

Upper and lower gastrointestinal endoscopies in patients over 85 years of age

Risk-benefit evaluation of a longitudinal cohort

Raphaël Clere-Jehl, MD^{a,*}, Mickael Schaeffer^b, Thomas Vogel, MD, PhD^c, Michele Kiesmann, MD^c, Jean-Louis Pasquali, MD, PhD^d, Emmanuel Andres, MD, PhD^e, Anne Bourgarit, MD, PhD^e, Bernard Goichot, MD, PhD^a

Abstract

After age 85, upper and lower gastrointestinal (GI) endoscopy may be indicated in 5% to 10% of inpatients, but the risk-benefit ratio is unknown. We studied patients older than 85 years undergoing upper and lower GI endoscopy.

We analyzed a retrospective cohort of inpatients older than 85 years between 2004 and 2012, all explored by upper and complete lower GI endoscopy. Initial indications, including iron deficiency anemia (IDA), other anemias, GI bleeding, weight loss, and GI symptoms, were noted, as were endoscopy or anesthesia complications, immediate endoscopic diagnosis, and the ability to modify the patients' therapeutics. Deaths and final diagnosis for initial endoscopic indication were analyzed after at least 12 months.

We included 55 patients, 78% women, with a median age, reticulocyte count, hemoglobin, and ferritin levels of 87 (85–99), 56 (24–214) g/L, 8.6 (4.8–12.9) g/dL, and 56 (3–799) μ g/L, respectively. IDA was the most frequent indication for endoscopy (60%; n=33). Immediate diagnoses were found in 64% of the patients (n=35), including 25% with GI cancers (n=14) and 22% with gastroduodenal ulcers or erosions (n=12). Cancer diagnosis was associated with lower reticulocyte count (45 vs. 60 G/L; P=.02). Among the 35 diagnoses, 94% (n=33) led to modifications of the patients' therapeutics, with 29% of the patients deciding on palliative care (n=10). No endoscopic complications lead to death. Follow-up of >12 months was available in 82% (n=45) of the patients; among these patients, 40% (n=27) died after an average 24±18 months. Cancer diagnosis was significantly associated with less ulterior red cell transfusion (0% vs. 28%; P=.02) and fewer further investigations (6.7% vs. 40%; P=.02).

Upper and complete lower GI endoscopy in patients older than 85 years appears to be safe, and enables a high rate of immediate diagnosis, with significant modifications of therapeutics. GI cancers represented more than one-third of the endoscopic diagnoses.

Abbreviations: ASA = American Society of Anesthesiologists, GI = gastrointestinal, Hb = Hemoglobin, IDA = iron deficiency anemia, MCV = mean corpuscular volume, NSAID = non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug, TSAT = transferrin saturation.

Keywords: complications, diagnosis, elderly, gastrointestinal endoscopy, indications, iron deficiency anemia, outcome

Editor: Xiao-Dong Chen.

Author's contributions: All authors have been involved in the conception of the study and the acquisition of data, the conduct of the analysis, and the writing and the reviewing of the manuscript. All authors gave their written consent.

Sponsor's role: this research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

^a Internal Medicine, Endocrinology and Nutrition Department, Hautepierre Hospital, ^b Medical Information and statistics Department, Civil Hospital, ^c Geriatric Department, Robertsau Hospital, ^d Internal Medicine Department, New Civil Hospital, ^e Internal Medicine Department, Civil Hospital, University Hospital of Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France.

Copyright © 2017 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.

Medicine (2017) 96:44(e8439)

Received: 16 April 2017 / Received in final form: 7 September 2017 / Accepted: 6 October 2017 http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000008439

Statement of Non-Duplication: The authors whose names are listed certify that this manuscript is a unique submission and is not being considered for publication by any other source in any medium. This manuscript has not been published, in part or in full, in any form.

The authors whose names are listed certify that they have NO affiliations with or involvement in any organization or entity with any financial interest (such as honoraria; educational grants; participation in speakers' bureaus; membership, employment, consultancies, stock ownership, or other equity interest; and expert testimony or patent-licensing arrangements), or non-financial interest (such as personal or professional relationships, affiliations, knowledge or beliefs) in the subject matter or materials discussed in this manuscript.

^{*} Correspondence: Dr Raphaël Clere-Jehl, Internal Medicine, Endocrinology and Nutrition Department, Hautepierre Hospital, University Hospital of Strasbourg, Strasbourg F-67000, France (e-mail: raphael.clere@chru-strasbourg.fr).

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License 4.0, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even for commercial purposes, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

1. Introduction

Upper and lower gastrointestinal (GI) endoscopies frequently have a theoretical indication in elderly patients. Indeed, iron deficiency anemia (IDA) is an indication for GI endoscopies^[1–5] in 5% to 10% of internal medicine inpatients older than 85 years. The prevalence of anemia reaches 25% among geriatric populations,^[6–8] and 20% to 30% of anemia cases are found to be owing to iron deficiency in patients older than 65 years.^[9] Weight loss and isolated GI symptoms are additional indications for GI endoscopy.^[10–12]

However, upper and complete lower GI endoscopies are invasive procedures requiring anesthesia,^[13] which deters physicians from performing GI endoscopies in elderly patients. Moreover, older age and comorbidities may prevent physicians from acting on an endoscopic diagnosis, making clinical benefit uncertain.

On the other side, GI endoscopy may be useful for diagnoses in elderly patients.^[14] Upper and lower GI endoscopies enable a causal diagnosis in 63% to 85% of patients with $IDA^{[15-17]}$; this rate appears to be higher in patients older than 65 years, reaching $90\%^{[18]}$ and including 9% to 16% as GI cancers.^[15,16,19,20]

No risk-benefit study of GI endoscopies in patients older than 85 years of age is available. To address this clinical issue, we evaluated the initial indications (IDA and others), findings, and complications of upper and lower GI endoscopies in patients older than 85 years of age. We also evaluated clinical outcome at least one year after the endoscopic procedure.

2. Methods

2.1. Patients

All in-patients older than 85 years of age who had undergone upper and lower GI endoscopy between January 2004 and December 2012 in 4 different internal medicine units of Strasbourg's University Hospital (France) were prospectively registered and retrospectively considered. To be included, patients were required to have had both upper and complete lower GI endoscopy. Our longitudinal retrospective cohort study received the approval of the ethics committee of the Faculty of Medicine at the University of Strasbourg in April 2015.

2.2. Baseline characteristics

The patients' epidemiologic characteristics and any treatment that could potentially induce bleeding, that is, the use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), antiplatelets, and anticoagulants, were recorded. Hemoglobin (Hb) levels, mean corpuscular volume (MCV), reticulocyte counts, serum ferritin levels, transferrin saturation, folic acid, and vitamin B12 deficiencies were recorded at inclusion.

Data about anesthesia were collected, including the patients' American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status, as well as the type of anesthetic drugs and techniques used.

Initial indications of GI endoscopies were recorded; each patient could have ≥ 1 indications. Anemia was defined by hemoglobin <13 g/dL among men and 12 g/dL among women, and iron deficiency anemia (IDA) was defined by serum ferritin level <100 µg/L concomitant with anemia. Other indications were GI bleeding, weight loss in excess of 10% in <6 months, GI symptoms, and cancers of unknown primary origin.

2.3. GI endoscopies: results and complications

Significant GI lesions diagnosed by initial GI endoscopy were recorded, including cancers of any part of the explored bowels (esophagus, stomach, colon, and rectum), ulcers or erosions, esophagitis, angiodysplasias, ischemic colitis, and polyps >10 mm.

In cases of anemia without significant GI lesion, minimal digestive lesions not considered to be the cause of anemia alone were noted (i.e., hiatal hernia, colonic diverticulosis, nonerosive gastritis, haemorrhoidal diseases, colonic polyps <10 mm); when these minimal digestive lesions were associated with antiplatelets, anticoagulants, or NSAIDs, this association was recorded.

Each therapeutic modification made as a result of GI endoscopy was noted, including the decision for palliative treatment.

Complications owing to GI endoscopy or anesthesia were noted, including death, life-threatening complications, and mild complications. If present, colon perforations and cardiovascular events were considered life-threatening complications, whereas delirium following anesthesia was classified as a mild complication.

2.4. Patient follow-up

When information was available in medical records, a minimal 12-month follow-up was considered sufficient.

Information about outcome was collected in 2016 by analyzing data of new in-patient stays or consultations and by phone call to the general practitioner of the patient. The following were recorded: survival, new diagnosis as a result of initial GI endoscopy indication, causes of death (and any connection with the indication of GI endoscopy), persistence of anemia, and red cell transfusions. Investigations for diagnostic purposes, performed after initial GI endoscopy, were called "further investigations".

2.5. Statistical analyses

Quantitative variables were described using position and dispersion statistics as the mean and standard deviation. Qualitative variables were described by effectives and percentages. Comparisons between quantitative variables were accomplished with Student t test or nonparametric Mann–Whitney U test. To compare qualitative variables, the nonparametric Fisher exact test was used. The significance level was fixed at 5%. Analyses were performed with the R software (Language for Environment and Statistical computing, R Core team, Vienna, Austria) under its version 3.1 with all additional packages required.

3. Results

3.1. Inclusion flow chart

Among 1032 internal medicine inpatients who underwent a GI endoscopic procedure (the inclusion flow chart is shown in Fig. 1), 8.8% (n=91) were at least 85 years of age. Of these 91 patients, 60% (n=55) had complete upper and lower GI endoscopy and were included.

A follow-up of at least 12 months was finally obtained for 82% (n=45) of the included patients.

3.2. Baseline features of patients

At baseline (Table 1), these 55 patients were 88.0 ± 2.0 years' old; 18% lived in nursing homes, and 78% were women. The patients had a median hemoglobin level, MCV, reticulocyte count, and



Figure 1. Flow chart. GI = gastrointestinal, IDA = iron deficiency anemia.

serum ferritin level of $8.6 \pm 2.1 \text{ g/dL}$, $85 \pm 10 \,\mu\text{m}^3$, $59 \pm 21 \text{ g/L}$ and $112 \pm 261 \,\mu\text{g/L}$, respectively. The patients presented with folic acid deficiency in 29% (n=16) of cases and vitamin B12 deficiency in 22% (n=12) of cases. Seventy-seven percent of the patients (n=42) suffered from cardiovascular diseases, among them 51% (n=28) had chronic heart failure. Sixty-seven percent (n=37) were treated with at least 1 antithrombotic therapy:

Ctudy nonulation N

EE

Table [•]	1	
Patients	characteristics at baseline.	

	Study population, $N = 55$
Epidemiological features:	
Women, n %)	43 (78%)
Mean age, y	88.0 ± 2.0
Living in nursing homes	10 (18%)
Blood tests:	
Baseline mean Hb, g/dL	8.6 ± 2.1
Mean MCV, µm ³	85 ± 10
Mean reticulocyte count, g/L	59 ± 22
Mean serum ferritin, µg/L	112 ± 261
Mean TSAT (%)	12 ± 10
Vitamin B9 deficiency, n (%)	16 (29%)
Vitamin B12 deficiency, n (%)	12 (22%)
Anticoagulants/antiplatelets, n (%):	37 (67%)
Vitamin K antagonists, n (%)	14 (26%)
Antiplatelets, n (%)	22 (40%)
NSAIDs, n (%)	4 (7.3%)
GI endoscopy indications:	
Iron deficiency anemia, n (%)	33 (60%)
Other anemia, n (%)	16 (29%)
GI bleeding, n (%)	11 (20%)
Weight loss, n (%)	19 (35%)
Functional symptoms, n (%)	5 (9.1%)
Cancers of unknown primary origin, n (%)	2 (3.6%)
GI endoscopy complications:	5 (9.1%)
Deaths, n (%)	0 (0%)
Life-threatening complications, n (%)	1 (1.8%)
Mild complications, n (%)	4 (7.3%)
GI endoscopy immediate diagnoses:	35 (64%)
Cancers, n (%)	14 (25%)
Gastric or duodenal ulcers/erosions, n (%)	12 (22%)
Esophagitis, n (%)	3 (5.5%)
Angiodysplasias, n (%)	3 (5.5%)
Ischemic colitis, n (%)	2 (3.6%)
Other, n (%)	1 (1.8%)

The results are expressed as the mean \pm SD or as n (%). GI = gastrointestinal, Hb = hemoglobin, MCV = mean corpuscular volume, NSAID = non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, TSAT = transferrin saturation.

Vitamin K antagonist in 26% (n=14), antiplatelets in 40% (n=22), and NSAID in 7.3% (n=4).

3.3. Anesthesia

All patients had an ASA status of 2 (35%, n=19) or 3 (65%, n=36). Over half of ASA scores of 3 were because of chronic heart failure or coronary heart disease. All patients underwent a general anesthesia without intubation, and all included patients had a colonoscopy preparation for elective upper and complete lower GI endoscopies. Anesthetic drugs were propofol in 95% of the patients (n=52), ketamine chlorhydrate in 3% (n=2), or both in 2% (n=1). Doses of anesthetic drugs were reduced by 50% on average compared with younger adult patients.

3.4. Indications of GI endoscopy

IDA was the most frequent indication for GI endoscopy, at 60% (n=33), followed by weight loss in 35% (n=19), other anemia in 29% (n=16), and GI bleeding in 20% (n=11). When present, with or without other indications, anemia was the main indication for GI endoscopy, so that 89% (n=49) of the endoscopic procedures were performed because of anemia in order of priority. Anemia was explored because red cell transfusions were needed (n=36), and/or because anemia was considered to worsen a preexisting chronic heart failure (49%, n=27).

3.5. GI endoscopies: results and complications

GI endoscopies enabled an immediate diagnosis (Table 1) in keeping with the initial symptoms in 64% (n=35) of the patients. Cancers were the most frequent diagnoses, in 25% (n=14) of the patients, including colon or rectal cancers in 20% (n=11), stomach cancers (n=2), and pancreatic cancer invading the duodenum (n=1). Cancers represented 40% (n=14) of all immediate diagnoses (n=35).

Other significant lesions were gastric or duodenal ulcers/erosions without malignancy in 22% (n = 12) of the patients, esophagitis (n = 3), angiodysplasia (n = 3) and ischemic colitis (n = 2).

Therapeutic modifications as a result of initial GI endoscopy occurred in 94% (n=33) of the 35 initial diagnoses, including the decision for palliative treatments in 29% (n=10) of these cases.

Among the 20 patients without a significant GI lesion, 13 had an association between a minimal digestive lesion and antithrombotics.

Regarding the 33 patients with IDA at inclusion, an immediate diagnosis was found in 73% (n=24), including 30% (n=10) GI cancers: colon or rectal cancer (n=9), gastric cancer (n=1); DNS.

Complications following GI endoscopy or anesthesia (Table 1) were noted in 9% (n=5) of the patients; most of these complications were mild, all of which were delirium (n=4). One life-threatening complication was recorded: acute heart failure. There was neither colon perforation nor death.

3.6. Patient follow-up

3.6.1. Outcome. Average duration of follow-up was 36 ± 24 months. Anemia persisted or recurred in 84% (n=38) of the patients, with a mean follow-up hemoglobin level of 9.5 ± 1.8 g/dL. Red cell transfusions were performed during follow-up in 20% (n=9) of the patients.

Thirty-one percent (n=14) of the patients had further investigations owing to a lack of diagnosis after the initial GI endoscopy. Among these 14 patients, 2 had a late diagnosis



explaining initial IDA, always by means of a second-look GI endoscopy: colon cancer (n=1), and bleeding duodenal ulcer (n=1), *H pylori*-positive. Cancer was the most frequent diagnosis, with 26% (n=15) of all patients ultimately diagnosed with cancer (See distribution of final diagnoses in Fig. 2).

Concerning the 13 anemic patients who initially had an association between a minimal lesion and antithrombotics, 77% (n=10) had a follow-up >12 months without any other diagnosis.

3.6.2. Comparison for survival. Among the 18 deaths, 28% (n=5) corresponded with the initial indication for GI endoscopy, all from cancers: colon or rectal cancer (n=3), stomach cancer (n=1), and pancreatic cancer invading the duodenum (n=1).

Apart from shorter follow-up, significant differences (Table 2) were found as follows: a higher hemoglobin level at baseline among deceased patients $(9.5 \pm 1.7 \text{ g/dL} \text{ vs. } 8.0 \pm 1.7 \text{ g/dL};$ P=.008); a lower rate of red cell transfusions during follow-up (44% vs. 15%; odds ratio, OR 0.23; 95% confidence interval, CI [0.04–1]; P=.04); a higher rate of cancer diagnoses (44% vs. 15%; OR 0.23; 95% CI [0.04–1]; P=.04); and more frequent decisions for palliative care (33% vs. 3.7%; OR 0.08; 95% CI [0–0.78]; P=0.01).

3.6.3. Comparison for cancer diagnosis. Fifteen patients were diagnosed with cancer, including 14 early diagnoses and 1 late diagnosis made by a second-look lower GI endoscopy owing to persistent IDA. Compared with patients without cancers (Table 3), cancer patients had a significantly lower reticulocyte count at baseline (45 g/L [interquartile range, IQR 33–53] vs 60 g/L [IQR 49–72]; P=.02); initial GI endoscopy led to more frequent therapeutic modifications (93% vs. 63%; OR 8.2; 95% CI [1.03–377]; P=0.04), including more decisions for palliative care (67% vs. 0%; P < 0.001); during follow-up, cancer patients received fewer red cell transfusions (0% vs. 28%; P=0.02), had fewer further investigations (6.7% vs. 40%; OR 0.11; 95% CI [0–0.87]; P=.02) but had a higher mortality (53% vs. 25%; OR 3.3; 95% CI [0.9–14]; P=.05).

The mean follow-up of the 15 patients diagnosed with cancer was 23 ± 34 months. Among these patients, 3 (20%) had surgical treatment immediately after cancer diagnosis and were still relapse-free survivors after 5 years. No patient received chemotherapy.

Table 2

Patients comparison for survival.

	Survival,	Deaths,	P
	11-27	11-10	'
Epidemiological features:	00 (010()	10 (070()	0
women, n (%)	22 (81%)	12 (67%)	.3
iviean age, y	87.5±2.1	88.3±5.3	ND
Living in nursing nomes	5 (19%)	4 (22%)	ND
Blood tests:	0.0 1.7	05 17	~~~**
Mean baseline Hb, g/dL	8.0 ± 1.7	9.5 ± 1.7	.008
Mean MCV, µm ³	84 ± 11	86 ± 5	.73
Mean reticulocyte count, g/L	65 ± 38	59 ± 25	.99
Mean serum ferritin, µg/L	103 ± 142	83 ± 133	.68
Mean TSAT (%)	14 <u>±</u> 11	10 ± 8	.5
Anticoagulants/antiplatelets, n (%):	23 (85%)	11 (61%)	.09
GI endoscopy indications:			
Iron Deficiency anemia, n (%)	18 (67%)	12 (67%)	.99
Other anemia, n (%)	8 (30%)	4 (22%)	.74
Gl bleeding, n (%)	6 (22%)	4 (22%)	.99
Weight loss, n (%)	7 (26%)	9 (50%)	.12
Functional symptoms, n (%)	2 (7%)	2 (11%)	.65
Cancers of unknown	0 (0%)	1 (5.6%)	.4
primary origin, n (%)			
GI endoscopy complications:	1 (3.7%)	2 (11%)	
Life-threatening complications, n (%)	0 (0%)	1 (5.6%)	.4
Mild complications n (%)	1 (3.7%)	1 (5.6%)	.99
GI endoscopy immediate diagnoses:	15 (56%)	13 (72%)	.35
Cancers, n (%)	4 (15%)	8 (44%)	.04*
Gastric or duodenal	7 (26%)	4 (22%)	.99
ulcers/erosions, n (%)			
Esophagitis, n (%)	1 (4%)	1 (5.6%)	.99
Angiodysplasias, n (%)	3 (11%)	0 (0%)	.26
lschemic colitis, n (%)	1 (3.7%)	1 (5.6%)	ND
Other. n (%)	0 (0%)	1 (5.6%)	ND
Resulting treatment changes [†] :	14 (52%)	13 (72%)	.22
Palliative care, n (%)	1 (3.7%)	6 (33%)	.01*
Follow-up:	. (011 /0)	0 (00 /0)	101
Mean follow-up, mo:	44 + 21	24 + 18	.002**
Persistent anemia	24 (89%)	14 (79%)	.41
Red cell transfusion	9 (33%)	0 (0%)	.007**
Further investigations	10 (37%)	4 (22%)	.34

The results are expressed as the mean \pm SD or as n (%). GI = gastrointestinal, Hb = hemoglobin, MCV = mean corpuscular volume, TSAT = transferrin saturation.

^{*} P<.05.

** P<.01

[†] Modification of therapeutics owing to initial GI endoscopy results.

4. Discussion

Our study showed that GI endoscopies at presentation led to an immediate diagnosis in most of patients older than 85 years. More than one-third of the immediate diagnoses were GI cancers. Significant therapeutic modifications were undertaken in 93% of the immediate diagnoses, with 29% of these decisions involving palliative care. During follow-up, patients diagnosed with cancer had significantly fewer red cell transfusions and fewer further investigations. Only 9% of GI endoscopies led to complications, mostly mild. No death was because of GI endoscopy.

The average age of 88 years in our study was the highest in the literature of GI endoscopy in elderly patients.^[18,19] Indeed, we aimed to focus on elderly patients with comorbidities. Consequently, we focused on inpatients.

The rate of immediate diagnosis owing to initial upper and lower GI endoscopy, 64%, is similar to that of prior studies of GI investigations of IDA among younger patients,^[15,16,18,20] but we

Table 3 Patients comparison for cancer diagnosis.

	Cancers,	Others,		
	n=15	n=40	Р	
Epidemiological features:				
Women, n (%)	12 (80%)	31 (78%)	ND	
Mean age, y	89.3±2.8	87.5±2.7	ND	
Living in nursing homes	0 (0%)	10 (25%)	.05	
Blood tests:				
Mean baseline Hb, g/dL	8.4±2.0	8.7 <u>±</u> 1.9	.28	
Mean MCV, μm ³	82±9	86±10	.10	
Mean reticulocyte count, g/L	47 ± 12	64±33	.02*	
Mean serum ferritin, µg/L	93±142	122 ± 177	.83	
Mean TSAT (%)	12±9	12±10	.85	
Vitamin B9 deficiency, n (%)	3 (20%)	13 (33%)	.51	
Vitamin B12 deficiency, n (%)	3 (20%)	9 (23%)	.86	
Anticoagulants/antiplatelets, n (%)	8 (53%)	29 (73%)	.21	
GI endoscopy indications:				
Iron Deficiency anemia, n (%)	11 (73%)	22 (55%)	.35	
Other anemia, n (%)	2 (13%)	14 (35%)	.18	
GI bleeding, n (%)	3 (20%)	8 (20%)	.99	
Weight loss, n (%)	7 (47%)	12 (30%)	.34	
Functional symptoms, n (%)	0 (0%)	5 (13%)	.31	
Cancers of unknown	1 (6.7%)	1 (2.5%)	.47	
primary origin, n (%)				
GI endoscopy complications:	2 (13%)	3 (7.5%)	.61	
Life-threatening complications, n (%)	1 (6.7%)	0 (0%)	ND	
Mild complications, n (%)	1 (6.7%)	3 (7.5%)	ND	
Resulting treatment changes †	14 (93%)	25 (63%)	.04*	
Palliative care, n (%)	10 (67%)	0 (0%)	<.001***	
Follow-up				
Mean follow-up, mo	24±34	32±23	.12	
Lost to follow-up (<1 y)	3 (20%)	7 (18%)	.99	
Deaths	8 (53%)	10 (25%)	.05*	
Persistent anemia	11 (73%)	28 (70%)	.99	
Mean follow-up Hb, g/dL	9.7±2.4	9.4 <u>+</u> 1.7	.89	
Red cell transfusion	0 (0%)	11 (28%)	.02*	
Further investigations	1 (6.7%)	16 (40%)	.02*	

The results are expressed as the mean \pm SD or as n (%). GI = gastrointestinal, Hb = hemoglobin, MCV = mean corpuscular volume, TSAT = transferrin saturation.

*P<.05.

P<.001

⁺ Modification of therapeutics owing to initial GI endoscopy results.

found the highest rate of GI cancers. Although previous studies of GI investigations of IDA found 10% to 20% GI cancers among elderly patients,^[19] 25% of our patients were immediately diagnosed with GI cancers, representing 40% of the initial diagnoses. An increase in GI cancer incidence with age, reaching 300 per 100,000 at age 85 for colon cancer,^[21] is probably the main factor explaining this high rate of GI cancer diagnosis. It is noteworthy that GI endoscopy was often the first tool for cancer diagnosis as only 2 patients were explored by endoscopy following the discovery of metastasis by computed tomography scan.

The diagnostic usefulness of GI endoscopies for patients above the age of 85 was reinforced by the many therapeutic modifications made as a result of associated findings. Remarkably, the outcomes of the patients diagnosed with GI cancers were significantly different from those of other patients, regarding red cell transfusions and the implementation of further investigations during follow-up; both of these interventions occurred less frequently in cases of a cancer diagnosis. Two factors may explain these differences. The primary factor appeared to be the frequent decision to treat with palliative care. The second factor could be the 20% cure rate in those diagnosed with a GI cancer. In both situations, the importance of making the diagnosis of GI cancer, even after 85 years of age, should be highlighted.

Other diagnoses could lead to noninvasive efficient treatments, such as gastric or duodenal ulcers. Besides, the frequency of the association between a minimal digestive lesion and antithrombotics in elderly patients, as showed our former study,^[22] could increase the rate of therapeutic modifications, by treating the minimal lesion or by stopping antithrombotics.

Despite older age and a median ASA status of 3, severe complications associated with anesthesia or initial GI endoscopy were rare. No death was reported. These results are similar to previous data on GI endoscopy among patients older than 80 vears, reporting <1% severe complications.^[23] The median ASA status of three in our population was mostly because of chronic heart failure or coronary heart disease, which seems to be consistent with the high prevalence of cardiovascular diseases over 85, reaching >55%.^[24] However, a focus on chronic heart failures in our study shows that the proportion of 51% is higher than the findings of large epidemiological studies, such as the Rotterdam study.^[25,26] This large percentage of chronic heart failures could select patients at higher risk of life-threatening complications but does not have a patent influence on our complication rate. It thus reinforces the idea that elderly patients with comorbidities can be explored by a GI endoscopy. Besides, this high proportion of chronic heart failures may be associated epidemiologically with the high rate of anemia in our study, as prevalence of anemia in patients with heart failure is >33% in several studies.^[27-30] Moreover, the anemia itself is a comorbidity that can worsen cardiac function^[30] and is associated with worse outcomes.^[31–34] We also underline the frequent indication of red cell transfusions in our population. Both red cell transfusions and chronic heart failures with concomitant anemia led physician to actively investigate and treat anemia; hence, the frequent indication of GI endoscopy in our study, in spite of old age and ASA status.

In regards to survival, mortality rates reached 40% after 24month mean survival. Thus, mortality was higher than in the overall 85-year-old population,^[35] partially because of the prevalence of chronic heart failures, as outlined above, and because of deaths from GI cancers in 28% of the patients. Cancer diagnoses led to decisions for palliative care in most cases. Moreover, our high death rate was probably associated with anemia, which was present in 89% of our patients. Indeed, anemia itself is associated with mortality.^[36]

Regarding laboratory tests, hemoglobin level of 8.6 g/dL was less than that in most other studies of endoscopic investigation of $IDA^{[17,37]}$ and was consistent with the lower mean hemoglobin level among patients older than 85, such as in NHANES III.^[6,8] Concerning the serum ferritin level used to definite IDA, we chose the threshold of $100 \mu g/L$, adapted for use with elderly patients with comorbidities.^[37–39] This higher threshold may have led to the most frequent indication of GI endoscopy being IDA in our population.

4.1. Limitations

This study is retrospective, which is the main limitation. However, all eligible patients0 were systematically included and followed by the same physician. We chose to exclude patients with incomplete lower endoscopy to avoid missed colon lesions. This aim of diagnostic detail, and the selection of inpatients older than 85 able to undergo general anesthesia to perform the upper and complete lower GI endoscopy, selected patients presenting a relatively rare situation. Consequently, the sample size of the study was relatively small.

The selection of such patients may have influenced the outcomes of the study. Nevertheless, the high rate of cardiovascular diseases and the median ASA status show that patients with comorbid conditions and frailty were included.

Note that no comprehensive geriatric assessment was available. The selection of elderly patients undergoing GI endoscopy should be based on the Katz ADL scale^[40] and the Lawton IADL scale.^[41] However, these scales are rarely used in internal medicine units, so they could not be calculated retrospectively for our patients, leading us to use only age to define our study population. Age older than 85 was an objective and broad eligibility criterion, which may have led to the inclusion of patients who might not have been explored by GI endoscopy if following ADL and IADL scales. Whereas ADL and IADL scales may be of importance for therapeutic decisions, our study showed the importance of making a cancer diagnosis, even if subsequently leading to a decision for palliative care.

4.2. How to apply these knowledges for routine clinical practice?

An upper and complete lower GI endoscopy must be discussed even in patients >85 years presenting with anemia, especially IDA, GI bleeding, or weight loss from unknown origin. Selected patients with ASA III and comorbidities such as chronic heart failure may also benefit from such a complete GI endoscopic procedure, although a general anesthesia is needed.

5. Conclusion

Our results allow us to suggest the potential utility of upper and complete lower GI endoscopy, even in selected patients older than 85 years. Despite frequent decisions to treat with palliative care, the high rate of cancer diagnosis is responsible for substantial therapeutic modifications. Furthermore, severe complications of GI endoscopy remain rare.

References

- Goddard AF, McIntyre AS, Scott BB. Guidelines for the management of iron deficiency anaemia. British Society of Gastroenterology. Gut 2000;46(suppl 3–4):IV1–5.
- [2] Goddard AF, James MW, McIntyre AS, et al. British Society of GGuidelines for the management of iron deficiency anaemia. Gut 2011;60:1309–16.
- [3] Rockey DC. Occult gastrointestinal bleeding. Gastroenterol Clin North Am 2005;34:699–718.
- [4] Waye JD, Douglas KR, Williams CB. Colonoscopy: Principles and Practice. 2nd ed2009;Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, UK:111–30.
- [5] Keren D, Rainis T, Stermer E, et al. A nine-year audit of open-access upper gastrointestinal endoscopic procedures: results and experience of a single centre. Can J Gastroenterol 2011;25:83–8.
- [6] The Third National Health and Nutrition Survey (NHANES III, 1988-94). Reference Manuals and Reports. In: Statistics NCfH, editor. National Center for Healths Statistics ed 1996.
- [7] Joosten E, Pelemans W, Hiele M, et al. Prevalence and causes of anaemia in a geriatric hospitalized population. Gerontology 1992;38:111–7.
- [8] Guralnik JM, Eisenstaedt RS, Ferrucci L, et al. Prevalence of anemia in persons 65 years and older in the United States: evidence for a high rate of unexplained anemia. Blood 2004;104:2263–8.
- [9] Andres E, Federici L, Serraj K, et al. Update of nutrient-deficiency anemia in elderly patients. Eur J Intern Med 2008;19:488–93.

- [10] Diagnostic indications for upper gastrointestinal endoscopy in esophageal and gastroduodenal disease in adults, excluding endoscopic ultrasonography and enteroscopy, (2001).
- [11] ANAES. Indications for lower gastrointestinal endoscopy. Clinical practice guidelines. 2004.
- [12] Axon AT, Bell GD, Jones RH, et al. Guidelines on appropriate indications for upper gastrointestinal endoscopy. Working Party of the Joint Committee of the Royal College of Physicians of London, Royal College of Surgeons of England, Royal College of Anaesthetists, Association of Surgeons, the British Society of Gastroenterology, and the Thoracic Society of Great Britain. BMJ 1995;310:853–6.
- [13] Lichtenstein DR, Jagannath S, Baron TH, et al. Standards of Practice Committee of the American Society for Gastrointestinal ESedation and anesthesia in GI endoscopy. Gastrointest Endosc 2008;68:815–26.
- [14] Andres E, Serraj K, Federici L, et al. Anemia in elderly patients: new insight into an old disorder. Geriatr Gerontol Int 2013;13:519–27.
- [15] Rockey DC, Cello JP. Evaluation of the gastrointestinal tract in patients with iron-deficiency anemia. N Engl J Med 1993;329:1691–5.
- [16] Rockey DC. Occult gastrointestinal bleeding. N Engl J Med 1999;341: 38–46.
- [17] Annibale B, Capurso G, Chistolini A, et al. Gastrointestinal causes of refractory iron deficiency anemia in patients without gastrointestinal symptoms. Am J Med 2001;111:439–45.
- [18] Saurin JC. [How to explore iron-deficiency anemia]. Presse Med 2010;39:794-8.
- [19] Joosten E, Meeuwissen J, Vandewinckele H, et al. Iron status and colorectal cancer in symptomatic elderly patients. Am J Med 2008;121: 1072–7.
- [20] Killip S, Bennett JM, Chambers MD. Iron deficiency anemia. Am Fam Physician 2007;75:671–8.
- [21] Purim O, Gordon N, Brenner B. Cancer of the colon and rectum: potential effects of sex-age interactions on incidence and outcome. Med Sci Monit 2013;19:203–9.
- [22] Clere-Jehl R, Sauleau E, Ciuca S, et al. Outcome of endoscopy-negative iron deficiency anemia in patients above 65: a longitudinal multicenter cohort. Medicine (Baltimore) 2016;95:e5339.
- [23] Duncan JE, Sweeney WB, Trudel JL, et al. Colonoscopy in the elderly: low risk, low yield in asymptomatic patients. Dis Colon Rectum 2006;49:646–51.
- [24] Leening MJ, Ferket BS, Steyerberg EW, et al. Sex differences in lifetime risk and first manifestation of cardiovascular disease: prospective population based cohort study. BMJ 2014;349:g5992.
- [25] Hofman A, Brusselle GG, Darwish Murad S, et al. The Rotterdam Study: 2016 objectives and design update. Eur J Epidemiol 2015;30: 661–708.
- [26] Bleumink GS, Knetsch AM, Sturkenboom MC, et al. Quantifying the heart failure epidemic: prevalence, incidence rate, lifetime risk and prognosis of heart failure The Rotterdam Study. Eur Heart J 2004;25: 1614–9.
- [27] Shah R, Agarwal AK. Anemia associated with chronic heart failure: current concepts. Clin Interv Aging 2013;8:111–22.
- [28] Groenveld HF, Januzzi JL, Damman K, et al. Anemia and mortality in heart failure patients a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Am Coll Cardiol 2008;52:818–27.
- [29] Adams KFJr, Patterson JH, Oren RM, et al. Prospective assessment of the occurrence of anemia in patients with heart failure: results from the Study of Anemia in a Heart Failure Population (STAMINA-HFP) Registry. Am Heart J 2009;157:926–32.
- [30] Silverberg DS, Wexler D, Iaina A. The role of anemia in the progression of congestive heart failure. Is there a place for erythropoietin and intravenous iron? J Nephrol 2004;17:749–61.
- [31] Alexandrakis MG, Tsirakis G. Anemia in heart failure patients. ISRN Hematol 2012;2012:246915.
- [32] Ezekowitz JA, McAlister FA, Armstrong PW. Anemia is common in heart failure and is associated with poor outcomes: insights from a cohort of 12 065 patients with new-onset heart failure. Circulation 2003;107:223–5.
- [33] Komajda M, Anker SD, Charlesworth A, et al. The impact of new onset anaemia on morbidity and mortality in chronic heart failure: results from COMET. Eur Heart J 2006;27:1440–6.
- [34] Anand IS. Anemia and chronic heart failure implications and treatment options. J Am Coll Cardiol 2008;52:501–11.
- [35] Aevarsson O, Svanborg A, Skoog I. Seven-year survival rate after age 85 years: relation to Alzheimer disease and vascular dementia. Arch Neurol 1998;55:1226–32.
- [36] Riva E, Tettamanti M, Mosconi P, et al. Association of mild anemia with hospitalization and mortality in the elderly: the Health and Anemia population-based study. Haematologica 2009;94:22–8.

- [37] Serefhanoglu S, Buyukasik Y, Emmungil H, et al. Identification of clinical and simple laboratory variables predicting responsible gastrointestinal lesions in patients with iron deficiency anemia. Int J Med Sci 2010;8: 30–8.
- [38] Ioannou GN, Spector J, Scott K, et al. Prospective evaluation of a clinical guideline for the diagnosis and management of iron deficiency anemia. Am J Med 2002;113:281–7.
- [39] Kis AM, Carnes M. Detecting iron deficiency in anemic patients with concomitant medical problems. J Gen Intern Med 1998;13:455–61.
- [40] Katz S, Ford AB, Moskowitz RW, et al. Studies of Illness in the Aged. The index of Adl: a standardized measure of biological and psychosocial function. JAMA 1963;185:914–9.
- [41] Lawton MP, Brody EM. Assessment of older people: self-maintaining and instrumental activities of daily living. Gerontologist 1969;9:179–86.