.



Figure 3: (a) Depicting number of genes regulated with a 2.5-fold difference across the signaling pathways between treatment nonresponder (Group 4) and treatment responder group (Group 3). Upregulated genes are shown in Figure 2a and downregulated genes are shown in Figure 2b. (b) Depicting number of genes regulated with a 2.5-fold difference across the signaling pathways between treatment nonresponder (Group 4) and treatment responder group (Group 3). Upregulated genes are shown in Figure 2a and downregulated genes are shown in Figure 2b.

compared with responders [Table 3]. Transcription factors as well as stress-related genes were detected in our set filters, suggesting a role in the induction of the nonresponsive treatment parameter. There were identical numbers of genes up- and downregulated in the inflammatory pathway. A total of 17 genes were upregulated and 11 down regulated genes in receptor activity that remained the predominant group [Fig. 3].

Discussion

The proposed study was to identify specific signaling pathways involved in the development of DME. There has been a distinguishing treatment outcome between DME patients based on their response to anti-VEGF treatment. So far, there is a lack of knowledge in understanding the underlying molecular mechanisms/pathways distinguishing the patient groups. Hence, we carried out a preliminary study to determine the systemic Messenger Ribonucleic Acid (mRNA) expression profile among DME patients classified based on clinical parameters. We conducted a microarray expression profile of DME, treatment naive (Group 2), treatment responders (Group 3), treatment nonresponders (Group 4) and compared all with nondiabetic (Group 1). In this pilot study, we have used whole blood mRNA profiling as it is not clinically feasible to get ocular tissues in all the groups for doing the analysis. Moreover, we hypothesized that diabetes, being a systemic disease; there might be genes and pathways beyond the limitation of eye, which have a role to play in deciphering the underlying distinction between treatment responders and nonresponders. Similar studies have been conducted to understand the pathogenesis of other retinopathies.^[7] The advantage of using whole blood over retina samples relies primarily on a plausibility of identifying a biomarker to differentiate the responder and nonresponder groups.^[8] In order to minimize variability in our experimental groups, the samples were pooled based on age and sex Samples of each group were in the age range between 50 and 70 years. Each group had two females and three males.

The expression profile/signaling and genes were analyzed based on KEGG pathway database (http://www.genome.jp/ kegg/pathway.html). The most predominant number of genes closely related to DME is cancer-related genes. Similar to published reports, we noted an upregulation of oncogenes, cell cycle regulators, growth factor receptors and matrix metalloproteases involved in diabetes.[9-12] Dysregulation of wnt signalling pathway (WNT)/b-catenin pathway has been implicated in the complications of diabetes such as retinal inflammation, vascular leakage, and neovascularization.[13,14] WNT pathway molecules along with apoptotic regulators are most likely involved in differentiating the treatment responders and nonresponders. In Group 3, 20 genes were upregulated and 40 downregulated, whereas interestingly Group 4 (treatment nonresponders) have 63 genes upregulated and 50 downregulated, indicating probably the pivotal role of metabolic pathway post anti-VEGF treatment.^[15,16] A number of proangiogenic, angiogenic, and antiangiogenic factors along with the ECM modulation play a role in diabetic retinopathy. ECM is known to have a definitive role in vascularization. ^[17-20] We observed the expression profile and noted that there was a drastic decrease in the number ECM-related genes in the group 3, whereas no change was detected in the number of regulated genes between group 2 and group 4. TGF- β is

involved in cellular processes, survival in normal as well as disease state^[21] and it was found to be downregulated in group 3 and not in group 4. This seems to indicate the probable role of TGF-b regulation along with VEGF in nonresponder DME. The downregulation of VEGF receptor (kinase insert domain receptor-KDR) in nonresponders suggest most likely the noninvolvement of VEGF pathway, or it could be the low availability of VEGF receptors as it were used up by the endogenous elevated VEGF levels. In the responder group post anti-VEGF treatment, restricted the expression of KDR. The total number of cell adhesion genes regulated in group 3 is 15 compared to 26 genes in group 4. This strongly is suggesting the involvement of higher number of cell adhesion molecules in nonresponder group of patients.^[22-24] We were unable to detect any differences in the expression levels of mRNA in Jak-Stat and MAPK pathway, although there are reports elucidating the role of Jak-Stat and MAPK pathway in diabetic retinopathy^[15] [Table 1]. Other pathways detected by the microarray gene expression profile may indicate other causes that might drive the complications of diabetes.

Inflammation seems to have a major role not only in diabetes but also in distinguishing the responder and nonresponder groups. Recent reports showed the association of several cytokines including interleukin (IL)-6, IL8, and interferon gamma in aqueous humor of DME patients.^[24-28] The expression of IL8 in the present study is sixfold higher in nonresponder compared with responder. As mentioned earlier, the vascular angiogenesis molecules are downregulated in nonresponder indicating a canonical-VEGF independent pathway role.^[29,30] In nonresponder ephrin receptor signaling and transcription factor gene families such as FOX, HOX were identified to be upregulated compared with the responders [Table 3]. There were few genes in the receptor activity pathway with fold increase as seen in diabetic group compared with nondiabetic group. A list of selective transcription factor genes were also seen upregulated in the diabetic group in comparison with nondiabetic controls^[30] [Table 2].

In total of all four groups, five genes are upregulated and 105 genes are downregulated. Interestingly, there are no common genes upregulated between groups 3 and 4, whereas 17 genes were downregulated. Similar to previous reports, our study also shows involvement of inflammatory pathway molecules in diabetics compared with nondiabetic controls^[30] [Fig. 1]. But, it is also noted that most of the genes regulated in the nonresponders compared with responders were grouped in receptor activity and transcriptional regulation [Fig. 3]. Inflammatory genes and vascular angiogenesis genes were the minor contributors classifying the responders and nonresponders. Transcriptional regulation is known to have an important role to play in drug response; hence, it might be indicative as an important component for classification. Role of vascular angiogenesis in classifying the responder and nonresponder remains elusive and most likely nonconsequential, as all the patients are treated with anti-VEGF therapy [Fig. 2].

This study limitations are small sample size and microarray analysis approach, as we have addressed the genes with a cut-off of 2.5-fold difference. The choice of the cut-off was based on the implication to eliminate the population-based variable gene expression and not the disease-specific gene expression profile; hence, we might have missed several signaling pathway genes, which might have a definitive role in disease pathogenesis.

To our knowledge this is the first such study, where attempts have been made to understand the signaling pathways and genes playing a definitive role at systemic level to classify the treatment responders and nonresponders. It is conceivable that there has been an overlap of genes in pathways across the analysis, and this is expected as there are a number of genes that are pivotal in cross-talks of signaling pathways rather than a single pathway. Further studies are needed to confirm the list of genes that could classify the treatment responders from nonresponders.

In summary, this study would provide an insight into the underlying mechanisms for disease pathogenesis as well as progression. This eventually would aid in developing or improvising existing treatment modules with a rational approach toward personalized medicine, in future addressing the differential responses to treatment.

References

- El-Shazly SF, El-Bradey MH, Tameesh MK. Vascular endothelial growth factor gene polymorphism prevalence inpatients with diabetic macular edema and its correlation with anti-VEGF treatment outcomes. Clin Experiment Ophthalmol 2013 [In Press].
- Ford JA, Lois N, Royle P, Clar C, Shyangdan D, Waugh N. Current treatments in diabetic macular oedema: Systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open 2013;3:e002269.
- Bhagat N, Grigorian RA, Tutela A, Zarbin MA. Diabetic macular edema: Pathogenesis and treatment. Surv Ophthalmol 2009;54:1-32.
- Chen E, Looman M, Laouri M, Gallagher M, Van Nuys K, Lakdawalla D, *et al.* Burden of illness of diabetic macular edema: Literature review. Curr Med Res Opin 2010;26:1587-97.
- Klein R, Klein BE, Moss SE, Cruickshanks KJ. The Wisconsin Epidemiologic Study of Diabetic Retinopathy: XVII. The 14-year incidence and progression of diabetic retinopathy and associated risk factors in type 1 diabetes. Ophthalmology 1998;105:1801-15.
- American Academy of Ophthalmology. Preferred Practice Pattern: Diabetic Retinopathy. http://one.aao.org/CE/PracticeGuidelines/ PPP.aspx [Last Accessed on 2011 July 20].
- Pietrzyk JJ, Kwinta P, Bik-Multanowski M, Madetko-Talowska A, Jagła M, Tomasik T, *et al.* New insight into the pathogenesis of retinopathy of prematurity: Assessment of whole-genome expression. Pediatr Res 2013;73:476-83.
- Parrish ML, Wright C, Rivers Y, Argilla D, Collins H, Leeson B, et al. cDNA targets improve whole blood gene expression profiling and enhance detection of pharmocodynamic biomarkers: A quantitative platform analysis. J Transl Med 2010;8:87.
- 9. Jin M, Kashiwagi K, Iizuka Y, Tanaka Y, Imai M, Tsukahara S. Matrix metalloproteinases in human diabetic and nondiabetic vitreous. Retina 2001;21:28-33.
- 10. Yildirim N, Sahin A, Erol N, Kara S, Uslu S, Topbas S. The relationship between plasma MMP-9 and TIMP-2 levels and intraocular pressure elevation in diabetic patients after intravitreal triamcinolone injection. J Glaucoma 2008;17:253-6.
- 11. Mohammad G, Kowluru RA. The role of Raf-1 kinase in diabetic retinopathy. Expert Opin Ther Targets 2011;15:357-64.
- Kirwin SJ, Kanaly ST, Hansen CR, Cairns BJ, Ren M, Edelman JL. Retinal gene expression and visually evoked behavior in diabetic long evans rats. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2011;52:7654-63.
- 13. Yoshida S, Shimmura S, Nagoshi N, Fukuda K, Matsuzaki Y, Okano H, *et al.* Isolation of multipotent neural crest-derived stem

cells from the adult mouse cornea. Stem Cells 2006;24:2714-22.

- Lee K, Hu Y, Ding L, Chen Y, Takahashi Y, Mott R, *et al*. Therapeutic potential of a monoclonal antibody blocking the Wnt pathway in diabetic retinopathy. Diabetes 2012;61:2948-57.
- Speicher MA, Danis RP, Criswell M, Pratt L. Pharmacologic therapy for diabetic retinopathy. Expert Opin Emerg Drugs 2003;8:239-50.
- Khatami M. Regulation of MI transport in retinal pigment epithelium by sugars, amiloride, and pH gradients: Potential impairment of pump-leak balance in diabetic maculopathy. Membr Biochem 1990;9:279-92.
- Costa PZ, Soares R. Neovascularization in diabetes and its complications. Unraveling the angiogenic paradox. Life Sci 2013;92:1037-45.
- Praidou A, Androudi S, Brazitikos P, Karakiulakis G, Papakonstantinou E, Dimitrakos S. Angiogenic growth factors and their inhibitors in diabetic retinopathy. Curr Diabetes Rev 2010;6:304-12.
- 19. Le Goff MM, Lu H, Ugarte M, Henry S, Takanosu M, Mayne R, *et al*. The vitreous glycoprotein opticin inhibits preretinal neovascularization. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2012;53:228-34.
- Simunovic F, Steiner D, Pfeifer D, Stark GB, Finkenzeller G, Lampert F. Increased extracellular matrix and proangiogenic factor transcription in endothelial cells after cocultivation with primary human osteoblasts. J Cell Biochem 2013;114:1584-94.
- 21. Lan HY, Chung AC. Transforming growth factor-beta and Smads. Contrib Nephrol 2011;170:75-82.
- 22. Kloeckener-Gruissem B, Barthelmes D, Labs S, Schindler C, Kurz-Levin M, Michels S, *et al.* Genetic association with response to intravitreal ranibizumab in patients with neovascular AMD. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2011;52:4694-702.
- Knudsen ST. Ambulatory blood pressure, endothelial perturbation, and microvascular complications in type 2 diabetes. Dan Med Bull 2010;57:B4145.
- Meleth AD, Agrón E, Chan CC, Reed GF, Arora K, Byrnes G, et al. Serum inflammatory markers in diabetic retinopathy. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2005;46:4295-301.
- Lee WJ, Kang MH, Seong M, Cho HY. Comparison of aqueous concentrations of angiogenic and inflammatory cytokines in diabetic macular oedema and macular oedema due to branch retinal vein occlusion. Br J Ophthalmol 2012;96:1426-30.
- Jonas JB, Jonas RA, Neumaier M, Findeisen P. Cytokine concentration in aqueous humor of eyes with diabetic macular edema. Retina 2012;32:2150-7.
- Rangasamy S, McGuire PG, Das A. Diabetic retinopathy and inflammation: Novel therapeutic targets. Middle East Afr J Ophthalmol 2012;19:52-9.
- Koskela UE, Kuusisto SM, Nissinen AE, Savolainen MJ, Liinamaa MJ. High vitreous concentration of IL-6 and IL-8, but not of adhesion molecules in relation to plasma concentrations in proliferative diabetic retinopathy. Ophthalmic Res 2013;49:108-14.
- 29. Yoshimura T, Sonoda KH, Sugahara M, Mochizuki Y, Enaida H, Oshima Y, *et al*. Comprehensive analysis of inflammatory immune mediators in vitreoretinal diseases. PLoS One 2009;4:e8158.
- Tremolada G, Lattanzio R, Mazzolari G, Zerbini G. The therapeutic potential of VEGF inhibition in diabetic microvascular complications. Am J Cardiovasc Drugs 2007;7:393-8.

Cite this article as: Dabir SS, Das D, Nallathambi J, Mangalesh S, Yadav NK, Schouten JS. Differential systemic gene expression profile in patients with diabetic macular edema: Responders versus nonresponders to standard treatment. Indian J Ophthalmol 2014;62:66-73.

Source of Support: Nil. Conflict of Interest: None declared.