

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# International Journal of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine

journal homepage: http://www.elsevier.com/locate/ijpam





# Full length article

# The first report of Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) in cystic fibrosis (CF) patients in Saudi Arabia



Hanaa Banjar <sup>a, \*</sup>, Hend Al-Qahtani <sup>a</sup>, Waseem Yasin <sup>a, b, c</sup>, Waad Al-wgait <sup>a</sup>, Hanan Al-Amer <sup>a</sup>, Rawia raja <sup>b</sup>, Ali Al-Nakhli <sup>b</sup>, Kawthar Karkour <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Pediatrics, King Specialist Hospital and Research Center (KFSHRC), Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

<sup>b</sup> College of Medicine, Al-Faisal University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

<sup>c</sup> Alfaisal University, Saudi Arabia

# A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 15 May 2019 Received in revised form 11 September 2019 Accepted 20 October 2019 Available online 31 October 2019

# ABSTRACT

*Introduction:* Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infections have been increasingly reported in patients with cystic fibrosis (CF) who have progressive deterioration in their pulmonary function. *Objectives:* To determine the prevalence of MRSA infections in CF in a tertiary care center in Saudi Arabia. *Methodology:* This is a retrospective chart review conducted as part of the CF registry data from 1 January 2002 to 1 June 2016. All patients with confirmed CF of all age groups who had a respiratory culture positive for MRSA were included in the study.

*Results:* Among 385 patients with CF who had respiratory samples, 43 (11%) were positive for MRSA at a mean age of  $10.4 \pm 7.2$  years. Twenty-two patients out of the 43 (51%) were treated with different regimens: nasal Bactroban in 13/22 (59%); a combination of nasal Bactroban, oral vancomycin, and rifampicin for 2 weeks in 5 patients (23%); Bactroban and linezolid in one patient (5%); and oral vancomycin and rifampicin in 3 patients (14%). Eight out of the 22 treated patients (36%) achieved MRSA eradication. Six out of the 22 treated (27%) had experienced MRSA recurrence within 3–6 months, and another 5/22 (23%) continued to have MRSA colonization up to 2–4 years of follow-up despite using a proper eradication protocol. Twelve out of the 43 (28%) patients with MRSA infection died.

*Conclusion:* MRSA infection in our population with CF is common. Therefore, an eradication protocol should be instituted at an early stage to prevent chronic colonization. Children with persistent MRSA colonization have high morbidity and mortality rate.

© 2020 Publishing services provided by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Faisal Specialist Hospital & Research Centre (General Organization), Saudi Arabia. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

# 1. Introduction

Cystic fibrosis (CF) is an inherited recessive disorder of chloride transport characterized by recurrent and persistent pulmonary infections due to resistant organisms, resulting in lung function deterioration and early mortality [1]. CF is due to mutation in the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) gene [2]. It is the most common life-threatening autosomal recessive

disease among Caucasian populations, with a frequency of 1 in 2000–3000 live births [3]. Deletion of phenylalanine in amino acid position 508 (deltaF508) on chromosome 7 is considered the most common mutation in North America and western Europe [4]. By contrast, in Saudi Arabia, the most common mutations described were *c*.1418delG (*p*.G473EfsX54), Exon 11 (Legacy name: 1548delG), Exon 10 and *c*.3700A > G (*p*.I1234V), Exon 22 (Legacy name: I1234V), Exon 19. [5,6].

*Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA)* strains are the major causative agents of numerous hospital- and community-acquired infections [7].

*MRSA* infections have been increasingly reported among populations with CF worldwide [8]. There has been a hypothesis that *MRSA* infection of the airways in CF could be associated with more severe disease than that seen with *methicillin-sensitive Staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA) [9]. Patients with *MRSA* infection were

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpam.2019.10.005

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Al-Faisal University, Department of Pediatrics, (KFSHRC). P.O. Box. 3354, MBC-58, Riyadh, 11211, Saudi Arabia.

*E-mail addresses*: hanaa@kfshrc.edu.sa (H. Banjar), ahend@kfshrc.edu.sa (H. Al-Qahtani), wyasin@alfaisal.edu (W. Yasin), waalwgait@kfshrc.edu.sa (W. Al-wgait), haalamer@kfshrc.edu.sa (H. Al-Amer).

Peer review under responsibility of King Faisal Specialist Hospital & Research Centre (General Organization), Saudi Arabia.

<sup>2352-6467/© 2020</sup> Publishing services provided by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Faisal Specialist Hospital & Research Centre (General Organization), Saudi Arabia. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Abbreviation list				
MRSA	Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus			
CF	Cystic Fibrosis			
BAL	Bronchoalveolar lavage			
NPA	Nasopharyngeal aspirates			
CFTR	Cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator			
MSSA	Methicillin-Sensitive Staphylococcus aureus			

described to have frequent exacerbations and poorer lung function; thus, infection control is important, and patients should be adequately monitored [10]. A chromogenic selective medium for *MRSA* detection may improve its surveillance in patients with CF [11].

Eradication of *MRSA* is advised to be applied in most CF treatment centers [12]. Effective microbiological eradication of *MRSA* in patients with CF can be achieved, but its effect is not always clearcut in terms of spirometric indices [13]. Intravenous vancomycin, a time-dependent antibiotic that is extensively eliminated by the kidneys, is frequently used to treat *MRSA* infections. Its therapeutic levels are difficult to achieve in patients with CF because of increased renal clearance [14].

Yurdakul et al. reported the prevalence of *MRSA* infection among 604 patients with CF in Turkey between October 2003 and January 2010, and the percentage of *MRSA*-positive patients was 3.9% [7].

Several proposed eradication protocols have been reported thus far (Table 1) [12–16]. Lo, D. et al. found that patients who clear *MRSA* within one year have the same risk of death as those who never had a positive culture for *MRSA*, which leads us to the importance of having clear guidelines on how to eradicate *MRSA* 

infection in patients with CF [1].

Chmiel J. et al. reviewed lung infections in patients with CF in a two-part series study. They reported that the prevalence of MRSA infection is increasing in the USA, 25% as compared to that of 3-11% in Canada and Europe, and chronic MRSA infection is associated with a high decline rate of lung function, failure to recover lung function after a pulmonary exacerbation, and decreased survival. They found that the most successful treatment regimens included two oral antibiotics (one of which was rifampicin) and nebulized vancomycin, and these drugs were found to be safe and well tolerated <sup>[17]</sup>. On the other hand, monotherapy developed resistance. They also suggested the use of the fosfomycin tobramycin inhalation (FTI) solution, as it has activity against anaerobic, gramnegative, and gram-positive organisms including MRSA. They also found that the most difficult-to-treat patients with MRSA infection are those with chronic infection and who do not present enough symptoms to start the administration of IV antibiotics but have persistent respiratory symptoms. They suggested the administration of 250 mg of IV formulation of vancomycin in 5 ml of sterile water through nebulization mist treatment, twice daily for 28 days. Albuterol is often inhaled before the administration of the antibiotic [17].

#### 1.1. Objective

This retrospective study is the first of its kind conducted in Saudi Arabia, with an aim to identify, recognize, and determine the prevalence of *MRSA* infection in patients with CF and to further study the methods and protocols of treatment and prevention.

#### 1.2. Methodology

After obtaining ethical approval, we retrospectively reviewed the charts of 385 patients with confirmed CF of all age groups who

#### Table 1

Literature Review on Cystic Fibrosis and MRSA management.

Author	No. Pts.	Age (Yrs)	Treatment protocol	Eradication success (%)
Vanderhelst et al.	11	Range 1-	Six-month course:	90%
2013 [12]		43	1. o. Rifa 15 mg/kg/day	Within 6-month period
			2. o. Fusidic acid 30 mg/kg/day	The 10% failure was due to lack of adherence of
			<ol> <li>t. decolonization including muoirocin-containng n. oitment applied to all pts. 3× daily for 5 days</li> </ol>	the treatment
			Two pts. had to switch to a combination of Rifa.and Clin (one after two weeks,	
			and one after four weeks)	
Vanderhelst et al.	6	Median	Six-month course:	83%
2013 [13]		21.4	1. o. Rifa 15 mg/kg/day	
			2. o. FA 30 mg/kg/day	
			<ol> <li>t. decolonization including muoirocin-containng n. oitment applied to all pts 3× daily for 5 days</li> </ol>	
Fung, L 2012 [14]	3	3,17, and 15	continuous infusion of Vanco (50/40/31) mg/kg/day respectively every 8 h	Eradication is unknown No Nephrotoxicity
Burdge 1995 [15]	2	28 and 31	Two-month course:	100%
0 1 1			1. o. rifa 600 mg daily	At 8-month follow up
			2. clin 600 mg bid	1
Kiefer A. 2018 [16]	7	Range 4-	7-day course:	86%
		30	1. o. Rifa (7.5–10 mg/kg, max. 300 mg twice daily)	
			2. o. FA (15 mg/kg max. 500 mg three times daily)	
			<ol> <li>inhalation therapy with Vanco (4 mg/kg, max. 250 mg, dissolved in 4 ml NaCl 0,9% twice daily)</li> </ol>	
Banjar 2019	22	Mean	2-weeks course divided:	36%
		$10 \pm 7.2$	1. n. Bac in 13 pts.	
			2. n. Bac + Linezolid in 1 pt.	
			3. n. Bac + Vanco + Rifa in 3 pts.	
			4. Vanco + Rifa in 5 pts.	

MRSA: Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus. Pts. = Patients, Yrs = Years, NaCl = Sodium Chloride. Vanco = Vancomycin, Rifa = Rfampin, Bac = Bactroban, Clin = Clindamycin. n. = Nasal, o. = Oral, t. = Topical, IV = Intravenous. bid = twice-daily, max. = maximum, FA = Fusidic Acid, No. = number of.



Legend:

MRSA: Methicillin Resistant Staph Aureus BAL: Bronchoalveolar lavage

NPA: Nasopharyngeal aspirates

Fig. 1. Source of respiratory culture of MRSA (total of 43).

had respiratory samples with positive culture for *MRSA* on regular follow-up or during respiratory exacerbation from January 2002 to June 2016.

# 1.3. Definitions

Patients with CF are defined as those who have typical pulmonary manifestations and/or typical gastrointestinal manifestations (GI) and/or a history of CF in the immediate family in addition to a sweat chloride concentration of 60 mmol/L or if they have the pathologic CFTR mutations on both chromosomes [18].

#### 1.4. Inclusion criteria

We included all patients with confirmed CF of all age groups who had *MRSA* infection during their follow-up period in CF clinic from 1 January 2002 to 31 June 2016.

#### 1.5. Types of samples

Nasopharyngeal aspirates (NPA) were collected from patients who were unable to expectorate below the age of 4 years. Induced sputum samples were obtained from patients above 4 years of age. Bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) samples were collected from patients with severe CF pulmonary disease. *MRSA* cultures were repeated every 3–6 months until complete clearance of *MRSA* infection or death.

#### 2. Method of sample collection

Bronchoalveolar lavage and NPA samples were collected for bacterial cultures and processed according to standard methodology [19]. Samples were collected following standard hospital precautions.

# 2.1. Statistical method

For continuous variables, mean, standard deviation, and median were calculated using the Student t-test. Chi-square was calculated for all nominal variables. Results were presented at a level of significance of P < .05. All values were expressed as mean, standard deviation (SD).

#### 2.2. Method used to assess lung capacity

Pulmonary function test (PFT) was performed according to standard procedure. FEV1 less than 35% predicted for age is considered very severe, 35–49% is severe, 50–59% is moderately severe, 60–69% is moderate, and more than 70% is considered mild [20].

#### 2.3. Eradication protocol

Nasal Bactroban is routinely prescribed to all patients with a positive *MRSA* culture 4 times for 7 days [15,16]. Recently, oral vancomycin and rifampicin were used for 2 weeks [12–16].

#### 3. Results

We examined (NPA/sputum and BAL) samples from 385 patients with CF at respiratory exacerbation or during the follow-up period. Out of 385 patients, 43 (11%) tested *MRSA*-positive from respiratory samples (Fig. 1), at a mean age of  $10.4 \pm 7.2$  years. The source of the cultures was BAL in 23/43 patients (53%) and 8 samples (19%) from NPA. Twenty-two patients out of 43 (51%) were males as compared to 21 (49%) females.

The number of *MRSA*-positive infections was studied during the periods 2002–2009 and 2010–2016. Eleven out of 43 (26%) patients were reported to be *MRSA* positive during 2002–2009 when compared with 32 (74%) patients during 2010–2016.

Of the total 43 patients with *MRSA* infection, 22 (51%) received medical treatment, whereas 21 (49%) did not receive medical treatment.

These 22 (51%) patients with *MRSA* infection were treated with the following different regimens: 13 (59%) were treated with nasal Bactroban, 5 (23%) were treated with a combination of nasal Bactroban and oral vancomycin + rifampicin, 1 (0.5%) received a combination of nasal Bactroban and linezolid, and 3 (14%) were treated with oral vancomycin and rifampicin. Microbiological eradication was achieved in 8 of the 22 (36%) patients within 3–6 months of applying the eradication protocol. One out of these 8 (13%) patients received the vancomycin—rifampicin combination, 3 (38%) received a combination of nasal Bactroban and oral vancomycin + rifampicin, 1 (13%) received a combination of nasal Bactroban and linezolid, and 3 (38%) received nasal Bactroban



Legend: CFTR: Cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator

Fig. 2. CFTR mutations in MRSA population (total of 37).

alone. In 6 of the 22 patients (27%), *MRSA* infection recurred within the 6-month follow-up period: 1 (17%) who received vancomycin and rifampicin, 2 (33%) who received the combination of nasal Bactroban and vancomycin + rifampicin, one (17%) who received linezolid and Bactroban, and 2 (33%) who received nasal Bactroban only. Five out of these patients (83%) remained colonized with the *MRSA* up to 2–4 years. Two out of the 22 (9%) died (1 from the group treated with Vancomycin, rifampicin, and Bactroban and 1 from the group treated with Bactroban).

Twenty-one (49%) out of 43 patients did not receive medication, and five of the nontreated (24%) achieved spontaneous *MRSA* eradication. MRSA recurred in 3 out of 21 (14%). Ten (48%) of those 21 died.

# 3.1. Pulmonary function test results

Twenty (47%) out of 43 patients underwent PFT analysis for the detection and determination of disease severity. Twelve (60%) out of the 20 had normal PFT, 3 (15%) mild, 2 (10%) moderate, 2 (10%) moderately severe, and 1 (5%) severe.

### 3.2. CFTR analysis

Analysis of the most common CFTR mutation in 38 out of 43 (88%) patients who had a culture positive for *MRSA* showed that the most common CFTR mutations are c.3700A > G (p.11234V), *Exon* 22 (Legacy name: *11234V*; *Exon* 19, c.3700A > G) that accounted for 7 (18%) patients, followed by c.1418delG (p.G473EfsX54), *Exon* 11 (Legacy name: *1548delG*; *Exon* 10), and c.2988 + 1G > A (*IVS18* + 1G > A), *Intron* 18 (Legacy name: 3120 + 1G > A; *Intron* 16), with 5 (13%) patients for each mutation type (Fig. 2).

#### 4. Discussion

Our study showed that there is an increasing number of *MRSA* infections from 11 (26%) to 32 (79%) among patients with CF between the 2 periods (2002–2009 and 2010–2016). This is consistent with Cafiso V et al. who reported an increase in the prevalence of *MRSA*-positive cases from 0.1% in 1995 to 18.9% in 2010 [8].

*MRSA* eradication is of utmost importance. Lo, D. et al. found that patients who clear *MRSA* infection within one year have the same

risk of death as those who never had a positive culture for *MRSA*, which leads us to the importance of having clear guidelines on how to eradicate *MRSA* in patients with CF [1]. In our study, we found that patients who were able to eradicate *MRSA* had less mortality rates than those who did not eradicate it.

Different studies used different eradication protocols [12–16], e.g., Vanderhelst, E. et al. <sup>[12]</sup> used 6-month period of rifampicin and fusidic acid for 6 months with topical decolonization including mupirocin-containing nasal ointment 3 times daily for 5 days and chlorhexidine hair and body wash once a day for 5 days. This method achieved 90% eradication of the disease after finishing the 6-month protocol with 9% recurrence of *MRSA* infection [12]. They also reported improvement in median forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1) in 6 patients [13], whereas Burdge et al. [15] who used a 2-month course of rifampicin and clindamycin and achieved 100% eradication in an 8-month follow-up. On the other hand, Kiefer et al. [16] used oral rifampicin and fusidic acid for 7 days in addition to the inhalation of vancomycin and achieved 86% eradication.

Our protocol eradicated only 36% of those with *MRSA* who received treatment, which could be due to the short period of antibiotic use, and we may need to prolong the period up to 6 months to achieve 86–100%, like other studies (Table 1).

These results will help us in concluding that the prevalence of *MRSA* in the population with CF is increasing. Thus, new recommendations for eradication protocol and prevention should be observed and followed to reduce the morbidity and mortality associated with *MRSA* in CF.

# 5. Conclusion

*MRSA* is common in our CF population. Eradication protocol should be instituted early before it becomes a chronic colonization. Children with persistent *MRSA* colonization had high morbidity and mortality rate.

#### Acknowledgment

(1)- Sara AlKaf and Dhefaf AlAbdaly from Biostatistics, Epidemiology, and Scientific Computing Department (KFSHRC), Riyadh. (2)- Manal Sheikh, Department of Pediatrics Research Unit (KFSHRC). (3)- Mohammad Chaballout, College of Medicine, Alfaisal University, Riyadh.

(4)- BIO Pharma, Middle East & Africa, P.O. Box 214989, Dubai, United Arab Emirates. Tel: +971 (4) 3692828, Fax: +971 (4) 3697391, www.biopharma-mea.com.

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpam.2019.10.005.

#### References

- [1] Lo DKH, Hurley MN, Muhlebach MS, Smyth AR. Interventions for the eradication of meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) in people with cystic fibrosis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2015;7. https://doi.org/10.1002/ 14651858.CD009650.pub4. Matched ISSN: 1469-493X.
- [2] Zielenski J, Rozmahel R, Bozon D, Kerem B sheva, Grzelczak Z, Riordan JR, et al. Genomic DNA sequence of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) gene. Genomics 1991;10(1):214–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0888-7543(91)90503-7. Matched ISSN: 0888-7543.
- [3] Anon. Cystic fibrosis foundation patient registry 2013 annual data report to the center directors. Cyst Fibros Found; 2014.
- [4] Rana M, Munns CF, Selvadurai H, Donaghue KC, Craig ME. Cystic fibrosisrelated diabetes in children—gaps in the evidence? Nat Rev Endocrinol 2010 May 25;6:371. Available from: https://doi.org/(View via CrossRef). Matched ISSN : 1759-5029.
- [5] Banjar H. Geographic distribution of cystic fibrosis transmembrane regulator gene mutations in Saudi arabia. East Mediterr Health J 1999;5(6).
- [6] Banjar H. Morbidity and mortality data of cystic fibrosis patients. Saudi Med J 2003;7. Matched ISSN: 0379-5284.
- [7] Yurdakul P, Ocal HY, Gulmez D, Yalcin E, Dogru D, Cinel G, et al. Predominance of hospital-associated MRSA among cystic fibrosis patients in a Turkish reference cystic fibrosis centre. J Chemother 2012;4:195–200. https://doi.org/ 10.1179/1973947812Y.000000024. Matched ISSN: 1120-009X.
- [8] Cafiso V, Bertuccio T, Spina D, Campanile F, Bongiorno D, Santagati M, et al. Methicillin resistance and vancomycin heteroresistance in Staphylococcus aureus in cystic fibrosis patients. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2010;29(10): 1277–85. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-010-1000-5. Matched ISSN: 0934-9723.
- [9] Ren CL, Morgan WJ, Konstan MW, Schechter MS, Wagener JS, Fisher KA, et al. Presence of methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus in respiratory cultures from cystic fibrosis patients is associated with lower lung function. Pediatr Pulmonol 2007. Matched ISSN: 8755-6863.

- [10] Girón RM, Buendía B, Pinedo C, Casanova Á, Hoyos N, Ancochea J. Staphylococcus aureus resistente a meticilina en pacientes adultos con fibrosis quística Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in patients with cystic fibrosis. J Enfermedades Infecciosas Y Microbiología Clínica 2009;27(2). https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.eimc.2008.02.008.
- [11] Perez LRR, Antunes ALS, Bonfanti JW, Pinto JB, Roesch EW, Rodrigues D, et al. Detection of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in clinical specimens from cystic fibrosis patients by use of chromogenic selective agar. J Clin Microbiol 2012;50(7):2506-8. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00549-12. Matched ISSN: 0095-1137.
- [12] Vanderhelst E, De Wachter E, Willekens J, Piérard D, Vincken W, Malfroot A. Eradication of chronic methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus infection in cystic fibrosis patients. An observational prospective cohort study of 11 patients. J Cyst Fibros 2013;12(6). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcf.2013.04.009. Matched ISSN : 1569-1993.
- [13] Vanderhelst E, De Wachter E, Willekens J, Schuermans D, Vincken W, Malfroot A, et al. Increase in ventilated air spaces after eradication of chronic methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus infection in cystic fibrosis patients. Acta Clin Belg 2014;70(1):30–3. https://doi.org/10.1179/ 2295333714Y.0000000079. Matched ISSN: 1784-3286.
- [14] Fung L. Continuous infusion vancomycin for treatment of methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus in cystic fibrosis patients. Ann Pharmacother 2012;46(10). https://doi.org/10.1345/aph.1R272. Matched ISSN : 1060-0280.
- [15] Burdge DR, Nakielna EM, Noble MA. Eradication of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus from the lower respiratory tract of patients with cystic fibrosis. Can J Infect Dis 1995;6(2):97–101. https://doi.org/10.1155/ 1995/176396. Matched ISSN: 1180-2332.
- [16] Kiefer A, Bogdan C, Melichar VO. Successful eradication of newly acquired MRSA in six of seven patients with cystic fibrosis applying a short-term local and systemic antibiotic scheme. BMC Pulm Med 2018;18(1):1–5. Matched ISSN : 1471-2466.
- [17] Chmiel JF, Aksamit TR, Chotirmall SH, Dasenbrook EC, Elborn JS, LiPuma JJ, et al. Antibiotic management of lung infections in cystic fibrosis: I. The microbiome, methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, gram-negative bacteria, and multiple infections. Ann Am Thorac Soc 2014;11(7). https:// doi.org/10.1513/AnnalsATS.201402-050AS. Matched ISSN: 2329-6933.
- [18] Wilschanski M, Durie PR. Patterns of GI disease in adulthood associated with mutations in the CFTR gene. Gut 2007;56(8). https://doi.org/10.1136/ gut.2004.062786. Matched ISSN: 0017-5749.
- [19] Banjar H. Microbiological Data of cystic fibrosis patients in a tertiary care center in Saudi Arabia.pdf. Kuwait Med J 2004;6(3):179–81. Matched ISSN : 1607-8047.
- [20] Pellegrino R, Viegi G, Brusasco V, Crapo RO, Burgos F, Casaburi R, et al. Interpretative strategies for lung function tests. Eur Respir J 2005;26(5): 948–68. https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.05.00035205 [aphylococcus aureus from the lower respiratory tract of patients with cystic fibrosis].