

Association of demographic variables and smoking habits with the severity of lung function in adult smokers

Arash Toghyani¹, Somayeh Sadeghi²

¹Acquired Immunodeficiency Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran, ²Department of Internal Medicine, School of Medicine, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

Background: This study aims to evaluate the association between demographic and smoking variables with the severity of lung function loss (Stage I to IV) and spirometry data in smokers. **Materials and Methods:** Three hundred and fifty smoker men over the age of 20 who had visited in AL-Zahra hospital were involved. Spirometry tests were performed for measuring forced vital capacity (FVC), FEV1, and FEV1%FVC. COPD was categorized into four stages by the (Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease) criteria of postbronchodilator FEV1/FVC <0.70, FEV1/FVC <70%, in combination with FEV1 ≥80% (Stage I), or 50%≤FEV1 <80% (Stage II), or 30%≤FEV1 <50% (Stage III), or FEV1 ≤30% (Stage IV). Independent *t*-test, Spearman correlation analysis was used for data analysis. To determine the predicting factors for pulmonary function multiple regressions analysis was performed. **Results:** 43 (19.5%) of men were defined as Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (COPD) which 7% of them were Stage I, 23.3% were Stage II, 39.5% were III and 30.2% were stage IV. In 60 (27.1%) of men, the index of FEV1/FVC was <80%. The criteria of PRIS in 74 (33.5%) of the patients and BDR in 59 (26.7%) of participation was positive. There were significant differences in the mean of FEV1 with respect to history of lung disease in relatives ($P = 0.035$), lung disease hospitalization ($P < 0.001$) and previous diagnosis of asthma variables ($P < 0.001$). The mean of FVC was significantly different in patients categorized based on lung disease hospitalization ($P < 0.001$) and previous diagnosis of asthma ($P = 0.018$). Furthermore, there was a significant difference in the mean of FEV1/FVC for variables as follows: Time to start smoking after waking up ($P = 0.007$), lung disease hospitalization ($P < 0.001$) and previous diagnosis of asthma ($P < 0.001$). There was a significant association between stages of lung function loss and age of onset of smoking (β -0.355 $P = 0.019$) and pack per year ($\beta = 0.354$ $P = 0.02$). A linear regression model showed that lung disease hospitalization and age were the influential variables on FEV1 with ($B = -21.79$ confidence interval [CI]: -28.7, -14.87, $P < 0.001$ and $B = -0.418$ CI: -0.63, -0.21, $P < 0.001$), respectively. The only significant influential variable on FVC was lung disease hospitalization ($B = -15.89$ CI: -21.49, -10.296, $P < 0.001$). Body mass index, lung disease hospitalization, time to start smoking after waking up in the morning and age had significant relationship on FEV1/FVC with ($B = 0.71$ CI: 0.32, 1.11, $P < 0.001$, $B = -14.29$, CI: -19.61, -8.97, $P < 0.001$, $B = 6.54$, CI: 2.26, 10.82, $P = 0.003$ and $B = -0.44$, CI: -0.59, -0.28, $P < 0.001$), respectively. **Conclusion:** The age of onset of smoking and pack-year appears to be associated with the severity of COPD. Hospitalization history due to lung disease, age, the time between waking up in the morning and first cigarette use, BMI, lung disease history in relatives, previous diagnosis of asthma have a negative relationship with lung function.

Key words: Demography, respiratory function tests, smoking

How to cite this article: Toghyani A, Sadeghi S. Association of demographic variables and smoking habits with the severity of lung function in adult smokers. *J Res Med Sci* 2022;27:18.

INTRODUCTION

The main reason for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease is smoking.^[1,2] COPD is a chronic lung disease characterized by persistent airflow restriction. COPD is a progressive disease and is caused by a combination

of small airway diseases and parenchymal damage, commonly called emphysema.^[3] The prevalence of COPD worldwide is estimated at 210 million.^[4]

COPD is a leading cause of morbidity and has even been estimated as the third leading cause of death in 2010.^[5] Spirometry is the most common test of lung

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

Access this article online	
Quick Response Code: 	Website: www.jmsjournal.net
	DOI: 10.4103/jrms.jrms_854_21

Address for correspondence: Dr. Somayeh Sadeghi, Department of Internal Medicine, School of Medicine, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran. E-mail: arasht_75@yahoo.com

Submitted: 26-Sep-2021; **Revised:** 07-Nov-2021; **Accepted:** 15-Nov-2021; **Published:** 18-Feb-2022

function in the diagnosis and monitoring of COPD.^[6] According to the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, a postbronchodilator FEV₁/forced vital capacity (FVC) <0.70 confirms the presence of COPD and is an essential element in the diagnosis of COPD.^[3] Postbronchodilator spirometry is not only required when detecting COPD; it is also an essential tool in assessing the severity of COPD because the classification of severity of airflow limitation in COPD is based on postbronchodilator FEV₁.^[3] Previous studies showed that smoking reduces pulmonary function, including FVC, forced expiratory volume per second (FEV₁), and FEV₁/FVC.^[7] The use of FEV₁/FVC is a traditional amount of obstruction in airways to detect airways obstruction during spirometry testing.^[8] Smoking burden is regularly measured in pack-years, a product of the average number of packs of cigarettes smoked a day and smoking length in years.^[9] Walter *et al.* detailed that more seasoned smokers with histories of expansive numbers of pack-years had lower FVC levels than nonsmokers, whereas youthful adult smokers had FVC levels similar to or higher than age-equivalent nonsmokers.^[10] A study of 100 male smokers, age ranging from 18 to 60 years appeared that those who smoked more than 10 pack-year are related with accelerated decrease in lung function.^[11] Smoking duration and participant's age might unfavorably influence lung capacity by declining the FVC and FEV₁ test. On the other hand, nonsignificant correlation was found between the number of cigarettes smoked per day and lung function parameters FVC and FEV₁.^[12] In addition to smoking, history of respiratory diseases in the family, poor financial status, aging, body mass index (lower BMI), age, regular of hookah use, and history of seasonal allergies are other risk factors for COPD.^[13,14]

Few studies also have reported the association between lung function loss and a range of smoking burdens consisting of demographic and nondemographic factors in smoking patients.^[15,16]

In this cross-sectional study, we evaluated the association of demographic variables and smoking habits with the severity of lung function and spirometry data in adult smokers visiting the Al-Zahra Hospital of Isfahan University.

METHODS

Design and population

This cross-sectional study was performed in AL Zahra hospital, the main referral hospital of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences from November 2019 to April 2020. This study was approved in Isfahan University of medical sciences with ethics code IR.MUI.MED.REC.1398.710.

There were originally 350 men smokers over the age of 20 who had visited AL Zahra hospital, however, 129 participants were removed according to the exclusion criteria of this study. Therefore, 221 participants were involved in this study. Each participant signed a self-written consent to take part in the study. The exclusion criteria of the study were as followed: (a) presence of acute Respiratory Infection, (b) presence of lung disease counting lung cancer, interstitial lung disease, Tuberculosis, Neuromuscular Disorders, Pneumothorax, (c) unable to perform technically acceptable respiratory function tests.

All participants filled out a checklist requesting information on demographic data, smoking habits, and a history of diseases and respiratory symptoms. And then, they were tested for their lungs function. The demographic data included age, level of education (Illiterate, High school, Diploma, Associate Degree, Bachelor's, Master's degree), and BMI. The following variables of smoking habits were also recorded in the checklist including the age of the onset of smoking, duration of smoking (years), number of cigarette packs consumed daily, pack-year (was defined as the number of years of daily smoking multiplied by the number of cigarettes smoked daily divided by 20,^[9] amount of time between getting up in the morning and the first cigarette. Other requesting information were questions with yes/no answers including the use of hookah, addiction, a history of chronic lung disease in first-degree relatives, seasonal allergies, previous lung disease hospitalizations, and previous diagnosis of asthma

Pulmonary function assessment

Spirometry was performed before and 15 min after 400 micrograms of salbutamol managed by a trained technician in accordance with the American Thoracic Society (ATS) and European Respiratory Society standards.^[17] While the participants were sitting they were asked to make a forced exhalation followed by a forced inhalation. FVC, forced expiratory volume in 1s (FEV₁), and FEV₁ percent in relation to the maximal FVC (FEV₁%FVC) were registered. FVC was characterized as the biggest of either forced expiratory or forced inspiratory vital capacity from technically acceptable curves. The reported FEV₁ is considered to be a good biological marker of the risk of obstructive pulmonary disease. If the Spirometric quality was not satisfactory, the maneuver would be repeated until the best quality was obtained. The highest value of FVC and the highest value of FEV₁ were selected from the measurements for which the repeatability criteria were met. A pulmonologist reviewed the quality of all the tests. Bronchodilator responsiveness (BDR) was calculated change of >12% of the baseline forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁) if this also exceeds 200 mL according to ATS guidelines.^[18] COPD was defined by the Global Initiative for Global Initiative

for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) criteria of postbronchodilator FEV₁/FVC <0.70. FEV₁/FVC <70%, in combination with FEV₁ ≥80% (Stage I), or 50% ≤ FEV₁ <80% (Stage II), or 30% ≤ FEV₁ <50% (Stage III), or FEV₁ ≤30% (Stage IV).^[19] Participants with normal ratio but postbronchodilator FEV₁ <80% predicted were categorized to have the GOLD unclassifiable disease or Preserved Ratio Impaired.^[20] Postbronchodilator FEV₁/FVC <80% were predicted to be considered unclassifiable airway obstruction.^[21] For evaluation of bronchodilator response (BDR), we used ATS guidelines (post FEV₁– preFEV₁/preFEV₁ × 100 more than 12%).

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as mean (standard deviation) or frequency (percent). For comparing the mean of pulmonary functions in categories of variables, independent *t*-test is used. Spearman correlation analysis was performed to get the association between the severity of lung loss and study variables. Further analysis using multiple regressions was conducted to confirm the predictors of the pulmonary functions. The level of significance is taken as *P* < 0.05. Statistical analysis was conducted using the SPSS software version 16 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA).

RESULTS

Two hundred and twenty-one men participated in the study. -Forty-three men (19.5%) had COPD so most of them were in stage III [Table 1]. In 27.1% of men, the index of FEV₁/FVC was <80%. The criteria of PRIS in 74 (41.6%) were positive. BDR was positive in 59 (26.7%) of participation 53 (24.0) of participants were used short-acting beta-agonist inhalers, 16 (7.2) used long-acting muscarinic antagonist, 27 (12.2) used short-acting muscarinic antagonist, 2 (0.9) used inhaled corticosteroids and 16 (7.2) used long-acting beta-agonist and inhaled corticosteroids.

The mean of FEV₁, FVC, and FEV₁/FVC after use of bronchodilator with respect to different categorization is shown in Table 2. The mean of FEV₁ for men without a history of lung disease in relatives (*P* = 0.035), without lung disease hospitalization (*P* < 0.001), without previous diagnosis of asthma (*P* < 0.001) was significantly more than others. Also the mean of FVC between categories of variables lung disease hospitalization (*P* < 0.001), without previous diagnosis of asthma (*P* = 0.018) was statistically different. Finally the mean of FEV₁/FVC for men who started smoking after waking up in the morning after 30 min (*P* = 0.007), without lung disease hospitalization (*P* < 0.001), without previous diagnosis of asthma (*P* < 0.001), was more and according to independent *t*-test these differences were statistically significant.

Table 1: The information of studied patients

Variables	Categories	Count (%)/ mean±SD
Education	Illiterate	25 (11.3)
	High school	116 (52.5)
	Diploma	41 (18.6)
	Associate degree	10 (4.5)
	Bachelor	12 (5.4)
	MA	4 (1.8)
Time to start smoking after waking up in the morning (min)	Before 30	78 (35.3)
	After 30	143 (64.7)
Hookah	No	210 (95.0)
	Yes	10 (4.5)
History of lung disease in relatives	No	172 (78.3)
	Yes	47 (21.3)
Allergies	No	194 (87.8)
	Yes	26 (11.8)
Lung disease hospitalization	No	175 (79.2)
	Yes	46 (20.8)
Previous diagnosis of Asthma	No	162 (73.3)
	Yes	53 (26.7)
Opioid	No	130 (58.8)
	Yes	88 (39.8)
COPD*	No	176 (80.4)
	Yes	43 (19.5)
Severity (GOLD)	Mild	3 (7)
	Moderate	10 (23.3)
	Severe	17 (39.5)
	Very severe	13 (30.2)
FEV ₁ /FVC <0.8 (%) [†]	No	161 (72.9)
	Yes	60 (27.1)
PRIS (FEV ₁ <0.8) (%) [‡]	No	104 (58.4)
	Yes	74 (41.6)
BDR	No	162 (73.3)
	Yes	59 (26.7)
Pre FEV ₁ (%) [§]	-	70.55±24.41
Pre FVC (%)	-	76.98±19.90
Pre FEV ₁ /FVC (%) [¶]	-	88.73±17.77
Post FEV ₁ (%) ^{**}	-	75.36±23.44
Post FVC (%) ^{††}	-	80.73±18.12
Post FEV ₁ /FVC (%) ^{‡‡}	-	91.23±18.76
Age (year)	-	54.75±13.44
BMI (kg/m ²) ^{***}	-	24.8±25.24
Age of onset of smoking (year)	-	20.97±7.06
Pack year	-	48.98±163.80

*Chronic obstructive lung disease, [†]FEV₁ in 1s percent in relation to the maximal FVC, [‡]Preserved ratio impaired spirometry, [§]Prebronchodilator FEV₁ in 1s, ^{||}Prebronchodilator FVC, [¶]Prebronchodilator FEV₁ in 1s percent in relation to the maximal FVC, ^{**}Postbronchodilator FEV₁ in 1s, ^{††}Postbronchodilator FVC, ^{‡‡}Postbronchodilator FEV₁ in 1s percent in relation to the maximal FVC. BMI: Body mass index, GOLD: Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease, COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, FEV₁: Forced expiratory volume, FVC: Forced vital capacity, BDR: Bronchodilator responsiveness, SD: Standard deviation

The relationship between stages of lung function loss and other variables in the study, is shown in Table 3. According this table there was a significant association between stages of lung function loss and age of onset of smoking ($\beta = -0.355$ *P* = 0.019) and pack per year ($\beta = 0.354$ *P* = 0.02). When the age of onset smoking decreases, the stages of lung function

Table 2: Comparison of the mean of spirometry data in patients with respect to different categories of variables

	Variables	n	Mean±SD	P
Post FEV ₁ (%)**	Time to start smoking after waking up in the morning (min)			
	Before 30	77	70.7792±25.33233	0.41
	After 30	142	77.8521±22.05311	
Post FVC (%)††	Time to start smoking after waking up in the morning (min)			
	Before 30 min	77	77.7403±20.08233	0.71
	After 30 min	142	82.3662±16.82470	
Post FEV ₁ /FVC (%)‡‡	Time to start smoking after waking up in the morning (min)			
	Before 30	77	86.5974±18.81996	0.007
	After 30	142	93.7465±18.31034	
Post FEV ₁ (%)**	Hookah			
	No	208	75.1875±23.23080	0.177
	Yes	10	85.3000±18.99737	
Post FVC (%)††	Hookah			
	No	208	80.6010±17.93599	0.184
	Yes	10	88.3000±15.91680	
Post FEV ₁ /FVC (%)‡‡	Hookah			
	No	208	91.2404±18.52091	0.399
	Yes	10	96.3000±17.48682	
Post FEV ₁ (%)**	History of lung disease in relatives			
	No	171	77.3743±22.93316	0.035
	Yes	47	69.3830±22.92384	
Post FVC (%)††	History of lung disease in relatives			
	No	171	81.5614±17.13855	0.340
	Yes	47	78.7447±20.43597	
Post FEV ₁ /FVC (%)‡‡	History of lung disease in relatives			
	No	171	92.4327±18.44355	0.143
	Yes	47	87.9787±18.32258	
Post FEV ₁ (%)**	Allergies			
	No	192	75.6615±23.10538	0.986
	Yes	26	75.5769±23.63755	
Post FVC (%)††	Allergies			
	No	192	80.6667±17.70389	0.520
	Yes	26	83.0769±19.43177	
Post FEV ₁ /FVC (%)‡‡	Allergies			
	No	192	91.7083±18.66930	0.609
	Yes	26	89.7308±17.13606	
Post FEV ₁ (%)**	Lung disease hospitalization			
	No	174	80.3793±19.69756	0.000
	Yes	45	55.9778±26.72715	
Post FVC (%)††	Lung disease hospitalization			
	No	174	84.0057±15.37978	0.000
	Yes	45	68.1111±22.19666	
Post FEV ₁ /FVC (%)	Lung disease hospitalization			
	No	174	95.2069±16.58862	0.000
	Yes	45	75.8667±18.92761	
Post FEV ₁ (%)**	Previous diagnosis of Asthma			
	No	162	79.2593±20.96449	0.000
	Yes	57	64.2982±26.60690	
Post FVC (%)††	Previous diagnosis of Asthma			
	No	162	82.8210±15.51233	0.018
	Yes	57	74.8246±23.22031	
Post FEV ₁ /FVC (%)‡‡	Previous diagnosis of Asthma			
	No	162	94.8889±16.94786	0.000
	Yes	57	80.8421±19.90135	

Contd...

Table 2: Contd...

	Variables	n	Mean±SD	P
Post FEV ₁ (%)**	Opioid			
	No	130	77.9385±22.76191	0.092
	Yes	87	72.5402±23.50102	
Post FVC (%)**	Opioid			
	No	130	82.4769±17.76732	0.154
	Yes	87	78.9425±17.98407	
Post FEV ₁ /FVC (%)**	Opioid			
	No	130	92.1615±18.45329	0.518
	Yes	87	90.4943±18.82717	

**Postbronchodilator FEV in 1s, **Postbronchodilator FVC, **Postbronchodilator FEV in 1s percent in relation to the maximal FVC. FEV₁: Forced expiratory volume₁, FVC: Forced vital capacity

Table 3: Correlation between stages of chronic obstructive lung disease and studied variables

	Stage I	Stage II	Stage III	Stage IV	Correlation coefficient	P
Education						
Illiterate	1 (2.4)	3 (7.1)	3 (7.1)	3 (7.1)	-0.002	0.992
High school	1 (2.4)	6 (14.3)	5 (11.9)	8 (19)		
Diploma	1 (2.4)	1 (2.4)	7 (16.7)	1 (2.4)		
Associate degree	0	0	1 (2.4)	0		
Bachelor	0	0	1 (2.4)	0		
Time to start smoking after waking up in the morning (min)						
Before 30	1 (2.3)	3 (7)	9 (20.9)	7 (16.3)	1.87	0.316
After 30	2 (4.7)	7 (16.3)	8 (18.6)	6 (14)		
Hookah						
No	3 (7.1)	10 (23.8)	16 (38.1)	12 (28.6)	0.017	1.00
Yes	0	0	1 (2.4)	0		
History of lung disease in relatives						
No	3 (7.1)	5 (11.9)	15 (35.7)	7 (16.7)	0.067	0.752
Yes	0	5 (11.9)	2 (4.8)	5 (11.9)		
Allergies						
No	3 (7.1)	10 (23.8)	16 (38.1)	10 (23.8)	0.236	0.194
Yes	0	0	1 (2.4)	2 (7.1)		
Lung disease hospitalization						
No	2 (4.7)	4 (9.3)	11 (25.6)	4 (9.3)	0.131	0.411
Yes	1 (2.3)	6 (14)	6 (14)	9 (20.9)		
Previous diagnosis of asthma						
No	0	5 (11.6)	12 (27.9)	4 (9.3)	-0.024	1.000
Yes	3 (7)	5 (11.6)	5 (11.6)	9 (20.9)		
Opioid						
No	3 (7.1)	5 (11.9)	9 (21.4)	8 (19)	0.034	0.865
Yes	0	5 (11.9)	8 (19)	4 (9.5)		
Age (years)	58 (1.73)	65 (11.79)	68.82 (9.87)	59.69 (5.105)	-0.104	0.506
BMI (kg/m ²)	24.12 (5.19)	20.96 (4.32)	21.97 (5.38)	23.12 (5.79)	0.042	0.805
Age of onset of smoking (year)	18 (2)	23.30 (6.41)	23.12 (8.06)	16.54 (6.01)	-0.355	0.019
Pack - year	35 (7)	41.05 (26.28)	42.48 (18.96)	65.19 (33.64)	0.354	0.02

BMI: Body mass index

loss increases. Also when the pack per year increases the stages of lung function loss increase.

We used linear regression model for evaluating the effect of study variables on FEV₁, FVC, and FEV₁/FVC. FEV₁, FVC, and FEV₁/FVC were dependent variables. At first, we entered all variables in each model. Then, we used Hosmer *et al.* (2013) method to the selection of significant

variables. The information of the final three models are in Table 4. In first model, lung disease hospitalization and age were the influential variables on fev1 with (B = -21.79 CI: -28.7, -14.87 P < 0.001 and B = -0.418 CI: -0.63, -0.21 P < 0.001), respectively. With regards to B coefficients when age increases the FEV₁ decreases and there was an inverse relationship between the lung disease hospitalization and FEV₁.

Table 4: linear regression models for determining factors predicted the forced expiratory volume₁, forced vital capacity, and Forced expiratory volume₁/forced vital capacity

Dependent variable	Independent variables	B	SD	β	P	95% CI for B		R ²
						Lower bound	Upper bound	
FEV ₁ (%)**	Constant	102.607	5.856		0.000	91.064	114.149	0.233
	Hospitalization history	-21.786	3.509	-0.377	0.000	-28.703	-14.868	
	Age	-0.418	0.106	-0.240	0.000	-0.626	-0.210	
FVC (%)††	Constant	84.006	1.288		0.000	81.468	86.544	0.126
	Hospitalization history	-15.895	2.841	-0.355	0.000	-21.493	-10.296	
FEV ₁ /FVC (%)‡‡	Constant	96.523	7.160		0.000	82.404	110.642	0.345
	BMI	0.715	0.201	0.205	0.000	0.319	1.112	
	Hospitalization history	-14.288	2.699	-0.309	0.000	-19.610	-8.967	
	Time to start smoking after waking up in the morning	6.539	2.171	0.172	0.003	2.259	10.820	
	Age	-0.437	0.079	-0.324	0.000	-0.593	-0.280	

**Postbronchodilator FEV₁ in 1s, ††Postbronchodilator FVC, ‡‡Postbronchodilator FEV₁ in 1s percent in relation to the maximal FVC. FEV₁: Forced expiratory volume₁, FVC: Forced vital capacity, BMI: Body mass index, CI: Confidence interval

The only significant influential variable on FVC were lung disease hospitalization and there was an inverse relationship between this variable and FVC (B = -15.89 CI: -21.49, -10.296 $P < 0.001$).

In model 3, BMI, lung disease hospitalization, Time to start smoking after waking up in the morning and Age had a significant relationship on FEV₁/FVC. B coefficients for BMI, hospitalization history, and time to start smoking after waking up in the morning age was (B = 0.71 CI: 0.32, 1.11, $P < 0.001$, B = -14.29, CI: -19.61, -8.97, $P < 0.001$, B = 6.54, CI: 2.26, 10.82 $P = 0.003$, and B = -0.44, CI: -0.59, -0.28 $P < 0.001$) respectively. When BMI increase and age decreases and the mean of FEV₁/FVC increases. Furthermore, there were a negative association between FEV₁/FVC and lung disease hospitalization.

Entered variables

Education, time to start smoking after waking up in the morning, Hookah, History of lung disease in relatives, Allergies, lung disease hospitalization, Asthma, Saba: Short-acting beta-agonist, Lama: Long-acting muscarinic antagonist, Sama: Short-acting muscarinic antagonist, Iclaba: Inhaled corticosteroids and long-acting beta-agonist, Ics inhaled corticosteroids, Addiction, Age, BMI, Age of onset of smoking, Pack year

DISCUSSION

In this cross-sectional study of a population of male adult smokers, we found that age of onset of smoking and pack-year was closely associated with the severity of lung function loss. The present study demonstrates that the mean of onset of smoking was 16.54 (6.01) in Stage IV, 23.12 (8.06) in Stage III, 23.30 (6.41) in Stage II, and 18^[2] in Stage I of COPD smokers. This means that the age of onset of smoking is connected to poorer pulmonary function. Furthermore, the smokers on Stage IV of COPD had the

mean pack-years of 65.19 (33.64), Stage III with the mean of 42.48 (18.96), Stage II with the mean of 41.05 (26.28), and Stage I with the mean of 35.^[7] It was found that more smoking measured as pack-year was associated with poorer pulmonary function. The impact of cigarette smoking on lung function is dose dependent, so it is expected that the sooner smoking begins the worse the lung function becomes. A finding that seems to be of secondary importance is that people who started smoking earlier are more likely to continue smoking and are heavier smokers.^[22] Kurmi *et al.* examined the relationship between smoking and airway obstruction in men and women found that airway obstruction was strongly associated with smoking and the onset of smoking at an early age. In both sexes, the OR was more extreme in those who started to smoke at a younger age ($P < 0.0001$ in men and 0.0063 in women).^[23] A previous study by Ballah, *et al.* that compared the lung function between smokers and nonsmokers indicated that there is a statistical relationship between pack-year of smoking and FEV₁ levels. They also found out that the onset of smoking at a younger age is associated with a lower value of FEV₁.^[24] A study was also conducted involving 10,187 participants that showed a significant correlation between airflow obstruction (FEV₁/FVC) and pack-year (regression coefficient $\beta = -0.023 \pm SE0.003$; $P = 0.003$).

The present study demonstrates that The mean of FEV₁, FVC and FEV₁/FVC for smokers without previous diagnosis of asthma and without any history of pulmonary disease hospitalization was significantly higher compared to smokers with these variables according to independent *t*-test. Accordingly, the linear regression model to evaluate the effect of study variables on FEV₁, FVC, and FEV₁/FVC showed that the history of hospitalization for pulmonary diseases in the present study is inversely related to FEV₁, FVC, and FEV₁/FVC. Hunter, *et al.* examined the risk factors for subsequent admission to COPD and found that prior admission to COPD or respiratory

disease was a risk factor that agrees with the present study.^[25] Prognosis in patients with COPD indicated that Increasing age, low BMI, decreased FEV1, and prior respiratory or cardiovascular admission hospitalization were predictors of poor outcome.^[26] Polese *et al.* Evaluated the lung function, and pulmonary diffusion for carbon monoxide (DLCO) in patients between 15 and 30 days after discharge admission for severe COVID-19 showed a restrictive pattern with a reduction in FVC in 54% of individuals.^[27]

Lange, *et al.* compared lung function in people with asthma and people without asthma who identify themselves as asthmatics, there were substantially greater declines in FEV1 levels over time than those who did not. Subjects with asthma and smokers had a more noteworthy decrease in FEV1 than those without asthma and nonsmokers, respectively.^[28] In the current study, the average fev1 level for smokers without a history of lung disease in relatives was significantly higher than smokers with a history of lung disease in relatives. In addition, the mean of FEV1/FVC for smokers who started smoking within 30 min after waking up was significantly higher in comparison with those who started smoking at least 30 min after waking up. Accordingly, linear regression model demonstrated started smoking ≤ 30 min after waking more decreased FEV1/FVC. A recent study indicated that compared to current smokers with a late start of smoking cigarettes, those who smoked their first cigarette at an early age had a higher risk of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.^[29] According to the linear regression model, age was inversely related to FEV1 and FVC as well as BMI as directly related to FEV1/FVC. Rewashed, Rawashdeh *et al.* calculated the connection between the smoking duration, the number of cigarettes smoked per day, age, and pulmonary function parameters that suggested smoking duration and participant age could reduce the volume associated with the FVC, FEV1.^[12] An increase in FEV1/FVC among participants with a high BMI in our study may be due to elasticity loss because of gaining weight has a greater effect on FVC than FEV1.^[30] Our results are in contrast to a study that showed that FEV1/FVC was lower in the obese group than in the other groups.^[31]

CONCLUSION

In a population of male adult smokers, age of onset of smoking and pack-year appears to have a strong relationship with the stages of lung function loss. History of pulmonary disease hospitalization, age, amount of time between getting up in the morning and the first cigarette, BMI, history of lung disease in relatives, previous diagnosis of asthma have a negative impact on lung function.

Acknowledgments

This study has been funded by Isfahan University of Medical Sciences. The authors thank the participants of this study for their contributions.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Ruiz CA, Pinedo AR, Guerrero AC, Ulibarri MM, Cristobal Fernández M, Lopez Gonzalez G. Characteristics of COPD smokers and effectiveness and safety of smoking cessation medications. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2012;14:1035-9.
2. Sundblad BM, Larsson K, Nathell L. High rate of smoking abstinence in COPD patients: Smoking cessation by hospitalization. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2008;10:883-90.
3. Kronborg T, Hangaard S, Cichosz SL, Hejlesen O. Increased accuracy after adjustment of spirometry threshold for diagnosing COPD based on pre-bronchodilator FEV1/FVC. *Respir Care* 2019;64:85-90.
4. Bousquet J, Khaltaev N. *Global Surveillance, Prevention and Control of Chronic Respiratory Diseases: A Comprehensive Approach*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization 2007. p. 1-146.
5. Lozano R, Naghavi M, Foreman K, Lim S, Shibuya K, Aboyans V, *et al.* Global and regional mortality from 235 causes of death for 20 age groups in 1990 and 2010: A systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2010. *Lancet* 2012;380:2095-128.
6. Celli BR, Decramer M, Wedzicha JA, Wilson KC, Agustí A, Criner GJ, *i.* An official American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society statement: Research questions in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;191:e4-27.
7. Kuperman AS, Riker JB. The variable effect of smoking on pulmonary function. *Chest* 1973;63:655-60.
8. Enright PL, Connett JE, Bailey WC. The FEV1/FEV6 predicts lung function decline in adult smokers. *Respir Med* 2002;96:444-9.
9. Bhatt SP, Kim YI, Harrington KF, Hokanson JE, Lutz SM, Cho MH, *et al.* Smoking duration alone provides stronger risk estimates of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease than pack-years. *Thorax* 2018;73:414-21.
10. Walter S, Nancy NR, Collier CR. Changes in the forced expiratory spirogram in young male smokers. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1979;119:717-24.
11. Chowdhury NJ, Nessa A, Begum M, Nabi MA, Matin NI. Relationship between pack year and lung function parameters in asymptomatic smokers. *Mymensingh Med J* 2021;30:509-13.
12. Rawashdeh A, Alnawaiseh N. Effects of cigarette smoking and age on pulmonary function tests in ≥ 40 years old adults in Jordan. *Biomed Pharmacol J* 2018;11:789-93.
13. Fang X, Wang X, Bai C. COPD in China: The burden and importance of proper management. *Chest* 2011;139:920-9.
14. Bahtouee M, Maleki N, Nekouee F. The prevalence of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in hookah smokers. *Chron Respir Dis* 2018;15:165-72.
15. Johannessen A, Eagan TM, Omenaas ER, Bakke PS, Gulsvik A. Socioeconomic risk factors for lung function decline in a general population. *Eur Respir J* 2010;36:480-7.

16. Tantisuwat A, Thaveeratitham P. Effects of smoking on chest expansion, lung function, and respiratory muscle strength of youths. *J Phys Ther Sci* 2014;26:167-70.
17. Jian W, Zheng J, Hu Y, Li Y, GAO Y, An J. What is the difference between FEV1 change in percentage predicted value and change over baseline in the assessment of bronchodilator responsiveness in patients with COPD? *J Thorac Dis* 2013;5:393-9.
18. Sterk PJ. Let's not forget: the GOLD criteria for COPD are based on post-bronchodilator FEV1. *Eur Respir J* 2004;23:497-8.
19. Wan ES, Castaldi PJ, Cho MH, Hokanson JE, Regan EA, Make BJ, *et al.* Epidemiology, genetics, and subtyping of preserved ratio impaired spirometry (PRISm) in COPD. *Respir Res* 2014;15:89.
20. American Thoracic Society. Lung function testing: Selection of reference values and interpretative strategies. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1991;144:1202-18.
21. Dugral E, Balkanci D. Effects of smoking and physical exercise on respiratory function test results in students of university: A cross-sectional study. *Medicine* 2019;98:e16596.
22. Apostol GG, Jacobs DR Jr., Tsai AW, Crow RS, Williams OD, Townsend MC, *et al.* Early life factors contribute to the decrease in lung function between ages 18 and 40: The coronary artery risk development in young adults study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2002;166:166-72.
23. Kurmi OP, Li L, Wang J, Millwood IY, Chen J, Collins R, *et al.* COPD and its association with smoking in the Mainland China: A cross-sectional analysis of 0.5 million men and women from ten diverse areas. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis* 2015;10:655-65.
24. Mb A, Fmcp D, Denué B, Fmcp B, Mubi, Eo B, *et al.* Correlation of pack year of smoking and lung function variables in firefighters. 2015;3:32-7.
25. Hunter LC, Lee RJ, Butcher I, Weir CJ, Fischbacher CM, McAllister D, *et al.* Patient characteristics associated with risk of first hospital admission and readmission for acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) following primary care COPD diagnosis: A cohort study using linked electronic patient records. *BMJ Open* 2016;6:e009121.
26. Schembri S, Anderson W, Morant S, Winter J, Thompson P, Pettitt D, *et al.* A predictive model of hospitalisation and death from chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Respir Med* 2009;103:1461-7.
27. Polese J, Sant'Ana L, Moulaz IR, Lara IC, Bernardi JM, Lima MD, *et al.* Pulmonary function evaluation after hospital discharge of patients with severe COVID-19. *Clinics* 2021;76:e2848.
28. Lange P, Scharling H, Ulrik CS, Vestbo J. Inhaled corticosteroids and decline of lung function in community residents with asthma. *Thorax* 2006;61:100-4.
29. Kim G, Song H, Park K, Noh H, Lee E, Lee H, Kim H, Paek Y. Association of time to first morning cigarette and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease measured by spirometry in current smokers. *Korean J Fam Med* 2018;39:67-73.
30. Thyagarajan B, Jacobs DR, Apostol GG, Smith LJ, Jensen RL, Crapo RO, *et al.* Longitudinal association of body mass index with lung function: The CARDIA study. *Respir Res* 2008;9:31.
31. Do JG, Park CH, Lee YT, Yoon KJ. Association between underweight and pulmonary function in 282,135 healthy adults: A cross-sectional study in Korean population. *Sci Rep* 2019;9:14308.