

Combination of the ankle-brachial index and percentage of mean arterial pressure to improve diagnostic sensitivity for peripheral artery disease An observational study

Han-Wei Lin, MD^a, I-Te Lee, MD, PhD^{a,b,c,d,*}

Abstract

The ankle-brachial index (ABI) is a noninvasive method for screening for peripheral artery disease (PAD). However, false-negative findings of the ABI may limit its clinical use. The percentage of mean arterial pressure (%MAP) calculated from pulse volume recording has been reported to predict all-cause mortality. We hypothesized that the %MAP would be helpful to screen for PAD in subjects with a normal ABI. We examined whether using a combination of the ABI and %MAP would provide greater diagnostic sensitivity for PAD than using the ABI alone.

In this cross-sectional study, we retrospectively reviewed the medical records of patients who had undergone multiple detector computed tomography (MDCT) angiography of the lower extremities following measurement of the ABI with pulse volume recording. PAD was diagnosed based on MDCT angiography.

A total of 215 lower extremities of 114 patients were included in our analyses. An optimal cut-off %MAP value of 42.5% was used to diagnose PAD based on MDCT in patients with an ABI > 0.90. Using a combination of an ABI < 0.90 and a %MAP \ge 42.5% as diagnostic criteria for PAD resulted in better sensitivity (76.9%) than using the ABI alone (56.5% for an ABI < 0.90 and 63.4% for an ABI < 1.00). Using logistic regression analysis, we found that patients having both an ABI < 0.90 and an ABI > 0.90 with a %MAP \ge 42.5% had a significantly higher risk of PAD than those having an ABI > 0.90 with a %MAP < 42.5% (odds ratio = 7.165, P = .006; odds ratio = 12.544, P < .001; respectively).

Both the sensitivity and specificity were better when using a combination of an ABI \leq 0.90 and a %MAP \geq 42.5% than when using a low or borderline ABI. The %MAP is helpful for PAD screening in subjects with an ABI > 0.90.

Abbreviations: %MAP = percentage of mean arterial pressure, ABI = ankle-brachial index, ACE = angiotensin-converting enzyme, ARB = angiotensin II receptor antagonists, CI = confidence interval, eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate, MDCT = multiple detector computed tomography, PAD = peripheral artery disease, ROC curve = receiver operating characteristic curve, UT = upstroke time.

Keywords: ankle-brachial index, percentage of mean arterial pressure, peripheral artery disease, sensitivity

1. Introduction

Peripheral artery disease (PAD), a sequential result of atherosclerosis, has become a heavy public health burden.^[1,2] Although intermittent claudication is a typical symptom of PAD, many

Medicine (2018) 97:39(e12644)

Received: 18 July 2018 / Accepted: 7 September 2018 http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000012644 patients remain asymptomatic.^[3] The ankle-brachial index (ABI) is a useful noninvasive screening tool for PAD.^[4] PAD diagnosed based on an ABI ≤ 0.90 had similar risks of all-cause mortality, myocardial infarction, and stroke as symptomatic PAD.^[5]

However, PAD diagnosis using an ABI ≤ 0.90 has a reported sensitivity of only 76% in Chinese patients,^[6] and the sensitivity may decrease in specific populations, such as the elderly and diabetics.^[7,8] To increase the diagnostic sensitivity for PAD, the threshold of the ABI value should be revised. Several articles have reported that subjects with borderline ABI values between 0.91 and 0.99 showed higher risks of PAD and all-cause mortality than those with ABI values ≥ 1.00 .^[9,10]

Pulse volume recording can easily be automatically obtained for ABI assessment.^[11] The percentage of mean arterial pressure (%MAP) and the upstroke time (UT) calculated from pulse volume recording were significantly higher in patients with PAD than those without.^[12] It has been reported that the combined parameters of ABI, %MAP, and UT provide better sensitivity than the definition of an ABI ≤ 0.99 .^[13] However, the specificity was relatively decreased after adding %MAP and UT to the diagnostic criteria of PAD.

An ABI ≤ 0.90 was reported to be a strong predictor of mortality, and a high %MAP provided an additional risk for mortality in patients with an ABI > 0.90; however, subjects with an ABI ≤ 0.90 might still have a higher risk of mortality than

Editor: Leonardo Roever.

This work was supported by grants from Taichung Veterans General Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan (No. TCVGH-1073504C and TCVGH-1063504D) and the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan (No. MOST 105-2314-B-075A-003). The funders had no role in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. The statistical analyses were performed by the Biostatistics Task Force of Taichung Veterans General Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

^a Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Department of Internal Medicine, Taichung Veterans General Hospital, Taichung City, ^b School of Medicine, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei City, ^c School of Medicine, Chung Shan Medical University, ^d College of Science, Tunghai University, Taichung, Taiwan.

^{*} Correspondence: I-Te Lee, No. 1650 Taiwan Boulevard, Sect. 4, Taichung 40705, Taiwan (e-mail: itlee@vghtc.gov.tw).

Copyright © 2018 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

those with an ABI>0.90 and a high %MAP.^[11] In the present study, we attempted to apply the same 2-step assessment: first, by screening based on an ABI \leq 0.90 in all patients, followed by screening of the %MAP in patients with an ABI>0.90. We examined the diagnostic accuracy of this 2-step assessment compared to that of using the ABI alone.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Subjects

This case–control study was conducted at the Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism in Taichung Veterans General Hospital. We retrospectively reviewed the medical records of patients who had undergone multiple detector computed tomography (MDCT) for angiography of their lower extremities between June 2009 and September 2017. We excluded enrolled patients who did not undergo pulse volume recording assessment of the ABI prior to MDCT for the same indication. Subjects with an ABI > 1.40 or end-stage renal disease were excluded. Demographic characteristics and laboratory data were collected. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Taichung Veterans General Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan before data collection.

2.2. Procedures

The ABI and %MAP were measured simultaneously using a validated automatic device (VP-1000; Colin Corporation, Hayashi, Komaki City, Japan). Cuffs were placed on the arms and ankles on both sides, and were connected to both a plethysmographic sensor that detected volume change and an oscillometric pressure sensor to measure blood pressure. Patients underwent this assessment after resting in the supine position for at least 5 minutes. The higher systolic blood pressure of the 2 arms was

Table 1

Characteristics of patients with and without PAD, and of those with an ABI>0.90 and \leq 0.90 in those with PAD, based on MDCT angiography.

		MDCT (+)		MDCT (+) (N=186)			
	MDCT (-) (n=29)	n=186	P*	ABI > 0.90 (n = 81)	ABI \leq 0.90 (n=105)	P [†]	
Demographic characteristics							
Age, y	68±12	71 ± 11	.249	68±11	73±10	.003	
Male, n (%)	23 (79.3)	94 (50.5)	.007	36 (44.4)	58 (55.2)	.190	
Current smoker, n (%)	3 (10.3)	13 (7.0)	.795	4 (4.9)	9 (8.6)	.501	
Hypertension, n (%)	23 (79.3)	158 (84.9)	.617	67 (82.7)	91 (86.7)	.589	
Diabetes, n (%)	21 (72.4)	157 (84.4)	.184	70 (86.4)	87 (82.9)	.645	
CAD history, n (%)	1 (3.4)	41 (22.0)	.036	17 (21.0)	24 (22.9)	.899	
Anthropometric data							
BMI, kg/m ²	25 ± 3	25 ± 5	.627	26 ± 5	25 ± 5	.217	
Systolic BP, mm Hg	142 ± 22	144 ± 24	.613	146 ± 22	143 ± 26	.401	
Diastolic BP, mm Hg	78±10	77±13	.682	78 ± 14	76±13	.273	
Laboratory data							
Fasting glucose, mmol/L	9.7 ± 6.5	9.6 ± 5.2	.949	9.2 ± 4.1	10.0 ± 5.9	.274	
Total cholesterol, mmol/L	4.1±1.0	4.2±1.1	.799	4.1 ± 1.0	4.2 ± 1.1	.346	
HDL cholesterol, mmol/L	1.0 ± 0.2	1.2 ± 0.4	.046	1.1 ± 0.3	1.2 ± 0.4	.537	
Triglyceride, mmol/L	1.5 ± 0.6	1.6 ± 1.2	.693	1.6 ± 0.8	1.7 ± 1.5	.625	
eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m ²	72.2±32.1	56.2±35.0	.021	55.2 ± 39.0	56.9 ± 31.7	.753	
Ankle-brachial profiles							
ABI	1.07±0.14	0.86 ± 0.25	<.001	1.09 ± 0.11	0.68 ± 0.15	<.001	
$ABI \le 0.90, n$ (%)	4 (13.8)	105 (56.5)	<.001				
%MAP	39.5 ± 4.1	46.2 ± 6.2	<.001	42.1 ± 4.7	49.3 ± 5.4	<.001	
Current medications							
Statins, n (%)	14 (48.3)	88 (47.3)	.999	34 (42.0)	54 (51.4)	.258	
Antihypertensive agents, n (%)							
ACE inhibitor or ARB	11 (37.9)	117 (62.9)	.019	48 (59.3)	69 (65.7)	.453	
α -Blocker	3 (10.3)	32 (17.2)	.509	9 (11.1)	23 (21.9)	.082	
β-Blocker	10 (34.5)	68 (36.6)	.993	25 (30.9)	43 (41.0)	.207	
Calcium channel blocker	2 (6.9)	26 (14.0)	.449	10 (12.3)	16 (15.2)	.726	
Diuretics	1 (3.4)	8 (4.3)	.999	6 (7.4)	2 (1.9)	.142	
Antidiabetic drugs, n (%)							
Insulin therapy	15 (51.7)	95 (51.1)	.999	36 (44.4)	59 (56.2)	.150	
Insulin secretagogues	4 (13.8)	48 (25.8)	.241	26 (32.1)	22 (21.0)	.120	
Metformin	8 (27.6)	66 (35.5)	.534	29 (35.8)	37 (35.2)	.999	
DPP4 inhibitors	9 (31.0)	61 (32.8)	.999	26 (32.1)	35 (33.3)	.984	
Thiazolidinediones	0 (0.0)	16 (8.6)	.207	8 (9.9)	8 (7.6)	.779	
α -Glucosidase inhibitor	4 (13.8)	10 (5.4)	.192	6 (7.4)	4 (3.8)	.453	

Continuous data are presented as means ± standard deviation, and categorical data are presented as numbers (percentages).

%MAP = percentage of mean arterial pressure, ABI = ankle-brachial index, ACE = angiotensin-converting enzyme, ARB = angiotensin II receptor antagonist, BMI = body mass index, BP = blood pressure, CAD = coronary artery disease, DPP4 = dipeptidyl peptidase-4, eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate, HDL = high-density lipoprotein, MDCT = multiple detector computed tomography, PAD = peripheral artery disease.

^{*} The statistical difference between subjects with and without PAD based on MDCT imaging.

⁺ The statistical difference between an ABI > 0.90 or an ABI \leq 0.90 in subjects with PAD based on MDCT imaging.

selected as the brachial pressure. The right and left ABI values were determined by dividing the systolic pressure in each ankle by the brachial pressure. In addition, the %MAP was determined based on the ankle pulse volume waveforms. The %MAP indicates the height of the mean area of the arterial wave divided by the peak amplitude. The reproducibilities of the ABI and % MAP were examined in a group of 20 subjects. Highly linear correlations of the ABI (r=0.90, P < .001) and %MAP (r=0.73, P < .001) between the results of the first and second measurements were observed. Based on the Bland–Altman plots, the 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were 0.02 ± 0.01 for the bias of the ABI and -0.33 ± 0.67 for the %MAP between repeated measurements.

Angiography was performed with the thinnest collimation and a reconstruction thickness of 2.5 mm using a 64-detector computed tomography scanner (Brilliance 64; Philips Healthcare, Best, The Netherlands). Angiography included the common iliac artery, external iliac artery, internal iliac artery, femoral artery, popliteal artery, anterior tibial artery, posterior tibial artery, peroneal artery, and dorsalis pedis artery in the arterial phase scan after an intravenous administration of 1.3 mL/kg (of body weight) of contrast medium followed by a 30-mL saline chaser. PAD was defined as an arterial lesion with luminal narrowing $\geq 70\%$.

2.3. Statistical analyses

Among the 114 enrolled patients, 13 lower extremities were excluded from the analyses owing to a history of surgical or vascular intervention. Continuous data are presented as the mean \pm standard deviation, and categorical data are presented as numbers (percentages). A chi-squared test was used to detect



The optimal cut-off values for the percentage of mean arterial pressure (%MAP) for

AUC	95% CI of AUC P		Cut-off value	Sensitivity	Specificity
0.685	(0.572, 0.798)	0.005	42.5%	46.9%	0.88%

peripheral artery disease based on MDCT imaging in subjects with an ABI > 0.90.

AUC = area under the curve, CI = confidence interval

Figure 1. Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis to determine the cut-off level of the percentage of mean arterial pressure (%MAP) for diagnosis of peripheral artery disease (PAD) based on multiple detector computed tomography (MDCT) angiography.



Figure 2. Flow chart for subjects who underwent measurement of the ankle-brachial index (ABI) and percentage of mean arterial pressure (%MAP) to diagnose peripheral artery disease (PAD).

statistical differences in the categorical variables. An independent sample *t* test was used to detect the intergroup statistical difference in the continuous variables. A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was used to determine the optimal cut-off point of the %MAP for PAD in patients with an ABI > 0.9. Multivariate linear regression analysis was used to analyze the associated factors for the MDCT-based PAD diagnosis. The estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated using the following formula: eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²) = 186 × (serum creatinine [mg/dL])^{-1.154} × (age [year])^{-0.203} (× 0.742, if female), based on the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease equation. A value of *P* < .05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using SPSS version 22.0 software (International Business Machines Corp, New York, NY).

3. Results

A total of 215 lower extremities of 114 patients were included in the analyses. The median duration between assessments of the ABI and MDCT was 15 days (interquartile range, 4–56 days). Based on MDCT diagnosis, 186 extremities were placed into the MDCT(+) group and 29 extremities into the MDCT(-) group. The proportion of male patients was lower in the MDCT(+) than in the MDCT(-) group (50.5% vs. 79.3%, P=.007), and the proportion of patients using an angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor or angiotensin II receptor antagonist (ARB) was higher in the MDCT(+) than in the MDCT(-) group (62.9% vs. 37.9%, P=.019). A lower mean ABI value (0.86±0.25 vs. 1.07 ±0.14, P<.001) and a higher %MAP (46.2±6.2 vs. 39.5± 4.1%, P<.001) were observed among patients in the MDCT(+) group than among those in the MDCT(-) group (Table 1). However, only 105 (56.5%) patients with an ABI value ≤ 0.90 were in the MDCT (+) group. In the MDCT(+) group, a lower % MAP was observed in the patients with an ABI > 0.90 than in those with an ABI ≤ 0.90 (42.1 ± 4.7 vs. 49.3 ± 5.4%, *P* < .001; Table 1). Using ROC curve analysis, a cut-off value of 42.5% for the %MAP provided better prediction for PAD diagnosed by MDCT in patients with an ABI > 0.90 (Fig. 1).

To increase sensitivity, we attempted to elevate the cut-off value of the ABI to 1.00, and while the criterion of an ABI \leq 1.00 had a sensitivity of 63.4%, the specificity was reduced to 69.0%. Conversely, we used an ABI \leq 0.90 as the first-step criterion for PAD diagnosis, then used a %MAP \geq 42.5% as the second criterion (Fig. 2). This 2-step criteria for diagnosing PAD provided a sensitivity of 79.6% and specificity of 75.9%, which were both better than those provided by using an ABI \leq 1.00 (Table 2).

Logistic regression analysis revealed that patients with an ABI < 0.90 were significantly associated with having PAD diagnosed via MDCT (odds ratio: 12.544, 95% CI: 3.751–41.949; P < .001), and patients with an ABI > 0.90 and a %MAP ≥ 42.5% were also significantly associated with having PAD diagnosed via MDCT (odds ratio: 7.165, 95% CI: 1.746–29.405; P < .006) after adjustment for age, sex, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, eGFR, and current ACE inhibitor or ARB use (Table 3).

4. Discussion

Using a %MAP \geq 42.5% provided additional benefit for PAD screening in patients with an ABI > 0.90. Based on the report from the American College of Cardiology/American Heart

5.7	-	h	9
	٩.		

	True-positive	False-positive	True-negative	False-negative	Sensitivity, %	Specificity, %	PPV, %	NPV, %	
$ABI \leq 0.90$	105	4	25	81	56.5	86.2	96.3	23.6	
ABI < 1.00	118	9	20	68	63.4	69.0	92.9	22.7	
ABI \leq 0.90 or %MAP \geq 42.5%	143	7	22	43	76.9	75.9	95.3	33.8	

An ABI \leq 0.90 followed by a %MAP \geq 42.5% if the ABI > 0.90.

%MAP=percentage of mean arterial pressure, ABI=ankle-brachial index, NPV=negative predictive value, PPV=positive predictive value.

|--|

Logistic regression analysis showing the associated factors for PAD based on MDCT.

	Crude			Model 1			Model 2		
	OR	95% CI	Р	OR	95% CI	Р	OR	95% CI	Р
ABI > 0.90 and $%MAP < 42.5%$	1.000								
ABI $>$ 0.90 and %MAP \ge 42.5%	6.481	(1.797, 23.373)	.004	5.242	(1.415, 19.412)	.013	7.165	(1.746, 29.405)	.006
$ABI \leq 0.90$	13.430	(4.369, 41.281)	<.001	13.000	(4.134, 40.878)	<.001	12.544	(3.751, 41.949)	<.001
Age, y				1.009	(0.972, 1.047)	.642	1.005	(0.965, 1.046)	.816
Male				0.267	(0.095, 0.750)	.012	0.444	(0.138, 1.422)	.172
CAD history							3.897	(0.465, 32.668)	.210
HDL cholesterol, mmol/L							2.272	(0.452, 11.412)	.319
eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m ²							0.989	(0.976, 1.002)	.094
ACE inhibitor or ARB							1.785	(0.661, 4.821)	.253

Model 1: adjusted for age and gender; Model 2: adjusted for age, gender, HDL cholesterol, eGFR, and use of an ACE inhibitor or ARB.

%MAP = percentage of mean arterial pressure, ABI = ankle-brachial index, ACE = angiotensin-converting enzyme, ARB = angiotensin II receptor antagonist, CAD = coronary artery disease, CI = confidence interval, eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate, HDL = high-density lipoprotein, MDCT = multiple detector computed tomography, OR = odds ratio, PAD = peripheral artery disease.

Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines, a borderline ABI is reported to be associated with PAD and mortality.^[14] However, despite increasing the ABI threshold value to 1.00 for PAD diagnosis, our results showed that only using a combination of an ABI \leq 0.90 and a %MAP \geq 42.5% provided better sensitivity and specificity than using an ABI < 1.00.

In line with our findings, Hashimoto et al^[13] reported that using a combination of an ABI < 1.00, %MAP \ge 45%, and UT \ge 180 milliseconds provided better sensitivity than using an ABI < 1.00 alone. However, the specificity using the combination criteria was obviously less than that using the ABI alone (60.0% vs. 84.4%).^[13] In the present study, the %MAP was significantly lower in subjects with an ABI > 0.90 than in those with an ABI \le 0.90. Our strength in the present analysis was to select the optimal cut-off point of the %MAP in subjects with an ABI > 0.90, instead of in all subjects, because the purpose for using the %MAP was to select PAD patients from subjects with an ABI > 0.90 and a %MAP \ge 42.5%, both the sensitivity and specificity were better than those using the criterion of an ABI < 1.00 alone.

In a Korean study, the sensitivity and specificity of using an ABI < 0.90 was reported as 61% and 87%, respectively, for PAD diagnosis; however, the sensitivity may have been lower due to arterial stiffness, especially in elderly or diabetic populations.^[15] The waveform of pulse volume recording includes an upstroke with a sharp peak, followed by a downstroke with a dicrotic notch. A flattened waveform with a delayed upstroke will be observed in an occluded artery.^[16] Therefore, a high %MAP reflecting a flattened arterial wave can be a sign of arterial occlusion, and provide a diagnostic criterion for PAD in subjects with falsely elevated ABI values due to noncompressible vessels.^[17–19]

Several methods, including the exercise test and toe-brachial index, are suggested for PAD screening in high-risk patients with an ABI > 0.90.^[20–22] Given recent technical advances, pulse volume recording can be simultaneously and automatically collected during ABI measurement. The presence of arterial stiffness may not influence pulse volume recording because the pulsatile pressure waveform is generated based on volume change, instead of pressure profiles, detected by the transducer after venous compression by pneumatic cuffs.^[23] Furthermore, the %MAP has also been reported to be a useful predictor for critical limb ischemia and all-cause mortality.^[11,24]

Diabetes is an important risk factor for PAD.^[25] The prevalence of diabetes is reported to be approximately 50% in patients with suspected PAD in teaching hospitals.^[26] In the present study, 84.4% of patients with PAD diagnosed based on MDCT had known diabetes. This high proportion of patients with diabetes may be owing to the availability of ABI and %MAP assessment, which were performed at the Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism in our hospital.

There were some limitations of our study. First, we did not include subjects with an ABI > 1.40, and the diagnostic value of the %MAP could not be applied to this population. Similarly, MDCT was performed for subjects with suspected PAD in our clinical practice. Therefore, our findings in this retrospective study may not be applicable to the general population. Second, we did not further assess the difference in PAD risk between subjects with an ABI \leq 0.90, and those with an ABI > 0.90 and a %MAP > 42.5%. Although the combination of using the ABI and %MAP increases the sensitivity for the diagnosis of PAD, subjects with an ABI ≤ 0.90 may have a higher PAD risk than those with an ABI > 0.90, even with a % MAP \ge 42.5%. Third, to simplify the screening criteria, we only used the %MAP and ABI values based on our previous findings.^[11] We did not include the UT and pulse wave velocity in our analysis, which could also be collected during ABI measurement.

In conclusion, using a combination of an ABI ≤ 0.90 and a % MAP ≥ 42.5 % can provide greater sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosis of PAD than using the criteria of a low (≤ 0.90) or borderline (0.91–0.99) ABI.

Author contributions

Data curation: Han-Wei Lin. Formal analysis: I-Te Lee. Methodology: I-Te Lee. Supervision: I-Te Lee. Writing – original draft: Han-Wei Lin. Writing – review & editing: I-Te Lee.

References

- Reinecke H, Unrath M, Freisinger E, et al. Peripheral arterial disease and critical limb ischaemia: still poor outcomes and lack of guideline adherence. Eur Heart J 2015;36:932–8.
- [2] Sampson UK, Fowkes FG, McDermott MM, et al. Global and regional burden of death and disability from peripheral artery disease: 21 world regions, 1990 to 2010. Glob Heart 2014;9:145–58.

- [3] Fowkes FG, Housley E, Cawood EH, et al. Edinburgh Artery Study: prevalence of asymptomatic and symptomatic peripheral arterial disease in the general population. Int J Epidemiol 1991;20:384–92.
- [4] Hiatt WR. Medical treatment of peripheral arterial disease and claudication. N Engl J Med 2001;344:1608–21.
- [5] Diehm C, Allenberg JR, Pittrow D, et al. Mortality and vascular morbidity in older adults with asymptomatic versus symptomatic peripheral artery disease. Circulation 2009;120:2053–61.
- [6] Guo X, Li J, Pang W, et al. Sensitivity and specificity of ankle-brachial index for detecting angiographic stenosis of peripheral arteries. Circ J 2008;72:605–10.
- [7] Wikstrom J, Hansen T, Johansson L, et al. Ankle brachial index <0.9 underestimates the prevalence of peripheral artery occlusive disease assessed with whole-body magnetic resonance angiography in the elderly. Acta Radiol 2008;49:143–9.
- [8] Williams DT, Harding KG, Price P. An evaluation of the efficacy of methods used in screening for lower-limb arterial disease in diabetes. Diabetes Care 2005;28:2206–10.
- [9] Natsuaki C, Inoguchi T, Maeda Y, et al. Association of borderline anklebrachial index with mortality and the incidence of peripheral artery disease in diabetic patients. Atherosclerosis 2014;234:360–5.
- [10] Tanaka S, Kaneko H, Kano H, et al. The predictive value of the borderline ankle-brachial index for long-term clinical outcomes: an observational cohort study. Atherosclerosis 2016;250:69–76.
- [11] Li YH, Lin SY, Sheu WH, et al. Relationship between percentage of mean arterial pressure at the ankle and mortality in participants with normal ankle-brachial index: an observational study. BMJ Open 2016;6:e010540.
- [12] Kiuchi S, Hisatake S, Watanabe I, et al. Pulse pressure and upstroke time are useful parameters for the diagnosis of peripheral artery disease in patients with normal ankle brachial index. Cardiol Res 2016;7:161–6.
- [13] Hashimoto T, Ichihashi S, Iwakoshi S, et al. Combination of pulse volume recording (PVR) parameters and ankle-brachial index (ABI) improves diagnostic accuracy for peripheral arterial disease compared with ABI alone. Hypertens Res 2016;39:430–4.
- [14] Gerhard-Herman MD, Gornik HL, Barrett C, et al. 2016AHA/ACC guideline on the management of patients with lower extremity peripheral artery disease: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol 2017;69:1465–508.
- [15] Nam SC, Han SH, Lim SH, et al. Factors affecting the validity of anklebrachial index in the diagnosis of peripheral arterial obstructive disease. Angiology 2010;61:392–6.

- [16] Rutherford RB, Lowenstein DH, Klein MF. Combining segmental systolic pressures and plethysmography to diagnose arterial occlusive disease of the legs. Am J Surg 1979;138:211–8.
- [17] Watanabe Y, Masaki H, Yunoki Y, et al. Ankle-brachial index, toebrachial index, and pulse volume recording in healthy young adults. Ann Vasc Dis 2015;8:227–35.
- [18] Shirasu T, Hoshina K, Akagi D, et al. Pulse volume recordings to identify falsely elevated ankle brachial index. Asian Cardiovasc Thorac Ann 2016;24:517–22.
- [19] Cao P, Eckstein HH, De Rango P, et al. Chapter II: diagnostic methods. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 2011;42(suppl 2):S13–32.
- [20] Hirsch AT, Haskal ZJ, Hertzer NR, et al. ACC/AHA 2005 guidelines for the management of patients with peripheral arterial disease (lower extremity, renal, mesenteric, and abdominal aortic): executive summary a collaborative report from the American Association for Vascular Surgery/Society for Vascular Surgery, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society for Vascular Medicine and Biology, Society of Interventional Radiology, and the ACC/AHA Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Writing Committee to Develop Guidelines for the Management of Patients With Peripheral Arterial Disease) endorsed by the American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; Society for Vascular Nursing; TransAtlantic Inter-Society Consensus; and Vascular Disease Foundation. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;47:1239–312.
- [21] Stein R, Hriljac I, Halperin JL, et al. Limitation of the resting anklebrachial index in symptomatic patients with peripheral arterial disease. Vasc Med 2006;11:29–33.
- [22] Sheen YJ, Lin JL, Lee IT, et al. Low estimated glomerular filtration rate is a major determinant of low ankle-brachial index and toe-brachial index in type 2 diabetes. Angiology 2012;63:55–61.
- [23] Gerhard-Herman M, Gardin JM, Jaff M, et al. Guidelines for noninvasive vascular laboratory testing: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography and the Society for Vascular Medicine and Biology. Vasc Med 2006;11:183–200.
- [24] Shirasu T, Miyata T, Nishiyama A, et al. Useful predictors for critical limb ischemia in severely ischemic limbs. Int Angiol 2016;35:460–8.
- [25] Tapp RJ, Shaw JE, de Courten MP, et al. Foot complications in type 2 diabetes: an Australian population-based study. Diabet Med 2003;20:105–13.
- [26] Silbernagel G, Rein P, Saely CH, et al. Prevalence of type 2 diabetes is higher in peripheral artery disease than in coronary artery disease patients. Diab Vasc Dis Res 2015;12:146–9.